

Comments from Planning Authorities received on Baseline Infrastructure (1-14-11)

MAPP

The following comments are MAPP's responses for the SSC Action to the generation and transmission listed for exclusion from the Baseline Infrastructure model.

Information for the generation facilities listed for MAPP is as follows:

MI CTYE8 – 28 MW – This facility should not have been on this exclusion list since the facility is already in-service, so, the facility should be left in the model. (Unfortunately when the generation data was submitted, the in-service date was left blank)

CULBERTS – 7.5 MW - This facility should not have been on this exclusion list since the facility is already in-service, so, the facility should be left in the model. (Unfortunately when the generation data was submitted, the in-service date was left blank)

NEXTGEN – 790 MW – This facility has been withdrawn by BEPC, so, they will not be petitioning to keep it in the model. MAPP will need to submit a model update when the NEXTGEN generation is removed to restore the transmission to pre NEXTGEN topology.

MAPP – Manitoba

The following response is from Manitoba Hydro in regard to the Manitoba Hydro generation and transmission facilities to exclude from the Baseline Infrastructure model.

Final project design is developed to bid specific format

- Engineering on project is complete
- Facility has secured state siting approval
- Merchant Generation or Transmission have either an Interconnection Agreement or a Power Purchase Agreement and siting and environmental permits
- Utility generation is designed, engineered, has siting permits and environmental permits.
- Facility has received environmental permits

I would say the Generation: Keeyask and Pointe du Bois shouldn't be included in the models. Pointe du Bois is on hold and Keeyask has been delayed to 2019/20. None of the factors above have been completed.

The HVdc bipole is further along. However, none of the factors above have been completed as of today. We will be submitting an environmental application in 2011 and will be submitting an RFP for the converters in 2012. The 2017 date is fairly certain but could be heavily influenced by the election in the fall of 2011. I would be o.k. if this line weren't in the baseline reliability models as it's not needed to meet NERC Cat. B/C disturbances for load serving or transfers.

Therefore, I won't be submitting a petition to have these facilities included.

David Jacobson, Ph.D., P.Eng. (dajacobson@hydro.mb.ca)
Section Head-Interconnections & Grid Supply Transmission
System Planning Department
Transmission Planning & Design Division
Manitoba Hydro