Document 221-11 Filed 04/24/18

EXHIBIT

11

CD-FRC0003752

1		Are you aware that there is a lawsuit that is brought by
2	the U.	3. against Mr. Brace for certain alleged violations in
3	that pa	articular property?
4	 Α	Well, I have to say, yes; my contact with Dave and other
5	people	from
6	Q	You are aware, okay.
7		So that have you beenhas this been shown to you, or in
8	one mai	nner or another, by photograph or map, that this is the
9	partic	ular area in discussion?
0	A	We looked at that map yesterday. It was hand-drawn.
1	Q	Was that the site map?
.2		MR. DANA: Yes. I don't want to get into
. 3		privileged discussion, but to expedite things, Mr.
. 4		Steckler has looked over the site.
15		MR. WARD: I understand that, okay.
. 6		
7	BY MR.	WARD:
8.	Q	How often had you been to this particular site
.9	over	since 19strike that.
0 9	•	Did you tell us when you first came to the Brace property
21.	at all	, what year about?
22		MR. DANA: You mean this particular property?
23		MR. WARD: No, I asked him if he went to any
24		of the Brace properties a little bit earlier. I can't
25	•	recall the answers.

Acts, 1985 and 1990. They are commonly also known as farm bills. Both of them, in essence, are enticing farmers to implement a basic conservation plan on fields that are highly erodible in order for their farms to stay eliqible for government programs.

That is a short description.

BY MR. WARD:

Now, there was a procedure allowed, was there not, to have farmers qualified for--what is it called?--a prior converted wetland designation?

MR. DANA: Off the record.

(Whereupon, a brief discussion was held off the record.)

MR. DANA: As I informed Mr. Ward, the United States' position is that Swampbuster law, Commenced Conversion, the Food Security Act, and any prior converted/nonconverted designation in the case, have no relevance in the case to a question of whether there were discharges that were illegal and therefore shouldn't be considered. That relevance objection is a continuing one to any questions regarding these matters, broadly construed. However, Mr. Ward will proceed with questions subject to that objection.

fields there are, what the number of the fields are, how many acres, and we also mark if there is hydric soils present on this farm. And we mark the fields that are prior converted, which we talked about, and we also mark if he is farming any wetlands and if he is farming wetlands that are converted after December 23.

Q Okay.

The document you are reading now, is that the document submitted--at least as it--at least it have Mr. Brace's name on it?

- A Right, this is one I filled out.
- 11 Q You filled that out?
- 12 A Yes.

1

3

4

5

б

8

9

10

13

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q And in conference with Mr. Brace or how does it work?
- 14 A No. He gets a copy of it.
- 15 Q Yeah.
- 16 A I contacted Mr. Brace to tell him I would be walking over his fields; asked permission I should say.

(Whereupon, a brief discussion was held off the record.)

BY MR. WARD:

You have certain designations to soil types that you testified to. Were they--were those designations made after you walked the fields or are they on maps already in your office as to soil types?

б

A The soil types are all published in the Erie County soil survey. They are available to anyone. Of course, that is our Bible, we use it all the time. Most times the designations are completed using that and a field check of the fields in question.

And from this information, what happens to this once you have filled this out; have you made your examination and judgements?

A Well, we keep a copy for the file; we give a copy to the landowner, and we give a copy to the A. S. C. S. office along with the copy of the maps.

o I am going to ask you about the maps.

Can you-there are several sketches here and I will ask you, can you orient this particular map--and ask you if you recognize it since you recognize that whole packet.

Did you make those notations?

A Some of them. Some of them are from A. S. C. S. and some of them are mine.

Q Can you orient that particular sketch-as you say, it is not to scale-with the area on the-be the aerial photograph, Exhibit 13, that is before you?

A Yeah, I can draw it. I know that on both ones.

Q Is that the area that we have been discussing as the site immediately or somewhat south of South Hill Road and the intersection of Greenlee Road?

Case 1:90-cv-00229-SPB Document 221-11 Filed 04/24/18 Page 6 of 9

		, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Q	Generally does that letter notify Mr. Brace of a
2	decisio	on?
3		MR. DANA: Well, the letter speaks for
4		itself.
5		MR. WARD: I understand it. I want him to
6		testify to whatever the letter says.
7	-	MR. DANA: I object. He had no connection
8		with the letter. If you want him to read it
9		MR. WARD: He said he recognized everything
0	<i>.</i> *	in the packet before and it is all part of the procedure
1		and they are then notified?
2		THE WITNESS: Yes. I got a copy of it, Dave
.3	s.	MR. WARD: See.
.4	·	THE WITNESS: It says it was granted. The
.5		only thing I would maybe not one hundred percent agree
.6		with is where it says concurrence with Lew Steckler,
.7		district conservationist. I got to tell you that I
.8		wasn't at the meeting.
.9		
0 :	BY MR.	WARD:
21	Q .	At which that decision was made?
2	Α	Right. My field work was done one day after the
23	commit	tee already granted the determination. I didn't do the
24	field	work until the 15th. Meeting was held on the 14th.

But the actual evidence of qualifications doesn't rely pr

25

the record. You do whatever you want.

MR. WARD: We can--there is times in

Court--what I do need to know or would like to know, if

you can help out, is whether or not the areas that are

represented in your sketches as part of the packet of

Exhibit B, do you recognize that to include the land that

we have been indicating here as the site just south of

South Hill Road and the intersection of Greenlee Road?

1.8

BY MR. WARD:

- Q Do these sketches conform or include that particular property?
- A Yes, they are on the same piece of ground. This one doesn't have the writing on it but, yeah, I can see it there.
- Q Do you attend all A. S. C. S. meetings when they make the designation?
- A Not all. I had a prior commitment that day. I had another meeting in Edinboro that morning.
- I think that's the 14th when the committee had their meeting on September 14th?
- Q Yes, September 14th.
- A I had another meeting in Edinboro.
- I hand you just--I will ask you if you recognize the minutes of a regular meeting at a later date, I believe February 8, 1989, and your name appears as being in attendance, right?