

By Judith Futerfas pg 1/1

Excerpt of 24 pages 8.5.22 submitted to Judge Eig (Miami-Dade) and Judge Moreland (Manatee County)

“...Regarding further retaliation, specifically the remote neuro targeting against me, on Tuesday, 12.8.20 two FIU Police and two Miami-Dade Police came to my apartment to warn me not to report an FIU advisor I had had, Dr. Richard O. Flamm, any further. As Covid was prevalent, the police remained outside the door and we spoke via phone for about 20 minutes. Detective Ralph Torres of FIU spoke for the group. He initially said that they were checking up on me because I had emailed concerns regarding Flamm to certain FIU faculty and administrators. I had mentioned to these FIU faculty, etc. that I would likely be reporting also to the FIU Board of Trustees. During the conversation, Torres told me not to submit any more complaints about Flamm to these FIU entities. I then realized that that was the point of this police “visit”. (It was concerning that this conversation was happening at all, not to mention that it was police trying to squash my rights of communication, and beyond that, police from a university.) I had wondered why they didn’t just call. Four officers as well seemed three too many. I have detailed emails I sent to Torres outlining this conversation with police along with additional information and my corrections to his report.

I did subsequently report Flamm further to the FIU Board of Trustees, initially on 1.14.21 via email to their administrative assistant Milly. On 1.25.21 I emailed Mr. Colson, Chair of the FIU Board of Trustees. I sent subsequent emails to him as well as other Trustees. I voiced complaints about Flamm and requested that Flamm’s grant money be returned. I also requested that my degree be taken back. I have only known Flamm superficially as a student. However, I wanted nothing to do with him due to subsequent concerns for his morals, ethics, and respect for the law (further detailed in prior submissions and herein). Neither of these requests were implemented. I was told that my thesis online at FIU has had a lot of views. This was when I had called FIU regarding editing my on-line thesis. I was trying to take Flamm’s name out. I was told I could alter the introductory pages but not the thesis itself. I have not changed anything in the thesis, and it exists as I initially submitted it, including a nice thank-you to Flamm. I would never have agreed to work with anyone supporting targeting and/or not supporting Our Constitution and Due Process. As mentioned in prior documents, I have drafted (portions of) a “National Student Bill of Rights” (see cover of “Humanity’s Abyss...”). Flamm’s superior Ronnie Austin Sr. at FWC OIG seems to have not done his due diligence. When I spoke with him, he defended Flamm and began asking me questions. I don’t mind questions, but it seems he should have asked them years ago. For some reason he seemed to think I knew Flamm well, when I didn’t know him much at all.

Within a week of emailing Milly, prior to my contacting Colson, the remote neuro targeting began. I sent an email to the US Attorney on 1.21.21. In a follow-up email to them 1.24.21 I mention the hissing sound that began on or about 1.20.21. I also included documentation from whistleblowers, victims, etc. In an email to them 1.30.21 I describe how the “buzzing” continues and that it cuts out when I am on the phone and mentioned a call to FPL. (FPL had said the sound was not due to their equipment.) When first targeted, I searched “buzzing sound” (or hissing) on the internet, and volumes of information about this horror, that had been going on in the US for decades, appeared. I learned on the internet about “Targeted Individuals” and steps that might help with protection...”