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Stanley C.' Slachetka, Planner
(Salute to the flag and moment of
MR. WIMARD: Okay. This meeting Wa
advertised in the Asbury Park Press and the Press of
Atlantic City and posted in the Clerk's Office on
bulletin board as required by the Open Public
Meeting Act.

Please be advised there is no smoking
in this building in accordance with New Jersey
legislation.

Okay. We have some resolutions.
Maureen Mahoney and Hope Springs. Do you have them, Kelly?

MS. HUGG: Yes, I do. They are signed by the secretary.

MR. WINWARD: Okay. We need a vote
to accept them.
MS. HUGG: Okay. Mr. Mackres?
MR. MACKRES: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Gingrich?
MR. GINGRICH: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Lorelli?
MR. LORELLI: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Councilman Bacchione?

MR. BACCHIONE: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Bell?
MR. BELL: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Chairman Winward?
MR. WINWARD: Yes.
And the Hope Springs?
MS. HUGG: Mr. Mackres?
MR. MACKRES: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Gingrich?
MR. GINGRICH: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Lorelli?
MR. LORELLI: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Councilman Bacchione?
MR. BACCHIONE: Yes .
MS. HUGG: Mr. Bell?
MR. BELL: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Chairman Winward? MR. WINWARD: Yes.

Okay. We have some vouchers
submitted for payment. So, I'm going to read the
four amounts and then we're going to vote on them collectively.

We have Dasti, Murphy, McGuckin,
Ulaky, Cherkos \& Connors, \$4,948.90. We have
Remington, Vernick \& Vena for $\$ 7,143.50$. We have

Linda Sullivan-Hill for 965 and T\&M Associates for $\$ 5,557.18$.

Do we have a motion to accept these
vouchers and pay them?
MR. MACKRES: So forth.
MR. GINGRICH: Second.
MR. WINWARD: We need a roll call.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Mackres?
MR. MACKRES: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Gingrich?
MR. GINGRICH: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Lorelli?
MR. LORELLI: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Councilman Bacchione?
MR. BACCHIONE: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Bell?
MR. BELL: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Callahan?
MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Chairman Winward?
MR. WINWARD: Yes.
Okay. Next on the agenda is a discussion regarding resolution $15-190-\mathrm{R}$. It's a
request of planning board review of potential
development of block 857, lot 11.03. And our board
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attorney is going to address this.
MR. McGUCKIN: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman. We did have a discussion with the
board planner and the township planner's office.
Also had an opportunity to discuss the issue with
the owners of the property, which is the Berkeley
Housing Authority. As a result of those
discussions, we ask that this matter be tabled.
There's a question as to whether or not the
statutory criteria can be met for what was requested
of the board planner at this time. And based on
those discussions, I ask that the matter be tabled.
MR. WINWARD: Make a motion to vote on that?

MR. McGUCKIN: Yes. Okay.
MR. WINWARD: Do we have a motion to
table this?
MR. MACKRES: So forth.
MR. WINWARD: And a second?
MR. BELL: I second.
MR. WINWARD: And a roll call.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Mackres?
MR. MACKRES: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Gingrich?
MR. GINGRICH: Yes.

MS. HUGG: Mr. Lorelli?
MR. LORELLI: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Councilman Bacchione?
MR. BACCHIONE: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Bell?
MR. BELL: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Callahan?
MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Chairman Winward?
MR. WINWARD: Yes.
Okay, then. We have two applications
on the agenda that have asked to be carried.
L\&S Lacey Associates, LLC and Brian Gillikin for a minor subdivision.

So, that brings us to our discussion
of the 2015 master plan.
MR. McGUCKIN: That's correct, Mr.
Chairman. We should carry those matters to take a
motion to carry them to particular dates because of
the statutory requirements as to when the board must act.

I understand that L\&S Lacey
Associates, LLC, which is the applicant who
requested the adjournment, they have asked that the matter be carried to July 2nd.

So, I would ask for a motion.
MR. MACKRES: Motion to carry
L\&S Lacey Associates, LLC and Brian Gillikin -strike that.

Motion to carry L\&S Lacey Associates
until July 2nd, 2015 and Brian Gillikin until
August 6, 2015.
MR. WINWARD: Do we have a second on
that?
MR. GINGRICH: Second
MR. WINWARD: Roll call, please.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Mackres?
MR. MACKRES: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Gingrich?
MR. GINGRICH: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Lorelli?
MR. LORELLI: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Councilman Bacchione?
MR. BACCHIONE: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Bell?
MR. BELL: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Callahan?
MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Chairman Winward?
MR. WINWARD: Yes.
report has been on file pursuant to the requirements
of the Municipal Land Use Law for public inspection
ten days prior to the public hearing. And the
reason for that is that the re-examination report
makes specific recommendations as to amendments to
the township's master plan. And so, therefore, a
public notice and formal public hearing on this is
required.

This is a -- this master plan and re-examination report is being funded by a grant from the New Jersey Department of Community Affairs as part of their post Sandy Planning Assistance Grant Program. And the township received close to $\$ 300,000$ in grant funds for a variety of different planning projects related to post Sandy recovery and improving the resiliency of the township in relation to potential future storm events, similar to the event that we experienced with Superstorm Sandy.
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Okay. Now we'll proceed, Stan,
please, with the master plan re-examination report.
MR. SLACHETKA: Thank you,
report has been on file pursuant to the requirements
of the Municipal Land Use Law for public inspection
ten days prior to the public hearing. And the
reason for that is that the re-examination report
makes specific recommendations as to amendments to the township's master plan. And so, therefore, a public notice and formal public hearing on this is required. n

Some of the other projects that are being undertaken as part of this program include the preparation and adoption of a flood plain management plan which will be adopted and will probably be presented to you shortly, because it's going to be adopted as part of the master plan.

This master plan re-examination
report, the development and establishment of a geographic information system, electronic mapping system to support the Department of Public Works, OEM, or Office of Emergency Management, and the township's planning functions providing detailed information with regards to land uses, critical facilities, environmental -- environmentally sensitive areas and a very detailed mapping on future storm events within the township, which served as support to this master plan. And some of the data information that was generated out of that GIS system we're using to provided the technical foundation for the recommendations that are contained in this master plan.

Other projects include an update of the emergency operations plan for the township and an update to the hazard mitigation plan for the township; a permit automation and improvement

1 process to help speed the issuance of permits,
2 particularly for those people impacted by Sandy, as
3 well as we have a debris management plan that we 4 were working on with the township as well.

Because of the funding of this
6 project, this master plan re-examination report
7 specifically focuses on the need to improve
8 resiliency and recovery within the township and to
9 make the township more resilient and less impacted 0 by future storm events.

The fundamental focus is to -- in the future, we know that these events will take place.
We know that there are areas of the township that will -- that are vulnerable and will continue to be vulnerable to storm events. The idea is to, through land use decisions and zoning, to mitigate loss to those impacts.

Fortunately enough, and as a foundation to this re-examination report, the township over the last five to ten years has been engaged in a variety of different planning activities and undertaking a comprehensive planning program that creates a much more resilient and sustainable community. That includes the township's recently approved plan endorsement from the New

1 Jersey State Planning Commission, as well as an
2 approval from the New Jersey Department of
3 Environmental Protections under their CAFRA program
supporting the township's land use planning
framework, which includes a center based approach to
development with the establishment of a Town Center
One, Town Center Two and a variety of different cores and nodes within the township.

In concert with the establishment of
those centers, a mechanism to direct development away from environmentally sensitive areas and towards areas where existing infrastructure exists within the township, includes the township's transfer development rights program. Then there's a variety of other ordinances and plans that the township has adopted over the last number of years, including the most recent update to the land use plan element and circulation plan element in 2009, which establishes a very, very strong planning foundation and a structure to move forward.

So, because of that, the recommendations that are contained in this plan are, really, are fine tuning of the township's overall land use plan. There are recommendations that are specifically focusing on some improvement in the
efficiency and clarification to provisions in the development, regulations and zoning ordinances of the township.

There are a variety of different recommendations that are contained that recommend further studies and evaluation of the town's
planning documents, including an update to the circulation plan of the township to improve circulation, fill in some of the gaps of the roadway system within the township and look at other transportation alternatives, such as the Western Boulevard extension, to improve circulation and provide opportunities for evacuation and movement post storm events.

So, that's just one example of one of the general recommendations, which I'll go through. I'll highlight several of them that are really important. There are quite a number of other recommendations that are included. And I don't want to take the time to kind of bog down the activities of the board this evening. But they are spelled out in very specific detail starting on page 31 of the master plan re-examination report. And again, you know, I'll highlight a couple of those in just a moment.

In addition, there is an executive
summary that's been prepared, which not only
describes and highlights some of the recommendations
but also provides an overview of how these
recommendations fit into the overall planning
framework of the community, specifically with regards to resiliency and recovery.

Several months ago, the township
prepared and adopted and approved a strategic
recovery planning report which highlighted the
impacts of Sandy, the recovery efforts that were
undertaken to date and created a series of
recommendations, including the recommendation for
this adoption of this master plan re-examination report.

This master plan incorporates and includes all of the various recommendations that were contained in that strategic recovery planning report. And that report provides, as well, a technical foundation for this master plan.

We have two presentation boards which are reproductions of maps that are in the master plan -- the master plan re-examination report. I don't know if you want us to mark those.

MR. McGUCKIN: Yeah, I think it would
be appropriate.

hazards exist are east of Route Nine.
And if, in fact, you look at the strategic recovery planning report and the data information that has been prepared as part of the GIS, looking at potential future storm events at the properties located to the east of Route Nine are in the most vulnerable areas of the township.

A benefit -- and, again, as I
mentioned, that the township's existing land use plan and zoning ordinances, actually, as I mentioned, establish a sound planning framework in relationship to the hazards that are exhibited within the township.

The areas east of Route Nine, for the
most part, are in lower density or in conservation areas, both on the existing master plan documents, as well as in the township zoning. So, to the extent of looking at coastal impacts, there are going to be areas located in this general location that are going to be vulnerable to future storm events. Those are existing development areas.

There's not an intent to reduce or retreat or move from those areas, but to make them more resilient moving forward and to utilize the existing lower densities and conservation areas as

1 part of the overall mitigation strategy. And, again, the township's overall land use planning framework, the comprehensive planning framework, has been to direct growth and development into the 5 various centers that have been identified and away 6 from environmentally sensitive or vulnerable areas 7 within the township.

Just want to highlight some key
recommendations that come out of the proposed master
plan. And specifically, as we noted, the land --
with regards to the overall land use plan map, we are not making any fundamental alterations or changes. There's some technical corrections and some minor revisions to reflect existing conditions. But for the most part, the land use plan map that is in the 2009 land use plan element essentially is the new land use plan map that's recommended as part of the re-examination report.

Couple of items with regards to that. The pulverizing site had been previously identified as a potential TDR, transfer development rights, sending district. That's not included this time around because that was not included in the final transfer development rights element that was adopted as part of the master plan. And then the LI
district more accurately reflects the existing uses
in the zoning versus what was expressed in the 2009
master plan.
The one thing, one important
recommendation with regards to the land use plan and
the overall proposed zoning framework for the
township is that in the highway business districts, the HB districts along Route Nine, what is recommended currently in those districts, in those HB districts, multifamily residential housing is permitted in those districts. And the HB districts are both on either side of Route Nine, on the western side and the eastern side.

As we noted, the eastern side of Route Nine is particularly an area that is vulnerable to future storm events, especially major storm events, moving forward. So, what we are recommending as part of the zoning plan moving forward is that the multifamily residential uses be eliminated from the $H B$ district. That strictly those $H B$, which is the highway business district, be limited to nonresidential commercial uses. And this would serve two important goals and objectives for the master plan. First of which, it would emphasize and reemphasize the original intent of the center
base development, which would be to include where multifamily residential would be appropriate, would be in the centers and not outside of the centers. It also would move more significantly -- more significant residential populations away from more vulnerable areas. And then it would also serve as an improvement from the standpoint of circulation to, again, concentrate the development where it is already recommended and called for.

I should point out, this is not moving new residential development that was planned for in the $H B$ districts into the centers, but just simply limit, as it's called for right now, the multifamily residential development in those centers and eliminate it from the highway business
districts. So, essentially, it's creating -- it's improving the land use planning framework for the community and also helping to improve the resiliency of the township as well.

There's a variety of different, other recommendations with regards to the zoning, which are really technical in nature. Based on the discussions that we had with the subcommittee and with the zoning officer of the township, we identified a variety of different technical
corrections and changes and improvements within the
general recommendation that -- and this was an
important thing that came out of the committee's
4 deliberations -- was that the idea was to clarify 5 and simplify the township's ordinances. So, that is recommended within the confines. The overall -- an overall arching goal is, in the future, to look at 8 areas where the ordinance can -- the ordinance language could be less confusing, more clear and more direct in terms of the intent. But there's some areas regarding conditional uses, specifically lot coverage requirements in the $H B$ zone district. Looking at the subdistricts that are described in the R-60 and RF residential zone districts, and looking at the residential two-family zone, where there's some contradictory language and there's some need for clarification and update of the language. And that specifically, without going through all the details about it, those are specifically recommended, as well as a boundary clarification for what's called corridor, note $C$, which is one of the receiving districts, to make it consistent with what is expressed within the TDR plan element, which was adopted by the planning board.
nonresidential development in the highway districts
where they belong. And, overall, providing a
planning framework that provides an improved
resiliency and potential for better recovery within the township moving forward. So, having said that, that is the presentation on the master plan.

One last note, though. DCA, 8 Department of Community Affairs, who's our funding agency -- and this is funded, as I said, entirely by a grant from the Department of Community Affairs, the township did not have to pay for this master plan re-examination -- we've provided them with a copy of this draft for their review and we received feedback that they're favorably disposed to this. They are, basically, if the planning board adopts this, that it is consistent with the requirements of the grant, and they would be inclined to approve of the proposed re-examination report. That's it.

MR. WINWARD: Okay. We'd like to now open this up to a public discussion. If there's any members of the public that have comments, to go on record.

MS. BOZINIS: Hi, how are you?
Cheryl Bozinis, 74 Pleasant Valley Court.
My question tonight just centers
around creating a new ordinance or changing an existing one. Jim told me a couple months ago that in order to change an ordinance, it needed to be presented with the master plan re-examination report. So, that's why I'm here tonight. Here we are.

You guys changed an ordinance back in
September, 14-25-0A, to allow for 100 percent
affordable housing financed by means of tax credits,
along our highway business zone. So, I'm asking the
re-examination committee, which I believe is
comprised of John and Domenick and Nick, I'm asking
that when you guys meet after this master plan is
adopted tonight, that you consider changing the
specific ordinance that I just mentioned back to its
original form, taking out the 100 percent affordable housing component, because we don't need it.

I think that when you guys did
approve it back in September, you just didn't have
the right numbers. You might have been misinformed.
But we now know that we almost double our COAH
obligations. So Greg can back me on that.
MR. McGUCKIN: We have met our
obligations more than twice. That's correct.
MS. BOZINIS: Oh, right. We almost

1 double. And so, I'm just asking that when you do
meet, that you change the ordinance back or create a
new one without that verbiage in it. Is that
4 possible?

MR. ORIS: Through the Chair, if I
MR. McGUCKIN: Well, why don't we let Jim answer that. I think the answer was given in testimony.

Ms. Bozinis, yes, the answer is
the -- after the master plan update is complete,
there are several ordinances that will be looked at in terms of zoning. There are several areas of the community that we're going to look at. And Mr. Slachetka had mentioned that the highway business zone is one of those areas, which is the area that you're speaking of with regard to that specific ordinance.

MS. BOZINIS: Right.
MR. ORIS: So, to answer your
question, the governing body, through their
administrative law committee, would take a look at the master plan update once it's approved by the -if it's approved by the planning board.

MS. BOZINIS: Right.
the recommendations. So, just maybe I -- maybe I
misspoke when we spoke, but the intent is that we're
looking at all of the zoning in town. This area is
one of them, as a part of the master update.
MS. BOZINIS: Okay.
MR. ORIS: And once the master plan
update is complete, then we're going to
comprehensively look at all the zones and make
ordinance amendments consistent with the master plan update.

MS. BOZINIS: Okay.
MR. ORIS: And that would come from
the subcommittee of the governing body --
MS. BOZINIS: Okay.
MR. ORIS: -- to the planning
board --
MS. BOZINIS: Okay.
MR. ORIS: -- as a recommendation.
That recommendation will be consistent with the master plan update.

MS. BOZINIS: Okay.
MR. ORIS: And maybe Mr. Slachetka
will want to say a few more words.
MS. BOZINIS: Okay.

MS. BOZINIS: Okay.
MR. ORIS: The whole area.
MS. BOZINIS: So, forgive me here
because I'm just trying to, like, wrap my head
around this. So, the town centers, will they be -could they be opened to 100 percent affordable housing, then?

MR. ORIS: Yeah, so the town -- the town center areas are where Town Center One and --

MS. BOZINIS: Right.
MR. ORIS: -- Town Center Two are
where the master plan is recommending that --
MS. BOZINIS: The multifamily units,
right.
MR. ORIS: -- housing, specific
housing units would be directed to.
MS. BOZINIS: Okay.
of the community where multifamily housing is
3 permitted, which would include an affordable housing
4 component.

MS. BOZINIS: Right.
MR. ORIS: This, in the current
master plan that -- the update that's in front of
the planning board tonight --

MS. BOZINIS: Uh-hum.
MR. ORIS: -- again, the
recommendation is to eliminate that use in the HB zone.

MS. BOZINIS: Right.
MR. ORIS: That's not necessarily stating, and I think Mr. Slachetka indicated we're not -- we're not stating that we should move any development, affordable housing development, multifamily housing development, to the town centers. But there are, in the current town center districts, multifamily housing is one of the uses.

MS. BOZINIS: Right.
MR. ORIS: Low density, low density residential --

MS. BOZINIS: Okay.
MR. ORIS: -- as well as multifamily
residential, are a permitted use in those town center districts. So, it's not like we're moving
from one area to another. We're just saying, the master plan update recommends in the HB zones --

MS. BOZINIS: Uh-hum.
MR. ORIS: -- that that should not be
used, residential multifamily housing should not be in the $H B$ zone.

MS. BOZINIS: So, okay, let me just
make sure I understand. So, the ordinance that I'm talking about, it still applies, though, to the multifamily units in the two town centers with this new master plan, though, right? That's my concern. I want -- I'm asking for that affordable housing component to be taken out. It didn't need to be put in in the first place. And if you guys go back and review those numbers, you'll see that. That's all I'm asking.

MR. ORIS: Right.
MS. BOZINIS: Yeah.
MR. ORIS: So, I think I better
understand your question.
MS. BOZINIS: Okay.
MR. ORIS: When the ordinance is
crafted, then that would be, the specifics of the
ordinance change --
MS. BOZINIS: Okay.
MR. ORIS: -- for that specific zone.
MS. BOZINIS: Right.
MR. ORIS: Okay. This kind of, the
master plan sets the framework for future zoning and
ordinance changes.
MS. BOZINIS: Okay.
MR. ORIS: So, this document is going
to set the framework for recommendations.
MS. BOZINIS: Okay. Right.
MR. ORIS: Then there will be a
subsequent recommendation from the governing body about ordinance amendments consistent with the master plan.

MS. BOZINIS: Right. So, it's
possible. Okay. Thank you. So, it's possible then that with recommendations, I guess, from what's been changed with the master plan, you may consider changing this ordinance; is that correct?

MR. ORIS: That is correct.
MR. SLACHETKA: I do want to clarify,
though, that we are not -- this -- the master plan
is not recommending any changes in the current zoning within the town center areas. In fact,
actually, the Town Center One is governed by a
redevelopment plan. And so, that's the -- that
governs the types of uses, what the mix of uses are.
That is not being recommended to be changed.
MS. BOZINIS: Okay. My concern was
just that this ordinance is like an umbrella over
those two town centers. So that's why I was just
asking that you consider just taking those words
out. They weren't there to begin with. That's all
I'm asking, that you consider that, revisit the
numbers, where we sit with our COAH obligations and just take those words out.

MR. ORIS: Okay.
MS. BOZINIS: That's all I'm asking.
Is that possible? Yeah?
MR. ORIS: Yes.
MS. BOZINIS: Thank you.
MR. ANTON: Yes. My name is
Charles Anton, 40 Symphony Avenue. I just have a couple questions on the master plan. I think it's terrible that the State is not giving us more, what do you want to call it, help in developing
Route Nine. There's a paragraph in here on page 12
that says, recommendation from 2008 re-examination
report. It says, back in 2003, we were addressing

Route Nine. And it said they decided to move
forward in 2008. And then it says, our vision in 2020 is to receive, you know, get these goals of Route Nine to be a, you know, emergency route and everything, you know, for traffic. And it says, the last thing says, to date, the New Jersey Department of Transportation has not prepared a plan. However, this remains an ongoing priority.

Well, to me, that's a lot of years gone by. If that many are passed now since 2003, 2020's not, you know, around the corner. If we don't see something get going by the State, I mean, you know, we got all these lawyers and stuff here. I mean, I would think they'd be on the state every day to find out what's going on, whether we can't get some better roads in here to get traffic through the town. Because the last few days, if you look, travel on Route Nine, it's terrible. It's getting worse and worse. I mean, every summer we go through this.

MR. McGUCKIN: I can tell you that Mayor Amato and the Freeholders have repeatedly demanded, requested, begged the State of New Jersey to conduct a traffic plan for Route Nine improvements from the Lakewood border to at least
'u go through a tow. But this was this was rural area ten years ago. Not as quite as built up as it is now. They should have foreseen it and kept going Route Nine.

MR. McGUCKIN: Every member of the current administration, I believe every member of 7 this planning board would completely agree with you.

MR. ANTON: And the only other question I had is, I've looked through this 80 pages or whatever it is. Made a copy of a couple. But they say that your town center, the first, number one is Beachwood Plaza. And then it keeps talking about a second town center. Where, where exactly is the second town center?

MR. ORIS: Through the chair, if I
may. Town Center Two is generally in the area of the Shop Rite.

MR. ANTON: Oh, okay.
MR. ORIS: That's the area known as Town Center Two.

MR. ANTON: And then what about the light industrial?

MR. ORIS: It's actually off of Hickory Lane is the best way for me to explain it. MR. ANTON: In the back, okay.

MR. ORIS: Yeah.
MR. ANTON: All right. That's all I
have. Thank you.
MS. LUCIANO: Carol Luciano.
103 23rd Avenue, South Seaside Park.
I have just a few questions. Could
you please explain the difference between island
residential A and island residential B.
MR. ORIS: Ms. Luciano --
MS. LUCIANO: Yes.
MR. ORIS: -- while Mr. Slachetka
looks at that, I'm assuming you're referring to the land use.

MS. LUCIANO: In South Seaside Park.
MR. ORIS: Right. Is there --
MS. LUCIANO: It would be --
MR. ORIS: For the board's
information, the land use plan map.
MS. LUCIANO: It would be between --
yes, correct, the land use plan, MP-2.
MR. ORIS: So, on the land use plan map, there's an inset.

MS. LUCIANO: Correct.
MR. ORIS: And if I remember right, the land use plan map was MP-2.

## designations by color.

MS. LUCIANO: Yes.
MR. ORIS: So, I'll let Mr. Slachetka
explain that.
MS. LUCIANO: Okay. Thank you.
MR. SLACHETKA: And this actually
goes back to recommendations that were contained in the 2009 master plan document.

And, essentially, the idea, the difference between the designations was to reflect the different lot sizes and characteristics of each of those specific areas within the island district. Essentially, what it called for is, what they categorized at the time was provide context sensitive residential and commercial standards for this unique island neighborhood.

What this master plan set recommends
is a re-review re-examination of that recommendation
with additional public input in the process to make
sure that whatever changes in the zoning that take place, if any --

MS. LUCIANO: Uh-hum.
MR. SLACHETKA: -- would accurately
reflect both existing characteristics, but also provide adequate public input into the process.

So, essentially, that's the basic
difference between the different residential
districts is looking at the lot sizes.
MS. LUCIANO: Okay. And those zoning
change would take place how? At the zoning board meeting?

MR. SLACHETKA: No, no. That
would --
MS. LUCIANO: Right.
MR. SLACHETKA: -- take place -- that
would have to, again, have to be introduced, ordinance would need to be introduced by the governing body. And, again, after a sufficient public input. And then that ordinance would be referred to the planning board for the planning board's review and comment. And then there would, finally, there would be a second reading public hearing on the ordinance pursuant to the requirements of the Municipal Land Use Law.

MS. LUCIANO: Okay. And my next question is regarding the mixed use, because our condominium is being changed from residential on the previous map, land use map, as you state is current,
to mixed use
MR. SLACHETKA: Which one? Which?
I'm sorry. Which one?
MS. LUCIANO: It's on the corner of
$23 r d$. In your report, you have a current land use map.
that was a land use category that was recommended as part of the land use plan element.

MS. LUCIANO: Uh-hum.
MR. SLACHETKA: We didn't change
that, because we didn't look into that in detail.
That would have to be part of any reassessment and
re-evaluation of the zoning in that area. So,
again, the idea here is, what we want to do is have
zone districts which are consistent with the
characteristics of the area.
MS. LUCIANO: Uh-hum.
MR. SLACHETKA: But, again,
providing, reflecting appropriate public input. So, essentially, what we did do was just carried over the prior recommendations, because --

MS. LUCIANO: Right.
MR. SLACHETKA: -- we feel that this
area needs further study and evaluation. So, we
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made no change.
MS. LUCIANO: All right. So, I mean,
I understand the land use is not specific to the
zoning. But mixed use, what type of characteristics
can things be there, or that's determined by zoning?
MR. SLACHETKA: Yeah, that would,
that would be determined by zoning. Typically, when
you call mixed use, it's like uses that include a
combination of residential and commercial uses.
That's typically the common understanding of mixed
use, retail maybe on the first floor and residential
on the upper floors. But the specifics are not
spelled out. This is just a general land use
category that's being recommended. But it's,
essentially, we're not changing the prior
recommendation. So, that's the recommendation
that's on the books right now in the land use plan.
MS. LUCIANO: Right.
MR. SLACHETKA: As I said, this
re-examination report is recommending another look at that, a re-evaluation of those recommendations from the 2009 report.

MS. LUCIANO: Okay. And when you
refer to the island's unique character, can you
give, like, a more specific? Like, what are you

MR. SLACHETKA: And actually,

```
that's --
```

MS. LUCIANO: Because we are very
unique.
MR. SLACHETKA: And that's -- that is
just language that's included in the prior master plan re-examination.

MS. LUCIANO: Okay.
MR. SLACHETKA: We just noted what
the prior master plan said.
MS. LUCIANO: All right. Okay.
Thank you.
MR. FULCOMER: Jim Fulcomer, 356
Roberts. A question on South Seaside Park and Pelican Island. Are there any recommended changes in this master plan update in regard to those two areas?

MR. SLACHETKA: Actually, we were just -- as I was just saying, the land use plan map that's included in this master plan re-examination report essentially just reflects and carries over the recommendations in the 2009 report, but recommends a reassessment or re-evaluation of those recommendations with appropriate public input.
about, the condominiums, are those zoned now for
residential use, as she indicated? And that there's
just a standing recommendation that it be changed to
mixed use; is that correct?

MR. SLACHETKA: That's what the 2009
master plan re-examination report said. They
recommended it be, the land use category be changed to mixed use.

MR. FULCOMER: But that hasn't
happened yet, has it?
MR. SLACHETKA: No. In fact, none of
the -- none of the recommendations that were
included in the 2009 report have been implemented.
MR. FULCOMER: Right. I would just
say at this point that most of the people that I
know who live in that area that is currently residential, would be very upset if it were changed to mixed used. I don't think -- let's say if I were in a condominium and everybody was in a condominium top to bottom, I don't think I would like the bottom floor turned into commercial use. I think that would upset me greatly. I think that would upset other people.
are in strictly residential areas that are
residential generally don't like the encroachment of
commercial activity into their residential zones.
5 So, I just mention that. Because, actually, South
Seaside Park, except for the narrow area along
Route 35, is very residential. And I think there would be -- people would be very upset if the residential character of the area were diminished in any way.

MR. ORIS: Through the Chair. Mr. Fulcomer, so, again, as Mr. Slachetka had stated, the recommendation of this master plan carries forward the 2009 recommendations and specifically references the need for additional public input from the residents of the island area, island district. So, the master plan update recommendations will allow that type of input that you're talking about. And, as a matter of fact, I believe, I know Berkeley Township would want to make sure we get that type of input from our residents on the island.

MR. FULCOMER: But that
recommendation is to change a residential area into a mixed use area.

MR. ORIS: It's consistent with the
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2009 update. So --
MR. FULCOMER: I understand. But
maybe the 2009 update was a mistake and that
recommendation should not have been made. I just
bring that to your attention.
MR. ORIS: Thank you for your
comment.
MR. FULCOMER: Thank you.
MS. DOLOBACS: Hi. Pat Dolobacs,
107 Beach Drive, South Seaside Park. I talked to
you guys last month about Bay Beach. Is there anything in the master plan at all about our bayside beaches or an area for a boat ramp or a pier? Is there -- has anything been incorporated at all?

MR. SLACHETKA: Not specifically. However, the master plan re-examination report calls for an evaluation of new open space and recreation areas in the township as part of an update of an open space and recreation plan element. So, that, obviously, would be something that could be considered as part of that exercise, as part of the update of the open space and recreation plan.

MS. DOLOBACS: Okay. I don't know if this is out of line. But as we talked about last
time, there are least four bay beaches on the
mainland. Is there any way of putting in something,
2 verbiage saying that South Seaside Park, you know,
3 not just the township, but something in the verbiage
4 where South Seaside Park would be considered?
MR. ORIS: Ma'am, as Mr. Slachetka
6 indicated, evaluation of recreational open space
7 throughout the entire community is recommended. I
8 understand or I respect your comment. What you're
asking for is specific to South Seaside Park.
MS. DOLOBACS: Right.
MR. ORIS: The generic comment for the entire town covers that. So, from a, you know,
the actual document that's in front of the planning board tonight, in my view, I believe that covers the fact that the entire community, including the island district, will be looked at for additional opportunities. Having said that, that needs to be married with available public land. So, when you look at the areas in South Seaside Park and areas where you would like to create bay beaches or boat ramps --

MS. DOLOBACS: Right.
MR. ORIS: -- the town would need to have the available land to do so. So that would be a part of an overall review. But currently, we

1 would need to look at what town owned property is
available, which I don't believe there's that many.
There's right-of-ways and areas of road that have
been used as waterfront access. But we really would
need to look at what property is inventoried and owned by the municipality. We can't commandeer and use private property, of course.

MS. DOLOBACS: Right.
MR. ORIS: So we would need to look
at that when we look at the entire town for
recreation and open space.
MS. DOLOBACS: I guess I'm just
saying, I hope that you will consider South Seaside
Park when you do go ahead and do this.
MR. ORIS: Absolutely. We most
certainly will.
MS. DOLOBACS: Thank you.
MR. BACCHIONE: Ms. Dolobacs?
MS. DOLOBACS: Yeah.
MR. BACCHIONE: Through the Chair.
MR. WINWARD: Yes. Go ahead.
MR. BACCHIONE: The town council at
the last meeting adopted a resolution to ask the
State to lease to Berkeley Township the Wheelhouse
Marina property which has the boat ramp. So, that
would be a boat ramp that Berkeley Township
residents would --
MS. DOLOBACS: And there would be a
pier there probably for crabbing, too.
MR. BACCHIONE: There's a pier there
as well that needs repair but it's -- we're going to
throw it at the State and see if the State will
consider leasing that to Berkeley Township. So it
will give you the boat ramp and a pier.
MS. DOLOBACS: I will say this: I
sent a letter to council at least two years ago,
suggesting that when, you know, the Wheelhouse went
down and it was the building there, I said it was a
small building, but we could use it as a rec hall.
The area was there for parking, for fishing, for
boating. And nothing was ever done. In fact, I
never got an answer back.
MR. BACCHIONE: As you know, we lost
the building after Sandy.
MS. DOLOBACS: Oh, I know that. It
was -- it was a good thing it was lost.
MR. BACCHIONE: And the north side of
that property has been rehabilitated --
MS. DOLOBACS: Right.
MR. BACCHIONE: -- by Berkeley

```
Township.
the rest of it.
MS. DOLOBACS: That's good.
MR. BACCHIONE: If the State agrees,
then you'll have your boat ramp.
MS. DOLOBACS: All right. Thank you.
MR. MACKRES: Through the Chair.
MR. WINWARD: Yes. Go ahead.
MR. MACKRES: Going on Councilman
Bacchione's comment on that, ma'am, we did also look at that in committee. The main purpose of the master plan being redone was for Hurricane Sandy and what it could do to help in the future to, you know, mitigate major storms like that again. So, it was looked at for zoning. Zoning could change certain areas.
We looked at roadways. Of course, you'll have information on that later. We looked at, you know, all the coastal flooding areas. So, you see these maps, a lot of detail has gone in there.
One of the other major things we
```

1 looked at was on the island, face the bridge, if it
2 ever was an issue, how could we get emergency
3 personnel over there. So, that was one of the
4 things Councilman Bacchione was talking about. But
5 now you start going into great details. And this is
6 higher arching and higher view. And those details
7 would have to get Office of Emergency Management,
the police, the fire, everybody get involved. It
gets much, much more detailed. So, we stuck at the
0 higher level view, you know, a play on the words.
You know, we're stuck at the 30,000 foot level of
the master plan, what we're doing over here, you're
asking us to go down to the --
MS. DOLOBACS: Fifteen.
MR. MACKRES: Yeah, 15 to --
MS. DOLOBACS: Ten would be better
but I'll take 15. Thank you.
MR. MACKRES: So, we did look at it. So, thank you.

MR. FULCOMER: Yeah, I just have one question. Berkeley Fish Market has been purchased by the State of New Jersey for Island Beach State Park, is that my understanding. So, that should be rezoned, shouldn't it? Since it would be a part of Island Beach State Park, that no longer would be a

1 mixed use zone. I guess that's what it is now, right?

Seeing none, we'll close the public
portion at least. The board members may have some questions for our professionals.

MR. BACCHIONE: Yes, through the Chair for our planners. I'm familiar with riparian rights and riparian grants. I'm not familiar with a riparian zone. Maybe you can define that and what -- after the definition, maybe give us an example of an existing riparian zone here in Berkeley, if there is one.

MR. SLACHETKA: Essentially, riparian
zones are zones or areas related to the flood hazard
areas throughout the township. The ordinance that
was adopted by the township as a part -- as an implementation of the State planning endorsement, included, essentially, what we would commonly call like a stream corridor ordinance, riparian zone ordinance, which, essentially, just governs those areas that are, you know, specifically vulnerable to the flooding around the stream corridors. Nothing unique or new about that, that aspect. And this has been on the books now for the last several years.

In fact, actually, the planning board reviewed it as part of their requirements from the Municipal Land Use Law. So, that, essentially, is what we're referring to when we say riparian rights,
we're talking about the reported riparian areas, really talking about those flood hazard areas.

MR. BACCHIONE: So, there's no actual
riparian rights --
MR. SLACHETKA: No.
MR. BACCHIONE: -- or riparian
grants?
MR. SLACHETKA: NO.
MR. BACCHIONE: All right. Thank
you.
MR. MACKRES: Same subject. This is this is for road access and transportation. Two topics. The one is Mule Road and one is widening of Route Nine.

Mule Road, we discussed this. I just want you, Mr. Slachetka, to get more information on this -- to get more information on this. I know some of the board members asked about it. You know, the talk is, it will probably never get done.
There's environmental issues. There's a list of items. I know there's many of them. And can you just educate us on this, because it was a gigantic issue, and it would take -- we had an easier time probably with Route Nine than Mule Road.

MR. SLACHETKA: Well, a couple
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1 things. First of which in looking at the kind of
overall circulation pattern, the subcommittee was
very, very clear about its concern about the free
flow of traffic and making sure that there was specifically some east/west connections, as well as opportunities to travel north/south as a bypass to Route Nine.

I know we talked about the Western
Boulevard extension, which has been a consistent recommendation and focus as it related, also relates to the implementation of Town Center One as being an important part of that circulation effort. We did talk about some areas, the areas that are west of the Parkway in the Pinelands. One of the things that, while we didn't make specific recommendations with regards to that is, that area of the township, besides being in the Pinelands area, is relatively low density. I know that what we would like to do is be able to maximize, connect at a circulation connections. I think what the real critical issue here, from the township's perspective, is to prioritize. And we would focus the efforts on the areas where we think that we're -- where we have a reasonable and realistic opportunity to kind of create those connections that are essential for the
free flow of traffic.
One thing that the township probably wants to do or should do in the future is update fully and comprehensively a circulation plan
element. Again, that's not something that this
re-examination report does. But there is an overall recommendation to do that. And then I think then we can consider Mule Road in that context. But I think
what's really critical and important for the
township is identifying and prioritizing those gaps and those connections that are absolutely essential and then go for the, if not the low hanging fruit, the fruit that is -- that's really important to the township. And then look at other opportunities, whether it's Mule Road or other places, where we can add even some further redundancies and connections.

MR. MACKRES: Thank you.
MR. SLACHETKA: You're welcome.
MR. GINGRICH: Through the Chair.
MR. WINWARD: Yes. Go ahead.
MR. GINGRICH: For a lot of this
Route Nine, et cetera, talk, wouldn't the DOT have to get involved to some length?

MR. SLACHETKA: To a tremendous
length, yes.
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MR. GINGRICH: To get this going?
MR. SLACHETKA: Yes, it's under the
state jurisdiction.
MR. GINGRICH: And as long as they
sit on their hands, we're kind of stuck, correct?
MR. SLACHETKA: Well, we can't force the State to do things that they're not ready or willing or able to do at this stage.

MR. GINGRICH: So, we're kind of
stuck until they decide to make a move on this, correct?

MR. SLACHETKA: That's pretty much
the case.
MR. GINGRICH: Thank you.
MR. MACKRES: Second part I had.
MR. WINWARD: Go ahead.
MR. MACKRES: This goes back to
Route Nine. A neighboring town, and we talked about this, much of it made it on here, even if it's supposed to make it on here or not, was the setback requirements for Route Nine. Neighboring town move their setback requirements to about 100 feet on Route Nine. And so -- which allow -- and they did that about 20 years ago. And that allows development to occur so that in the future, if you

1 that might be burdensome to those property owners.
So, without having a comprehensive plan in place
from the State and the appropriate context, I think
making that change right now, increasing setbacks
would -- I would recommend against that.
MR. MACKRES: Okay. To further
review that, that would probably go to the circulation plan?

MR. SLACHETKA: And that could be
part of -- that would be part of a comprehensive circulation plan among it as well.

MR. MACKRES: Thank you. That's all I have.

MR. WINWARD: Any other questions for the professionals?

MR. SLACHETKA: Mr. Chairman.
MR. WINWARD: Yes.
MR. SLACHETKA: I was remiss in my
presentation. I did want to thank the members of
the subcommittee for participating in the
deliberations. Tremendous, valuable time and great
input from everybody. And we really appreciate it.
It was a job that I think the whole board benefits
from. And I would just like to personally thank
their efforts on behalf of the board and the
township.
MR. WINWARD: Thank you. Okay.
We're going to need a motion and a second for
recommendation of adoption of the master plan
re-examination report.
MR. GINGRICH: Through the Chair.
MR. WINWARD: Yes.
MR. GINGRICH: We were just given
this today. A vote maybe should be put off one month so we can read it.

MR. MCGUCKIN: It's certainly up to the members of the board how you want to handle it. Is there a time frame, Jim or Stan?

Mr. SLACHETKA: Well, I know that there is a strong interest by the township to get the ordinances prepared to implement the -- some of the recommendations. And we do have a -- there is a requirement under the terms of the grant to have this completed by the end of July. But beyond that, I think it's -- beyond just the grant requirements, I think that the township desires a relatively, you know, quick action so that this way they can get about the business of adopting the ordinances that are necessary.

MR. McGUCKIN: Assuming the Board
MR. WINWARD: Thank you. Okay.
We're going to need a motion and a second for
recommendation of adoption of the master plan
re-examination report.
MR. GINGRICH: Through the Chair.
MR. WINWARD: Yes.
MR. GINGRICH: We were just given
this today. A vote maybe should be put off one
month so we can read it.
MR. McGUCKIN: It's certainly up to
the members of the board how you want to handle it.
Is there a time frame, Jim or Stan?
MR. SLACHETKA: Well, I know that
there is a strong interest by the township to get
the ordinances prepared to implement the -- some of
the recommendations. And we do have a -- there is a
requirement under the terms of the grant to have
this completed by the end of July. But beyond that,
I think it's -- beyond just the grant requirements,
I think that the township desires a relatively, you
know, quick action so that this way they can get
about the business of adopting the ordinances that
are necessary.
MR. McGUCKIN: Assuming the Board
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voted tonight, we would still have to adopt the
resolution, which wouldn't take place until our July
meeting anyway.
MR. SLACHETKA: That's true.
MR. MCGUCKIN: So, if the board chose
to adjourn this matter for a vote in July,
theoretically, we could prepare the resolution for
the meeting. And then if the board changes their
mind or chooses not to vote on it or wish to amend
it, then we would have to table it. But,
theoretically, it could be done the same night at
that point. Unless the board feels differently, I
could prepare a resolution and bring it with me.
And you can decide that night if you wish to adopt it or not.

MR. WINWARD: Do we have any comment on the matter?

MR. BELL: I tend to agree. We need
time to read this. We literally just got it
tonight.
MR. WINWARD: Okay. Let's have a
motion --
MR. BELL: We haven't had a chance to
look at it.
MR. WINWARD: -- to vote on this and

Do I have a motion?
MR. GINGRICH: I'll make a motion.
MR. WINWARD: And a second?
MR. MACKRES: I'll second it.
MR. WINWARD: Roll call.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Mackres?
MR. MACKRES: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Gingrich?
MR. GINGRICH: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Lorelli?
MR. LORELLI: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Councilman Bacchione?
MR. BACCHIONE: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Bell?
MR. BELL: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Mr. Callahan?
MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.
MS. HUGG: Chairman Winward?
MR. WINWARD: Yes.
(Matter concluded.)
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| available [3] $45 / 18$ 45/24 46/2 | boating [1] 47/16 | chance [1] 60/23 |
| Avenue [2] 32/19 36/5 | body [4] 26/21 27/14 $31 / 13$ | change [12] $25 / 3$ 26/2 $31 / 1$ |
| away [3] 14/11 19/5 21/5 | 38/15 | 38/7 39/11 $40 / 1{ }^{43 / 23} 48 / 18$ |
| B | bog [1] 15/20 | 50/18 50/25 51/4 58/4 |
| B | books [2] 40/17 52/21 | changed [9] 25/7 31/19 32/4 |
| Bacchione [10] 1/11 4/11 5/25 | border [1] 33/25 | 38/24 42/5 42/9 42/19 50/12 |
| 6/13 7/14 $9 / 3$ 10/18 $49 / 4 \quad 52 / 3$ | both [3] 18/16 20/12 38/1 | 50/14 |
| 61/13 | bottom [2] 42/22 42/22 | changes [8] $19 / 13$ 22/1 $31 / 7$ |
| Bacchione's [1] 48/13 | Boulevard [2] 15/12 54/9 | 31/24 37/22 41/16 50/8 60/8 |
| back [11] 25/7 25/15 25/19 | boundary [1] 22/20 | changing [4] 25/1 25/14 31/20 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 25/22 } & 26 / 2 & 30 / 16 & 32 / 25 & 35 / 25\end{array}$ | Bozinis [3] 3/4 24/24 26/10 | 40/15 |
| 37/9 47/17 56/17 | Brian [4] $1 / 10$ 9/13 10/3 10/6 | character [2] 40/24 43/9 |
| base [1] 21/1 | Brian Gillikin [3] 9/13 10/3 | characteristics [4] 37/13 $38 / 1$ |
| based [7] 8/11 14/5 17/22 | 10/6 | 39/17 40/4 |
| 21/22 50/19 50/21 57/19 | bridge [1] 49/1 | Charles [2] 3/5 32/19 |
| basic [1] 38/3 | bring [2] 44/5 60/13 | Charles Anton [1] 32/19 |
| basically [1] 24/15 | brings [1] 9/15 | CHERKOS [2] 2/3 6/24 |
| bay [3] 44/11 44/25 45/20 | broke [1] 34/9 | Cheryl [2] 3/4 24/24 |
| bayside [1] 44/12 | build [1] 51/16 | chooses [1] 60/9 |
| Bayville [1] 1/7 | building [4] 5/10 47/13 47/14 | chose [1] 60/5 |
| be [78] | 47/19 | circulation [11] 14/18 15/8 |
| Beach [4] 44/10 44/11 49/22 | built [1] 35/3 | 15/9 15/12 21/7 54/2 54/12 |
| 49/25 | bulletin [1] 5/7 | 54/19 55/4 58/8 58/11 |
| beaches [3] $44 / 13$ 44/25 45/20 | burden [1] 57/18 | City [1] 5/6 |
| Beachwood [1] 35/12 | burdensome [1] 58/1 | clarification [3] 15/1 22/17 |
| because [15] 9/19 12/5 13/5 | business [6] 20/7 20/21 21/15 | 22/21 |
|  | 25/10 26/16 59/23 | clarify [2] 22/4 31/22 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}38 / 23 & 39 / 12 & 39 / 22 & 41 / 4 & 43 / 5\end{array}$ | bypass [1] 54/6 | clear [2] 22/9 54/3 |
| 50/22 53/22 | C | Clerk's [1] 5/6 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { been [21] } & 4 / 21 & 11 / 8 & 13 / 20 & 16 / 2\end{array}$ | C | close [2] 11/20 51/25 |
| 18/4 19/4 19/5 19/20 23/17 | CAFRA [1] 14/3 | closely [1] 51/19 |
| 25/20 $31 / 18$ 42/15 44/4 44/14 | call [9] $4 / 2$ 4/3 $7 / 78 / 21$ | СОАН [2] 25/21 32/11 |
| 46/4 47/23 49/21 51/4 51/9 | 10/11 32/22 40/8 52/15 61/6 | coastal [2] 18/18 48/22 |
| 52/21 54/9 | Callahan [6] 1/13 4/15 7/18 | collectively [1] 6/22 |
| before [1] 62/8 | 9/7 10/22 61/17 | color [1] 37/3 |
| begged [1] 33/23 | called [4] 21/9 21/13 22/21 | combination [1] 40/9 |
| begin [1] 32/9 | 37/15 | come [2] 19/9 27/13 |
| behalf [1] 58/25 | calls [1] 44/16 | commandeer [1] 46/6 |
| being [10] 11/17 12/2 23/5 | came [1] 22/3 | comment [7] $38 / 18$ 44/7 $45 / 8$ |
| 23/7 32/4 38/24 40/14 48/15 | can [15] 8/10 22/8 25/22 33/21 | 45/11 48/13 50/7 60/16 |
| 54/11 54/17 | 34/11 $34 / 15$ 40/5 40/24 52/6 | comments [1] 24/21 |
| believe [5] 25/11 35/6 43/19 | 53/21 55/8 55/15 59/10 59/22 | commercial [5] 20/22 37/17 |
| 45/14 46/2 | 60/14 | 40/9 42/23 43/4 |
| Bell [8] 1/11 4/13 6/2 6/15 | can't [4] 33/15 46/6 50/18 | Commission [2] 14/1 $62 / 14$ |
| 7/16 9/5 10/20 61/15 | 56/6 | committee [3] $25 / 11$ 26/22 |
| belong [1] 24/2 | careful [1] | 48/14 |
| benefit [1] 18/8 | Carol [2] 3/6 36/4 | committee's [1] 22/3 |
| benefits [1] 58/23 | carried [4] 9/12 9/25 39/21 | common [1] 40/10 |
| BERKELEY [12] $1 / 1$ 8/6 17/7 | 50/5 | commonly [1] 52/15 |
| 34/5 43/19 46/24 47/1 47/8 | carries [2] 41/22 43/13 | community [12] $11 / 18$ 13/24 |
| 47/25 49/21 50/11 52/9 | carry [4] $9 / 18$ 9/19 $10 / 2 \quad 10 / 5$ | 16/6 21/18 $23 / 5$ 23/8 24/8 |
| besides [1] 54/17 | case [1] 56/13 | 24/10 26/14 29/2 45/7 45/15 |
| best [1] 35/24 | categorized [1] 37/16 | complete [2] 26/11 27/8 |
| better [4] 24/4 30/21 33/16 | category [3] 39/8 40/14 42/9 | completed [1] 59/19 |
| 49/16 | center [17] 14/5 14/6 14/7 | completely [1] 35/7 |
| between [4] 36/7 36/19 37/12 | 20/25 28/17 28/17 28/19 29/19 | component [3] $35 / 17$ 29/4 $30 / 15$ |
| 38/4 | $30 / 2$ 31/25 32/1 $35 / 11$ 35/13 | comprehensive [5] 13/22 19/3 |
| Beverly [1] 4/23 | 35/14 35/16 35/20 54/11 | 57/11 58/2 58/10 |
| beyond [2] 59/19 59/20 | centers [11] 14/10 19/5 21/3 | comprehensively [2] 27/9 55/4 |
| big [1] 57/2 | 21/3 21/12 21/14 24/25 28/13 | comprised [1] 25/12 |
| bit [1] 17/4 | 29/19 30/12 32/7 | concentrate [1] 21/8 |
| block [1] 7/25 | certain [2] 48/18 57/3 | concern [3] 30/13 32/5 54/3 |
| board [31] $1 / 112 / 5$ 4/2 5/7 | certainly [2] 46/16 59/11 | concerns [2] 23/19 57/14 |
| 7/24 7/25 8/4 8/11 9/20 11/4 | CERTIFIED [2] 1/24 62/5 | concert [1] 14/9 |
| 11/6 15/21 22/25 24/15 26/24 | certify [1] 62/6 | concluded [1] 61/21 |
| 27/17 29/8 35/7 38/7 38/17 | cetera [1] 55/22 | conditional [1] 22/11 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 45/14 } & 52 / 1 & 52 / 22 & 53 / 18 & 58 / 23\end{array}$ | chair [8] 26/8 35/15 43/11 | conditions [2] 19/14 50/21 |
| 58/25 59/12 59/25 60/5 60/8 | 46/20 48/10 52/4 55/19 59/6 | condominium [3] 38/24 42/21 |
| 60/12 | Chairman [12] 1/10 4/17 6/4 | 42/21 |


| C | data [2] $12 / 18 \quad 18 / 3$ | $\text { 48/2 48/16 51/1 } 51 / 22 \quad 54 / 18$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| condominiums [1] 42/3 | Dated [1] 62/16 | 59/17 60/16 61/2 62/6 |
| conduct [1] 33/24 | dates [1] 9/19 | document [3] 31/9 37/10 45/13 |
| confines [1] 22/6 | day [1] 33/15 | documents [2] 15/7 18/16 |
| confusing [1] 22/9 | days [2] $11 / 10$ 33/17 | does [5] 55/6 57/6 57/6 57/7 |
| connect [1] 54/19 | DCA [1] 24/7 | 57/8 |
| connections [5] 54/5 54/20 | deaf [1] 34/2 | doesn't [1] 50/22 |
| 54/25 55/11 55/16 | debris [1] 13/3 | doing [4] 23/11 23/18 49/12 |
| CONNORS [2] 2/3 6/24 | decide [2] 56/10 60/14 | 57/15 |
| conservation [2] 18/15 18/25 | decided [1] 33/1 | Dolobacs [3] 3/8 44/9 46/18 |
| conservatory [1] 51/16 | decisions [1] 13/16 | Domenick [2] 1/12 25/12 |
| consider [7] 25/14 31/19 32/8 | declaration [1] 4/4 | don't [14] 15/19 16/24 25/17 |
| 32/10 46/13 47/8 55/8 | deep [1] 57/23 | 26/5 33/12 $34 / 24$ 42/20 42/22 |
| considered [2] 44/21 45/4 | define [1] 52/6 | 43/3 44/23 46/2 51/13 51/17 |
| considering [1] 48/4 | definition [1] 52/7 | 57/16 |
| consistent [8] 22/23 24/16 | deliberations [3] 22/4 23/21 | done [5] 34/4 34/6 47/16 53/19 |
| 27/10 27/20 31/14 39/16 43/25 | 58/21 | 60/11 |
| 54/9 | demand [1] 34/12 | DОт [1] 55/22 |
| contained [5] 12/21 14/22 15/5 | demanded [1] 33/23 | double [2] 25/21 26/1 |
| 16/18 37/9 | densities [1] 18/25 | down [5] 15/20 34/1 47/13 |
| context [4] 37/16 55/8 57/15 | density [4] 18/15 29/22 29/22 | 49/13 57/8 |
| 58/3 | 54/18 | draft [1] 24/13 |
| continue [3] $13 / 14$ 34/12 $34 / 14$ | Department [6] 11/18 12/10 | DRIVE [2] 1/24 44/10 |
| continued [1] 23/13 | 14/2 24/8 24/10 33/6 | dwelling [1] 17/23 |
| contradictory [1] 22/16 | described [1] 22 | E |
| copies [1] 11/7 | describes [1] |  |
| copy [2] 24/13 35/10 | DESCRIPTION [1] 3/11 | each [1] 37/13 |
| cores [1] 14/8 | design [2] 57/12 57/20 | ears [1] 34/3 |
| corner [2] 33/11 39/4 | designations [2] 37/3 37/12 | easier [2] 53/23 57/5 |
| correct [13] 9/17 25/24 31/20 | desires [1] 59/21 |  |
| 31/21 36/20 36/23 37/1 42/6 | detail [3] 15/22 39/12 48/23 | east/west [1] 54/5 |
| 50/17 51/2 51/10 56/5 56/11 | detailed [3] $12 / 12$ 12/15 $49 / 9$ | eastern [2] 20/13 20/14 |
| corrections [2] 19/13 22/1 | details [4] 17/24 22/19 49/5 | educate [1] 53/22 |
| corridor [5] 22/21 34/6 52/16 | 49/6 | efficiency [1] 15/1 |
| 57/12 57/13 | determined [3] 40/5 40/7 51/10 | effort [2] 34/14 54/12 |
| corridors [1] 52/19 | developers [1] 57/2 | efforts [3] 16/11 54/22 58/25 |
| cost [2] 57/1 57/4 | developing [1] 32/22 | either [1] 20/12 |
| could [16] 4/3 22/9 28/14 36/6 | development [20] 7/25 12/8 | electronic [1] 12/9 |
|  |  | element [8] 14/18 14/18 19/16 |
| 51/14 51/15 $51 / 15$ 58/9 60/7 | 19/4 19/21 19/24 $21 / 1 \quad 21 / 8$ | 19/24 22/24 39/9 44/19 55/5 |
| 60/11 60/13 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 21/11 } & 21 / 14 & 23 / 14 & 24 / 1 & 29 / 17\end{array}$ | eliminate [2] 21/15 29/11 |
| council [2] 46/22 47/11 | 29/17 29/18 56/25 | eliminated [2] 20/20 28/4 |
| Councilman [10] 1/11 4/11 5/25 | did [10] 8/3 24/11 25/18 34/25 | else [1] 57/8 |
| 6/13 7/14 9/3 10/18 48/12 49/4 | 39/21 48/13 49/18 54/12 56/23 | emergency [5] 12/11 $12 / 23$ 33/4 |
| 61/13 | 58/19 | 49/2 49/7 |
| Councilman Bacchione [6] 6/13 | didn't [5] 25/19 30/15 39/11 | emphasize [1] 20/24 |
| 7/14 9/3 10/18 49/4 61/13 | 39/12 54/15 | encroachment [1] 43/3 |
| count [1] 51/13 | difference [3] $36 / 7 \begin{array}{llll}37 / 12 & 38 / 4\end{array}$ | end [1] 59/19 |
| County [3] 17/8 34/18 34/18 | different [9] $11 / 21$ 13/21 $14 / 7$ | endorsement [2] 13/25 52/14 |
| couple [6] 15/24 19/19 25/2 | 15/4 21/20 21/25 23/2 37/13 | engaged [1] 13/21 |
| 32/20 35/10 53/25 | 38/4 | Engineer [1] 2/9 |
| course [2] 46/7 48/20 | differently [1] 60/12 | enough [1] 13/18 |
| COURT [3] 1/24 24/24 62/5 | diminished [1] 43/9 | entire [4] 45/7 45/12 45/15 |
| coverage [1] 22/12 | direct [3] 14/10 19/4 22/10 | 46/10 |
| covers [2] 45/12 45/14 | directed [1] 28/24 | entirely [1] 24/9 |
| crabbing [1] 47/4 | discuss [1] 8/5 | entity [1] 50/23 |
| crafted [1] 30/25 | discussed [2] 53/15 57/7 | environmental [3] 12/14 14/3 |
| create [4] 26/2 41/1 45/20 | discussion [4] 7/23 8/3 9/15 | 53/20 |
| 54/25 | 24/20 | environmentally [3] 12/14 |
| created [1] 16/12 | discussions [4] 8/8 8/12 21/23 | 14/11 19/6 |
| creates [1] 13/23 | 23/20 | Ernie [1] 2/9 |
| creating [5] 21/16 25/1 57/17 | disposed [1] 24/14 | especially [1] 20/16 |
| 57/18 57/25 | district [11] 19/22 20/1 20/20 | ESQ [1] $2 / 5$ |
| credits [1] 25/9 | 20/21 22/12 23/23 28/5 28/8 | ESQS [1] 2/3 |
| criteria [1] 8/10 | 37/14 43/16 45/16 | essential [2] 54/25 55/11 |
| critical [3] 12/13 54/20 55/9 | districts [15] 20/7 20/8 20/9 | essentially [13] 19/16 21/16 |
| cross [1] 57/20 | 20/10 20/11 20/11 21/12 21/16 | 23/16 37/11 37/15 38/3 39/21 |
| current [8] 17/22 29/6 29/19 | 22/15 22/22 $24 / 1$ 29/20 30/2 | 40/15 41/22 52/10 52/15 52/17 |
| 31/24 35/6 38/25 39/5 50/21 | 38/5 39/16 | 52/24 |
| currently [3] 20/9 42/18 45/25 | do [30] $4 / 3$ 5/13 $5 / 15$ 7/3 8/16 | establish [1] 18/11 |
| D | $\begin{array}{lllllll}10 / 8 & 26 / 1 & 31 / 22 & 32 / 22 & 34 / 24\end{array}$ | established [1] 23/18 |
| DASTI [2] 2/3 6/23 |  | establishes [1] 14/19 |


| E | $35 / 11$ Fish [1] | Gillikin [3] $9 / 13$ $10 / 3$ $10 / 6$  <br> Gingrich [8] $1 / 10$ $4 / 7$ $5 / 21$ $6 / 9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| establishment [3] 12/8 14/6 | fishing [1] 47/15 | 7/10 8/24 10/14 61/9 |
| 14/9 | fit [1] 16/5 | GIS [2] 12/19 18/5 |
| et [1] 55/22 | five [1] 13/20 | give [3] 40/25 47/9 52/7 |
| evacuation [1] 15/13 | flag [2] 4/25 5/2 | given [2] 26/6 59/8 |
| evaluation [8] 15/6 39/14 | flood [11] 3/12 12/3 17/6 17/7 | giving [1] 32/21 |
| 39/25 40/21 41/24 44/17 45/6 | 17/13 17/18 17/20 17/21 17/25 | go [16] 15/16 24/21 30/16 |
| 57/11 | 52/11 53/2 | 33/19 $34 / 24 \quad 35 / 1 \quad 46 / 14 \quad 46 / 21$ |
| even [2] 55/16 56/19 | flooding [2] 48/22 52/19 | 48/11 49/13 55/12 55/20 56/16 |
| evening [1] 15/21 | floor [2] 40/11 42/23 | 57/7 57/8 58/7 |
| event [1] 11/25 | floors [1] 40/12 | goal [1] 22/7 |
| events [10] 11/24 12/16 13/10 | flow [2] 54/4 55/1 | goals [2] 20/23 33/3 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 12 & 13 / 15 & 15 / 14 & 18 / 5 & 18 / 21\end{array}$ | focus [3] 13/11 54/10 54/22 | goes [3] 23/24 37/9 56/17 |
| 20/16 20/17 | focuses [1] 13/7 | going [26] 6/20 6/21 8/1 12/5 |
| ever [2] 47/16 49/2 | focusing [1] 14/25 | 18/19 18/20 22/18 26/14 27/8 |
| every [4] 33/14 33/19 35/5 | follow [1] 23/10 | $\begin{array}{llllll}31 / 9 & 33 / 12 & 33 / 15 & 34 / 9 & 34 / 13\end{array}$ |
| 35/6 | follow-up [1] 23/10 | 34/23 35/4 47/6 48/12 49/5 |
| everybody [3] 42/21 49/8 58/22 | foot [1] 49/11 | 50/14 50/15 51/11 51/17 56/1 |
| everything [1] 33/5 | force [1] 56/6 | 57/4 59/3 |
| exact [1] 34/6 | foregoing [1] 62/6 | gone [2] 33/10 48/23 |
| exactly [1] 35/13 | foreseen [1] 35/3 | good [2] 47/21 48/6 |
| examination [25] 11/2 11/6 | forgive [1] 28/11 | got [4] 33/13 47/17 57/10 |
| 11/11 11/17 12/7 13/6 13/19 | Forked [1] 2/4 | 60/19 |
| 15/23 16/14 $16 / 2317 / 1219 / 18$ | form [1] 25/16 | governed [1] 32/1 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll} & 4 / 12 & 24 / 18 & 25 / 4 & 25 / 11 & 32 / 24\end{array}$ | formal [1] 11/14 | governing [4] 26/21 27/14 |
| 37/20 40/20 41/8 41/21 42/8 | forth [3] 7/5 8/18 62/9 | 31/13 38/15 |
| 44/16 55/6 59/5 | Fortunately [1] 13/18 | governs [2] 32/3 52/17 |
| example [2] 15/15 52/8 | forward [11] 14/20 18/24 20/17 | grant [8] 11/17 11/20 11/21 |
| except [1] 43/6 | 20/19 23/3 23/5 23/6 24/5 33/2 | 23/11 24/10 24/17 59/18 59/20 |
| executive [1] 16/1 | 43/14 50/5 | grants [2] 52/5 53/7 |
| exercise [1] 44/21 | foundation [4] 12/20 13/19 | great [2] 49/5 58/21 |
| exhibited [1] 18/12 | 14/20 16/20 | greatly [1] 42/24 |
| exist [1] 18/1 | four [2] 6/21 44/25 | Greg [1] 25/22 |
| existing [10] 14/12 18/9 18/16 | frame [1] 59/13 | GREGORY [1] 2/5 |
| 18/21 18/25 19/14 20/1 25/2 | framework [11] $14 / 5$ 16/6 18/11 | growth [1] 19/4 |
| 38/1 52/8 | 19/3 19/3 20/6 21/17 23/15 | guess [3] 31/18 46/12 50/1 |
| exists [1] 14/12 | 24/3 31/6 31/10 | guys [5] 25/7 25/13 25/18 |
| experience [1] 4 | Frederick [1] 1 | 30/16 44/11 |
| experienced [1] 11/25 | free [2] 54/3 55/1 | H |
| expires [1] explain [3] | Freeholders [1] | d [10] |
| expressed [2] 20/2 22/23 | fruit [2] 55/12 55/13 | 34/16 35/9 43/12 53/23 56/15 |
| extension [2] 15/12 54/9 | Fulcomer [5] 3/7 41/14 $43 / 12$ | 60/23 |
| extent [1] 18/18 | 50/3 51/8 | hall [1] 47/14 |
| F | fully [2] 50/7 55/4 | hand [2] 23/24 23/24 |
| face [1] 49/1 | [1] | handle [1] 59/12 |
| facilities [1] 12/14 | fundamental [2] 13/11 19/12 | hands [1] 56/5 |
| fact [7] 18/2 31/25 42/13 | funded [2] 11/17 24/9 | hanging [1] 55/12 |
| 43/19 45/15 47/16 52/22 | funding [2] 13/5 24/8 | happen [1] 34/13 |
| fallen [1] 34/2 | funds [1] 11/21 | happened [1] 42/12 |
| familiar [2] 52/4 52/5 | further [5] 15/6 39/25 55/16 | hard [1] 11/7 |
| family [1] 22/15 | 57/9 58/6 | has [18] 4/20 11/8 13/20 14/16 |
| far [1] 57/5 | future [13] 11/24 12/16 13/10 | 18/4 19/3 33/7 34/4 42/12 |
| favorably [1] 24/14 | $\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 12 & 18 / 5 & 18 / 20 & 20 / 16 & 22 / 7\end{array}$ | 44/14 46/25 47/23 48/23 49/21 |
| feedback [1] 24/14 | 31/6 $48 / 16$ 55/3 $56 / 25 \quad 57 / 4$ | 51/4 52/20 54/9 57/3 |
| feel [1] 39/24 | G | $\text { hasn't [2] } 42 / 1151 / 9$ |
| feet [1] 56/22 | gaps [2] 15/9 55/10 | haven't [1] 60/23 |
| FEMA [1] 17/22 | general [4] 15/16 18/19 22/2 | having [3] 24/5 45/17 58/2 |
| few [3] 27/24 33/17 36/6 | 40/13 | hazard [4] 12/24 17/21 52/11 |
| field [1] 51/15 | generally [2] 35/16 43/3 | 53/2 |
| Fifteen [1] 49/14 | generated [1] 12/18 | hazards [8] 3/12 17/6 17/7 |
| file [1] 11/8 | generic [1] 45/11 | 17/13 17/19 17/21 18/1 18/12 |
| fill [1] 15/9 | geographic [1] 12/9 | HB [13] 20/8 20/10 20/11 20/20 |
| final [1] 19/23 | get [17] 33/3 33/12 33/16 | 20/21 21/12 22/12 23/23 28/4 |
| finally [3] 23/1 23/12 38/19 | 33/16 $43 / 20 \quad 49 / 249 / 7 \quad 49 / 8$ | 28/8 29/11 $30 / 4 \quad 30 / 8$ |
| financed [1] 25/9 | 51/18 53/16 53/17 53/19 55/23 | he [1] 4/22 |
| find [1] 33/15 | 56/1 57/7 59/15 59/22 | head [1] 28/12 |
| fine [2] 14/23 23/18 | gets [1] 49/9 | heard [2] 51/10 51/12 |
| fire [1] 49/8 | getting [1] 33/18 | hearing [4] 11/5 11/10 11/14 |
| first [6] 17/6 20/24 30/16 | gigantic [1] 53/22 | 38/20 |


| H | incorporated [1] 44/14 | kept [1] 35/4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| help [4] 13/1 23/4 32/22 48/16 | increase [2] 23/22 23/25 | key [1] 19/8 |
| helping [1] 21/18 | increasing [1] 58/4 | kind [6] $15 / 20$ 31/5 54/1 54/24 |
| here [19] $4 / 8$ 4/10 $4 / 12$ 4/14 | indicated [4] $29 / 15$ 42/4 $45 / 6$ | 56/5 56/9 |
| 4/16 4/18 25/5 25/5 28/11 | 50/20 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { know [41] } & 13 / 12 & 13 / 13 & 15 / 24\end{array}$ |
| 32/23 33/13 33/16 34/4 39/15 | industrial [1] 35/22 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}16 / 24 & 25 / 21 & 33 / 3 & 33 / 4 & 33 / 5\end{array}$ |
| 49/12 52/8 54/21 56/19 56/20 | information [9] 12/9 12/13 | $\begin{array}{llllll}33 / 11 & 33 / 13 & 34 / 4 & 34 / 5 & 34 / 17\end{array}$ |
| hereby [1] 62/6 | 12/18 17/23 18/4 $36 / 18$ 48/21 | $\begin{array}{llllll}34 / 24 & 34 / 25 & 42 / 18 & 43 / 19 & 44 / 23\end{array}$ |
| hereinbefore [1] 62/9 | 53/16 53/17 | 45/2 $45 / 12$ 47/12 $47 / 18$ 47/20 |
| Hi [2] 24/23 44/9 | infrastructure [1] 14/12 | $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}48 / 2 & 48 / 16 & 48 / 22 & 49 / 10 & 49 / 11\end{array}$ |
| Hickory [1] 35/24 | input [9] 37/21 38/2 38/16 | 50/8 51/17 52/18 53/17 53/18 |
| Hickory Lane [1] 35/24 | 39/20 41/25 43/15 43/18 43/21 | 53/21 54/8 54/18 57/16 57/17 |
| higher [3] 49/6 49/6 49/10 | 58/22 | 57/25 59/14 59/22 |
| highlight [3] 15/17 15/24 19/8 | inset [1] 36/22 | known [1] 35/19 |
| highlighted [1] 16/10 | inspection [1] 11/9 | L |
| highlights [1] 16/3 | intent [4] 18/22 20/25 22/10 |  |
| highway $[6]$ $20 / 7$ $20 / 21$ $21 / 15$ <br> $24 / 1$ $25 / 10$ $26 / 15$   | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 27 / 3 \\ \text { interest [1] } & \\ 59 / 15 \end{array}\right.$ | Lacey 10/5 [5] $\quad 2 / 4$ 9/13 $9 / 2210 / 3$ |
| HILL [3] 1/23 7/1 62/4 | intersections [1] 57/3 | lady [1] 42/2 |
| hope [3] 5/13 6/6 46/13 | introduced [2] 38/13 38/14 | LAKEVIEW [1] 1/24 |
| housing [18] 8/7 20/10 25/9 | inventoried [1] 46/5 | Lakewood [3] 33/25 34/19 34/21 |
| 25/17 28/3 28/6 28/7 28/8 | involved [2] 49/8 55/23 | land [38] 3/13 11/9 12/13 |
| 28/15 28/23 28/24 29/2 29/3 | is [121] | 13/16 14/4 14/17 14/24 17/10 |
| 29/17 29/18 29/20 30/7 30/14 | island [12] 36/7 36/8 37/14 | 17/15 17/19 18/9 19/2 19/10 |
| how [5] $16 / 4 \quad 24 / 23$ 38/7 49/2 | 37/18 41/16 43/16 43/16 43/21 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}19 / 11 & 19 / 15 & 19 / 16 & 19 / 17 & 20 / 5\end{array}$ |
| 59/12 | 45/15 49/1 49/22 49/25 | 21/17 36/13 36/18 36/20 36/21 |
| However [2] 33/7 44/16 | island's [1] 40/24 | 36/25 38/21 38/25 39/5 39/8 |
| Hugg [1] 2/9 | issuance [1] 13/1 |  |
| hum [5] $29 / 9$ 30/5 37/24 39/10 | issue [4] 8/5 49/2 53/23 54/20 | 42/9 45/18 45/24 50/8 52/24 |
| 39/18 | issues [2] 23/19 53/20 | Lane [1] 35/24 |
| Hurricane [1] 48/15 | it [90] | language [4] 22/9 22/16 22/18 |
| Hurricane Sandy [1] 48/15 | it's [32] 7/23 12/5 17/24 | 41/7 |
| I | $\begin{array}{lllll}21 / 13 & 21 / 16 & 21 / 16 & 26 / 23 & 26 / 24\end{array}$ | large [1] 57/25 |
| I'll [8] 15/16 15/17 15/24 | 28/3 28/6 30/2 31/16 31/17 | $\begin{array}{llllll}l a s t & \text { la] } & 13 / 20 & 14 / 16 & 24 / 7 & 33 / 6\end{array}$ |
| 17/12 $37 / 5$ 49/17 $61 / 3$ 61/5 | $39 / 4 \quad 40 / 8 \quad 40 / 14 \quad 43 / 25 \quad 47 / 6$ | later [2] 48/21 50/4 |
| I'm [16] 6/20 25/5 25/10 25/12 | 50/14 50/15 55/15 56/2 56/19 | law [4] 11/9 26/22 38/21 52/24 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}26 / 1 & 28 / 12 & 30 / 10 & 30 / 14 & 30 / 18\end{array}$ | 57/4 57/10 59/11 59/20 | lawyers [1] 33/13 |
|  | items [2] 19/19 53/21 | lease [1] 46/24 |
| 52/4 52/5 | its [2] 25/15 54/3 | leasing [1] 47/8 |
| I've [1] 35/9 | itself [1] 50/9 | least [4] 33/25 44/25 47/11 |
| $\begin{array}{ccccc} \hline \text { idea [4] } \\ 39 / 15 \end{array} \quad 13 / 15 \quad 22 / 4 \quad 37 / 11$ | J | 2/1 |
| identification [2] 17/14 17/16 | JACKSON [1] 1/25 | length [2] 55/23 55/25 |
| identified [4] 17/7 19/5 19/20 | James [2] 2/10 3/7 | less [3] 13/9 22/9 57/4 |
| 21/25 | January [1] 62/14 | let [3] 26/5 30/9 37/5 |
| identifying [1] 55/10 | Jersey [13] $1 / 71 / 25$ 2/4 5/10 | let's [2] 42/20 60/21 |
| impacted [2] 13/2 13/9 | 11/18 14/1 14/2 17/8 33/6 | letter [1] 47/11 |
| impacts [3] $13 / 17$ 16/11 $18 / 18$ | 33/23 49/22 62/6 62/13 | level [2] 49/10 49/11 |
| implement [2] 27/1 59/16 | Jim [4] $25 / 2$ 26/6 $41 / 14$ 59/13 | LI [1] 19/25 |
| implementation [4] 23/13 52/14 | job [1] 58/23 | light [1] 35/22 |
| 54/11 57/19 | John [2] 1/11 25/12 | like [19] $4 / 1$ 17/9 24/19 28/12 |
| implemented [1] 42/15 | July [7] 9/25 10/6 59/19 60/2 | 30/2 32/6 34/19 34/24 40/8 |
| important [9] 15/18 17/24 20/4 | 60/6 61/1 62/16 | 40/25 40/25 42/22 43/3 45/20 |
| 20/23 22/3 28/2 54/12 55/9 | July 2nd [2] 9/25 10/6 | 48/17 51/23 52/16 54/18 58/24 |
| 55/13 | juncture [2] 34/10 51/18 | limit [1] 21/13 |
| $\begin{array}{ccccc} \substack{\text { improve } \\ 21 / 18} \end{array}[4] \quad 13 / 7 \quad 15 / 8 \quad 15 / 12$ | June [2] 1/7 4/2 | limited [1] 20/22 |
| improved [1] 24/3 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { just [46] } & 15 / 15 & 15 / 24 & 19 / 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { LINDA } \\ \text { Linda Sullivan-Hill } & \text { [1] } & 7 / 1\end{array}$ |
| improvement [3] 12/25 14/25 | 21/12 24/25 25/15 25/19 26/1 | line [1] 44/24 |
| 21/7 | 27/2 28/2 28/3 28/6 28/12 30/3 | list [1] 53/20 |
| improvements [2] 22/1 33/25 | 30/9 32/6 32/7 32/8 32/12 | literally [1] 60/19 |
| improving [2] 11/23 21/17 | 32/19 36/6 39/21 40/13 41/7 | little [1] 17/4 |
| inclined [1] 24/17 | 41/10 41/20 41/20 41/22 42/2 | live [1] 42/18 |
| include [5] 12/2 12/22 21/1 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}42 / 5 & 42 / 16 & 43 / 5 & 44 / 4 & 45 / 3\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { LLC [3] } & 9 / 13 & 9 / 23 & 10 / 3\end{array}$ |
| 29/3 40/8 | 46/12 49/20 50/22 50/25 52/17 | located [3] 18/6 18/19 57/23 |
| included [7] 15/19 19/22 19/23 | 53/15 53/22 57/15 58/24 59/8 | location [2] 18/19 57/21 |
| includes |  |  |
| $16 / 17$ | K | look [19] $15 / 10 \quad 18 / 2 \quad 22 / 7$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { including } \begin{array}{lllll} 45 / 15 \end{array} \end{array}\right] \quad 14 / 17 \quad 15 / 7 \quad 16 / 13$ | keeps $[1]$ $35 / 12$    <br> Kelly $[4]$ $2 / 9$ $4 / 3$ $4 / 20$ $5 / 14$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll} 26 / 14 & 26 / 22 & 27 / 9 & 33 / 17 & 39 / 12 \\ 40 / 20 & 45 / 19 & 46 / 1 & 46 / 5 & 46 / 9 \end{array}$ |

looked [8] $26 / 12 \quad 35 / 9 \quad 45 / 16$ 48/18 48/20 48/21 49/1 50/21
looking [12] $17 / 20 \quad 18 / 5$ 18/18 22/13 22/15 23/3 27/4 28/7
38/5 41/1 50/9 54/1
looks [1] 36/12
Lorelli [8] $1 / 12$ 4/9 5/23 6/11 7/12 9/1 10/16 61/11
loss [1] 13/16
lost [2] 47/18 47/21
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { lot [7] } & 7 / 25 & 22 / 12 & 33 / 9 & 37 / 13\end{array}$
38/5 48/23 55/21
lots [1] 57/23
low [4] $29 / 22 \quad 29 / 22 \quad 54 / 18$ 55/12
lower [2] $18 / 15$ 18/25
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Luciano [3] } & 3 / 6 & 36 / 4 & 36 / 9\end{array}$
M
M Associates [1] 7/1
ma'am [2] 45/5 48/13
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Mackres [8] } & 1 / 12 & 4 / 5 & 5 / 19 & 6 / 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}7 / 8 & 8 / 22 & 10 / 12 & 61 / 7\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { made }[6] & 34 / 3 & 35 / 10 & 40 / 1 & 44 / 4\end{array}$ 51/4 56/19
Mahoney [1] 5/13
main [1] 48/14
mainland [1] 45/1
major [3] $20 / 16$ 48/17 48/25
make [13] 8/13 13/9 18/23 22/22 27/9 30/10 37/21 43/20 51/5 54/15 56/10 56/20 61/3
makes [1] 11/12
making [3] $19 / 12$ 54/4 58/4
management [4] 12/3 12/11 $13 / 3$ 49/7
many [4] 33/10 46/2 $53 / 21$ 57/22
$\begin{array}{llllll}\operatorname{map} & {[20]} & 3 / 12 & 3 / 13 & 17 / 7 & 17 / 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}17 / 11 & 17 / 13 & 17 / 15 & 17 / 19 & 17 / 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}17 / 21 & 19 / 11 & 19 / 15 & 19 / 17 & 36 / 18\end{array}$ 36/22 36/25 38/25 38/25 39/6 41/20
mapping [3] $12 / 9 \quad 12 / 15 \quad 17 / 24$
maps [2] 16/22 48/23
Marina [1] 46/25
mark [3] 16/24 17/9 17/12
marked [2] 17/13 17/15
Market [1] 49/21
married [1] 45/18
master [58] $9 / 16 \quad 11 / 2 \quad 11 / 5$ 11/13 $11 / 16$ 12/6 $12 / 7$ 12/17 $\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 21 & 13 / 6 & 15 / 23 & 16 / 14 & 16 / 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}16 / 20 & 16 / 22 & 16 / 23 & 18 / 16 \\ 19 / 9\end{array}$ 19/25 20/3 20/24 24/6 24/11 25/4 25/13 $26 / 11 \quad 26 / 23 \quad 27 / 5$ 27/7 27/10 $27 / 21$ 28/20 29/7 $\begin{array}{lllllll}30 / 4 & 30 / 13 & 31 / 6 & 31 / 15 & 31 / 19\end{array}$ 31/23 32/20 37/10 37/19 41/7 $\begin{array}{llllll} & 41 / 11 & 41 / 17 & 41 / 21 & 42 / 8 & 43 / 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}43 / 17 & 44 / 12 & 44 / 16 & 48 / 15 & 49 / 12\end{array}$ 50/6 50/19 50/22 57/7 59/4 match [1] 51/20
matter [9] $1 / 3$ 8/8 8/12 9/25 43/19 51/24 60/6 60/17 61/21 matters [1] 9/18
Maureen [1] 5/13
maximize [1] 54/19
may [6] $26 / 9 \quad 31 / 19 \quad 35 / 16$ 51/8

52/1 57/18
maybe [8] 27/2 27/2 27/23
$\begin{array}{lllll}40 / 11 & 44 / 3 & 52 / 6 & 52 / 7 & 59 / 9\end{array}$
Mayor [1] 33/22
McGUCKIN [3] 2/3 2/5 6/23
me [9] $25 / 2 \quad 25 / 22 \quad 28 / 11 \quad 30 / 9$
33/9 35/24 42/24 60/13 62/8
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { mean }[6] & 33 / 12 & 33 / 14 & 33 / 19\end{array}$
34/16 34/23 40/2
means [1] 25/9
mechanism [1] $14 / 10$
meet [2] 25/13 26/2
meeting [9] $1 / 4 \quad 4 / 2 \quad 5 / 4 \quad 5 / 8$
38/8 46/23 60/3 60/8 61/1
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { member }[8] & 1 / 10 & 1 / 11 & 1 / 12 & 1 / 12\end{array}$ 1/13 $4 / 21 \quad 35 / 5 \quad 35 / 6$
members [8] $11 / 4$ 11/6 24/21
51/23 $52 / 1 \quad 53 / 18 \quad 58 / 19 \quad 59 / 12$
mention [1] 43/5
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { mentioned [4] } & 18 / 9 & 18 / 11 & 25 / 15\end{array}$ 26/15
met [2] 8/10 25/23
middle [1] 34/21
Middlesex [1] 34/17
might [2] 25/20 58/1
mind [1] 60/9
minor [2] 9/14 19/14
misinformed [1] 25/20
misspoke [1] 27/3
mistake [1] 44/3
mitigate [2] 13/16 48/17
mitigation [2] $12 / 24 \quad 19 / 1$
mix [1] 32/3
mixed [11] $38 / 23$ 39/1 40/4
$\begin{array}{llllllll} & 40 / 8 & 40 / 10 & 42 / 6 & 42 / 10 & 42 / 20\end{array}$
43/24 50/1 50/15
moment [3] $4 / 25 \quad 5 / 2 \quad 15 / 25$
money [2] $34 / 9$ 57/4
Monmouth [2] $34 / 17 \quad 34 / 18$
month [2] 44/11 59/10
months [2] 16/8 25/2
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { more } & {[21]} & 13 / 9 & 13 / 23 & 18 / 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}20 / 1 & 21 / 4 & 21 / 4 & 21 / 5 & 22 / 9 & 22 / 10\end{array}$ 23/5 23/6 23/24 25/24 27/24 $\begin{array}{lllll}32 / 21 & 40 / 25 & 49 / 9 & 51 / 19 & 53 / 16\end{array}$ 53/17 57/11
morning [1] 4/23
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { most [8] } & 14 / 17 & 17 / 25 & 18 / 7\end{array}$ 18/15 19/15 42/17 43/1 $46 / 15$
motion [11] 7/3 8/13 8/16 9/19 10/1 10/2 10/5 59/3 60/22 61/2 61/3
move [7] $14 / 20$ 18/23 21/4 29/16 33/1 56/10 56/21
movement [1] 15/13
moving [8] 18/24 20/17 20/18
21/11 23/5 23/6 24/5 30/2
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\operatorname{MP} & {[11]} & 17 / 9 & 17 / 12 & 17 / 14 & 17 / 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 17 & 17 / 18 & 17 / 19 & 17 / 20 & 36 / 20\end{array}$ 36/25 37/1
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { MP-1 [5] } & 17 / 9 & 17 / 14 & 17 / 17\end{array}$ 17/18 17/20
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { MP-2 [6] } & 17 / 12 & 17 / 16 & 17 / 19\end{array}$ $36 / 20 \quad 36 / 25 \quad 37 / 1$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\mathrm{Mr} & {[14]} & 4 / 5 & 5 / 19 & 6 / 7 & 7 / 8 & 9 / 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}9 / 17 & 10 / 12 & 27 / 23 & 43 / 11 & 50 / 3\end{array}$ 51/8 52/3 58/16 61/7
Mr. [36] $4 / 7 \quad 4 / 9 \quad 4 / 13 \quad 4 / 15$ $\begin{array}{llllll}5 / 21 & 5 / 23 & 6 / 2 & 6 / 9 & 6 / 11 & 6 / 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}7 / 10 & 7 / 12 & 7 / 16 & 7 / 18 & 8 / 3 & 8 / 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}8 / 24 & 9 / 5 & 9 / 7 & 10 / 14 & 10 / 16 & 10 / 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 22 & 11 / 4 & 26 / 15 & 29 / 15 & 36 / 11\end{array}$ 37/5 43/12 45/5 50/20 53/16

## 61/9 61/11 61/15 61/17

Mr. Bell [7] 4/13 6/2 6/15
7/16 9/5 10/20 61/15
Mr. Callahan [5] 4/15 7/18 9/7
10/22 61/17
Mr. Chairman [2] $8 / 3 \quad 11 / 4$
Mr. Gingrich [7] 4/7 5/21 6/9
7/10 8/24 10/14 61/9
Mr. Lorelli [6] 4/9 5/23 6/11
7/12 10/16 61/11
Mr. Mackres [1] 8/22
Mr. Oris [1] 50/20
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Mr. Slachetka [7] } & 26 / 15 & 29 / 15\end{array}$
36/11 37/5 43/12 45/5 53/16
Ms [2] $26 / 10 \quad 46 / 18$
Ms. [1] 36/9
Ms. Luciano [1] 36/9
much [6] $13 / 23$ 49/9 $49 / 9 \quad 56 / 12$
56/19 57/1
Mule [5] 53/13 53/15 53/24 55/8 55/15
multifamily [13] 20/10 20/19
21/2 21/14 $28 / 5$ 28/7 $28 / 21$
29/2 29/18 29/20 29/25 30/7 30/12
Municipal [3] 11/9 38/21 52/24
municipality [1] 46/6
MURPHY [2] 2/3 6/23
must [1] 9/20
my [11] $24 / 25 \quad 28 / 12 \quad 30 / 13 \quad 32 / 5$
 58/18 62/14
name [2] 3/3 32/18
narrow [1] 43/6
nature [2] 21/22 51/16
necessarily [1] 29/14
necessary [2] 57/19 59/24
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { need } & {[15]} & 5 / 17 & 7 / 7 & 13 / 7 & 22 / 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}23 / 22 & 25 / 17 & 30 / 15 & 38 / 14 & 43 / 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll} & 45 / 23 & 46 / 1 & 46 / 5 & 46 / 9 & 59 / 3\end{array}$ 60/18
needed [1] 25/3
needs [3] 39/25 45/17 47/6
neighborhood [1] 37/18
neighboring [2] $56 / 18 \quad 56 / 21$
never [5] $34 / 13 \quad 34 / 13 \quad 34 / 14$
47/17 53/19
new [22] $1 / 7 \quad 1 / 25 \quad 2 / 4 \quad 4 / 20$
$\begin{array}{lllll}5 / 10 & 11 / 18 & 13 / 25 & 14 / 2 & 17 / 8\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}19 / 17 & 21 / 11 & 23 / 4 & 25 / 1 & 26 / 3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll} & 30 / 13 & 33 / 6 & 33 / 23 & 44 / 17 & 49 / 22\end{array}$
52/20 62/6 62/13
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { New Jersey [5] } & 11 / 18 & 14 / 2 & 17 / 8\end{array}$ 33/6 49/22
next [2] 7/22 38/22
Nick [2] 1/12 25/12
night [2] 60/11 60/14
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Nine } & {[26]} & 18 / 1 & 18 / 6 & 18 / 14 & 20 / 8\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}20 / 12 & 20 / 15 & 32 / 23 & 33 / 1 & 33 / 4\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}33 / 18 & 33 / 24 & 34 / 6 & 34 / 10 & 35 / 4\end{array}$
53/14 53/24 54/7 55/22 56/18
56/21 56/23 57/1 57/5 57/12
57/12 57/24
no [11] $5 / 9 \quad 17 / 2 \quad 34 / 9 \quad 38 / 9$
38/9 40/1 $42 / 13 \quad 49 / 25 \quad 53 / 3$
53/5 53/8
nodes [1] 14/8
none [3] $42 / 13 \quad 42 / 14 \quad 51 / 25$
nonresidential [2] 20/22 24/1
north [3] $34 / 17$ 47/22 54/6
north/south [1] 54/6
not [44] 8/9 16/2 18/22 19/12
19/22 19/23 21/3 21/10 24/11
28/3 28/6 29/14 29/16 29/16
30/2 30/6 30/7 31/23 31/24
$\begin{array}{llllll}32 / 4 & 32 / 21 & 33 / 7 & 33 / 11 & 34 / 9\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}35 / 2 & 40 / 3 & 40 / 12 & 40 / 15 & 44 / 4\end{array}$
$44 / 15$ 45/3 50/9 50/9 50/14
51/10 52/5 55/5 55/12 56/7
56/20 57/18 57/23 60/9 60/15
Notary [2] 62/4 62/13
note [3] 17/25 22/21 24/7
noted [4] 19/10 20/14 23/2 41/10
nothing [2] 47/16 52/19
notice [1] 11/14
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { now [16] } & 4 / 24 & 11 / 1 & 21 / 13 & 23 / 11\end{array}$
24/19 25/21 29/1 33/10 35/3
$\begin{array}{llllllllll} & 40 / 17 & 42 / 1 & 42 / 3 & 49 / 5 & 50 / 1\end{array}$ 52/21 58/4
number [4] 3/11 14/16 15/18 35/11
numbers [3] $25 / 20 \quad 30 / 17 \quad 32 / 11$

| O |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OA [1] |  |

Oath [1] 4/22
objectives [2] 20/23 23/17
obligations [3] 25/22 25/24 32/11
observatory [1] 51/16
obviously [1] 44/20
occur [1] 56/25
Ocean [1] 17/8
OEM [1] 12/11
off [2] 35/23 59/9
office [5] 4/23 5/6 8/4 12/11 49/7
officer [1] 21/24
oh [4] 25/25 35/18 47/20 50/10 okay [42] $4 / 14 / 24$ 5/4 $5 / 12$ $\begin{array}{llll}5 / 17 & 5 / 19 & 6 / 19 & 7 / 22 \\ 8 / 15 & 9 / 11\end{array}$ 11/1 24/19 27/6 27/12 27/15 27/18 27/22 27/25 28/9 28/25 $\begin{array}{lllll}29 / 24 & 30 / 9 & 30 / 23 & 31 / 2 & 31 / 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}31 / 8 & 31 / 11 & 31 / 17 & 32 / 5 & 32 / 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}31 / 8 & 35 / 18 & 37 / 7 & 38 / 6 & 38 / 22\end{array}$ 40/23 41/9 41/12 44/23 58/6 59/2 60/21
old [1] 50/11
once [2] 26/23 27/7
one [32] $14 / 7 \quad 15 / 15 \quad 15 / 15$
$\begin{array}{llll}17 / 23 & 20 / 4 & 20 / 4 & 22 / 22 \\ 23 / 7\end{array}$
24/7 25/2 26/3 26/16 27/5 28/17 29/20 30/3 32/1 35/12
$\begin{array}{lllllllll} & 39 / 2 & 39 / 3 & 48 / 25 & 49 / 3 & 49 / 20\end{array}$
50/10 52/9 53/13 53/13 54/11
54/14 55/2 57/14 59/9
ongoing [1] 33/8
only [2] $16 / 2$ 35/8
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { open [7] } & 5 / 7 & 24 / 20 & 44 / 17 & 44 / 19\end{array}$ 44/22 45/6 46/11
opened [1] 28/14
operations [1] 12/23
opportunities [5] 15/13 23/25 45/17 54/6 55/14
opportunity [2] 8/5 54/24
order [2] 4/2 25/3
ordinance [23] 22/8 22/8 25/1 25/3 25/7 25/15 26/2 26/18 $\begin{array}{llllllll}27 / 10 & 30 / 10 & 30 / 24 & 31 / 1 & 31 / 7\end{array}$ $31 / 14$ 31/20 32/6 38/14 38/16
$38 / 20$ 51/1 52/12 $52 / 16 \quad 52 / 17$
ordinances [7] 14/15 15/2
18/10 22/5 26/12 59/16 59/23
original [2] 20/25 25/16
Oris [2] 2/10 50/20
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { other }[14] & 12 / 1 & 12 / 22 & 14 / 15\end{array}$
15/10 15/18 21/20 34/5 35/8
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll} & 42 / 25 & 48 / 25 & 51 / 22 & 55 / 14 & 55 / 15\end{array}$ 58/14
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Our [16] } & 4 / 20 & 7 / 25 & 9 / 15 & 23 / 20\end{array}$ 24/8 25/10 25/21 25/23 32/11 $\begin{array}{llllll}33 / 2 & 38 / 23 & 43 / 21 & 44 / 12 & 52 / 2\end{array}$ 52/4 60/2
out [13] $12 / 18$ 15/21 $19 / 9$ 21/10 22/3 25/16 30/15 32/9
$\begin{array}{lllll}32 / 12 & 33 / 15 & 40 / 13 & 44 / 24 & 57 / 15\end{array}$
outside [1] 21/3
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { over [8] } & 13 / 20 & 14 / 16 & 32 / 6\end{array}$ 34/25 39/21 41/22 49/3 49/12
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { overall } & {[13]} & 14 / 23 & 16 / 5 & 19 / 1\end{array}$
19/2 19/11 20/6 22/6 22/7
23/15 24/2 45/25 54/2 55/6
overview [1] 16/4
owned [2] 46/1 46/6
owners [3] 8/6 57/18 58/1
owns [2] 50/14 50/19
P

| p.m [1] | $1 / 8$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| page [4] | $3 / 3$ | $3 / 11$ | $15 / 22$ | $32 / 23$ |

pages [1] 35/9
paragraph [1] 32/23
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Park } & {[13]} & 5 / 5 & 36 / 5 & 36 / 14 \\ 41 / 15\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}43 / 6 & 44 / 10 & 45 / 2 & 45 / 4 & 45 / 9\end{array}$
45/19 46/14 49/23 49/25
parking [1] 47/15
Parkway [1] 54/14
part [29] $11 / 19 \quad 12 / 2 \quad 12 / 6$
$\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 11 & 18 / 4 & 18 / 15 & 19 / 1 & 19 / 15\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 17 & 19 / 25 & 20 / 18 & 23 / 9 & 23 / 15\end{array}$
23/15 27/5 39/9 39/13 44/18
$\begin{array}{lllll}44 / 21 & 44 / 21 & 45 / 25 & 49 / 24 & 52 / 13\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}52 / 23 & 54 / 12 & 56 / 15 & 57 / 11 & 58 / 10\end{array}$
58/10
participating [1] 58/20
particular [2] 9/19 50/23
particularly [3] $13 / 2$ 20/15 23/21
passed [1] 33/10
Pat [1] 44/9
Pat Dolobacs [1] 44/9
Patricia [1] 3/8
pattern [1] 54/2
pay [2] 7/4 24/11
payment [1] 6/20
Pelican [1] 41/16
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { people [5] } & 13 / 2 & 42 / 17 & 42 / 25\end{array}$ 43/1 43/8
percent [3] 25/8 25/16 28/14
permit [1] 12/25
permits [1] 13/1
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { permitted }[3] & 20 / 11 & 29 / 3 & 30 / 1\end{array}$
personally [1] 58/24
personnel [1] 49/3
perspective [1] 54/21
Peters [1] 2/9
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { pier [4] } & 44 / 13 & 47 / 4 & 47 / 5 & 47 / 9\end{array}$
Pinelands [2] 54/14 54/17
Pinewald [1] $1 / 6$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { place [9] } & 13 / 12 & 30 / 16 & 37 / 23\end{array}$
38/7 38/12 50/4 58/2 60/2 62/9
places [1] 55/15
plain [1] 12/3
plan [96]
planned [1] 21/11
planner [4] 2/10 2/10 8/4 8/11
planner's [1] 8/4
planners [1] 52/4
planning [36] $1 / 1$ 4/2 7/24 $\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 19 & 11 / 22 & 12 / 12 & 13 / 21 & 13 / 22\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}14 / 1 & 14 / 4 & 14 / 19 & 15 / 7 \\ 16 / 5\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}16 / 10 & 16 / 18 & 18 / 3 & 18 / 11 & 19 / 2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 3 & 21 / 17 & 22 / 24 & 23 / 4 & 23 / 10\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}23 / 15 & 23 / 17 & 24 / 3 & 24 / 15 & 26 / 24\end{array}$
27/16 29/8 35/7 38/17 38/17
45/13 52/14 52/22
plans [2] 14/15 57/16
play [1] 49/10
Plaza [1] 35/12
Pleasant [1] 24/24
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { please [5] } & 4 / 4 & 5 / 9 & 10 / 11 & 11 / 2\end{array}$ 36/7
point [3] 21/10 42/17 60/12
police [1] 49/8
populations [1] 21/5
portion [1] 52/1
possible [4] $26 / 4 \quad 31 / 17 \quad 31 / 17$ 32/15
post [4] $11 / 19$ 11/22 $15 / 14$
23/10
posted [1] 5/6
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { potential }[5] & 7 / 24 & 11 / 24 & 18 / 5\end{array}$
19/21 24/4
potentially [1] 57/17
preparation [2] $12 / 3 \quad 23 / 8$
prepare [2] 60/7 60/13
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { prepared [5] } & 16 / 2 & 16 / 9 & 18 / 4\end{array}$
33/7 59/16
present [3] $2 / 8$ 4/6 $42 / 2$
presentation [3] 16/21 24/6 58/19
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { presented [2] } & 12 / 5 & 25 / 4\end{array}$
Press [2] 5/5 5/5
pressure [1] 34/12
pretty [1] 56/12
previous [1] 38/25
previously [1] 19/20
Princeton [1] 34/25
prior [5] 11/10 39/22 40/15 41/7 41/11
prioritize [1] 54/22
prioritizing [1] 55/10
priority [1] 33/8
private [1] 46/7
probably [6] 12/4 47/4 53/19 53/24 55/2 58/7
problem [1] 17/2
proceed [1] 11/1
proceedings [1] 62/7
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { process [3] } & 13 / 1 & 37 / 21 & 38 / 2\end{array}$
professionals [2] 52/2 58/15
program [6] $11 / 20$ 12/2 $13 / 23$
14/3 14/14 23/14
project [1] 13/6
projects [3] 11/22 12/1 12/22
properties [1] 18/6
property [12] $8 / 6 \quad 46 / 1 \quad 46 / 5$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll} & 46 / 7 & 46 / 25 & 47 / 23 & 50 / 10 & 50 / 22\end{array}$
51/9 51/19 57/18 58/1
propose [2] 51/19 51/20
proposed [3] 19/9 20/6 24/18
Protections [1] 14/3
provide [3] $15 / 13 \quad 37 / 16 \quad 38 / 2$
provided [2] 12/19 24/12
provides [3] $16 / 4 \quad 16 / 19 \quad 24 / 3$
providing [4] $12 / 12$ 23/24 $24 / 2$

| P | $\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 19 & 16 / 3 & 16 / 5 & 16 / 13 & 16 / 17\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}40 / 11 & 42 / 4 & 42 / 19 & 43 / 2 & 43 / 3\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 9 & 21 / 21 & 23 / 3 & 27 / 2 & 31 / 10\end{array}$ | 43/4 43/7 43/9 43/23 |
| providing... [1] 39/20 <br> provisions [2] 15/1 28/5 | $\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 18 & 37 / 9 & 39 / 22 & 40 / 21 & 41 / 23 \\ 41 / 25 & 42 / 14 & 43 / 14 & 43 / 17 & 50 / 5\end{array}$ | residents [3] $43 / 16$ $43 / 21$ $47 / 2$ <br> resiliency [5] $11 / 23$ $13 / 8$ $16 / 7$ |
| public [22] $5 / 711 / 5$ 11/9 | 54/15 59/17 | 21/18 24/4 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}11 / 10 & 11 / 14 & 11 / 14 & 12 / 10 & 24 / 20\end{array}$ | recommended [12] 19/17 20/9 | resilient [4] $13 / 9$ 13/23 $18 / 24$ |
| 24/21 37/21 38/2 38/16 38/19 | 21/9 22/6 22/20 23/1 32/4 39/8 | 23/6 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllllll} & 39 / 20 & 41 / 25 & 43 / 15 & 45 / 18 & 50 / 7\end{array}$ | 40/14 41/16 42/9 45/7 | resolution [6] 7/23 46/23 60/2 |
| 51/23 51/25 62/5 62/13 | recommending [6] 20/18 23/13 | 60/7 60/13 61/1 |
| pulverizing [1] 19/20 | 28/4 28/20 31/24 40/20 | resolutions [1] 5/12 |
| purchased [2] 49/21 50/23 | recommends [3] 30/4 37/19 | respect [2] 45/8 50/11 |
| purpose [2] 48/14 51/9 | 41/24 | rest [1] 48/5 |
| pursuant [2] 11/8 38/20 | record [2] 24/22 28/2 | result [1] 8/7 |
| put [2] 30/15 59/9 | recovery [8] 11/22 13/8 16/7 | retail [1] 40/11 |
| putting [1] 45/1 | 16/10 16/11 16/18 18/3 24/4 | retreat [1] 18/23 |
| $Q$ | recreation [4] 44/17 44/19 | $\text { review [9] } 7 / 2424 / 13 \quad 30 / 17$ |
| question [8] 8/9 24/25 26/21 | recreational [1] 45/6 | 58/7 |
| 30/22 35/9 38/23 41/15 49/21 | redevelopment [1] 32/2 | reviewed [1] 52/23 |
| questions [4] 32/20 36/6 52/2 | redone [1] 48/15 | revisions [2] 19/14 23/23 |
| 58/14 | reduce [1] 18/22 | revisit [1] 32/10 |
| quick [1] 59/22 | redundancies [1] 55/16 | rezoned [1] 49/24 |
| quite [2] 15/18 35/2 | reemphasize [1] 20/25 | rezoning [1] 50/4 |
| quorum [2] 4/4 4/19 | refer [1] 40/24 | RF [1] 22/14 |
| R | references [1] 43/15 | Richard [1] 1/13 |
|  | referred [1] 38/17 | right [43] 21/13 23/11 25/20 |
| R-60 [1] 22/14 | referring [2] 36/12 52/25 | 25/25 26/19 26/25 28/18 28/22 |
| raised [1] 23/19 | reflect [3] 19/14 37/12 38/1 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}29 / 1 & 29 / 5 & 29 / 13 & 29 / 21 & 30 / 13\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { ramp [5] } & 44 / 13 & 46 / 25 & 47 / 1 & 47 / 9\end{array}$ | reflecting [1] 39/20 |  |
| 48/8 | reflects [2] 20/1 41/22 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}34 / 20 & 34 / 22 & 36 / 2 & 36 / 15 & 36 / 24\end{array}$ |
| ramps [1] 45/21 | regard [2] 26/17 41/17 | 38/11 39/23 40/2 40/17 40/18 |
| ratables [2] 23/22 23/25 | regarding [3] 7/23 22/11 38/23 | 41/12 42/1 42/16 45/10 45/22 |
| re [29] $11 / 2$ 11/6 11/11 $11 / 17$ | regards [8] $12 / 13$ 16/7 19/11 | $\begin{array}{llllllllll} & 46 / 3 & 46 / 8 & 47 / 24 & 48 / 2 & 48 / 9 & 50 / 2\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}12 / 7 & 13 / 6 & 13 / 19 & 15 / 23 & 16 / 14\end{array}$ | 19/19 20/5 21/21 23/3 54/16 | 50/15 50/24 53/9 58/4 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}16 / 23 & 17 / 12 & 19 / 18 & 24 / 12 & 24 / 18\end{array}$ | REGULAR [1] 1/4 | right-of-ways [1] 46/3 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}125 / 4 & 25 / 11 & 32 / 24 & 37 / 20 & 37 / 20\end{array}$ | regulations [1] 15/2 | rights [7] $14 / 14419 / 21 \quad 19 / 24$ |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}39 / 14 & 40 / 20 & 40 / 21 & 41 / 8 & 41 / 21\end{array}$ | rehabilitated [1] 47/23 | 23/14 52/5 52/25 53/4 |
| 41/24 42/8 44/16 55/6 59/5 | reinforcing [1] 23/16 | riparian [10] 52/4 52/5 52/6 |
| re-evaluation [3] 39/14 40/21 | related [3] 11/22 52/11 54/10 | 52/8 52/10 52/16 52/25 53/1 |
| 41/24 | relates [1] 54/10 | 53/4 53/6 |
| re-examination [25] 11/2 11/6 | relation [1] 11/23 | Rite [1] 35/17 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}11 / 11 & 11 / 17 & 12 / 7 & 13 / 6 & 13 / 19\end{array}$ | relationship [1] 18/12 | River [1] 2/4 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}15 / 23 & 16 / 14 & 16 / 23 & 17 / 12 & 19 / 18\end{array}$ | relatively [2] 54/17 59/21 | road [12] 1/6 2/4 46/3 53/12 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll} & 24 / 12 & 24 / 18 & 25 / 4 & 25 / 11 & 32 / 24\end{array}$ | remains [1] 33/8 | 53/13 53/15 53/24 55/8 55/15 |
| 37/20 40/20 41/8 41/21 42/8 | remember [1] 36/24 | 57/2 57/8 57/20 |
| 44/16 55/6 59/5 | Remington [1] 6/25 | roads [1] 33/16 |
| re-review [1] 37/20 | remiss [1] 58/18 | roadway [1] 15/9 |
| read [3] 6/20 59/10 60/19 | repair [1] 47/6 | roadways [1] 48/20 |
| reading [1] 38/19 | repeatedly [2] 33/22 34/3 | Robert [1] 1/10 |
| ready [1] 56/7 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { report [31] } & 11 / 2 & 11 / 6 & 11 / 7\end{array}$ | Roberts [1] 41/15 |
| reaffirming [1] 23/16 |  | roll [5] 4/3 7/7 8/21 10/11 |
| real [1] 54/20 | $\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 19 & 15 / 23 & 16 / 10 & 16 / 15 & 16 / 19\end{array}$ | 61/6 |
| realistic [1] 54/24 | $\begin{array}{llllll}16 / 19 & 16 / 23 & 17 / 12 & 18 / 3 & 19 / 18\end{array}$ | route [28] $18 / 118 / 6$ 18/14 |
| really [9] 14/23 15/17 21/22 | $\begin{array}{llllllll} & 4 / 18 & 25 / 5 & 32 / 25 & 39 / 5 & 40 / 20\end{array}$ |  |
| 28/2 46/4 53/2 55/9 55/13 | 40/22 41/22 41/23 $42 / 8 \quad 42 / 15$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}33 / 4 & 33 / 4 & 33 / 18 & 33 / 24 & 34 / 6\end{array}$ |
| 58/22 | 44/16 55/6 59/5 | 34/10 35/4 $43 / 7 \begin{array}{llllll} & 53 / 14 & 53 / 24\end{array}$ |
| reason [1] 11/11 | reported [1] 53/1 | 54/7 55/22 56/18 56/21 56/23 |
| reasonable [1] 54/24 | Reporter [1] 62/5 | 57/1 57/5 57/12 57/12 57/24 |
| reassessment [2] 39/13 41/24 | REPORTERS [1] 1/24 | Route 35 [1] 43/7 |
| rec [1] 47/14 | reproductions [1] 16/22 | Route Nine [20] 18/6 18/14 |
| receive [1] 33/3 | request [1] 7/24 | 20/12 $32 / 23$ 33/1 $33 / 4 \begin{array}{llll} & 33 / 18\end{array}$ |
| received [2] 11/20 24/13 | requested [3] $8 / 10$ 9/24 $33 / 23$ | 34/6 34/10 $35 / 4 \quad 53 / 14$ 53/24 |
| receiving [1] 22/22 | requests [2] 34/2 34/3 | 55/22 56/18 56/21 56/23 57/1 |
| recent [1] 14/17 | require [1] 57/16 | 57/5 57/12 57/24 |
| recently [1] 13/25 | required [2] 5/7 11/15 | rumors [2] 51/12 51/13 |
| recommend [2] 15/5 58/5 | requirement [2] 57/6 59/18 | rural [1] 35/2 |
| recommendation [19] 16/13 20/5 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { requirements [9] } & \text { 9/20 } & 11 / 8\end{array}$ | S |
| 22/2 27/19 27/20 29/11 31/13 | 22/12 24/16 38/21 52/23 56/21 | S |
| 32/24 37/20 40/16 40/16 42/5 | 56/22 59/20 | S Lacey [4] 9/13 9/22 10/3 |
| 43/13 43/23 44/4 51/5 54/10 | residential [26] 20/10 20/19 | 10/5 |
| 55/7 59/4 | 21/2 21/5 21/11 $21 / 14$ 22/14 | said [8] 24/5 24/9 33/1 40/19 |
| recommendations [28] 11/12 |  | 41/11 42/8 45/17 47/13 |
| 12/20 14/22 14/24 15/5 15/16 | 36/8 37/17 $38 / 4 \quad 38 / 24 \quad 40 / 9$ | salute [2] 4/25 5/2 |


| S | $\begin{array}{lllll} 53 / 18 & 54 / 5 & 54 / 13 & 55 / 16 & 55 / 23 \\ 57 / 2 & 59 / 16 \end{array}$ | sufficient [1] $38 / 15$ <br> suggesting [1] $47 / 12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| same [3] 34/6 53/11 60/11 | something [5] $33 / 12$ 44/20 45/1 | SULLIVAN [3] $1 / 23$ 7/1 62/4 |
| Sandy [8] 11/19 11/22 11/25 | 45/3 55/5 | SULLIVAN-HILL [2] 1/23 62/4 |
| 13/2 16/11 23/10 47/19 48/15 | somewhere [1] 57/8 | summary [1] 16/2 |
| say [7] 27/24 34/13 35/11 | sorry [1] 39/3 | summer [1] 33/19 |
| 42/17 42/20 47/10 52/25 | sort [1] 51/16 | Superstorm [1] 11/25 |
| saying [6] 30/3 41/20 45/2 | sound [1] 18/11 | support [2] 12/10 12/17 |
| 46/13 50/10 50/25 | south [12] 1/24 36/5 36/14 | supporting [1] 14/4 |
| says [6] 32/24 32/25 33/2 33/5 |  | supposed [1] 56/20 |
| 33/6 34/8 | 45/9 45/19 46/13 54/6 | sure [6] 17/2 17/5 30/10 37/22 |
| Seafood [1] 50/11 | space [5] 44/17 44/19 44/22 | 43/20 54/4 |
| Seaside [10] 36/5 36/14 41/15 | 45/6 46/11 | sustainable [2] 13/24 23/6 |
| 43/6 44/10 45/2 45/4 45/9 | speak [1] 51/23 | sworn [1] 4/21 |
| 45/19 46/13 | speaking [1] 26/17 | Symphony [1] 32/19 |
| second [13] 7/6 8/19 8/20 10/8 | specific [12] 11/12 15/22 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { system [4] } & 12 / 9 & 12 / 10 & 12 / 19\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllll} & 10 / 10 & 17 / 10 & 35 / 13 & 35 / 14 & 38 / 19\end{array}$ | 25/15 26/18 28/23 31/3 37/14 | 15/10 |
| 56/15 59/3 61/4 61/5 | 40/3 40/25 45/9 50/7 54/15 | T |
| secretary [2] 2/9 5/16 | specifically [13] 13/7 14/25 | 1 |
| section [1] 57/20 | 16/6 19/10 22/11 22/18 22/20 | table [2] 8/17 60/10 |
|  | 43/14 44/15 50/10 51/3 52/18 | tabled [2] 8/8 8/12 |
| Seeing [1] 51/25 | 54/5 | take [12] 9/18 13/12 15/20 |
| seek [1] 34/12 | specifics [2] 30/25 40/12 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}26 / 22 & 32 / 12 & 37 / 22 & 38 / 7 & 38 / 12\end{array}$ |
| send [1] 34/10 | speed [1] 13/1 | 49/17 50/4 53/23 60/2 |
| sending [1] 19/22 | spelled [2] 15/21 40/13 | taken [2] 30/15 62/8 |
| sensitive [4] 12/15 14/11 19/6 | spoke [1] 27/3 | taking [2] 25/16 32/8 |
| 37/17 | Springs [2] 5/13 6/6 | talk [3] 53/19 54/13 55/22 |
| sent [1] 47/11 | stage [1] 56/8 | talked [5] 42/2 44/10 44/24 |
| September [2] 25/8 25/19 | Stan [2] 11/1 59/13 | 54/8 56/18 |
| series [1] 16/12 | standards [1] 37/1 | talking [6] 30/11 35/12 43/18 |
| serve [2] 20/23 21 | standing [1] 42/5 | 49/4 53/1 53/2 |
| served [1] 12/17 | standpoint [1] 21/7 | targeting [1] 28/6 |
| set [3] 31/10 37/19 62/9 | Stanley [1] 2/10 | tax [1] 25/9 |
| setback [4] 56/20 56/22 57/6 | start [2] 34/16 49/5 | TDR [2] 19/21 22/23 |
| 57/25 | starting [1] 15/22 | technical [5] 12/19 16/20 |
| setbacks [1] 58/4 | state [23] 14/1 32/21 33/12 | 19/13 21/22 21/25 |
| sets [1] 31/6 | 33/14 33/23 34/8 38/25 46/24 | tell [3] 33/21 34/11 34/15 |
| several [5] 15/17 16/8 26/12 | 47/7 47/7 48/7 49/22 49/22 | ten [4] 11/10 13/20 35/2 49/16 |
| 26/13 52/21 | 49/25 50/13 50/16 52/14 56/3 | tend [1] 60/18 |
| she [1] 42/4 | 56/7 57/16 58/3 62/5 62/13 | terms [4] 22/10 23/4 26/13 |
| Shop [1] 35/17 | State's [1] 51/9 | 59/18 |
| shortly [1] 12/5 | stated [1] 43/12 | terrible [2] 32/21 33/18 |
| should [13] 9/18 11/6 21/10 | stating [2] 29/15 29/16 | testimony [1] 26/7 |
| 29/16 30/6 30/7 $35 / 3 \quad 44 / 4$ | station [1] 51/15 | than [2] 25/24 53/24 |
| 49/23 50/11 51/5 55/3 59/9 | statutory [2] 8/10 9/20 | thank [22] 8/2 11/3 31/17 |
| shouldn't [2] 49/24 50/14 | stenographically [1] 62/8 |  |
| shows [1] 17/21 | still [2] 30/11 60/1 | $\begin{array}{llllllll} & 44 / 8 & 46 / 17 & 48 / 9 & 49 / 17 & 49 / 19\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { side [5] } & 20 / 12 & 20 / 13 & 20 / 13\end{array}$ | stopped [2] 34/20 34/22 | 51/6 51/7 53/9 55/17 56/14 |
| 20/14 47/22 | storm [9] 11/24 12/16 13/10 | 58/12 58/19 58/24 59/2 |
| sideways [1] 17/3 | 13/15 15/14 $18 / 5$ 18/20 20/16 | that [247] |
| signed [1] 5/16 | 20/17 | that's [40] 9/17 $15 / 15$ 16/2 |
| significant [1] 21/5 | storms [1] 48/17 | 19/17 19/22 $24 / 18$ 25/5 $25 / 24$ |
| significantly [1] 21/4 | straight [1] 28/3 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 29/7 } & 29 / 14 & 30 / 13 & 30 / 17 & 32 / 2\end{array}$ |
| silence [2] 4/25 5/3 | strategic [3] $16 / 9$ 16/18 $18 / 3$ | $\begin{array}{llllllll}32 / 7 & 32 / 9 & 32 / 14 & 33 / 9 & 34 / 14\end{array}$ |
| similar [1] 11/24 | strategy [1] 19/1 |  |
| simplify [1] 22/5 | stream [2] 52/16 52/19 |  |
| simply [1] 21/13 | strictly [2] 20/20 43/2 | 41/6 41/7 41/21 42/7 45/13 |
| since [3] $33 / 10$ 49/24 51/4 | strike [1] 10/4 | 48/6 50/1 55/5 55/13 56/12 |
| sit [2] 32/11 56/5 | strong [2] 14/19 59/15 | 58/12 60/4 |
| site [1] 19/20 | structure [1] 14/20 | their [10] $11 / 19$ 14/3 24/13 |
| sized [1] 57/23 | stuck [4] 49/9 49/11 56/5 | 26/21 43/4 52/23 56/5 56/22 |
| sizes [2] 37/13 38/5 | 56/10 | 58/25 60/8 |
| Slachetka [9] 2/10 26/15 27/23 | studies [2] 15/6 23/4 | them [14] 5/13 5/18 6/21 7/4 |
| 29/15 36/11 37/5 43/12 45/5 | study [1] 39/25 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 9/19 } & 15 / 17 & 17 / 3 & 18 / 23 & 24 / 12\end{array}$ |
| 53/16 | stuff [1] 33/13 | 27/5 34/13 53/21 57/4 57/5 |
| small [1] 47/14 | subcommittee [5] 21/23 23/20 | then [27] 6/21 9/11 14/14 |
| smaller [1] 57/22 | 27/14 54/2 58/20 | 17/10 19/25 21/6 23/1 23/12 |
| smoking [1] 5/9 | subdistricts [1] 22/13 | 27/8 28/15 30/25 31/12 31/17 |
| so [76] | subdivision [1] 9/14 | 33/2 35/12 35/21 38/16 38/18 |
| some [26] $5 / 12$ 6/19 12/1 $12 / 17$ | subject [1] 53/11 | 48/8 51/19 55/7 55/7 55/12 |
| 14/25 15/9 16/3 19/8 19/13 | submitted [1] 6/20 | 55/14 57/24 60/8 60/10 |
| 19/14 $22 / 11 \quad 22 / 16$ 22/17 $23 / 18$ | subsequent [1] 31/13 | theoretically [2] 60/7 60/11 |
| 23/19 33/16 51/5 51/16 52/1 | such [2] 15/11 57/6 | there [40] 5/9 13/13 14/24 |


| T | $\begin{array}{llllll}45 / 23 & 46 / 1 & 46 / 10 & 46 / 22 & 54 / 11\end{array}$ | $\text { 14/17 } 14 / 24 \quad 17 / 11 \quad 17 / 15 \quad 17 / 19$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| there... [37] 15/4 15/18 16/1 | \|$56 / 18$ $56 / 21$ $57 / 3$ <br> town's $[1]$ $15 / 6$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}18 / 9 & 19 / 2 & 19 / 11 & 19 / 15 & 19 / 16 \\ 19 / 17 & 20 / 5 & 21 / 17 & 29 / 11 & 30 / 1\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}18 / 18 & 23 / 2 & 26 / 12 & 26 / 13 & 29 / 1\end{array}$ | towns [1] 34/5 | $\begin{array}{llllll}36 / 13 & 36 / 18 & 36 / 20 & 36 / 21 & 36 / 25\end{array}$ |
| 29/19 31/12 $32 / 934 / 23$ 36/15 | township [47] $1 / 1$ 8/4 $11 / 20$ | 38/21 38/23 38/25 39/1 39/5 |
| 37/2 $38 / 18$ 38/19 $40 / 5$ 41/16 | $\begin{array}{llllll}11 / 23 & 12 / 16 & 12 / 23 & 12 / 25 & 13 / 4\end{array}$ | 39/8 39/9 40/3 40/4 40/8 40/11 |
|  | 13/8 13/9 13/13 13/20 14/8 |  |
| 47/3 $47 / 4$ 47/5 47/13 47/15 | 14/13 14/16 15/3 15/8 15/10 | 42/9 42/10 42/23 43/24 46/7 |
| 48/24 49/3 52/9 54/4 55/6 | 16/8 17/8 17/22 18/7 18/13 | 47/14 50/1 50/8 50/15 51/11 |
| 57/22 59/13 59/15 59/17 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}18 / 17 & 19 / 7 & 20 / 7 & 21 / 19 & 21 / 24\end{array}$ | 51/14 51/15 51/17 52/24 |
| there's [21] 8/9 14/14 18/22 | 24/5 24/11 $34 / 5$ 43/20 44/18 | used [4] $30 / 7$ 42/20 $46 / 4 \quad 50 / 15$ |
| 19/13 21/20 22/10 22/16 $22 / 17$ | $\begin{array}{llllllll}45 / 3 & 46 / 24 & 47 / 1 & 47 / 8 & 48 / 1\end{array}$ | uses [10] 12/13 20/1 20/19 |
| 23/22 24/20 32/23 36/22 37/2 | 52/12 52/13 54/16 55/2 55/10 | 20/22 22/11 29/20 32/3 32/3 |
| 42/4 46/2 46/3 47/5 53/3 53/20 | 55/14 59/1 59/15 59/21 | 40/8 40/9 |
| 53/20 53/21 | township's [11] 11/13 12/12 | using [1] 12/19 |
| therefore [1] 11/13 | 13/24 14/4 $14 / 1314 / 2318 / 9$ | utilize [1] 18/24 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}\text { these [8] } & 7 / 3 & 13 / 12 & 16 / 4 & 17 / 6\end{array}$ | 19/2 22/5 23/14 54/21 | V |
| 33/3 33/13 48/23 50/4 | traffic [5] 33/5 33/16 33/24 | $V$ |
| they [29] 5/15 9/24 15/21 24/2 | 54/4 55/1 | Valley [1] 24/24 |
| 24/15 24/17 27/1 28/13 28/14 | transcript [1] 62/7 | valuable [1] 58/21 |
| $32 / 9$ 33/1 34/9 34/16 34/18 | transfer [4] $14 / 14$ 19/21 $19 / 24$ | variety [8] 11/21 13/21 14/7 |
|  | 23/14 | 14/15 15/4 21/20 21/25 23/2 |
| 42/8 51/14 $51 / 15$ 51/15 51/18 | transportation [3] $15 / 11$ 33/7 | various [4] 16/17 17/21 19/5 |
| 51/20 56/4 56/10 56/23 59/22 | 53/12 | 23/17 |
| they'd [1] 33/14 | travel [2] 33/18 54/6 | Vena [1] 6/25 |
| they're [6] $24 / 1434 / 8 \quad 34 / 9$ | tremendous [2] 55/24 58/21 | verbiage [3] 26/3 45/2 45/3 |
| 51/11 51/17 56/7 | true [2] 60/4 62/7 | Vernick [1] 6/25 |
| thing [6] 20/4 $22 / 3$ 33/6 $34 / 6$ | trying [1] 28/12 | versus [1] 20/2 |
| 47/21 55/2 | Tuckerton [1] 34/1 | very [13] $12 / 15$ 14/19 14/19 |
| things [6] $40 / 5$ 48/25 49/4 | tuning [2] 14/23 23/18 | 15/22 $41 / 4$ 42/19 43/7 $43 / 8$ |
| 54/1 54/14 56/7 | Turn [1] 17/3 | 54/3 54/3 57/22 57/23 57/24 |
| think [28] 11/7 16/25 17/24 | turned [1] 42/23 | view [3] 45/14 49/6 49/10 |
| 23/23 25/18 26/6 28/1 29/15 | twice [1] 25/24 | vision [1] 33/2 |
| 30/21 32/20 $33 / 14$ 42/20 42/22 | two [13] 9/11 14/7 16/21 20/23 | vote [7] $5 / 17$ 6/21 8/13 59/9 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}42 / 23 & 42 / 24 & 43 / 7 & 50 / 19 & 51 / 4\end{array}$ | 22/15 28/19 30/12 32/7 35/16 | 60/6 60/9 60/25 |
| 54/20 54/23 55/7 55/8 57/10 | 35/20 41/17 47/11 53/12 | voted [1] 60/1 |
| 57/14 58/3 58/23 59/20 59/21 | two-family [1] 22/15 | vouchers [2] 6/19 7/4 |
| this [89] | type [3] $40 / 4 \begin{array}{llll}\text { the }\end{array}$ | vulnerability [1] 23/9 |
| those [39] 8/7 8/12 9/18 13/2 | types [1] 32/3 | vulnerable [8] 13/14 13/15 |
| 13/17 14/10 15/24 16/24 17/25 | typically [2] 40/7 40/10 | 18/7 18/20 19/6 20/16 21/6 |
| 18/21 18/23 20/9 20/9 20/11 | U | 52/18 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllll}20 / 21 & 21 / 14 & 22 / 19 & 23 / 7 & 26 / 16\end{array}$ |  | W |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}30 / 1 & 30 / 17 & 32 / 7 & 32 / 8 & 32 / 12\end{array}$ | Uh [5] 29/9 30/5 37/24 39/10 |  |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllll}34 / 2 & 34 / 3 & 37 / 14 & 38 / 6 & 40 / 21\end{array}$ | 39/18 | want [12] 15/19 16/24 19/8 |
| 41/17 41/24 42/3 49/6 52/17 | Uh-hum [5] 29/9 30/5 37/24 | 27/24 $30 / 14$ 31/22 $32 / 22$ 39/15 |
| 53/2 54/25 55/10 55/11 58/1 | 39/10 39/18 | 43/20 53/16 58/19 59/12 |
| though [5] 24/7 30/11 30/13 | ULAKY [2] 2/3 6/24 | wants [1] 55/3 |
| 31/23 34/11 | umbrella [1] 32/6 | was [48] 5/4 8/10 12/18 17/13 |
| through [18] 13/15 15/16 22/19 | under [3] $14 / 3$ 56/2 59/18 | 17/15 17/17 19/23 19/24 20/2 |
| 26/8 26/21 33/16 33/19 34/23 | understand [7] 9/22 30/10 | 21/11 $22 / 2$ 22/4 22/4 $22 / 24$ |
|  | 30/22 34/23 40/3 44/2 45/8 | $\begin{array}{llllllll} & 6 / 6 & 32 / 5 & 32 / 7 & 35 / 1 & 35 / 2 & 36 / 25\end{array}$ |
| 48/10 52/3 55/19 57/3 59/6 | understanding [2] 40/10 49/23 | 37/12 37/16 39/8 39/8 39/21 |
| throughout [2] 45/7 52/12 | undertaken [2] 12/2 16/12 | 41/20 42/21 44/3 47/13 47/13 |
| throw [1] 47/7 | undertaking [1] 13/22 | 47/15 47/16 47/21 47/21 47/21 |
| Thursday [1] 1/7 | unique [4] 37/18 40/24 41/5 |  |
| time [10] 8/11 15/20 19/22 | 52/20 | 49/4 52/13 53/22 54/2 54/4 |
| 37/16 44/25 53/23 58/21 59/13 | units [3] 28/21 28/24 30/12 | 56/20 58/18 58/23 |
| 60/19 62/8 | unless [2] 34/11 60/12 | waterfront [1] 46/4 |
| today [1] 59/9 | until [4] 10/6 10/6 56/10 60/2 | way [4] 35/24 43/10 45/1 59/22 |
| told [1] 25/2 | up [5] 23/10 24/20 34/17 35/3 | ways [1] 46/3 |
| tonight [7] 24/25 25/5 25/14 | 59/11 | we [106] |
| 29/8 45/14 60/1 60/20 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { update [21] } & 12 / 22 & 12 / 24 & 14 / 17\end{array}$ | We'd [2] 4/1 24/19 |
| too [1] 47/4 | $\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 7 & 22 / 17 & 26 / 11 & 26 / 23 ~ 27 / 5\end{array}$ | we'll [3] $4 / 24$ 11/1 51/25 |
| took [1] 4/22 | 27/8 27/11 27/21 29/7 30/4 | we're [25] 6/21 12/19 23/11 |
| top [1] 42/22 |  | 23/16 26/14 27/3 27/8 28/4 |
| topics [1] 53/13 | 44/22 50/6 55/3 | 28/7 $29 / 15$ 29/16 $30 / 2 \quad 30 / 3$ |
| tough [1] 35/1 | upon [2] 50/21 57/19 |  |
| towards [1] 14/12 | upper [1] 40/12 | 50/9 52/25 53/1 54/23 56/5 |
| town [32] $14 / 6$ 14/7 $27 / 4 \quad 28 / 13$ | upset [4] 42/19 42/24 42/24 | 56/9 59/3 |
| 28/16 28/17 28/17 28/19 29/18 | 43/8 | we've [1] 24/12 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}29 / 19 & 30 / 1 & 30 / 12 & 31 / 25 & 32 / 1\end{array}$ | us [6] $9 / 15$ 16/24 $32 / 21$ 49/13 | welcome [1] 55/18 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllll}32 / 7 & 33 / 17 & 34 / 23 & 35 / 1 & 35 / 11\end{array}$ | 52/7 53/22 | well [20] $13 / 3$ 13/4 14/1 $16 / 19$ |
| 35/13 35/14 35/16 35/20 45/12 | use [54] $3 / 13$ 11/9 $13 / 16$ 14/4 | 18/17 21/19 22/20 26/5 29/25 |


| W | wouldn't [2] 55/22 $60 / 2$ wrap [1] $28 / 12$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| well...r $[11]$ $33 / 9$ $34 / 7$ $47 / 6$ <br> $50 / 13$ $50 / 18$ $51 / 4$ $53 / 25$ $54 / 5$ | Y |
| 56/6 58/11 59/14 | Yeah [11] 16/25 17/5 28/1 |
| went [2] 34/18 47/12 | 28/16 30/20 32/15 36/1 40/6 |
| were [13] 13/4 16/11 16/18 | 46/19 49/15 49/20 |
| 23/19 32/25 37/9 41/19 42/14 | years [7] 13/20 14/16 33/9 |
| 42/19 42/20 43/9 50/5 59/8 | 35/2 47/11 52/21 56/24 |
| weren't [1] 32/9 | yes [58] 4/22 5/15 5/20 5/22 |
| west [2] 54/5 54/13 | $\begin{array}{llllll}5 / 24 & 6 / 1 & 6 / 3 & 6 / 5 & 6 / 8 & 6 / 10 \\ 6 / 12\end{array}$ |
| western [3] 15/11 20/13 54/8 | $\begin{array}{llllll}6 / 14 & 6 / 16 & 6 / 18 & 7 / 9 & 7 / 11 & 7 / 13\end{array}$ |
| what [39] 8/10 17/8 17/17 20/2 | 7/15 7/17 7/19 7/21 8/15 8/23 |
| 20/8 20/17 22/23 32/3 32/21 | 8/25 9/2 9/4 9/6 9/8 9/10 |
| 35/21 37/15 37/15 37/19 39/15 | $\begin{array}{llllll}10 / 13 & 10 / 15 & 10 / 17 & 10 / 19 & 10 / 21\end{array}$ |
| 39/21 40/4 40/25 41/10 42/7 | $\begin{array}{llllll}10 / 23 & 10 / 25 & 26 / 10 & 32 / 16 & 32 / 18\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}45 / 8 & 46 / 1 & 46 / 5 & 48 / 16 & 49 / 12\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}36 / 10 & 36 / 20 & 37 / 4 & 39 / 7 & 46 / 21\end{array}$ |
| 50/1 50/19 50/20 51/9 51/10 | 48/11 52/3 55/20 55/25 56/2 |
| 51/17 51/18 51/20 52/7 52/15 | 58/17 59/7 61/8 61/10 61/12 |
| 52/25 54/18 54/20 57/15 57/16 | 61/14 61/16 61/18 61/20 |
| what's [5] 22/21 28/2 31/18 | yet [4] 4/21 42/12 51/10 51/17 |
| 33/15 55/9 | you [95] |
| whatever [2] 35/10 37/22 | you'll [3] 30/17 48/8 48/21 |
| Wheelhouse [2] 46/24 47/12 | you're [8] 26/17 36/12 43/18 |
| when [14] 9/20 25/13 25/18 | 45/8 49/12 50/24 55/18 57/17 |
| 26/1 27/3 30/24 40/7 40/23 | young [1] 42/2 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll} & 45 / 18 & 46 / 10 & 46 / 14 & 47 / 12 & 51 / 18\end{array}$ | your [8] 26/20 30/22 35/11 |
| 52/25 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}39 / 5 & 44 / 5 & 44 / 6 & 45 / 8 & 48 / 8\end{array}$ |
| where [19] $14 / 12$ 17/25 $21 / 1$ | Z |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}21 / 8 & 22 / 8 & 22 / 16 & 23 / 21 & 24 / 2\end{array}$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}28 / 17 & 28 / 20 & 29 / 2 & 32 / 11 & 35 / 13\end{array}$ | zone [13] 22/12 $22 / 14 \quad 22 / 16$ |
| 35/13 45/4 45/20 54/23 54/23 | 25/10 26/16 29/12 30/8 31/3 |
| 55/15 | 39/16 50/1 52/6 52/8 52/16 |
| whether [3] 8/9 33/15 55/15 | zoned [1] 42/3 |
| which [33] 8/6 9/23 12/4 12/16 | zones [5] 27/9 30/4 43/4 52/11 |
| 14/5 14/19 15/16 16/2 16/10 | 52/11 |
| 16/21 17/11 17/21 20/21 20/24 | zoning [26] 13/16 15/2 18/10 |
| 21/1 21/21 22/22 22/24 23/22 | 18/17 20/2 20/6 20/18 21/21 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll} & 25 / 11 & 26 / 16 & 29 / 3 & 39 / 2 & 39 / 2\end{array}$ | 21/24 26/13 27/4 31/6 31/25 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}39 / 3 & 39 / 16 & 46 / 2 & 46 / 25 & 52 / 17\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}37 / 22 & 38 / 6 & 38 / 7 & 39 / 14 & 40 / 4\end{array}$ |
| 54/1 54/9 56/23 60/2 | 40/5 40/7 $48 / 18$ 48/18 $50 / 8$ |
| while [2] 36/11 54/15 | 50/20 50/25 51/20 |
| who [4] 9/23 42/18 43/1 50/19 |  |
| who's [1] 24/8 |  |
| whole [3] 28/10 51/1 58/23 |  |
| why [3] 25/5 26/5 32/7 |  |
| widen [3] 34/10 57/1 57/5 |  |
| widened [1] 57/2 |  |
| widening [1] 53/13 |  |
| will [20] 12/4 12/4 13/12 |  |
| 13/14 13/14 26/12 27/20 27/24 |  |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll} & 28 / 13 & 31 / 12 & 34 / 14 & 43 / 17 & 45 / 16\end{array}$ |  |
| 46/13 $46 / 16$ 47/7 47/9 47/10 |  |
| 50/20 53/19 |  |
| willing [1] 56/8 |  |
| Winward [8] 1/10 4/17 6/4 6/17 |  |
| 7/20 9/9 10/24 61/19 |  |
| wish [2] 60/9 60/14 |  |
| within [15] 12/16 13/8 14/8 |  |
|  |  |
| 22/1 22/6 22/23 24/4 28/8 |  |
| 31/25 37/14 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { without [4] 17/23 22/18 26/3 } \\ & 58 / 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| ```WITNESS [1] 3/3 won't [1] 57/1 words [4] 27/24 32/8 32/12 49/10 work [1] 23/11 working [1] 13/4 Works [1] 12/10 worse [2] 33/19 33/19 would [70]``` |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

