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| 1 | $Q$ | You realize that? |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A | Yes, I understand. |
| 3 | $Q$ | And you can remain seated. |
| 4 | A | Yeah, I would appreciate that. |
| 5 | $Q$ | Just going to, because it's been |
| 6 | literally months, I think the last time you |  |
| 7 | testified was maybe November. |  |
| 8 | A Yeah, I think you're right. It was |  |
| 9 | November. Yeah, I think November was the last. |  |
| 10 | $Q$ | And you testified for two meetings |
| 11 | before I cross-examined you, so I think it was a |  |
| 12 | total of three meetings that you testified at. Is |  |
| 13 | that your recollection? |  |
| 14 | A | That's my recollection, yes. |
| 15 | Q | So, because of that, I'm going to go |
| 16 | back just a little bit but not very much. |  |
| 17 | You had testified that the duties of the |  |
| 18 | planning board and the governing body in this |  |
| 19 | de-annexation process were different. Do you recall |  |
| 20 | that? |  |
| 21 | A | Yes, I do. |
| 22 | In fact, they're separate. one is to |  |
| 23 | compile a report and the planning board is to do -- |  |
| 24 | to gather information in an unbiased fashion, |  |
| 25 | correct? |  |
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$\begin{array}{ll}\text { A } & \text { That is correct. } \\ \text { Q And then the governing body is to }\end{array}$
make a decision on the issue of de-annexation, correct?

A That is correct.
Q You would agree that as a -- as the planner for both the planning board and the township, that you are not to be an advocate in these proceedings, you're to provide an unbiased report; is that correct?

A That is my understanding, yes.
Q Okay. And during the pendency of these proceedings, as they were going on and people were testifying, did you get any indication from the township officials that they were opposed to the idea of de-annexation?

A Not directly to me that they suggested they were opposed. Again, my role here as the professional, they basically -- their direction was, do your role as a professional, essentially.

Q So, no one ever invited you to a meeting, let's say to strategize about how you're going to rebut the petitioners' testimony?

A Not, not directly to me in terms of rebutting. But, you know, what is -- what's my
role, what is my responsibility for presenting to the board.

Q And it would not be in the interest of fairness or being unbiased for you to try to meet with township officials for the purpose of rebutting the petitioners' testimony, correct?

A No, other than gathering information.
I think my expectation and everything that I've
represented to individuals, whether municipal
officials or planning board officials, is, these are my professional opinions. And I'll form an independent perspective and present my position to the board.

Q And your position to provide an unbiased opinion in this situation, would -- that would also be the same requirement of Mr. Wiser, Mr. Haines, if he testifies, Mr. Oris, et cetera, the other planning board professionals.

MR. MICHELINI: To the extent that he knows.

MR. McGUCKIN: I didn't say anything the first couple. You're asking him legal conclusions at this point about other people. If you want to ask him about him, I don't have a problem.
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MR. MICHELINI: Well, I'm asking

MR. McGUCKIN: But as far as his legal opinion of what other people's roles are, I don't think that's appropriate.

MR. MICHELINI: Well, I think it is appropriate.

Q So, to the best of your knowledge, were you ever invited to a meeting to get together with the township during the pendencies of this proceeding to rebut the petitioners' testimony?

A Well, as I indicated to you in my prior testimony, that I did very early on in the process attend a meeting with township officials.
And I think I shared the, essentially, the content and the substance of that meeting as far as -- as far as to my understanding.

Q Okay. That meeting that you're referencing was a meeting to basically go over the procedures of what would happen, correct?

A That's correct. And in terms of what's the role, the process and, you know, based on, you know, the role of the board with regards to, to de-annexation, that's correct.
$\qquad$
testimony today actually, that meeting occurred around December of 2014, early in the process?

A Yeah, 2014.
Q After that, were you invited to a 5 meeting, specifically by township officials, to 6 rebut the testimony of the petitioners' testimony?

A I don't recollect a -- certainly not 8 a meeting to rebut. I think I might have mentioned 9 in my prior testimony, there could have been a second meeting that I attended. But I only -- I specifically recollect that first meeting at the end of 2014. I don't recollect if I was invited to another meeting.

Q And if you were invited to such a meeting, that would not -- that would go contrary to your position to be unbiased and to judge this thing without being an advocate, correct?

A No, that's -- actually, that wouldn't be correct. I would take the position at any such meeting that I would form independent, independent perspective and I would provide planning testimony that I thought was appropriate, given the analysis that I was doing. I respect -- and I think other people respect my position as a professional.

Q So, my question to you is: After

1 that first meeting that occurred in, approximately, December of 2014, were you invited to attend a meeting specifically for the purpose of strategizing as to how to refute the petitioners' testimony?

A Yeah, I don't recollect that that -that I was invited to such a meeting. And if I was invited to a meeting and if there was any intent by any of the participants with regards to, quote,
rebutting the -- rebutting the position -- petition or arguing against it, again, my position and what I would represent in any such meeting, in any such discussion was, I would be doing my job as the board professional.

Q Because you're supposed to be unbiased and you're not supposed to be working with the town officials to rebut the testimony of the petitioners, correct?

A I mean, I couldn't --
Q Please answer that. Is that correct?
A I'm trying to answer your question.
Q Okay.
A I can provide a perspective in terms
of our role or my understanding of the township
planning issues but not -- that's -- that is the
extent that I think is a foundation of my testimony
before the board is based on the factual
information, the analysis that I presented in the
report and my testimony. It doesn't really go beyond that.

Q I understand what you see your role as. But what I'm asking you is, would that violate your ability to be unbiased, potentially, if you're asked to strategize with township officials on how to rebut the petitioners' testimony, before their case is all in even?

A If any such discussions occurred at such a meeting, from my perspective, again, I know what my role is, I know how to conduct myself as a professional, that would not bias me or affect my testimony before this board.

Q Would it be fair to say that, as an unbiased professional, you would not participate in a specific discussion to rebut the petitioners' testimony?

A Whether any conversations took place that suggested or somebody took a position in a meeting that we need to stop the petition or we need to rebut the position, again, I know what my role is. I understand my role. I am a professional.
And whether or not other people take positions or
opinions or have a different perspective on what their roles are, that does not affect me and has not affected me in this testimony.

Q So, is it accurate to say that it's not your role to meet with township officials for the purpose of rebutting petitioners' testimony during the pendencies of the proceedings?

A To the extent that I would need to understand the facts, understand the substantive issues that might be relevant to render an opinion to the board, I think there's a certain validity to understand that. But whether or not -- everybody has opinions. And everybody has a perspective on things. And there's going to be a whole variety of opinions on both sides of any particular planning issue. It's my role and responsibility as a professional to dissect that, to evaluate that and to come to render an opinion based on my professional opinion, based on the facts and the information. That's what ultimately this report is and my testimony before the board was.

Q And how long did it take you to do that in preparing a report? At what point did you come to that?

A Well, it's not any one specific point

```
in the process. It's an ongoing process. I think
we talked about the length of time from that 2014
meeting until the point in which the report was
prepared and I testified. So, it's an ongoing, it's
an ongoing process. It's not --
    Q Sure. So, you can't --
    A It's not defined by any specific time
limit.
    Q It would be fair to say that, as an
unbiased professional, you took your time to come to
your conclusions, correct?
    A That's correct. In fact, listening
to testimony before the board as well, researching
it, you know. It's, as you know, this is a pretty
complex issue. And it takes time. And, you know,
I'm sure that the board is hearing testimony and
maybe their positions and their understanding of the
issue is evolving as they get more information.
    Q So, when did you finally prepare your
report? When was that?
    A I don't recall. It was July 3rd,
2017, the report is dated.
    Q So, if it fair to say that up until
then, you really -- up until the final report, it
was still a work in process and you hadn't made up
```

your mind entirely until the final report was out?
A That's fair to say.
Q Okay. So you weren't, a year before
that or two years before that, strategizing on how
to rebut the petitioners' testimony?
A Yeah, I think I've answered, answered
that question several times already.
Q Right. And the answer would be, you
were not doing that --
A No.
Q -- two years before, correct?
A No. That is correct.
Q Because you couldn't come to a
conclusion or didn't come to a conclusion until you
presented your final report in July of 2017?
A Yeah. And, as I said, if somebody
came up to me and said, we have to stop this
petition, it doesn't -- it's not relevant to me.
Because it's -- what's relevant is doing the
analysis and being thorough and taking the time
that's necessary to render an opinion.
MR. MICHELINI: Mark this in
evidence, please.
(The E-mail dated April 10, 2015 was
marked as A-79 for identification.)

Q I'm going to show you what's been
marked as A-79. Okay.
A Sure.
Q Okay. Just take a look at it without
commenting. I'll give you a moment to read it.
A Yeah, sure, not a problem.
MR. McGUCKIN: Joe, what is it?
MR. MICHELINI: Pardon me?
MR. McGUCKIN: Could you describe
what A-79 is?
MR. MICHELINI: Sure. I'll let him
read it first and then I'll describe it.
Q Mr. Slachetka, have you read that?
A Yes, I have.
Q And could you describe for us what
$\mathrm{A}-79$ is?
Yeah. Yes. It's a -- well, the top, the top line is identified as Karen Stallings. But it's identified as an e-mail from Christopher Reid sent Friday, April 10, 2015, 12 p.m. It was sent to Mr. Stuart -- well, sent to an e-mail
Stuart.Wiser@rve.com, Mcguckinesq, Greg McGuckin,
rhaines@hfacpas.com, James Oris and Stan Slachetka.
Q Okay. And there are cc's on that e-mail, correct. Who are the CC's on the e-mail?

You can read those out loud.
A Are you talking about who this is addressed to?

Q No. Who was it copied to? Who were the cc's?

A Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize. Mayor
Carmen Amato; Fred Ebenau Actually, Fred Ebenau
twice; Karin Dimichele; John Bacchione; Judy Noonan;
Sophia Gingrich; Jim Byrnes; Tom Gross;
Angelo Guadagno; Anthony.DePaolo@Comcast.net.
Q What is the reference for the
subject?
A The subject line is SSP
De-annexation.
Q South Seaside Park, you could take
that to mean?
A I would assume so.
Q And the date again, April 10, 2015?
A 2015, that's correct.
Q So, this is almost three years ago
now?
A Uh-hum.
Q Yes?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And it seems to be from

```
Christopher Reid, although it has -- and it says,
from Christopher Reid, but at the top it says
Karen Stallings, correct?
    A That is correct.
    Q All right. And it's addressed to
Greg. You would take that to mean Greg McGuckin?
    A I would assume so, yeah.
    Q Stuart, meaning Stuart Wiser?
    A I would assume so.
    Q Rodney, meaning Rodney Haines.
R. Haines at the top, you see?
    A Yeah, I would assume so.
    Q Jim, meaning James Oris?
    A Again, that's my assumption.
    Q And Stan Slachetka would be you --
    A That's correct.
    Q -- correct? All of them being board
professionals in conjunction with this matter?
    A That is correct.
    Q All right. And the e-mail, if you
would read it out loud.
    A Yeah. Sure. Not a problem. It
says, Thank you for the courtesy of your time during
our most recent conversations. As you are aware,
the de-annexation of the SSSP is a critical issue to
```

the TWP. I'm assuming township. Please plan a
meeting to identify the material issues, review the
completed hearings and create a strategy for the
township portion of the hearing, including but not
limited to, material items to refute from applicant
testimony, documentation required, priority of
testimony/witnesses for the township.
Q Okay. I'll give you a copy. So,
that is an e-mail sent almost three years ago?
A Uh-hum.
Q And you are one of the persons to
whom it is addressed, along with the other township
professionals?

A Yes.
Q Asking you to attend a meeting or plan a meeting to identify material issues, review completed hearings, presumably transcripts.

A Right.
Q Create a strategy for the township
portion of the hearing, including but not limited to, material items to refute --

A Yes.
Q -- from applicant testimony, documentation required, priority of testimony/ witnesses for the township. Signed by Mr. Reid,

A That's what Mr. Reid wrote, yes.
Q And what was Mr. Reid at that time?
A He was the administrator for the
township.
Q Okay. And how about these other
people? We know who Carmen Amato is, who it was
cC'd to. And Fred Ebenau is, was the chief
financial officer at that time?
A The CFO, yes.
Q And he still is today?
A I believe so.
Q And Karin DiMichele, she was the
chief of police, correct?
A Yeah, I believe so. Yes.
Q And John Bacchione, he is the council
member and also the council appointee on this
planning board, correct?
A Yes, he was. Or yes, he is.
Q Who's Judy Noonan?
A She's also another council member.
Q And Sophia Gingrich?
A I don't know who Sophia is.
Q Do you know if she's the spouse of
Mr. Gingrich, who sits on this board, or you don't
know?
A I don't know off the top of my head.
Q Who's Jim Byrnes?
A He's a councilman.
Q Who's Tom Gross?
A That I don't know. I don't recollect
his position.
Q How about Angelo Guadagno?
A I believe he was a council person, but I'm not certain.

Q And Anthony DePaolo, wasn't he the chairman of this board at that time?

A In 2015, yes, I believe he would have been.

Q So, chairman of the planning board. So, this e-mail was sent to you and the other board professionals with copies to the council, it would appear, and others, such as Mr. Ebenau and the chairman of the planning board.

MR. McGUCKIN: I'm sorry to
interrupt. But, just to be clear, you recall that Mr. DePaola was on the board, but then he went on the council.

MR. MICHELINI: Okay. So, he may
have been on the council at that time.

MR. MICHELINI: Okay.
MR. McGUCKIN: It was about a year he was on the council.

MR. MICHELINI: Okay. Well, that's a fair comment. And I have six boxes in my office, two of which have transcripts. So, I can go back and look when I get back to my office.

Q So, Mr. DePaola may have been on the council or he may have been on the planning board at that time as the chairman?

A That's what I understand now from what Greg had just indicated.

Q But, in any event, this was sent to you. Having seen this, okay, now, you talked a little while ago about a meeting from December of 2014. This is --

A In April of 2015.
Q So, it's four months later, right?
Having seen this, do you recall that there was a meeting after this?

A Actually, I don't recall whether or not there actually was a meeting. There could have
been. I don't recollect having attended that. But
it is possible that I could have. As I said, I
indicated that I might have attended a second
meeting. And that, that could be the second
meeting. But I'm not absolutely certain.
Q What was your response to this, if you recall, or maybe you don't recall?

A Yeah, I don't think I specifically responded to the -- to the statements here.

Q Did you pick up the phone and say or, to someone, look, you know, I'm an unbiased professional, I don't want to -- I don't want to sit down at this point in the proceedings when the testimony isn't even close to being in, three years ago, and start plotting to refute the testimony of the petitioners? Did you do that?

A Yeah, I don't recollect a specific response that I made to this e-mail or a specific conversation or discussion. However, you know, if I did, that would be my position. And --

Q That would be your position, correct?
A Yeah. And as I indicated to you before, I mean, a lot of people have opinions or they put statements in. I know and understand what my role and responsibility is, and I would carry

```
that out.
    Q Did you write any e-mails in response
to this?
    A I don't recollect if I specifically
responded to this e-mail.
    Q But, certainly, as a professional,
unbiased professional, you feel that it would have
been inappropriate at this point in the proceedings
to start planning to refute the testimony of the
petitioners?
    A Well, I think --
    Q As the planner, correct?
    A What I would suggest is that if this
e-mail was going out to multiple parties and some of
those parties were council people and, obviously,
they had positions and the township has a position
with regards to this, given the multiple individuals
that were on this e-mail, it's likely that whoever
is sending out the e-mail didn't completely or might
not have completely understood the very specific
roles and responsibilities of each of the parties.
All I can speak -- all I can do is speak to my
understanding and my roles and responsibilities.
    Q And do you understand from this
e-mail that the township, at least as of April of
```

2015, had a position that they did not want
de-annexation?

MR. McGUCKIN: No, absolutely
inappropriate question to ask the township planner.
MR. MICHELINI: It's a good question.
MR. McGUCKIN: Absolutely not. He
can't speak for the township on that.
MR. MICHELINI: Based on his getting
this e-mail, he can --
MR. MCGUCKIN: Absolutely not.
Absolutely not.
MR. MICHELINI: -- he can say what
his understanding was. His perception of what the township's position was. That's what I'm asking.

I'm not asking what the township's position was.
I'm asking him what his perception of the township's position was based on this e-mail.

MR. McGUCKIN: He's a planner.
MR. MICHELINI: He can answer that.
MR. McGUCKIN: No, he can't. He's a
planner.
MR. MICHELINI: Sure, he can.
MR. McGUCKIN: He's not a
psychologist. He's not a --
MR. MICHELINI: I'm not ask --
representative. He's not a legal representative of the township. He's a planner. You can ask him 4 planning questions, not what other people, their opinions are or what their legal opinions are.

MR. MICHELINI: I'm not asking what other persons' opinions are.

MR. McGUCKIN: You're asking what the
township's position --
MR. MICHELINI: I'm asking what his perception was of the township's position.

MR. MCGUCKIN: What qualification
does he have to give a perception of what other township officials had to say? He's a planner.

MR. MICHELINI: He can certainly say,
based on this, I understood that the township was opposed, after all, they want us to get together as professionals for this planning board to refute the testimony of the applicants when it isn't even in all the way.

MR. McGUCKIN: The e-mail talks about planning a meeting to identify the issues, review the hearings, create a strategy for the township portion of the hearing, as well as what you just indicated, limited to material items to refute

## applicant testimony.

MR. MICHELINI: Right.
MR. McGUCKIN: You will recall there
was a lot of refutation of some of the testimony that was presented at the time of the initial application, factual questions. So, I don't think it's out of line. But I don't think it's
appropriate for a planner to testify what the township thought.

MR. MICHELINI: I'm asking him as to
his perception of what the township thought.
MR. McGUCKIN: As a professional
planner?
MR. MICHELINI: Yes, as a -- as a
recipient of this e-mail. And if you're telling me that you're not going to allow him to answer, that's fine. Let's just put it on the record, if that's your ruling.

MR. McGUCKIN: Mr. Chairman, I don't
see how the township planner can testify as to the
perception of the township was -- as to his
perception of what the township's thoughts were.
MR. WINWARD: I think given the
nature of this document, since it wasn't
specifically just sent exclusively to Stan, that he
really can't comment on the interpretations and perceptions of all the other people that it appears are mostly council members --

MR. MICHELINI: I'm asking --
MR. WINWARD: -- and the mayor on here. And I think you have made your point. It is on the record. So, I think maybe -- and Stan has several times in about 12 different ways in the last 20 minutes, defined what he felt his role was. So, I think he has answered the question as far as his perception. He understands his role was to interpret, you know, the findings. But as our attorney said, the findings weren't even, and the testimony hadn't, at this point, even been entered into the record. So, you may be pretty much asking him to predict the future and future perceptions of what people are. I think you made a point, there is, you know, some wording in this e-mail that could be interpreted different ways. But I think it's time we, you know, move on to some more questions.

MR. MICHELINI: All right. So, for
the record, I'm not permitted to continue that line, correct?

MR. WINWARD: Well, I think you're asking him to speak for others, and --

MR. MICHELINI: No, I'm not. I'm

MR. WINWARD: -- I think he needs to speak for what his role is.

MR. MICHELINI: I'm simply asking
what his perception, not others, what his perception
of the township's position was with regard to
de-annexation, as a result of the receipt of this e-mail.

MR. McGUCKIN: You're asking him what his perception of other people thought. Why would the township -- the township can only speak through the governing body at one time.

MR. MICHELINI: I want --
MR. McGUCKIN: How can he possibly
speak for the governing body?
MR. MICHELINI: He can speak as to
what his perception was at that time.
MR. McGUCKIN: Of the governing body?
MR. MICHELINI: Of the township,
generally, based upon the administrator writing this e-mail. Yes, he can speak to that.

MR. MCGUCKIN: I disagree completely.
MR. MICHELINI: Okay. So, you're not
allowing me to ask any further questions. That's
and that there is a higher unemployment rate for the township as a whole.

The question I have is: Given the retirement communities, wouldn't you expect the unemployment rate for the mainland to reflect a larger number of the population being unemployed or did that -- or did your -- did you correct for that in any way?

MR. SLACHETKA: Well, unemployment is measured in relationship to the work force. So, to the extent that any individuals might be retired and no longer in the work force or seeking employment, that would be factored into the respective unemployment rates. So, these -- so individuals that are not in the work force are not going to be factored into the unemployment rate.

MR. WISER: So, are you saying the Census has already made that calculation and that you didn't need to?

MR. SLACHETKA: Yeah. It's not the question of the Census making that calculation. It's the fact that that's how the rate is defined in the -- from a statistical perspective. So, both the Census and, you know, labor force information,
Department of Labor information, defines it as the
rate of unemployment in relationship to the work force.

MR. WISER: Okay. Thank you. Moving on to around page 21. And then there's comments about the master plan. And there's comments about the State Plan Endorsement and CAFRA Coastal Consistency. And there were some suggestions by the petitioners' planner that the township's master plan is somehow deficient because it didn't, in his opinion, appropriately take into consideration South Seaside Park. With respect to DCA and DEP and the plan endorsement process, if there was some deficiency in the master plan related to South Seaside Park or some other neighborhood, would DCA or DEP or whatever other body have approved the plan endorsement plan?

MR. MICHELINI: I'm going to object. How could he possibly know? It's a hypothetical. He doesn't know. It's speculative. I mean, I can't ask questions about what his perception of the township was when he's meeting with these people to discuss refutation of the petitioners' case. He should not be able to testify about what the DCA would or wouldn't do under the circumstances.

MR. McGUCKIN: I think he can give --

DCA handles planning issues. I think he can give an opinion as to what the DCA would be, just like an engineer would give an opinion as to what the DEP might do.

MR. MICHELINI: He's going to give an
opinion as to what somebody else would think. I was
asking him his opinion of what he thought. And you're going to allow --

MR. WINWARD: I think there's a
difference, though. That was speculation.
MR. MICHELINI: Excuse me. Let me
finish, Mr. Winward. Yes, that would be speculation.

MR. WINWARD: I said that would be
speculation, asking him to speculate on what
somebody else is thinking, where this is a question regarding interpretation of a factual document.

MR. MICHELINI: Thank you.
MR. WISER: Have you ever had any
situations where a plan endorsement application was refused or kicked back for further information because a certain neighborhood wasn't appropriately addressed?

MR. SLACHETKA: And let me make sure
that I understand the question, at least the initial
plan, but we've not specifically revised the 2020
vision statement.
MR. WISER: Okay. You say here that
the 2020 vision statement focused on a future
Berkeley where future growth would be directed?
MR. SLACHETKA: That is correct.
MR. WISER: And we have heard
testimony that growth is very, very limited in
South Seaside Park because it's generally a
built-out community?
MR. SLACHETKA: Yes. That is
correct. It's a generally developed community.
MR. WISER: So, if there's no room to grow in South Seaside Park, would it naturally be not included in a vision statement that is speaking towards growth?

MR. SLACHETKA: And I think, as I mentioned, because the focus was on the balancing protection of the -- balancing of the development activity with the protection of environmental resources, there was naturally a focus on areas where that balance would be achieved. And, obviously, the mainland offered those opportunities. There wasn't -- it wasn't that any one particular
area was ignored, but that there was a recognition of what was developed already, as well as what had the ability to provide for new development. And at the same time, through that development pattern, also protect the natural resources of the township.

MR. WISER: There was a large conversation or long conversation, multi-layered conversation, about affordable housing. And that there is no affordable housing on the island. Check that. Let me take a step back. That the township's affordable housing plan does not include anything on the island. Was that a conscious decision by the planners at the time?

MR. SLACHETKA: Well, like I had indicated or have indicated in all these planning initiatives, and probably what my key theme was, that there's been an evolution and a building of the planning policies of the township over a lengthy period of time. It's a continuum. And the development of housing planning policies has been developed over a long period of time and respond -and those policies also respond to the current regulatory, current legal and statutory framework. So, as I mentioned, and I think one of the things I mentioned was when Mr. Bauman had prepared his
report, that that process had not yet completed.
And he was evaluating or responding to plans that were in place.

The township's current affordable
housing plan doesn't make any distinctions, other
than from a planning perspective, doesn't call out
specific areas for affordable housing or not
affordable housing. But where any new multifamily
residential development is to occur, there is to be
a commensurate set aside for that affordable housing.

I should point out as well that the township's prospective need obligation for affordable housing is zero based on the settlement with Fair Share Housing Center. So, as part of that settlement agreement, the township put in place a planning framework that provides for the provision of affordable housing in those areas that are more appropriate and more sustainable for development. It does not exclude South Seaside Park if some development occurred. Whether it's a mixed use development or new multifamily residential development, there would be a requirement for, commensurate requirement, for affordable housing. It's based on a planning analysis and based on a
comprehensive plan for affordable housing and not
directing affordable housing specifically to or specifically away from any specific part of the township.

MR. WISER: Okay. So, you said that
if there were multifamily development or mixed use
development, affordable housing under the plan could
be a component of that?
MR. SLACHETKA: That is correct.
MR. WISER: When --
MR. SLACHETKA: Or actually, it was, and affordable housing is mandated.

MR. WISER: Okay. Thank you.
The neighborhood plan for
South Seaside Park that was just adopted by the planning board and presumably moving forward for ordinance adoption, the plan that T\&M first put forward, did it include a multifamily, the possibility for multifamily development?

MR. SLACHETKA: Yes, there was some areas that were identified, specifically the existing, you know, townhome areas. And there was a couple of other places in the zone plan for the -for South Seaside Park that both existing and initially proposed zone plan, that provided for
multifamily residential development.
MR. WISER: And as that plan evolved
and then was finally adopted, were those provisions
eliminated?
MR. SLACHETKA: Yes, to a substantial extent, because of input from the public indicating
a desire for a more single-family detached
development form within the -- within South Seaside
Park, the planning board, in adopting the
neighborhood plan, recognized the concerns of the
residents or the opinions of the residents and made some changes that, essentially, made the proposed zoning or the land use plan, I should say, for the area, substantially single-family detached development form.

MR. WISER: Okay. In the
neighborhood plan, you have a number of maps and to one extent or the other -- and let me try to find -they're in the appendices.

MR. SLACHETKA: Are you talking about the neighborhood plan or the planning report?

MR. WISER: That's a good question. Bear with me a second. Let me see if I can find page one here.

This was an appendix to your report.

And I'm trying to get the front page of it. Bear with me, please.
(Off the record.)
MR. WISER: Okay. I'm sorry.
There's an appendix to the planner's report.
(Off the record.)
MR. WISER: Okay. So, apparently,
it's in the de-annexation report. It's appendix $D_{\text {, }}$ map set. And flipping through --

MR. SLACHETKA: Do you have a page
number on the bottom?
MR. WISER: 344 and thereabouts.
Some a little before, some a little after.
MR. SLACHETKA: The one I see that's
identified as page 344 on the bottom says, neighborhood reference South Seaside Park neighborhood.

MR. WISER: Try 351, if you would.
(Off the record.)
MR. DICKERSON: Are you looking in
the neighborhood plan or are you looking in the de-annexation report?

MR. WISER: Okay. There's a -- on
the bottom, it says, 351, page 351 . On the header,
it says, appendix, planning report in the matter of

1 petitioned de-annexation of South Seaside Park.
MR. SLACHETKA: That's -- I think
it's a tax map --
MR. WISER: Portion of, yeah.
MR. SLACHETKA: -- that shows, and
there's a legend in the lower left-hand corner that
shows tax parcel on NJDEP recreational and open
space inventory. That's the first item in that
legend.
I was just asking him to confirm I was looking at the right thing.

MR. WISER: Yes, that is the correct one. That is the one I'm referencing.

And there are, if you back up to page 348 , there is a map, existing open space and recreation areas, South Seaside Park. And then the one before that, which is the tax map you referenced before on page 350 .

The point I'm trying to make, in all these maps, there are some colored codings that appear to me to be in the bay, to be actually in the water.

MR. SLACHETKA: Yeah, that's correct.
MR. WISER: And there, if you look on the one that is labeled page 351 , there is the red
hash marks and then the blue coding for the tax maps
or for the, I'm sorry, the tax parcels in the bay?
MR. SLACHETKA: Right.
MR. WISER: Okay. The tax -- the
blue is riparian grant?
MR. SLACHETKA: It's that portion of
that specific tax parcel that's riparian. That's
pursuant to a riparian grant, that's correct.
MR. WISER: So, there was a grant
issued?
MR. SLACHETKA: Yes.
MR. WISER: Do we know -- I can't
read the map at this scale. Do we know who it was issued to?

MR. SLACHETKA: That's a good
question. I can't read -- actually, I can't read
the -- you're talking -- you're referring to the
little table in the upper left-hand side?
MR. WISER: Or some of the notations
in the tax lots themselves. I can't read it, the scale I received this in.

MR. SLACHETKA: I can start reading
it, but maybe because I got new prescriptions
recently. They're very, very small.
MR. WISER: Do the hatched areas --
the red hatching, it says, area in question.
MR. SLACHETKA: Uh-hum.
MR. WISER: What is that supposed to
mean, just for clarity?
MR. SLACHETKA: That's -- well,
that's up -- well, upland area or area that's not in
the riparian grant. And the area in question I
think was in -- was referencing the issue of whether
or not that that was available recreation and open
space properties or there was -- I think the
specific question was in relationship to the bay
beach discussion that has taken place over several meetings.

MR. WISER: And what was the answer to that question that you just spoke to?

MR. SLACHETKA: Well, I think, and it's specified and discussed in the report in terms of the township really doesn't have control of the -- of that area for the purpose of open space and recreation. And that to the extent that it does have any control, it's an easement that's granted, which is identified as easement to Berkeley Township for road maintenance purposes and to be able to sustain the viability of the roadway at that location, Bayview Avenue.
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MR. WISER: Okay. Leaving the report for a second. How long have you been the planner for the township?

MR. SLACHETKA: I'm trying to think whether it was 2011 or 2012. I believe it was, we started in 2011. I'd have to double check. But it was at that period of time.

MR. WISER: That time period?
MR. SLACHETKA: That time period. It might have been 2010. But I think, I think it was early as 2011. Because we started just about at the time, you mentioned plan endorsement, just the time that the plan endorsement process was concluding. And the plan endorsement was granted in 2012. So, I believe we started either in 2011 or the beginning of 2012 .

MR. WISER: Okay. Mr. Bauman testified that the zoning in Seaside Park and South Seaside Park are not consistent. And that the implementation of the then proposed island zoning would not improve that consistency. He then goes on to state, inconsistent zoning is an area with common characteristics and shared infrastructure is a blueprint for insensitive development, reduced property values, degraded vernacular character and a
decreased quality of life.
In the time that you've been a
planner here, has anyone made an issue about
inconsistent zoning between South Seaside Park and
Seaside Park and that it was a problem?
MR. SLACHETKA: Only the -- as I
identified in the report, only to the extent that it was actually already identified in master plan documents that had been prepared prior to our engagement. And as I indicated just before about the housing plans, Mr. Bauman's report was kind of -- his opinion, I should say, was rendered at a particular point in time. And any issues with reference to inconsistency or any issues with regards to addressing the adequate public input into the process and making sure that we developed a plan for South Seaside Park in a comprehensive way was addressed in the planning process that took place. Certainly, since I've been onboard here as working with the township on their planning process through the various post Sandy planning activities, development of reexamination reports and then the neighborhood plan for South Seaside Park.

The simple answer to you is it -- the
inconsistent -- any inconsistencies that might have
been identified have been addressed or were
addressed through the comprehensive planning process
that's taken place over the course of the last several years.

MR. WISER: Okay. Mr. Bauman stated that, something to the effect of, he did not see any special zones in South Seaside Park that would be unique or special if they were lost through
de-annexation. And said, there's no great -- there are not so unique or special because they all exist on the mainland in much greater quantity. I think speaking to the zones.

Do you have an opinion as to whether or not zoning and planning -- from a zoning and planning type perspective, will Berkeley lose any special uses that exist if de-annexation is granted? MR. SLACHETKA: Yeah. And I think that the discussion of looking at zones lost or zones gained or were modified, I think is not -- not the issue in terms of the identification of what makes South Seaside Park unique and special, and a very, very unique place, a neighborhood, as I presented in the context of my report. I don't think that really speaks to the point. You can always create and recreate zones and you can modify
zones. I think it's really more the place, you know, both geographically and as it's developed. And, as we've talked about, as an important and unique neighborhood. So, I don't think the zone differences -- and you see that the zones can be modified and amended over a period of time to either recognize existing uses or plan for new development, new approaches. And that's always going to be evolving. And I don't think it's a question of losing zones. It's a question of losing places.

MR. WISER: In that sense, Mr. Bauman testified that South Seaside Park is a remote part of Berkeley Township that lacks planning and zone regulation that address its special community character.

Can you comment about whether or not South Seaside Park has a special community character? And in that sense, would you comment as to whether you think it's a unique part of the township?

MR. SLACHETKA: Well, yes, I think I've testified pretty extensively and presented pretty substantially in the report about the unique character of South Seaside Park and the importance that that plays within the, you know, complex of
neighborhoods that basically defines
Berkeley Township. You know, to the extent that
Mr. Bauman's comments with regards to zoning and representing the character of South Seaside Park, I'm not going to comment on his opinion per se, again, because I don't necessarily agree with it. But I think more specifically, as I had been indicating that, and spelled out in the report through the ongoing planning process that's taken place over the last number of years, and that planning process really having its origin in planning activity and planning work that took place here in the township well before we, T\&M, became the township planners, have recognized and identified and addressed the unique character, the neighborhood character of the South Seaside Park neighborhood and with the public input that we received.
(Off the record.)
MR. SLACHETKA: But I think that that
is -- and I would -- now I lost my train of thought.
But I think that the idea of identifying and
recognizing the unique characteristics of South Seaside Park --
(Off the record.)
MR. SLACHETKA: That the
MR. MICHELINI: Before he answers
that, I'm just going to object to the nature of the
question. With all due respect, I think it's
improper for you to characterize Mr. Bauman's
testimony and say, well, he downplayed this or he
downplayed that. Just simply ask the question.
MR. WISER: Well, I'm not -- I'm
quoting him.
MR. MICHELINI: He said, I am
downplaying?
MR. WISER: No, but you want me to
actually --
it's already covered in his report and his
testimony. So, I just don't want to go back over
things that we've done before.

MR. WINWARD: I think he's just
asking for some clarification on that.
MR. SLACHETKA: And maybe I should,
Mr. Wiser, specifically, because I think
Mr. Michelini is correct in that regard, that I did discuss it. But if there's something specific that you want me to address in that context of why --

MR. WISER: Well --
MR. SLACHETKA: You know, I apologize
because I'm not -- I'm feeling a little under the weather so my voice is a little lower than -- today than it has been. So, I apologize. I'll try to stay closer to the microphone.

MR. WISER: Mr. Bauman went on at length as to why he thought that White Sands Beach would not be -- the loss of White Sands Beach would not be significant for the township. And
Midway Beach, that loss would not be significant for the township. You said you think it would.

MR. SLACHETKA: Yes.
MR. MICHELINI: Well, is it covered
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MR. MICHELINI: No, no.
MR. WISER: Excuse me. I have
exactly what he said here. I can spend the time going through it. And then the board can decide whether it's downplaying or not.

MR. MICHELINI: Well, I think you
simply could ask the question at the end, rather
than set it up with a lot of commentary. That's
kind of improper in a cross-examination context to
set it up with your own characterizations of how he said things, which is what you did.

MR. WISER: Okay. Fine. How --
MR. MICHELINI: I think it's better
to simply ask the question.
MR. WISER: How about this:
Mr. Slachetka, I think you and the board will
remember Mr. Bauman's testimony and how he
characterized White Sands Beach and Midway Beach and their significance to the township. Do you think it would be lost to the township if the sites such as White Sands Beach and Midway Beach moved from Berkeley to Seaside Park?

MR. SLACHETKA: Yes, I do.
MR. WISER: Can you expand on that
just a little bit?

```
South Seaside Park, the zoning and the planning
approaches in the neighborhood plan recognized the
unique character of South Seaside Park. And with
4 the substantial public input that took place as part
5 of the planning process, we strove to listen to that
6 and to further recognize the character of that
community.
MR. MICHELINI: Just, it's not really
a meant to be a criticism of Mr. Wiser, but the
questions are just having Mr. Slachetka reiterate
what he's already said in his report. And he said
several times, as I said in my report. So, maybe
the questions could be more directed to something
that is -- that he didn't say in his report or is a
clarification, so we can just keep it moving.
Because I really don't think it's appropriate to sit
here and just have him reiterate what was in his
report, because we heard four hours of testimony.
    MR. WISER: Well, how about if I ask
my question and if it's already in the report, you
can just tell me it's in the report?
```

MR. SLACHETKA: Okay. Fair enough.

```
MR. SLACHETKA: Okay. Fair enough.
    MR. WISER: Mr. Bauman, there was
```

    MR. WISER: Mr. Bauman, there was
    ```
extensive discussion with Mr. Bauman where he
suggested that de-annexation will not significantly
impact any cultural sites or environmental resources
for the township. He was asked if there wasn't a
loss to the township, if the historic sites such as
White Sands Beach, Midway Beach, move from Berkeley
to Seaside Park. Mr. Bauman then downplayed the
6 significance of Midway Beach, stating that it was
7 not on the historic register and only designated to
be eligible for the register. And there was some
back and forth there.
    In your opinion, would it be a loss
to the township if the sites such as the White Sands
Beach and Midway Beach move from Berkeley to
Seaside Park?

MR. WISER: If it's in your report, is it -- can you point to it and tell us where or would it just simply be easier to answer the question?

MR. SLACHETKA: There is a section in the report that focuses on the recreational value of those facilities and it's -- the fact that those facilities are, essentially, unique in the township. So, as a -- I think the general thematic approach that I took is that there are elements here in terms of the loss of the South Seaside Park that are irreplaceable. We talked a little bit about zoning. We talked about affordable housing. But I think you can't recreate -- you can't recreate those unique facilities and those unique places elsewhere in the township. There's not -- it's not possible. You would not be able to. There would be a completely irreplaceable loss for the township. And I think maybe that's the connecting theme in my discussions with regards to the impact on the township.

MR. WISER: Okay. Mr. Bauman spoke extensively about the fact that the recommendations of the 2008 master plan and the -- were not effectuated. I think specifically the 2008 master plan, and correct me if I'm wrong, calls for the
five island zones for South Seaside Park. Do you know why those recommendations were never implemented?

MR. SLACHETKA: Well, I think that, like any planning process, things just take some time to be able to fully, fully implement. And that's the reason why the planning process is an ongoing planning process. And the township didn't ark its, you know, or tack, I should say, its planning approaches from a comprehensive, you know, planning perspective because of de-annexation or any activity. They did what they and this board did what it's supposed to do and, you know, consistently reexamined its goals and objectives and reexamined its land use policies and reacted to events such as Superstorm Sandy that significantly impacted its land use approaches. It also recognized its regional role and regional context in terms of the balancing of land use and the ecological value of the Barnegat Bay watershed.

So, it's -- the planning process is ongoing. And the results I think speak for themselves in terms of what was done and ultimately how these recommendations that came out of the 2008 master plan initially were reaffirmed. And then

MR. MICHELINI: I'm just going to
further defined by stating in the subsequent reexamination report that there needed to be and needs to be, in developing a specific land use policy for the South Seaside Park area, the adequate community input. That ultimately was effectuated because of the fact and, unfortunately, for the township, the township was affected by Superstorm Sandy, but, as a consequence of that, there was a significant amount of funding that was made available through the post Sandy Planning Assistance Grant Program to fund reexamination, to fund the neighborhood plans, not only for South Seaside Park but also for the Bayshore neighborhoods and the neighborhood plan that was also prepared, all through grant money.

So, the township, you know, benefited because those grant monies were available. And they were able to do the planning and undertake the planning process, extensive planning process, that was necessary to finally, you know, take a step forward and move forward in the implementation of those recommendations. I think we have a plan in place that recognizes the unique characteristics of the South Seaside Park neighborhood.
register a continuing objection because that's been testified to ad nauseam in the past. And I don't
think we need to go over it and ask questions that
essentially elicit direct testimony that was previously given.

MR. WINWARD: Okay. Duly noted.
MR. WISER: Relating back to plan
endorsement. First a very quick question. Is
South Seaside Park included in the scope of
documents that received plan endorsement or -- let me -- is South Seaside Park indeed included or not included in the endorsed plan?

MR. SLACHETKA: No, it is. I mean, because it's part of the township's plan, so, it's -- all the township plans, master plans and ordinances were evaluated. And that includes -including South Seaside Park.

MR. WISER: After -- there was -Mr. Bauman made a statement that the township has no vision for South Seaside Park going forward. They see it as having no growth capacity. The planning goals of the township are preoccupied for development on the eastern mainland. And South Seaside Park is not mentioned in their 2020 vision plan or the fair share plan for affordable
```

housing.
After all the work that you have done, could you comment on the statement that, or on Mr. Bauman's opinion, that the township has no vision for South Seaside Park?
MR. MICHELINI: I'm going to object, because he addressed that for quite a while in his direct testimony about whether or not South Seaside
Park was neglected by the plan and the zoning
ordinance and all that. I mean, he probably spoke
for 20 minutes or a half hour on that already. So,
I'm going to object to that continuing line of
questioning.
MR. WINWARD: Okay. We note that for
the record.
MR. SLACHETKA: Do you want me to
answer that?
No, it's my opinion that
South Seaside Park has been recognized and continues
to be recognized in the comprehensive planning
documents of the community.
MR. WISER: There was extensive
discussion regarding demographics and Mr. Bauman's
opinion, the people on the barrier island and the
people on the mainland are mostly the same. They

```
both share very similar characteristics with regards
to age, race, occupation and the composition of
their household income -- their households and
income. And then there was a discussion on
homogeneity of the two populations.
So, my question is, would you
consider those two populations to be homogenous or
to be, essentially, the same, which is another word
for homogenous.
MR. MICHELINI: Again, asked and answered more than once.

MR. WINWARD: If you can answer.
MR. SLACHETKA: Yeah. And I -- I'll
play the report card on this one. And that is, yes, in our report, in evaluating the demographics, we
point out the distinctions between the populations
in South Seaside Park and the mainland.
MR. WISER: Thank you. I have no
further questions.
MR. WINWARD: We thank you for your
participation.
FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MICHELINI:
Q Just have a couple follow-ups.
You and Mr. Bauman disagreed about a number of things, correct?
```

    A I don't know if we disagreed. I
    wouldn't characterize it disagreement.
Q Okay. So, your opinions are the
same?
A What --
Q I mean, you either disagree --
A I'm not going to -- I'm not going
to -- actually, I won't go down that route. What
opinions, what specific opinions are you talking
about?
Q Well, generally speaking, some of
your opinions are different than his opinions,
correct, in this matter? Would you agree with that
or not?
A No, I don't think I would agree with
it. I don't think we were voicing contrary opinions
on things. He was asking me questions and I was
providing my input.
Q So, you find everything in
Mr. Bauman's opinion to be accurate and true,
correct?
MR. McGUCKIN: Let's be clear here.
Mr. Slachetka, you thought he just asked about
Mr.Wiser?
MR. SLACHETKA: Oh, yeah. I'm so
sorry. You're absolutely right, Greg. And I
apologize. Here, I'm thinking you're talking about
Mr. Wiser.
MR. MICHELINI: You can tell me if
you disagree with him, too. I'm okay with that.
MR. SLACHETKA: I was wondering where
you were going with that line of questioning. But,
my apologies. I totally misunderstood.
MR. MICHELINI: No problem.
MR. SLACHETKA: Thanks, Greg.
Q So, you and Mr. Bauman disagree on a
number of things, correct?
A Yes. I think it was -- I think it
was -- again, to talk about my report and testimony,
I think I made it clear about the items that I
disagreed with Mr. Bauman.
Q And some things you probably agree
on, correct?
A I don't know if there are. I mean,
I -- if you want me to look through the report
again.
Q No, I don't want you to look through
the report.
MR. WINWARD: Actually, I think you
should read it verbatim.

```
disagree with.
```

MR. MICHELINI: That was already
done.

Q And it's not uncommon in a
professional context that experts have different
opinions; isn't that true?

A Yes, that's absolutely true.
Q So, you're not surprised that
Mr. Bauman disagrees with you in this context, correct?

A And I think he should not be surprised that I disagree with him.

Q Correct.
Affordable housing, you talked a little bit about that. As the ordinance changes to a more single-family favorable ordinance, or as it has changed, there's going to be less opportunity for affordable housing because there'll be less multifamily housing in South Seaside Park, correct?

A Well, yeah, but, I mean, that's because of the direction and interest of the community in that area. If, in fact, there is any -- for example, if a property owner was able to get a variance for a multifamily residential form,

[^0]```
neighborhood is going to become more and more
single-family? And you testified to that
previously?
    A Yeah, yeah. That's right. I'm not
disagreeing with that.
    Q Okay. So, the opportunity for
affordable housing there will continue to diminish,
correct?
    A Well, again, I mean, it is like -- it
would be like any other single-family residential
neighborhood within the township, that there's
probably going to be less opportunities for
affordable housing within those areas.
    Q And there's no obligation for
affordable housing if you're simply building a
house, a single-family house, correct?
    A No, but you do have a requirement for
making a payment in lieu -- not payment, a mandatory
development fee payment for, towards the township's
trust, affordable housing trust fund.
    Q But there's no obligation to provide
an affordable housing unit in South Seaside Park if
somebody's simply putting up a single-family home in
accordance with the new zoning, correct?
    A Yeah, that's correct.
```

64
Q All right. You talked about the plan
endorsement. What was the date of the plan
endorsement?
A I believe the plan endorsement was
granted in 2012. And I don't remember the exact
month --
Q What are the -- and the DCA looks at
that and other governmental agencies?
A Yeah, every -- it would be every
state agency that has a relationship to land use,
including Department of Transportation, Department
of Environmental Protection, Department of Community
Affairs. I believe the Department of Agriculture
also has a role in the process as well.
Q And as part of that plan endorsement
process, are those governmental agencies furnished
with a copy of the zoning ordinance?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And the zoning ordinance back
in 2012, provided for, among other things, that you
could build a hospital in South Seaside Park or you
could have mining operations; isn't that correct?
A Yes, that is correct.
Q Did any of those governmental
organizations do the vigorous review and point that

```
out?
    A I think that, to the extent that the
agencies review the land use policies and the plans,
they're looking at it from the --
    Q I'm not asking you how they're
looking at it. All I'm saying is --
    A Well, I'm just --
    Q -- does any of them point it out?
    A Actually, I was answering. I was
actually answering your question.
    Q Please, it's very simple. Did they
point it out to you, that South Seaside Park, back
in 2012, had zones that allowed hospitals and
mining? Did they point it out to you?
    A They didn't get to that level of
analysis.
    Q They don't get -- they're not -- it's
not that detailed?
    A No.
    Q Thank you.
    A No.
    Q Did you discuss your testimony
tonight that you gave with Mr. Wiser ahead of time?
    A No, I did not.
    Q You talked about the 2020 vision
```

statement and how that would provide additional growth, primarily for the mainland, correct?

A That is correct.
Q And that eventually will provide an
additional tax base and ratables, correct?
A Yes, I think I testified to that already. Yes.

Q And do you have any idea what kind of ratables will be provided if that vision statement is fulfilled?

A I've not done an analysis to quantify
the specific amount of tax ratables, no.
Q And that -- does that involve
commercial tax ratables?
A Yes.
Q Is that a significant component of the 2020 vision statement?

A What do you mean by significant? I'm not sure I understand.

Q How do you define significant?
Meaningful, is it a meaningful component?
A Well, I mean, the 2020 vision
statement talks about a variety of different uses, and -- in addition to commercial, and talks about residential uses. So, the commercial uses are an
important component of it.
Q Sure. So, does the 2020 vision statement anticipate that there will be an increase in ratables for commercial properties?

A I can look specifically back at the 2020 vision statement. But I, again, I think the focus was to provide for adequate growth and ratable base with the commensurate protection, and, again,
I've said this a number of times, with a
commensurate protection of natural resources within
the township.

Q Okay. And what is the anticipated
rate of growth for the township in terms of
commercial development, according to the 2020 vision
statement?
A Yeah, I haven't -- I haven't looked
at that. I don't know.

Q So, you don't know?
A Not off the top of my head, I don't.
Q Is it in there?
A I don't know. I'd have to -- I don't know if they predicted the specific amount of commercial growth. I'd have to look back to the actual text of the 2020 --

Q How about residential growth, is it
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predicted that there'll be residential growth?
A I believe it is, but I don't know the exact amount that they predicted in that report.

Q How much land is on mainland in Berkeley Township that can be developed for either residential or commercial growth?

A I don't know that off the top of my head.

Q Is it bigger than South Seaside
Park?
A I would anticipate it is, yes.
Q Do you know is it --
A Don't know.
Q Do you know if it's more than a
square mile?
A Don't know. I'd have to look. I
don't want speak off the top of my head.
Q You don't know. But you do know that
it's anticipated, according to the 2020 vision
statement, that there will be future growth in
Berkeley Township that will result in both
commercial and residential ratables, correct?
A That's correct, yes.
Q And that's primarily on the mainland,
correct?

```
    A Primarily, yes.
    Q The map that you looked at, you
talked about the blue area on the map being riparian
area. Did you analyze the riparian grants in any
way?
A No, I did not. I think you asked me
that question already.
    Q Did you read any descriptions of
riparian grants?
    A And you asked me that question
already.
    Q No, I didn't ask you that specific
one.
    Did you read any description of riparian
grants?
    A No, I did not
    Q Okay. And the red crosshatched area
that you referred to, I believe you said that was
within the easement?
    A No. Actually, in looking at the map,
it's not within the easement area, but I think it
was -- the area in question was with regards to what
was the area that potentially could be considered
part of a township bay beach. And I think we
indicated --
Q Did you read any descriptions of riparian grants?
A And you asked me that question already.
Q No, I didn't ask you that specific
Did you read any description of riparian grants?
A No, I did not.
Q Okay. And the red crosshatched area that you referred to, I believe you said that was within the easement?
A No. Actually, in looking at the map, it's not within the easement area, but I think it was -- the area in question was with regards to what was the area that potentially could be considered part of a township bay beach. And I think we indicated --
```

1 Q All right. So, the red crosshatched
area is an area that is west of --
A The easement --
Q -- Bayview Boulevard, correct?
A West of Bayview Boulevard, that's
correct.
Q And it's not an easement?
A And that portion is not an easement,
but it's not -- it's not owned by the township. The
township doesn't have any authority --
Q How do you know --
A -- over that area.
Q How do you know it's not owned by the
township?
A I believe, if you take a look at
these are part of these, those tax parcels. And I
know that it's difficult to read that table, but --
Q Well, aside from the riparian grants,
there's a significant amount of crosshatched area
that is not impacted by riparian grants, correct?
A That is correct, yes.
Q All right. And that area, how do you
know it's not owned by the township?
A If you'd just give me a second. I
think it's described in the report.

[^1] area is an area that is west of

| A | The easement -- |
| :---: | :--- |
| Q | -- Bayview Boulevard, correct? |
| A | West of Bayview Boulevard, that's |
| correct. |  |
| Q | And it's not an easement? |
| A | And that portion is not an easement, |

Q How do you know it's not owned by the

A I believe, if you take a look at know that it's difficult to read that table, but --

Q Well, aside from the riparian grants,

Q All right. And that area, how do you 23 know it's not owned by the township?
24
A $\quad$ If you'd just give me a second. I 25 think it's described in the report.
Q Well, let me ask you: Did you look
A I think you asked me that before. (Off the record.)
A On page 19 of the report, it
discusses the specific map in detail. And if you want me to, I can read it. A careful examination of the area in question -- when I say area in question, that's the crosshatched area -- a careful examination of the area in question has revealed that it is neither listed in the recreational and open space inventory of the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, nor identified in the township's master plan documents as a recreation or open space area. Thus, the area in question is not a recognized municipal beach or any other type of recreation or open space area.
Additionally, New Jersey's MOD-IV property tax assessment database indicates that the area in question -- again, this is that crosshatched area -is comprised of privately-owned riparian grants, which are tideland areas that are deeded to a buyer by the State of New Jersey, and tideland areas of the Barnegat Bay that are not located within a riparian grant and, as such, are claimed by the
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State of New Jersey. Any remaining areas comprised of the Bayview Avenue right-of-way, which is reserved for access and utilities. Thus, Berkeley Township has no claim or control over the area in question for use as a beach or recreation area. As a result, and in the absence of riparian grant to the township, it has no obligation or right to maintain the area in question as a municipal
recreation facility. Based on the foregoing, this area is better defined as a natural shoreline to the Barnegat Bay, within the Bayview Avenue right-of-way.

To answer your question, Mr. Michelini, I did not review the deeds for those specific properties.

Q Did you get a tidelands map?
A No, I did not.
Q All right. So, there's no way to
know exactly whether or not the crosshatched areas are, in fact, tidelands area, that's claimed by the State of New Jersey?

A Yeah. And if you would like, I can probably -- I think we have that information in the office and I can probably provide that.

Q So if it's not part of the tidelands area and it's not part of the blue riparian grant --
    A Well, I think --
    Q Excuse me. Let me finish my
question.
    A Sure.
    Q If it's not part of the blue riparian
grant on your map and it's not part of the tidelands
claim area according to a tidelands map, which you
admit that you haven't looked at, then it belongs to
some private entity. Could be the township or a
public entity, such as the township?
    A And I believe, it's my understanding
that it's -- that those crosshatched areas are not
in control by the township, whether or not -- I'm
not sure what the actual ownership disposition of it
is. But it doesn't really matter. Because the
township doesn't have control over those properties
at all.
    Q But you haven't done, as you've
indicated, either a tidelands search or a title
search to know that, and you're not a title expert,
so you cannot give an opinion on ownership; isn't
that correct, a definitive opinion?
    A That is correct. No, it can't be --
that is correct.

Q And just with regard to the beach and
it being unique, White Sands Beach, if every
mainland community had -- that had a barrier portion
that was on the beach, would it be your opinion that
the barrier portion on the beach would always be
unique? If there's a mainland community and there's
a barrier portion on the beach, as we have here,
would it be your opinion that the beach area would
always be unique because it's oceanfront beach?
    A Well, to the extent that if it -- if,
in fact, the municipality had a barrier island
portion, you have a neighborhood, you have a
developed area, you have the beach, you know, if
those unique circumstances were replicated
elsewhere, yeah, I guess that would be the case.
    Q So, in every situation like that, you
would be opposed to de-annexation; isn't that
correct?
    A I would have to take every case on
its own merits.
    Q And in this case, even if you lose
half miles or so of oceanfront beach in the state
park, isn't that correct?
    A I -- we --
    Q That belongs to Berkeley Township?

Q And in this case, even if you lose
```

about --
Q Is that correct?
MR. McGUCKIN: Let him answer, Joe.
A We've talked about that before. And,
again, it's, as I indicated previously, that that is
not under the control of the township.
Q I didn't ask you if it was under the
control. I asked you if it's still in Berkeley
Township?
A Yes, it is still in the township.
MR. MICHELINI: Thank you. No
further questions.
MR. WINWARD: Seeing there is nobody
else coming forward for testimony, I believe that
concludes our hearing for this tonight.
So, with that, we a need a motion for
adjournment.
MR. CALLAHAN: So moved.
MR. WINWARD: All in favor.
ALL: Aye.
(Meeting adjourned.)
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\] & \[
\text { been [27] } 5 / 5 \quad 9 / 9 \quad 15 / 1 \quad 20 / 14
\] \\
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\end{tabular} & before [20] \(5 / 1111 / 111 / 9\) \\
\hline 10/11 10/11 11/11 11/20 &  & 11/15 \(12 / 2113 / 1314 / 314 / 4\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 15 & 12 / 25 & 13 / 7 & 21 / 16 & 23 / 2\end{array}\) &  & \(\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 11 & 22 / 23 & 40 / 13 & 41 / 17 & \\ 41 / 18 & 45 / 10 & 48 / 13 & 50 / 14 & 52 / 5\end{array}\) \\
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\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}33 / 3 & 33 / 20 & 35 / 25 & 37 / 5 & 37 / 8\end{array}\) &  & beginning [1] 44/15 \\
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\hline appear [2] 20/18 41/21 & available [3] 43/9 55/10 &  \\
\hline APPEARANCES [1] \(2 / 2\) & 55/17 & 47/13 \(48 / 2\) 50/4 50/12 \(51 / 22\) \\
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72 / 11
\] & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { b1ue [5] } & 42 / 1 & 42 / 5 & 69 / 3\end{array}\) \\
\hline approval & barrier [5] 57/24 74/2 74/4 & 72/25 73/5 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { approval } \\ \text { approved } & \text { [2] } & 33 / 16\end{array}\) & 74/6 74/10 & blueprint [1] \\
\hline approved \([2]\) 31/16 62/ & base [2] 66/5 67/8 & B7vd [1] 2/7 \\
\hline  & based [12] 8/22 11/1 12/18 & board [32] \(1 / 11\) 2/5 5/18 \(5 / 23\) \\
\hline Aprif [6] 3/12 \(14 / 24\) 15/20 &  & 6/7 7/2 7/10 7/13 7/18 8/23 \\
\hline Apri 10 [1] 16/18 & \(37 / 14\) 37/25 37/25 72/9 &  \\
\hline are [50] 6/8 7/10 8/4 & basically [4] 4/15 6/19 8/19 & 13/13 \(13 / 1617 / 1719 / 18\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 15 / 24 & 15 / 25 & 16 / 2 & 17 / 24 & 18 / 11\end{array}\) & 48/1 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 25 & 20 / 12 & 20 / 15 & 20 / 16\end{array}\) \\
\hline  & Bauman [14] 36/25 44/17 46/5 & 20/19 \(20 / 22\) 21/12 \(25 / 18\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 29 / 12 & 29 / 13 & 30 / 15 & 30 / 15\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}47 / 11 & 49 / 23 & 49 / 24 & 50 / 5 & 52 / 19\end{array}\) & 38/16 \(39 / 9\) 51/4 \(51 / 16\) 54/12 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llll}30 / 17 & 37 / 18 & 39 / 20 & 40 / 20\end{array}\) & 53/21 56/19 58/24 60/11 & body [6] 5/18 6/2 28/13 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llll}40 / 21 & 41 / 14 & 41 / 20 & 44 / 19\end{array}\) & 60/16 61/10 & 28/16 28/19 31/15 \\
\hline 46/10 \(49 / 10\) 53/8 \(53 / 10\) 53/11 & Bauman's [7] 45/11 48/3 & both [7] 6/7 12/15 30/23 \\
\hline 56/22 \(57 / 25\) 59/3 \(59 / 9 \begin{array}{llll} & 59 / 12\end{array}\) & 50/17 \(51 / 17\) 57/4 \(57 / 23\) 59/20 & 38/24 47/2 58/1 68/21 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 60/19 } & 62 / 4 & 62 / 8 & 62 / 21 & 64 / 7\end{array}\) & bay [7] 41/21 42/2 43/11 & bottom [3] 40/11 40/15 40/24 \\
\hline 64/16 66/25 70/16 71/22 & 54/20 69/24 71/24 72/11 & Boulevard [2] 70/4 70/5 \\
\hline 71/22 \(71 / 24\) 71/25 72/19 & Bayshore [1] 55/13 & boxes [1] 21/8 \\
\hline 73/12 & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { Bayview [5] } & \text { 43/25 70/4 70/5 }\end{array}\) & Brian [1] 1/14 \\
\hline area [40] \(36 / 1\) 39/14 \(43 / 1\) & 72/2 72/11 & k [1] 2/ \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllllll} \\ 43 / 6 & 43 / 6 & 43 / 7 & 43 / 19 & 44 / 22\end{array}\) & Bayvi11e [1] 1/7 & ng \\
\hline 55/4 61/23 69/3 69/4 69/17 &  & build \({ }^{\text {buin }}\) 63/15 \\
\hline 69/21 69/22 69/23 70/2 70/2 & beach [26] 43/12 50/4 50/4 & building [2] 36/17 63/15 \\
\hline 70/12 70/19 70/22 71/2 71/8 & 50/6 50/12 50/12 51/18 51/18 & built [1] 35/11 \\
\hline 71/8 71/9 71/10 71/15 71/15 & 5 & bulk [2] 62/11 62/12 \\
\hline 71/17 71/19 71/20 72/5 72/6 & 74/3 74/4 74/6 74/7 & buyer [1] 71/22 \\
\hline  & \(\begin{array}{ll}74 / 8 & 74 / 12 \\ 74 / 21 & 74 / 22\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { Byrnes [2] } & 16 / 9 & 20 / 3\end{array}\) \\
\hline  & Bear [2] 39/23 40/1 & Byrnes [2] 16/9 20/3 \\
\hline areas [13] 35/22 37/7 37/18 & Beaverson [1] 2/7 & C \\
\hline 38/21 38/22 41/16 42/25 & became [1] 48/13 & \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}63 / 13 & 71 / 22 & 71 / 23 & 72 / 1 & 72 / 18\end{array}\) & because [lllllllllllll & calculation [2] 30/18 30/21 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{ccc}\text { 73/12 } \\ \text { arguing } & {[1]} & 10 / 10\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}14 / 13 & 14 / 19 & 31 / 9 & 32 / 22 & 33 / 23\end{array}\) &  \\
\hline arguing [1]
ark [1]
a & \(\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 10 & 35 / 19 & 39 / 6 & 42 / 23 & 44 / 11\end{array}\) & Callahan [1] 1/13 \\
\hline & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}46 / 10 & 48 / 6 & 49 / 16 & 49 / 18 & 52 / 9\end{array}\) & calls [1] 53/25 \\
\hline around [2] 9/2 31/4 &  & came [3] \(34 / 17\) 34/18 54/24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline C & \[
\text { comments [4] } 29 / 14 \quad 31 / 5 \quad 31 / 6
\] & \[
\begin{array}{lllll}
\hline 8 & 38 / 7 & 49 / 13 & 51 / 7 & 57 / 3
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline can [41] \(4 / 23\) 5/3 10/22 16/1 & 48/3 & 64/21 64/22 \(69 / 23 \quad 73 / 9\) \\
\hline 21/2 \(21 / 9\) 23/22 \(23 / 22 \quad 24 / 9\) & commercial [8] 66/14 66/24 &  \\
\hline 24/12 \(24 / 19\) 24/22 \(25 / 3 \quad 25 / 15\) & 66/25 67/4 67/14 67/23 68/6 68/22 & COunci1 [12] 19/16 19/17 \\
\hline 26/20 \(28 / 12{ }^{28 / 15}\) 28/17 & Commission [1] 76/14 &  \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}28 / 22 & 31 / 25 & 32 / 1 & 33 / 16 & 39 / 23\end{array}\) & common [1] 44/22 & councilman [2] 1/ \\
\hline 42/22 46/24 46/25 47/5 47/16 & communities [1] 30/4 & \begin{tabular}{lllll} 
couple & \(3]\) & \(7 / 22\) & \(38 / 23\) & \(58 / 23\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 49/15 \(49 / 21\) 51/3 \(31 / 481 / 24\) & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { community } & \text { [12] } & 33 / 13 & 35 / 11\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { couple [3] } & \text { course } & 46 / 3 & 62 / 15\end{array}\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}53 / 2 & 58 / 12 & 60 / 4 & 67 / 5 & 68 / 5\end{array}\) &  & COURT [2] 1/24 \(76 / 5\) \\
\hline 71/7 72/21 72/23 & \(\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 57 / 21 & 61 / 23 & 64 / 12 & 74 / 2 & 74 / 5\end{array}\) & courtesy [1] 17/23 \\
\hline can't [12] 13/6 \(24 / 7 \quad 24 / 20\) & compile [1] 5/23 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { covered [2] } & 52 / 1 & 52 / 3\end{array}\) \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{lllll}
27 / 1 & 31 / 19 & 42 / 12 & 42 / 16 & 42 / 16 \\
42 / 20 & 53 / 14 & 53 / 14 & 73 / 23
\end{array}
\] & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { completed [3] } & 18 / 3 & 18 / 17\end{array}\) & CPA [1] 2/16 \\
\hline \[
42 / 20 \quad 53 / 14 \quad 53 / 14 \quad 73 / 23
\]
\[
\text { cannot [1] } 73 / 21
\] & 37/1 &  \\
\hline capacity [1] 56/21 & completely [4] 23/19 23/20 & 46/25 \\
\hline card [1] 58/14 &  & critical [1] 17/25 \\
\hline careful [2] 71/7 71/9 &  &  \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Carmen [2] } & 16 / 7 & 19 / 7 & \\ \end{array}\) & 66/21 67/1 & cross-examination [2] 4/16 \\
\hline Carmen Amato [2] 16/7 19/7 & composition [1] 58/2 & 51/9 \\
\hline carries [1] \({ }^{\text {carry }}\) [1] \(22 / 25\) & comprehensive [7] 33/11 & cross-examined [1] 5/11 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { carry } & {[1]} & 22 / 25 & \\ \text { case } & {[5]} & 11 / 10 & 31 / 22 & 74 / 14\end{array}\) & 33/18 \(38 / 1\) 45/17 46/2 54/10 & crossed [1] 4/1 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { case }[5] \\
74 / 18 & 11 / 10 & 31 / 22
\end{array} \quad 74 / 14
\] & 57/20 & crosshatched [7] 69/17 70/1 \\
\hline cc'd [1] 19/8 & Comprised [2] 71/21 72/1 & 19 71/9 71/20 72/18 \\
\hline Cc's [3] \(15 / 2415 / 25 \quad 16 / 5\) & concerns [1] 39/10 & \begin{tabular}{lllll} 
cultural \\
current \([31]\) & \(36 / 22\) & \(36 / 23\) & \(37 / 4\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline census [5] 29/20 29/25 30/18 & concluding [1] 44/13 & current [3] 36/22 36/23 \(37 / 4\) \\
\hline 30/21 30/24 & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { conclusion } & \text { [3] }\end{array}\) & D \\
\hline Center [1] 37/15 & 29/19 & DASTI [1] 2/3 \\
\hline certain [4] 12/11 20/10 22/5 & conclusions [2] 7/23 13/11 & database [1] 71/19 \\
\hline ertain1y [5] 9/7 23/6 25/15 & conduct [1] 11/13 & date [3] 16/18 64/2 76/9 \\
\hline certainly [5] 9/7 23/6 25/15
\[
33 / 13 \quad 45 / 19
\] & confirm [1] 41/10 & dated [4] 3/12 13/22 14/24 \\
\hline CERTIFIED [2] 1/24 76/5 & conformity [1] 62/1 & 76/16 \\
\hline certify [1] 76/6 & ion [1] 17/1 & DCA [7] \\
\hline cetera [1] 7/17 & CONNORS [1] \(2 / 3\) & \[
\text { de }\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
19] & 1 / 5 & 4 / 3 & 5 / 19 & 6 / 3
\end{array}\right.
\] \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lll}\text { CFO [1] } & 19 / 10 \\ \end{array}\) & \[
\text { conscious }[1] \quad 36 / 1
\] & de \(\begin{array}{cccc}\text { 6/16 } & 8 / 24 & 16 / 14 & 17 / 25\end{array}\) \\
\hline chairman [7] \(\begin{array}{lll}\text { 20/15 } & 1 / 12 & 20 / 12\end{array}\) & consequence [1] 55/8 & \(\begin{array}{llllllll} & 28 / 8 & 29 / 21 & 40 / 8 & 40 / 22 & 41 / 1\end{array}\) \\
\hline changed [1] 61 & consider [1] 58/7 & 46/9 46/16 49/25 54/11 74/16 \\
\hline changes [2] 39/12 & consideration [1] & de-annexation [19] 1/5 4/3 \\
\hline character [9] 44/25 47/15 & considered [1] 69/23 & 5/19 6/3 6/16 8/24 16/14 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllllllll} \\ 47 / 18 & 47 / 24 & 48 / 4 & 48 / 15 & 48 / 16\end{array}\) & Consistency [2] 31/7 44/21 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}17 / 25 & 24 / 2 & 28 / 8 & 29 / 21 & 40 / 8\end{array}\) \\
\hline 49/3 49/6 & consistent [1] 44/19 & 40/22 \(41 / 146 / 9\) 46/16 49/25 \\
\hline characteristics [4] 44/23 & consistently [1] 54/13 & 54/11 74/16 \\
\hline 48/22 55/23 58/1 & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { content } & {[1]} & 8 / 15 \\ \text { context } & \text { [7] } & 33 / 2\end{array}\) & December
decide \\
\hline characterizations [1] 51/10 & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { context } & 52 / 12 & 54 / 18 & 61 / 6 \\ 61 / 10\end{array}\) & decision [2] 6/3 \\
\hline characterize [2] 50/17 59/2 & continue [2] 27/22 63/7 & decreased [1] 45/1 \\
\hline aracterized [1] 51/18 & continues [1] 57/19 &  \\
\hline check [2] 36/9 44/6 & continuing [2] 56/1 57/12 & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { deeds [2] } & \text { 71/2 } & 72 / 14\end{array}\) \\
\hline CHERKOS [1] 2/3 & continuum [1] 36/19 & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { deficiency } & {[3]} & 31 / 13 & 33 / 21\end{array}\) \\
\hline chief [2] 19/8 19/14 &  & 33/24 \\
\hline Christopher
\[
17 / 2
\] & control [7] \(43 / 18\) 43/21 72/4 & deficient [1] 31/9 \\
\hline Christopher Reid & 73/13 73/16 75/7 75/9 & define [1] 66 \\
\hline Cnristopnel & conversation [4] 22/19 36/7 & defined [5] [13/7 27/9 30/22 \\
\hline circumstances [2] 31/24 & 36/7 36/8 [2] & /1 72/10 \\
\hline 74/13 & conversations [2] 11/ & \\
\hline claim [2] 72/4 73/7 & & definitive [1] 73/22 \\
\hline claimed [2] 71/25 72/19 & \(\begin{array}{lll}\text { copied } & \text { 1] } & 16 / 4 \\ \text { copies } & 20 / 17\end{array}\) &  \\
\hline clarification [2] 49/15 52/7 & copy [2] 18/8 64/17 & demographics \([2]\) 57/23 58/15
\[
\text { DEP [5] } 31 / 12 \quad 31 / 15 \quad 32 / 3
\] \\
\hline clarifications [1] 29/12 & corner [1] 41/6 & 33/13 34/1 \\
\hline clarity [1] 43/4 & correct [71] 5/25 6/1 \(6 / 4\) & DePaola [2] 20/22 21/11 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { clear [3] } & 20 / 21 & 59 / 22 & 60 / 15\end{array}\) & 6/5 6/10 7/6 8/20 8/21 8/24 & DePaolo [1] 20/11 \\
\hline closer [1] 52/ & 9/17 9/19 10/17 10/19 13/11 & Department [7] 30/25 33/13 \\
\hline Coastal [1] 31/ & \(\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 12 & 14 / 11 & 14 / 12 & 15 / 25\end{array}\) & 64/11 64/11 64/12 64/13 \\
\hline coding [1] 42/1 & 16/19 17/3 17/4 17/16 17/17 & 71/12 \\
\hline codings [1] 41/20 &  & describe [3] 15/9 15/12 \\
\hline colored [1] 41/20 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}23 / 12 & 27 / 23 & 30 / 7 & 33 / 6 & 35 / 7 \\ 35 / 13 & 38 / 9 & 41 / 12 & 41 / 23 & 42 / 8\end{array}\) & 15/15 \\
\hline Comcast.net [1] 16/10 & 35/13 \(38 / 9\) 41/12 \(41 / 23\) 42/8 & described \\
\hline come [5] 12/18 \(12 / 2413 / 10\) & \(\begin{array}{llll}52 / 10 & 53 / 25 & 58 / 25 & 59 / 13\end{array}\) & ption [2] 3/11 69/14 \\
\hline 14/13 14/14 & 59/21 60/12 & (1) 69/8 \\
\hline coming [1] 75/15 &  & designated [1] \(39 / 7\) \\
\hline commensurate [5] 37/10 37/24 & 66/3 66/5 68/22 68/23 68/25 & detached [2] 39/7 39/14 \\
\hline \(62 / 1 \quad 67 / 867 / 10\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}70 / 4 & 70 / 6 & 70 / 20 & 70 / 21 & 73 / 22\end{array}\) & \begin{tabular}{lll} 
detached & [2] 39/7 & 39/14
\end{tabular} \\
\hline comment [6] 21/8 27/1 47/16 & 73/23 73/24 74/17 74/23 75/3 & detailed [1] 65/18 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{ll}47 / 18 & 48 / 5 \\ \text { commentary } & 57 / 3\end{array}\) & could [17] \(9 / 9 \quad 15 / 9 \quad 15 / 15\) & developed [8] 34/8 35/13 \\
\hline commentary [1] 51/ & \[
16 / 15 \quad 21 / 25 \quad 22 / 2 \quad 22 / 4 \quad 27 / 18
\] & 36/2 36/21 45/16 47/2 68/5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
developed... [1] 74/12
developing [1] 55/3
development [22] 35/20 36/3 \(\begin{array}{lllll}36 / 4 & 36 / 20 & 37 / 9 & 37 / 19 & 37 / 21\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}37 / 22 & 37 / 23 & 38 / 6 & 38 / 7 & 38 / 19\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}39 / 1 & 39 / 8 & 39 / 15 & 44 / 24 & 45 / 22\end{array}\)
47/7 \(56 / 23 \quad 62 / 24 \quad 63 / 19 \quad 67 / 14\)
Dickerson [1] 2/15
did [37] 6/14 8/13 \(12 / 22\)
12/23 13/19 22/10 22/16
\(\begin{array}{llllll}12 / 20 & 23 / 2 & 24 / 1 & 30 / 7 & 30 / 7\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}30 / 7 & 34 / 5 & 34 / 7 & 34 / 16 & 34 / 20\end{array}\)
\(38 / 18 \quad 46 / 6 \quad 51 / 11 \quad 52 / 10 \quad 54 / 12\) 54/12 \(64 / 24 \quad 65 / 11 \quad 65 / 14\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}65 / 22 & 65 / 24 & 69 / 4 & 69 / 6 & 69 / 8\end{array}\)
69/14 69/16 71/1 72/13 72/15 72/16
didn't [10] \(7 / 21 \quad 14 / 14 \quad 23 / 19\) 30/19 \(31 / 10 \quad 49 / 14 \quad 54 / 8 \quad 65 / 15\) 69/12 75/8
difference [1] 32/10
differences [1] 47/5
different [7] 5/19 12/1 27/8
27/19 59/12 61/6 66/23
difficult [2] 62/8 70/17
Dimichele [2] \(16 / 8 \quad 19 / 13\)
diminish [1] 63/7
direct [2] 56/4 57/8
directed [2] 35/6 49/13
directing [1] 38/2
direction [2] 6/19 61/22
directly [2] 6/17 6/24
disagree [6] 28/23 59/6 60/5 60/11 61/2 61/13
disagreed [3] 58/24 59/1 60/16
disagreeing [1] 63/5
disagreement [1] 59/2
disagrees [1] 61/10
discuss [3] 31/22 52/11 65/22
discussed [1] 43/17
discusses [1] 71/6
discussing [1] 29/19
discussion [8] 10/12 11/18
22/19 \(43 / 12 \quad 46 / 18\) 49/24
57/23 58/4
discussions [2] 11/11 53/19
disposition [1] 73/14
dissect [1] 12/17
distinctions [2] \(37 / 5\) 58/16
do [36] 5/19 5/21 5/23 6/20
\(\begin{array}{llll}12 / 22 & 19 / 24 & 21 / 22 & 22 / 16\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}123 / 22 & 23 / 24 & 31 / 24 & 32 / 4 \\ 40 / 10\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}42 / 12 & 42 / 13 & 42 / 25 & 46 / 13\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}51 / 19 & 51 / 23 & 54 / 1 & 54 / 13 & 55 / 18\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}57 / 16 & 62 / 23 & 63 / 17 & 64 / 25 & 66 / 8\end{array}\) 66/18 66/20 68/12 68/14
68/18 70/11 70/13 70/22 \(76 / 6\)
document [2] 26/24 32/17
documentation [2] \(18 / 6 \quad 18 / 24\)
documents [5] 33/19 45/9
56/10 57/21 71/14
does [8] 12/2 25/13 36/11
37/20 \(43 / 20 \quad 65 / 8 \quad 66 / 13 \quad 67 / 2\)
doesn't [9] 11/3 14/18 31/19 \(\begin{array}{lllll} & 37 / 5 & 37 / 6 & 43 / 18 & 70 / 10 \\ 73 / 15\end{array}\) 73/16
doing [4] \(9 / 2310 / 12 \quad 14 / 9\) 14/19
Domenick [1] 1/15
don't [49] \(7 / 24 \quad 8 / 5\) 9/7 \(9 / 12\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}10 / 5 & 13 / 21 & 19 / 23 & 19 / 25 & 20 / 2\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 6 & 20 / 6 & 21 / 24 & 22 / 1 & 22 / 7\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}22 / 8 & 22 / 12 & 22 / 12 & 22 / 17 & 23 / 4\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllllllll} & 26 / 6 & 26 / 7 & 26 / 19 & 29 / 2 & 34 / 10\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllllll}46 / 23 & 47 / 4 & 47 / 9 & 48 / 6 & 49 / 16\end{array}\) 52/4 56/2 \(59 / 1\) 59/15 59/16

60/19 60/22 64/5 65/17 67/17 67/18 67/19 67/21 67/21 68/2 \(\begin{array}{llll}68 / 7 & 68 / 13 & 68 / 16 & 68 / 17 \\ 68 / 18\end{array}\) done [7] \(4 / 15 \quad 52 / 5 \quad 54 / 23\)
57/3 61/4 66/11 73/18
double [1] \(44 / 6\)
down [2] 22/13 59/8
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { downplayed [3] } & 50 / 5 & 50 / 18\end{array}\) 50/19
downplaying [2] 50/23 51/5 DRIVE [1] \(1 / 24\)
due [1] \(50 / 16\)
Duly [1] 56/6
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { during [4] } & 6 / 12 & 8 / 10 & 12 / 7\end{array}\) 17/23
duties [1] 5/17

\section*{E}
e-mai1 [22] 3/12 14/24 15/19
\(\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 21 & 15 / 25 & 15 / 25 & 17 / 20 & 18 / 9\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}20 / 16 & 22 / 18 & 23 / 5 & 23 / 14 & 23 / 18\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}23 / 19 & 23 / 25 & 24 / 9 & 24 / 17 & 25 / 21\end{array}\)
26/15 27/18 28/9 28/22
e-mails [1] 23/2
each [1] 23/21
early [3] \(8 / 13\) 9/2 \(44 / 11\)
easement [7] 43/21 43/22
69/19 69/21 70/3 70/7 70/8
easier [1] 53/3
eastern [1] 56/23
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Ebenau [4] } & 16 / 7 & 16 / 7 & 19 / 8\end{array}\)
20/18
ecological [1] 54/19
effect [1] 46/6
effectuated [2] 53/24 55/5
\(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { either [5] } & 44 / 15 \quad 47 / 6 & 59 / 6\end{array}\)
68/5 73/19
either disagree [1] 59/6
elements [1] 53/10
elicit [1] 56/4
elịgible [1] 50/8
eliminated [1] 39/4
else [3] \(32 / 6 \quad 32 / 16 \quad 75 / 15\)
elsewhere [2] 53/15 74/14
employed [1] 29/25
employment [2] 29/21 30/12
end [3] 4/13 9/11 51/7
endorsed [1] 56/12
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { endorsement }[17] & 31 / 6 & 31 / 12\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}31 / 16 & 32 / 20 & 33 / 2 & 33 / 7 & 33 / 15\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}33 / 16 & 44 / 12 & 44 / 13 & 44 / 14 \\ 56 / 8\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}56 / 10 & 64 / 2 & 64 / 3 & 64 / 4 & 64 / 15\end{array}\)
engagement [1] 45/10
engaging [1] 33/12
engineer [2] \(2 / 14\) 32/3
enough [1] 49/22
entered [1] 27/14
entire [1] 4/12
entirely \([1] \quad 14 / 1\)
entity [2] \(73 / 9\) 73/10
environmental [4] 35/21 50/1
64/12 71/13
Ernie [1] \(2 / 14\)
ESQ [2] \(2 / 5 \quad 2 / 8\)
ESQS [2] 2/3 2/6
essentially [6] 6/20 8/15
39/12 53/8 56/4 58/8
et [1] 7/17
evaluate [1] 12/17
evaluated [1] 56/16
evaluating [2] 37/2 58/15
even [6] 11/10 22/14 25/19
27/13 27/14 74/20
evening [1] 4/4
event [2] 21/16 29/18
events [1] 54/15
eventually [1] 66/4
ever [3] \(6 / 21 \quad 8 / 9 \quad 32 / 19\)
every [5] 64/9 64/9 74/1

74/15 74/18
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { everybody [2] } & 12 / 12 & 12 / 13\end{array}\) everything [2] 7/8 59/19 \(\begin{array}{lll}\text { evidence [1] } & 14 / 23 \\ \text { evolution [1] }\end{array}\)
evolution [1] 36/17
evolved [1] 39/2
evolving [2] 13/18 47/9
exact [2] 64/5 68/3
exactly [2] 51/3 72/18
examination [6] 4/16 4/22
51/9 58/22 71/7 71/10
examined [1] 5/11
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { example [1] } & 61 / 24\end{array}\)
exclude [1] 37/20
exclusively [1] 26/25
Excuse [4] 32/11 51/2 62/5 73/2
exist [2] 46/10 46/16
existing [4] 38/22 38/24
41/15 47/7
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { expand } & {[1]} & 51 / 24 \\ \text { expect }[1] & 30 / 4\end{array}\)
expectation [1] \(7 / 8\)
expert [1] \(73 / 20\)
experts [1] 61/6
expires [1] 76/14
extensive [3] 49/24 55/19 57/22
extensively [2] 47/22 53/22 extent [11] \(7 / 19\) 10/25 \(12 / 8\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}30 / 11 & 39 / 6 & 39 / 18 & 43 / 20 & 45 / 7\end{array}\) 48/2 65/2 74/9

\section*{F}
facilities [3] 53/7 53/8 53/15
facility [1] 72/9
fact [10] 5/22 13/12 30/22
\(\begin{array}{lllll}33 / 20 & 53 / 7 & 53 / 22 & 55 / 6 & 61 / 23\end{array}\)
72/19 74/10
factored [2] 30/13 30/16
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { facts [2] } & 12 / 9 & 12 / 19 \\ \text { factua] [3] } & 11 / 1 & 26 / 6 & 32 / 17\end{array}\)
fair [8] \(11 / 16\) 13/9 13/23
\(\begin{array}{lllll}14 / 2 & 21 / 8 & 37 / 15 & 49 / 22 & 56 / 25\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { fairness [1] } & 7 / 4 \\ \text { family [8] } & 39 / 7 & 39 / 14 & 61 / 17\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}62 / 19 & 63 / 2 & 63 / 10 & 63 / 16 & 63 / 23\end{array}\)
far [4] \(8 / 3 \quad 8 / 16 \quad 8 / 17 \quad 27 / 10\)
fashion [1] 5/24
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { favorab1e } & {[1]} & 61 / 17 \\ \text { February } & \text { [2] } & 1 / 8 & 76 / 16\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { fee [1] } & 63 / 19 \\ \text { fee] } & \text { [1] } \\ 23 / 7\end{array}\)
feeling [1] \(52 / 15\)
fe1t [1] \(27 / 9\)
few [2] \(4 / 144 / 19\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { fina1 [3] } & 13 / 24 & 14 / 1 & 14 / 15\end{array}\)
fina11y [3] \(13 / 19 \quad 39 / 3 \quad 55 / 20\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { financial } & {[1]} & 19 / 9 & \\ \text { find [5] } & 21 / 2 & 39 / 18 & 39 / 23\end{array}\)
\(59 / 1961 / 1\)
findings [2] 27/12 27/13
fine [2] 26/17 51/12
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { finish [2] } & 32 / 12 & 73 / 2 \\ \text { first [8] } & 7 / 22 \quad 9 / 11 & 10 / 1\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 12 & 38 / 17 & 41 / 8 & 52 / 2 & 56 / 8\end{array}\)
five [1] 54/1
flipping [1] 40/9
focus [3] 35/19 35/22 67/7
focused [1] 35/5
focuses [1] 53/6
follow [2] 34/21 58/23
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { follow-up [1] } & 34 / 21 \\ \text { follow-ups }[1] & 58 / 23\end{array}\)
force [6] 29/23 30/10 30/12 \(30 / 15 \quad 30 / 2431 / 2\)
foregoing [2] 72/9 76/6
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline F & grow [1] 35 & \[
\begin{array}{llll}
{[4]} & 29 / 22 & 30 / 1 & 62 / 10
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Forked & growth [12] 35/6 35/9 35/17 & 4 \\
\hline form [5] \(7 / 11\) 9/20 39/8 & 56/21 66/2 \(67 / 767 / 13\) 67/23 & HILL [2] 1/23 76/4 \\
\hline \[
39 / 1561 / 25
\] & 67/25 68/1 \(68 / 6\) 68/20 & him [22] 4/12 7/22 7/24 7/24 \\
\hline forth [2] 50/9 76/9 & Guadagno [2] 16/10 20/8 &  \\
\hline forward [6] 38/16 38/18 & & \(\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 16 & 27 / 25 & 28 / 10 & 29 / 14 & 32 / 7\end{array}\) \\
\hline 55/21 55/21 56/20 75/15 & H & 50/21 60/5 61/13 75 \\
\hline foundation [1] 10/25 & had [20] 4/16 4/19 5/17 & his [ \(\begin{array}{lllllll}32] & 8 / 3 & 20 / 7 & 24 / 8 & 24 / 13\end{array}\) \\
\hline four [2] 21/21 49/18 & 21/15 \(133 / 16 \quad 24 / 1 \quad 25 / 14 \quad 29 / 14\) & 24/13 \(24 / 16\) 25/10 \(26 / 11\) \\
\hline framework [2] 36/23 37/17 & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { 21/19 } & 32 / 19 & 34 / 19 & 36 / 2\end{array}\) & 26/21 \(27 / 9 \quad 27 / 10 \quad 27 / 11 \quad 28 / 4\) \\
\hline Fred [3] 16/7 16/7 19/8 & 37/1 \(45 / 9 \quad 48 / 7 \quad 65 / 13 \quad 74 / 2\) & \[
28 / 6 \quad 28 / 6 \quad 28 / 11 \quad 28 / 18 \quad 29 / 12
\] \\
\hline Fred Ebenau [2] 16/7 19/8 & 74/2 74/10 & \(\begin{array}{llllll} & 31 / 10 & 31 / 20 & 32 / 7 & 36 / 25 & 45 / 12\end{array}\) \\
\hline Frederick [1] 1/14 & hadn't [2] 13/25 27/14 &  \\
\hline t [2] 34/11 4 & Haines [4] 2/16 7/17 17/10 & 52/3 52/3 57/7 59/12 \\
\hline 11 ed [1] 66 & 17/11 & historic [2] 50/3 50/7 \\
\hline & half [2] 57/11 74/22 & home [1] 63 \\
\hline nd [3] 55 & hand [2] 41/6 42/1 & HOMEOWNERS [2] 1/4 4/7 \\
\hline funding [1] 55/9 & handles [1] 32/1 & homogeneity [1] \\
\hline furnished [1] 64/16 & happen [1] 8/20 & homogenous [2] 58/7 58/9 \\
\hline further [8] 28/25 29/5 32/21 & has [22] 12/2 12/ & ospital [1] 64 \\
\hline  & 17/1 23/16 \(27 / 7\) 27/10 30/18 & hospitals [1] 65/13 \\
\hline future [5] \(27 / 16 \quad 27 / 16 \quad 35 / 5\) & \(\begin{array}{llllllllll}36 / 20 & 43 / 12 & 45 / 3 & 47 / 17 & 52 / 17\end{array}\) & hour [1] 57/11 \\
\hline 35/6 68/20 & 56/19 57/4 \(57 / 19\) 61/17 \(64 / 10\) & hours [1] 49/18 \\
\hline G & & usehol \\
\hline & & \\
\hline gained [1] 46/19 & hatching [1] 43/1 & housing [30] 36/8 36/9 36/11 \\
\hline gather [1] 5/24 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { have }[68] & 4 / 14 & 7 / 24 & 9 / 8 & 9 / 9\end{array}\) & \(36 / 20 \quad 37 / 5 \quad 37 / 7 \quad 37 / 8 \quad 37 / 11\) \\
\hline gathering [1] 7/7 &  & \(\begin{array}{llllll}37 / 14 & 37 / 15 & 37 / 18 & 37 / 24 & 38 / 1\end{array}\) \\
\hline [1] 65/23 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}120 / 25 & 21 / 8 & 21 / 9 & 21 / 11 & 21 / 12\end{array}\) &  \\
\hline general [2] 29/17 53/9 & \(\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 21 / 25 & 22 / 2 & 22 / 3 & 22 / 23 & 23 / 7\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}57 / 1 & 61 / 15 & 61 / 19 & 61 / 20 & 62 / 2\end{array}\) \\
\hline generally [5] 28/21 33/7 & \(\begin{array}{llllll} & 31 / 20 & 25 / 13 & 27 / 6 & 29 / 5 & 29 / 9\end{array}\) & 62/19 \(62 / 20 \quad 63 / 7 \quad 63 / 13\) 63/15 \\
\hline 35/10 35/13 59/11 & \(\begin{array}{llllll} & 29 / 10 & 30 / 3 & 31 / 15 & 32 / 19 & 33 / 24\end{array}\) & 63/20 63/22 \\
\hline geographically [1] 47/2 & \(\begin{array}{lllll} & 34 / 10 & 34 / 21 & 34 / 21 & 34 / 24\end{array}\) & how [27] 6/22 10/4 11/8 \\
\hline get [11] 6/14 8/9 13/18 & \(\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 36 / 15 & 39 / 17 & 40 / 10 & 43 / 18\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{llllll}11 / 13 & 12 / 22 & 14 / 4 & 19 / 6 & 20 / 8\end{array}\) \\
\hline  & \(\begin{array}{llllll} & 43 / 21 & 44 / 2 & 44 / 6 & 44 / 10 & 45 / 25\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll} & 26 / 20 & 28 / 15 & 30 / 22 & 31 / 18\end{array}\) \\
\hline 65/15 65/17 72/15 &  & \(\begin{array}{llll} \\ 49 / 19 & 51 / 10 & 51 / 12 & 51 / 15\end{array}\) \\
\hline getting [1] 24/8 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}55 / 22 & 57 / 2 & 58 / 18 & 58 / 23 & 61 / 6\end{array}\) & 51/17 \(54 / 24\) 65/5 \(66 / 1 \quad 66 / 20\) \\
\hline Gingrich [4] \(1 / 1416 / 9\) 19/22 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 63/17 } & 64 / 22 & 66 / 8 & 67 / 21 & 67 / 23\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllllllllll} \\ 67 / 25 & 68 / 4 & 70 / 11 & 70 / 13 & 70 / 22\end{array}\) \\
\hline 125 & 68/16 70/10 72/22 73/16 74/6 & However [1] 22/19 \\
\hline give [9] 15/5 18/8 25/13 & 74/11 74/11 74/12 74/18 & Hugg [1] 2/14 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 25 & 32 / 1 & 32 / 3 & 32 / 5 & 70 / 24\end{array}\) & 74/21 & hum [3] 16/22 18/10 43/2 \\
\hline given & haven't [4] 67/16 67/16 73/8 & hypothetical [1] 31/18 \\
\hline \[
\text { given }[5]
\] & \[
73 / 18
\] & I \\
\hline go [9] \(5 / 15\) 8/19 9/15 11/3 & \[
48 / 1149 / 10 \quad 56 / 21
\] & I think [1] 73 \\
\hline 21/9 33/4 52/4 56/3 59/8 & \[
\text { he [61] } 4 / 10 \text { 4/16 } 4 / 17 \text { 7/17 }
\] & I'd [4] 44/6 67/21 67/23 \\
\hline  & 7/19 19/4 19/11 19/16 19/19 & 68/16 \\
\hline goals [4] 35/1 54/14 56/22 & 19/19 \(20 / 9 \quad 20 / 11 \quad 20 / 13 \quad 20 / 22\) & I'17 [7] 7/11 15/5 15/11 \\
\hline 62/17 & 10/24 \(21 / 1 \quad 21 / 3 \quad 21 / 5 \quad 21 / 12\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 15 / 12 & 18 / 8 & 52 / 17 & 58 / 13\end{array}\) \\
\hline goes [1] 44/21 & \[
24 / 6 \quad 24 / 9 \quad 24 / 12 \quad 24 / 19 \quad 24 / 20
\] & I'm [54] 4 [/15 5 5/15 8/1 \(10 / 20\) \\
\hline going [32] 4/1 \(5 / 5\) 5/15 \(6 / 13\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 24 / 22 & 25 / 13 & 25 / 15 & 26 / 25 & 27 / 9\end{array}\) & 11/6 13/16 \(15 / 1 \quad 16 / 618 / 1\) \\
\hline  &  &  \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}15 & 31 / 17 & 32 / 5 & 32 / 8 & 33\end{array}\) & 28/22 \(31 / 18\) 31/19 31/22 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll} & 24 / 15 & 24 / 16 & 24 / 25 & 25 / 6 & 25 / 10\end{array}\) \\
\hline /15 51/4 5 & \(\begin{array}{llllll} & 31 / 25 & 32 / 1 & 32 / 7 & 37 / 2 & 44 / 21\end{array}\) & 26/10 \(27 / 4 \begin{array}{llllll} & 27 / 22 & 28 / 1 & 28 / 1\end{array}\) \\
\hline 15 51/4 \(55 / 25\) 56/20 57/6 & 46/6 49/11 \(49 / 14\) 49/24 50/2 & 28/5 \(31 / 17\) 33/22 \(40 / 140 / 4\) \\
\hline 57/12 \(59 / 7{ }^{6}\) 59/7 60/7 \(61 / 18\) & \(\begin{array}{llllll}50 / 14 & 50 / 18 & 50 / 18 & 50 / 22 & 51 / 3\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllllll} & 41 / 13 & 41 / 19 & 42 / 2 & 44 / 4 & 48 / 5\end{array}\) \\
\hline  & \(\begin{array}{llllll}51 / 10 & 51 / 17 & 52 / 20 & 57 / 7 & 57 / 10\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}50 / 15 & 50 / 20 & 50 / 20 & 52 / 15\end{array}\) \\
\hline good [4] 4/4 \(44 / 5\) 39/22 & 59/17 59/23 61/12 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}52 / 15 & 53 / 25 & 55 / 25 & 57 / 6 & 57 / 12\end{array}\) \\
\hline got [1] 42/23 & he's [14] 20/4 24/18 24/20 & 59/7 59/7 59/25 60/2 60/5 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { got [1] } & 42 / 23 \\ \text { governing [5] } & \\ \text { [5/18 } & 6 / 2 & 28 / 13\end{array}\) & 24/23 \(24 / 24 \quad 25 / 2 \quad 25 / 3 \quad 25 / 14\) & 63/4 65/5 65/6 65/7 66/18 \\
\hline governing
28/16 \(28 / 19\) & \(\begin{array}{llllll}31 / 21 & 32 / 5 & 33 / 23 & 34 / 2 & 49 / 11\end{array}\) & 73/13 \\
\hline governmental [3] 64/8 64/16 & head [4] 20/2 67/19 & \[
45 / 19 \quad 47 / 22 \quad 66 / 11 \quad 67 / 9
\] \\
\hline 64/24 & \[
{ }^{\text {head }} \mathbf{6 8 / 1 7} .
\] &  \\
\hline grant [11] \(42 / 542 / 8 \quad 42 / 9\) & header [1] 40/ & \(\begin{array}{lll}\text { idea } \\ \text { identification } & \text { [2] } & 14 / 25\end{array}\) \\
\hline 43/7 \(55 / 11\) 55/15 \(55 / 17\) 71/25 & heard [2] 35/8 49/18 & 46/20 \\
\hline 72/7 72/25 73/6 & & identified [10] 15/18 15/19 \\
\hline granted [4] 43/21 44/14 & 18/4 18/20 25/24 75/16 & \(\begin{array}{llllll} & 38 / 21 & 40 / 15 & 43 / 22 \quad 45 / 7 & 45 / 8\end{array}\) \\
\hline 46/16 64/5 6 6/4 69/9 69/15 &  & 46/1 48/14 71/13 \\
\hline grants [6] 69/4 69/9 69/15 & 25/23 & identify [3] 18/2 18/16 \\
\hline 70/18 70/20 71/21
great [1] & here [14] 6/18 22/9 27/6 & 25/22 \\
\hline great [1] 46/9 & 35/4 \(39 / 24 \quad 45 / 3 \quad 45 / 1948 / 13\) & identifying [1] 48/21 \\
\hline  & 49/17 \(51 / 3 \quad 53 / 10 \quad 59 / 22 \quad 60 / 2\) & ignored [1] 36/1 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Greg }[6] \quad 15 / 22 \quad 17 / 6 \quad 17 / 6 \\
21 / 1560 / 160 / 10
\end{gathered}
\] & 74/6 & impact [2] 50/1 53/20 \\
\hline & hereby [1] 76/6 & impacted [2] 54/16 70/20 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Greg MCGuckin } \\
& \text { GREGORY [1] } 2 / 5
\end{aligned}
\] & hereinbefore [1] 76/9 & implement [1] 54/6 \\
\hline Gross [2] 16/9 20/5 & hfacpas.com [1] 15/23 & implementation [2] 44/20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline I & JA & \[
\text { 1egend [2] } 41 / 6
\] \\
\hline implementation... [1] 55/21 & James [2] 15/23 17/1 & 1ength [2] 13/2 52/20 \\
\hline implemented [1] \(54 / 3\) ( \({ }^{\text {c }}\) &  & 1engthy [1] 36/18 \\
\hline importance [1] 47/24 & Jersey [10] 1/7 1/25 2/4 2/7 & 1ess [5] 61/18 61/19 62/18 \\
\hline important [2] 47/3 67/1 & 71/12 71/23 72/1 72/20 76/6 & \(62 / 1863 / 12\) \\
\hline improper [2] 50/17 51/9 & 76/13 & \(1 \mathrm{et} \mathrm{[10]} \mathrm{15/11} \mathrm{32/11} \mathrm{32/24}\) \\
\hline improve [1] 44/21 & Jim [3] 16/9 17/13 20/3 & 36/10 39/18 39/23 56/10 71/1 73/2 75/4 \\
\hline inappropriate [2] \(23 / 8 \quad 24 / 4\) & Jim Byrnes [2] 16/9 20/3 & 1et's [3] 6/22 26/17 59/22 \\
\hline include [2] 36/11 38/18 & job [1] 10/12 & 1evel [2] 29/22 65/15 \\
\hline included [4] 35/16 56/9 & \[
\text { Jod [2] } 15 / 7 \quad 75 / 4
\] & 1ieu [1] 63/18 \\
\hline 56/11 56/12 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { John [3] } & 1 / 12 & 16 / 8 & 19 / 16\end{array}\) & life [1] 45/1 \\
\hline 18/4 18/20 & John Bachione [1] 19/16 & like [7] 32/2 36/14 54/5 \\
\hline 33/12 \(33 / 15\) 56/17 64/11 & JOSEPH [2] 2/8 4/4 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}63 / 9 & 63 / 10 & 72 / 21 & 74 / 15\end{array}\) \\
\hline income [2] 58/3 58/4 &  & likely [1] 23/18 \\
\hline inconsistencies [1] 45/25 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}J u d y & \text { Noonan [2] } & 16 / 8 & 19 / 20\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { limited }[4] & 18 / 5 & 18 / 20 & 25 / 25\end{array}\) \\
\hline inconsistency [1] 45/14 &  & 35/9 \\
\hline inconsistent & July 3rd [1] 13/21 & LINDA [2] 1/23 76/4 \\
\hline increase [1] 67 & just [36] 5/5 5/16 8/25 15/4 & line [6] \(15 / 18\) 16/13 26/7 \\
\hline indeed [1] 56/11 & 20/21 \(21 / 15\) 25/24 \(26 / 17\) & 27/22 57/12 60/7 \\
\hline independent [3] \(7 / 12\) 9/20 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}26 / 25 & 29 / 12 & 32 / 2 & 38 / 15 & 41 / 10\end{array}\) & listed [1] 71/11 \\
\hline 9/20 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}43 / 4 & 43 / 15 & 44 / 11 & 44 / 12 & 45 / 10\end{array}\) & 1isten [1] 49/5 \\
\hline indicated [14] 4/13 4/16 & 49/8 \(49 / 1049 / 15\) 49/17 \(49 / 21\) & listening [1] 13/12 \\
\hline 4/19 8/12 \(21 / 15\) 22/3 22/22 &  & 1iterally [1] 5/6 \\
\hline 25/25 \(36 / 15\) 36/15 45/10 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}53 / 3 & 54 / 5 & 55 / 25 & 58 / 23 & 59 / 2 \\ 65 / 7 & 70 / 24 & 73 / 25\end{array}\) & 1ittle [10] 5/16 21/18 40/13 \\
\hline 69/25 73/19 75/6 & 65/7 70/24 73/25 &  \\
\hline indicates [1] 71/19 & K & located [1] \\
\hline indicating [2] 39/6 48/8 & Karen [2] 15/18 17/3 & location [1] 43/25 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{llll} 
indication [1] \\
individuals & [4] & 714 \\
\hline 19
\end{tabular} & Karen Staliings [1] 17/3 & 10ng [4] 12/22 36/7 36/21 \\
\hline individuals \({ }_{30 / 11} 30 / 14 \mathrm{4}\) ] & Karin [2] 16/8 19/13 & 44/2 \\
\hline information [11] 5 & Karin DiMichele [2] 16/8 & longer [1] 30/12 \\
\hline 11/2 \(12 / 20\) 13/18 29/20 30/24 & 19/13 & 100k [12] 15/4 21/10 22/11 \\
\hline 30/25 \(32 / 21\) 33/8 72/22 & keep [1] 49/15 & 34/22 \(41 / 24\) 60/20 60/22 67/5 \\
\hline infrastructure [1] 44/23 & Kelly [1] 2/14 & 67/23 68/16 70/15 71/1 \\
\hline initial [2] 26/5 32/25 & Keswick [1] 1/7 & looked [3] 67/16 69/2 73/8 \\
\hline initially [2] 38/25 54/25 & key [1] 36/16 & looking [7] \\
\hline initiatives [2] 34/22 36/16 & kicked [1] 32/ & 41/11 46/18 65/4 65/6 69/20 \\
\hline input [6] \(39 / 6\) 45/15 48/17 & kind [4] 45/11 51/9 62/12 & looks [1] 64/7 \\
\hline 49/4 55/5 59/18 & 66/8 & Lorel1i [1] 1/15 \\
\hline insensitive [1] 44/24 & know [60] 6/25 8/22 8/23 & 10se [2] 46/15 74/20 \\
\hline intent [1] 10/7 & 11/12 11/13 11/23 13/14 & losing [2] 47/10 47/10 \\
\hline interest [2] 7/3 61/22 & 13/14 \(13 / 15\) 19/7 19/23 19/24 & loss [6] 50/3 50/10 52/21 \\
\hline interpret [1] 27/12 & 20/1 \(20 / 2 \quad 20 / 6 \quad 21 / 1 \quad 22 / 11\) & 52/23 53/11 53/18 \\
\hline interpretation [1] 32/17 & \(\begin{array}{llll}22 / 19 & 22 / 24 & 27 / 12 & 27 / 18\end{array}\) & lost [4] 46/8 46/18 48/20 \\
\hline terpretations [1] 27/1 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}27 / 20 & 30 / 24 & 31 / 18 & 31 / 19 & 34 / 1\end{array}\) & 51/20 \\
\hline terpreted [1] 27/19 & 38/22 \(42 / 12\) 42/13 47/2 47/25 & lot [4] 22/23 26/4 33/8 51/8 \\
\hline errupt [1] 20/21 & 48/2 \(52 / 14\) 54/2 \(54 / 9\) 54/10 & lots [1] 42/20 \\
\hline inventory [2] 41/8 & 54/13 \(55 / 16\) 55/20 \(59 / 160 / 19\) & loud [2] 16/1 17/21 \\
\hline invited [8] 6/21 8/9 9/4 & 67/17 67/18 67/21 67/22 68/2 & lower [2] 41/6 52/16 \\
\hline  & 68/7 68/12 68/13 68/14 68/16 & M \\
\hline involve [1] 66/13 & 68/18 \(68 / 18\) 70/11 70/13 & \\
\hline irreplaceable [2] 53/12 & 70/17 70/23 72/18 73/20 & Mackres [1] 1/13 \\
\hline 53/18 & 74/12 & made [12] 13/25 22/18 27/6 \\
\hline is [156] & knowledge [1] 8/8 & 27/17 \(29 / 15\) 30/18 39/11 \\
\hline is like [1] 63/9 & knows [1] 7/20 & 39/12 45/3 55/9 56/19 60/15 \\
\hline island [6] \(36 / 9\) 36/12 44/20 & L & mail [22] 3/12 14/24 15/19 \\
\hline 54/1 57/24 74/10 & &  \\
\hline isn't [7] \(22 / 14\) 25/19 61/7 & & \[
\begin{array}{lllll}
20 / 16 & 22 / 18 & 23 / 5 & 23 / 14 & 23 / 18 \\
23 / 19 & 23 / 25 & 24 / 9 & 24 / 17 & 25 / 21
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{cc}64 / 22 & 73 / 21 \\ \text { issue } & 74 / 16 \\ 6\end{array}\) & \[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { 1abor } \\
30 / 25 & {[4]} & 29 / 23 & 29 / 24
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{llll}
23 / 19 & 23 / 25 & 24 / 9 & 24 / 17 \\
26 / 15 & 27 / 18 & 28 / 9 & 28 / 22
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\text { issue } & {[9]} & 6 / 3 & 12 / 16 & 13 / 15 \\ 13 / 18 & 17 / 25 & 29 / 2 & 43 / 8 & 45 / 3\end{array}\) & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
30 / 25 \\
\text { Lacey [1] } & 2 / 4
\end{array}
\] & mails [1] \(23 / 2\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 18 \\ 46 / 20 & 17 / 25 & 29 / 2 & 43 / 8 & 45 / 3\end{array}\) & \begin{tabular}{lll} 
Lacey \\
lacks & {\([1]\)} & \(47 / 13\)
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{rrrr}\text { 46/20 } \\ \text { issued } & \text { [2] } & 42 / 10 & 42 / 14\end{array}\) &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{main}[1] \\
& \text { maintand [11] } 30 / 5
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { i ssued [2] } & 42 / 10 & 42 / 14 & \\ \text { issues [8] } & 10 / 24 & 12 / 10 & 18 / 2\end{array}\) & 1and [8] \(39 / 13 \quad 54 / 15 \quad 54 / 17\) & 46/11 56/23 \(57 / 25\) 58/17 66/2 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{cccl} 
issues & {\([8]\)} & \(10 / 24\) & \(12 / 10\) \\
\(18 / 16\) & \(25 / 22\) & \(18 / 2\) \\
\hline \(22 / 1\) & \(45 / 13\) & \(45 / 14\)
\end{tabular} &  & 68/4 68/24 74/2 74/5 \\
\hline \[
\text { it }[130]
\] & large [1] 36/6 & maintain [1] 72/8 \\
\hline it's [82] & 1arger [1] 30/6 & maintenance [1] \\
\hline item [1] 41/ & 1ast [5] 5/6 5/9 27/8 46/3 & make [4] 6/3 32/24 37/5 \\
\hline items [4] 18/5 18/21 \(25 / 25\) & 48/10 & 41/19 \\
\hline 60/15 & 1ater [1 & makes [1] \\
\hline its [9] 47/14 48/11 54/9 & layered [1] 36/7 & making [3] 30/21 45/16 63/18 \\
\hline 54/9 \(54 / 14 \quad 54 / 15 \quad 54 / 16\) 54/17 & 1east [2] 23/25 32/25 & mandated [1] 38/12 \\
\hline 74/19 & Leaving [1] 44/1 & mandatory [1] 63/18 \\
\hline IV [1] 71/18 & 1eft [2] 41/6 42/18 & map [12] 40/9 41/3 41/15 \\
\hline & hand [2] 41/6 42/18 & 20 \\
\hline J & 1egal [6] 7/22 8/4 25/1 25/2 & \(71 / 6 \quad 72 / 15\) 73/6 73/7 \\
\hline Jack [1] 1/15 & 25/5 36/23 & maps [3] 39/17 41/20 \(42 / 1\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline M & month & \[
y[2] \quad 14 / 21 \quad 55 / 20
\] \\
\hline M & months [3] 4/9 5/6 21/2 & need [7] 11/22 11/22 12/8 \\
\hline marked [2] 14/25 15/2 & \[
\begin{array}{|cccccc|}
\hline \text { more } & {[15]} & 4 / 14 & 13 / 18 & 27 / 20 \\
37 / 18 & 37 / 19 & 39 / 7 & 47 / 1 & 48 / 7
\end{array}
\] & needed [1] 55/2 \\
\hline marks [1] 42/1 & 49/13 \(68 / 11 \quad 61 / 16 \quad 62 / 8 \quad 63 / 1\) & needs [2] 28/3 55/3 \\
\hline master \(\begin{array}{lllll}{[13]} & 31 / 5 & 31 / 9 & 31 / 13\end{array}\) &  & neglected [1] 57/9 \\
\hline \(34 / 6\) 34/15 \(34 / 25\) 35/1 \(45 / 8\) & \[
\operatorname{most}[2] \quad 17 / 24 \quad 62 / 25
\] & neighborhood [22] 31/14 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}53 / 23 & 53 / 24 & 54 / 25 & 56 / 15\end{array}\) & mostiy [2] 27/3 57/25 & \(\begin{array}{lllll} & 32 / 22 & 38 / 14 & 39 / 10 & 39 / 17\end{array}\) \\
\hline & motion [1] 75/17 &  \\
\hline material [5] \(18 / 2 \quad 18 / 5 \quad 18 / 16\) & move [4] \(27 / 20\) 50/4 50/12 &  \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { matter } & {[5]} & 1 / 3 & 17 / 18 & 40 / 25\end{array}\) & & 49/2 \(55 / 12\) 55/14 55/24 62/22 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { matter } \\
59 / 13 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] & moved [2] 51/21 75/19 &  \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { may } & {[6]} & 4 / 9 & 4 / 14 & 20 / 24\end{array}\) & \[
\begin{array}{llll}
\operatorname{moving} \\
\text { MR }[22] & 3 / 4 & 31 / 4 & 38 / 16
\end{array} 49 / 15
\] & neither [1] 71/11 48/1 55/13 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{cc}21 / 12 & 27 / 15 \\ \text { may }\end{array}\) & \begin{tabular}{cccl}
\(4 / 20\) & \(4 / 22\) & \(4 / 23\) & \(7 / 16\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & never [1] 54/2 \\
\hline \[
\text { maybe [8] } 5 / 7 \text { 13/17 } 22 / 7
\] &  & \(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { new [18] } & 1 / 7 & 1 / 25 & 2 / 4 & 2 / 7\end{array}\) \\
\hline mayor & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 29/10 } & 29 / 11 & 32 / 12 & 49 / 9 & 49 / 10\end{array}\) & 36/3 \(37 / 8\) 37/22 \(42 / 23\) 47/7 \\
\hline MCGUCKIN [4] \(2 / 3\) 2/5 15/22 & \begin{tabular}{ccc}
\(58 / 22\) & \(58 / 24\) & \(60 / 16\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & \(\begin{array}{lllll}47 / 8 & 63 / 24 & 71 / 12 & 71 / 18 & 71 / 23\end{array}\) \\
\hline 17/6 & Mr. [36] 4/13 7/17 7/17 & 72/1 72/20 76/6 \\
\hline Mcguck & \begin{tabular}{llll}
\(15 / 21\) & \(18 / 25\) & \(19 / 2\) & \(19 / 3\) \\
\hline \(19 / 25\)
\end{tabular} & New Jersey [3] 71/12 71/23 \\
\hline me [41] 6/17 6/24 11/14 12/2 & 20/18 \(36 / 2544 / 1745 / 1146 / 5\) & N2/20 \\
\hline 12/3 14/17 14/18 15/8 26/15 &  & New Jersey's [1] 71/18 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 28/25 } & 32 / 11 & 32 / 11 & 32 / 24 & 33 / 1\end{array}\) & 52/19 53/21 56/19 57/4 & Nick [2] \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llll}34 / 11 & 36 / 10 & 39 / 18 & 39 / 23\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}59 / 20 & 59 / 23 & 59 / 24 & 60 / 3 & 60 / 11\end{array}\) & nine [1] 74/21 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}39 / 23 & 40 / 2 & 41 / 21 & 49 / 21 & 50 / 24\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 61/10 } & 65 / 23 & 72 / 13 & \end{array}\) & NJDEP [1] \(41 / 7\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllllll}51 / 2 & 52 / 12 & 53 / 25 & 56 / 11 & 57 / 16\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Mr. Bauman [12] } & 36 / 25 & 44 / 17\end{array}\) &  \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}59 / 17 & 60 / 4 & 60 / 20 & 62 / 5 & 69 / 6 \\ 69 / 10 & 70 / 24 & 71 / 1 & 71 / 3 & 71 / 7\end{array}\) & Mris/5 47/11 49/23 49/24 50/5 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 12 & 16 / 4 & 24 / 3 & 24 / 20 & 28 / 1\end{array}\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllllll}69 / 10 & 70 / 24 & 71 / 1 & 71 / 3 & 71 / 7\end{array}\) & 52/19 53/21 56/19 60/11 & \(\begin{array}{llllll} & 29 / 5 & 30 / 12 & 34 / 7 & 35 / 14 & 36 / 9\end{array}\) \\
\hline mean [14] & 61/10 &  \\
\hline 22/23 \(31 / 19\) 43/4 \(56 / 13\) 57/10 & Mr. Bauman's [7] 45/11 48 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}56 / 19 & 56 / 21 & 57 / 4 & 57 / 18 & 58 / 18\end{array}\) \\
\hline 59/6 60/19 61/21 63/9 66/18 & \(\begin{array}{ccccl}50 / 17 & 51 / 17 & 57 / 4 & 57 / 23 & 59 / 20\end{array}\) & 59/15 \(60 / 960 / 22 \quad 63 / 14\) 63/17 \\
\hline 66/22 & Mr. Ebenau [1] 20/18 & 63/21 65/19 65/21 65/24 \\
\hline meaning [3] 17/8 17/10 17/13 & Mr. Gingrich [1] 19/2 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}66 / 12 & 69 / 6 & 69 / 12 & 69 / 16 & 69 / 20\end{array}\) \\
\hline aningfu7 [2] 66/ & Mr. Haines [1] 7/17 & 72/4 72/7 72/16 72/17 73/23 \\
\hline eant [1] 49/9 & Mr. Michelini [2] 52/10 & 75/12 \\
\hline asured [1] 30/10 & 72/13 & nobody [1] 75/14 \\
\hline meet [2] 7/4 12/5 & Mr. Oris [1] \(7 / 17\) lllll & nonstop [1] 4/11 \\
\hline meeting [35] 4/2 4/13 6/22 & Mr. Reid [3] 18/25 19/2 \(19 / 3\) & Noonan [2] 16/8 19/20 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{llll} 
8/9 & \(8 / 14\) & \(8 / 16\) & \(8 / 18\) \\
\hline 18
\end{tabular} & Mr. Slach & \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllllllll}9 / 5 & 9 / 8 & 9 / 10 & 9 / 11 & 9 / 13 & 9 / 15\end{array}\) & Mr \({ }^{\text {c }}\) / \({ }^{\text {S }}\) & not ask \\
\hline  & Mr. Stuart [1] 15/21 & Notary [2] 76/4 76/13 \\
\hline 10/11 11/12 \(11 / 22\) 13/3 18/2 & Mr. Winward [1] 4/13 & notations [1] 42/19 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 15 & 18 / 16 & 21 / 18 & 21 / 23\end{array}\) & Mr. Wiser [4] 52/9 59 & note [1] 57/14 \\
\hline 21/25 22/4 22/5 25/22 31/21 & much [4] 5/16 27/15 & \\
\hline 75/22 [4] 4/11 5/10 5/12 & & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { now [6] } & 4 / 1 & 16 / 21 & 21 / 14\end{array}\) \\
\hline meetings [4] 4/11 5/10 5/12 & multi [1] 36/ & 21/17 33/23 48/20 \\
\hline member [8] \(1 / 131 / 131 / 14\) & multi-layered [1] 36/7 & number [11] 3/11 29/9 29/11 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{ccccl} 
member \\
\(1 / 14\) & [8] & \(1 / 15\) & \(1 / 13\) & \(1 / 13\) \\
\hline \(19 / 17\) & \(1 / 14\) \\
\(19 / 21\)
\end{tabular} & multifamily [10] \(37 / 8 \quad 37 / 22\) &  \\
\hline 1/14 1/15 \(1 / 1515 / 17\) 19/21 & \(\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 38 / 6 & 38 / 18 & 38 / 19 & 39 / 1 & 61 / 20\end{array}\) & 58/24 60/12 67/9 \\
\hline oned [6] & 1/25 62/19 62/23 & 0 \\
\hline 36/24 36/25 44/12 56/24 & mu1tiple [2] 23/14 23/17 & 0 \\
\hline merits [1] 74/19 & municipal [3] 7/9 71/16 72/8 & O'MALLEY [2] 2/6 4/5 \\
\hline MICHELINI [9] \(2 / 6\) 2/8 \(3 / 4\) & municipality [2] 33/3 74/10 & oath [1] 4/24 \\
\hline  & municipality's [1] MURPHY [1] \(2 / 3\) & \[
\text { object [5] } 31 / 17 \text { 33/22 } 50 / 15
\] \\
\hline 72/13 & \begin{tabular}{lllll} 
my & {\([53]\)} & \(5 / 14\) & \(6 / 11\) & \(6 / 18\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & objection [1] 56/1 \\
\hline microphone [1] & \(\begin{array}{lllllllll} & 7 / 1 & 7 / 8 & 7 / 11 & 7 / 12 & 8 / 12 & 8 / 17\end{array}\) & objectives [2] 35/1 54/14 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lll}\text { Midway } \\ 51 / 18 & 51 / 21 & 52 / 23\end{array}\) &  & obligation [4] 37/13 63/14 \\
\hline Midway Beach [4] 50/6 51/ & 10/23 \(10 / 2511 / 311 / 12 \quad 11 / 13\) & 63/21 72/7 \\
\hline 51/21 52/23 & 11/14 11/23 \(11 / 2412 / 16\) & obtain [1] 62/9 \\
\hline might [10] 9/8 12/10 22/3 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}12 / 18 & 12 / 21 & 17 / 14 & 20 / 2 & 21 / 8\end{array}\) & obviousiy [2] 23/15 35/24 \\
\hline 23/19 30/11 32/4 44/10 45/25 & \(\begin{array}{llll}121 / 10 & 22 / 20 & 22 / 25 & 23 / 22\end{array}\) & Occupation [1] 58/2 \\
\hline \(61 / 161 / 1\) & 23/23 \(29 / 7 \quad 36 / 1646 / 23 ~ 48 / 20\) & occur [1] 37/9 \\
\hline 1e [1] 68/ & 49/12 \(49 / 2052 / 16 \quad 53 / 19 / 14\) & occurred [4] 9/1 10/1 11/11 \\
\hline miles [1] 74/22 &  & 37/21 \\
\hline mind [3] \(4 / 17\) 14/1 \(33 / 25\) & 67/19 68/7 68/17 73/2 73/11 & oceanfront [2] 74/8 74/2 \\
\hline mining [2] 64/22 65/14 & myself [1] 11/13 & 40/19 48/18 48/24 67/19 68/7 \\
\hline minutes [2] 27/9 57/11 & myself [1] 11/13 & 40/19 48/18 48/24 67/19 68/7 \\
\hline mis sunderstood [1] 60/8 & N & \\
\hline ed [2] 37/8 & NAME [1] 3/2 & office [3] 21/8 21/10 72/23 \\
\hline D [1] 71/18 & natural [3] 36/5 67/10 72/10 & officer [1] 19/9 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { OD-IV [1] } 71 / 18 \\
& \text { odified [2] } 46 / 19
\end{aligned}
\] & naturally [2] 35/15 35/22 &  \\
\hline [2] 46/19 & nature [2] 26/24 50/15 & 7/10 8/14 \(9 / 5\) 10/16 11/8 \\
\hline moment & nauseam [1] 56/2 & 12/5 25/14 \\
\hline money [1] 55/15 & near [1] 29/17 & Oh [2] 16/6 59/25 \\
\hline monies [1] 55/17 & necessarily [1] 48/6 & okay [44] 4/20 4/20 6/12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\hline okay... \([41]\) & \(8 / 18\) & \(10 / 21\) & \(14 / 3\)
\end{tabular} \(15 / 2 \quad 15 / 4 \quad 15 / 24 \quad 16 / 25 \quad 18 / 8\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 6 & 20 / 24 & 21 / 4 & 21 / 7 & 21 / 17\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}28 / 24 & 29 / 4 & 31 / 3 & 34 / 4 & 34 / 5\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}34 / 20 & 35 / 4 & 38 / 5 & 38 / 13 & 39 / 16\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll} & 40 / 4 & 40 / 7 & 40 / 23 & 42 / 4 & 44 / 1\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}44 / 17 & 46 / 5 & 49 / 22 & 51 / 12 & 53 / 21\end{array}\) 56/6 57/14 59/3 60/5 63/6 64/19 67/12 69/17
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { onboard } & {[2]} & 34 / 18 & 45 / 19\end{array}\) once [1] 58/11
one [18] 5/22 6/21 12/25
\(\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 11 & 28 / 13 & 34 / 8 & 35 / 25 & 36 / 24\end{array}\) 39/18 39/24 40/14 41/13 41/13 41/17 41/25 58/14 62/16 69/13
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { ongoing }\left[\begin{array}{llll}6\end{array}\right. & 13 / 1 & 13 / 4 & 13 / 5\end{array}\) 48/9 54/8 54/22
\(\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { only } & {[6]} & 9 / 10 & 28 / 12 & 45 / 6\end{array}\) \(45 / 7 \quad 50 / 7 \quad 55 / 12\)
open [7] \(41 / 741 / 1543 / 9\) \(43 / 19\) 71/12 71/15 71/17 operations [1] 64/22 opinion [23] \(7 / 15\) 8/4 \(12 / 10\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 18 & 12 / 19 & 14 / 21 & 31 / 10 & 32 / 2\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 3 & 32 / 6 & 32 / 7 & 45 / 12 & 46 / 13\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}48 / 5 & 50 / 10 & 57 / 4 & 57 / 18 & 57 / 24\end{array}\) 59/20 \(73 / 21 \quad 73 / 22 \quad 74 / 3 \quad 74 / 7\) opinions [16] 7/11 12/1 \(\begin{array}{llllll}12 / 13 & 12 / 15 & 22 / 23 & 25 / 5 & 25 / 5\end{array}\) 25/7 \(39 / 11 \quad 59 / 3 \quad 59 / 9 \quad 59 / 9\) 59/12 \(59 / 12 \quad 59 / 16 \quad 61 / 7\)
opportunities [2] 35/24 63/12
opportunity [2] 61/18 63/6 \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { opposed } & {[4]} & 6 / 15 & 6 / 18\end{array} \quad 25 / 17\) 74/16
ordinance [6] 38/17 57/10 61/16 61/17 64/17 64/19
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { ordinances [2] 33/20 } & 56 / 16\end{array}\)
organizations [1] 64/25
origin [1] 48/11
Oris [3] 7/17 15/23 17/13
other [26] 7/7 7/18 7/23 8/4 \(\begin{array}{lllll}9 / 23 & 11 / 25 & 18 / 12 & 19 / 6 & 20 / 16\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}25 / 4 & 25 / 7 & 25 / 13 & 27 / 2 & 28 / 11\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}29 / 14 & 31 / 14 & 31 / 15 & 37 / 5 & 38 / 23\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}39 / 18 & 61 / 1 & 62 / 12 & 63 / 10 & 64 / 8\end{array}\) 64/20 71/16
others [4] 20/18 27/25 28/6 29/13
\(\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { our }[7] & 4 / 2 & 10 / 23 & 17 / 24 \\ 27 / 12 & 45 / 9 & 58 / 15 & 75 / 16\end{array}\)
out [18] \(14 / 1 \quad 16 / 1 \quad 17 / 21\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}21 / 2 & 23 / 1 & 23 / 14 & 23 / 19 & 26 / 7\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}35 / 11 & 37 / 6 & 37 / 12 & 48 / 8 & 54 / 24\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}58 / 16 & 65 / 1 & 65 / 8 & 65 / 12 & 65 / 14\end{array}\)
over [15] \(4 / 20\) 8/19 29/18 \(\begin{array}{lllll}36 / 18 & 36 / 21 & 43 / 12 & 46 / 3 & 47 / 6\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll} & 48 / 10 & 52 / 4 & 56 / 3 & 62 / 18\end{array} 70 / 12\) 72/4 73/16
overwhelmingly [1] 29/25
own [2] 51/10 74/19
owned [4] 70/9 70/13 70/23 71/21
owner [1] 61/24
ownership [2] \(73 / 14 \quad 73 / 21\)

\section*{\(\mathbf{P}\)}
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { p.m [2] } & 1 / 8 & 15 / 20 \\ \text { page [15] } & 3 / 2 & 3 / 11 & 29 / 16\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { page } & {[15]} & 3 / 2 & 3 / 11 & 29 / 16 \\ 29 / 18 & 31 / 4 & 34 / 13 & 39 / 24 & 40 / 1\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llll}40 / 10 & 40 / 15 & 40 / 24 & 41 / 15\end{array}\) 41/18 41/25 71/5
page 348 [1] 41/15
parce1 [2] 41/7 42/7
parce1s [2] 42/2 70/16
park [57] \(1 / 4\) 4/3 \(4 / 7\) 16/15
29/25 \(31 / 11 \quad 31 / 14 \quad 35 / 10\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}35 / 15 & 37 / 20 & 38 / 15 & 38 / 24 & 39 / 9\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}40 / 16 & 41 / 1 & 41 / 16 & 44 / 18 & 44 / 19\end{array}\) \(45 / 4 \quad 45 / 5 \quad 45 / 17 \quad 45 / 23 \quad 46 / 7\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}46 / 21 & 47 / 12 & 47 / 17 & 47 / 24 \\ 48 / 4\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}48 / 16 & 48 / 23 & 49 / 1 & 49 / 3 & 50 / 5\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}50 / 13 & 51 / 22 & 53 / 11 & 54 / 1 & 55 / 4\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}55 / 12 & 55 / 24 & 56 / 9 & 56 / 11 & 56 / 17\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}56 / 20 & 56 / 24 & 57 / 5 & 57 / 9 & 57 / 19\end{array}\)
58/17 61/20 62/22 62/25
63/22 64/21 65/12 68/10
74/23
part [14] 34/21 37/15 38/3 \(\begin{array}{lllll} & 47 / 12 & 47 / 19 & 49 / 4 & 56 / 14 \\ 64 / 15\end{array}\) 69/24 70/16 72/24 72/25 73/5 73/6
participants [1] 10/8
participate [2] \(11 / 17\) 34/17
participated [1] 33/14
participation [1] 58/21
particular [3] 12/15 35/25
45/13
parties [3] 23/14 23/15
23/21
past [1] 56/2
pattern [1] \(36 / 4\)
payment [3] 63/18 63/18
63/19
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { pendencies [2] } & 8 / 10 & 12 / 7\end{array}\)
pendency [1] 6/12
people [14] 6/13 7/23 9/24
\(\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 25 & 19 / 7 & 22 / 23 & 23 / 15 & 25 / 4\end{array}\)
27/2 27/17 28/11 31/21 57/24
57/25
people's [1] 8/4
per [1] 48/5
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { perception }[13] & 24 / 13 & 24 / 16\end{array}\)
25/11 \(25 / 13 \quad 26 / 11 \quad 26 / 21\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}26 / 22 & 27 / 11 & 28 / 6 & 28 / 6 & 28 / 11\end{array}\)
28/18 31/20
perceptions [2] 27/2 27/16
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { period [6] } & 36 / 19 & 36 / 21 & 44 / 7\end{array}\)
44/8 44/9 47/6
permitted [1] 27/22
person [1] 20/9
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { persons } \\ \text { persons } & {[1]} & 18 / 11 \\ {[1]} & 25 / 7\end{array}\)
perspective \([10] \quad 7 / 12 \quad 9 / 21\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 22 & 11 / 12 & 12 / 1 & 12 / 13 & 30 / 23\end{array}\)
37/6 46/15 54/11
Peters [1] 2/14
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { petition [5] } & 1 / 5 & 4 / 6 & 10 / 9\end{array}\)
11/22 14/18
petitioned [1] 41/1
petitioners [4] 2/8 10/17
22/16 23/10
petitioners' [11] 6/23 7/6
8/11 9/6 10/4 11/9 11/18
\(\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 6 & 14 / 5 & 31 / 8 & 31 / 22\end{array}\)
phone [1] 22/10
pick [1] 22/10
Pinewald [1] 1/7
place [13] \(11 / 20 \quad 37 / 3 \quad 37 / 16\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}43 / 12 & 45 / 18 & 46 / 3 & 46 / 22 & 47 / 1\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}48 / 10 & 48 / 12 & 49 / 4 & 55 / 23 & 76 / 9\end{array}\)
places [3] 38/23 47/10 53/15
plan [56] \(18 / 1\) 18/16 \(31 / 5\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll} & 31 / 6 & 31 / 9 & 31 / 12 & 31 / 13 & 31 / 16\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}31 / 16 & 32 / 20 & 33 / 2 & 33 / 7 & 33 / 15\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}33 / 16 & 34 / 15 & 34 / 25 & 35 / 2 & 36 / 11\end{array}\) \(37 / 5 \quad 38 / 1 \quad 38 / 7 \quad 38 / 14 \quad 38 / 17\)
\(38 / 23 \quad 38 / 25 \quad 39 / 2 \quad 39 / 10 \quad 39 / 13\)
39/17 \(39 / 21\) 40/21 44/12
\(44 / 13 \quad 44 / 1445 / 8 \quad 45 / 16 \quad 45 / 23\) 47/7 \(49 / 2 \quad 53 / 23 \quad 53 / 25 \quad 54 / 25\) 55/14 \(55 / 22 \quad 56 / 7 \quad 56 / 10 \quad 56 / 12\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}56 / 14 & 56 / 25 & 56 / 25 & 57 / 9 & 64 / 1\end{array}\) 64/2 64/4 64/15 71/14

Plan's [1] 34/6
planner [16] \(2 / 15 \quad 2 / 15 \quad 6 / 7\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}23 / 12 & 24 / 4 & 24 / 18 & 24 / 21 & 25 / 3\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}25 / 14 & 26 / 8 & 26 / 13 & 26 / 20 & 31 / 8\end{array}\) 34/18 44/2 \(45 / 3\)
planner's [1] 40/5
planners [2]
planners [2] \(36 / 13\) 48/14
planning [55] \(1 / 1 \quad 5 / 18 \quad 5 / 23\)
6/7 7/10 7/18 9/21 10/24
\(\begin{array}{llll}12 / 15 & 19 / 18 & 20 / 15 & 20 / 19\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}121 / 12 & 23 / 9 & 25 / 4 & 25 / 18 & 25 / 22\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}32 / 1 & 33 / 19 & 34 / 21 & 36 / 15 & 36 / 18\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}36 / 20 & 37 / 6 & 37 / 17 & 37 / 25 & 38 / 16\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}39 / 9 & 39 / 21 & 40 / 25 & 45 / 18 & 45 / 20\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}45 / 21 & 46 / 2 & 46 / 14 & 46 / 15 & 47 / 13\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}48 / 9 & 48 / 11 & 48 / 12 & 48 / 12 & 49 / 1\end{array}\) \(49 / 5 \quad 54 / 5 \quad 54 / 7 \quad 54 / 8 \quad 54 / 10\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}54 / 11 & 54 / 21 & 55 / 10 & 55 / 18\end{array}\) 55/19 55/19 56/21 57/20
plans [6] 37/2 45/11 55/12
56/15 56/15 65/3
play [1] 58/14
plays [1] 47/25
\(\begin{array}{lllll}p 1 \text { ease }[7] & 10 / 19 & 14 / 23 & 18 / 1\end{array}\)
29/8 34/4 40/2 65/11
plotting [1] 22/15
point [19] 7/23 12/23 12/25 \(\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 3 & 22 / 13 & 23 / 8 & 27 / 6 & 27 / 14\end{array}\) 27/17 \(37 / 12 \quad 41 / 19 \quad 45 / 13\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}46 / 24 & 53 / 2 & 58 / 16 & 64 / 25 & 65 / 8\end{array}\)
65/12 65/14
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { police [1] } & \text { 19/14 } & \\ \text { policies [5] } & 36 / 18 & 36 / 20\end{array}\)
36/22 54/15 65/3
policy [1] 55/4
\(\begin{array}{lrrr}\text { population }[2] & 29 / 24 & 30 / 6 \\ \text { populations } & {[3]} & 58 / 5 & 58 / 7\end{array}\)
populations [3] 58/5 58/7
58/16
portion [11] \(4 / 2\) 18/4 \(18 / 20\)
25/24 41/4 42/6 70/8 74/2
74/4 74/6 74/11
position [20] \(7 / 12\) 7/14 9/16 \(9 / 19 \quad 9 / 24 \quad 10 / 9 \quad 10 / 10 \quad 11 / 21\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 23 & 20 / 7 & 22 / 20 & 22 / 21 & 23 / 16\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}24 / 1 & 24 / 14 & 24 / 15 & 24 / 17 & 25 / 9\end{array}\) 25/11 28/7
positions [3] 11/25 13/17 23/16
possibility [1] 38/19
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { possible }[2] & 22 / 2 & 53 / 16 \\ \text { possibly }[2] & 28 / 15 & 31 / 18\end{array}\) post [2] 45/21 55/10
potentially [2] 11/7 69/23
predict [1] 27/16
predicted [3] 67/22 68/1
68/3
preoccupied [1] 56/22
preparation [1] 34/17
prepare [2] 13/19 34/5
prepared [6] 13/4 34/19
34/25 36/25 45/9 55/14
preparing [1] 12/23
prescriptions [1] 42/23
present [2] 2/13 7/12
presented [5] 11/2 14/15
26/5 46/23 47/22
presenting [1] 7/1
presumably [2] \(18 / 17 \quad 38 / 16\)
pretty [4] 13/14 27/15 47/22
47/23
previous7y [3] 56/5 63/3
75/6
primarily [3] 66/2 68/24 69/1
prior [3] 8/13 9/9 45/9
priority [2] 18/6 18/24
private [1] 73/9
privately [1] 71/21
privately-owned [1] 71/21


such [14] 9/14 9/19 10/6 \(\begin{array}{llll}10 / 11 & 10 / 11 & 11 / 11 & 11 / 12\end{array}\) 20/18 \(50 / 3 \quad 50 / 11 \quad 51 / 20 \quad 54 / 15\) 71/25 73/10
suggest [1] 23/13
suggested [3] 6/18 11/21 49/25
suggestions [1] 31/8
SULLIVAN [2] 1/23 76/4
SULLIVAN-HILL [2] \(1 / 23\) 76/4
Superstorm [2] 54/16 55/7
suppose [1] 34/10
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { supposed }[4] \quad 10 / 14 & 10 / 15\end{array}\) 43/3 54/13
sure [13] \(13 / 6\) 13/16 \(15 / 3\) 15/6 15/11 17/22 24/22 32/24 45/16 66/19 67/2 73/4 73/14
SURMAN [2] 2/6 4/5
surprised [2] 61/9 61/13
sustain [1] 43/24
sustainable [1] 37/19

\section*{T}
table [2] 42/18 70/17
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { tack }[1] & 54 / 9 & & 1 / 25 \\ \text { take }[12] & 9 / 19 & 11 / 25 & 12 / 22\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 4 & 16 / 15 & 17 / 6 & 31 / 10 & 36 / 10\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll} & \text { 54/5 } & 55 / 20 & 70 / 15 & 74 / 18\end{array}\)
taken [5] 34/22 43/12 \(46 / 3\) 48/9 76/8
takes [1] 13/15
taking [1] \(14 / 20\)
talk \([2]\) 29/23 60/14
talked [12] 13/2 21/17 47/3 53/12 53/13 \(61 / 15\) 64/1 \(65 / 25\) 69/3 75/1 75/1 75/5
talking [6] 16/2 39/20 42/17 59/9 60/2 62/11
talks [3] 25/21 66/23 66/24 \(\operatorname{tax}[13] \quad 41 / 341 / 741 / 17\)
\(42 / 142 / 242 / 442 / 742 / 20\) 66/5 66/12 66/14 70/16 71/19
tel1 [4] 33/17 49/21 53/2 60/4
telling [1] 26/15
terms [9] 6/24 8/21 10/22 43/17 \(46 / 20 \quad 53 / 10 \quad 54 / 18\) 54/23 67/13
testified [13] \(4 / 10 \quad 4 / 11 \quad 5 / 7\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}5 / 10 & 5 / 12 & 5 / 17 & 13 / 4 & 44 / 18\end{array}\) 47/12 47/22 56/2 63/2 66/6
testifies [1] 7/17
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { testify [3] } & 26 / 8 & 26 / 20 \quad 31 / 23\end{array}\)
testifying [1] 6/14
testimony [44] 6/23 7/6 8/11 \(\begin{array}{lllll}8 / 13 & 9 / 1 & 9 / 6 & 9 / 6 & 9 / 9 \\ 9 / 21\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 4 & 10 / 16 & 10 / 25 & 11 / 3 & 11 / 9\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 15 & 11 / 19 & 12 / 3 & 12 / 6 & 12 / 21\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 13 & 13 / 16 & 14 / 5 & 18 / 6 & 18 / 7\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llll}18 / 23 & 18 / 24 & 22 / 14 & 22 / 15 \\ 23 / 9\end{array}\) 25/19 \(26 / 1 \quad 26 / 4 \quad 27 / 14 \quad 29 / 15\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}35 / 9 & 49 / 18 & 50 / 18 & 51 / 17 & 52 / 4\end{array}\) 56/4 57/8 60/14 65/22 75/15
testimony/witnesses [1] 18/7

\section*{text [1] 67/24}
than [10] 7/7 37/6 51/8
52/16 \(52 / 17 \quad 58 / 11 \quad 59 / 12 \quad 62 / 9\) 68/9 68/14
thank [10] \(\begin{array}{lllll} & 17 / 23 & 29 / 4 & 29 / 6\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllllllllll} & 31 / 3 & 32 / 18 & 38 / 13 & 58 / 18 & 58 / 20\end{array}\) 65/20 75/12
Thanks [1] 60/10
that 3388
that's [51] \(5 / 148 / 58 / 21\) \(8 / 24 \quad 9 / 18 \quad 10 / 24 \quad 12 / 20 \quad 13 / 12\) 14/2 \(14 / 21 \quad 16 / 19 \quad 17 / 14 \quad 17 / 16\) 19/2 \(21 / 7\) 21/14 24/14 26/16 26/17 28/25 30/22 39/22
\(\begin{array}{lllll}40 / 14 & 41 / 2 & 41 / 8 & 41 / 23 & 42 / 7\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}42 / 7 & 42 / 8 & 42 / 15 & 43 / 5 & 43 / 6\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}43 / 6 & 43 / 21 & 46 / 3 & 47 / 8 & 48 / 9\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}51 / 8 & 53 / 19 & 54 / 7 & 56 / 1 & 61 / 8\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}61 / 21 & 62 / 20 & 63 / 4 & 63 / 25 & 68 / 23\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llll}68 / 24 & 70 / 5 & 71 / 9 & 72 / 19\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { their } & {[12]} & 6 / 19 & 11 / 9 & 12 / 2\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 17 & 13 / 17 & 25 / 4 & 25 / 5 & 45 / 20\end{array}\) 51/19 56/24 58/3 58/3
them [2] 17/17 65/8
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { thematic [1] } & 53 / 9\end{array}\)
theme [2] 36/16 53/19
themselves [2] \(42 / 20 \quad 54 / 23\)
then [18] 4/12 4/19 6/2
\(\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 24 & 15 / 12 & 20 / 22 & 31 / 5 & 39 / 3\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 16 & 42 / 1 & 44 / 20 & 44 / 21 & 45 / 22\end{array}\)
50/5 51/4 \(54 / 25 \quad 58 / 4 \quad 73 / 8\)
there [53] \(9 / 9\) 10/7 \(15 / 24\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}21 / 22 & 21 / 25 & 21 / 25 & 26 / 3\end{array} 27 / 17\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}30 / 1 & 31 / 7 & 31 / 13 & 33 / 20 & 33 / 24\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}35 / 22 & 35 / 25 & 36 / 1 & 36 / 6 & 36 / 9\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}37 / 9 & 37 / 23 & 38 / 6 & 38 / 20 & 38 / 22\end{array}\)
41/14 41/15 41/20 41/24
\(41 / 25 \quad 42 / 9 \quad 43 / 1046 / 949 / 23\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}50 / 2 & 50 / 8 & 50 / 9 & 53 / 5 & 53 / 10\end{array}\)
53/17 \(55 / 2 \quad 55 / 8 \quad 56 / 18 \quad 57 / 22\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}58 / 4 & 60 / 19 & 61 / 23 & 62 / 1 & 62 / 4\end{array}\)
62/21 63/7 67/3 67/20 68/20
75/14
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { there'11 }[2] \quad 61 / 19 & 68 / 1\end{array}\)
there's [25] 12/11 12/14
\(\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 5 & 31 / 6 & 32 / 9 & 35 / 14 & 36 / 17\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll} & 40 / 5 & 40 / 23 & 41 / 6 & 46 / 9\end{array} 52 / 11\)
53/16 61/18 62/10 62/14
\(\begin{array}{llll}62 / 18 & 62 / 21 & 63 / 11 & 63 / 14\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}63 / 21 & 70 / 19 & 72 / 17 & 74 / 5 & 74 / 5\end{array}\)
thereabouts [1] 40/12
these [11] 6/9 6/13 7/10
\(\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 6 & 30 / 14 & 31 / 21 & 36 / 15 & 41 / 20\end{array}\) 54/24 70/16 70/16
they [26] 6/13 6/15 6/17
\(\begin{array}{llllll}6 / 18 & 6 / 19 & 13 / 18 & 22 / 24 & 23 / 16\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}24 / 1 & 25 / 17 & 33 / 24 & 33 / 25 & 34 / 19\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}46 / 8 & 46 / 10 & 54 / 12 & 54 / 12 & 55 / 17\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}56 / 20 & 57 / 25 & 65 / 11 & 65 / 14\end{array}\)
65/15 65/17 67/22 68/3
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { they're [6] } & 5 / 22 & 39 / 19 & 42 / 24\end{array}\) 65/4 65/5 65/17
thing [2] 9/16 41/11
things [11] 12/14 36/24
\(\begin{array}{lllll}51 / 11 & 52 / 5 & 54 / 5 & 58 / 25 & 59 / 17\end{array}\)
60/12 60/17 61/1 64/20
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { think [93] } \\ \text { thinking [2] } & 32 / 16 \quad 60 / 2\end{array}\)
this [59] \(5 / 18 \quad 7 / 15 \quad 7 / 23\)
\(8 / 10 \quad 9 / 16 \quad 11 / 15 \quad 12 / 312 / 20\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}13 / 14 & 14 / 17 & 14 / 22 & 16 / 2\end{array} 16 / 20\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}17 / 18 & 19 / 17 & 19 / 25 & 20 / 12\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}20 / 16 & 21 / 16 & 21 / 17 & 21 / 19\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}21 / 22 & 21 / 23 & 22 / 6 & 22 / 13 & 22 / 18\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllllll}23 / 3 & 23 / 5 & 23 / 8 & 23 / 13 & 23 / 17\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}23 / 18 & 23 / 24 & 24 / 9 & 24 / 17 & 25 / 16\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}25 / 18 & 26 / 15 & 26 / 24 & 27 / 14\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}27 / 18 & 28 / 8 & 28 / 21 & 32 / 16 & 33 / 23\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}39 / 25 & 42 / 13 & 42 / 21 & 50 / 18\end{array}\)
51/15 \(54 / 12 \quad 58 / 14 \quad 59 / 13\)
61/10 67/9 71/20 72/9 74/20 75/16
thorough [2] 14/20 33/18
those [22] 16/1 \(23 / 15 \quad 35 / 24\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}36 / 22 & 37 / 18 & 39 / 3 & 53 / 7 & 53 / 7\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}53 / 14 & 53 / 15 & 54 / 2 & 55 / 17 & 55 / 22\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}58 / 7 & 63 / 13 & 64 / 16 & 64 / 24 & 70 / 16\end{array}\)
72/14 73/12 73/16 74/13
though [1] 32/10
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { thought }[8] & 9 / 22 & 26 / 9 & 26 / 11\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}28 / 11 & 32 / 7 & 48 / 20 & 52 / 20 \\ 59 / 23\end{array}\) thoughts [1] 26/22
three [6] 5/12 16/20 18/9

22/14 33/15 74/21
through [14] 28/12 33/4 \(\begin{array}{llllll}33 / 14 & 36 / 4 & 40 / 9 & 45 / 20 & 46 / 2\end{array}\) 46/8 \(48 / 9 \quad 51 / 4 \quad 55 / 10 \quad 55 / 15\) 60/20 60/22
Thursday [1] 1/8
Thus [2] 71/15 72/3
tideland [2] 71/22 71/23
tidelands [6] 72/15 72/19 72/24 73/6 73/7 73/19
time [34] 4/14 5/6 13/2 13/7
\(\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 10 & 13 / 15 & 14 / 20 & 17 / 23 & 19 / 3\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 9 & 20 / 12 & 20 / 25 & 21 / 13 & 26 / 5\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}19 / 90 & 28 / 13 & 28 / 18 & 36 / 4 & 36 / 13\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}36 / 19 & 36 / 21 & 44 / 7 & 44 / 8 & 44 / 9\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll} & 44 / 12 & 44 / 12 & 45 / 2 & 45 / 13\end{array} 47 / 6\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}51 / 3 & 54 / 6 & 62 / 18 & 65 / 23 & 76 / 8\end{array}\)
times [5] \(14 / 7 \quad 27 / 8 \quad 33 / 15\)
49/12 67/9
title [2] 73/19 73/20
today [3] 9/1 19/11 52/16
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { together }[3] & 8 / 9 & 25 / 17 & 33 / 8\end{array}\)
told [1] 33/24
Tom [2] 16/9 20/5
Tom Gross [2] 16/9 20/5
tonight [2] 65/23 75/16
too [1] 60/5
took [7] \(11 / 20 \quad 11 / 21 \quad 13 / 10\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}45 / 18 & 48 / 12 & 49 / 4 & 53 / 10\end{array}\)
top [9] 15/17 15/18 17/2 \(\begin{array}{llllll}17 / 11 & 20 / 2 & 29 / 17 & 67 / 19 & 68 / 7\end{array}\) 68/17
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
total [1] \\
totally & 517 \\
\hline 60
\end{tabular}

\(\begin{array}{cccc}\text { township's } & {[15]} & 24 / 14 & 24 / 15 \\ 24 / 16 & 25 / 9 & 25 / 11 & 26 / 22\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}31 / 9 & 33 / 16 & 36 / 10 & 37 / 4 & 37 / 13\end{array}\)
56/14 63/19 71/14
train [1] 48/20
transcript [1] 76/7
transcripts [2] 18/17 21/9
Transportation [1] 64/11
true [4] 59/20 61/7 61/8
76/7
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { trust } & {[2]} & 63 / 20 & 63 / 20 \\ \text { try [4] } & 7 / 4 & 39 / 18 & 40 / 18\end{array}\)
52/17
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { trying [5] } & 10 / 20 & 33 / 4 & 40 / 1\end{array}\) 41/19 44/4
turn [2] 4/20 29/7
twice [1] 16/8
two [7] 4/11 5/10 \(14 / 4 \quad 14 / 11\) 21/9 58/5 58/7
TWP [1] 18/1
type [2] 46/15 71/16
U
Uh [3] 16/22 18/10 43/2
Uh-hum [3] 16/22 18/10 43/2
ULAKY [1] 2/3
ultimately [3] \(12 / 20\) 54/23 55/5
unbiased [11] 5/24 6/9 7/4
\(\begin{array}{lllll}7 / 15 & 9 / 16 & 10 / 15 & 11 / 7 & 11 / 17\end{array}\)
13/10 22/11 23/7
uncommon [1] 61/5
under [7] 4/24 29/25 31/24 \(\begin{array}{llll} & 38 / 7 & 52 / 15 & 75 / 7\end{array} 75 / 8\)
understand [11] 5/2 11/5 \(\begin{array}{llll}11 / 24 & 12 / 9 & 12 / 9 & 12 / 12 \\ 21 / 14\end{array}\) 22/24 23/24 32/25 66/19
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { understanding [7] } & 6 / 11 & 8 / 17\end{array}\)
10/23 13/17 23/23 24/13
73/11
understands [1] 27/11
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline U & 58/15 58/20 \(59 / 1\) 59/16 \(69 / 24\) & \[
31 / 24 \quad 59
\] \\
\hline understood [2] 23/20 25/16 & 72/22 74/6 74/24 75/17 & write [1] 23 \\
\hline undertake [1] 55/18 & we'11 [1] 4/20 & ng \\
\hline unemployed [1] 30/6 &  & wrong [1] 53/25 \\
\hline unemployment [8] 29/19 29/22 & we ve [6] 35/2 \(47 / 3\) 52/5 & wrote [1] 19/2 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}30 / 1 & 30 / 5 & 30 / 9 & 30 / 14 & 30 / 16\end{array}\) & weather [1] 52/16 & Y \\
\hline 31/1 &  & \\
\hline unfortunately [1] 55/6 & \[
13 / 13 \quad 15 / 17 \quad 15 / 21 \quad 21 / 7 \quad 23 / 11
\] & \[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { yeah } & {[35]} & 5 / 4 & 5 / 8 \\
10 / 5 & 14 / 6 & 14 / 16 & 15 / 6
\end{array} 15 / 17
\] \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { uninter rupted } & {[1]} & 4 / 11 & \\ \text { unique } & {[18]} & 46 / 8 & 46 / 10 & 46 / 21\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 13 & 15 / 124 & 30 / 9 & 36 / 2 & 36 / 14\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{llllll}17 / 7 & 17 / 12 & 17 / 22 & 19 / 15 & 22 / 8\end{array}\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{rrrrr}\text { unique } & {[18]} & 46 / 8 & 46 / 10 & 46 / 21 \\ 46 / 22 & 47 / 4 & 47 / 19 & 47 / 23 & 48 / 15\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 37 / 12 & 43 / 5 & 43 / 6 & 43 / 16 & 47 / 21\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll} & 22 / 17 & 22 / 22 & 30 / 20 & 34 / 13\end{array}\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll} \\ 48 / 22 & 49 / 3 & 53 / 8 & 53 / 14 & 53 / 15\end{array}\) & 48/13 \(49 / 19\) 50/18 50/20 51/6 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}34 / 16 & 41 / 4 & 41 / 23 & 46 / 17 & 58 / 13\end{array}\) \\
\hline 55/23 \(74 / 1 \begin{array}{llllll} & 74 / 5 & 74 / 8 & 74 / 13\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}52 / 1 & 52 / 13 & 54 / 4 & 59 / 11 & 61 / 21 \\ 63 / 9 & 64 / 14 & 65 / 7 & 66 / 22 & 70 / 18\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}59 / 25 & 61 / 21 & 62 / 4 & 62 / 14 & 62 / 21\end{array}\) \\
\hline unit [1] 63/22 & \begin{tabular}{l}
63/9 64/14 65/7 66/22 70/18 \\
71/1 73/1 74/9
\end{tabular} & 63/4 63/4 63/25 64/9 67/16 72/21 74/14 \\
\hline until [5] [13/3 13/23 13/24 & went [2] 20/22 52/19 &  \\
\hline 14/1 \(1214 / 14\) l \(13 / 2313 / 24\) & were [31] \(5 / 19\) 6/13 \(6 / 14\) & years [7] \(14 / 4 \quad 14 / 11 \quad 16 / 20\) \\
\hline \(u_{13 / 25}\left[\begin{array}{llllll}12] & 4 / 14 & 13 / 23 & 13 / 24\end{array}\right.\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}6 / 15 & 6 / 18 & 8 / 9 & 9 / 4 & 9 / 14 \\ 10 / 2\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{llll} \\ 18 / 9 & 22 / 14 & 46 / 4 & 48 / 10\end{array}\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 25 & 14 / 17 & 22 / 10 & 34 / 21 \\ 41 / 14 & 43 / 6 & 51 / 8 & 51 / 10 & 63 / 23\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 9 & 16 / 4 & 23 / 15 & 23 / 18 & 26 / 22\end{array}\) & yes [44] \(4 / 25\) 5/2 5/14 5/21 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{lllll} 
upland [1] & \(43 / 6\) & \\
\hline \(1 / 10\) & &
\end{tabular} & \(\begin{array}{llllll}31 / 7 & 37 / 3 & 38 / 6 & 38 / 21 & 39 / 3\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllllllllll}6 / 11 & 15 / 14 & 15 / 17 & 16 / 23 & 16 / 24\end{array}\) \\
\hline upian [1] \(28 / 21\) & 46/1 \(46 / 846 / 19\) 53/23 54/2 &  \\
\hline upper [1] 42/18 & 54/25 \(55 / 17\) 55/18 \(56 / 16\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 19 & 19 / 19 & 20 / 13 & 26 / 14\end{array}\) \\
\hline ups [1] 58/23 & 59/16 60/7 74/13 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}28 / 22 & 29 / 8 & 32 / 12 & 33 / 10 & 34 / 12\end{array}\) \\
\hline s [3] \(15 / 15 \quad 25 / 17 \quad 53 / 2\) & weren't [2] 14/3 27/13 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}35 / 12 & 38 / 20 & 39 / 5 & 41 / 12 & 42 / 11\end{array}\) \\
\hline  & west [2] 70/2 70/5 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}47 / 21 & 51 / 23 & 52 / 25 & 58 / 14\end{array}\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 54 / 15 & 54 / 17 & 54 / 19 & 55 / 3 & 62 / 3\end{array}\) & what [80] \(6 / 25\) / \(/ 22\) 14/19 & 60/13 \(61 / 8\) 64/18 \(64 / 23\) 66/6 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}62 / 5 & 62 / 7 & 62 / 8 & 62 / 20 & 64 / 10\end{array}\) & what's [4] 6/25 8/22 14/19 & 66/7 66/15 68/11 68/23 69/1 \\
\hline 65/3 72/5 & 15/1 & 70/21 75/11 \\
\hline uses [5] 46/16 47/7 66/23 & whatever [2] 31/15 34/1 & yet [1] 37/1 \\
\hline 66/25 66/25 & when [11] 13/19 13/20 21/2 & you [231] \\
\hline utilities [1] 72/3 & \[
21 / 10 \quad 2 \overline{2} / 13 \quad 25 / 19 \quad 31 / 21
\] & you'd [1] 70/2 \\
\hline V & where [8] 32/16 \(32 / 20\) 35/6 & 6/22 7/22 8/18 10/14 10/15 \\
\hline validity [1] 12/11 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}35 / 23 & 37 / 8 & 49 / 24 & 53 / 2 & 60 / 6\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{llllll}11 / 7 & 25 / 8 & 26 / 15 & 26 / 16 & 27 / 24\end{array}\) \\
\hline value [2] 53/6 54/19 & ener [1] 33/8 &  \\
\hline values [1] 44/25 & whether [15] 7/9 11/20 11/25 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}42 / 17 & 42 / 17 & 60 / 1 & 60 / 2\end{array}\) \\
\hline variance [8] 61/25 62/3 62/6 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}46 / 13 & 47 / 16 & 47 / 19 & 51 / 5 & 57 / 8\end{array}\) & you've [3] \(34 / 2\) \\
\hline 62/7 62/9 62/11 62/12 62/13 & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 12 & 21 / 24 & 37 / 21 & 43 / 8 & 44 / 5\end{array}\) \\
72/18 73/13
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline variances [2] 62/8 62/15 & which [11] \(13 / 3 \quad 21 / 9 \quad 29 / 12\) & \(8 / 25 \quad 9 / 1610 / 20 \quad 11 / 511 / 7\) \\
\hline variety [2] 12/14 66/23 &  & \(\begin{array}{llllllll} \\ 12 / 5 & 13 / 10 & 13 / 11 & 13 / 19 & 14 / 1\end{array}\) \\
\hline various [1] 45/21 & 71/22 72/2 73/7 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 15 & 17 / 23 & 22 / 6 & 22 / 21 & 26 / 18\end{array}\) \\
\hline verbatim [1] 60/25 & while [2] 21/18 57/7 &  \\
\hline vernacular [1] 44/25 & White [7] 50/4 50/11 51/18 & \(\begin{array}{llllll} & 50 / 10 & 51 / 10 & 53 / 1 & 58 / 20 & 59 / 3\end{array}\) \\
\hline very [15] 5/16 8/13 23/20 & 51/21 52/20 52/21 74/1 & \(\begin{array}{llllll} \\ 59 / 12 & 65 / 10 & 65 / 22 & 72 / 13 & 73 / 6\end{array}\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}33 / 11 & 33 / 11 & 33 / 18 & 35 / 9 & 35 / 9\end{array}\) & white sands [3] 51/18 52/20 & \[
74 / 3 \quad 74 / 7
\] \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}42 / 24 & 42 / 24 & 46 / 22 ~ 46 / 22 ~ & 56 / 8\end{array}\) & \[
52 / 21
\] & \\
\hline 58/1 65/11 & who [9] \(15 / 25\) 16/2 \(16 / 4 \quad 16 / 4\) & Z \\
\hline viability [1] 43/24 & \(\begin{array}{ccccl}\text { 19/7 } & 19 / 7 & 19 / 23 & 19 / 25 & 42 / 13\end{array}\) & \\
\hline vigorous [1] 64/25 & Who's [3] \(19 / 20 \quad 20 / 3 \quad 20 / 5\) & \begin{tabular}{llllll} 
zero & {\([1]\)} & \(37 / 14\) & \\
zone & {\([4]\)} & \(38 / 23\) & \(38 / 25\) & \(47 / 4\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Violate \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { vi] } & & 11 / 6 & & \\ \text { vision } & {[19]} & 34 / 6 & 34 / 8 & 3\end{array}\) & whoever [1] 23/18 & 47/13 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{rlllll}\text { V1sion } & {[19]} & 34 / 6 & 34 / 8 & 34 \\ 34 / 17 & 34 / 22 & 35 / 3 & 35 / 5 & 35\end{array}\) & whole [3] 12/14 29/23 30/2 & zones [10] 46/7 46/12 46/18 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}34 / 17 & 34 / 22 & 35 / 3 & 35 / 5 & 35 \\ 56 / 20 & 56 / 25 & 57 / 5 & 65 / 25 & 6\end{array}\) & whom [1] 18/12 & 46/19 46/25 47/1 47/5 47/10 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llll}66 / 17 & 66 / 22 & 67 / 2 & 67 / 6\end{array}\) & why [5] 28/11 52/12 52/20 & 54/1 65/13 \\
\hline 68/19 & 54/2 54/7 & zoning [15] 39/13 44/18 \\
\hline & Wiegartner [1] 1/15 & 44/20 \(44 / 22\) 45/4 46/14 46/14 \\
\hline voicing [1] 59/16 & wil1 [10] 26/3 46/15 49/25 & 48/3 49/1 53/12 57/9 62/16 \\
\hline VOTERS [2] \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { l }\end{array}\) & 51/16 63/7 66/4 66/9 & 63/24 64/17 64/19 \\
\hline W & Winward [3] \(31 / 12\) 4/13 32/12 & \\
\hline want [15] 7/24 22/12 \(22 / 12\) & Wiser & \\
\hline 24/1 \(25 / 17 \times 28 / 14 \quad 29 / 1 \quad 50 / 24\) & 7/16 17/8 49/9 52/9 59/24 & \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}52 / 4 & 52 / 12 & 57 / 16 & 60 / 20 & 60 / 22\end{array}\) & 60/3 65/23 & \\
\hline 68/17 71/7 & within [10] 39/8 39/8 47/25 & \\
\hline wanted [1] 4/17 & 63/11 63/13 67/10 69/19 & \\
\hline & 69/21 71/24 72/11 & \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { wasn't [6] } & \text { 20/11 } & 26 / 24 & 32 / 22\end{array}\) & without [2] 9/17 15/4 & \\
\hline \[
35 / 25 \quad 35 / 25 \quad 50 / 2
\] & WITNESS [1] 3/2 & \\
\hline water [1] 41/22 & witness & \\
\hline watershed [1] 54/20 & wondering [1] 60/6 & \\
\hline way [7] \(25 / 20\) 30/8 45/17 & word [1] 58/8 & \\
\hline 69/5 72/2 72/12 72/17 & wording [1] 27/18 & \\
\hline ways [2] 27/8 27/19 & work [7] \(13 / 25 \quad 30 / 10 \quad 30 / 12\) & \\
\hline we [37] 11/22 11/22 13/2 & 30/15 31/1 48/12 57/2 & \\
\hline 14/17 19/7 21/2 27/20 34/16 & working [3] 10/15 33/17 & \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}34 / 18 & 34 / 24 & 34 / 25 & 35 / 8 & 42 / 12\end{array}\) & \[
45 / 19
\] & \\
\hline  & would [84] & \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}48 / 13 & 48 / 17 & 49 / 5 & 49 / 15 & 49 / 18\end{array}\) & wouldn't [5] 3 9/18 \(21 / 1 \quad 30 / 4\) & \\
\hline 53/12 53/13 55/22 56/3 57/14 & wouldn t [5] 9/18 21/1 30/4 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}```


[^0]:    there would be a commensurate requirement for affordable housing.

    Q But that would be a use variance?
    A Yeah. And there are --
    Q Excuse me. That would be a use
    variance?
    A That would be a use variance.
    Q And use variances are more difficult
    to obtain than a regular variance, correct?
    A There's a higher standard. When you
    say regular, you're talking about a bulk variance?
    Q A bulk variance, any other kind of
    variance?
    A Yeah, there's a higher standard of proof for $D$ variances, of course.

    Q And as the goal of zoning, one of the main goals is to bring properties into conformity. So, over time, there's going to be less and less multifamily housing because of the single-family housing use that's been approved?

    A Yeah, there's -- there are some small sections of the South Seaside Park neighborhood that I do still believe provide for multifamily development.

    Q But most of the South Seaside Park

[^1]:    hed

