

#### **UNIT 2** Stretching and Shrinking

# SIMILARITY

Two figures are considered similar if they have corresponding angles of equal measure and the ratios of each pair of corresponding sides are equivalent.

SAME SHAPE • SAME ANGLES • DIFFERENT SIDE LENGTHS



**Mug** and **Zug** are **similar**. They have the same shape and angle measurements, although one is larger than the other.





# How can we tell the two figures are definitely similar, other than just looking at them?

# Scale factor!

If two figures are similar, there is a special relationship between them called a scale factor. The scale factor is the number multiplied by the side lengths of the one figure to stretch or shrink it to create the similar image. Look at the top of Mug's head, at the line segment  $\overline{BC}$ . That length is 4 units. Now look at the corresponding top of Zug's head, line segment  $\overline{B'C'}$ . That length is 8 units. 8 is 2 times larger than 4, so we can say the scale factor from Mug to Zug is 2. Let's check another pair of corresponding side lengths to see if this is consistent. Let's look at the corresponding side length in Zug's drawing at his foot, line segment  $\overline{K'J'}$ . That length is 1 unit. Now look at the corresponding side length in Zug's drawing at his foot, line segment  $\overline{K'J'}$ . That length is 2. 2 is 2 times larger than 1. So once again, we have shown the scale factor is 2. You can do this with every pair of side lengths and this relationship will always be 2, because Zug is 2 times as large as Mug. Try it out!

#### A scale factor of...

1.5 or $1\frac{1}{2}$	Enlarges the figure by 150%	
2	Enlarges the figure by 200%	
3	Enlarges the figure by 300%	S
.25 or $\frac{1}{4}$	Reduces the figure to 25% of the original size	are
.5 or $\frac{1}{2}$	Reduces the figure to 50% of the original size	



### How can we make similar figures?

We can take a figure and scale it by applying a rule to it, making it larger or smaller. In the case above, we took our original figure, Mug, and scaled it by a scale factor of 2 to get Zug.



#### How can we tell the scale factor when given two figures?

The scale factor show ups in different ways. First make sure you know which figure is the **original** and which figure is the **image**, the resulting figure after you've scaled the original. Let's look at Bug to Zug, an enlargement.



Mug the original's rule is (x,y). Zug the image's rule is (2x,2y).



Compare side lengths on your graphs, as we did previously. What number is multiplied by the side length in the original figure to get the corresponding side's length in the image? In our example above that number is 2, so 2 is the scale factor. But, be careful! This needs to be true for every pair of side lengths you compare! Every side length in the original needs to be multiplied by 2 to get the corresponding side length in the image. If that number being multiplied isn't consistent then the two images are NOT similar!

**B** Compare their coordinate point rules in their table. The rule of the original figure is always considered (x,y). Look at the coefficients before the variables in the rule for Zug (2x,2y). They are both the same. That tells us that Zug is similar to Mug. 2 is the consistent coefficient, so 2 is the scale factor. Be careful! If these two coefficients are not the same then the two images are NOT similar!

Compare points on the corresponding graphs. Let's look at a pair of corresponding points on the two graphs. On Mug our original's graph, point L is at (2,1). The corresponding point on Zug the image's graph is L<sup>I</sup>, or "L prime". (Notice we can't call it L as well, since that would be confusing.) That point, L<sup>I</sup>, is at (4,2). What number did we multiply the x coordinate in the original point L by to get the x coordinate in the image point L'? 2! What number did we multiply the y coordinate in the original point L by to get the y coordinate in the image point L'? 2! Since both those answers were 2, we can say our scale factor is 2. Be careful! If these two answers aren't the same then the two images are NOT similar!

# What is the scale factor if our original figure is the larger one, Zug, and we are reducing it to the size of the smaller one, Mug?

Use one of the three strategies above to confirm our scale factor is  $\frac{1}{2}$ !



Notice that the scale factor when

going from larger to smaller is the **RECIPROCAL of** the scale factor going from smaller to larger.



(0, 1)

(2, 1)

(2, 0)

12 0





## How can we use ratios of side lengths to determine if two figures are similar?

1. Label the sides of your two figures so you can determ corresponding side length For example, use labels like S (short), M (medium), and (long), or SHORT SIDE and LONG SIDE, etc.

2. Create a ratio in fractiona of adjacent side lengths (

other) in the first figure.

the two figures are similar.

Label the sides of your two figures so you can determine corresponding side lengths. For example, use labels like <b>S</b> (short), <b>M</b> (medium), and <b>L</b> (long), or <b>SHORT SIDE</b> and <b>LONG SIDE</b> , etc.	left side 2 A		left side 3	<u>4.5 top</u> В
Create a ratio in fractional form of adjacent side lengths (sides that are right next to each	top left side	32		

3. Create a ratio in fractional form top of corresponding adjacent side left side lengths in the **second** figure. 4. If those two ratios are equivalent/equal and can be reduced to the same number (HINT: use a calculator!), then

What are the four tests we can perform to determine if two figures are similar? (What are the characteristics of two similar figures?)

- The figures have the same **shape**.
- Corresponding **angle** measures in the figures are equal. (This is true for EVERY pair of corresponding angles.)
- Corresponding side lengths grow by the same **scale factor** from one figure to the other. (This is true for EVERY pair of corresponding sides.)
- The ratios of corresponding adjacent sides within each shape are proportional. (The ratios are equal since they each can be reduced to an equivalent fraction.)



#### **SOLVING FOR MISSING SIDE LENGTHS IN SIMILAR FIGURES** STRATEGY 1: USING SCALE FACTOR

- Label the sides of your two figures so you can determine corresponding side lengths. For example, use labels like S (short), M (medium), and L (long), or SHORT SIDE and LONG SIDE, etc.
- 2. Choose a side length in the first figure and its corresponding side length in the second figure. Determine the scale factor.
  5 SF = 8.75 So by fact families, 8.75÷5 = SF



7

Μ

В

8.75

- 3. Use the scale factor to determine the missing side length.
  x SF = 5.25
  x 1.75 = 5.25
  So by fact families,
  - 5.25÷1.75 = x x = 3

SF = 1.75



#### SOLVING FOR MISSING SIDE LENGTHS IN SIMILAR FIGURES STRATEGY 2: USING RATIOS

1. Label the sides of your two figures so you 8.75 can determine corresponding side lengths. 7 Μ For example, use labels like **S** (short), **M** В (medium), and L (long), or SHORT SIDE and LONG SIDE, etc. **5.25** 2. Create a ratio in fractional form of × 5 adjacent side lengths (sides that are right next to each other) in the first figure. 3. Create a ratio in fractional form of corresponding adjacent side lengths in the **second** figure. 4. Since the figures are similar, we can set  $\frac{X}{5} = \frac{5.25}{8.75}$ those two ratios equal to each other in a proportion and solve for the missing side, using cross multiplication. x = 3 (See next page)

Remember... make sure you include the variable in one of your two ratios. Disregard side lengths that only appear in one of the two figures.





# How do we use shadows to find heights of objects?

Sometimes when objects are too tall to measure, we can use their shadows to help us determine their heights.

Because the sun is so far from the earth, the sun's rays are parallel by the time they reach us. This effect creates equivalent angles and therefore similar triangles built from the height of nearby objects and their shadows. Look at the situation below:





PAGE 8