Head Coach (Yr) Luke Fickell (4) Offensive Coord. Mike Denbrock (4) Defensive Coord. Marcus Freeman (4) Conference/Div American

# **2020 Cincinnati Football Pr**

Team Profile	#	Rk
2020 Team Power Rating	73.5	39
Power Ratings Diff vs Last Year	-1.0	77
2020 Strength of Schedule	68.0	70
2020 Season Win Projection	8.1	28
Returning Starters (OFF/DEF)	14 (6/8)	45
Return Starting QB (YES/NO)	YES	
Returning Production %	73%	31
Returning Offense Production	69%	53
Returning Defense Production	76%	33
2020 Recruiting (Signees)	22	40
2020 Roster Talent Rank	_	64

# 2020 Offense/Defense Analysis

Luke Fickell is doing a great job here with back-to-back 11-win seasons and the Bearcats also just signed the best Group of 5 recruiting class. With that being said, we thought the Bearcats were extremely fortunate last season to win 11 games. They beat UCF, Houston, Tulsa, ECU, USF and Temple despite being out-gained in all those games by an average of 101 ypg! They were 4-1 in one-score games and were just a couple plays away from a 4 or 5-loss season

This year's team will be just as good. Desmond Ridder is back at QB after throwing for 2,164 yards (18-9 ratio) while also adding 650 rushing yards. Ridthrowing for 2,164 yards (18-9 ratio) while also adding 650 rushing yards. Ridder dealt with a shoulder injury last season and should put up improved passing numbers. Leading rusher Michael Warren departs after running for 1,265 yards (14 TD's) last year as does TE Josiah Deguara (7 TD's, 3rd round pick). Gerrid Doaks (526 yards) and Alabama transfer Jerome Ford will replace Warren and leading receiver Alec Pierce is back after averaging 17.6 ypc. Keep an eye on Michigan transfer James Hudson on the OL. The defense loses a pair of All-AAC LB's in Bryan Wright and Perry Young who combined for 181 tackles and 22 TFL's. Cincinnati will have one of the better secondaries in the Group of 5 led by safeties Darrick Forrest (106 tackles) and Ja'Von Hicks (5 INT's) along with CB Ahmad Gardner (3 INT's). All three are All-AAC caliber and they also get back star safety James Wiggins who sat out last year due to injury. The schedule could see Cincy favored is as many as 10 games this fall with

The schedule could see Cincy favored is as many as 10 games this fall with their two toughest games coming at Nebraska and UCF. We do project five of their favorite roles to be in the single-digits and we're not sure if they'll be as fortunate in one-score games. Cincinnati won't match last year's 11 wins.

# 2020 Schedule with BP Projected Lines

<b>Date</b>	Opponent	Line	Win %	Line	Total	Score	W/L	J\O
9/3	Austin Peay	-19.4	92%					
9/11	W. Michigan							
9/19	at Miami (OH)	-10.8	76%					
9/26	at Nebraska	6.2	34%					
10/3	USF	-13.7	83%					
10/10								
10/17	at Tulsa	-7.0	68%					
10/24	at SMU	-3.0	61%					
10/31	Memphis	-1.7	55%					
11/7	Houston	-8.4	71%					
11/12	E. Carolina	-16.9	90%					
11/21	at UCF	11.4	24%					
11/28	at Temple		69%					
	Projected W	ins	8.08					

## Last 5 Vear Records

LUIZ	JL U	IU		IUU	วบบเ นอ				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total			
Straight Up	7-6	4-8	4-8	11-3	11-3	37-27			
Home	5-1	3-4	2-4	6-0	6-0	22-9			
Away	2-4	1-4	2-4	4-2	4-3	13-17			
Neutral	0-1	0-0	0-0	1-0	1-0	2-1			
Conference	4-4	1-7	2-6	6-2	7-2	20-21			
Non-Conf	3-2	3-1	2-2	5-0	4-1	17-6			
ATS	6-7	3-9	4-6-1	7-6	9-5	29-33-			
Home Fav	2-3	1-2	0-3	4-2	3-2	10-12			
Home Dog	1-0	0-4	1-1	0-0	1-0	3-5			
Away Fav	1-2	1-1	0-1	1-1	2-2	5-7			
Away Dog	2-1	1-2	3-1-1	2-2	2-1	10-7-1			
Conference	5-3	2-6	2-4-1	4-4	5-4	18-21-			
Non-Conf	1-4	1-3	2-2	3-2	4-1	11-12			
O/II	6-7	1-10-1	4-7-1	6-7	3-11	20-42-			

### ATS Stat The UNDER has gone 42-16-2 (72%) in Cincinnati's last 60 games, the best mark of any team in the country during that span! Fnd of Season

Po	wer Rating 2015-19
105	
95 -	
85 -	
75 -	
65 -	
55 -	$\overline{}$
45 -	
35 -	

2015 2016 2017 2018 2019

_				
Rushing	Cincy	Rk	Opp	Rk
YPC	4.7	46	3.6	28
YPG	208	25	138.6	44
Passing	Cincy	Rk	Opp	Rk
Comp %	55.2%	106	51.5%	5
YPA	6.8	99	6.9	40
YPG	182.3	107	222.8	60
TD-INT	19-11		17-16	
Total	Cincy	Rk	Opp	Rk
YPP	5.5	87	5.1	31
YPG	390.3	80	361.4	40
Scoring	Cincy	Rk	Opp	Rk
PPG	29.6	60	20.6	24
3rd Down	Cincy	Rk	Opp	Rk
%	43.4%	30	38.2%	55
Red Zone		Rk	Opp	Rk
TD %	66.2%	42	43.1%	6
Scoring %	83.1%	69	72.5%	12
KO Ret	Cincy	Rk	Opp	Rk
Avg	21.9	43	20.4	60
Punt Ret		Rk	Opp	Rk
Avg	8.3	56	4.9	24
Sacks	By	Rk	Vs	Rk
#	31	46	35	108
TFL's	By	Rk	Vs	Rk
#	96	22	89	111
Net Punt	Cincy	Rk		
Avg	40.9	20		
4th Down	Off	Rk	<b>Follow</b> I	
Att P/Gm	1.2	99	on Twi	
Turnovers		Rk @	BradPo	wers
Margin	6	2.7		

Rk Penalties Per Game 8.8 12.7 Scoring Quarter-By-Quarter 10 20 30 40 OT Total 109 103 106 0 415 78 59 80 0 288 Cincy

71

### Opp 19 Stat Marnine

	ut mui	31110
Margins	+/_	Rk
Rush YPC	+1.1	24
Pass YPA	-0.1	74
YPP	+0.4	56
YPG	+28.9	60
Scoring	+9.0	30

# **2019 Individual Stats**

LUIU IIIUIY	LUL		ULI	ıw
l Bold = F	etur	ning		
Passing	Att	Yds	%	Ratio
Desmond Ridder	·325	2164	55.1	18-9
Ben Bryant Rushing Michael Warren	51	388	56.9	1-2
Rushing	Att	Yds	YPC	TD
Michael Warren	261	1265	4.8	14
Desmond Ridder	144	650	4.5	5
Gerrid Doaks	100	526	5.3	5
Receiving Alec Pierce	Rec	Yds	YPC	TD
Alec Pierce	37	652	17.6	2
Josiah Deguara	39	504	12.9	7
Rashad Medaris	25	354	14.2	2
Defense		Sks		Int
Darrick Forrest		0		
Bryan Wright	100	3.5		
Perry Young	81	1		
Jarell White	66	1		
Coby Bryant	54	0	2.5	
Ia'Von Hicks	50	n	0.5	5
Kicking	FG	L	G X	P
Kicking Sam Crosa Punting	14-1	19 4	4 5	1-51
Punting	Avg	; I20	50+	BLK
James Smith	42.4	1 27	10	0

		,		o mooup
Teams	Open	Close	Score	ATS
UCLA	54.5	56	14	Under: 18
Cincinnati	-4.5	-2.5	24	Cover: 7.5
-Cincy had 23-12	first down	and 417-	218 yard	edges.
Cincinnati	55	52	0	Under: 10
Ohio State	-16.5	-16	42	Cover: 26
-The Buckeyes de	estroyed C	incy with	31-13 fi	rst down and 508
273 yard edges in	cluding 27	0-107 on	the groun	ıd.
-"We got our abs	solute butt	ts whinne	d," said	Fickell, the third

year Bearcats coach who played and coached for years at Ohio State. "They just ruined any sentimental thought you had," he said. "I'm disappointed we couldn't give them a real game."

Miami (OH) 49.5 49.5 13 Under: 1.5 Cincinnati -16.5 -17 35 Cover: 5 -Cincinnati had 23-14 first down and 420-207 yard edges includ-

ing 234-64 on the ground.

-Miami, Oh actually led the game 10-0 after the first quarter but

Cincy dominated from that point forward.

-It was the Bearcats' 14th straight win over the RedHawks, whose campus is roughly 30 miles from Cincinnati.

Cincinnati Marshall -2.5 -4 52 46 47.5 14 -Cincy had 29-14 first down and 525-256 yard edges and led 45-0

-Cincy had 29-14 first down and 525-256 yard edges and led 45-0 entering the 4Q.
-Cincinnati coach Luke Fickell said it was "probably the complete game we've been looking for. We've been in a little bit of a rut. We were 2-1, but it just didn't feel the same, the energy. And then after tonight you walk in that locker room, there's a different energy."

tonight you walk in that locker froom, there is a different energy. Central Florida -3 -3.5 24 Under: 11.5 Cincinnati 60 62.5 27 Cover: 6.5 Misleading Final: UCF had 28-18 first down and 423-341 yard edges but were -3 in TO's.

-UCF true frosh QB Dillon Gabriel had 4 crucial TO's. He threw an interception on 2nd&Goal at the Cincy 9-yard line in the 1Q, thumbled at his own 19-yard line in the set up Cincy for a TD in the 2Q. He also threw a "Pick 6" in the 3Q and threw another interception at the Cincy 11-yard line in the 4Q.

-The Bearcats had lost their past 13 games against ranked teams since 2009.

Cincinnati -5 -8.5 38 Cover: 6.5 Houston 55 51 23 Over: 10 Misleading Final: Houston had a 424-394 yard edge including Cincinnati 190-131 on the ground but were -4 in TO's.
-Houston played 3 different QB's but they only combined to go 10 of 30 for 234 yards with a 3-4 ratio.

Tulsa 50.5 47.5 13 Cover: 5
Cincinnati -13 -16 24 Under: 10.5

Misleading Final: Tulsa had 23-18 first down and 377-317 yard edges but also had 5 TO's.
-Cincinnati RB Michael Warren limped off for a series in the first

half and had to be helped off in the third quarter, putting little weight on his left leg. He finished with 35 yards on 13 carries.

Cincinnati -20.5 -24 46 Over: 41 East Carolina 49.5 48 43 Cover: 21 Misleading Final: ECU had 35-19 first down and 638-462 yard edges. Cincy did have a 301-103 rushing yard edge. -Cincy got a 32-yard FG on the final play for the win

Connecticut 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5 Cincinnati -34.5 -34.5 48 Cover: 10.5 -Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges including 307-148 on the ground. -Cincy led 38-0 at halftime.

Cincinnati -9.5 -13.5 20 Under: 9.5 South Florida 49 46.5 17 Cover: 10.5 **Misleading Final:** USF had 18-13 first down and 438-278 yard edges. USF missed 4 FG's.

Temple 46.0 44.5 13 15 Cincinnati -9.5 -9.5 15 Under: 16.5

Misleading Final: Temple had 20-14 first down and 310-210 yard edges. Temple missed a field goal attempt and was stopped on fourth-and-1 from the Cincinnati 18-yard line in the second half.

Fourth-and-1 from the Cincinnati 18-yard line in the second half. Cincinnati 57.5 59 24 Cover: 3.5 Memphis -12 -13.5 34 Under: 1 -18.5 36 Under: 1 -19.5 36

58.5 58.5 24 -10 -9 29 Cincinnati Cover: 4 Memphis -10 -9 29 Under: 5.5 -Memphis had a 25-21 first down edge but Cincy a 454-447 yard edge. Memphis got a TD with 1:14 left.

Boston College 55.5 54 6 Cincinnati -6.5 -7.5 38 Under: 10 Cover: 24 5 

2015 (SU: 7-6, AT	<b>S: 6-7, 0/U: 6-</b> 7	n 20	)16 (SU: 4-8, ATS	: <b>3-9</b> , <b>0/U</b> : 1	-10-1)	2017 (SU: 4-8, AT	S: 4-6-1, 0/U: 4-7)	20	<b>18 (SU: 11-2, A</b> 1	rs: 7-6, 0/U: 6-	7)	2019 (SU: 11-3, AT	<b>S: 9-5, 0/U: 3-11</b> ]	<u> </u>
Date Opponent	Line Score W/	LO/U Date	Opponent	Line Score	W/LO/U	Date Opponent	Line Score W/LO/	U Date C	Opponent	Line Score W/I	LO/U	Date Opponent	Line Score W/LC	
9/5 Alabama A&M	-45' 52-10 L	059 9/3	UT-Martin	-37' 28-7	L u71'	8/31 Austin Peay	-42' 26-14 L u5	8'9/1 a	it UCLA	+14°26-17 W	u63'	8/29 UCLA	-2' 24-14 W U	
9/12 Temple	-6' 26-34 L	055 9/10	at Purdue			9/9 at Michigan	+33 14-36 W u5	0'9/8 a	at Miami, Oh	+1' 21-0 W	u47	9/7 at Ohio St	+16 0-42 L u -17 35-13 W u	452,
9/19 at Miami ()h	-20' 37-33 1	060 6/17	TT (			9/16 at Miami, Oh	+4 21-17 W n4	8, 9/15 A	Alabama A&M	-43' 63-7 W	o51	9/7 at Ohio St 9/14 Miami, OH 9/21	-1/ 33-13 W U	149
						0/22 of Morry	110 22 42 D of	つり/22 (	Ohio	-7' 34-30 L	053	9/28 at Marshall	-4 52-14 W c	047
10/1 Miami, FL	+7 34-23 W	u70 10/1	IICE		T 65	0/20 3 4 1 11				-17 49-7 W	u62	10/4 UCF	+3' 27-24 W	u62°
10/10		10/1	USI		L p03	9/30 Marshall 10/7 UCF 10/14 at South Florida	-3 21-36 L 03	5 10/6 T	Tulane	-7 37-21 W		10/12 at Houston	-8' 38-23 W c	051
10/16 at BYU	+5' 24-38 L	u68 10/8	at Connecticut	-3 9-20	L u48	10/7 UCF	+10 23-31 NA 33	10/13				10/19 Tulsa	-16 24-13 L u	u47'
10/24 Connecticut	-12 37-13 W	u57 <sup>10/15</sup>	at Connecticut			10/14 at South Florida	a +24 3-33 L u6	4 10/20 a	nt Temple-ot	+3 17-24 L	u47°	10/26		
10/31 LICE	-26' 52-7 W	1161  10/22	2 East Carolina		w uos	10/21 SWIU-01	+6' 28-31 W u6	6 10/27 a					-24, 46-43 L, c	048
11/7 at Houston	+8' 30-33 W	u70 10/29	at Temple	+7' 13-34	L u53'	10/28		111/3 N	Vavv	-12' 42-0 W	m48'	11/9 Connecticut	-34 40-3 W U	uss
11/14 Tulsa	-21 49-38 L	076,11/5	BYU	+7' 3-20	L u54'	11/4 at Tulane	+6 17-16 W u5	4 11/10 T	ISF	-14' 35-23 L	053	11/16 at USF 11/23 Temple	-13' 20-17 L u	
	-2 27-65 L			+11 3-24	L u52	11/10 Temple	+2' 24-35 L o4	8 11/17 a		+7' 13-38 I.	1160'	11/29 at Memphis	+13'24-34 W	
11/28 at East Carolina						11/18 at East Carolina	a -3' 20-48 L of	7 111/23 F	Fast Carolina	-17 56-6 W	050	12/7 at Memphis	+9 24-29 W	
12/24 † San Diego St						11/25 Connecticut	-5' 22-21 L u5		Virginia Tech	-5' 35-31 L	053	1/2 † Boston Colleg		