

**2018 Volusia Flagler(FL 504 CoC) Scoring Sheet
RENEWALS**

THRESHOLD CRITERIA		YES	NO
	The Application proposed serves eligible project type?	x	
	The Appropriate documentation of match and Leverage has been included	x	
	The Standard Forms (SF 424) have been updated in esnaps	x	
	The Proposed budget is consistent with the GIW	x	
	The applicant has passed the annual CoC monitoring by the Peer review Committee	x	
	If applicant has not passed the CoC annual monitoring, was there a corrective action plan completed	x	

	Budget / Narrative	Max Points: 10	Max POINTS	SCORE
1	Program Budget is accurate and realistic		4	4
	Administrative costs do not exceed 7% of requested funding		1	1
	LEVERAGE: 100% OR HIGHER		3	3
	50-99%		2	
	LESS THAN 50%		1	
	Match Documentation included/25%match required		2	2
	TOTAL BUDGET			

	Project Narrative	Max Points: 32	MAX POINTS	SCORE
2.A	Priority Population AND numbers Served			
	100% chronically Homeless individuals : (PSH)		15	15
	Literally Homeless Households: (RRH)		13	
	Unaccompanied Youth			
	Victims of Domestic violence			
	Veterans			
B.	Q.2B Coordinated Entry is being scored in performance scoring for renewals			

C.	How your agency interfaces and coordinates with other community resources: Workforce, DCF, corrections, mental health, substance abuse, foster care, child care, Domestic Violence in order prevent homelessness and assist clients to gain self - sufficiency		4	4
D.	Best Practices: specific to HOUSING FIRST MODEL	10		
i	Accepts all referrals directly from Coordinated Entry:		1	1
ii	Does not discriminate based on race, color, religion, national origin, disability, sex, sexual orientation, or domestic violence		1	1
iii	Program provides equal access for transgender people		1	1
iv	Applicants are not rejected based on criminal background (unless prohibited by terms of grant funding)		1	1
v	Tenant selection promotes acceptance regardless of sobriety, use of substances, Treatment completion		1	1
vi	INCOME IS NOT a condition of admission		1	1
vii	applicants are not rejected based on poor credit history or rental history		1	1
viii	Use of alcohol or drugs of itself is not a condition for eviction		1	1
ix	tenants should not be evicted based on their participation/lack of participation or ability/inability to follow through with services of the service plan		1	1
x	Supportive services are informed by a HARM REDUCTION philosophy, whereby substance, mental health and other issues are addressed in a non-judgmental, non-confrontational method promoting tenant engagement.		1	1
upload	Please upload monitoring letters/reports for any HUD or non-HUD funded Housing related projects covering the last 3 years along with the agencies policies and procedures that support the implementation of the Housing First Model as agreed to above			

E.	Please describe what evidenced based / proven/ promising practices your program incorporates in concert with the Housing First Model—justify evidenced based and/or proven practices		3	3
TOTAL PROJECT NARRATIVE				

Renewal application Questions		Max		Max POINTS	SCORE
		Points: 5			
3	Spent down all program funds			1	1
3a.	ELOCCS - Draw down at least quarterly			1	1
	Participation in the HUD Point in Time Count			1	1
	Commission on Homelessness(CoC) Board Representation			1	1
	Commission committee/subcommittee representation			1	1
TOTAL ADMIN					
				Max POINTS	SCORE
4a.	Applicant has demopnstrated /implemented security practices and procedures.			2	2
4b.	Does the applicant enter into HMIS Database for OTHER NON- HUD FUNDED PROGRAMS			2	2
TOTAL HMIS					

		Max Points: 6		Max POINTS	SCORE
4c.	Personally Identifying Information			1	1
4d.	Universal data Elements			2	2
4e.	Income and Housing Data Quality			1	1
4f.	Data completeness Report Card;	Grade: A		2	2
		Grade: B		1	
		Grade's below that of B		0	
TOTAL HMIS					

PROGRAM PERFORMANCE			Max POINTS	SCORE
5	Max Points: 43 (scored by Applications Committee subcommittee)			
1	UTILIZATION RATE: Average percent bed utilization rate (last Wednesday in January, April, July, and October)			
	90% or greater		4	4
	80 to 89 %		3	
	70 to 79%		1	
	59% and below		0	
2	Percent of interim/annual updates completed per funding type (RRH/PSH)			
	100%		4	4
	90 to 99%		3	
	80 to 89%		2	
	70 to 79%		1	
	69% and below		0	
3	TOTAL INCOME : percent of persons over 18 who increased their TOTAL INCOME for the reporting period			
	80% or greater		4	4
	60 to 79 %		3	
	40 to 69%		2	
	39% and below		0	
4	EARNED INCOME: percent of individuals 18 or older who increased their EARNED income for the reporting period			
	54% or greater		4	4
	40 to 53 %		3	
	20 to 39%		2	
	10 -19%		1	
	below		0	
5	NON CASH BENEFITS: Percent of individuals linked to at least one source of non-cash benefits for the reporting period			
	100%		4	4
	90 to 99%		3	
	80 to 89%		2	
	70 to 79%		1	
	69% and below		0	

6	Housing Stability: Percent who maintained or exited into permanent housing for the reporting period			
	90% or greater		4	4
	80 to 89 %		3	
	70 to 79%		2	
	60 to 69%		1	
	59% and below		0	
7	Return to homelessness: percentage of households who exited permanent housing for the reporting period that returned to homelessness			
	none		4	4
	1 to 5%		3	
	5-10%		2	
	more than 10%		0	
	59% and below		0	
8	Residence prior to entry: percent of households who entered the program for the reporting period that met criteria for CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS as reported in HMIS			
	100%		4	4
	90 to 99%		3	
	80 to 89%		2	
	70 to 79%		1	
	69% and below		0	
9	Submitted most recent APR on time:			
	yes		4	4
	no		0	
10	Percent of households who entered the program for the reporting period that came through COORDINATED ENTRY.			
	90-100%		7	7
	80 to 89 %		4	
	70 to 79%		1	
	69% or below		0	
	TOTAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE			

GRAND TOTAL