To: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC)  
Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2013-0572

Re: Comments on EER Federal Register Notice Vol. 80 No. 224 FR 72840-72897 (dated 11-20-2015)

February 3, 2016

To Whom It May Concern:

The Northern California Prescribed Fire Council represents a diverse group of fire managers, regulators, academics, tribes, and other parties who implement, research, or otherwise support the use of prescribed fire in California’s fire-adapted ecosystems. We are writing this letter to provide comments on the EPA’s Exceptional Events Rule (EER), including affirmation of some of the encouraging fire-centric language in the revisions, as well as suggestions for where the rule may need additional clarification and framing.

Fire in California

Fire, ignited by lightning and Native Americans, has shaped the structure and composition of the majority of California’s ecosystems for millennia, and is indisputably a major component of natural background conditions in the state. Scott Stephens, a fire science professor at UC Berkeley, estimates that approximately 4.5 million acres burned every year in California prior to 1800—that’s just less than the average annual area burned in wildfires throughout the entire U.S. during the period 1994-2004, which was considered an extreme decade for wildfire. In California’s most abundant forest types, these historical fires were frequent and of limited intensity, consuming dead material and killing small trees, but leaving most large trees alive and intact. However, a century of fire suppression has led to significant shifts in forest composition, structure, and function, resulting in fires that are now uncharacteristically intense and lethal.

Despite increasing expenditures on fire suppression, these intense fires represent a growing proportion of the annual area burned in California’s forests, creating periods of severely degraded air quality, threatening human life and property, and altering the natural landscape, with implications for the conservation of threatened and endangered species.

Reversal of these trends requires the restoration and maintenance of forest conditions that once allowed for and were maintained by frequent, lower intensity fires. The scale of this task is immense, and fire itself, carefully and regularly applied under moderate conditions as either prescribed fire or managed wildfire, is the single most effective and efficient tool for restoring and maintaining forest health while protecting against future catastrophic fire and related threats to public health and welfare.

We are encouraged to see supportive language around fire use in the EPA’s revised Exceptional Events Rule. This recognition of the role of fire is a critical first step toward the major policy and management changes that are necessary to restore fire—and protect communities and public health—on a truly meaningful scale.
**Prescribed fire and managed wildfire**

As a prescribed fire council, our primary focus is the use of management-ignited prescribed fires that meet objectives outlined in a thorough, upfront planning process. There is broad agreement within both the management and scientific communities on the effectiveness and unique values of prescribed fire, which cannot be fully achieved through other, non-fire-based means. Cultural burning fits under this umbrella as well, and we would like to emphasize our support for tribal efforts to revitalize cultural burning practices and fire regimes.

However, we also understand the harsh reality of California’s current fire and fuels management backlog, where annual treatments to reduce wildfire hazard cover a mere fraction of the area that needs attention. In addition, we know that it is not feasible to fully address wildfire hazard—and resulting harmful emissions—with management-ignited prescribed fires and mechanical treatments alone. Many areas are either too steep or too remote for mechanical treatments, or are designated wilderness, where fire is the only tool for maintaining forest resilience. As a result, we recognize the need to define beneficial fire more broadly, and to regard both prescribed fire and managed wildfire as important tools for bringing fire-adapted ecosystems in California and throughout the country into a more resilient condition.

**Specific considerations**

- **Affirming the role of fire as an essential tool in reducing smoke impacts from wildfires:**
  Recent EPA documents include robust discussions of the ecological and social benefits of fire use. The proposed revisions to the EER clearly state that “allowing some wildfires to continue to burn even though they could be suppressed, and the thoughtful use of prescribed fire, can influence the occurrence, size and severity of catastrophic wildfires, which may lead to improved public safety, improved protection of property and an overall reduction in fire-induced smoke impacts and subsequent health effects” (80 FR 72866). Recognition of the important and inevitable role of fire in California is critical for framing these issues, and we commend EPA for their inclusion of this language.

- **Fully recognizing air quality tradeoffs associated with managing for climate adaptation, mitigation, and forest resilience:**
  The proposed rule also acknowledges the high likelihood of increased exceedances in the future “due to the natural accumulation of fuels in the absence of fire, [and] due to climate change that is leading to increased incidence of wildfire, which may necessitate land managers employing prescribed fire more frequently to manage fuel loads and achieve other benefits” (80 FR 72866).

Land managers in California are experiencing unprecedented fuel accumulations as a result of climate change and prolonged drought, and, as outlined in Governor Brown’s recent Executive Order, prescribed fire is one of the state’s primary tools for addressing drought-related fuels. We anticipate needing to implement prescribed fire not only at increased frequencies (as cited in the proposed rule), but also at increased spatial scales and over longer durations, to adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change in CA’s vegetative communities. It is critically important that EPA, partner agencies, and stakeholders recognize the trade-offs in balancing forest resilience and public health benefits from an active fire program.

Due to fuel loading backlogs from fire exclusion and the need to increase the scope and scale of fire use in the face of climate change, exceedances occurring under this new, science-based understanding of the

---

role of fire should not be viewed as non-compliance with NAAQS, but rather as implicit components of a more resiliency-focused approach. Some exceedances will be necessary to ensure both ecological resilience and public health and safety.

- **Inability of the rule to provide an adequate regulatory tool for restoring forest resilience and reducing overall emissions from wildland fire and impacts on public health:**

  While an improvement over the prior EER, the new proposed rule serves to further the false dichotomy that suggests human beings somehow live outside the environment that sustains us all. The use of terminology such as “natural” verses “anthropogenic” sources or causes is outdated and confusing in the context of wildland fire. Fire is an inevitable and natural part of California’s future and regardless of cause, anthropogenic decisions have affected and will continue to affect all wildland fire outcomes and public health effects. We must accept that there are emissions trade-offs, learn how better to live with that fact, and get on with the work of restoring and maintaining the resilience of fire-adapted ecosystems.

  The Statement of Intent\(^2\) for California’s Coordination and Communication Protocol for Naturally Ignited Fires (June 1, 2011)\(^3\) states: “Another guiding element is that fire management activities incorporate public health and environmental quality considerations. Thus, a goal of LMAs is to pursue appropriate actions to minimize adverse public health impacts from fire emissions without jeopardizing firefighter and public safety.” Not only does prescribed fire provide the opportunity to reduce smoke emissions from fire, but it also occurs under conditions that are likely less hazardous to firefighters.

  Although the proposed EER may ease the process for demonstrations and provide additional guidance or rules to better clarify what information is needed for demonstrations, the process to monitor and apply for the EER is likely to remain cost prohibitive and cumbersome; this is especially true for prescribed fire, and as a result, the rule is and will likely be rarely used for that purpose. While we appreciate EPA’s commitment to “working with federal land managers, tribes and states to effectively manage prescribed fire use to reduce the impact of wildland fire related emissions on ozone” (80 FR 75384), to our knowledge the EER has never been used to exempt prescribed fire in California.

  We believe that beneficial fire use should be the primary component of any practical strategy to restore and maintain forest resilience on a landscape scale and protect the public from severe wildfire events and related pollutants. By failing to acknowledge tradeoffs over time, the current regulatory system unduly limits the duration of planned burns, limits burn windows, limits burn seasons, and makes the process more costly and politically risky for managers to attempt.

  EPA understands the benefits of using prescribed fire to limit emissions from larger, uncharacteristic fires (80 FR 72869-72870).\(^4\) It is time for EPA to offer a more streamlined, less costly and cumbersome process that fosters the use of beneficial fire. Recognizing the need for prescribed fire to prevent catastrophic events, and promoting landscape fire plans, smoke management BMPs, and collaborative
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\(^2\) [http://www.arb.ca.gov/smp/nif/appendix_a.pdf](http://www.arb.ca.gov/smp/nif/appendix_a.pdf)

\(^3\) [http://www.arb.ca.gov/smp/nif/Coordination_and_Communication_Protocol.pdf](http://www.arb.ca.gov/smp/nif/Coordination_and_Communication_Protocol.pdf)

\(^4\) “The 2007 Exceptional Events Rule recognized the benefits of prescribed fire as summarized earlier in this section and included provisions for these event types in both the preamble to the final rule and in regulatory language…The preamble language recognized that, although case and area-specific, any number of conditions could exist that would favor the use of prescribed fire rather than alternate treatments. Such scenarios identified in the preamble included: significant build-up of forest fuels in a particular area that if left unaddressed would pose an unacceptable risk of catastrophic wildfire; pest or disease outbreak; natural species composition dependent on a specific fire return interval; and legal requirements precluding the use of mechanical fuel reduction methods.”
coordination between fire managers, air regulators, scientists and public health officials is the best path forward. EPA will also need to stop exempting wildfire events from attainment calculations to allow for honest tradeoff accounting.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised rule and shed light on the critical connections between forest health and public health. Fire is an inevitable and natural part of California’s future, but the nature of that fire is in our hands. We hope that in addition to the Exceptional Events Rule, the EPA will seek new opportunities to offer a regulatory environment that specifically recognizes and enables the use of beneficial fire on the landscape.

Please feel free to contact us if you need any further information.

Sincerely,

Steering Committee members* and other supporters of the Northern California Prescribed Fire Council, as listed below:

Lenya Quinn-Davidson, Director  
Northern California Prescribed Fire Council  
nwcapfc@gmail.com

Nick Goulette*  
Chair, Northern CA Prescribed Fire Council  
Executive Director, Watershed Research and Training Center  
nickg@hayfork.net

Jeffrey Kane,* Assistant Professor  
Dept. Forestry and Wildland Resources  
Humboldt State University  
Jeffrey.Kane@humboldt.edu

Will Harling,* Director  
Mid Klamath Watershed Council  
Orleans/Somes Bar Fire Safe Council  
Orleans, CA  
will@mkwc.org

Jeremy Bailey*  
Associate Director, Fire Learning Network  
Vice Chairperson, National Coalition of Prescribed Fire Councils  
The Nature Conservancy  
Salt Lake City, Utah  
jeremy.bailey@tnc.org

Carl Skinner*  
Shasta Lake, CA  
bmf@msn.com

James K. Agee  
Emeritus Professor of Forest Ecology  
School of Environmental and Forest Sciences  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington  
jagee@uw.edu

Mike Minton  
Interagency Fire Chief  
Six Rivers NF / Redwood NP  
Eureka, CA  
(707) 441-3535

Kelly Martin  
Chief of Fire and Aviation Management  
Yosemite National Park  
kelly martin@nps.gov

Amy Ziegler  
Interagency Fire Planner  
Six Rivers NF & Redwood NP  
Eureka, CA  
aziegler@fs.fed.us
Georgann Barre, President
Black Bart Trail Firesafe/Firewise Community
Redwood Valley, CA
dabarre@wildblue.net

John Buckley, Executive Director
Central Sierra Environmental Resource Center
Twain Harte, CA
johnb@cserc.org

Joe Snipes
Eureka, CA
joescg23@gmail.com

Thaddeus Koster, Private landowner
Gaston, OR
Thaddeuss@ yahoo.com

Brendan Twieg
University of California Cooperative Extension
Eureka, CA
bdtwieg@ucanr.edu

Jim Wells, Chair
Southern Oregon Prescribed Fire Network
homegrown53@hotmail.com

Karuna Greenberg, Restoration Director
Salmon River Restoration Council
karuna@srrc.org

David Popp
California Native Plant Society
Quincy, Calif
davidpppp@gmail.com

Don Amador, Western Representative
BlueRibbon Coalition, Inc.
brdon@sharetrails.org

Dawn Pedersen, Unit Forester
CAL FIRE, Tehama-Glenn Unit
Dawn.Pedersen@fire.ca.gov

Tom McCubbins
Watershed Coordinator/Project Manager
Resource Conservation District of Tehama County
tom@tehamacountyrcd.org

Jeff Tunnel, Fire Mitigation and Education Specialist
Bureau of Land Management, Ukiah Field Office
Ukiah, CA
jstunnel@blm.gov

Jim Cramer, Executive Committee Member
Mother Lode Chapter, Sierra Club
cramerjc@gmail.com

Madelin Holtkamp
Mendocino County Fire Safe Council
Ukiah, CA
firesafe@pacific.net

Kip Van de Water, Fire Planning Specialist
Klamath National Forest
Yreka, CA
kvandewater@fs.fed.us

Carol L. Rice
Wildland Resource Management
carollrice@aol.com

Mark S. Andre, RPF #2391
Environmental Services Director
City of Arcata
Arcata, CA
mandre@cityofarcata.org

April Shackelford
Fuels/Prevention Specialist
North Lake Tahoe Fire Protection District
ashackelford@nltfpd.net

Daniel T. Kelleher
Firestorm Wildland Fire Suppression Inc.
dkelleher12345@gmail
Ryan DeSantis, Forest Advisor
University of California Cooperative Extension
rdesantis@ucanr.edu

Hugh T. Ashley, Private landowner
Hatchet Creek Ranch
Trinity Center, CA
mr.mongous@yahoo.com

Dave Kahan
Full Circle Forestry
Redway, CA
sparky@asis.com

Caerleon Safford
Coordinator, Fire Safe Sonoma
Cazadero, CA
csafford@mcn.org

Kenneth C. Baldwin, RPF #1855
bravefriend@hotmail.com

Larry Luckham
Fire Commissioner
San Rafael Fire Department
San Rafael, CA
Larry.Luckham@cityofsanrafael.org

Central Coast Prescribed Fire Council
Board of Directors
Contact: Eric Moore, erdc2tails@mac.com

John McClelland, Fuels Technician
Redwood National Park
john_mcclelland@nps.gov

Jose Luis Duce Aragues
SIG (Spatial Informatics Group) - GIS. (California-Singapore)
jlducearagues@yahoo.es

Mason McKinley, Fire Program Manager
Center for Natural Lands Management
Olympia, WA
mmckinley@cnlm.org

Brian Peterson, Vegetation Ecologist/Botanist
Nomad Ecology
peterson.n.brian@gmail.com

Paul Carmichael, Fire Protection Officer
Nevada Division of Forestry
pcarmichael@forestry.nv.gov

Rick Mowery, Fire Ecologist
rbmowery@gmail.com

Lathrop Leonard
Forester I, RPF #2845
California State Parks
Lathrop.Leonard@parks.ca.gov

Phillip Dye
Prometheus Fire Consulting
phil@prometheusfireconsulting.com

Ali Freedlund
Working Lands and Human Communities Program
Coordinator, Mattole Restoration Council
Secretary, Lower Mattole Fire Safe Council
ali@mattole.org

Heather A. Kramer, PhD candidate
UC Berkeley
anu.kramer@berkeley.edu

Oregon Prescribed Fire Council
oregonrxfirecouncil@gmail.com

Erin Kelly
Dept. of Forestry and Wildland Resources
Humboldt State University
eck107@humboldt.edu

David Betz
Los Padres National Forest
dbetz@fs.fed.us

Tom Schott
Registered Professional Forester
Mendocino County RCD
tschott@willitsonline.com
Jean-Louis Carmona, Director
Van Duzen Fire Safe Council
jlc4660@gmail.com

Nicholas Matheson
District Fuels Officer
Mendocino National Forest
Willows, CA
nmatheson@fs.fed.us

Sara Dawn Husby
Executive Director and Campaign Director
Tuleyome
Woodland, CA
sdhusby@tuleyome.org

Jess Wills
President
Firestorm Wildland Fire Suppression Inc.
jesswills@firestormfire.com

Chester Anderson, District Manager
Western Shasta Resource Conservation District
Anderson, CA
chester@westernshastarcd.org