

the State of California. Plaintiff is further informed and believes that at all relevant times herein alleged, Defendant *Corporation* was doing business extensively within the County of San Diego.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all times herein mentioned, Defendant *Corporation* was a business, form or forms unknown, formed and existing under the laws of the State of California. Plaintiff is further informed and believes that at all relevant times herein alleged, Defendant *Corporation* was doing business extensively within the County of San Diego

5. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all times herein mentioned, Defendant *Individual* was an individual, residing in the County of Orange, State of California.

6. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all times mentioned herein, Defendants *Corporation*, and/or DOES 1 through 50 operated a landscaping and/or gardening business, and/or were the owners of, had an ownership interest in, leased, exercised control over and/or maintained that certain *vehicle*, California license number *1234567*, and which was the vehicle that was involved in the herein alleged incident with Plaintiff ("SUBJECT VEHICLE").

7. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all times mentioned herein, Defendants *Individual* and/or DOES 51 through 100 were employees, agents or contractors of Defendants *Corporation* and/or DOES 1 to 50, with duties and responsibilities which included but were not limited to landscaping and gardening services on behalf of, and the operation of vehicles that were owned, leased, controlled and/or maintained by Defendants *Corporation* and/or DOES 1 to 50.

8. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all times mentioned herein,
Defendant *Individual* was and is an employee, agent or contractor of Defendants *Corporation* and/or DOES 1 through 50, and was operating the SUBJECT
VEHICLE at the time he encountered Plaintiff, in the course and scope of his
employment or agency for, and with the express or implied permission of,

Defendants *Corporation* and/or DOES 1 through 50.

9. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all times mentioned herein,
Defendants *Individual* and/or DOES 51 through 100 were the employees, agents,
contractors, and/or other affiliated individuals or entities of Defendants *Corporation* and/or DOES 1 through 50, and were acting within the scope and
purpose of such employment or agency, and with the power and authority vested in
them, or ratification, endorsement or approval of the conduct of the events and
happenings alleged herein by Defendants *Corporation* and/or DOES 1 through 50.

10. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all times mentioned herein,
DOES 1 through 100 are legally responsible for the events and happenings alleged
herein, and thereby legally and proximately caused injuries and damages to
Plaintiff. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued
herein as DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, and therefore sue these Defendants by
such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to insert their true names
and capacities when ascertained.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

11. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation and statement contained in Paragraphs 1 through 10 above as if fully and completely alleged herein.

12. On or about January 19, 2013, at approximately 1:30 a.m., Plaintiff was driving his *vehicle* northbound on Interstate 5, passing through the Border Patrol checkpoint north of Camp Pendleton.

13. At the same place and time, Defendant *Individual* was driving the SUBJECT VEHICLE northbound on Interstate 5, in the course and scope of his employment or agency, and with the express or implied permission of Defendants *Corporation* and/or DOES 51 through 100.

14. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant *Individual* is diabetic

and must carefully regulate and monitor his blood sugar levels to avoid insulin
reactions. Plaintiff is informed and believes that because of his medical condition,
Defendant *Individual* must carefully follow his meal plan, eating the right amount
of the proper food at the right time, and must test his blood for glucose several
times a way to properly manage his condition.

15. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant *Individual* had failed to properly monitor his blood sugar levels in the hours before the incident. Plaintiff is further informed and believes that shortly before the subject collision Defendant *Individual* was experiencing symptoms of hypoglycemia. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant *Individual* knew that it was dangerous to ignore the symptoms of hypoglycemia, and further knew that if he did not treat those symptoms quickly, it would lead to a serious condition that would cause him to lose consciousness. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant *Individual* knew that it was reckless for him to continue to drive due to the danger of passing out behind the wheel.

16. Plaintiff is informed and believes that instead of stopping to check his blood sugar when he noted symptoms of hypoglycemia, Defendant *Individual* continued to drive. Plaintiff is informed and believes that as *Individual* was passing through the Border Patrol checkpoint, he went into diabetic shock and lost consciousness. As a result, the SUBJECT VEHICLE careened into Plaintiff's lane of travel, causing a collision between Plaintiff's vehicle and the SUBJECT VEHICLE.

17. At all relevant times herein mentioned, Defendants *Individual*, *Corporation* and/or DOES 1 through 100, owed a duty of care to other motorists, including Plaintiff, to operate the SUBJECT VEHICLE in a safe and lawful manner. This duty of care included a duty by Defendant *Individual* to regulate and monitor his blood sugar levels to ensure that he would not lose consciousness while driving.

18. On or about January 19, 2013, at about 1:35 a.m., Defendant *Individual*, while acting in the course and scope of his employment or agency for, and with the express or implied permission of Defendants *Corporation* and/or DOES 1 through 50, negligently, recklessly, and/or carelessly, ignored the signs and symptoms of hypoglycemia which he was experiencing. As a result, Defendant *Individual* lost consciousness and thereby lost control of the SUBJECT VEHICLE, causing it to collide violently with Plaintiff's vehicle, thereby causing severe orthopedic and neurological injuries to his body, and serious psychological, mental and emotional stress and trauma.

19. As a proximate result of the Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff was hurt and injured in his health, strength, and activity, sustaining injuries to his body and shock and injury to his nervous systems and person. Such injuries have caused, and will continue to cause, Plaintiff to experience physical pain, mental suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, physical impairment, inconvenience, anxiety, and emotional distress, in a sum that will be proven at trial.

20. As a further proximate result of the Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff has been required to, and in the future will be required to, employ health care practitioners to examine, treat, and care for him. Consequently, Plaintiff has incurred, and in the future will incur, medical and incidental expenses for such care and services, the amounts of which will be proven at trial.

21. As a further proximate result of the Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff has been, and will continue to be prevented from attending to his usual occupation, or other occupation. Consequently, Plaintiff has incurred, and in the future will incur, lost earnings and/or loss of earning capacity, the amounts of which will be proven at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENT ENTRUSTMENT (AS AGAINST DEFENDANTS *Corporation* and DOES 1 through 50)

22. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation

1

and statement contained in Paragraphs 1 through 21 above as if fully and completely alleged herein. 2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On or about January 19, 2013, at about 1:35 a.m., Defendant 23. *Individual*, while acting in the course and scope of his employment or agency for, and with the express or implied permission of Defendants Corporation and/or DOES 1 through 50, was negligent in the operation of the SUBJECT VEHICLE.

24. At all relevant times herein alleged, Defendants Corporation and/or DOES 1 through 50 were the owners, had an ownership interest in, leased, exercised control over and/or maintained the SUBJECT VEHICLE for the purpose of conducting its landscaping business.

25. At all relevant times herein alleged, Defendants Corporation and/or DOES 1 through 50 knew, or from facts known to them should have known, or from facts ascertainable through the exercise of reasonable care should have known, that Defendant Individual was incompetent or unfit to drive Defendants' vehicles, including the SUBJECT VEHICLE, due to his medical condition.

At all relevant times herein alleged, Defendants Corporation and/or 26. DOES 1 through 50 permitted Defendant Individual to operate and drive its vehicles, including the SUBJECT VEHICLE, for the purpose of promoting and profiting the its landscaping business, despite their knowledge, whether actual or constructive, of his incompetence or unfitness to do so due to his medical condition.

27. Defendant Individual's incompetence or unfitness to operate a motor proximately caused and was a substantial factor in bringing about the injuries, harm and damages to Plaintiff.

28. As a proximate result of the Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff was hurt and injured in his health, strength, and activity, sustaining injuries to his body and shock and injury to his nervous systems and person. Such injuries have caused, and will continue to cause, Plaintiff to experience physical pain, mental suffering,

loss of enjoyment of life, physical impairment, inconvenience, anxiety, and emotional distress, in a sum that will be proven at trial.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29. As a further proximate result of the Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has been required to, and in the future will be required to, employ health care practitioners to examine, treat, and care for him. Consequently, Plaintiff has incurred, and in the future will incur, medical and incidental expenses for such care and services, the amounts of which will be proven at trial.

30. As a further proximate result of the Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has been, and will continue to be, prevented from attending to his usual occupation, or other occupation. Consequently, Plaintiff has incurred, and in the future will incur, lost earnings and/or loss of earning capacity, the amounts of which will be proven at trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENT HIRING, SUPERVISION <u>AND RETENTION</u>

(AS AGAINST DEFENDANTS Corporation AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50)

31. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation and statement contained in Paragraphs 1 through 30 above as if fully and completely alleged herein.

32. Defendants *Corporation* and/or DOES 1 through 50 had a duty to exercise reasonable care in employing persons, including Defendant *Individual*, to operate its vehicles, including the SUBJECT VEHICLE, in the course and scope of its business.

33. Defendants *Corporation* and/or DOES 1 through 50 had a duty to properly train and supervise the employees, agents and contractors, including Defendant *Individual*, in preparation for, and during the course of, their employment or agency with Defendants *Corporation* and/or DOES 1 through 50.

34. Defendants *Corporation* and/or DOES 1 through 50 had a duty to terminate the employees, agents and contractors, including Defendant *Individual*,

upon discovering conduct unsuitable for their continued employment or otherwise unlawful, including but not limited to a history of negligent, reckless, and/or careless operation of its vehicles, and/or in the performance of their duties for the landscaping business.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

35. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all relevant times herein alleged, Defendant Individual was incompetent and/or unfit to perform work or other activities, including operating a motor vehicle, and was likely to harm other persons or property, on and before the date of the incident with Plaintiff.

Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all relevant times herein 36. alleged, Defendants Corporation and/or DOES 1 through 50 knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known that Defendant Individual was incompetent or unfit to operate a motor vehicle, and that the continued employment, contract and/or retention of Defendant Individual would lead, and did in fact lead to and create a particular risk of great bodily harm to the public, including Plaintiff.

Defendants Corporation and/or DOES 1 through 50 breached the duty 37. of care by, among other acts and omissions, failing to properly train, investigate, monitor, supervise, reprimand and/or terminate Defendant Individual on or before the date of the incident with Plaintiff, which was a substantial factor in causing the injuries, harm and damages to Plaintiff.

As a proximate result of Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff has been, 38. and continues to be, injured in his health, strength, and activity, sustaining severe injury to his body, all of which have caused and continue to cause him great physical pain and suffering. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the injuries he sustained from the subject accident will result in permanent disability.

39. As a further proximate result of Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff has 26 employed, and continues to employ, physicians and other health care providers to examine, treat and care for him, and did and continues to incur medical and 28

incidental expenses.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

40. As a further proximate result of Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, a loss of earnings, and loss of earning capacity from the injuries sustained in the subject collision.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

1. For noneconomic damages relating to past and future physical pain, mental suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, physical impairment, inconvenience, anxiety, and emotional distress, according to proof;

For economic damages relating to past and future medical and
 incidental expenses, according to proof;

3. For economic damages relating to past and future loss of earnings
and/or earning capacity, according to proof;

4. For pre-judgment interest allowable by law;

5. For costs of suit incurred herein; and

6. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and

proper.

Dated: September 26, 2014

LAW OFFICES OF ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY Attorneys for Plaintiff