INTERNATIONAL PRECAUTIONARY ACTIONS  
by Governments, Authorities and Schools.

2015 Law passed: 

- **WiFi Banned in Nursery Schools**: WiFi and wireless devices will be banned in “the spaces dedicated to home, to rest and activities of children under 3 years”.
- **WiFi on “OFF” as Default to Minimize Exposures in Schools**: In elementary schools, WiFi routers should be turned off when not in use.
- **Schools Will be Informed**: The school board should be informed when new tech equipment is being installed.
- **Cell Tower Emission Compliance Will Be Verified**: A decree will define the limits of emission of equipments for electronic communications or transmission to which the public is exposed. These values can be verified by accredited organizations and results will be made accessible to the public through a National Radiofrequency Agency.
- **Citizens Will Have Access to Environmental/Cell Tower Radiation Measurements**: Near homes: Every resident may get access to the results of measurements for their living space.
- **Cell Antennae Maps For the Country**: A description and map of the places with atypical (higher than the limits) places will be conducted at regular intervals with follow up of the actions being taken to limit the exposure. A map of all antennas will be produced for each town.
- **Continued Evaluation of Health Effects**: The National Radiofrequency Agency will be in charge of surveillance and vigilance, evaluating potential risks and setting up scientific research, including information on health effects.
- **SAR Radiation Labeling Mandated**: The SAR of cell phones must be clearly indicated on the package.
- **Information on Reducing Exposures Mandatory**: Information on ways to reduce exposure will be detailed in the contents of the cell phone package.
- **WIFI Hotspots will be Labeled**: Places where WIFI is provided should be clearly marked with a pictogram.
- **Advertisements Must Recommend Devices That Reduce Radiation Exposure to the Brain**: Advertising for cell phones should clearly indicate the recommendation of hand free kits for protection of the head of the user and it will be included in the package. Advertising for cell phone not accompanied by such a kit is forbidden. Companies in violation will be fined 75,000 Euros.
- **Children Must Be Provided Protections**: At the request of the buyer, equipment reducing cell phone radiation exposures to the head for children less than 14 years should be provided.
- **The Public Will Be Informed**: Within a year, a policy of information on awareness and information on a responsible and reasonable use of cell phones and other apparatus emitting radiofrequencies will be set up.
- **Electrohyper-sensitivity Report To Be Submitted**: Within a year, a report on electrohyper sensitivity must be given to the Parliament.
2011 French Cell Phone Statute: Merchants must display SAR Radiation levels for different phone models, all phones must be sold with a headset, cell phone ads aimed at children younger than 14 are banned and phones made for children under 6 are banned.

2013 ANSES Report recommends hands free phones, SAR labeling, and “limiting the population's exposure to radiofrequencies... especially for children and intensive users, and controlling the overall exposure that results from relay antennas.”

The French National Library along with other libraries in Paris, and a number of universities have removed all Wi-Fi networks.

Herouville-Saint-Clair has removed all Wi-Fi equipment installed in municipalities.


- Phones designed for children under 7 years old are prohibited from sale.
- Total Advertising Ban on cell phones aimed at children younger than 14.
- Mandatory Radiation SAR levels must be available for consumers at point of sale.
- Warning label on phones: “Think about your health – use your mobile phone moderately, make your calls wearing an earpiece and choose a set with a lower SAR value.”
- Recommendations include use of hands-free methods to keep the phone away from the body such as text messaging and not making calls when the signal is weak, such as in elevator/vehicle.
- Ghent Municipality: Wireless internet is banned from spaces that cater to children between 0 and three: preschools and daycares to reduce exposure to microwave radiation.
- Belgium has set a RF limit of 3V/m (at 900MHz) for cell antennas in certain locations such as indoors, schools, and playgrounds.

Spain: The Parliament of Navarra voted to urge removal of WIFI in schools and to apply the precautionary principle in relation to exposure limits to electromagnetic fields whose boundaries have become “obsolete”.

- The Parliament voted to adopt a resolution which calls to implement the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe resolution 1815 of 2011, which recommends to “review the scientific basis for the standards of exposure to electromagnetic fields” and “set thresholds for levels of preventive long-term exposure in all indoor areas not exceeding 0.6 volts per meter”.

Canada: Health Canada offers “Practical Advice” on reducing exposure to wireless radiation. Recommendations: 1. Limit the length of cell phone calls, 2. Replace cell phone calls with text, use “hands-free” devices and 3. Encourage children under the age of 18 to limit their cell phone usage

- 2015: National Bill C-648 Introduced into the House Of Commons, “An Act Respecting the Prevention of Potential Health Risks From Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Radiation” would require manufacturers of all wireless devices to place specific health warning labels clearly on packaging, or face daily penalties /fines and/or imprisonment.
- Canadian Parliament Standing Committee on Health of the House of Commons issued a report "Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Radiation and the Health of Canadians" on June, 2015 after holding public hearings regarding Health Canada’s Safety Code 6 recommended limits. They made 12 recommendations including an awareness campaign on reducing exposures, improved information collecting and policy measures regarding the marketing of radiation emitting devices to children under the age of 14, “in order to ensure they are aware of the health risks and how they can be avoided.”

Australia: In 2013 the Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency issued Fact Sheet 14: titled How to Reduce exposure from mobile phones and other wireless devices.

- Reduce the risk from WiFi devices by “keeping them at a distance, for example placing the wireless router
away from where people spend time", and "reducing the amount of time you use them".

- ARPANSA recommends that parents encourage their children to limit their exposure.

**Italy:**

- On June 10, 2015, the State Parliament of South Tyrol voted to allow the application of the precautionary principle mandating the state government to:
  1. To replace existing wireless networks whenever possible with networks that emit less radiation at schools, preschools, hospitals, nursing homes, and other public facilities.
  2. Establish a working group whose mandate it is to assess these new technologies and their exposure levels. With regard to wireless communication technologies, mobile Internet access, and public health, the working group shall clarify which technologies emit less radiation and provide sustainable technology options and
  3. To start an education and awareness campaign that informs about possible health risks, especially regarding the unborn, infants, children, and adolescents and that develops guidelines for a safer use of cell phones, smartphones, and Wi-Fi …Discussion at the Plenary Session, 10 June 2015 (in German) Official Files, Resolutions (in German) Previous Hearing at the Parliament of South Tyrol, 29 April 2015 (in German)

- The Italian Supreme Court ruled a man's brain tumor was caused by his cell phone use in 2012. The National Institute for Workmen's Compensation must compensate a worker with head tumor due to cell use.

**Finland:** The Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority issued recommendations for children which include: favoring text messages, parents limiting duration and amount of calls, the use of hands free devices, avoiding calls in a low reception area and keeping the phone away from the body.

- "With children, we have reason to be especially careful, because there is not enough research on children's mobile phone use", according to STUK research director Sisko Salomaa.

**Israel:** The Israeli Ministry Of Education has issued guidelines limiting WiFi and cell phone use in schools.

- Preschool through 2nd grade have banned the use of wireless networks. In third and fourth grade class internet is restricted to 3 hours per week.
- A hard wired direct cable connection is required if the teacher has a computer in the class. Magnetic fields below 4mG are being reduced.
- The Israeli Supreme Court ordered the Israeli government to reply on ceasing Wifi installations
- In third and fourth grade class internet is restricted to 3 hours per week.
- The Education Ministry has instructed all schools to perform radiation tests.
- Israel's Minister of Health Rabi Litzman stated that he supports a ban on Wi-Fi in schools.
- A hard wired direct cable connection is required if the teacher has a computer in the class.
- Government created the Webpage National Information Ctr for Non-Ionizing Radiation
- Read the official ISRAEL 2015 RF Safety Report

**Switzerland:** The Governing Council of Thurgau Canton 2008 "The Governing Council recommends for schools to forgo the use of wireless networks when the structural makeup of a given school building allows for a wired network."

**Germany:** The German Federal Ministry for Radiation Protection states, "supplementary precautionary measures such as wired cable alternatives are to be preferred to the WLAN system."

- Bavaria: The State Ministry of Education and Cultural Affairs: "For precautionary reasons the Federal Office for Radiation Protection recommends for schools that if a wireless network is used to place its components in
suitable locations and to prefer the use of wired network solutions whenever possible.” In 2007 Parliament recommendation to all schools to not install wireless LAN networks.

- **Frankfurt:** “In Frankfurt’s schools there will be no wireless networks in the short or mid term. The Local Education Authority did not wish to conduct a “large scale human experiment,” said Michael Damian, spokesperson of the Head of the School Department Jutta Ebeling.

**Austria:**
- "The official advice of the Public Health Department of the Salzburg Region is not to use WLAN and DECT in Schools or Kindergartens." - Gerd Oberfeld, MD.
- The Austrian Medical Society has issued cell phone safety guidelines stating that cell phones should be used for as short of a time as possible and that children under 16 should not use cell phones at all. They also state that wireless LAN leads to high microwave exposure.

**India:** 2012 The Ministry of Communications and Information Technology issued EMF guidelines with new Exposure Limits lowered to 1/10 of the ICNIRP level, SAR labeling on phones.
- **Official guidelines** for cell phone use include: Headsets, Speakerphones, limiting cell use, increasing distance from devices, and choosing landlines.
- 2013: Supreme Court of India upheld the High Court of the State of Rajasthan decision to remove all cell towers from the vicinity of schools, hospitals and playgrounds because of radiation “hazardous to life.”
- The Ministry of Communications and Information Technology has an EMF webpage
- Zilla Parishad orders removal of all cellphone towers near schools citing exposure to “harmful radiation”.

**Russia:** The Russian Federation advises that those under the age of 18 should not use a mobile phone at all, recommends low-emission phones; and requires the following: on-device labelling notifying users that it is a source of RF-EMF, user guide information advising that “it is a source of harmful RF-EMF exposure” and the inclusion of courses in schools regarding mobile phones use and RF-EMF exposure issues. The Russian National Committee on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection has repeatedly warned about electromagnetic radiation impacts on children and recommended WiFi not be used in schools.
- “Thus, for the first time in the human history, children using mobile telecommunications along with the adult population are included into the health risk group due to the RF EMF exposure.”
- “In children, the amount of so-called stem cells is larger than in adults and the stem cells were shown to be the most sensitive to RF EMF exposure.”
- “It is reasonable to set limits on mobile telecommunications use by children and adolescents, including ban on all types of advertisement of mobile telecommunications for children.”
- Decision of Russian National Committee on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection 2008, “Children and Mobile Phones: The Health of the Following Generations is in Danger”

**European Environment Agency:** “All reasonable measures to be taken to reduce exposures to electromagnetic fields, especially radiofrequencies from mobile phones and particularly the exposures to children and young adults. Current exposure limits to be reconsidered.”

**United Kingdom:** The UK National Health Service offers specific Recommendations for children and cell phones as “children are thought to be at higher risk of health implications”.
- “Children should only use mobile phones for essential purposes and keep all calls short.”
- For the public they have “recommendations to help lower any potential long-term risks” which include keeping calls short, keep phone away from the body on standby mode, only use it when the reception is strong and use a phone with an external antenna.
**Resolution 1815:** In 2011 The Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe issued *The Potential Dangers of Electromagnetic Fields and Their Effect on the Environment.*

A call to European governments to “take all reasonable measures” to reduce exposure to electromagnetic fields “particularly the exposure to children and young people who seem to be most at risk from head tumours.” The Resolution calls for member states to:

- Implement “information campaigns about the risk of biological effects on the environment and human health, especially targeting children and young people of reproductive age.”
- “Reconsider the scientific basis for the present standards on exposure to electromagnetic fields set by the International Commission on Non-Ionising Radiation Protection, which have serious limitations, and apply ALARA principles, covering both thermal effects and the athermic or biological effects of electromagnetic emissions or radiation.”

“*For children in general, and particularly in schools and classrooms, give preference to wired Internet connections, and strictly regulate the use of mobile phones by schoolchildren on school premises.*”

---

**United States:** Legislation has been introduced at the state and national level. Some Communities have issued proclamations, resolutions and started initiatives to inform the public of wireless health issues.

- **NEW 2015** **NEW Massachusetts** proposed [S1222](#): An Act creating a special commission to study the health impacts of electromagnetic fields and [Bill H2007](#): An Act relative to a special commission to study electric and magnetic fields. [Bills Still in Process as of August, 2015](#). Watch a view of the statehouse briefing on RF here.
- **NEW 2015** Nassau County will have a proposed Wireless Router Labeling Act that would place visible warning signs in all county buildings and facilities where a wireless router is located. Please read recent coverage of the initiative here.
- **2014 California, Berkeley:** May 12, 2015 Berkeley Adopted the Cell Phone "Right to Know" Ordinance on a Unanimous Vote. Berkeley is the first city in the nation to require cell phone retailers to provide those who purchase a new phone an informational fact sheet which informs buyers to read the user manual to learn the cell phone’s minimum separation distance from the body. The text states:

  "The City of Berkeley requires that you be provided the following notice:
  To assure safety, the Federal Government requires that cell phones meet radio frequency (RF) exposure guidelines. If you carry or use your phone in a pants or shirt pocket or tucked into a bra when the phone is ON and connected to a wireless network, you may exceed the federal guidelines for exposure to RF radiation. This potential risk is greater for children. Refer to the instructions in your phone or user manual for information about how to use your phone safely." [Full text here](#).

- **2014 New York:** [Wireless Router Labeling in all Suffolk Public buildings](#): 12/2014 The Suffolk County Legislature passed legislation to require all county buildings to post notices that wireless routers are in use such as, “Notice: Wireless technology in use.” The resolution, sponsored by Legis. William Spencer (a physician), warns that every wireless device emits radio frequency radiation or microwave radiation. It notes that studies "that have looked at the effects of low-level RFR radiation on human cells and DNA have been inconclusive."

- **2014 Maryland, Greenbelt:** [The Greenbelt Maryland City Council voted unanimously on November 24, 2014](#).
1. Alert citizens about the fine print warnings and possible health risks of cell phones and wireless devices by sharing the Environmental Health Trusts' 10 Steps to Safe Tech and Doctors Advice on Cell Phones Brochure in City health fairs and city centers.
2. To send the FCC Chairman a letter urging the adoption of "radiation standards that will protect human health and safety."
3. To oppose cell towers on school grounds and write a letter to the local school board and County Executive.

- 2012 Wyoming: Jackson Hole issued a Proclamation of Cell Phone Awareness which cites concern over long term health effects as well as the increased risk that the radiation poses to children.
- 2012 Florida: Pembroke Pines, passed Resolution 3362 expressing the City's "Urgent Concerns" about Wireless Radiation and Health and which encourages citizens to read their manuals and presents information on how to reduce exposure by using a headset or speakerphone. Jimmy Gonzalez, an attorney who had developed brain cancer after heavy cell use, initially petitioned the Commission. Watch the Video of his powerful testimony here.
- 2010 California, San Francisco: Cell Phone Radiation (How to Reduce Exposures) Webpage launched. Answers on how to reduce exposures to cell phone radiation. The City developed a poster, factsheets and display stickers with public health information.
- 2010 California: Burlingame California City Council voted to include cell phone safety guidelines in their Healthy Living in Burlingame initiative (WHO classification and consumer precautions).
- 2010 Maine, Portland: Mayor Mavodenes, Jr. declared October “Cell Phone Awareness Month”

**US Proposed Legislation**

- 2012 National Law The Cell Phone Right to Know Act H.R. 6358 was introduced receiving strong support from many organizations including the American Academy of Pediatrics. (AAP Letter here.) This legislation called for labels on mobile devices at point of sale, a comprehensive national research program to study whether exposure to wireless devices causes adverse biological effects directed by NIEHS and the EPA and exposure level regulation.
- 2014 The Maine LD 1013 "The Wireless Information Act" passed the State Senate and House but then failed to pass the second vote. The Bill requires manufacturer's information on radio-frequency exposure be visible on the outside of the cell phone's product packaging.
- 2014 Hawaii Senate Bill SB 2571 was introduced calling for a warning label encouraging consumers to follow the enclosed product safety guidelines to reduce exposure to radiation that may be hazardous to their health.
- The San Francisco Cell Phone Right to Know Ordinance was signed in 2011 requiring cell phone retailers to distribute an educational sheet created by the San Francisco Department of Environment that explains radiofrequency emissions from cell phones and how consumers can minimize their exposure. The CTIA sued the city and settled with the City to block implementation of the Ordinance in exchange for a waiver of attorneys' fees. The City Cell Phone Radiation Webpage remains online.
- SB 932 California, HM 32, New Mexico, HB 1408 Pennsylvania, and SB 679 Oregon.
Schools Worldwide Removing the WiFi

2015: Ashland Public Schools, Mass (USA): "Best Practices" to turn the WiFi off when not in use. Download Slides
2015: St. Cajetanus School, Belgium: Wired Internet installed and wireless removed.
2015: Washington Waldorf School, Maryland, USA: Removed Wi-Fi Routers from Buildings, Ethernet installed.
2014: DearCroft Montessori: Hardwired internet to younger grades, limited Wi-Fi Router exposure to older grades.
2014: Meeting House Montessori, Braintree Massachusetts, USA, WiFi replaced with ethernet.
2014: Ghent, Finland, WiFi banned from pre-schools and day care.
2014: UPPER Sturt Primary School, Australia "No WiFi" LOW EMF Policy
2014: The St. Augustine School in Italy turned off Wifi and goes back to Wires.
2013 Winlaw Elementary School, B.C. Canada turned off WiFi.
2013 Te Horo Primary School New Zealand Replaced WIFI with cable-based internet.
2013 Kootenay Lakes District School Board BC (One school without Wi-Fi)
2013 Blaise-Cendrars High School, Switzerland. Teachers vote to remove WiFi.
2012 Kivioja primary school in Ylivieska Finland bans phones and minimizes Wireless.
2012: Halton Waldorf, in Burlington Vermont: Remaining free of Wireless Radiation
2011 City of Lakes Waldorf School, WiFi taken out. Minneapolis, Minnesota USA
2011 Aurora School in Ontario removed Wifi and replaced with hardwired.
2011 North Cariboo Christian School in Quesnel, B.C., removed Wi-fi.
2011 Pretty River Academy in Ontario no WiFi.
2011 Wayside Academy, Peterborough, Ontario no Wi-Fi.
2010 Surrey, BC Roots and Wings Montessori removed Wi-Fi.
2010 Ontario St. Vincent Euphrasia elementary school: Parents voted to turn off Wi-Fi.

Teacher Unions and Parent Teacher Organizations

2014 United Federation of Teachers (teachers, nurses and professionals working in New York City).
  ● New Wireless Radiation Webpage states, "Wireless radiation is emitted by the myriad of wireless devices we encounter every day. It was once thought to be relatively harmless. However, we now know that wireless radiation can cause non-thermal biological effects as well, including damage to cells and DNA, even at low levels.
  ● Resources posted on their site include Dr. Moskowitz’ Reducing Your Exposure to Wireless Radiation and the BabySafe Project brochure What You Need to Know About Wireless Radiation and Your Baby. "Taking certain precautions around wireless radiation is appropriate for our most vulnerable populations, including pregnant women."

2014 New York State Teacher’s Union NYSUT: A federation of more than 1,200 local unions.
  ● NYSUT hosted a Webinar: Risks of wireless technologies and protecting children and staff in schools.

2013 Canadian Teacher Federation’s Brief (200,000 elementary and secondary school teachers)
  ● “CTF is concerned about the lack of definitive research regarding the adverse health effects of Wi-Fi.
  ● “We propose a prudent approach to the use of Wi-Fi, especially where children are present.”
  ● “We recommend an education program regarding the relative safety of Wi-Fi exposure and that appropriate resources be developed to educate the public regarding ways to avoid potential exposure risks of Wi-Fi
access points and devices.”

- “Pedagogical needs could be met in schools with an approach that limits exposure to Wi-Fi.”

2013 **United Teachers of Los Angeles**, representing 40,000 teachers and staff

- Resolution passed: “I move that UTLA will abide by current National NEA Policy for Environmentally Safe Schools which states that all employees and stakeholders should be informed when there are changes in their exposure to environmental hazards including electromagnetic radiation and that all stakeholders and the public should be notified of any actual and potential hazards.”

2013 **Elementary Teacher's Federation of Ontario** - over 76,000 teachers

- Label the location of Wi-Fi access points.
- Develop a hazard control program related to wireless microwave radiation through JHSC.

2012 **The Ontario English Catholic Teachers Association** (45,000 Ontario teachers)

- Recommends a wired infrastructure as WIFI “may present a potential Health and Safety risk or hazard in the workplace...The safety of this technology has not thoroughly been researched and therefore the precautionary principle and prudent avoidance of exposure should be practiced.”

“The National Education Association believes that all educational facilities must have healthy indoor air quality, be smoke-free, be safe from environmental and chemical hazards, and be safe from hazardous electromagnetic fields.” **Section C-19 of the NEA 2013-2014 Resolutions**

2013 **BC Teachers Federation** adopted Wireless Resolutions and Proposed Resolutions

- “The BCTF supports members who are suffering from Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity by ensuring their medical needs are accommodated in the workplace.”
- Proposed Resolutions “the World Health Organization's classification of radiofrequency/electromagnetic fields emitted by wireless devices as a 2B possible cancer risk to humans; that the BCTF ensures all teachers have the right to work in a safe environment, including the right to work in a Wi-Fi/wireless-free environment.”
- Recommendation to the Ministry of Education that school boards “begin immediate installation of on/off switches for Wi-Fi routers in schools, thereby reducing microwave radiation exposure and reducing health risks to members, and/or provide safer Ethernet cables or fibre optics”.

2013 **The BC Confederation of Parent Advisory Councils (BCCPAC)** of 821 Advisory Councils representing over 500,000 parents in British Columbia passed two resolutions.

- Resolution 17 "calls on each Board of Education to have one public school at each education level that is free of Wi-Fi, cordless phones and cell phones. This school will only be equipped with wired computers and wired telephones for personal, educational and administrative purposes."
- Resolution 18 calls on Boards of Education to "cease to install Wi-Fi and other wireless networks in schools where other networking technology is feasible." passed with a clear majority.

2010 **UK VOICE : The Union for Education Professionals**, 20,000 members

- “Voice has advocated that new Wi-Fi systems should not be installed in schools, that existing systems should be turned off when not required and that schools should consider whether they really need to use Wi-Fi, which was developed to facilitate Internet access on the move rather than to be used as a convenient alternative to cables in dedicated IT facilities.”

2010 **Greater Victoria Teachers' Association**
Wi-Fi free zones should be available.
On/Off routers recommended and record any adverse Wi-Fi health effects.
Minimal or non-use within elementary schools.

2008  Lucerne Elementary Secondary Arrow Lakes District SD 10 New Denver BC, Canada Opt for “No WIFI

**DOCTORS AND SCIENTISTS APPEAL FOR STRICHER WIRELESS TECHNOLOGY REGULATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vienna Resolution</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salzburg Resolution</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewart Report, UK</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declaration of Acalà</td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catania Resolution</td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freiburger Appeal</td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bamberger Appeal</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaler Appeal</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Association of Fire Fighters Resolution on Cell Towers</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coburger Appeal</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oberammergauer Appeal</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halbacher Appeal</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pfarrkirchener Appeal</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freienbacher Appeal</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lichtenfelser Appeal</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hofer Appeal</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsinki Appeal</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parish Kirchner Appeal</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saarlander Appeal</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockacher Appeal</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancouver School Resolution</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benevento Resolution</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allgäuer Appeal</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WiMax Appeal</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schlüchternner appeal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brussels Appeal</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venice Resolution</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porto Alegre Resolution</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Parliament</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMF Resolution</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutch Appeal</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int'l Appeal of Würzburg</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copenhagen Resolution</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seletun Consensus Statement</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian National Committee on Non-Ionizing Radiation</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potenza Picena Resolution</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austrian Medical Association</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution on Electromagnetic Health</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Doctor Initiative</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BabySafe Project: Joint</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement on Pregnancy and Wireless Radiation</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Doctors Declaration to Health Canada</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Declaration to Health Canada</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(International Doctors)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Scientists Appeal to U.N. to Protect Humans and Wildlife from Electromagnetic Fields and Wireless Technology</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 200 Scientists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>