Peptide Bond Isomerization in High-Temperature Simulations
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ABSTRACT: Force fields for molecular simulation are generally optimized to model macromolecules such as proteins at ambient temperature and pressure. Nevertheless, elevated temperatures are frequently used to enhance conformational sampling, either during system setup or as a component of an advanced sampling technique such as temperature replica exchange. Because macromolecular force fields are now put upon to simulate temperatures and time scales that greatly exceed their original design specifications, it is appropriate to re-evaluate whether these force fields are up to the task. Here, we quantify the rates of peptide bond isomerization in high-temperature simulations of three octameric peptides and a small fast-folding protein. We show that peptide octamers with and without proline residues undergo cis/trans isomerization every ~1–5 ns at 800 K with three classical atomistic force fields (AMBER99SB-ILDN, CHARMM22/CMAP, and OPLS-AA/L). On the low microsecond time scale, these force fields permit isomerization of nonprolyl peptide bonds at temperatures ≥500 K, and the CHARMM22/CMAP force field permits isomerization of prolyl peptide bonds ≥400 K. Moreover, the OPLS-AA/L force field allows chiral inversion about the Cα atom at 800 K. Finally, we show that temperature replica exchange permits cis peptide bonds developed at 540 K to subsequently migrate back to the 300 K ensemble, where cis peptide bonds are present in 2 ± 1% of the population of Trp-cage TCSb, including up to 4% of its folded state. Further work is required to assess the accuracy of cis/trans isomerization in the current generation of protein force fields.

INTRODUCTION

Proteins are primarily linear polymers in which consecutive amino acid residues are joined by a covalent bond between the backbone nitrogen atom of residue i and the backbone carbonyl carbon atom of residue i+1.12 Resonance structures with shared π electrons in the Cα–i–Nβ, bond drive coplanarity of the associated sp2 orbitals such that the peptide bond dihedral angle, ω (Cα–i–Cβ–i–Nβ–Cγ–i), adopts values near (trans) 180° or (cis) 0°.3 The apparent requirement for sp3 hybridization of the Nβ atom during isomerization4,5 and other electronic considerations6,7 lead to a cis/trans rotational barrier of 15 to 20 kcal/mol,8,9 greatly slowing peptide bond isomerization. For example, at pH 5.5 and a temperature, T, of ~298 K, the uncapped Tyr-Ala dipeptide exhibits a cis → trans isomerization rate of 0.2–0.5 s−1.8 The reverse (trans → cis) isomerization rate is 2 orders of magnitude slower, and the resulting population of the trans isomer is ~99.5%.8

This abundance of trans peptide bonds is overwhelmingly conserved across proteins of known structure because the cis conformation of the peptide bond is dramatically disfavored by its predisposition for steric clashes between the side chains of residues i-1 and i, in addition to other effects.10 However, when residue i is a proline, its unique architecture leads to relative stabilization of the cis isomer. As a result, peptide bonds in the protein data bank (PDB) are in cis conformations about 5% and 0.03% of the time for Xaa-Pro11–13 and Xaa–non-Pro13,14 pairs, respectively, where Xaa is any amino acid. For short peptides in solution at room temperature, cis isomers can attain populations of up to 30% and 1% for Xaa–Pro15 and Xaa–non-Pro16 pairs, respectively.

Despite their relative rarity, cis peptide bonds remain biologically relevant.16,17 For example, Xaa–Pro peptide bond isomerization can act as a switch in cellular regulatory pathways18–21 and the activation of ion channels.22 Furthermore, protein folding kinetics are influenced by Xaa–Pro23–25 and Xaa–non-Pro26,27 peptide bond isomerization. However, it is difficult to predict the cis population a priori because the peptide bond’s propensity for the cis isomer depends on sequence,8,15,28 increases with temperature,8,29 and in some cases decreases with peptide chain length.8

Computer simulations have been used to study specific cases where peptide bond isomerization is relevant to protein function.20,30,31 Nevertheless, peptide bond isomerization is
usually neglected in computer simulations, likely because the potential energy barrier to isomerization is so large. In this article, we assess peptide bond isomerization in computer simulations, with special focus on the enhancement of the rate at which this conformational reorganization occurs at elevated temperatures.8,29

Atomistic computer simulations probe macromolecular structure and dynamics with exceptional resolution.32–37 However, due to computational limitations, the time scales that are routinely accessible to methods such as molecular dynamics (MD) are often substantially less than those of biophysical phenomena of interest. For example, the longest biomolecular simulations reported to date access the 1 to 30 ms time scale,38,39 whereas lipid flip-flop can take hours,40 the folding of large RNA molecules can take seconds or minutes,41,42 and even two-state protein folding times can exceed a second,43 especially in the presence of rate-limiting proline isomerization.44

In some cases, this time scale deficiency can be alleviated by using an enhanced sampling technique.45,46 One such technique is called temperature replica exchange molecular dynamics (T-REMD) simulation.47 T-REMD takes advantage of the increased rate of conformational sampling at elevated temperature by employing a set of weakly coupled simulations that undergo reciprocal exchanges along a temperature ladder. In this method, individual replica simulations can migrate to high temperature, undergo relatively rapid conformational decorrelation, and subsequently migrate back to the low-temperature ensemble of interest. Because the exchange acceptance criterion maintains equilibrium sampling at each temperature, replicas sampling states with high potential energies tend to be promoted to higher temperatures whereas those replicas sampling energetically favorable states tend to migrate to lower temperatures.48

Efficient and successful T-REMD simulations must satisfy at least the following three criteria. First, in order for the simulations to provide useful models, the states that are highly populated in experiment must also be highly populated in the limit of infinite sampling, and the relative populations should be correct. That is to say the force fields sample con- figures in simulations of (A, C) and (B, D) cis peptide bond configurations in simulations of (A, C) MTKYLLLN at 500 K using the AMBER99SB-ILDN force field and (B, D) DGGPSSGR at 400 K using the CHARMM22/CMAP force field. Simulations are initiated from all-trans conformations.

Figure 1. Spontaneous peptide bond isomerization at elevated temperature. Snapshots depict representative (A, B) trans and (C, D) cis peptide bond conformations in simulations of (A, C) MTKYLLLN at 500 K using the AMBER99SB-ILDN force field and (B, D) DGGPSSGR at 400 K using the CHARMM22/CMAP force field. Simulations are initiated from all-trans conformations.

All of the evaluated force fields sample cis peptide bonds on the simulated time scale (20 independent 100 ns simulations) at T ≥ 700 K (Figure 2 and Tables S1 and S2). Furthermore, only the Doshi force field fails to sample cis peptide bonds in all three peptides at 600 K (Figure 2 and Table S3). The AMBER force field samples cis peptide bonds in all three peptides at 500 K (Figure 2 and Table S4), and the CHARMM force field samples Gly–Pro cis peptide bonds at temperatures as low as 400 K (Figure 2 and Table S5). Importantly, at T ≥ 700 K, cis peptide bonds arise at all possible locations in all force fields except that of Doshi (Figures S1–S3), which was designed to increase the potential energy barrier between cis and trans conformations of the peptide bond.61 Moreover, cis conformations of Xaa–non-Pro peptide bonds occur at temperatures as low as 600 K for all force fields and 500 K for AMBER (Figures S1–S3).

The aforementioned simulations were all conducted using an integration time step of 2 fs. To evaluate whether the formation of cis peptide bonds at elevated temperatures can be mitigated...
While the MTYKLILN and TAEKVFQK peptides sample cis peptide bonds to similar extents, the DGGPSSGR peptide exhibits approximately twice as much cis content in Xaa–non-Pro peptide bonds (Figures S4A–C and Tables S1–S6) due to an increase in the rate of $\text{trans} \rightarrow \text{cis}$ isomerization without a compensating increase in the rate of the reverse transformation (Figures S4D–I). However, this increase in Xaa–non-Pro peptide bond cis content does not correlate with Gly–Pro peptide bond isomerization (Figures S4J, K) and may instead be related to the presence of glycine residues and/or terminal charges in the DGGPSSGR peptide (Figures S1–S3).

We also note that one OPLS simulation of the TAEKVFQQ peptide at 800 K exhibits chiral inversion at the C$_\alpha$ atom of V5 at 70 ns, after which the D-form is stable until the simulation is terminated at 100 ns (Figure S5).

**T-REMD Simulations of Trp-Cage TC5b.** Since peptide bonds undergo isomerization at elevated temperature, T-REMD simulations may permit cis peptide bonds developed at high temperature to become temporarily locked-in when a replica migrates to lower temperature. To assess the potential impact of cis peptide bond formation on simulations focusing on protein folding, we conduct three T-REMD simulations of the TC5b Trp-cage miniprotein starting from an unfolded conformation using the AMBER99SB-ILDN force field. Here, we reduce the maximum temperature to 540 K in order to make our evaluation more relevant to contemporary T-REMD simulations (32 replicas spanning temperatures from 300 to 540 K).

Starting from an unfolded conformation (Figure 2), all three of our T-REMD simulations attain the folded structure (C$_\alpha$ RMSD to folded $\leq 0.22$ nm) within 15–165 ns/replica, and the folded state is sampled 65 ± 9% of the time at 300 K after 250 ns/replica (Figure 3), consistent with our previous T-REMD study of this molecule.  In addition, 40 ± 7% of the replicas visit the folded state by 250 ns/replica (Figure S7A), and beyond this time the proportion of replicas that are currently folded is 16 ± 2% (Figure S7B). Further analysis reveal that the protein’s conformational ensemble is substantially more expanded at 540 K than at 300 K (Figure S8), overall replica migration in temperature is extensive (Figure S9A), and replicas that remain at low temperatures for lengthy periods sample the folded state often but not always (Figures S9A, B).

Based on the analysis outlined above, these T-REMD simulations of Trp-cage TC5b appear to be a success. The folded state is highly populated (Figure 3), the highest temperature in the ladder is sufficient to unfold the protein (Figure S8), and the random walk carries replicas between temperature extremes (Figure S9), leading most of the replicas to adopt the folded state at some point during the simulation (Figure S7A). Nevertheless, these T-REMD simulations of Trp-
cage TC5b are pervaded by the same type of peptide bond isomerization that we identified in constant-temperature simulations of octapeptides at elevated temperatures. Specifically, 11 and seven of the peptide bonds in this 20-residue protein adopt a cis conformation at T > 362 K and T = 300 K, respectively, during at least one of the three T-REMD simulations (Figure 4).

Figure 4. Temperature dependence of cis peptide bonds in T-REMD simulations of Trp-cage TC5b. (A) Per-residue % cis peptide bond dihedral angle, δ, averaged over three T-REMD simulations, as a function of temperature. (B, C) Histograms depicting, in different colors for each of the three T-REMD simulations, the per residue % cis δ over (B) 300 K and (C) 362.633 K. Note the logarithmic ordinate in parts B and C.

All four Xaa—Pro peptide bonds (G11-P12, R16-P17, P17-P18, and P18-P19) sample cis conformations at 300 K in all three of our T-REMD simulations, with individual cis peptide bond populations ranging from 0.01 to 2.42% (Table S7). Overall, cis Xaa—Pro peptide bonds are in 2 ± 1% and 13 ± 6% of the 300 and 540 K ensembles, respectively (Tables S7 and S8), with similar quantities in the last 250 ns/replica (Figure S10A).

Of exceptional relevance to computational studies of protein folding, these cis peptide bonds are not excluded from the conformational basin that is ascribed to this protein’s folded state based on Cα RMSD to the NMR structure (Figure S). Two replicas with cis peptide bonds, both from T-REMD simulation c, visit the folded basin (Cα RMSD < 0.22 nm) at 300 K (Figure 5A). In both replicas, peptide bond isomerization occurs at 540 K, while the protein is largely unfolded (Cα RMSD ≥ 0.55 nm; Figures SB, SC). Both of these peptide bonds (G11-P12 and R16-P17) remain in the cis configuration for the remainder of the simulation (Figures SB, SC). Together, these cis isomers are present in 3.6% of the folded structures at 300 K in simulation c (3.2% for G11-P12 and 0.4% for R16-P17). This percentage is 3.3% when considering only the last 250 ns/replica (Figure S10C). The apparent compatibility of these cis peptide bonds with the folded state is highlighted in Figures 5D-5F. Figure 5G shows snapshots of the isomerization of the G11-P12 peptide bond from trans to cis and subsequent folding. There is insufficient data to quantify the statistical significance of the reorientation of the R16 side chain and the loop region around S13 that occurs in the presence of the cis R16-P17 peptide bond (Figure SD).

Surprisingly, all three T-REMD simulations sample cis peptide bonds at 300 K for at least one Xaa—non-Pro residue pair (Table S7). Specifically, two of the peptide bonds that sample cis isomers at 300 K do not involve a proline residue (L7-K8 and S14-G15; Figure 4B and Table S7), and a third does not have proline as the C-terminal residue in the pair (P19—S20; Figure 4B and Table S7). Overall, cis Xaa—non-Pro peptide bonds are present in 0.2 ± 0.3% and 6 ± 1% of the 300 and 540 K ensembles, respectively (Tables S7 and S8), with similar quantities in the last 250 ns/replica (Figure S10A). However, structures containing these cis Xaa—non-Pro peptide bonds do not contribute to the folded ensemble at 300 K, with minimum values of Cα RMSD to folded at 300 K of 0.43, 0.55, and 0.65 nm for cis L7-K8, S14-G15, and P19—S20, respectively. A Cα RMSD value ≤0.22 nm occurs in only three snapshots that contain a cis Xaa—non-Pro peptide bond: one snapshot of L7-K8 at 398.694 K and two snapshots of W6-L7 at 430.109 K, all in simulation a (a single snapshot represents a population of ∼6 × 10−7 per simulation across all temperatures and replicas). Therefore, although structures containing cis Xaa—non-Pro peptide bonds make up 0.01 to 0.63% of the population at 300 K (Table S7) and 4.27 to 7.09% of the population at 540 K (Table S8), they are almost completely excluded from the folded state ensemble at all temperatures.

Time series of peptide bond isomerization, simulation temperature, and metrics of global conformation are shown in Figure 6 for two replicas that sample Xaa—non-Pro peptide bonds. In simulation c, one replica adopts a cis L7-K8 peptide bond at time t = 365 ns, temperature T = 540 K and transiently contributes to the 300 K ensemble, spending 0.3% of its time there, before regaining the trans isomer at t = 475 ns, T = 540 K (Figure 6A). Similarly, one replica in simulation a adopts a cis S14-G15 peptide bond at t = 20 ns, T = 540 K and spends 3.1% of its time in the 300 K ensemble before regaining the trans isomer at t = 120 ns, T = 500.559 K (Figure 6B). The later replica eventually finds the folded state (in the absence of any cis peptide bonds) at t = 340 ns and thereafter spends 11.7% of its time at 300 K (Figure 6B).

The relative populations of peptide bond isomers do not converge on the 500 ns/replica time scale at either 300 K (Table S7) or 540 K (Table S8). When evaluated individually, the statistical error in the estimates of cis peptide bond populations in these simulations is generally too large to draw conclusions about equilibrium populations (Tables S7 and S8). Nevertheless, these simulations show, with a high degree of statistical significance, that cis peptide bonds exist at 300 K in T-REMD simulations of the TC5b Trp-cage when the maximum temperature is 540 K and the AMBER99SB-ILDN/TIP3P force field combination is used (Table S7).

■ DISCUSSION

We have shown that the peptide bonds of three octapeptides undergo cis/trans isomerization in MD simulations at elevated temperatures using four atomistic force fields (Figures 1, 2). The increase of the peptide bond isomerization rate and cis population with increasing temperature (Figure 2 and Tables S1–S5) is qualitatively consistent with experimental data on model peptides.8,29 Peptide bond isomerization also occurs in T-REMD simulations of the 20-residue TC5b Trp-cage miniprotein (Figure 4), where high-temperature isomerization contributes structures with cis peptide bonds to the 300 K ensemble (Figures 4B, 5, 6). Moreover, these cis peptide bonds...
are present in 3.6% of the folded state at 300 K in one of three simulations (Figure 5) and are not limited to Xaa−Pro residue pairs (Figure 6). Overall, cis peptide bonds are present in 1−3% and 0.01−0.63% of the 300 K ensemble for Xaa−Pro and Xaa−non-Pro, respectively (Table S7).

The highest temperature in our T-REMD simulations, 540 K, is sufficiently high to enable de novo folding of the TC5b Trp-cage (Figure 3 and ref 65), while also being sufficiently low so as to remain relevant to similar studies in the literature. For example, higher maximum temperatures, $T_{max}$, have been used in a variety of T-REMD and standard high-temperature simulations (Table 1).

It is not clear whether the cis peptide bonds sampled at low temperatures in our T-REMD simulations of the TC5b Trp-cage accurately represent its conformational ensemble in solution or if they are an artifact of incorrect force field parameters that is only now becoming apparent as longer simulation time scales become accessible. Rovo et al. used temperature-dependent NMR to show that, at pH 3.5, the Trp-cage TC5b folded state is in slow exchange with an unfolded population that has a cis G11-P12 peptide bond. This is the same peptide bond that adopts the cis isomer in the context of the protein’s folded state (C$_\alpha$ RMSD ≤ 0.22 nm) at 300 K in one of our T-REMD simulations (Figures 5D, 5E, 5G). However, Rovo et al. did not detect cis peptide bonds in the protein’s folded state at acidic pH or in the unfolded state at neutral pH, nor did they detect cis isomers of any other Xaa−Pro peptide bonds. Although it seems likely that force field parameters require optimization before they can capture the
Table 1. Selected Simulation Studies Employing High Temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_{\text{max}}$ (K)</th>
<th>protein</th>
<th>simulation method</th>
<th>ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>Sac7d</td>
<td>Constant T</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>559</td>
<td>TC10b Trp-cage</td>
<td>T-REMD</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>580</td>
<td>25-residue fragment of amyloid β</td>
<td>T-REMD</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>helical transmembrane peptides</td>
<td>T-REMD</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>690</td>
<td>peptides with beta and alpha character</td>
<td>T-REMD</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>Met-enkephalin pentapeptide</td>
<td>T-REMD</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>peptide derived from protein G</td>
<td>Constant T</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>rigin tetrapeptide</td>
<td>Constant T</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800–1000</td>
<td>synthetic WALP and TMX peptides</td>
<td>T-REMD</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>villin headpiece subdomain</td>
<td>Constant T</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>antimicrobial peptide indolicidin</td>
<td>Constant T</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Annuated influence of peptide bond isomerization on local and global protein conformation, cis peptide bonds may exist in solution at populations that are sufficiently small that they are not resolved by NMR. Moreover, the occurrence of cis peptide bonds increases with increasing resolution of crystallographic structures and enhanced conformational processing, suggesting that cis peptide bonds may be underrepresented in the PDB. Therefore, we cannot conclusively state that the peptide bond isomerization that we observe in the 300 K ensemble from T-REMD simulations is incorrect. Conversely, the chiral inversion that we observe in one OPLS simulation of the TAEKFPGK peptide at 800 K (Figure S5) is clearly irrelevant to protein folding at body temperature given that the process of biological racemization at 37 °C exceeds the human lifetime, indicating that OPLS simulations of ≥100 ns are incompatible with $T \geq 800$ K. Because this type of chiral inversion is bound to become accessible at lower temperatures as simulation time scales increase, we consider the potential for chiral inversion to be a general force field issue that will need to be addressed at some point in the future.

In principle, T-REMD should be able to sort out cis/trans peptide bond populations and provide reliable estimates of these populations at each sampled temperature. However, two issues arise. First, the force field must generate the correct populations, which is not always the case. Second, even if everything is correct in the limit of infinite sampling, peptide bond isomerization may still introduce a source of sampling inefficiency because the simulations may not be long enough to reach equilibrium distributions of peptide bond torsion angles. The stringency of this later requirement is highlighted by the fact that, on the 500 ns/replica time scale, our T-REMD simulations attain converged estimates of the folded state population at 300 K (Figure 3) but not the proportion of cis peptide bonds at 300 K (Table S7) or 540 K (Table S8) or in the folded state (Figure S10C). Moreover, if the highest temperature permits peptide bond isomerization, but only rarely, then replicas may occasionally develop cis peptide bonds and become temporarily trapped at elevated temperatures, possibly influencing sampling efficiency along the entire temperature ladder.

In essence, our finding is that the increased duration of accessible simulation time scales has led to an era in which peptide bond isomerization is now a source of sampling inefficiency and/or statistical error in MD simulations. Historically, the potential energy barrier to peptide bond isomerization has been sufficiently high to generally disallow conformational transitions about this dihedral angle (or it simply has not been systematically checked). In a trans-locked regime, there may be inaccuracy (e.g., when a peptide bond should really adopt the cis isomer), but there is no related issue with statistical convergence because only trans states are sampled. Conversely, future simulation time scales may be sufficiently long to equilibrate cis/trans isomerization of all peptide bonds. In the meantime, statistical sampling errors must be considered as simulation time scales are sufficiently long to permit some isomerization but remain insufficient to sample the many back-and-forth transitions required to attain converged populations. One stop-gap strategy is to use modified potentials to abolish peptide bond isomerization in cases where the protein backbone is assumed to exist in an all-trans form. To this end, the AMBER simulation software provides the makeCHIR_RST script, and the CHARMM simulation software provides flat-bottom harmonic potentials for torsions, as does the NAMD simulation software via the cispeptide plugin to VMD. To our knowledge, the GROMACS simulation software is not presently capable of disallowing cis peptide bonds without affecting fluctuations within the trans basin. Conversely, simulations aimed at equilibrating cis/trans peptide bond ratios may consider lowering the height of the potential energy barriers opposing isomerization.

We note in passing that our three T-REMD simulations, which are identical except for random variation during the runs, require 140 to 240 ns/replica to attain converged values of the proportion of the conformational ensemble that is folded at 300 K (Figure 3). This range of equilibration times may be relevant when trying to optimize T-REMD simulations.

CONCLUSION

High-temperature simulations of three octapeptides show that three commonly used atomistic protein force fields allow cis/trans isomerization of Xaa–non-Pro peptide bonds within 100 ns at $T \geq 600$ K (500 K for the AMBER99SB-ILDN force field). Furthermore, Xaa–Pro peptide bonds isomerize even more readily (400 K for the CHARMM22 force field with CMAP) and the OPLS-AA/L force field permits Cα chiral inversion at 800 K. In comparison to constant-temperature simulations, T-REMD simulations provide a new, faster pathway for peptide bond isomerization at ambient temperature through heating, isomerization, and subsequent cooling. In this way, even Xaa–non-Pro cis peptide bonds introduced at high temperatures in T-REMD simulations are integrated into the 300 K ensemble within a few hundred nanoseconds per replica.

Our AMBER99SB-ILDN T-REMD simulations indicate that cis G11-P12 and R16-P17 peptide bonds may be present in the folded state of Trp-cage TCSb, with a cumulative population of 0 to 3.6%. It remains to be shown whether these cis peptide bonds are accurately represented by the current generation of force fields and therefore whether these structural interconversions are merely an inconvenient sampling problem or whether they represent an underappreciated source of simulation error. As simulation time scales continue to increase, peptide bond isomerization and chiral inversion will become accessible at ever lower temperatures, making the treatment of these hitherto seemingly irrelevant degrees of freedom increasingly important. Moreover, the peptide bond isomerization and chiral inversions that we identify in standard high-temperature and T-REMD simulations are equally relevant to other high-
temperature methods such as simulated annealing and simulated tempering and may complicate the construction of Markov state models for protein folding.

We conclude with the following recommendations. First, all high-temperature simulations should be assessed for chiral inversion and peptide bond isomerization. Second, to avoid chiral inversion the OPLS-AA/L force field should not be used at $T > 700$ K. Third, for simulation systems that are unlikely to attain converged estimates of $cis/trans$ ratios on accessible time scales, one may choose to explicitly limit sampling to the all-trans regime by using modified potentials that specifically inhibit peptide bond isomerization. Alternatively, the chance of peptide bond isomerization can be reduced by limiting maximum temperatures to $< 400$ K, $< 500$ K, $< 600$ K, and $< 700$ K for the CHARMM22/CMAP, AMBER99SB-ILDN, Doshi, and OPLS-AA/L force fields, respectively. Nevertheless, peptide bond isomerization is real $^{8,9,11−14,16−27}$ and future work should be directed toward evaluating the consistency between estimates of $cis/trans$ populations and isomerization rates in experiment and simulation. One fruitful avenue of future research involves the evaluation of different simulation force fields for their ability to reproduce the sequence and temperature dependence of $cis$ peptide bonds in the experimental work of Scherer et al.$^{8}$

### METHODS

Molecular dynamics simulations are conducted with a single-precision compilation of versions 4.6.7 and 4.6.3 of the GROMACS simulation package $^{84}$ for constant-temperature and replica exchange simulations, respectively, as outlined below. The integration time step is 2 fs, except as noted. The nonbonded pairlist is updated every 10 steps. Water molecules are rigidified with SETTLE, $^{85}$ and bond lengths in peptides are constrained with P-LINCS $^{86}$ using sixth-order smoothing function. Dispersion corrections $^{92}$ are applied. A Fourier grid spacing of 0.12 nm. Temperature is controlled using velocity Langevin dynamics $^{90}$ with a coupling constant of 1 ps. Equilibration in the $NpT$ ensemble is achieved by isotropic coupling to a Berendsen barostat $^{90}$ at 1 bar with a coupling constant of 4 ps.

For Amber simulations, peptides are modeled by the AMBER99SB-ILDN protein force field. $^{60}$ The water model is TIP3P. $^{91}$ Lennard-Jones (LJ) interactions are evaluated using a group-based cutoff, truncating interactions at 1 nm without a smoothing function. Dispersion corrections $^{92}$ are applied. Simulations of the Amber protein force field with the peptide bond torsion potential modifications of Doshi and Hamelberg $^{61}$ are conducted similarly.

For CHARMM simulations, peptides are modeled by the CHARMM 22/27 protein force field with grid-based energy correction maps. $^{83}$ The water model is TIP3P with CHARMM modifications. $^{52}$ LJ interactions are evaluated using an atom-based cutoff and a long-range neighbor-list constructed to 1.3 nm, gradually switching off the potential energy of interactions between 0.8 and 1.2 nm. For OPLS simulations, peptides are modeled by the OPLS-AA/L protein force field. $^{64}$ The water model is TIP4P. $^{91}$ LJ interactions are evaluated using a group-based cutoff, truncating interactions at 1 nm without a smoothing function.

**Octapeptides.** We simulate three eight-residue peptides with zwitterionic termini: MTKKLILN, TAEKVFKQ, and DGGPSSGR. The first two of these sequences are based on fragments of Streptococcus sp. protein G that have been simulated by Ho and Dill. $^{93}$ Specifically, MTKKLILN represents the first 8 residues of a construct of protein G’s immunoglobulin binding domain, which is structurally characterized in PDB 2GB1. $^{90}$ The sequence of this octapeptide corresponds to protein G residues 227–234 in UniProt ID P06654, except that protein G residue 227 is glutamic acid, not methionine. We use the sequence simulated by Ho and Dill, $^{93}$ with an N-terminal methionine, because we are interested in evaluating $cis/trans$ isomerization in peptides that have already been used in simulations of T-REMD and we are not in this instance interested in the folding properties of protein G, per se. The second protein G-derived peptide that we simulate is TAEKVFKQ, representing protein G residues 251–258. Finally, we simulate an eight-residue fragment of the TCSb Trp-cage miniprotein, DGGPSSGR, selected because it contains a single proline residue.

An all-trans conformation of each peptide is generated with the Molefacture plugin to VMD 1.9.2. $^{88}$ Each peptide is embedded in a rhombic dodecahedral unit cell of volume 46.3 nm$^3$, hydrated with ~1480 water molecules, neutralized with a single Cl$^-$ ion, and energy minimized. Each peptide system is then simulated 20 times for 2 ns in the $NpT$ ensemble. In each case, the snapshot from the last 1 ns whose system volume most closely matches the average volume over the 1 ns of simulation is selected for further simulation. In each case, systems are simulated for 100 ns at 400, 500, 600, 700, or 800 K. In this way, we conduct a total of one hundred 100-ns simulations of each peptide, with 20 independent repeats at each of five temperatures.

**Trp-Cage TCSb.** The Trp-cage simulation system consists of terminally capped TCSb (acetyl-NLYIQWLKDGGPSSG-RPPPS-methylamide), a single Cl$^-$ ion, and 2487 water molecules in a rhombic dodecahedral unit cell. The initial structure is model 1 from PDB 1L2Y, $^{97}$ to which terminal caps are added with PyMOL. $^{95}$ This folded structure is hydrated, energy minimized, and simulated for 200 ps in the $NpT$ ensemble at 300 K. To generate an unfolded protein structure, the final frame from this $NpT$ simulation is simulated for 10 ns in the $NVT$ ensemble at 700 K. Although $cis$ peptide bonds occur transiently in this 10 ns simulation (P13-S14, R17-P18, and P18-P19), none are present in the structure that we use to initiate T-REMD simulations, whose peptide bonds are all within 25° of perfectly trans dihedral angles. There are no chiral inversions in this high temperature $NVT$ simulation. The protein’s starting structure for T-REMD simulation is depicted in Figure S6.


$$T_i = T_0 \times (T_{\text{max}}/T_0)^{(i−1)/(N−1)}$$

where the initial temperature of the $i^{th}$ of $N$ = 32 replicas, $T_0$ varies from $T_0 = 300$ K to $T_{\text{max}} = 540$ K, for $i$ from 1 to $N$. Replica exchanges are attempted every 0.4 ps, and snapshots are
saved every 10 ps. Each simulation is conducted for 500 ns/replica.

**Data Analysis.** Peptide bond dihedral angles, \( \omega \), between backbone \( C_{\text{bir}} \), \( C_{\alpha} \), \( N_{\alpha} \) and \( C_{\alpha} \) atoms of residues \( i-1 \) and \( i \) are computed with the GROMACS 4.6.7 analysis tool \texttt{g.chi}. The peptide bond’s \( cis \) and \( trans \) conformational basins are taken as \(-60^\circ < \omega < 60^\circ \) and \(120^\circ < \omega < -120^\circ \), respectively (with periodicity of 360°). To avoid spurious definition of peptide bond isomerization, conformations with \(60^\circ \geq \omega \geq 120^\circ \) and \(-120^\circ \geq \omega \geq -60^\circ \) are defined as \( cis \) or \( trans \) based on the previous value in the time trajectory. For example, a time series of \( \omega = 0^\circ, 90^\circ, 180^\circ, 90^\circ \) is defined as \( cis, cis, trans, trans \).

For constant-temperature simulations, data from all three peptide sequences are grouped together such that, for each combination of force field and temperature, \( B \) peptide bonds are sampled in \( R \) repeat simulations (\( B = 20 \) for Xaa–non-Pro and \( B = 1 \) for Xaa–Pro; \( R = 20 \) for all cases). The average frequency for \( trans \rightarrow cis \) isomerization of \( \omega_{f^{\text{cis}}_{\text{trans}}} \) is computed according to

\[
\frac{f^{\text{cis}}_{\text{trans}}}{f^{\text{trans}}_{\text{cis}}} = \frac{\sum_{b=1}^{B} \sum_{r=1}^{R} N(\omega_{trans,cis}^{br})}{\sum_{b=1}^{B} \sum_{r=1}^{R} N(\omega_{cis,trans}^{br})}
\]

where \( N(\omega_{trans,cis}^{br}) \) and \( N(\omega_{cis,trans}^{br}) \) are the number of \( trans \rightarrow cis \) isomerization events and the number of snapshots in which the peptide bond is defined as \( trans \), respectively, for the \( b \)-th peptide bond in the \( r \)-th repeat simulation and \( N(\omega_{cis,trans}^{br}) \) is the value of \( N(\omega_{cis,trans}^{br}) \) excluding the final frame of the trajectory, which cannot contribute to the numerator. Bootstrap resampling is used to estimate the error in \( f^{\text{cis}}_{\text{trans}} \) by resampling the trajectories, with replacement, to obtain a value of \( f^{\text{cis}}_{\text{trans}} \) analogously to eq 2 except that each paired value of \( b, r \) is replaced by a randomly selected pair of \( b^*, r^* \) whose values are the same in the numerator and the denominator. The estimated error is then the standard deviation among 10,000 evaluations of \( f^{\text{cis}}_{\text{trans}} \) conducted with different random seeds. The numerator and denominator are summed separately to avoid introducing large error estimates when some trajectories exhibit rapid, stable isomerization and hence small values of \( N(\omega_{cis,trans}^{br}) \). The average frequency for \( cis \rightarrow trans \) isomerization of \( \omega_{cis,trans}^{br} \), is computed analogously to eq 2, and the \( cis \) peptide bond percentage, \( \%_{cis} \), is computed according to

\[
\%_{cis} = \frac{\sum_{b=1}^{B} \sum_{r=1}^{R} N(\omega_{cis}^{br})}{\sum_{b=1}^{B} \sum_{r=1}^{R} N(\omega_{cis}^{br}) + N(\omega_{trans}^{br})} \times 100\%
\]

Chiral inversion is assessed with the chirality plugin to VMD 1.9.2, and chirality is quantified for Figure S5 with the GROMACS 4.6.7 analysis tool \texttt{g.angle}.

The folded state of the TC5b Trp-cage miniprotein is defined based on an NMR structure (PDB 1L2Y model 1). In simulations, the folded basin is defined as \( C_{\alpha} \) RMSD to folded \( \leq 0.22 \) nm, as in our previous work with Trp-cage proteins.
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