



Kingston Standardized

Behavioural

Assessment

# LONG TERM CARE Form KSBA<sub>LTC</sub>

## MANUAL (Administration & Interpretation)

The Kingston Scales and Manuals can be freely downloaded from: www.kingstonscales.org

© Copyright 2005, 2023 R.W. Hopkins, L.A. Kilik

## INDEX

Purpose	3
Updated Analysis Form	4
Long Term Care Form	4
Neuropsychiatric vs. Neuropsychological Behaviours	6
Rater Section	7
Repeated Measurements	7
Behaviour Analysis	9
Total Score Analysis	9
Behaviour Profile	11
Statistics and Percentiles	14
Research	16
Examples	16
Glossary	23
The Kingston Scales	27
References	28

## PURPOSE

The Kingston Standardized Behavioural Assessment (KSBA) provides a powerful behavioural analysis that is normally only available to behaviourally trained clinicians (e.g. psychologists, psychometrists, etc.). Since both the introduction of home support services, and the decision to place someone in long term care, as well as caregiver stress, are almost always triggered by behavioural, rather than cognitive issues, a behavioural analysis of the individual is of great importance and not something that can be gained easily from other sources. For individuals already residing in Long-Term Care facilities or other similar settings, the KSBA<sub>LTC</sub> allows staff to assess and monitor the behavioural status of an individual and request further professional assessments or consultations.

Since progressive major neurocognitive disorders (dementias) such as Alzheimer's disease are characterized by behavioural as well as cognitive disturbances, the **Kingston Standardized Behavioural Assessment (KSBA)** was designed to complement cognitive assessment tools such as the **Kingston Standardized Cognitive Assessment - Revised +DRIVE Score (KSCAr<sup>+Drive</sup>)** or the **mini-Kingston Standardized Cognitive Assessment - Revised (mini-KSCAr**) by providing a measure of the behavioural impairment affecting such individuals. (See page 27 of this manual for references.)

There are two versions of the KSBA, the **KSBA**<sub>comm</sub> for use with community dwelling individuals, and the **KSBA**<sub>LTC</sub> (long term care form) for use in nursing homes, chronic hospitals, or other long term care facilities. **If you are using the community form, see the KSBA Community Manual** (available at our website www.kingstonscales.org). The examples and data in this manual refer to the KSBAlong term care form. The KSBA<sub>LTC</sub> is also available in French, thanks to translations by Dr. Véronique Parent, and in Chinese. (Translations into other languages are available for the KSBA and other Kingston scales at our website www.kingstonscales.org.

While the KSBA was originally designed to measure behaviours related to Major Neurocognitive Disorders such as Alzheimer's Disease, we have found the KSBA effective in detecting behaviour change in depression (see Hopkins, RW, David, MM, Kilik, LA. (2014)). From our clinical experience with other disorders, we suspect that the **KSBA would be effective in detecting behaviour change in a wide variety of neurological conditions**.

Another use of the  $KSBA_{LTC}$  may be in looking at cognitive load for a hospital or LTC unit, as a whole, to assist in making staffing decisions.



## UPDATED ANALYSIS FORM

It should be noted that the Analysis page which is page 3 of the 4 page KSBA form, has been redesigned, providing an **expanded analysis of the "neuropsychiatric" and** "**neuropsychological**" behaviours (see below, page 6). **The original scale was not in anyway altered**. This was first introduced in Aug of 2021; earlier forms have the original format. The version date may be found at the very bottom right hand corner of the KSBA<sub>LTC</sub> form (last page). Latest versions can be downloaded free of charge from www.kingstonscales.org (or www.kingstonscales.ca).

## THE LONG TERM CARE FORM - KSBALTC

As behavioural problems often significantly increase the amount of nursing care that an individual requires, a measure of behavioural disturbance is a very useful type of information for long term care, or other nursing care facilities. The LTC form differs from the community form in that 25 new items have been added, while 19 items were removed from the original scale, yielding a total of 74 items for the KSBA<sub>LTC</sub>. The removed items were ones that were unlikely to be relevant to a person in a long term care facility (e.g. "Shows poor judgement about driving"; "Is unable to perform usual household tasks"). The new items are ones that are much more likely to be seen in long term care residents (e.g. "Resistant to bathing"; "Repeatedly rearranges furniture"). The KSBA<sub>LTC</sub> is therefore better able to capture the essence of the behavioural disturbances seen in long term care patients. Below is a list of items that were removed or added to the community form to create the long term care form.

When administrating and interpreting of the KSBA<sub>(LTC)</sub>, as with the KSBA<sub>(comm)</sub>, the person who knows the patient best is the rater - in this case, it is a staff member (or members) instead of a family member.

Prior to the creation of the KSBA<sub>(LTC)</sub>, the KSBA<sub>(comm)</sub> was sometimes used in long term care facilities, therefore an "INST" column was added to the Analysis page of the original KSBA<sub>(comm)</sub> to aid in in the interpretation of data from individuals living in LTC facilities (i.e. institutional). It should be noted that using the "INST" (short for "institution") scale on the old Community form is not the equivalent of using the KSBA<sub>LTC</sub>. The KSBA<sub>(comm)</sub> and the KSBA<sub>LTC</sub> are two separate (although very closely related) scales; each designed for slightly different purposes. In general, when one is assessing an individual who lives in the community, the KSBA<sub>LTC</sub> should be used; but when assessing an individual who has just recently been admitted to a long term care facility or retirement home, from the community, the community form would best apply at first.

## ITEMS REMOVED FROM AND ADDED TO THE $\mathsf{KSBA}_{(\mathsf{comm})}$ TO CREATE THE $\mathsf{KSBA}_{\mathsf{LTC}}$

Item #	Items REMOVED from the KSBA <sub>(comm)</sub>	Item #	NEW Items ADDED to the KSBA <sub>LTC</sub>
2	Reduced personal hygiene	2	Resistant to bathing.
3	If left on his/her own, doesn't eat properly.	3	Refuses to leave own room.
4	Unsafe in daily activities, if left unsupervised.	5	Does not like being touched.
6	Unable to handle personal finances.	6	Combines foods not usually eaten
7	Is unable to perform usual household tasks.	7	Refuses to eat.
8	Gets confused in places other than home.	8	Drools on self, clothing.
10	Trouble appreciating subtleties in conversations	10	Eats other's food at meal time.
20	Has difficulty organizing his/her time	18	Smears faeces.
21	Forgets activities, conversations of only a	21	Easily distracted by surrounding noises.
22	Forgets important everyday information.	22	Places things in inappropriate places.
39	Shows poor judgement in social situations.	27	Expresses suicidal feelings, threatens
40	Shows poor judgement about driving.	30	Throws things at, or pinches others.
41	Shows uncharacteristic change in his or her	36	Sees or hears things that are not there.
42	Poor choices in dressing.	37	Talks to pictures or mirrors.
44	Shows less self control than usual.	43	Seeks constant attention.
59	Has trouble dressing, especially with buttons	44	Eats non-food items.
61	Reads far less frequently than previously.	45	Grabs others nearby.
63	Does not watch or follow television.	46	Shows increased sexual drive, interest.
68	Does not produce meaningful speech.	48	Accident prone, gets hurt a lot.
		50	Invades personal space.
		53	Talks about same topic over and over
		55	Clapping/noise making.
		59	Repeatedly rearranges furniture.
		60	Bangs head deliberately.
		74	Speaks in meaningless phrases, or

## **NEUROPSYCHIATRIC VS. NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL BEHAVIOURS**

Traditional behaviour scales used for Major Neurocognitive Disorders have practically all concentrated on what has become known as the "behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia" (or BPSD), or often referred to as "neuropsychiatric" behaviours. These behaviours are ones that typically encompass behaviours related to emotions, aggression, and psychotic disorders (such as paranoia), problems with judgement, or delusions and hallucinations/misperceptions.

Factor analysis has shown that the KSBA items fall into two groups, or factors. The first factor included the domains of Emotional, Aggressive, and Paranoid behaviour, Misperceptions, Judgement, Perseveration, and Motor Restlessness. These represent the traditional BPSD or "neuropsychiatric" behaviours. The second factor included Daily Activities, Attention/ Concentration/Memory, Sleep, Motor/Spatial and Language difficulties. We have termed this constellation of nontraditional, more functionally and environmentally based behaviours as the "neuropsychological" factor. Therefore, **the KSBA provides a broader and more realistic portrait of dementia than other scales,** by providing a measure of both "neuropsychiatric" (NPT) and "neuropsychological" (NPL) behaviours.

It should be noted that while **NPT symptoms can often be treated by pharmacologic** means, **NPL behaviours** are usually resistant, or only partly responsive to, traditional psychiatric medications and need to be treated with **behavioural or environmental manipulations**.

## RATER SECTION

The rater is usually a staff member(s), who knows the patient on a day-to-day basis best. In some cases, assessment may have to be a collaborative effort among several staff members.

The KSBA<sub>LTC</sub> form consists of two parts, the rater section (first 2 pages), which is a list of 74 commonly observed dementia related behaviours. **The behaviours are described in plain English with an attempt to avoid jargon that might be unfamiliar or confusing**. Beside each behaviour is a checkoff box for the rater to place a checkmark, if the behaviour applies. One should check off only those behaviours that represent a CHANGE from what has been typical behaviour across adulthood. The behaviours are broken into groups that consist of related behaviours. These groups are referred to as "domains". It should noted the neuropsychiatric domains are slightly shaded, both in the rater section and analysis pages.

The last 2 pages are for behaviour analysis. **Page 3, the Analysis page, allows a clinician to analyse and summarize the reported behaviours**. Page 4 is the **Behaviour Analysis Procedures Guide** page, which is a brief set of instructions on how to complete the Analysis page. See Examples, starting on page 17.

It should also be noted that unlike many other scales, **no information on severity or frequency** is required. This information is often handled poorly, and consequently is often no more than a source of error. See Hopkins et al. 2006, for further data and discussion on this aspect of the scale.

### MONITORING BEHAVIOUR CHANGE BY REPEATED ADMINISTRATIONS

The KSBA<sub>LTC</sub> can be used as a powerful tool to monitor behaviour changes over time; therefore subsequent administrations can assess changes in the "last month" or other time period, e.g. 1 week, 2 days, 6 months, etc. The KSBA<sub>LTC</sub> can be used to capture a current snapshot of an individual's behaviour. Typically, "current" has been taken to mean behaviours that have occurred in the last month. However, the KSBA<sub>LTC</sub> can also be used to track behaviour change over time including change attributable to specific interventions. In such cases the KSBA<sub>LTC</sub> may be administered repeatedly, and the interval may also be shorter than one month. When doing so, the reporting interval should match the repetition interval. For example, if you give it once a week to a patient, then only ask for behaviours that have been noted in that past week. The chosen interval should be clearly stated in any clinical reports.

### GLOSSARY

To aid in the explanation of the behaviours to the rater, a **glossary** providing a more detailed description of the behaviours on the KSBA<sub>LTC</sub>, is found near the end of this manual (page 24).

### ADMINISTRATION INSTRUCTIONS

The instructions are: "Please check all of the following behaviours that have occurred in the last month or are presently occurring, and that are <u>a change</u> from the person's earlier behaviour (prior to illness). Indicate those items that apply by marking the box beside the appropriate statement. The Total Score equals the number of boxes checked." Only items that apply should be checked.

It should be noted that while many behaviours are discrete acts (like biting or hitting people), that can be easily identified in both time and place, other behaviours like "no longer uses some common objects properly" or "No longer takes part in favourite pastimes" are ongoing. Often, once an individual is deemed incompetent to perform a task or is shown to be a risk for some behaviour, he or she is not given another chance to demonstrate his or her incompetence, but rather is kept away from such activities or closely supervised while performing them. These ongoing behaviours **are checked**, as it is assumed that once one is unable to perform a task, the individual will continue to be unable. **This only pertains to progressive dementias** or disorders where no significant improvement is expected.

## **BEHAVIOUR ANALYSIS**

### TOTAL SCORE ANALYSIS

The **Total Score Analysis** refers to a group of 9 columns marked "**Total Score Analysis**" (on page 3 of the KSBA<sub>LTC</sub> form, or see following figure). To interpret a **Total score**, take the Total Score from the bottom of page 2 of the KSBA form, and circle it in the **first** column on the far left. If it is a score above 30, it may not appear in the column. In that case just mark closest position to it. Then read the Cumulative Percent in next column over (i.e. the second column from the left). Then read the "**score description**" in next column over (i.e. the third column from the left). This procedure is repeated for the "neuropsychological" (**NPL**) and "neuropsychiatric" (**NPT**) behaviours (the middle 3 columns for the **NPL**, and the next 3 columns (i.e. the 3 columns on the right) for the **NPT**).

The "**Cumulative Percent**" column indicates the percentage of scores at or below that score. The cumulative percentage indicates where a score falls in a distribution. They are used when the distribution is **not** mathematically normal or bell-shaped.

The "**Score Description**" column provides a "thermometer" style description for scores in that range. The scores are described as being "**LOW**", "**MEDIUM**", "**HIGH**", or "**VERY HIGH**". It should be noted that the KSBA<sub>LTC</sub> also has a lower range marked with "\*\*". Scores in this (\*\*) range may represent random error, and may not be clinically relevant.

It must be remembered that these descriptions are somewhat arbitrary; partly based on our obtained data, but also on our clinical expectations of what we would consider a "Low" or "High" score. Obviously, these expectations are going to vary according to clinical setting. The average score in a long term care advanced dementia ward (using the KSBA<sub>LTC</sub>) is going to be higher than that in a "first contact" ambulatory outpatient clinic (using the KSBA<sub>(comm</sub>)). It must also be remembered that these descriptive ranges are merely labels placed on a continuum, and that there are no "true" demarcation points.

What caregivers, lay or professional, can handle will vary between individuals and institutions. It must also be noted, that there are differences between individual patients. Even if a patient scores only a few points, yet one of the behaviours is related to violent physical outbursts, there might be need for extra care and support. Even a Total score in the 20's or lower, might indicate that additional services or supports are required. High-scoring institutional-living patients might well benefit from a specialist consult or other intervention.

## $\mathsf{KSBA}_{\mathsf{LTC}}$ TOTAL SCORE ANALYSIS CHART

٦	Гota	I		NPL			NPT	•
Total Score	Cumulative Percent	Score Description	NPL Score	Cumulative Percent	Score Description	NPT Score	Cumulative Percent	Score Description
74 70 65 60		VERY	36			38 37		
55 50 46 42 38		нісн	35 34 33 32 31		VERY	36 35 34 33 32		VERY
740505504428430987265432212098876550442843098766550442843098766543210987765432110	99863888888775386392642620739163108765321	HIGH	35 34 33 29 28 27 25 24 20 19 18 17		нісн	3765 333321309 2726543221098776514312110		HIGH
25 24 23 22 21 20	75 73 68 63 59	MEDIUM	24 23 22 21 20	99 98 97 90 85 78 70 66 25 47 33 26 19 14 11 7	HIGH	25 24 23 22 21 20	99 98	
19 18 17 16	46 42 36 32	M	18 17 16 15	85 82 78 70		19 18 17 16	97 96 95 94	
15 14 13 12 11	30 27 23 19 16		14 13 12 11	66 62 55 47 30	MEDIUM	15 14 13 12	93 90 86 83 76	HIGH
10 9 8 7 6	13 10 <b>8</b> 7 6	LOM*	16 15 14 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4	33 26 19 14 11	LOM*	10 9 8 7 6	97 96 95 94 93 90 86 83 76 84 53 47 38 62 9 15 11	MEDIUM
9876543210	<b>8</b> 7 6 5 3 2 1	**	3 4 3 2 1 0	7 6 2 1 0	**	9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0	36 29 15 11	LOw *
	0			0		0	6 3	**

## **BEHAVIOUR ANALYSIS**

### **BEHAVIOUR PROFILE**

The large chart on the right side of the Behaviour Analysis page is the Behaviour Profile which provides a column for each of the 12 domains (see example page 12). For each column the number of possible behaviours in that domain is displayed, starting with 0 (zero) at the bottom and going up to the maximum number of behaviours in that domain, at the top. To fill out the profile, simply go to the informant pages and add up the number of ticked items for each domain, and put that value in the domain total box at the end of each behavioural grouping. Then transfer these values to the profile chart. If desired, these points can be joined up with a line to help create a visual profile. See Examples on pages 16 to 23. The profile is also useful in identifying specific behaviours to target for intervention.

On the extreme left side of the Profile chart is a "Comparison Scale" column that is used to give each of the other column scores a relative standardized value, allowing all domains to be compared to each other. For example, if the score on Judgement/Insight equals 4 and on Misperceptions, the score equals 3, then both can be said to have a relative score of 5. In this way, relative comparisons (i.e. degree of impairment or sparing) across the 12 domains can be made.

The domains on the KSBA<sub>LTC</sub> are arranged in an order that makes interpretation meaningful. The first 2 (Daily Activities and Attention/Concentration/Memory) and the last 3 (Sleep, Motor Spatial and Language) are located at the beginning and end of the scale respectively, to facilitate informant interviewing, and create distinct profiles to assist clinicians. These neuropsychological domains (NPL) are behaviours that are not always measured in more traditional BPSD scales. Yet these five domains account for nearly 90% of the endorsed behaviours in the earliest stages of dementia in our normative sample, and represent meaningful behavioural change at any point in the progression of the disease process. In contrast, rates of neuropsychological and neuropsychiatric behaviours approach parity late in the disorder.

For instance in Example 1 (page 19), a case of Alzheimer's disease with relatively few behaviour changes, most of the scores appear in the neuropsychological behaviours (i.e. the outer groups) producing a U-shaped profile. Also, the ratio of NPL to NPT behaviours is 11 to 2 (i.e. 5.5). In Example 2 (page 20), a much more advanced case with a score of 39, the ratio is only 1.67:1.

## $\mathbf{KSBA}_{\mathsf{LTC}} \ \mathbf{BEHAVIOUR} \ \mathbf{PROFILE} \ \mathbf{CHART}$

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
COMPARISON SCALE	Daily Activities	Attention/Concentration/Memory	Emotional Behaviour	Aggressive Behaviour	Misperceptions/Misidentifications	Paranoid Behaviour	Judgement/Insight	Perseveration	Motor Restlessness	Sleep/Activity/Sundowning	Motor/Spatial Problems	Language Difficulties
10	18	4	5	4	6	5	8	5	5	4	4	6
9.5	17											
9	16						_					
8.5	15				5		7					5
8	14		4			4		4	4			
7.5	13	3		3			6			3	3	
7	12										:	
6.5	11				4							4
6	10		3			3	5	3	3			
5.5	9											
5	8	2		2	3		4			2	2	3
4.5	7											
4	6		2			2	3	2	2			
3.5	5				2		3					2
3	4				2							4
2.5 2	3	1	1	1		1	2	1	1	1	1	
1.5	2				1		1					1
1 0.5	1											
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

KSBALTC Manual 12

### SCORE ANALYSIS

The "updated" KSBA<sub>LTC</sub> Analysis page allows one to first compare the Total score to scores obtained by individuals who were referred to a specialized hospital dementia unit, then examine the NPL and NPT scores in a similar manner. While the Total score gives a measure of overall impairment (advancement of the disorder), the NPL and NPT scores allow one to explore the Total score in greater detail. The NPL and NPT scores provide an indication of the factor makeup of the Total score. We have found that some disorders such as uncomplicated Alzheimer's disease initially show almost only NPL behaviours, while other disorders such as Frontal Temporal Dementia, show many NPT behaviours as well. The domain profile can provide insight into the types of behaviours that confront caregivers. While having to deal with issues related to memory and concentration loss can be difficult, dealing with paranoia, for example, raises a host of new challenges.

After having assessed the Total, NPL, and NPT scores, one can assess the results further by looking at the domain Profile. If the behaviours are almost all NPL, then they will appear roughly as an "U" shaped pattern on the Profile chart (see pages 19 & 21). If a number of NPT behaviours are present, then the 7 NPT columns will fill in the middle, resulting in profiles that look somewhat uneven like a "W", or a variant thereof (see pages 20 & 22). Therefore, profiles tend to reflect NPL/NPT behaviour distributions.

An obvious question that arises is: is there any clinical significance to these profiles. Can useful information be predicted from them? We believe that the answer is yes; and we have found evidence to support differences in diagnoses, and associated caregiver stress. We have observed that patients with high NPT scores are more stressful to care for than those with primarily NPL scores. In Kilik LA, & Hopkins RW. (2019) it is reported that the correlation between the Kingston Caregiver Stress Scale (KCSS) and the KSBA<sub>(comm)</sub> (Total score) is 0.80 (Spearman's rho), suggesting that stress levels track very closely with behaviour changes. We have also found that caregivers of patients, showing almost only NPL behaviours (U profiles), report significantly lower stress levels than those of patients with large numbers of NPT behaviours (W profiles) (KCSS mean = 15.56 (U's) vs. mean = 22.09 (W's) p < 0.000). This remains an area of active research.

## **KSBA<sub>LTC</sub> STATISTICS AND PERCENTAGE \***

		ORM (I	KSBA <sub>LTO</sub>	c)
N = 175	Males :	= 126	Female	es = 49
	Mean	sd	Min	Max
Age	76.74	8.85	55	97
Daily Activities	5.87	2.47	0	13
Atten/Conc/Mem	1.67	1.35	0	4
Emotional	1.15	1.05	0	5
Aggressive	1.79	1.46	0	4
Misperceptions	0.91	1.01	0	4
Paranoid	0.66	1.04	0	5
Judgement	2.06	1.69	0	8
Perseveration	0.8	1.4	0	5
Motor Rest	1.03	1.19	0	4
Sleep	1.31	1.06	0	4
Motor Spatial	1.64	1.26	0	4
Language	1.76	1.7	0	6
NPL Total	12.25	5.24	1	<b>26</b>
NPT Total	8.35	4.85	0	25
TOTAL SCORE	20.59	8.83	1	47

## KSBA<sub>LTC</sub> STATISTICS

Neuropsychological Behaviours (NPL) [blue] Neuropsychiatric Behaviours (NPT) [red]

\* These statistics are drawn from a sample of patients admitted to a geriatric psychiatry assessment ward. All were suffering from Major Neurocognitive Disorder (mostly Alzheimer's disease).

## LONG TERM CARE FORM - KSBA<sub>LTC</sub> CUMULATIVE PERCENT (n = 175)

Total Score	%	Neuropsychiatric Score	%	Neuropsychological Score	%
1	1.7	0	2.8	0	0
2	1.7	1	6.2	1	1.1
3	2.3	2	10.7	2	2.3
4	2.8	3	14.7	3	5.7
5	4.5	4	29.4	4	7.4
6	6.2	5	35.6	5	10.9
7	7.3	6	37.9	6	14.3
8	7.9	7	46.9	7	19.4
9	10.2	8	53.1	8	25.7
10	13.0	9	63.8	9	33.1
11	16.4	10	67.8	10	38.9
12	19.2	11	76.3	11	47.4
13	23.2	12	80.8	12	55.4
14	26.6	13	86.4	13	61.7
15	30.5	14	89.8	14	66.3
16	32.2	15	93.2	15	69.7
17	35.6	16	94.4	16	78.3
18	42.4	17	94.9	17	82.3
19	46.3	18	96.6	18	85.1
20	52.0	19	97.2	19	90.3
21	58.8	20	97.2	20	93.7
22	62.7	21	97.7	21	96.6
23	68.4	22	98.3	22	97.7
24	72.9	23	98.9	23	98.3
25	74.6	24	98.9	24	99.4
26	77.4	25	98.9	25	99.4
27	79.7	26	99.4	26	100
28	82.5	27	100	20	100
29	83.6		100		
30	87.6				
31	90.4				
32	92.1				
33	92.7				
34	92.7				
35	93.8				
36	95.5				
37	95.5				
38	95.5				
39	96.0				
40	96.0				
40	96.0				
41	97.7				
42	97.7				
43	98.3				
44	98.3				
45	98.3				
40	99.4				
47	100				
40	1 100		1		

### RESEARCH

Currently, there are a number of ongoing research projects with the KSBA (in a variety of settings). These projects explore the statistical properties of the scale, along with a number of clinical applications. The KSBA<sub>(comm)</sub> is being, and has been (see references), used to explore the behavioural dimensions of Alzheimer's disease, and other neurological conditions. Some of the studies are looking at the relationship between the KSBA<sub>(comm)</sub> and other Kingston Scales, such as the Kingston Caregiver Stress Scale (KCSS). A list of the Kingston scales is found on page 27. If you are interested in participating in these, or other projects, or contributing data, please contact the authors at kscales@queensu.ca.

### **EXAMPLES**

On the next 6 pages are some samples taken from actual cases. Example 1 is an example of a complete scale, while examples 2 to 4 show only the Analyses pages for those cases. Example 1 has a relatively low to moderate number of responses (i.e.13) noted as "Low" on the score description column, while Example 2 is an individual at a more advanced stage of dementia with a much larger number of responses (i.e. 39) noted as "Very High" on the score description column. Due to space limitations on the Analysis page, some numbers are skipped in the "Total Score" column. (See Example 2).

Examples 3 and 4 are individuals who both obtained the same Total score (i.e. 15) but have distinctly different profiles. The "U shaped" profile found in Example 3 (also in 1) is typical of Major Neurocognitive Disorder cases with relatively few behaviour symptoms, but are dominated by neuropsychological rather than neuropsychiatric behaviours. Whereas, Example 4 (also Example 2) has a more "W" shaped profile, consisting of nearly as many neuropsychiatric as neuropsychological behaviours. These distinct profiles may have clinical significance.

## Kingston Standardized Behavioural Assessment

Long <sup>-</sup>	Term	Care	<b>Form</b> <sup>1</sup>	-	<b>KSBA</b> LTO
-------------------	------	------	--------------------------	---	-----------------

Patie	nt Na	ame_	Exam	ple 1		Case #:					
Sex: I	M <u>X</u>	_ F_	Age:_	78	_ Education					ess:	
Date:					Rater/Inf	formant:	ļ	Dau	çhter		KINGSTON SCALES
Lives	in: F	-acility	у Туре:	<u> </u>	TC						-
	1	Daily	Activ	ities					22	Places things ir	i inappropriate places.
	1	No lor	nder takı	es nart i	n favourite pasi	times (or		1	<	Total Attentior	n/Concentration/Memory
X	•		y reduce						•		a havia vu
	2	Resis	tant to b	athing.					3	Emotional B	enaviour
	3	Refus	es to lea	ave own	room.				23	Shows little or r	no emotion.
	4	No lor	agor uso	e somo	common objec	te			24	Mood changes	for no apparent reason.
X	4		rly (e.g.						25	Expresses inap type or intensity	propriate emotions, either /.
	5	Does	not like	being to	uched.				26	Makes unchara statements.	cteristically pessimistic
	6	Comb	ines foo	ds not u	sually eaten to	gether.	_		27		idal feelings, threatens to hurt
	7	Refus	es to ea	t.						him/herself.	<u> </u>
	8	Drools	s on self	, clothing	g.			0	<	Total Emotion	al Behaviour
	9	Overly than u		dent, wa	nts more guida	nce			4	Aggressive	Behaviour
	10	Eats o	other's fo	ood at m	eal time.				28	Verbally abusiv	e at times.
X	11	Difficu	ılty judgi	ng the p	assing of time.				29	Uncharacteristic reacts catastrop	cally excitable, easy to upset; bhically.
	12	Wand	lers aiml	essly.					30	Throws things a	at, or pinches others.
	13	Hides	things.						31	Attempts to hit/s	strike out at others.
	14	Hoard	ls object	s.				0	<	Total Aggress	ive Behaviour
	15	Fails t	to recogi	nize fam	ily or friends.				5	Misporconti	ons/Misidentifications
	16			of urine/	faeces in clothe	es in	_	]		• •	
		daytin	1е.						32	Claims an object but is not the re	ct/possession looks similar to, al one.
	17	Voids	in non-t	oilet are	as.				33	Claims a family	member looks similar but is
	18	Smea	irs faece	s.					34	Thinks present	dwelling is not their place of
3	<	Total	Daily A	ctivities	;				35	Thinks people a	are present who aren't.
/	-								36	Sees or hears t	hings that are not there.
									37	Talks to picture	s or mirrors.
	2	Atte	ntion/C	;oncer	ntration/Mer	nory		0	<	Total Misperce	eption Behaviour
	19	Can't	concent	rate, pay	y attention for lo	ong.					

20 Misplaces things more than usual.

X

21 Easily distracted by surrounding noises.

	6	Paranoid Behaviour			10	Sleep/Activity/Sundowning
	38	Suspicious of family and staff.		X	61	Falls asleep at uncharacteristic times.
	39	Suspicious about money issues.		X	62	Gets up and wanders or awakens frequently
	40	Accuses others of stealing his or her things.		*		at night, more than usual.
	41	Accuses spouse of infidelity.		X	63	Sleeps more.
	42	Expresses suspicion around taking medication.			64	Behaviour more agitated or impaired in late afternoon.
0	<	Total Paranoid Behaviour		3	<	Total Sleep/Activity/Sundowning
						M ( /0 // LD LL
	7	Judgement/Insight			11	Motor/Spatial Problems
X	43	Seeks constant attention.		X	65	Poor coordination seen in limb/finger movements.
	44	Eats non-food items.		X	66	Slowness of movement.
	45	Grabs others nearby.		X	67	Unsteadiness when walking.
	46	Shows increased sexual drive, interest.		, -	68	Difficulty judging object sizes or how near an
	47	Makes inappropriate sexual advances.				object is from themselves.
	48	Accident prone, gets hurt a lot.		3	<	Total Motor Spatial Problems
	49	Unconcerned about personal safety.				
	50	Invades personal space.			12	Language Difficulties
1	<	Total Judgement/Insight		X	69	Substitutes some words for others.
	0	Deveoueration			70	Does not speak unless spoken to. (e.g.
		Perseveration				Does not participate in conversations.)
	51	Repeats same actions over and over.			71	Often cannot find the right word.
	52	Repeats same words or phrases.			72	Trouble pronouncing words.
	53 54	Talks about same topic over and over again.			73	Does not understand simple commands, explanations.
	55	Repeatedly shouts or calls out. Clapping/noise making.			74	·
0	<	Total Perseveration			74	Speaks in meaningless phrases, or unintelligible language.
v				1	<	Total Language Difficulties
	9	Motor Restlessness				
	56	Desire to pace or walk almost constantly.		11		Neuropsychological (NPL) Behaviours
X	57	Can't sit still, restless, fidgety.		2		Neuropsychiatric (NPT) Behaviours
	58	Tries doors, windows.		L		Total (3-9)
	59	Repeatedly rearranges furniture.	Γ			
	60	Bangs head deliberately.		13		Total Score (1-12) <sup>2</sup>
1	<	Total Motor Restlessness				

## Example 1 - Early Alzheimer's Disease KSBA<sub>(LTC)</sub> - BEHAVIOURAL ANALYSIS FORM TOTAL SCORE ANALYSIS BEHAVIOUR PROFILE

Т	OTA	AL S	CO	RE	ANA	LY	SIS					BE	HAV	IOUF	R PR	OFIL	.E				
1	<b>Fota</b>	1		NPL			NPT	[]		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Cumulative Percent	Score Description	NPL Score	Cumulative Percent	Score Description	NPT Score	Cumulative Percent	Score Description	COMPARISON SCALE	Daily Activities	Attention/Concentration/Memory	Emotional Behaviour	Aggressive Behaviour	Misperceptions/Misidentifications	Paranoid Behaviour	Judgement/Insight	Perseveration	Motor Restlessness	Sleep/Activity/Sundowning	Motor/Spatial Problems	Language Difficulties
		VER	36			38			10	18	4	5	4	6	5	8	5	5	4	4	6
		R Y				37			9.5	17											
5		T	35 34		<	36 35		<	9	16						7					
	99 98 96	IGH	33 32 31		ERY	34 33 32		ERY	8.5	15				5							5
	90 93 88		30 29			32 31 30			8 7.5	14 13	3	4	3		4	6	4	4	Q	9	-
	84 83	HIGH	28 27		16	29 28		- G	7	12						U			T	T	
	80 77		25 25			27 26			6.5	11			Ī,	4							4
	75 73		24 23	99 98		25			6	10		3			3	5	3	3			
	68 63 59 52	ME	22 21	98 97 94		24 23 22	99 98		5.5	9											
		MEDIUM	20 19	90	HIGH	21 20	07		5	8	2		2	3		4			2	2	3
	46 42 36		18 17 16	85 82 78		19 18	97 96		4.5	7											
	32 30		15 14	70	MED	16	94 93		4	6		2			2	3	2	2			
	27 23		15 14 13 12 10 98 765	62 55	MEDIUM	14 13	90 86	HIGH	3.5	5				2		Ū					2
	19 16	5	10	47		12	83 76		3	4	0					2			4	1	
	10	LOW*	8	26	LOW*	9	64 53	MEDI	2.5 2	3	y	1	1		1	4	1	6			
	42 36 32 27 23 19 163 10 87 65 32 1			82 78 70 66 62 55 47 39 33 26 19 14 11 7	W*	18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 9 87 6 5 4 3 0	96 95 93 90 86 83 76 83 76 84 53 47 38 62 9 15 11	MEDIUM LOW *	1.5	2				1		•		1	J.E		C
	532		4			542	36 29	LOW								R		/			
B7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1	**	3 2 1	6 2 1 0	**	0	11	*	0.5	1					1		$\bigvee$	-			
	0		Ó	0		Ó	63		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

KSBA(LTC) Manual 19

## **Example 2** - Later Alzheimer's Disease KSBA(LTC) - BEHAVIOURAL ANALYSIS FORM TOTAL SCORE ANALYSIS BEHAVIOUR PROFILE

-	Tota	1		NPL			NPT	-		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Total Score	Cumulative Percent	Score Description	NPL Score	Cumulative Percent	Score Description	NPT Score	Cumulative Percent	Score Description	COMPARISON SCALE	Daily Activities	Attention/Concentration/Memory	Emotional Behaviour	Aggressive Behaviour	Misperceptions/Misidentifications	Paranoid Behaviour	Judgement/Insight	Perseveration	Motor Restlessness	Sleep/Activity/Sundowning	Motor/Spatial Problems	Language Difficulties
74 70 65 60 55 50 46	99	VERY HIGH	36 35 34 33		ΥE	38 37 36 35 34		<ul> <li></li> <li></li> </ul>	10 9.5 9 8.5	18 17 16 15	P	5		6	5	8	R	5	4	4	6
46 34 30 29 28 27 26	<b>98</b> 96 93 88 84 83 80 77	G H HIGH	32 31 30 29 28 27 25 25		RY HIGH	33 32 31 30 29 28 27 26		RY HIGH	8 7.5 7 6.5	14 13 12	3	4	3	4	4	6	4	4	3	9	4
25 24 23 22 21 20	75 73 68 63 59 52 46	MEDIUM	24 23 22 20 19 18	99 98 98 97 94 90 85	HIGH	25 24 23 22 21 20	99 98 97		6 5.5 5	10 9 8	2	3	2	3	3	4	3	3	2	2	3
191876543210 191876543210	426 320 273 1963 1087 65321	LC	17 16 15 14 13 12 11 9 8 7 6 5 4	85 82 78 66 62 55 47 39 336 19 14 11 7	MEDIUM	1980 176543210 16543210	97 96 95 93 90 83 768 433 95 11 63	HIGH	4.5 4 3.5 3	7 6 5 4		Ø		2	2	3	2	Ø			Ø
198765432	1308765321	LOW*	987654 321		LOW*	198765432	6843786951 4386951	MEDIUM LOW*	2.5 2 1.5 1	3 2 1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	1
1	0	**	1	621 0	**	10	63	**	0.5 0	٥	0	0	0	0	6	٥	0	0	0	0	0

## Example 3 - "U" Shaped Profile (Score=15) KSBA(LTC) - BEHAVIOURAL ANALYSIS FORM TOTAL SCORE ANALYSIS BEHAVIOUR PROFILE

	Tota	1	1	NPL	MINA	T	NPT	- 1		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Total Score	Cumulative Percent	Score Description	NPL Score	Cumulative Percent	Score Description	NPT Score	Cumulative Percent	Score Description	COMPARISON SCALE	Daily Activities	Attention/Concentration/Memory	Emotional Behaviour	Aggressive Behaviour	Misperceptions/Misidentifications	Paranoid Behaviour	Judgement/Insight	Perseveration	Motor Restlessness	Sleep/Activity/Sundowning	Motor/Spatial Problems	Language Difficulties
74 70 65 60		VERY	36			38 37			10 9.5	18 17	4	5	4	6	5	8	5	5	4	9	6
55 50 46 42 38	99 98 96	HIGH	35 34 33 32 31		VERY	36 35 34 33 32		VERY	9 8.5 8	16 15 14		4		5	4	7	4	4			5
34 30 29 28	93 88 84 83 80	HIGH	30 29 28 27 25		нісн	31 30 29 28 27		нісн	7.5 7	13 12	3	*****	3	4		6			3	3	4
27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	77 75 73 68 63	M	25 24 23 22 21 20	99 98 98 97		26 25 24 23 22 21	99 98		6.5 6 5.5	11 10 9		3			3	5	3	3			
	59 52	MEDIUM	19 18	94 90	HIGH	20			5 4.5	8 7	P		2	3		4			2	2	3
16 54 13 12	32 30 27 23 19		15 14 12 12 11	70 66 62 55 47	MEDIUM	16 15 14 13 12	94 93 90 86 83	HIGH	4 3.5 3	6 5		2		2	2	3	2	2			0
1987654321	46 42 36 30 27 23 19 16 30 76 53 21	LOW*	17 16 15 14 10 11 10 98 7 65 4	85 82 78 70 66 62 55 47 39 33 26 19 14 11 7	LOW*	19 17 16 54 13 12 10 98 76 54 30	97 96 99 99 99 99 90 83 68 43 66 54 78 69 51 16 3 29 51 16 3	MEDIUM LOW*	2.5 2 1.5	3	1	1		1	1	2	1	1	φ	1	1
543210	5 3 2 1	**	4 3210	7 6 2 1 0	**	5470-0	36 29 15 11 6 3	LOW* *	1 0.5 0	1	0	V	0	0	6	<b>A</b>	6	6	0	٥	0

## Example 4 - "W" Shaped Profile (Score=15) KSBA(LTC) - BEHAVIOURAL ANALYSIS FORM TOTAL SCORE ANALYSIS BEHAVIOUR PROFILE

	Total NPL				-2	NPT				1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10									10	11	12
Total Score	Cumulative Percent	Score Description	NPL Score	Cumulative Percent	Score Description	NPT Score	Cumulative Percent	Score Description	COMPARISON SCALE	Daily Activities	Attention/Concentration/Memory	Emotional Behaviour	Aggressive Behaviour	Misperceptions/Misidentifications	Paranoid Behaviour	Judgement/Insight	Perseveration	Motor Restlessness	Sleep/Activity/Sundowning	Motor/Spatial Problems	Language Difficulties
74 70		VER	36			38			10	18	4	5	4	6	5	8	5	5	4	4	6
65 60 55		RY	-			37			9.5	17											
50	99	Ŧ	35 34 33		< E	36 35 34		< E	9 8.5	16 15				5		7		-			E
46 42 38	99 98 96	I G H	33 32 31		RΥ	33 32		RY	o.5 8	15		4		5	4		4	4			5
34 30 29	93 88	T	30 29		Ŧ	31 30	-	I	7.5	13	3		3			6			3	3	
28	84 83	HIGH	28 27		I G H	29 28		- G Н	7	12											
27 26 25	80 77 75		25 25 24	00		27 26 25			6.5	11				4		E					4
24 23	73		24 23 22	99 98 98		25 24 23	99 98		6	10		R			3	5	3	3			
24 23 22 21 20	68 63 59 52	MEDIUM	22 21 20 19	97 94 90	HIGH	23 22 21 20	98		5.5 5	9	2		6	3	Ξ.				0	0	2
	46	M	18	85	H		97 96		4.5	0	2		Ŷ	3		4			2	2	3
17	42 36 32 30 27		16 15	78 70	ME	17 16	95 94		4	6		2			2	~	Ø	Ø			
14 13	30 27 23		14 13 12	66 62 55	MEDIUM	15 14 13	93 90 86	HIGH	3.5	5				2		Ø		1			2
12	19 16	5	11 10	47 39		Ø	83 76		3	4				2						•	4
9 8	13 10 8	LOW*	987	33 26 19	LOW*	10 9 8	68 64 53	MEDI	2.5 2	3	1	1	1		1	2	1	1	Ø-	P	
7 6 5	23 19 16 10 8 7 6 5 3 2		17 16 15 14 13 12 11 9 8 7 6 5 4	82 78 70 66 55 47 39 33 26 19 14 11 7	V.	765	97 96 95 93 90 86 83 76 84 53 76 84 53 76 84 53 76 84 53 76 84 53 76 84 53 76 84 53 76 84 53 76 85 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	UM L	1.5	2				1		1		1953			1
19 18 17 14 13 12 11 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1	321		321	6 2	_	1987654301098765432	29	MEDIUM LOW *	1 0.5	Ð							-				
1	0	**	1	1	**	1	11 6 3	**	0.5 > 0	0	8	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	6

## GLOSSARY

### **Further Description of Behaviours**

### **1 Daily Activities**

- 1 No longer takes part in favourite pastimes (or greatly reduced).
  - no longer participates in hobbies or previously preferred activities like playing the piano, or card games
  - reduction in self-directed leisure activities
- 2 Resistant to bathing refuses to bath, or requires substantial persuasion to do so
- 3 Refuses to leave own room.
- 4 No longer uses some common objects properly.
  - now seems to have difficultly handling common household objects such as telephones, microwaves, etc.
  - difficulty with kitchen utensils knowing what to use
- 5 Does not like being touched.
- 6 Combines foods not usually eaten together.
- 7 Refuses to eat.
  - or requires considerable effort on part of staff to do so
- 8 Drools on self, clothing 9 Overly dependent, wants more guidance than usual.
  - asks for more help, or approval from caregiver than in past; relies on caregiver to initiate activities
  - often described as "shadowing"
- 9 Overly dependent, wants more guidance than usual.
  - asks for more help, or approval from caregiver than in past; relies on caregiver to initiate activities
  - often described as "shadowing
- 10 Eats other's food at meal time.
  - eats food from other people's trays, etc.
- 11 Difficulty judging the passing of time.
  - may keep asking time of day, etc.
  - may prepare for appointments etc., several hours before necessary
- 12 Wanders aimlessly.
  - walks around looking lost
  - not rapid pacing as in Motor Restlessness
- 13 Hides things.
  - hides things away that do not need to be hidden, e.g. dentures
  - stores things in inappropriate places such putting a purse or wallet in freezer
- 14 Hoards objects.
  - more extreme version of hiding; collecting excessive quantity of things
- 15 Fails to recognize family or friends.
- does not know them or thinks they are someone else
- 16 Incontinence of urine/faeces in clothes in daytime.
  - clothes include "Depends" etc.
- 17 Voids in non-toilet areas.
  - plant pots, hall corners, etc.
  - not the same as incontinence in clothes or incontinence briefs
- 18 Smears faeces.

### 2 Attention/Concentration/Memory

- 19 Can't concentrate, pay attention for as long as they used to.
  - attention span reduced, thinking is more muddled, often slower
- 20 Misplaces things more than usual.
  - like normal failures of memory/forgetfulness, only much more frequent
  - forgets where they put something down e.g. book, glasses, etc.
- 21 Easily distracted by surrounding noises.
  - either by other people or machines, etc.
- 22 Places things in inappropriate places.

- either in body cavity, or in wrong location, such as shoes in refrigerator

### 3 Emotional Behaviour

- 23 Shows little or no emotion.
  - reduction of normal emotional range
- 24 Mood changes for no apparent reason.
- 25 Expresses inappropriate emotions, either type or intensity.
  - e.g. laughing at news of a death, or crying at mild disappointment
- 26 Makes uncharacteristically pessimistic statements.
- 27 Expresses suicidal feelings, threatens to hurt him/herself
- 4 Aggressive Behaviour
  - 28 Verbally abusive at times.
    - must be directed at someone or something
  - 29 Uncharacteristically excitable, easy to upset; reacts catastrophically.
    - reactions to change are exaggerated
    - intensity of emotional reaction is excessive for the situation
  - 30 Throws things at, or pinches others
  - 31 Attempts to hit/strike out at others.

#### **5** Misperceptions/Misidentifications Behaviour

- 32 Claims an object or possession looks similar to, but is not the real one.
  - e.g. the family car in driveway is not recognized as own car, or a piece of jewelry/glasses is identified as looking similar to but not their own
- 33 Claims a family member looks similar (to that person) but is not the true one.
- 34 Thinks present dwelling is not their place of living.
  - e.g. the person in the nursing home does not recognize that they live in that facility
     or, the person who lives in their own home but states they want to, or is packing to, "go home"
- 35 Thinks people are present who aren't.

- thinks people are present in the room or somewhere in the house when in fact they are not e.g. believes that people on TV are real and in the room, a deceased family member is living elsewhere in the house, misinterprets own image in mirror as another person

- 36 Sees or hears things that are not there.
  - includes delusions and hallucinations
- **37 Talks to pictures or mirrors.**

- assumes that image of self in mirror is another person, or person in picture is real

### 6 Paranoid Behaviour

- 38 Suspicious of family and friends.
  - accuses family or staff of putting poison in food or drinks
- 39 Suspicious about money issues.
  - suspects people around them are trying to steal their money
  - suspects people around them are taking unusual interest in their financial affairs
- 40 Accuses others of stealing his or her things.
- 41 Accuses spouse of infidelity.
  - refers to current behaviour not some incident from long past.
- 42 Expresses suspicion around taking medication.
  - suggests that the contents of the medicine bottle is not what it says on the label
  - believes that the medicine is poison
  - NOT questions re the value of the medication

### 7 Judgement/Insight

- 43 Seeks constant attention.
  - often keeps bothering staff for inconsequential matters
- 44 Eats non-food items.
- 45 Grabs others nearby.
- 46 Shows increased sexual drive, interest.
  - toward either sex
- 47 Makes inappropriate sexual advances.
  - behaviour should be explicit and not vague references that could be interpreted in many ways
- 48 Accident prone, gets hurt a lot.
- 49 Unable to identify personal safety risks.
  - unable to foresee obviously dangerous outcomes to certain actions
  - unable to take personal safety into account in decision making
  - will eat food even if clearly spoiled
- 50 Invades personal space.
  - when carrying on a conversation, comes much closer than is normally considered appropriate

### 8 Perseveration

- 51 Repeats same actions over and over.
  - such as tapping or rocking in a chair
- 52 Repeats same words or phrases.
  - includes repetition of syllables or sounds
- 53 Talks about same topic over and over again.
  - slightly different from item 53 which refers to words or phrases only
  - this item refers to stories, or topics of conversation e.g. "When will dinner be served?
- 54 Repeatedly shouts or calls out.
- 55 Clapping/noise making.
  - either with hands, feet, or an object

#### 9 Motor Restlessness

- 56 Desire to pace or walk almost constantly.
  - different from aimless wandering, i.e. faster
- 57 Can't sit still; restless; fidgety.
  - e.g. restlessly moving from chair to chair (or in wheelchair, etc.)
- 58 Tries doors, windows.
  - seems unable to inhibit the tendency to use handles and knobs on things
  - exit seeking behaviour
- 59 Repeatedly rearranges furniture. -either furniture in own room or elsewhere
- 60 Bangs head deliberately

### 10 Sleep/Activity/Sundowning

- 61 Falls asleep at uncharacteristic times.
  - during conversations or during meals, or increased daytime sleep
- 62 Gets up and wanders or awakens frequently at night more than usual.
- 63 Sleeps more.
  - more than usual
- 64 Behaviour more agitated or impaired in late afternoon.
  - ADL is more impaired in late afternoon or early evening; exacerbation of already problematic behaviours
- 11 Motor/Spatial Problems
  - 65 Poor coordination seen in limb/finger movements.
    - e.g. difficulty using pens or pencils, or moving a cup to one's mouth
    - includes tremor
    - Score even if due to physical problems e.g. arthritis, vision, etc.
  - 66 Slowness of movement.
  - 67 Unsteadiness when walking.
  - 68 Difficulty judging object sizes or how near an object is from themselves.
    - may make exaggerated steps to step over something quite low, such as a crack in the floor, change in carpet colour

### **12 Language Difficulties**

- 69 Substitutes some words for others.
  - substitutes an incorrect term for an object or uses a nonsensical word - makes substitutions usually without knowing it
- 70 Does not speak unless spoken to. (e.g. Does not participate in conversations.)
- 71 Often cannot find the right word.
  - halted speech while struggling to find the right word
- 72 Trouble pronouncing words.
- 73 Does not understand simple commands, explanations.
- 74 Speaks in meaningless phrases, or unintelligible language

## THE KINGSTON SCALES

## Cognition

Kingston Standardized Cognitive Assessment - Revised + Drive Score (KSCAr<sup>+Drive</sup>) BriefKingston Standardized Cognitive Assessment - Revised (BKSCAr) mini-Kingston Standardized Cognitive Assessment - Rev (mini-KSCAr)

## **Behaviour**

Kingston Standardized Behavioural Assessment - Community Form (KSBAcomm) Kingston Standardized Behavioural Assessment - Long Term Care Form (KSBALTC)

## **Caregiver Stress**

Kingston Caregiver Stress Scale (KCSS)

### REFERENCES

## KINGSTON STANDARDIZED BEHAVIOURAL ASSESSMENT (KSBA(comm/LTC))

- Hopkins R, Kilik L, Day D, Bradford L, Rows C, (2006) "Kingston Standardized Behavioural Assessment" *The American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias*, 21: 339-346.
- Kilik L, Hopkins R, Day D, Prince C, Prince P, Rows C. (2008) "The progression of behaviour in dementia: An in-office guide for clinicians." *The American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias*, 23:242-249. (Originally published online Feb 13, 2008)
- Kilik LA, & Hopkins RW. (2015) "Kingston Standardized BehaviouralAssessment (Community Form) Quick Reference Guide to the Progression of Behaviour Change in Dementia" (www.kingstonscales.org)
- Hopkins RW & Kilik LA (2006) "Kingston Standardized Behavioural Assessment Administration and Interpretation Manual" (www.kingstonscales.org)
- Hopkins, RW, David, MM, Kilik, LA. (2014) "A Re-examination of Behaviour in Depression: Have We Grossly Underestimated the Extent and Impact of the Behavioural Suffering?" *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science*, 46:456-463. (Originally published online May 28, 2014) doi: 10.1037/a0035527
- Kilik LA, & Hopkins RW. (2019) "The Relationship between Caregiver Stress and Behavioural Changes in Dementia." OBM Geriatrics, 3(2):1-16 doi:10.21926/obm.geriatr.1902052.

### KINGSTON STANDARDIZED COGNITIVE ASSESSMENT (KSCAr<sup>+Drive</sup>)

- Rodenburg, M., Hopkins, R., Hamilton, P., Ginsburg, L, Nashed, Y., and Minde, N. (1991) "The Kingston Standardized Cognitive Assessment." *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 6, 867-874.
- Hopkins R, Kilik L, Day D, Rows C, Hamilton P. (2004). The Revised Kingston Standardized Cognitive Assessment. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 19, 320-326.
- Hopkins R, Kilik L, Day D, Rows C, Hamilton P. (2005) The Brief Kingston Standardized Cognitive Assessment -Revised. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 20, 227-231.
- Hopkins, RW, Kilik, LA. (2013) "The mini-Kingston Standardized Cognitive Assessment" *The American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias*, 28, 239-244. (Originally published online Mar 28, 2013)
- Amanullah, S., MacDougall, K., Sweeney, N., Coffin, J., & Cole, J. (2013). "Synthetic cannabinoids in dementia with agitation: Case studies and literature review." *Clinical Neuropsychiatry*, 10, 142-147.
   (In this paper in several places the KSBA is incorrectly referred to as the "Kensington", rather than the Kingston Standardized Behavioural Assessment.)
- Heinik, J. & Kavé, G. (2015) "An investigation of the efficiency of the mini-Kingston standardized cognitive assessment-revised in classifying patients according to DSM-5 major and mild neurocognitive disorders due to possible Alzheimer's disease." *International Psychogeriatrics*, Jan: 1-7. [e-print] doi:10.1017/S1041610214002919
- Kilik, LA, Fogarty, JN, & Hopkins, RW. (2018) "Medical Driving Assessment Outcomes in Seniors Using the KSCAr+Drive An In-Office Screening Tool to Assist Clinicians In Determining Driving Safety and Who to Refer For Medical Driving Assessments" *J Parkinsons Dis Alzheimer Dis* 5(2):5 ISSN:2376-922X

## KINGSTON CAREGIVER STRESS SCALE (KCSS)

- Kilik LA, & Hopkins RW. (2019) "The Relationship between Caregiver Stress and Behavioural Changes in Dementia." OBM Geriatrics, 3(2):1-16 doi:10.21926/obm.geriatr.1902052.
- Kingston Caregiver Stress Scale Administration and Interpretation Manual http://www.kingstonscales.org/caregiver-stress-scale.html
- Sadak, T., Korpak, A., Wright, J. D., Lee, M. K., Noel, M., Buckwalter, K., & Borson, S. (2017)
   Psychometric Evaluation of Kingston Caregiver Stress Scale. *Clinical Gerontologist*, 40, (Apr 05) DOI:10.1080/07317115.2017.1313349
- Pitsikali, A., Galanakis, M., Varvogli, L., Darviri, C. (2015) Kingston Caregiver Stress Scale (KCSS) Greek Validation on Dementia Caregiver Sample. *Psychology*, 6, 1180-1186.