

internal revenue service page

<https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=1126816780714179&set=picfp.10001576041347.1046630075399517&type=3&theater>

Always with respect PLEASE GOVERN YOURSELF ACCORDINGLY

Notice: We are not Attorney or lawyers if you would like legal Advice contact a lawyer or Attorney even tho their is no such thing Attorney's License?

Citizens(Federal) and Persons vs. People

CITIZENS. Citizens are members of a political community who, in their associated capacity, have established or submitted themselves to the dominion of a government for the promotion of their general welfare and the protection of their individual as well as collective rights. ---U. S. v Cruikshank, 92 U. S. 542---

8 U. S. Code § 1401 - Nationals and citizens of United States at birth

1978—Subsec. (a). Pub. L. 95 - 432, § 3, struck out “(a)” before “The following” and redesignated pars. (1) to (7) as (a) to (g), respectively.

U. S. citizens were declared enemies of the U. S. by F. D. R. by Executive Order No. 2040 and ratified by Congress on March 9, 1933

FDR changed the meaning of The Trading with the Enemy Act of December 6, 1917 by changing the word “without” to citizens “within” the United States

To cover the debt in 1933 and future debt, the corporate government determined and established the value of the future labor of each incorporated individual in its jurisdiction to be \$630,000. A bond of \$630,000 is set on each Certificate of Live Birth. The certificates are bundled together into sets and then placed as securities on the open market. These certificates are then purchased by the Federal Reserve and/or foreign bankers. The purchaser is the “holder” of “Title.” This process made each and every person in this jurisdiction a bond servant.

U. S. citizens were declared enemies of the U. S. by F. D. R. by Executive Order No. 2040 and ratified

WHAT IS HJR 192? Can we Discharge our Debts to the... http://understandcontractlawandyouwin.com/hjr-192-discharg.../ Jun 7, 2014 ... House Joint Resolution 192 was then passed by Congress on June 5, 1933. This law was passed to do away with the gold clause For lawful Bloodline American ...

House Joint Resolution 192, 1933 - ****Redemption - tribe.net

tribes.tribe.net/redemption101/thread/07f05122-0090-408b

...

House Joint Resolution 192 ... this Article does not contain an absolute prohibition against the States making something else a tender in transfer of debt. HJR-192 ...

.Background- 1933 The Bankruptcy of the UNITED... www.youhavetheright.com/tour3

Background- 1933 The Bankruptcy of the UNITED STATES. ... passed House Joint Resolution 192 which served ... impossible as notes of debt do not pay for anything ...

Title 42 § 408(a)(8) Title 42 § 408

(a) In general

Whoever -

(8) discloses, uses, or compels the disclosure of the social security number of any person in violation of the laws of the United States; shall be guilty of a felony and upon conviction thereof shall be fined under title 18 or imprisoned for not more than five years, or both.

LAW OF THE LAND: Finally, the Supreme Court says, "He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their Rights." The Sovereign individual does not have to pay taxes. If you should discuss Hale v. Henkel with a run-of-the-mill attorney, he or she will tell you that the case is "old" and that it has been "overturned." If you ask that attorney for a citation of the case or cases that overturned Hale v. Henkel, there will not be a meaningful response. We have researched Hale v. Henkel and here is what we found :We know that Hale v. Henkel was decided in 1905 in the U. S. Supreme Court. Since it was the Supreme Court, the case is binding on all courts of the land, until another Supreme Court case says

it isn't. Has another Supreme Court case overturned *Hale v. Henkel*? The answer is NO. As a matter of fact, since 1905, the Supreme Court has cited *Hale v. Henkel* a total of 144 times. A fact more astounding is that since 1905, *Hale v. Henkel* has been cited by all of the federal and STATE appellate court systems a total of over 1600 times. None of the various issues of this case has ever been overruled. So if the STATE through the office of the judge continues to threaten or does imprison you, they are trying to force you into the STATE created office of "person." As long as you continue to claim your Rightful office of Sovereign, the STATE lacks all jurisdictions over you. The STATE needs someone filling the office of "person" in order to continue prosecuting a case in their Courts. A few weeks in jail puts intense pressure upon most "persons." Jail means the loss of job opportunities, separation from loved ones, and the piling up of debts. Judges will apply this pressure when they attempt to arraign you. When brought in chains before a crowded courtroom the issue of counsel will quickly come up and you can tell the court you are *In Propria Persona* or simply "PRO PER", as yourself and you need no other. Do not sign their papers or cooperate with them because most things about your life are private and are not the STATE's business to evaluate. Here is the Sovereign People's command in the constitution that the STATE respect their privacy: Right of privacy -- Every man or woman has the Right to be let alone and free from governmental intrusion into their private life except as otherwise provided herein. This section shall not be construed to limit the public's Right of access to public records and meetings as provided by law. See U.S. Constitution, Ninth Amendment If the judge is stupid enough to actually follow through with his threats and send you to jail, you will soon be released without even being arraigned and all charges will be dropped. You will then have documented prima facie grounds for false arrest and false imprisonment charges against him personally. Now that you know the hidden evil in the word "person", try to stop using it in everyday conversation. Simply use the correct term, MAN or WOMAN. Train yourself, your family and your friends to never use the derogatory word "person" ever again. This can be your first step in the journey to get yourself free from all STATE COUNTY and CITY Elected and public Servant's control.

"If money is wanted by rulers who have in any manner oppressed the People, they may retain it until their grievances are redressed, and thus peaceably procure relief, without trusting to despised petitions or disturbing the public tranquility." Journals of the Continental Congress. 26 October, 1774-1789. Journals 1: 105-13.

All codes, rules, and regulations are for government authorities only,

not human/Creators in accordance with God's laws. All codes, rules, and regulations are unconstitutional and lacking due process...” Rodriques v. Ray Donovan (U.S. Department of Labor) 769 F. 2d 1344, 1348 (1985). Federal Law also prohibits Cities and Counties from issuing citations against businesses, see Title 18 U.S.C. 891–896, quoting Section 891 “An extortionate means is any means which involves the use, or an express or implicit threat of use, of violence or other criminal means to cause harm to the person, reputation, or property.” No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce them Federal Law also prohibits Cities and Counties from issuing citations against businesses, see Title 18 U.S.C. 891–896, quoting Section 891 “An extortionate means is any means which involves the use, or an express or implicit threat of use, of violence or other criminal means to cause harm to the person, reputation, or property.” No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce “Personal liberty, or the Right to enjoyment of life and liberty, is one of the fundamental or natural Rights, which has been protected by its inclusion as a guarantee in the various constitutions, which is not derived from, or dependent on, the U.S. Constitution, which may not be submitted to a vote and may not depend on the outcome of an election. It is one of the most sacred and valuable Rights, as sacred as the Right to private property...and is regarded as UNALIENABLE.” 16 C. J. S., Constitutional Law, Sect. 202, p. 987. It is not the duty of the police to protect you. Their job is to protect the Corporation and arrest code breakers.” (Sapp v. Tallahasee, 348 So. 2nd. 363, Reiff v. City of Philadelphia 477 F. Supp. 1262, Lynch v. N. C. Dept of Justice 376 S. E. 2nd. 247.) Palazzolo v. Rhode Island | The Oyez Project at IIT Chicago–Kent ... Palazzolo v. Rhode Island | The Oyez Project at IIT Chicago–Kent College of Law

These Title, Statutes, CODES and rules are the printed letter of what the fascist foreign AGENT BAR attorneys are trying to say are “laws” and at the same time we all realize that these corporate gangsters do not play fair and frequently do not adhere to their own rules.

A motion filed as a “Legal Notice” or by way of affidavit will not be read and will likely be ignored by the foreign AGENTS in one of their private administrative tribunals (COURTS) of admiralty and equity.