

Summary of Modeling WG Call November 20, 2012

Official/designated WG members in attendance: E. Hogan, B. Harris, M. Sharif, S. Gowrishankar, D. Whiteley, R. Luciani, B. Harris, H. Choueiki, W. Burns, A. Nehrozoglu, M. Volpe, S. Hadley, R. Johnson, M. Schuerger, B. Fagan, Pauley, D. Gotham, R. Sinclair, S. Gaw, B. Tsuchida, R. Kind, T. Black, F. Flygt, B. Pauley

****NOTE: To accommodate the rapid pace of the MWG meetings during Oct. and Nov. 2012, these summaries will focus on the questions answered during the meeting and next steps. Details of modeling discussed will be captured in the production cost results provided by CRA and the summary of proposed Sensitivities, which will be updated regularly on the Phase II – MWG page (eipconline.com)***

1. Sensitivities Production Cost Results: CRA
 - CRA provided an overview of all the Sensitivities results.
 - S1 and S3 High Load was set at 5% to allow the model to solve.
 - CRA conducted a more detailed congestion comparison of the high spin versus the relaxed flowgate sensitivities.
 - Under the high spin case there was significant reduction in the number of binding hours.
 - Under the flowgate case, congestion drops and about ½ of the congestion is passed downstream. The other half gets through too load and absorbed in MISO; it does not get exported to PJM.
2. MWG Draft Observations:
 - W. Burns reported that the subgroup of the MWG could not reach agreement on the observations document at the detailed level, so drafted higher level observations.
 - E.Hogan asked MWG members to send comments to group for next draft.
 - Final draft will be submitted to the SSC for their review, discussion and possibly endorsement
 - F. Flygt reminded the group that EIPC will consider the MWG's proposed language if submitted, but EIPC will decide how it should be incorporated.
3. **ACTION ITEMS:**
 - Submit comments to W.Burn, E.Hogan and R.Johnson for next draft of the Observations.
 - Next MWG webinar – Nov. 27, 1:00

-submitted by C. Morris, Keystone Center