|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Hazardous Energy Control – Lockout Tagout Program Review**  **29 CFR 1910.147** | | | |
| Location: | Reviewed by: | Date: |  |
| Description of Program Requirement | | Compliant? | Evidence of Compliance |
| 1910.147(a)(2)(ii)(B) – Does the location utilize alternative protective measures to comply with the Minor Tool Change exception? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(c)(1) – Does the location have a written Hazardous Energy Control program that meets the requirements outlined in 1910.147(c)(1)? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(c)(1) – Does the location require that any machine/equipment that is capable of unexpected energization, startup, or release of stored energy while under maintenance or servicing be isolated from power sources and rendered inoperative? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(c)(2)(iii) – does all equipment at the location have appropriate energy isolating devices? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(c)(3)(i) – Does the facility have any conditions that would allow a tagout versus a lockout? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(c)(3)(i) – If tagout is allowed, does the location have within its written program provisions to ensure the tagout provides the same level of safety as a lockout? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(c)(4)(i) – Does the location have written lockout procedures for all equipment that requires such procedures? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(c)(4)(ii) – Do the written procedures clearly outline the scope, purpose, authorization, rules, and techniques to be used for the control of hazardous energy and the means to enforce compliance as defined in 1910.147(c)(4)(ii)(A) through 1910.147(c)(4)(ii)(D)? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(c)(5)(i) – Does the location provide required hardware for isolating, securing or blocking machines or equipment from energy sources? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(c)(5)(ii) – Are lockout and tagout devices singularly identified and the only devices authorized for use of controlling energy? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(c)(5)(ii)(A) through (C) – Are the lockout and tagout devices durable, capable of withstanding the environments of use, tags constructed and printed so that weather does not deteriorate allowing the message to become illegible, standardized, substantial and identifiable to the individual? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(c)(5)(iii) – Do tags warn against hazardous conditions (Do Not Start, Do Not Operate, etc.)? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(c)(6)(i) – Does the location conduct documented periodic inspections for each procedure at least annually? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(c)(6)(i) – Does the periodic inspection ensure the procedure and the requirements of the standard are being followed? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(c)(6)(i)(B) – Does the periodic inspection have provisions to correct any noted deviations or inadequacies? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(c)(6)(i)(C) - Does the periodic inspection include a review of responsibilities between the inspector and the affected authorized employees? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(c)(6)(ii) – Does the periodic inspection identify the machine or equipment involved in the inspection, the date of inspection, names of employees involved in the inspection, the name of the person performing the inspection and an employer certification of completion? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(c)(7)(i) – Has the location provided training to all employees according to their role in the program (authorized v affected)? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(c)(7)(iii) – Does the location provide retraining as required by this section? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(d) – Do the lockout procedures include all requirements set forth for the shutting down and isolation of machines/equipment in 1910.147(d)(1) through (6)? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(e) – Do the lockout procedures include all requirements set forth for the re-energization of machines/equipment in 1910.147(e)(1) through (2)(ii)? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(e)(3) – Does the location have provision in its written program for the removal of a lock or tag when an authorized employee has left a lock or tag applied but is not available to remove it? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(f)(1) – Does the location’s written program include provision for testing or positioning machines/equipment while machines/equipment are under lockout tagout? [CCCR Title 8 Section 3314(d)(3)(f)] | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(f)(2) – Does the location’s lockout tagout program apply to contracted service providers who must follow it, or do contracted service providers follow their own lockout program that has been reviewed by the location? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(f)(3) – Does the location lockout program include provision for the use of a group lockout as per 1910.147(f)(3)(i) through 1910.147(f)(3)(ii)(D)? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| 1910.147(f)(4) – does the location lockout program define the protocol for shift or personnel changes? | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
| Observations to make during review: | | Able to see? | Comments: |
| Written program | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| Written lockout procedures | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| Posted/Distributed lockout procedures | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| Lockout locks and tags used | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| List of Authorized Employees | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| Training records | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| Training materials | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| Lockout locations on machines | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| Locations where minor servicing exemption is implied | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| Periodic inspection records | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| Warning signs | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |
| Lockout-Tagout in use | | \_\_Yes \_\_No |  |

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Signature of reviewer