

# Optimal Dispatch for Deregulated Power Systems using Fuzzy Logic Decision Making Technique

Harjinder Kaur

Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Gulzar Group of Institutions, Khanna

**Abstract** - System losses can be reduced and the voltage profile can be improved with reactive power. The stability index, fuel cost, and losses are all calculated using membership functions. Utilizing load flow equations and fuzzy logic, an attempt is made in this paper to optimize fuel cost and line flow in order to minimize actual power loss over the transmission lines. The Decision Maker (DM) is assumed to have vague or imprecise goals for achieving each objective in this paper. The fuzzy decision satisfaction maximization method, which is an effective method for obtaining a trade-off solution to multi-objective problems, is used to solve the multi-objective problem. On an IEEE 57 bus system, the developed algorithm for optimizing each objective is tested.

**Keywords:** Real power, Reactive power, losses, membership functions, Newton Raphson and fuzzy logic

## I. INTRODUCTION

The power system operator solves the economic load dispatch optimization problem in order to allocate the power required to be produced by generating stations taking into account their production costs and utility demand profiles. We need the production costing functions or curves of various types of generating stations to solve the economic dispatch problem. The dispatch issue has developed in tandem with the system's increasing technical and financial complexity. It includes spot pricing mechanisms, the allocation of transmission rights, and power flow constraints to determine generator dispatch and is frequently referred to as the Optimal Power Flow (OPF) formulation. Cost of fuel function: Due to the fact that hydropower plants have virtually no variable operating costs and nuclear power plants typically operate at constant output levels, the costs of the fuel used in fossil fuel plants fall under the dispatching procedures category. Fuzzy decision making is one new method being used to solve the economic load dispatch problem. Different readings will result in the same thing. In the Optimal Power Flow (OPF) combinatorial problem on the IEEE 57-bus Electrical Network, the Fuzzy Logic optimization algorithms are demonstrated in this paper. Programming in the MATLAB environment was used to create the algorithm (R2010a).

## II. FORMULATION OF PROBLEM

The main objective function is to minimize the operating cost.

$$F(P_{Gi}) = \sum_{i=1}^{NG} (a_i P_{Gi}^2 + b_i P_{Gi} + c_i) \text{ \$/h} \quad (1)$$

Subject to

Energy balance equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{NG} P_{Gi} = P_D + P_L \quad (2)$$

The inequality constraints

$$P_i^{min} \leq P_i \leq P_i^{max}$$

$$(i = 1, 2, \dots, NG) \quad (3)$$

Where

$a_i, b_i, c_i$  are cost coefficients of the  $i$ th unit

$P_D$  is load demand.

$P_i$  is real power generation and will act as decision variable.

$P_L$  is power transmission loss.

$NG$  is the number of generator buses.

The objective function of reactive power dispatch problem is to minimize the active or real power loss, subjected to various equality and inequality constraints.

Problem formulation for reactive power dispatch problem is given below:

$$\text{Minimize: } F_2 = P_{Loss} \tag{4}$$

$$\text{Subjected to: } h(P_{Gi}) = 0$$

$$i=1,2,3,\dots,NG \tag{5}$$

$$g(P_{Gi}) \leq 0 \tag{6}$$

$F_2$  is the total Real power loss

$P_{Loss}$  is the total power loss

The reactive power dispatch is used to solve the power flow equations. Hence as a result an improved voltage profile can be obtained. Reactive power dispatch is defined as following by using load flow equations.

$$Q_{Gi} - Q_{di} + V_i \sum_{m=1}^n V_m Y_{im} \sin(\theta_{im} + \delta_m - \delta_i) = 0 \tag{7}$$

Where

$$i=1, 2, 3,\dots,n$$

$Q_{di}$  is total system demand of reactive power bus.

$Q_{Gi}$  is total system generation of reactive power bus.

$V_i$  is magnitude of voltage at bus  $i^{th}$  bus.

$\delta_i$  is voltage phase angle at  $i^{th}$  bus.

$Y_{im}$  is admittance matrix of  $i^{th}$  and  $m^{th}$  bus.

### III. COMPUTATION OF LINE FLOWS

Consider that line is connecting the buses I and m. The Real power is injected from bus I to M and is given as following.

$$[P_{im} + jQ_{im} = V_i [(V_i - V_m)Y_{im} + V_i Y_{im0}]] \tag{8}$$

Reactive power is injected from bus N to bus I as following

$$P_{mi} + jQ_{mi} = V_m [(V_m - V_i)Y_{mi} + V_i Y_{mi0}] \tag{9}$$

Where

$Y_{im}$  is the series admittance

$Y_{im0}$  is the shunt admittance

$V_i$  is the voltage at the  $i^{th}$  bus

$$S_{im} = P_{im} + jQ_{im} \tag{10}$$

$$S_{mi} = P_{mi} + jQ_{mi} \tag{11}$$

Power losses in the (I-M)  $th$  line is the sum of the power flows in the (I-M)  $th$  line from the  $i^{th}$  bus and the  $m^{th}$  bus.

$$P_{Lim} = S_{im} + S_{mi} \tag{12}$$

### IV. IMPLEMENTATION OF FUZZY DECISION MAKING TECHNIQUE FOR OPTIMIZATION

Step 1. Input parameters of system, fuel cost co-efficients and specify lower and upper boundaries and define minimum fuel cost function.

Step 2. Get the power generation for seven generating units and total fuel cost neglecting losses.

- Step 3. Input bus data and branch data and take values of real power and reactive power for 57 bus system considering constraints. Also specify voltage and phase angle.
- Step 4. Get the values of  $P_{Gi}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$ ) and fuel cost with Economic load dispatch and voltage and phase angle for 30 bus system.
- Step 5. Calculate complex power S from Y bus using voltage and phase angle obtained in step 4.
- Step 6. Substitute value of Complex power in minimizing line flow in branch 3(1-2) and get values of voltage, phase angle, fuel cost,  $P_{Gi}$  and line flow.
- Step 7. Take fuel cost obtained in step 4 and step 6 and line flow values from step 6.
- Step 8. Define linear membership function for fuel cost and line flow obtained in step 4 and step 6.
- Step 9. Apply Fuzzy decision making technique with linear membership function  $\mu$  for optimal point.
- Step 10. Get the value of membership function for line flow and cost which lies on same point.

V. CASE STUDIES AND RESULTS

Table 1. Performance parameters for IEEE 57 Bus System

Cases	Power Losses (MW)	Line Flow(MW)	Fuel cost(\$/h)
<b>With Losses</b>	<b>.28</b>	<b>53.72</b>	<b>591.29</b>
<b>With Minimization of Line Flow in Branch 3(1-2)</b>	<b>.94</b>	<b>20.24</b>	<b>1687.86</b>
<b>When Fuzzy Decision Making is Applied</b>	<b>.25</b>	<b>42.99</b>	<b>662</b>
<b>Linear Membership Function</b>		<b>.9</b>	<b>.9</b>

Table 2 Voltages for different Load Buses of different test cases

Bus No.	Voltages Economic Dispatch (Volts)	with Load	Voltages with Minimization of Line Flow (Volts)	Voltages with Fuzzy Decision Making Technique (Volts)
1	1.04		1.00	1.02
2	1.05		1.00	1.03
3	1.06		1.08	1.05
4	1.06		1.07	1.05
5	1.05		1.07	1.06
6	1.06		1.08	1.06
7	1.04		1.07	1.05
8	1.05		1.10	1.05
9	1.02		1.04	1.02
10	1.01		1.01	1.00
11	1.01		1.02	1.00
12	1.02		1.02	1.00
13	1.01		1.00	1.00
14	1.01		0.99	0.99
15	1.03		1.01	1.01
16	1.02		1.00	1.00
17	1.02		0.99	1.00
18	1.07		1.09	1.06
19	1.00		1.00	0.99
20	0.99		0.98	0.98

Table 3 Demand with different Test Cases

Test Cases	PG1 (MW)	PG2 (MW)	PG3 (MW)	PG4 (MW)	PG5 (MW)	PG6 (MW)	PG7 (MW)
<b>Without Losses</b> ( $P_d = 12.508$ MW)	2.2741	1.1379	2.2698	1.1368	2.2755	1.1379	2.268
<b>With Losses( With NR Method)</b> ( $P_d = 12.508$ )	2.2476	1.1081	2.2321	1.1575	2.3493	1.1956	2.4354
<b>With Minimization of Line Flow(With NR Method)</b> ( $P_d = 12.508$ )	0	0	8.7336	1.3047	1.1668	0.8302	1.354
<b>Fuzzy Decision Making Technique</b> ( $P_d = 12.508$ )	1.7751	1.7419	1.7746	1.7824	1.8466	1.8833	1.9515

## VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, fuzzy decision making technique have been used for solving the economic load dispatch and to minimize the line flow. Four different test cases of seven unit system are taken. The comparative simulations with and without losses, illustrate that power plants have powerful performance in total cost production and can reduce total cost in power systems. Fuzzy Decision Making technique is applied to economic power generation for seven generating units. Fuzzy Decision Making Technique was employed to solve the ELD problem for four cases of seven generating unit system without losses and with losses. The conclusion describes the capability of the proposed fuzzy decision multi-objective technique to solve the problems of economic load dispatch and line flow.

## VII. REFERENCES

- [1]. Baral KK, Sahu PC, Barisal AK, Mohanty B. Combined analysis on AGC and ELD of a hybrid power system with D-WCA designed Gaussian type-2 fuzzy controller. *Evolving Systems*. 2023 Apr;14(2):263-80.
- [2]. Symiakakis MS, Kanellos FD. Towards the detailed modeling of deregulated electricity markets comprising Smart prosumers and peer to peer energy trading. *Electric Power Systems Research*. 2023 Apr 1;217:109158.
- [3]. Ajithapriyadarsini S, Mary PM, Iruthayarajan MW. Automatic generation control of a multi-area power system with renewable energy source under deregulated environment: adaptive fuzzy logic-based differential evolution (DE) algorithm. *Soft Computing*. 2019 Nov;23:12087-101.
- [4]. Kunya AB, Abubakar AS, Yusuf SS. Review of economic dispatch in multi-area power system: State-of-the-art and future prospective. *Electric Power Systems Research*. 2023 Apr 1;217:109089.
- [5]. Pandit M, Srivastava L, Sharma M. Environmental economic dispatch in multi-area power system employing improved differential evolution with fuzzy selection. *Applied Soft Computing*. 2015 Mar 1;28:498-510.
- [6]. Alhelou HH, Golshan ME. Decision-making-based optimal generation-side secondary-reserve scheduling and optimal LFC in deregulated interconnected power system. In *Decision making applications in modern power systems 2020* Jan 1 (pp. 269-299). Academic Press.
- [7]. Magzoub MA, Alquthami T. Optimal design of automatic generation control based on simulated annealing in interconnected two-area power system using hybrid PID—fuzzy control. *energies*. 2022 Feb 19;15(4):1540.
- [8]. Zhu Z, Hu Z, Chan KW, Bu S, Zhou B, Xia S. Reinforcement learning in deregulated energy market: A comprehensive review. *Applied Energy*. 2023 Jan 1;329:120212.
- [9]. Pandiarajan K, Babulal CK. Fuzzy harmony search algorithm based optimal power flow for power system security enhancement. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2016 Jun 1;78:72-9.
- [10]. Bhagat SK, Babu NR, Saikia LC, Chiranjeevi T, Devarapalli R, García Márquez FP. A Review on Various Secondary Controllers and Optimization Techniques in Automatic Generation Control. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*. 2023 Mar 3:1-31.

- [11].Maghami MR, Mutambara AG. Challenges associated with Hybrid Energy Systems: An artificial intelligence solution. Energy Reports. 2023 Dec 1;9:924-40.
- [12].Kumar SA, Narayana MS, Gowd KJ. Application of a TID Controller for the LFC of a Multi Area System using HGS Algorithm. Engineering, Technology & Applied Science Research. 2023 Jun 2;13(3):10691-7.
- [13].Boujoudar Y, Azeroual M, Eliysaouy L, Bassine FZ, Albarakati AJ, Aljarbough A, Knyazkov A, El Moussaoui H, Lamhamdi T. Fuzzy logic-based controller of the bidirectional direct current to direct current converter in microgrid. International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE). 2023 Oct;13(5):4789-97.