

(1) **Size and Makeup of the SSC:**

- a. The SSC shall have 29 members within 8 sectors as follows:
 1. EISCP – ten members
 2. Transmission Owners - three members
 3. Generation Owners – three members
 4. Other Suppliers – three members
 5. NGO's - three members
 6. TDU's and Public Power – three members
 7. End Users – three members
 8. Canada – one member

- b. The makeup of each sector shall be as set forth in the EIPC straw proposal with the exceptions of the following:
 - Participants and their affiliates may vote only once and may participate in only one sector. Affiliates include any ownership in joint ventures, LLC's and holding companies.

 - Transmission owner developers would be in the Transmission Owners' sector, subject to asset limitations or other eligibility criteria to be developed. [QUESTION: DO TRANSMISSION OWNERS WHO ARE CONTROL AREA OPERATORS PARTICIPATE IN THE TRANSMISSION OWNERS' SECTOR OR, AS EIPC MEMBERS, DO THEY FALL OUTSIDE THE SSC PROCESS?]

(2) **Decision Making:**

- a. Consensus:
 - The goal of the SSC is to reach consensus, which is defined as none of the 29 members strongly objecting to a proposal.
 - Each sector will define for itself how its members will take positions in the SSC consensus process. For instance, a sector could decide that it only needs a simple majority of its participants to establish a position for that sector. In contrast, a sector could decide that it needs unanimity to establish its sector's position.
 - Each sector will have the opportunity to caucus in real-time to establish its position on the issue at hand.

- b. If at least 19 (66%) of the members decide that consensus cannot be reached, then the SSC shall resort to backstop voting.

c. Backstop Voting:

- Each sector shall determine its voting process.
- The backstop voting shall require a supermajority of 23 (79%) member votes in favor to pass.

(3) **EISPC Role in Defining Modeling:**

OPTION A (EISPC designates its scenarios first) EISPC would have the opportunity to define four of eight macroeconomic analyses and one of the final three build-outs in accordance with the following:

- a. If the schedule permits, EISPC would define four of the eight macroeconomic analyses and, as part of phase two, one of the three build-outs before the SSC completes work on the remaining scenarios. The SSC would have the ability to adapt four of the eight macros and two of the build-outs in response to EISPC's scenarios.
- b. EISPC shall provide some mechanism through which the sectors could provide comments (perhaps through a comment period) at the EISPC meetings when it is discussing the macros and build-outs.

OPTION B (EISPC designates its scenarios concurrently with the SSC process) EISPC is recognized by all SSC members as reserving the right to define four of eight macroeconomic analyses and one of the final three build-outs in accordance with the following:

- a. EISPC will work in good faith with the SSC, through the process it creates, to define eight macroeconomic analyses, four of which will be designed to meet EISPC's requirements, and define three build-outs, one of which will be designed to meet EISPC's requirements. As the SSC process draws to conclusion, the EISPC may, at its sole discretion, modify the four state macro analyses, and the one state build-out, to better meet EISPC requirements, but shall provide advanced notice and explanation of the required changes to the SSC before final decisions on the eight macroeconomic analyses and three build-outs are made.
- b. In parallel to the SSC process, EISPC may begin work on its own to define the four macroeconomic analyses and one final build-outs that meet EISPC requirements, for the purpose of informing the SSC process, and for ensuring that at the end of the EIPC process, EISPC is assured of at least four macro analyses, and one build out scenario that meets EISPC requirements.
- c. If EISPC launches a parallel process, it shall provide some mechanism through which the sectors can provide comments (perhaps through a

comment period) at the EISPC meetings when it is discussing the macros and build-outs.

(4) Table Arrangements and Meeting Participation:

- a. For the first four meetings of the SSC, the SSC will pilot the following table arrangements and meeting rules:
 - Each sector shall have a round table at the SSC meeting that would accommodate 10 seats.
 - These tables will be arranged in a large circle. There will be additional seating elsewhere in the room for others to sit.
 - Each sector can determine who will sit at their tables. (As explained below, simply sitting at a sector table does NOT entitle a person to speak at the meeting.) For example, the TO Sector has stated that it will have ten regional representatives sitting at its table from the following regions: ISO-NE, NYISO, SPP, SIRPP, Florida, MAPP, PJM (2), MISO (2).
 - In order to enhance group dynamics, those sitting at any sector's table should be committed to attending all of the SSC meetings. In other words, there is an expectation that the same faces will be at each table for a number of meetings.
- b. Each sector will designate one person who will be its primary contact and who will be an SSC member for one year. The sector may designate different people to serve as its other members for each meeting, or may designate members for longer periods, at its option.
- c. Each meeting will include a time-limited open comment period, during which non-member participants may speak on the issues listed on the meeting's agenda. After this open comment period, only the 29 members of the SSC will participate in the discussions at the SSC. All 29 members should have equal opportunity to speak at the meetings. Breaks will provided throughout SSC meetings to ensure non-SSC members have the opportunity to talk with their sector representatives.
- d. At the conclusion of the fourth meeting, the SSC will determine whether these table arrangements and meeting rules are conducive to consensus building. If 15 (51%) of the members believe they are not conducive to consensus building, the following meeting will default to a single-table, members-only speaking format until the SSC specifies a new table arrangement and/or new meeting rules, which must be determined according to the consensus definitions set forth above. If, however, after the first meeting and before the fourth meeting at least 19 (66%) of the SSC members decide that the table arrangements are not conducive to consensus building, the default option may be implemented at next meeting.

(5) Agendas:

- Agendas will be provided in advance of the SSC meeting.
- While any non-member of a sector may suggest agenda items, the final agenda will be established by the SSC executive committee.