



Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Case #: 12-67-IA

Date/Time Reported: 11/12/2012 @ 1123  
Report Date/Time: 12/07/2012 @ 1123  
Occurred On: 11/05/2012 @ 1124

Complaint Type: VIOLATION DEPT. RULE  
Disposition: UNFOUNDED

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: Drug Store/Doctor's Office/ Zone: Tab 4-6  
MEMORIAL HOSPITAL  
111 BREWSTER ST  
PAWTUCKET RI 02860

1	ALL OTHER OFFENSES			C
			OCCURRED: 11/05/2012	1124

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	46	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	----	-----------	------------

EMPLOYER: [REDACTED]  
CONTACT INFORMATION:  
Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
Work Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
ETHNICITY: Not of Hispanic Origin  
RESIDENT STATUS: Non Resident  
VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1

#	PERSON(S)	PERSON TYPE	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	REPORTING PARTY	F	[REDACTED]	0145	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	-----------------	---	------------	------	-----------	------------

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	F	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	------------	-----------	------------

BODY: NOT AVAIL.  
DOB: NOT AVAIL.  
LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.  
PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.  
ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

[APPEARANCE]

GLASSES WORN: NO

Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 2  
03/08/2016

Case #: 12-67-IA

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 3  
03/08/2016

Case #: 12-67-IA

On Nov. 8, 2012, I received a call from a woman identifying herself as [REDACTED] Ms. [REDACTED] father, [REDACTED], had died of cardiac arrest while in a dispute with a client at a group home in which the father worked. The case is being investigated by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. She claims that her father's cell phone and a thousand dollars in cash is missing from the belongings of Mr. [REDACTED]. Ms. [REDACTED] stated that she is certain that her father had the money on him because they had discussed it few days prior.

Mr. [REDACTED] upon going to cardiac arrest was transported to Memorial Hospital where he eventually died.

[REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were interviewed in this investigation. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were also interviewed. Nurse Manager of Memorial Hospital E.R. [REDACTED] was interviewed. [REDACTED], manager of the Oakmont Group Home was interviewed.

It was discovered that the cellphone had been seized along with a wallet from the body of Mr. [REDACTED], and later turned over by Nurse [REDACTED] to [REDACTED]. There was some initial confusion about turning over items to the Medical Examiner's office, and there was a lack of detail used in logging the cell phone. There was no money nor wallet listed in the property section of the report. The cell phone was being seized by the department for potential evidentiary use in the case.

Through interviews with Nurse [REDACTED] it was learned that a wallet seized from the body did not have any money in it, that wallet was turned over to [REDACTED]. The wallet was later turned over to the the family. Thus the investigation revealed no theft of items by the officer's involved.

Numerous call were made and messages left for Mr. [REDACTED] to advise her of the outcome of the investigation.



**Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report**

Case #: 12-65-IA

Date/Time Reported: 10/29/2012 @ 0900  
 Report Date/Time: 10/29/2012 @ 1020  
 Occurred Between: 10/29/2012 @ 1252  
                     And: 10/29/2012 @ 1358  
 Complaint Type: VIOLATION DEPT. RULE  
 Disposition: NOT SUSTAINED

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: Residence/Home/Apt./Condo      Zone: Tab 1-3

1	ALL OTHER OFFENSES			C
	OCCURRED: 10/29/2012	1252		

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	F	[REDACTED]	31	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]					
	ETHNICITY: Not of Hispanic Origin					
	RESIDENT STATUS: Resident					
	VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1					

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
	BODY: [REDACTED]					
	DOB: [REDACTED]					
	LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]					
	COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.					
	PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.					
	ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]					
	EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE [REDACTED]					

2	[REDACTED]	F	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
	BODY: NOT AVAIL.					
	DOB: [REDACTED]					
	LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]					
	COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.					
	PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.					
	ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]					

Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 2  
03/08/2016

Case #: 12-65-IA

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

[APPEARANCE]

GLASSES WORN: NO

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE · [REDACTED]

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-65-IA

On 10/29/12, the internal affairs office received a complaint brochure from [REDACTED] in regards to an arrest. Ms. [REDACTED] was arrested in Pawtucket for a 24 hr Domestic Vandalism complaint that stemmed from an incident in East Providence, RI. During the arrest she also received three additional charges to include 2 counts of assault on a police officer and resisting arrest. She was released shortly after arrest to East Providence P.D. to be held for arraignment.

Ms. [REDACTED] complained about the following:

1. Why did they enter home without a warrant ?
2. Why didn't the female officer arrest her?
3. Why didn't they read me my Miranda Rights?
4. Why did they hold me in a cell for hours without food?
5. Why didn't they help get a hold of my lawyer?
6. Why were they so rough with me?

Ms. [REDACTED] was interviewed and she complained also about her cell phone having been stolen by the [REDACTED] officer. She also complained about numerous other events involving her arrest. I informed her of the Domestic Violence Rhode Island Statutes and the 24hr domestic arrest laws. I also explained to her about Miranda Rights. In addition, I told her she would have to contact East Providence P.D. about her conditions while be held for arraignment. She was also informed that the courts would look at the legality of her arrest, and there would be a follow up if it revealed that the officers acted improper.

Ms. [REDACTED] also showed me a small bruise to her inner thigh which I determined to be too light to be photographed for potential evidentiary use. It was also consistent with the use of force used by the officers.

[REDACTED] was able to locate Ms. [REDACTED] cellphone which had been forgotten in the transport cruiser by [REDACTED]. The cell phone was turned over to Ms. [REDACTED].

After reviewing the report other then the misplacement of the cell phone, it was determined that the officers acted in accordance with Department Rules and Regulations.

It should be noted that Ms. [REDACTED] disclosed during conversations that she has had a history of mental illness. Her arrest by E. Providence she claims stems from custody battle between her and her family. Her family is claiming that she is too unstable mentally to care for her son.



Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 1  
03/08/2016

Case #: 12-66-IA

Date/Time Reported: 10/22/2012 @ 1100  
Report Date/Time: 10/22/2012 @ 1100  
Occurred On: 10/20/2012 @ 1127

Complaint Type: VIOLATION DEPT. RULE  
Disposition: NOT SUSTAINED

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

# OFFENSE(S) A/C TITLE/CHAP SECTION

LOCATION TYPE: Highway/Road/Alley/Street Zone: Tab 7-7  
RI DOT SITE

[REDACTED]

1 ALL OTHER OFFENSES C  
OCCURRED: 10/20/2012 1127

# VICTIM(S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [REDACTED] M [REDACTED] 51 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

CONTACT INFORMATION:  
Home Phone [REDACTED] (Primary) [REDACTED]  
ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]  
RESIDENT STATUS: Non Resident  
VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1

# OFFICER(S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [REDACTED] M [REDACTED] [REDACTED] NOT AVAIL

121 ROOSEVELT AVE  
PAWTUCKET RI 02860  
CONTACT INFORMATION:  
Work Phone [REDACTED] (Primary) [REDACTED]  
BODY: NOT AVAIL.  
DOB: [REDACTED]  
LICENSE NUMBER: NOT AVAIL.

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.  
PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.  
ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: CITY OF PAWTUCKET [REDACTED]

2 [REDACTED] M [REDACTED] [REDACTED] NOT AVAIL [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
Home Phone [REDACTED] (Primary) [REDACTED]

BODY: NOT AVAIL.  
DOB: [REDACTED]  
LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.  
PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.  
ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-66-IA

On Oct, 22, 2012, the Internal Affairs office received a complaint over the phone from a [REDACTED]. Mr. [REDACTED] had been arrested over the prior weekend for larceny, and his vehicle had been towed. He was allegedly stealing scrap metal from a RIDOT work site by the Comfort Inn.

Mr. [REDACTED] alleges that he had 60 Saboxin Pills and \$200 cash missing from his vehicle. The vehicle had been picked up by his wife after being towed. Mr. [REDACTED] stated that the medication is used to help him control a opiate dependency. Mr. [REDACTED] was interviewed over the phone about his claim.

[REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] were interviewed in regards to this matter.

It was learned through conversation with Mr. [REDACTED] that 60 pills were missing from a prescription originally of 70. The prescription was filled earlier in the month and he takes four a day, the day of the arrest was the 22nd of October. Thus there was a serious discrepancy with Mr. [REDACTED] account of his prescription. In addition, it was learned that Mr. [REDACTED] during discussions in cell block about raising money for bail stated that he had no money left. This is contrary to the claim that he made to the internal affairs office.

The officer's stated that the vehicle was searched and that no money had been found. Officer [REDACTED] did state that he saw the prescription bottle of Saboxin, but there was no inventory sheet done for the towed vehicle. [REDACTED] was counseled about adherence to the department rules for inventor ing a towed vehicle.

Based on the discrepancies by the complainant, this complaint is determined to be not sustained.



Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 2  
03/08/2016

Case #: 12-66-IA



Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Case #: 12-59-IA

Date/Time Reported: 10/02/2012 @ 0900  
Report Date/Time: 10/02/2012 @ 0900  
Occurred On: 09/30/2012 @ 1818

Complaint Type: VIOLATION DEPT. RULE  
Disposition: NOT SUSTAINED  
Additional Cases: [REDACTED]

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: Residence/Home/Apt./Condo      Zone: Tab 4-5

1	ALL OTHER OFFENSES			C
		OCCURRED: 09/30/2012	1816	

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	42	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	----	------------	------------

CONTACT INFORMATION:  
Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
Home Phone [REDACTED]  
Cell Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]  
RESIDENT STATUS: Non Resident  
VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	------------	-----------	------------

Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]

BODY: NOT AVAIL.      COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.  
DOB: [REDACTED]      PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.  
LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]      ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]  
EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE DEPT. [REDACTED]

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-59-IA

On Oct. 2, 2012, [REDACTED] requested that I contact a [REDACTED] in regards to an arrest that was made on Sept. 30, 2012. Mr. [REDACTED] had contacted Mayor Grebien to complain about the circumstances involving his arrest.

I contacted Mr. [REDACTED], who informed me that he didn't necessarily want to make a complaint. However, he was upset that he was arrested for driving on suspended license when he called on a domestic situation. Mr. [REDACTED] stated that the officer who arrested him didn't see him driving, thus he had no cause for arresting him on a suspended license. He also stated that he had paper work and explanation as to why his license was suspended. He felt that the officer should have taken that information into account before arresting him. Mr. [REDACTED] also felt that the officer should have given him a summons on scene instead of arresting him in front of his kids. Mr. [REDACTED] added that he was not mistreated by the officers, and didn't have any issues with their treatment of him.

I investigated the matter by reviewing the report and interviewing [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] informed me that Mr. [REDACTED]'s license had been suspended on Aug. 15, 2012, after it had been reinstated on Aug. 3, 2012. This information was received from RILETS database. Mr. [REDACTED] had made statements that he had driven to the scene, and moved the vehicle when requested by [REDACTED] [REDACTED] when he initially arrived to investigate the domestic incident.

Mr. [REDACTED] is involved in a bitter divorce with his wife, who was also arrested during this incident for domestic assault. The incident occurred inside Mr. [REDACTED] vehicle which he was suspected of driving. Mr. [REDACTED] was released within hours of his arrest with a summons for the Driving on a Suspended License.

After reviewing the incident, it was determined that the arrest of Mr. [REDACTED] was consistent with all department rules and regulations. [REDACTED] followed department protocol.

Mr. [REDACTED] was contacted and informed of the results of investigation. He stated that the suspended license charge was going to be dismissed because it was an error by the Rhode Island Department of Motor Vehicles. He stated that in regards to the complaint, that he had take a shot to see if he could do something about it.



**Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report**

**Case #: 12-51-IA**

Date/Time Reported: 07/05/2012 @ 0900  
Report Date/Time: 07/05/2012 @ 0900  
Occurred On: 06/14/2012 @ 2352

Complaint Type: EXCESSIVE FORCE  
Disposition: NOT SUSTAINED

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: School/College/University      Zone: Tab 1-1

1	EXCESSIVE FORCE			C
	OCCURRED: 06/14/2012	2352		

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	19	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]					
	RESIDENT STATUS: Resident					
	VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1					

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
	Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]					
	Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]					
	Work Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]					
	BODY: NOT AVAIL.				COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.	
	DOB: [REDACTED]				PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.	
	LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]				ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]	

**[APPEARANCE]**

GLASSES WORN: NO

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: CITY OF PAWTUCKET [REDACTED]

2	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	------------	-----------	------------

Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 2  
03/08/2016

Case #: 12-51-IA

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
Work Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]

BODY: NOT AVAIL.

DOB: [REDACTED]

LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.

PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.

ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE [REDACTED]

## NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-51-IA

On June 25, 2012, the Internal Affairs Office was contacted by [REDACTED], in regards to her son, [REDACTED] arrest. Ms. [REDACTED] claimed that her son was beat up during the arrest. She stated that the incident was witnessed by a resident of the Broadway area. Her son suffered injuries from the incident, and she was going to set up an appointment for him to be interviewed in regards to the incident.

On July 9, 2012, after several cancellations, Mr. [REDACTED] with Mrs. [REDACTED] responded to Internal Affairs to be interviewed. Before the interview, I was advised that Mr. [REDACTED] had failed to show up for a court appearance in regards to the incident, so he had an active bench warrant. Mr. [REDACTED] upon arrival was escorted to cell block where he was turned over to the 1st platoon.

Mrs. [REDACTED] turned over photographs of the alleged injuries incurred from the excessive force complaint. Mrs. [REDACTED] also turned over hospital treatment documents in regards to the incident. The hospital documentation stated that Mr. [REDACTED] had incurred a corneal abrasion, chest contusion and head injury (classified as not serious).

Mr. [REDACTED] was interviewed in cell block about his arrest. He stated that he was involved in a fight with several parties in the rear of the school yard at [REDACTED] school. He picked up a stick to defend himself against the attacks. The police arrived so he ran, and they gave chase. He got tired so he jumped to the air, fell and curled up into the fetal position. He covered his head because he expected to be struck. The police officers then began striking him in the head and ribs for about ten minutes. He had his eyes closed during the assault. He was struck mainly on the right side. He was then struck in the testicles about six times, handcuffed, and sprayed in the face with a whole can. Mr. [REDACTED] stated that he was currently suffering from muscles spasms, severe headaches and blurry vision from the assault. He added that he did not suffer any injuries from the initial fight that he was involved in.

Mrs. [REDACTED] later provided a phone number for a witness to the arrest of her son. She stated that the woman claimed to have seen the arrest of Mr. [REDACTED] and could support his claim. She added that the female was reluctant to get involved with this matter, for fear of being labeled a snitch in her neighborhood. Mrs. [REDACTED] provided a description of where the woman lived, and she also stated that the Expression's barbershop on Broadway may have video of the arrest.

Based upon the information provided, a [REDACTED] was identified as the potential witness. I responded to her address to attempt to contact her, but was unsuccessful. Several phone calls were placed to her home, and the calls went unanswered.

I visited the area of the arrest, and spoke with ownership at Expression's Barbershop. They stated that they don't have any video of the arrest. It should be noted that the barbershop was closed during the time of the arrest.

I met with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] who stated that the arrest report was an accurate reflection of the arrest of [REDACTED]

It was also discovered that as part of Mr. [REDACTED] plea to the charges incurred in the incident, he had to compose a letter of apology to [REDACTED]. The letter which is attached to the arrest report is from Mr. [REDACTED]. The letter is an apology to [REDACTED] for an assault by Mr. [REDACTED]. This statement in itself is

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-51-IA

contrary to Mr. [REDACTED]'s claim. It was letter presented to the court as part of the plea bargain. The letter and the claim in this report cast doubt upon the credibility of Mr. [REDACTED]'s claim.

A review of the arrest, the photographs and medical documentation was completed in this investigation. Mr. [REDACTED]'s injuries were consistent with the report narrative by [REDACTED]. The narrative in the report was found to be consistent with the Department's Use of Force policy.

There were several inconsistencies in Mr. [REDACTED]'s claim. There was no medical documentation provided by Mrs. [REDACTED] that makes mention of reported injuries to Mr. [REDACTED]'s testicle. In addition, if the assault had occurred in the manner and length in which Mr. [REDACTED] described more severe injuries would have been expected.

The excessive force claim made by Mr. [REDACTED] was found to have no credibility and be unfounded.



Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 1  
03/08/2016

Case #: 12-37-IA

Date/Time Reported: 06/19/2012 @ 0800  
Report Date/Time: 06/23/2012 @ 1204  
Occurred Between: 06/18/2012 @ 0800  
And: 06/18/2012 @ 1200  
Complaint Type: EXCESSIVE FORCE  
Disposition: UNFOUNDED

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: School/College/University      Zone: Tab 5-1  
SHEA HIGH SCHOOL  
  
PAWTUCKET RI 02860

1	EXCESSIVE FORCE			C
	OCCURRED: 06/18/2012		0200	

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	1516	NOT AVAIL	
	DOB: NOT AVAIL					
	ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]					
	RESIDENT STATUS: Resident					
	VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1					

#	PERSON(S)	PERSON TYPE	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	REPORTING PARTY	M	[REDACTED]	29	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION:						
	Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]						

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION:					
	Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]					
	BODY: NOT AVAIL.					
	DOB: [REDACTED]					
	LICENSE NUMBER [REDACTED]					
	COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.					
	PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.					
	ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]					

[APPEARANCE]

GLASSES WORN: NO

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE DEPT. [REDACTED]



Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 2  
03/08/2016

Case #: 12-37-IA

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-37-IA

On June 18, 2012, I received a voice mail message from a [REDACTED] whom wished to speak to me in regards to a complaint. I contacted [REDACTED] and scheduled an appointment for June 21, 2012. [REDACTED] alleged that his step son [REDACTED] was assaulted at Shea High School by a member of the Pawtucket Police.

On June 21, 2012, I met with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] at the Internal Affairs Office. [REDACTED] stated that he was placed in a headlock and threatened by [REDACTED] while at Shea High School. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] had a small mark on his cheek that day, and that he relayed to him the account of being assaulted. At the time of the interview there was no visible injury to [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated that the incident occurred in front of the whole school (meaning all the students).

Prior to the meeting with [REDACTED], I had spoken with [REDACTED] about the encounter. [REDACTED] immediately apologized, and stated that it was mere horseplay between him in the juvenile. He stated that he did put the kid in the headlock, and speak with him with an exaggerated tone but it was in a joking manner. He was very apologetic and upset that [REDACTED] had taken the incident seriously.

I relayed to [REDACTED] what [REDACTED] had stated to me. [REDACTED] stated that there was a possibility that [REDACTED] perception of [REDACTED] behavior may have been misinterpreted. [REDACTED] whom was working the front officer agreed to speak with [REDACTED] about the incident. The two met in the internal affairs office, [REDACTED] was removed prior to the meeting.

After the meeting, it was agreed that [REDACTED] would speak with [REDACTED] to apologize and communicate his regret over the whole situation. [REDACTED] spoke to [REDACTED] in the presence of [REDACTED] and myself. He explained his intent in the whole matter, and apologized for his actions.

I then met with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], after [REDACTED] was excused, they both were satisfied with the apology and explanation of the events.

In further speaking with [REDACTED] he stated that he had learned a lesson, and would no longer "horseplay" in such a fashion with the students.

This complaint was unfounded based upon there was no malicious intent.



Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 1  
03/08/2016

Case #: 12-52-IA

Date/Time Reported: 06/13/2012 @ 0900  
Report Date/Time: 08/09/2012 @ 1550  
Occurred Between: 06/12/2012 @ 0149  
And: 06/12/2012 @ 0214  
Complaint Type: ABUSIVE LANGUAGE  
Disposition: NOT SUSTAINED

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: Highway/Road/Alley/Street      Zone: Tab 7-7  
55 CEDAR ST  
PAWTUCKET RI 02860

1	POLICE HARRASSMENT			
	OCCURRED: 06/12/2012    0149			

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	28		NOT AVAIL
---	------------	---	------------	----	--	-----------

ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]  
RESIDENT STATUS: Non Resident  
VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]		NOT AVAIL
---	------------	---	------------	------------	--	-----------

CONTACT INFORMATION:  
Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
Work Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]

BODY: NOT AVAIL.      COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.  
DOB: [REDACTED]      PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.  
LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]      ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE [REDACTED]

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-52-IA

On June 13, 2012, I was contacted by [REDACTED] in regards to a complaint that originated from a car stop involving [REDACTED]. That same day I received an email from [REDACTED] detailing a complaint that he received from [REDACTED] in regards to the same car stop.

[REDACTED] stopped a 2012 Toyota driven by [REDACTED] on June 12, 2012, at approximately 0149 hrs and then the stop was cleared at 0214hrs. The stop occurred at 55 Cedar Street, and the backup officers were [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

On June 15, 2012, I interviewed [REDACTED] whom stated that he was illegally stopped by [REDACTED] after he left Dexter Court. He disputed the existence of a Stop Sign at Dexter Court. He went on to further state that he was stopped for over an hour and questioned needlessly. [REDACTED] stated he felt the officers had no reason to question him, and that any answer provided should have been accepted at face value.

As [REDACTED] was interviewed, I reviewed a FI report completed by [REDACTED] which provided the basis stop. [REDACTED] was leaving an area known for criminal activity, he was driving a rental car, he had a number of new cell phones lying loosely and unsecured in the car and lastly he claimed that he worked for the "President". [REDACTED] would after some hesitation clarify that he worked for Obama Reelection Campaign.

I explained to [REDACTED] that his activities were in fact suspicious, and that his conduct contributed to the length of the stop. I also explained that during that time of day attempting to verify some of that information could take some time. [REDACTED] disagreed my viewpoint, and stated that he knew the law because he attended law school. [REDACTED] filled out a IA complaint form and submitted some written documents. Photocopies were made of the documents and it was returned to [REDACTED].

There several inconsistencies in [REDACTED] statement. A review of IMC Dispatch records reveal that the stop lasted for 25 minutes, not the hour claimed. [REDACTED] also referenced the officer's used profanity towards him but offered no detail on it both during the interview and in his written documentation. A review of the Dexter Court area revealed a stop sign where [REDACTED] said there was not. He was also wrong in his assertion that the officers did not have the right to question him.

[REDACTED] was interviewed in regards to this incident. He stated that [REDACTED] was very argumentative on scene, and would frequently interrupt the officer as he was speaking which contributed to the length of the stop. [REDACTED] had to repeatedly explain to [REDACTED] the reason for the stop before he could get cooperation from [REDACTED]. He was antagonistic and sarcastic in his answers to questioning.

At the time of this report, I was unable to confirm that [REDACTED] works for the President's Reelection Campaign.

Based upon [REDACTED] inconsistencies, behavior and factual information from this incident it was determined that the claim is unsubstantiated. The car stop and subsequent questioning was valid.



NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-43-IA

On June 11, 2012, [REDACTED] ordered that a IA report be opened in regards to case [REDACTED]-AR. In the case, a [REDACTED] was arrested for a felony assault on Officer [REDACTED]. As a result of the assault, the officer suffered several ankle fractures. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] had contacted Tony Pires, Director of Public Safety, for the City of Pawtucket. The [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] had made some complaints about his treatment post arrest and some complaints about his arrest. Director Pires was supposed to be contacted by [REDACTED] attorney to specify the complaint. As July 23, 2012, [REDACTED] has not contacted the City of Pawtucket in regards to that matter.

Previous to this event, [REDACTED] had contacted the Internal Affairs Office, because he was having difficulty retrieving articles that had been seized during his arrest. He also made mention of possible money missing. The matter was resolved with [REDACTED], the seized property (cell phone) was turned over to his sister, [REDACTED].

The officer's followed protocol seizing, documenting and turning over [REDACTED] property. There's a possibility that during the struggle with the officers during the arrest process, that [REDACTED] may have lost some of his property. In subsequent conversations with [REDACTED], the topic of the missing money was not brought up by [REDACTED] and he appeared satisfied with the return of the cell phone. At this time, there appears to be no signs of anything inappropriate with the seizures of [REDACTED] property.

This case suspended pending further contact from [REDACTED].

Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 1  
03/08/2016



Case #: 12-53-IA

Date/Time Reported: 05/30/2012 @ 0900  
 Report Date/Time: 08/10/2012 @ 1421  
 Occurred Between: 09/30/2011 @ 1421  
 And: 05/30/2012 @ 1421  
 Complaint Type: CONDUCT UNBECOMING  
 Disposition: UNFOUNDED

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE (S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	-------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: Government/Public Building      Zone: Tab 7-4  
 PAWTUCKET POLICE DEPARTMENT  
 121 ROOSEVELT AVE  
 PAWTUCKET RI 02860

1	POLICE HARRASSMENT			C
	OCURRED: 09/30/2011		1421	

#	OFFICER (S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	------------	------------	------------

CONTACT INFORMATION:  
 Work Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]

BODY: NOT AVAIL.  
 DOB: [REDACTED]

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.  
 PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.

LICENSE NUMBER: NOT AVAIL.

ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

2	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	------------	-----------	------------

CONTACT INFORMATION:  
 Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
 Home Phone [REDACTED]  
 Work Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]

BODY: NOT AVAIL.  
 DOB: [REDACTED]

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.  
 PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.

LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]

ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET PD [REDACTED]

3	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	------------	-----------	------------

CONTACT INFORMATION:  
 Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]

BODY: NOT AVAIL.  
 DOB: [REDACTED]

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.  
 PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.

LICENSE NUMBER: RI 0000000000000000

ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE [REDACTED]

**RANDOLPH POLICE DEPARTMENT  
Investigative Report**

**Page: 2  
03/08/2016**

**Case #: 12-53-IA**



NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-53-IA

On June 5, 2012, the Internal Affairs Division received two completed IA form complaints from a [REDACTED]. The complaints listed the date of incident as 9/30/2011 and 5/30/12. The oldest complaint involved [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. The other complaint listed [REDACTED].

The complaint against [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] referenced a statement made to [REDACTED] during an interview. [REDACTED] states that he was threatened by [REDACTED] during the interview. [REDACTED] was investigating a domestic violence incident involving an alleged threat made by [REDACTED] to another party. That specific threat was used in an analogy by [REDACTED] towards [REDACTED], which he [REDACTED] interpreted to be improper.

The other complaint involved [REDACTED] making a u-turn in traffic, so the officer could perform a traffic stop on [REDACTED]. He cited that incident as harrasment.

That same week that the division was contacted by [REDACTED], I observed him at Pawtucket Municipal Court. I am familiar with him from prior contacts. I gave him directions to my office and asked if he could stop and see me that day. [REDACTED] failed to make it to me office that day. I subsequently saw him in Municipal Court the following week, and he stated that he was not interested in pursuing any complaints. It should be noted that he appeared to accompanied by a lawyer during my last encounter with him.

The officers involved were briefly spoken to about the incidents. They refuted the claim that they were harassing [REDACTED] or acted improper towards him.

The investigation was closed per request of [REDACTED] and also for lack of foundation.



**Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report**

Page: 1  
03/08/2016

**Case #: 12-27-IA**

Date/Time Reported: 04/12/2012 @ 1030  
Report Date/Time: 04/12/2012 @ 2030  
Occurred On: 04/09/2012 @ 2335

Complaint Type: EXCESSIVE FORCE  
Disposition: NOT SUSTAINED  
Additional Cases: [REDACTED]

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	------------	-----	------------	---------

	[REDACTED] /Apt./Condo		Zone: Tab 7-2	
1	EXCESSIVE FORCE			C
	OCCURRED: 04/09/2012 2335			

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	31	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION:					
	Home Phone	(Primary)	[REDACTED]			
	Home Phone	(Primary)	[REDACTED]			
	ETHNICITY: Not of Hispanic Origin					
	RESIDENT STATUS: Resident					
	VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1					
	RELATION TO [REDACTED]					Stranger

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	
	CONTACT INFORMATION:					
	Work Phone	(Primary)	[REDACTED]			
	BODY: NOT AVAIL.					
	JOB: [REDACTED]					
	LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]					
	COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.					
	PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.					
	ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]					
	EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE [REDACTED]					

## NARRATIVE FOR LIEUTENANT NAPOLEON A GONSALVES

Ref: 12-27-IA

On April 16, 2012, a [REDACTED], was interviewed in regards to an excessive force complaint. [REDACTED] stated that on April 9, 2012, several Pawt. Police [REDACTED] arrived at his home and asked for admittance. [REDACTED] stated that he had opened door but still had the standard door chain affixed. [REDACTED] stated that he denied the [REDACTED] entry to his home, and asked why they were there. The [REDACTED] didn't give him an explanation. He then asked the officers whether they had a warrant for his arrest. The [REDACTED] told them that they did, and then forced their way into the apartment. One [REDACTED] shoved him down into a recliner and punched him twice on the left side of his face. The [REDACTED] who struck him had a gloves, and had struck him with a right handed closed fist. That [REDACTED] whom assaulted then stated something to the effect that "I should be home right now", which [REDACTED] took to mean that he was nearing the completion of his daily shift. The officers on scene then shunned the other [REDACTED] out of the apartment. [REDACTED] wanted it noted that he was assaulted by the [REDACTED] with black hair. All the other [REDACTED] involved, he stated did not have black hair. The [REDACTED] informed him after he was handcuffed, that they were there to inquire about his well being. He was then transported by the police to the hospital.

He added that once he was in the hospital parking lot, that he was ripped out of the car. One [REDACTED] almost walked him into a glass window, and told him that he really had to restrain himself in order not to "do that". [REDACTED] stated that this officer was "bald".

[REDACTED] stated that the information on the incident report documenting the incident was incorrect. He added that although he had called a depression hotline, that he was not suicidal. He stated that he had consumed some alcohol on the night in question but was not intoxicated. I asked him specifically whether or not he had expressed to anyone that he wanted to harm himself that day, he stated that he had not.

[REDACTED] was questioned in regards to any injuries that he may have incurred due to the assault. [REDACTED] stated that he had not received injuries. I did not observed any injuries to [REDACTED]. He stated at the hospital, that he was not treated for any injuries. At the hospital, he stated they asked him standard suicide evaluation questions and give him a breathalyzer. He was released from the hospital shortly after arrival.

[REDACTED] stated that the officers damaged his door chain to his apartment.

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were interviewed in regards to the complaint. It was learned from [REDACTED] that the [REDACTED] forced entry because of the circumstances. The [REDACTED] were afraid that [REDACTED] was going to harm himself. During the forced entry the door chain lock was damaged. [REDACTED] forgot to note the damage in his report.

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] refuted any kind of abusive of [REDACTED]. Instead the [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] was verbally aggressive towards the police [REDACTED] on scene, and appeared to be attempting to instigate a physical confrontation between him and the [REDACTED].

In conclusion, there is no evidence to support [REDACTED] claim of being physically abused. The information the [REDACTED] had at the time suggested that [REDACTED] was suicidal. [REDACTED] self admitted behavior that night supported the [REDACTED] conclusions that he was mentally unstable. He was treated at Memorial Hospital, and there was no indication again by [REDACTED] he had any physical injuries. This claim is unfounded based upon contradicting information.



Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 1  
03/08/2016

Case #: 12-55-IA

Date/Time Reported: 03/28/2012 @ 0900  
Report Date/Time: 08/13/2012 @ 1126  
Occurred Between: 03/19/2012 @ 2027  
And: 03/20/2012 @ 2114  
Complaint Type: EXCESSIVE FORCE  
Disposition: UNFOUNDED

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE (S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	-------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: Government/Public Building      Zone: Tab 7-4  
121 ROOSEVELT AVE  
PAWTUCKET RI 02860

1	EXCESSIVE FORCE			C
		OCCURRED: 03/19/2012	2115	

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	F	[REDACTED]	45	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	----	------------	------------

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
Home Phone [REDACTED]  
Home Phone [REDACTED]  
Home Phone [REDACTED]  
Home Phone [REDACTED]  
Home Phone [REDACTED]  
Cell Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
Cell Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
Cell Phone [REDACTED]  
Work Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
Other Phone Number [REDACTED]

ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

RESIDENT STATUS: Resident

VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	------------	-----------	------------

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
Work Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]

BODY: NOT AVAIL.

DOB: [REDACTED]

LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.

PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.

ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 2  
03/08/2016

Case #: 12-55-IA

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

[APPEARANCE]

GLASSES WORN: NO

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: CITY OF PAWTUCKET - 401-727-9100

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-55-IA

On March 28, 2012, the Internal Affairs office received a notification letter from Inspector Mallet of the Rhode Island Department of Corrections (DOC). The report stated that [REDACTED] was reporting that she was assaulted by members of the Pawtucket Police Department on March 19, 2012, when she was arrested. She specifically mentions one of the [REDACTED] as [REDACTED]

A review of IMC revealed an arrest of [REDACTED] for Assault on Police Officer and Disorderly Conduct on March 19, 2012. [REDACTED] narrative stated that she was taken into custody without incident. The report also references in a narrative by [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] was brought over to Memorial Hospital the following morning for injuries to her chin and right knee, after 6th District Court refused to accept her because of noted injuries.

It was also noted that when [REDACTED] was processed there was no mention of injury to her, and also the IMC photo depicts no injury noted to [REDACTED] chin.

[REDACTED] was interviewed in regards to this matter, and he claims that she was taken into custody without injury. He also added that she was extremely intoxicated, when taken into custody.

[REDACTED] has not responded to the Pawtucket Police Department to file any complaint. It appears that she may have injured herself while in her cell, due to her intoxicated state. The original IMC photographs contradict her claim that she was assaulted while be taken into custody by [REDACTED]

This case has been unfounded.



**Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report**

Page: 1  
03/08/2016

Case #: 12-28-IA

Date/Time Reported: 03/26/2012 @ 1000  
Report Date/Time: 04/29/2012 @ 1749

Complaint Type: VIOLATION DEPT. RULE  
Disposition: EXONERATED  
Additional Cases: [REDACTED]

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: Grocery/Supermarket      Zone: Tab 5-5

[REDACTED]

1	VIOL OF RULES AND REGS			C
	OCCURRED: 03/24/2012	1301		

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	37	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	UNK					
	DOB: [REDACTED]					
	EMPLOYER: [REDACTED]					
	CONTACT INFORMATION:					
	Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]					
	Home Phone [REDACTED]					
	Cell Phone [REDACTED]					
	Work Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]					
	ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]					
	RESIDENT STATUS: Non Resident					
	VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1					

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	
	CONTACT INFORMATION:					
	Work Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]					
	BODY: NOT AVAIL.					
	DOB: [REDACTED]					
	LICENSE NUMBER: NOT AVAIL.					
	COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.					
	PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.					
	ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]					
	EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: CITY OF PAWTUCKET [REDACTED]					

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-28-IA

On March 26, 2012, I was contacted by an [REDACTED], in regards to an incident that occurred at [REDACTED] store at [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] main complaint was the treatment he received by [REDACTED] [REDACTED] is [REDACTED], as a [REDACTED]. On March 24, 2012, he was stabbed by a shoplifter, whom he had detained. [REDACTED] was the first responding officer to the scene, and took the shoplifter into custody. He also took the complaint from [REDACTED].

[REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] acted inappropriately towards him. He stated that on the day in question he had been sent to that specific [REDACTED] because of problems with losses from shoplifting. He observed a female hide some items in her purse, and he followed her outside of the store. He then grabbed her purse, and she reacted by stabbing him in the arm with a sharp object. He struggled with the woman, and was able to get her back into the store. He then told staff to call the police. [REDACTED] stated that he held on to the woman until police arrived. Once [REDACTED] arrived he let go of the woman. [REDACTED] stated that he began picking up some items that had fallen onto the ground, and then [REDACTED] ordered him on to the ground. He was according to [REDACTED] made to "sit down next to the suspect", after staff repeatedly told [REDACTED] that he was the lost prevention [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated "I don't care who you are!". They then explained to the police [REDACTED] the details of the shoplifting. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] was hostile in his mannerisms towards [REDACTED], and that he seemed to doubt the credibility of the complaint. [REDACTED] also asked [REDACTED] for ID, after being repeatedly told by staff that he was a loss prevention officer. [REDACTED] also wouldn't take the employer ID card, and insisted upon a licensed based identification card. He also stated that [REDACTED] was aware whom the suspect was prior to arrival, and that a description of [REDACTED] had been provided. [REDACTED] stated that the treatment he received at the hands of [REDACTED] was disrespectful and unnecessary. [REDACTED] insisted that we (Pawtucket Police Department) do something about it, and that he would not tolerate it inaction.

[REDACTED] also added that he had been stopped on a traffic stop by the [REDACTED] a short time ago. He stated that the [REDACTED] had cited him for a traffic infraction. He added that he tried to turn over an identification card to [REDACTED], but the officer wasn't interested in it. [REDACTED] just asked him if the vehicle was registered to him. [REDACTED] stated that he didn't realize it was the same [REDACTED] involved in both incidents until after the second incident. He also added that the people at [REDACTED] were upset over the treatment he received by the [REDACTED]. They encouraged him to file a complaint against the [REDACTED].

[REDACTED] also stated that the department had broken agreement with the [REDACTED] in regards to releasing a store surveillance video to the media. [REDACTED] had turned over to the police department a compact disc with video surveillance of the incident, and had an [REDACTED] sign a video release form. The video release form specifies that the video cannot be released publicly without prior consent from [REDACTED]. The surveillance video had been aired on local television stations.

[REDACTED] stated that he has worked in loss prevention for a very long time. He has vast amount of experience in the field and always act in a professional manner. He felt disrespected by this incident. He further stated that he had been disrespected by an [REDACTED] in Providence, while he was working in loss prevention. He was talked out of filling a complaint against the [REDACTED] by another [REDACTED], but this time he wasn't going to let it go. [REDACTED] turned over to me a disc of the video surveillance depicting the arrival of the [REDACTED] and the incident in question. He later had me sign a release form for it.



NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-28-IA

## Investigative Summary

A review was conducted of the video turned over by the the complainant, as well as radio transmissions for the incident. It should be noted that the dispatched call was for a stabbing along with a shoplifting. The caller did provide a description of the parties involved, however the dispatcher, [REDACTED], never passed on the information to the responding officers. So [REDACTED], arrived on scene with little information.

The surveillance video shows the struggle between [REDACTED] and the shoplifter, which occurred right inside the store next to the doorway by the security sensors. The exterior doors are locked by a staff member as [REDACTED] holds down the shoplifter [REDACTED] is then let in by a staff member. He walks to the suspect, who is on the ground. As he does this [REDACTED] whom had taken a couple steps away from the suspect, now suddenly starts to bend down towards the suspect. [REDACTED] puts his arm up towards [REDACTED] as he looks towards the direction of the suspect. [REDACTED] then takes a knee for approximately 20 seconds. [REDACTED] then places the victim in handcuffs. [REDACTED] then gets up and the investigative process begins.

The video surveillance shows that [REDACTED] did not sit down " next to the suspect". In fact, [REDACTED] gets down on one knee as [REDACTED] takes a position between the suspect and [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] prior sudden movement towards the suspect, precipitates [REDACTED] reaction. [REDACTED] could not be expected to interpret the motive behind [REDACTED] sudden movement towards the suspect. [REDACTED] put himself in harms way by moving towards the suspect, as [REDACTED] approaches. [REDACTED] reacted by attempting to control both parties. He kept both parties involved separated and under control. This all happens in a matter of less then a minute.

[REDACTED] was interviewed in regards to the incident. He denies acting inappropriately with [REDACTED], nor does he recall giving [REDACTED] a citation [REDACTED] stated that he did not perceive his actions or tone to be hostile towards [REDACTED]. He didn't think he treated [REDACTED] in an offensive manner. Its customary to ask for state issued identification from victims and witnesses.

The release of the video tape to the media, while it may have violated the agreement was not illegal because of the open record laws. To date, a signed copy of the video release form has not been provided. Nor has anyone from [REDACTED] contacted the department to complain about the video. [REDACTED] was informed on several occassions to have someone from [REDACTED] contact this office, to follow up on the complaint in regards to the video tape.

A follow up interview was conducted [REDACTED]. I explained to him that the actions by [REDACTED] was appropriate in light of the circumstances provided. That [REDACTED] followed actions consistent with the department's rules and regulations. He did not act unreasonably in dealing with [REDACTED]. I explained that while he may have perceived the officer's actions as degrading and demeaning, that there was no malice in his actions. [REDACTED] informed me that he was not satisfied with my investigation, and that he felt that he was wronged by the actions of [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] on several different occassions stated that he should have been treated differently once [REDACTED] learned that he was in loss prevention

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-28-IA

[REDACTED] also added that he had been suspended by his company because of the incident. He also felt that the release of the video compromised his ability to perform his duties as a loss prevention officer because his identity had been exposed. The suspension and publicity may be effecting the perception of the incident by the complainant [REDACTED] also threatened on several occassions that he would seek out an attorney to handle this matter, if nothing was done about it this incident.

[REDACTED] appears sincere in his belief that he was wronged, however the facts do no support his perception. There is no merit to the complaint. The investigator's recommendation is that [REDACTED] be exonerated in the complaint.



Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 1  
03/08/2016

Case #: 12-54-IA

Date/Time Reported: 03/05/2012 @ 0800  
Report Date/Time: 08/13/2012 @ 1111  
Occurred Between: 02/28/2012 @ 1855  
And: 02/28/2012 @ 2333  
Complaint Type: EXCESSIVE FORCE  
Disposition: UNFOUNDED

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: Government/Public Building      Zone: Tab 7-4  
121 ROOSEVELT AVE  
PAWTUCKET RI 02860

1	EXCESSIVE FORCE			C
---	-----------------	--	--	---

OCCURRED: 02/27/2012 1855

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	F	[REDACTED]	40	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	----	------------	------------

EMPLOYER: NONE  
CONTACT INFORMATION:  
Home Phone [REDACTED] (Primary) [REDACTED]  
ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]  
RESIDENT STATUS: Resident  
VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-54-IA

On March 5, 2012, the Internal Affairs Division received a report from [REDACTED] [REDACTED] of the Rhode Island Department of Corrections. The report was in reference to an allegation of excessive force made by [REDACTED] [REDACTED] reported to the inspector that she had been assaulted by several Pawtucket Police [REDACTED] when she was taken into custody on Feb. 28, 2012, the actual arrest took place on Feb. 27, 2012.

[REDACTED] was advised by the inspector to contact the internal affairs division to report the assault.

The arrest report [REDACTED] AR, was reviewed and the officer's were found to have followed department procedures. The officer's involved were [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] [REDACTED] was taken into custody with preexisting injuries, as stated by her. Those injuries were documented in [REDACTED] [REDACTED] report as part of her complaint to the RI DOC.

The complainant has not contacted the Police Department in regards to this situation. It appears to be a frivolous complaint with no merit. The report is being listed as unfounded.



**Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report**

Case #: 12-6-IA

Date/Time Reported: 01/03/2012 @ 0900  
 Report Date/Time: 01/17/2012 @ 1041  
 Occurred Between: 12/31/2011 @ 1400  
                     And: 12/31/2011 @ 1600  
 Complaint Type: CONDUCT UNBECOMING  
 Disposition: SUSTAINED  
 Additional Cases: [REDACTED]

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: Residence/Home/Apt./Condo      Zone: Tab 7-7

[REDACTED]

1 Police Information

#	PERSON(S)	PERSON TYPE	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	PARTICIPANT	F	[REDACTED]	47	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
2	[REDACTED]	PARTICIPANT	M	[REDACTED]	20	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	------------	------------	------------

Home Phone (Primary) 401-727-9100

BCDY: NOT AVAIL.      COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.  
 DOB: [REDACTED]      PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.  
 LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]      ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-6-IA

On 1/3/2012 I was contacted by [REDACTED] who stated the following. She stated that on 12/31/11 she called 911 to report a disturbance with her son. According to [REDACTED] one of the responding officers, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] did not handle the situation appropriately.

[REDACTED] stated that when police arrived she told them that she had been in an altercation with her 20 year old son and that he had pushed her. She then called 911. When police arrived, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] spoke with her son, [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] then came in the house and asked [REDACTED] if she was on drugs. She stated that she told [REDACTED] that this didn't matter and she called police because her son pushed her. [REDACTED] wouldn't listen and after several minutes, the [REDACTED] told her that [REDACTED] was leaving and she could stay in the house. A few minutes later the [REDACTED] left.

[REDACTED] then called the police station because she wasn't satisfied. She spoke with [REDACTED] [REDACTED], who tried to explain to her that the [REDACTED] may ask if someone is on drugs as part of an investigation. She stated that the police never came back to her house, but her son [REDACTED] never left.

I researched the call and located the call for service, but a report was never taken. Based on the circumstances, I forwarded the information to [REDACTED] for review.

On 1/13/12 I received an email from [REDACTED] stating that he had looked into the incident. After review, he had [REDACTED] [REDACTED] complete a report and stated that he had counseled [REDACTED] [REDACTED] on the procedural issues.

Case forwarded to [REDACTED] for review.



Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 1  
03/08/2016

Case #: 12-2-IA

Date/Time Reported: 01/06/2012 @ 0900  
Report Date/Time: 01/06/2012 @ 1200  
Occurred Between: 12/19/2011 @ 1124  
And: 12/19/2011 @ 1200  
Complaint Type: VIOLATION DEPT. RULE  
Disposition: UNFOUNDED

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]  
Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Assisting Officer: [REDACTED]  
Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: Government/Public Building      Zone: Tab 1-3  
744 BROADWAY @ 54 TINGLEY ST  
PAWTUCKET RI 02860

1	ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION ERROR			C
	OCURRED: 12/19/2011	1124		

#	PERSON(S)	PERSON TYPE	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	COMPLAINANT	M	[REDACTED]	58	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION: Cell Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]						

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION: Home Phone (Primary) 401-727-9100					
	BODY: NOT AVAIL.		COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.			
	DOB: [REDACTED]		PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.			
	LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]		ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]			

[APPEARANCE]

GLASSES WORN: NO

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: CITY OF PAWTUCKET · 401-727-9100

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-2-IA

On 1/6/12 [REDACTED] responded to the Internal Affairs office to make a complaint in regard to an accident he was involved in on December 19, 2011.

[REDACTED] stated that on the day of the accident he picked up a copy of the report. In the report he saw that the [REDACTED] gave a ticket to the other operator. Approximately one week later he was speaking with an attorney and his insurance complaint and he learned that they had a copy of the report that was different from the copy he had. In the copy they had, the officer said that there were no violations given. [REDACTED] wanted to know why there were two different report narratives for the same accident.

I copied the report narrative that [REDACTED] had and also looked at the narrative in the report. In the report, the narrative stated that there were no violations issued. I also observed that the copy [REDACTED] had was not approved and was picked up about 20 minutes after the accident occurred. It appears that [REDACTED] picked up a report prior to it being approved and changes were made after he picked up the report. [REDACTED] stated that the [REDACTED] told him that operator would be issued a ticket.

I spoke with [REDACTED] about the report and he stated the following. He spoke with [REDACTED] and told him that based on the statements, operator 2 would be issued a ticket. [REDACTED] left the scene and [REDACTED] uploaded the report and issued operator 2 a ticket. He then spoke with operator 2 and she began stating that [REDACTED] had passed her on the left, on the opposite side of the road. [REDACTED] debated this with the operator and after considering her statement, decided that he would not issue a violation. He later responded to the station and asked [REDACTED] to void the ticket because operator 2 changed her statement. He was unaware that during this period of time, [REDACTED] had responded to the station and obtained a copy of the initial report.

The accident was reviewed by [REDACTED] and operator 2 was issued a violation. The report was amended to reflect the changes [REDACTED] was reminded that he should have contacted operator 1 to explain the circumstances to him, to avoid confusion. There does not appear to be any violation of rules and regulations by [REDACTED]. Case closed.





Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 1  
03/08/2016

Case #: 13-3-IA

Date/Time Reported: 01/04/2012 @ 1229  
 Report Date/Time: 01/15/2013 @ 1510  
 Occurred Between: 01/04/2013 @ 1229  
 And: 01/04/2013 @ 1507  
 Complaint Type: EXCESSIVE FORCE  
 Disposition: NOT SUSTAINED  
 Additional Cases: [REDACTED]

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
	LOCATION TYPE: Residence/Home/Apt./Condo 120 SWEET AVE PAWTUCKET RI 02860		Zone: Tab 2-2	
1	EXCESSIVE FORCE OCCURRED: 01/04/2012 1401			C

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	41	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION: Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED] ETHNICITY: [REDACTED] RESIDENT STATUS: Resident VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1					

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION: Home Phone (Primary) 401-727-9100 BODY: NOT AVAIL. DOB: [REDACTED] LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED] COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL. PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL. ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]					

[APPEARANCE]

GLASSES WORN: NO

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE STATION - 401-727-9100

SAWBUCKET POLICE Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 2  
03/08/2016

Case #: 13-3-IA

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*CONFIDENTIAL PERSON REPORT\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

#	PERSON(S)	PERSON TYPE	SEX	RACE	AGE	SEN	PHONE
---	-----------	-------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	WITNESS	F	[REDACTED]	55		
---	------------	---------	---	------------	----	--	--

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
Home Phone [REDACTED]

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-3-IA

On Jan. 4, 2013, a [REDACTED] contacted the Internal Affairs Office to file a complaint about an encounter that occurred between him and [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] had broken into his home, pointed a gun at him and threw him to the ground. [REDACTED] was contacted and made aware of the complaint.

### Investigation

An investigation was begun the same day that the complaint was received. A follow up phone call was made to [REDACTED] in which he in detail described what occurred. [REDACTED] stated that he was in his home at [REDACTED] which he described as a two story tenement home. The house is divided into four units with their being a front apartment and a rear apartment on both floors. [REDACTED] stated that he heard the doorbell to his second floor front apartment ring. He then heard the downstairs exterior door open. [REDACTED] then states that he then fearing that someone was there to do him harm charged down the stairs. He was unable to make out who the person was in the hallway due to the darkness. The person he confronted retreated on to the porch. He then yelled at the figure to "get out of here". A police [REDACTED] then drew his gun hauled him out of the house, threw him to the ground and wrenched his shoulder. The [REDACTED] never announced himself as a police [REDACTED]. The [REDACTED] later released him, and the two argued over the right of police [REDACTED] to enter his home. [REDACTED] asserted that exterior while not locked was closed, which the [REDACTED] stated to him was open. [REDACTED] during the phone conversation kept stating that the officer upon opening the exterior door was breaking into his apartment.

A dispatch check revealed that [REDACTED] had been dispatched to [REDACTED] to serve a subpoena on Jan 4, 2011 at 1401hrs. It also showed that [REDACTED] was completing a report for an incident. An incident report was later approved by [REDACTED]. The report documented a confrontation between [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], which resulted in [REDACTED] being physically taken down to the ground.

[REDACTED] was interviewed on Jan 4, 2013. He stated that he received a phone call from [REDACTED] reporting to him that he had used force on a [REDACTED] at [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] related to him that a [REDACTED] had charged at him while he was attempting to serve a temporary order, and he used physical force to subdue [REDACTED].

In a follow up interview with [REDACTED], he stated that he had received earlier in the day of Jan. 4, 2013, a temporary protection order from [REDACTED]. The temporary order of protection was from the state of New Hampshire, and it appeared that the department had been contacted several times in the past to have this order served. [REDACTED] stated that he checked the defendant's name in IMC and saw that he had a last known address of [REDACTED]. He then contacted dispatch to have them arrange for an officer to attempt to serve it. [REDACTED] was interviewed and confirmed the sergeant's account.

Dispatcher [REDACTED] was interviewed and she stated originally the service of the tempory order was designated for [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. They were tied up another job, so she decided to have [REDACTED] attempt to have it served.

## NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-3-IA

[REDACTED] was interviewed and he stated that he had been originally designated to serve the temporary order of protection. He picked up the paperwork from [REDACTED], and looked through it quickly looking for signature receipt box. He then got tied up on a couple of reports, and dispatch arranged for him to meet with [REDACTED] and hand over the temporary protection order.

A review of [REDACTED] report of the incident revealed no mention that he had pointed any weapon at [REDACTED]. In the report, [REDACTED] mentions that [REDACTED] breath smelled of alcohol. The report also references [REDACTED] walking towards him yelling at him, and refusing to take his hands out of his pockets [REDACTED] writes that he was concerned about his safety, so restrained the party to the ground. Once he felt that [REDACTED] was no longer a threat he released him. [REDACTED] was upset with [REDACTED] and stated that he going to call the Chief of Police to complain.

At approximately 1415hrs, on January 4, 2012, [REDACTED] and I responded to [REDACTED]. We spoke with [REDACTED] who reenacted the incident with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] reiterated what he had said on the phone. His reenactment showed the location where he claimed to have the weapon pointed at him to be on the porch, which is an open porch with two columns and a foot wall which encloses the porch from the yard. There was a small entry way with steps which led to the porch from the street. [REDACTED] stated that he was standing in the doorway when the officer pointed a weapon at him, and that the officer was about 3-4 feet away from him. [REDACTED] was asked to mimic the manner in which [REDACTED] pointed the weapon at him. [REDACTED] raised his right arm and fully extended it to shoulder level, and then supported with his left arm underneath. His stance and posture was similar to that taught to police officers.

It was noted while on scene that the hallway was extremely dark even with the door partially opened. During the reenactment, [REDACTED] showed me where he initially saw the figure ([REDACTED]) in the hallway. I stood where [REDACTED] was standing and could barely make out the silhouette of [REDACTED] who was standing where it was described [REDACTED] had been originally seen. [REDACTED] apartment front interior door exit was approximately 7-10 feet from the stairs. There were two sets of stairs with a small landing in between. In order to reach the front exterior door you must go the first flight of stairs (7-10) stairs to a small landing, and then turn right and descend 3-4 steps to get to the exterior door which was position to the left as you come down the stairs. It should also be noted that I detected slight odor of alcohol on [REDACTED] breath. However, he did not appear intoxicated.

A woman who identified herself as [REDACTED] was on scene when the [REDACTED] arrived. She did not interact with us, and did not appear to be concerned. [REDACTED] had stated in earlier conversations that he had [REDACTED] that resided with him. On scene, she appeared to be disinterested in the affairs of [REDACTED]. She stated that she did not witness the incident, and left the residence shortly after our arrival.

A canvass of the area was conducted but no witnesses to the incident were located. The first floor rear neighbor was spoken to as well as the residents at [REDACTED]. A family that occupies the tenement house across the street at [REDACTED] was interviewed. They reported that [REDACTED] "creeps them out" because he is always looking out the window. They also report seeing him frequently make short trips to and from the apartment.

On January 7, 2013, [REDACTED] was interviewed in the internal affairs office after being advised of his

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-3-IA

administrative rights. The interview was digitally audio recorded. [REDACTED] was present during the interview. [REDACTED] gave a similar account to his written report. He was confronted with [REDACTED] account of a weapon being pointed at him. [REDACTED] denied that he drew a firearm and pointed at [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] acknowledged that he showed poor judgment in not having a supervisor respond to the scene.

Another canvass was conducted by [REDACTED] and I on January 7, 2013. The officer came across a [REDACTED]. She informed us that she had seen [REDACTED] on Friday, January 4th. She added that he had parked his patrol car across the street from where she lives. She was outside getting ready to go to work when she saw [REDACTED]. She waved to him because she was familiar with him, and he waved back to her. She then got in her car and watched [REDACTED] walk down the street. She started her car and began to drive down [REDACTED], when she saw [REDACTED] talking to a man at [REDACTED]. She drove by and saw [REDACTED] having a conversation with a man on the porch of [REDACTED]. She didn't think anything strange was going so she continued on her way.

On January 8, 2013, [REDACTED] was reinterviewed and confronted with the fact that a potential witness located. He stated that he did not recall waving to a woman. He stated people wave at him all the time, it wasn't unusual so he didn't recall it. He again was questioned about the events involving [REDACTED], and was consistent with his original statement.

The complainant was contacted and a meeting was setup for January 9, 2012. The complainant was informed that a witness to the incident had been located, and that their needed to be a truthful statement taken from him. The complainant failed to show and did not contact the internal affairs office to reschedule.

During the second canvass to the first floor tenants whom lives directly under [REDACTED] was interviewed. The first floor tenants stated that [REDACTED] was observed by them to be extremely odd based on their observations of him. He would frequently come and go from them house at odd hours, and was always arguing with his roommate.

#### Conclusion

Based on the interviews and the lack of cooperation by complainant, it appears that there is not enough substance to support the claim of excessive force. Case closed.



**Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report**

Page: 1  
03/08/2016

**Case #: 12-20-IA**

Date/Time Reported: 03/04/2012 @ 0900  
Report Date/Time: 03/11/2012 @ 1343  
Occurred On: 03/03/2012 @ 2030

Complaint Type: EXCESSIVE FORCE  
Disposition: UNFOUNDED

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
	LOCATION TYPE: Highway/Road/Alley/Street EDENDALE AVE PAWTUCKET RI 02860		Zone: Tab 2-4	
1	<b>EXCESSIVE FORCE</b> OCCURRED: 03/03/2012 2003 SUSPECTED OF USING: Not Applicable BIAS AGAINST: No Bias			C

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
1	[REDACTED] EMPLOYER: [REDACTED] CONTACT INFORMATION: Home Phone [REDACTED] (Primary) [REDACTED] ETHNICITY: [REDACTED] RESIDENT STATUS: Non Resident. VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1	M	[REDACTED]	16	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
1	[REDACTED] CONTACT INFORMATION: Home Phone [REDACTED] (Primary) 401-727-9100 BODY: NOT AVAIL. DOB: [REDACTED] LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]

**[APPEARANCE]**

GLASSES WORN: NO

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: CITY OF PAWTUCKET POLICE · 401-727-9100

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-20-IA

On March 5, 2012, the Internal Affairs office was contacted by [REDACTED] whom explained that his son while being arrested was choked and kned in the face by a Pawtucket Police Officer. A review of report [REDACTED]-AR revealed an arrest of [REDACTED] for multiple (20 plus) counts of vandalism to motor vehicles on March 3, 2012.

I met with [REDACTED], who turned over some medical records of injuries he stated that his son received while being arrested. [REDACTED] stated that his son was very upset over the treatment that he received at the hands of the Pawtucket Police. His son stated to him that while he was being taken into custody by other officers, an enraged Pawtucket Police Officer pulled up on scene. The enraged officer him, choked him and kned him in the face. The officers then handcuffed him and brought him to the station. [REDACTED] stated that he felt that this was unnecessary and abusive. He added that his son as a result of this incident, that his son is afraid of the Pawtucket Police. Some photographed copies of the injuries were also turned over at the meeting.

The medical records indicated that the [REDACTED] went to RI Hospital where he was treated at the emergency room on March 4, 2012. He was diagnosed with a neck strain, and referred for follow up. The reports indicate that the patient reported a 0 on the pain scale when the exam was completed, it started as a 3 out of 10 on the scale.

Through the reports it was learned that [REDACTED] utilized force on [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was interviewed in regards to the incident. He stated that his narrative was an accurate account of what occurred. [REDACTED] was also interviewed and supported the [REDACTED] statement. There is no indication of the [REDACTED] having kned [REDACTED] in the face.

The report reveals that [REDACTED] along with another suspect, [REDACTED], had been on a crime spree spray painting multiple vehicles. Pawtucket Police officers were dispatched to the scene, as the crime was ongoing and moving from one neighborhood to another. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] located the suspects hiding. [REDACTED], after [REDACTED] was handcuffed, asked if he had any weapons on his body. [REDACTED] informed him that he had a razor blade in his possession. [REDACTED] inexplicably began twisting and turning his upper body. [REDACTED] then grabbed [REDACTED] by the upper head and neck area, in an attempt to control [REDACTED]. A razor blade was retrieved from the pockets of [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was then transported to the station, where he was processed. He was later interviewed by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] in regards to the vandalisms.

[REDACTED] eventually pled to the multitude of car vandalisms, and received a one year probation, 30 hours of community service and reasonable restitution.

I had several follow up phone conversations with [REDACTED], in regards to having his son come into the station to be interviewed. [REDACTED] stated that his son was hesitant about being interviewed, and also had a busy schedule. Appointments were setup and cancelled by [REDACTED]. Last phone message left for [REDACTED] was unreturned by that party.

In review of the report, medical records and photographs involving this arrest incident it appears that the injury sustained is consistent with the use of force by [REDACTED]. The injury according to the medical records appears to be minor in nature. The use of force use by [REDACTED] is in compliance with the Rules and Regulations. This claim of excessive force is unfounded.

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-20-IA





**Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report**

Case #: 12-9-IA

Date/Time Reported: 01/31/2012 @ 1100  
Report Date/Time: 01/31/2012 @ 1100  
Occurred On: 01/18/2012 @ 1200

Complaint Type: CONDUCT UNBECOMING  
Disposition: SUSTAINED

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE (S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	-------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: Residence/Home/Apt./Condo      Zone: Tab 5-3

1	VIOL. RULES&REGS, CONDUCT UNBECOMING			C
	OCCURRED: 01/18/2012      1200			

#	PERSON (S)	PERSON TYPE	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	COMPLAINANT	M	[REDACTED]	00	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	-------------	---	------------	----	-----------	------------

#	OFFICER (S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	------------	-----------	------------

CONTACT INFORMATION:  
Home Phone                      (Primary)      401-727-9100

BODY: NOT AVAIL.                      COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.  
DOB: [REDACTED]                      PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.  
LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]                      ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

**[APPEARANCE]**

GLASSES WORN: NO

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: CITY OF PAWTUCKET POLICE · 401-727-9100

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-9-IA

Incident Summary

On 1/18/2012 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] was working a PTE assignment/ zoning enforcement. At approximately 2:30pm [REDACTED] [REDACTED] responded to [REDACTED], with an order for a boat trailer to be removed. (Note, the court order was for [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] was at the wrong address) Upon arrival [REDACTED] observed a boat and he spoke with the boat owner, [REDACTED]. He told [REDACTED] that there was a court order for the boat to be removed. [REDACTED] asked why the boat was to be removed and some type of argument/ debate ensued between [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. Eventually [REDACTED] stated that he would remove the boat as opposed to having the boat towed by police. [REDACTED] was given 15 minutes to remove the boat or it would be towed by police. He removed the boat but in doing so, he damaged the boat motor. Later, [REDACTED] spoke with the Zoning department and found that the address was incorrect and the officer had made a mistake. The location of the court ordered boat removal was actually [REDACTED], whereas [REDACTED] lives at [REDACTED]

The following day, Mr. [REDACTED] called the office of [REDACTED] to complain about the demeanor of [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. According to [REDACTED], an officer came to his house demanding that a boat be removed. [REDACTED] was told by the officer that a court order from a judge was issued and the boat must be removed. The officer was yelling at him, using vulgarity, refused to tell him why the boat was being towed. A brief initial investigation was conducted and after review by [REDACTED], he forwarded the complaint to Internal Affairs.

Investigation

On 1/27/12 I contacted [REDACTED] and spoke with him about the incident. He stated, (in summary) that when the officer arrived he wouldn't give [REDACTED] a reason why the boat was being towed. They were arguing and the officer was swearing and refused to look at the paperwork that [REDACTED] was trying to show the officer to prove the boat was in fact registered. The officer told [REDACTED] cousin that he had fifteen minutes to move the boat. They attempted to speak with the officer but he refused to listen. The officer was swearing and at one point told them they had to move the truck or he would tow the truck too.

On 1/30/12 I sent [REDACTED] [REDACTED] a notification, rights form and request for a statement concerning the incident.

On 2/2/12 I received the notification, administrative rights and reply memo from [REDACTED] [REDACTED].

In summary [REDACTED] [REDACTED] stated that upon arrival he began telling [REDACTED] that his trailer needed to be moved. [REDACTED] then immediately began yelling and swearing at him and asking, "What the fuck for?" He stated that [REDACTED] wanted him to look at the paperwork (registration) for the boat, but he didn't want to look at the paperwork because he was concerned with the trailer, not the boat. He stated that the other male on scene was also swearing at him while he was there. A short time later a female responded and she "came at him in an aggressive manner." [REDACTED] [REDACTED] eventually showed the court order to her, but stated that he didn't show it to [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] because he was "out of control." He did state that he told [REDACTED] that the truck in front of the trailer would be towed if it was not moved, because it was blocking the trailer.

In reference to [REDACTED] [REDACTED] using vulgarity, he stated that he repeated some of the swearing that [REDACTED] had said. At one point he stated that [REDACTED] said, "Go fuck yourself", and he repeated this back to [REDACTED] and at one

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-9-IA

point he told [REDACTED] he was done talking with him and walked away.

In reference to the Cox cable worker, [REDACTED] stated that there was a Cox cable truck parked in front of the house on the street. [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] to have the Cox worker respond outside and move the van or it would be towed. (the Cox worker was working inside [REDACTED] house.)

On 2/8/12 I met with [REDACTED] in the IA office. I told him this was to continue the investigation and his rights still applied and he stated, "Do I need a union rep?" I stated that was up to him, but when told I wanted to clarify questions in the memo, he answered the questions without hesitation. I asked [REDACTED] if [REDACTED] specifically asked to see the court order and he stated, "If he did, I didn't hear it."

I also asked about the female he referenced in the report who gave him permission to tow the truck. He stated he didn't get her name, but believes it was someone from zoning. I also told him that according to [REDACTED], he was swearing a lot while on scene and he again said that he had been repeating what [REDACTED] said and he also said that, "Some swears came out, (from [REDACTED]) but I don't remember exactly what."

2/9/12

I contacted [REDACTED], the cousin of [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated he was on scene when this incident occurred. He stated that he was inside the house with [REDACTED] and observed an officer in the driveway and went outside. The officer asked him if he owned the truck that was in the driveway and he said he didn't and asked what the problem was. The officer answered by stating only, "I'm towing the boat." He told the officer his cousin owns the boat and there must be a mistake because the boat was registered. The officer said there was no mistake and the boat was being towed. The officer then said, "Move the fuckin' truck or I'm towing the truck too." (Note, the truck was in the driveway blocking the boat.) By this time [REDACTED] came outside and they told the officer that the truck was owned by [REDACTED], who wasn't there. The officer again said he was towing the boat and the truck. [REDACTED] then called his girlfriend, (truck owner) to come to the house to move the truck.

[REDACTED] said he told the officer several times that there must be a mistake and the officer wouldn't listen. He asked the officer to call to check and the officer said, "It's not my job to call." [REDACTED] then called city hall and as he began speaking with someone, the officer took the phone and stated, "Give me the fuckin' phone, I'll speed up the process." The officer spoke with someone for a minute, hung up, gave him the phone back and the officer said he was towing the boat. [REDACTED] stated he asked to see the court order and the officer wouldn't give it to him. He asked the officer who ordered the boat removed and the officer only stated, "The judge." At one point the officer held up a paper from a distance when the officer was in his police car (presumably the court order). [REDACTED] said he couldn't see the paper and "it could have been anything." At one point the officer stated, "I'll give you fifteen minutes to get it out of here." He and [REDACTED] then spoke about moving the truck so the police wouldn't tow it. At one point the officer asked about the Cox cable worker and asked if he was inside the house. [REDACTED] said the worker was in his house working and the officer told [REDACTED] to have the worker come out and move his truck from the street, or he was towing that too.

[REDACTED] stated that he spoke with [REDACTED] and as opposed to having the officer tow the boat, they agreed to remove it. They attempted to raise the boat motor, but because the boat hadn't been running, the battery was "dead" and they couldn't raise the motor. They then towed the boat with the incorrect vehicle and trailer hitch and the motor in the down position to avoid the officer from towing it. As they towed it, they hit the motor on the ground and damaged it. Shortly after they spoke with someone at zoning and learned the officer was at the wrong address.

Ref: 12-9-IA

### Conclusion

After investigation, the complaint against [REDACTED] [REDACTED] is sustained. The overriding concern is that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] admits that he did not show [REDACTED] the court order to have the trailer towed. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] both stated they asked to see the order. The actual court order was highlighted in yellow, highlighting the address. Had [REDACTED] [REDACTED] showed this, [REDACTED] would have confirmed the fact that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] was at the wrong address. Regardless of the mistaken address, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] should always show the court order to someone when towing from private property. It is reasonable to show someone the order, regardless if they are upset. Both [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] [REDACTED] state that the officer told them they have fifteen minutes to move the boat. This also is a concern. If an officer chooses to use discretion and allow the boat to be moved, fifteen minutes is not really a reasonable amount of time to allow for the move. The result was the boat being damaged because [REDACTED] [REDACTED] didn't have time to get a replacement battery to raise the motor.

In reference to the vulgarity, the investigation shows that at some point [REDACTED] [REDACTED] is swearing in conversation. This is also not acceptable. This assignment itself is one that tends to be confrontational. Citizens will often be upset when their personal property is being towed from private property and [REDACTED] [REDACTED] has the duty to respond in a professional manner, regardless of the citizen's reaction. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] also should have allowed [REDACTED] [REDACTED] to speak with the zoning department and not taken his phone. Again, the wrong address is irrelevant. An officer shouldn't take a citizen's phone to "speed up the process" and if [REDACTED] [REDACTED] wanted to speak with zoning, he could have used his cell phone to call.

Lastly is the issue concerning the Cox cable worker. If [REDACTED] [REDACTED] feels the truck was parked illegally he may have been better to simply write a parking ticket as opposed to escalate an already confrontational situation. Further, the parking violation was not the immediate concern and it does appear (from [REDACTED] [REDACTED] viewpoint) that the officer was doing this only to aggravate the situation by stating he would tow a third (unrelated) vehicle if it wasn't moved immediately.

Forwarded to [REDACTED] [REDACTED] for review.

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-9-IA

[REDACTED] after review imposed a one day suspension, and communications training for [REDACTED] [REDACTED] in regards to the this incident.



NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-54-IA

On November 11, 2013, a [REDACTED] was taken into custody for obstruction and disorderly conduct. A phone call was placed by a [REDACTED] to the Internal Affairs office in regards to the arrest. [REDACTED] stated that he called on behalf of [REDACTED], who told him that he had been beaten at the scene of the arrest by a Pawtucket Police Officer. He stated that he observed some severe injuries to [REDACTED]. He added that he would have [REDACTED] contact the Internal Affairs office to file a complaint.

The report of the arrest was reviewed and the in house video system was also reviewed. The report was in accordance with the Pawtucket Police Dept.'s rules and regulations. It documented some injuries that [REDACTED] had when he came into the station. The injuries was minor and determined to be old. The report also revealed that [REDACTED] had injured himself after being placed in cell 1. The video system revealed that [REDACTED] repeatedly struck his head on the metal bars in cell one causing injury to himself, which he was eventually transported to Memorial Hospital for treatment.

The evidence shows that [REDACTED] did not sustain injury from any member of the Pawtucket Police. The allegations have no merit, and [REDACTED] has failed to contact this office. [REDACTED] eventually pled nolo contendere to disorderly conduct and received alcohol counseling and a 6 month suspended sentence.

Report forwarded to [REDACTED] for review.



Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Case #: 13-58-IA

Date/Time Reported: 10/14/2013 @ 1555  
Report Date/Time: 12/27/2013 @ 1052  
Occurred Between: 10/13/2013 @ 2205  
                  And: 10/13/2013 @ 2300  
Complaint Type: VIOLATION DEPT. RULE  
Disposition: UNFOUNDED  
Additional Cases: [REDACTED]

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: Residence/Home/Apt./Condo      Zone: Tab 2-1

1	VIOL OF RULES AND REGS			C
---	------------------------	--	--	---

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	F	[REDACTED]	26	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	----	-----------	------------

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Home Phone [REDACTED] (Primary) [REDACTED]

ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

RESIDENT STATUS: Resident

TAKEN TO: The Memorial Hospital of RI

TAKEN BY: Pawtucket Rescde 2

VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1

#	PERSON(S)	PERSON TYPE	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	WITNESS	M	[REDACTED]	53	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---------	---	------------	----	-----------	------------

2	[REDACTED]	WITNESS	F	[REDACTED]	28	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---------	---	------------	----	-----------	------------

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	------------	-----------	------------

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Home Phone [REDACTED] (Primary) 401-727-9100

BODY: NOT AVAIL.

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.

DOB: [REDACTED]

PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.

LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]

ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]



Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 2  
03/08/2016

Case #: 13-58-IA

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

[APPEARANCE]

GLASSES WORN: NO

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE · 401-727-9100

13-5786-OF NARRATIVE [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-58-IA

On 10/13/13 at approximately 2205 hours, I responded to [REDACTED] for report of an emotionally disturbed female.

Upon my arrival, I observed that Pawtucket Fire was on scene. I then spoke with Fire personnel, and they advised me that [REDACTED] had made a Facebook post which concerned her family and friends. I then spoke with [REDACTED] who was very annoyed at the fact that police and fire personnel were on scene at her residence. [REDACTED] demanded that we leave, and she kept stating that she would not go to the hospital for a psychological evaluation. [REDACTED] then began screaming at her sister, [REDACTED], who was pleading with her to go to the hospital for treatment. It is to be noted that [REDACTED] also took pictures of me and the other public safety personnel on scene.

I then separated both parties and began speaking with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] advised me that [REDACTED] had made a Facebook post which concerned her. She stated that the post implied that [REDACTED] would harm herself. She also stated that [REDACTED] suffers from epilepsy and undiagnosed depression. While speaking with [REDACTED], I then heard someone say, "she's going to run", and I observed [REDACTED] attempting to exit the front door. I immediately grabbed [REDACTED] by her arm and asked her to please return inside. [REDACTED] then became extremely upset and stated, "Don't touch me! Your violating my rights!" I let go of [REDACTED], because she re-entered the house, but I advised her to stay on the first floor. [REDACTED] then began running up the staircase to the second floor. Due to the circumstances, I was concerned that [REDACTED] may attempt to lock herself in a room and try to harm herself. I then grabbed [REDACTED] by her arm on the staircase, and I escorted her into Rescue #2. Cruiser 208 ([REDACTED] arrived on scene moments later and stood by with [REDACTED].

I then spoke with [REDACTED] roommate/family friend, [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated that after he was notified of [REDACTED] Facebook post by [REDACTED] he kept [REDACTED] on scene until her [REDACTED] arrived. [REDACTED] stated he did not mention to [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] was on her way, because he feared that [REDACTED] would run away and possibly harm herself.

Cruiser 208 followed Rescue #2 as they transported [REDACTED] to Memorial Hospital for a psychological evaluation. [REDACTED] sent me a screenshot photo of [REDACTED] Facebook post to my department email; I later uploaded it to this report. I then escorted [REDACTED] to Memorial Hospital.

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-58-IA

On Oct. 13, 2013, [REDACTED] sent email to the Internal Affairs Division in regards to [REDACTED] alleging that [REDACTED] touched her inappropriately. This allegation was relayed to the [REDACTED] from an emergency room nurse at Memorial Hospital, after [REDACTED] complained to the nurse.

[REDACTED] was contacted in regards to the allegation. She stated that she touched inappropriately by [REDACTED] when he responded to her address along with Pawtucket Police rescue. She claims that the contact was done when the officer escorted from her apartment to the Rescue, and was witnessed by the fire personnel on scene. During the escort [REDACTED] was touching along her side and on her breasts.

[REDACTED] completed an report on the incident with [REDACTED]. The report was classified emotional disturbed person [REDACTED] [REDACTED] refuted the allegation made and stated that a Pawtucket Police Fire Department lieutenant was present during the escort.

[REDACTED] Pawtucket Police Fire Department, was interviewed in regards to the incident. He stated that [REDACTED] was very combative and irate during the incident. He stated that there was no inappropriate contact between [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

#### Conclusion

Based upon the information gathered during the investigation, [REDACTED] suffers from mental illness which may effect her perception of the incident. [REDACTED] also refutes her allegation of the inappropriate touching. Based upon those factors, the case cannot be sustained.

Report forwarded to [REDACTED] for review.

After review the [REDACTED] directed that the investigation be unfounded.

Pawtucket Police Department  
Images Associated with 13-58-IA



 [Redacted Name]

If I didn't love my car so much and love my  
angel rose soo soo much I would totally let  
someone hit me I know I'm not worth it my  
life isn't worth it and I am nothing I am sick  
of being used and abused why am I even  
here if that's all I am good for

about 1000 likes · 1000 comments · 1000 shares

Be the first person to like this.



Facebook  
[Faded text and icons]



**Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report**

Case #: 13-47-IA

Date/Time Reported: 10/01/2013 @ 1130  
 Report Date/Time: 10/18/2013 @ 1130  
 Occurred Between: 09/30/2013 @ 1131  
 And: 10/01/2013 @ 1131  
 Complaint Type: VIOLATION DEPT. RULE  
 Disposition: NOT SUSTAINED

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: Highway/Road/Alley/Street      Zone: Tab 5-3  
 913 MAIN ST  
 PAWTUCKET RI 02860

1	ALL OTHER OFFENSES			C
	OCURRED: 09/30/2013	1131		

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	53	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	----	------------	------------

DOB: [REDACTED]  
 CONTACT INFORMATION:  
 Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
 Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
 ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]  
 RESIDENT STATUS: Resident  
 VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	------------	-----------	------------

CONTACT INFORMATION:  
 Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
 Cell Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
 Work Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]

BODY: NOT AVAIL.      COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.  
 DOB: [REDACTED]      PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.  
 LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]      ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE · 401-727-9100

## NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-47-IA

A [REDACTED] contacted the Internal Affairs Division to complain about the conduct of [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was interviewed in person and over the phone on Oct. 1st and 2nd, 2013. [REDACTED] reported that he has been harassed by [REDACTED] when he goes for his daily walk. [REDACTED] stated that he leaves his house because he needs sun for a medical condition, and also to socialize on the "block". He states that [REDACTED] singles him out and frisks him for no reason. This has caused him a great deal of stress, and he is afraid of what [REDACTED] may do because of the way he looks at him.

I spoke with [REDACTED] who informed me that [REDACTED] loiters in the areas of Main and Magil Street. He also advised me that he has given numerous warnings to [REDACTED] about his drinking in public and his loitering in problem areas. He stated that he recently stopped [REDACTED] and discovered him drinking in public, and used his discretion to give him a warning. [REDACTED] added that he has been told by the private businesses in the area that [REDACTED] is a public nuisance because of loud, drunken and abusive behavior towards them. He also learned from various people that [REDACTED] is attempting to deal narcotics in those areas, and that he frequently intimidates people in those areas to accomplish this goal.

An IMC check reveals a great deal of police contacts with [REDACTED]. The areas in which [REDACTED] cites in his interview, have been notorious for problems with narcotics and public alcohol consumption. That area because of those activities has been prone to street robberies and assaults.

[REDACTED] on Oct. 2, 2013, came to the internal affairs office and displayed a video on an Ipad. The video depicted a police cruiser traveling on Main Street. The face of the officer is indistinguishable and it doesn't display any disconcerting activity by the police officer. [REDACTED] stated that the video provided proof that [REDACTED] was harassing him. [REDACTED] stated the officer told him that he wasn't intimidated by him.

It was explained to [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] was encountering him through his duties as a policeman, and that the officer's conduct was not contrary to the police department's rules and regulations. It was also explained to [REDACTED] that his activities and associations in those areas were creating the contacts with [REDACTED].

[REDACTED] during the interview made several contradictory comments which brought into question his credibility. First, he stated that he has had little contact with the police and hasn't been in trouble. [REDACTED] has a large number of police contacts and arrests. He stated that he doesn't create any nuisance calls and is a respected member of the community. [REDACTED] statements can be disputed by multiple IMC entries by various Pawtucket Police Officers that show him to be a nuisance in the area of Main and Magil Street, in particular for trespassing and public consumption of alcohol.

[REDACTED] stated to the investigator that he just wanted to make the department aware of his confrontations with [REDACTED]. It appears that [REDACTED] maybe attempting to utilize the internal affairs complaint process to curtail [REDACTED] attention towards [REDACTED]' possible criminal activities.

#### Conclusion

From the facts presented by [REDACTED], it does not appear that [REDACTED] acted in violation of the department's rules and regulations.

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-47-IA



**PAWTUCKET POLICE Department  
Investigative Report**

Page: 1  
03/08/2016

**Case #: 13-40-IA**

Date/Time Reported: 09/04/2013 @ 1340  
 Report Date/Time: 09/04/2013 @ 1340  
 Occurred Between: 02/05/2010 @ 2326  
                     And: 02/05/2010 @ 2346  
 Complaint Type: EXCESSIVE FORCE  
 Disposition: NOT SUSTAINED  
 Additional Cases: [REDACTED]

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: Residence/Home/Apt./Condo      Zone: Tab 4-7

1	EXCESSIVE FORCE			
	OCCURRED: 02/05/2010    2326			

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	42	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	----	------------	------------

CONTACT INFORMATION:  
 Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
 Home Phone [REDACTED]  
 Work Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
 Work Phone [REDACTED]  
 ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]  
 RESIDENT STATUS: Resident  
 VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1

#	PERSON(S)	PERSON TYPE	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	WITNESS	F	[REDACTED]	0199	NOT AVAIL	
---	------------	---------	---	------------	------	-----------	--

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	------------	------------	------------

CONTACT INFORMATION:  
 Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
 Home Phone [REDACTED]  
 Home Phone [REDACTED]

BODY: NOT AVAIL.      COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.  
 DOB: [REDACTED]      PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.  
 LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]      ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: CITY OF PAWTUCKET · 401 727-9100



Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 2  
03/08/2016

Case #: 13-40-IA

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

2	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	------------	-----------	------------

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
Work Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]

BODY: NOT AVAIL.

DOB: [REDACTED]

LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.

PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.

ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE DEPT. · 401-727-9100

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-40-IA

On April 16, 2013, [REDACTED] sent a letter to the Internal Affairs Division from the Rhode Island Adult Correctional Institute inquiring on how to file a complaint. A complaint form was mailed to [REDACTED], and he subsequently returned it filing an excessive force complaint against [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. The complaint stemmed from an arrest of [REDACTED] at [REDACTED] on Feb. 5, 2010. Subsequent interviews were conducted with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

[REDACTED] reported that on the day of the incident in question he had been involved in a domestic incident with his sister [REDACTED]. He reported that [REDACTED] threw a chair at him, because she was upset. He left her apartment and went to see his mother, [REDACTED], which is an apartment complex occupied mostly by the elderly persons who receive government assistance for housing. [REDACTED] stated that he did not find his mother at her apartment, so he went to see a friend named [REDACTED] in apartment [REDACTED] of the same building. He had seen her son [REDACTED] in the elevator, earlier so he decided to visit her. He was in the apartment for a few minutes with [REDACTED], when they heard knocking at the door. He went to the door along with [REDACTED] to see whom was at the door. [REDACTED] opened the door and two Pawtucket Police officers rushed in. He stated that he immediately placed his hand behind his back to surrender because he knew he had a warrant. The officers then handcuffed and threw him into the adjoining bathroom. Once in the bathroom he was struck in the head with a baton, which caused him to lose consciousness. He awoke to find blood all over the bathroom.

[REDACTED] stated that the Pawtucket Police narrative of his arrest was false in particular the claim that he assaulted any of the officers. This statement given by [REDACTED] was taken at Medium Security at the Rhode Island Adult Correctional Facility, and it was audio recorded. A check of court records indicated that [REDACTED] pled guilty to domestic assault charges and to resisting arrest charges stemming from this incident. I began to question [REDACTED] in regards to his plea and the discrepancies with the domestic assault complaint, at which time he ended the interview.

[REDACTED] prior to ending the interview, stated that he had contacted an attorney who was handling the filing of an excessive force complaint. The attorney had already allegedly met with him and took a statement from [REDACTED]. However the attorney contacted him earlier in the year and had declined to assist him in pursuing the complaint. So [REDACTED] contacted the ACLU, who advised him to file a complaint with the Pawtucket Police Internal Affairs Division.

I spoke with [REDACTED] ([REDACTED]) about the incident, and she stated that [REDACTED] told her that his sister [REDACTED] had started the domestic incident with him, and had struck him with a frying pan on the head. She also stated that she went with [REDACTED] to visit [REDACTED] in the ACI after he was arrested on these charges.

I interviewed [REDACTED] about the incident in which [REDACTED] was arrested in her apartment. She stated that [REDACTED] had come over to the apartment to visit her that day in question. The two were sitting on the sofa in the living room when she heard a knock at the door, she went to answer it. Someone asked for [REDACTED], and she stated that she opened the door slightly and observed two police officers. She asked [REDACTED] "who?" when the officers fully opened the door. The officers then went into the bathroom and closed the door. Once in the bathroom, she asked what was going on when one of the officers opened the door. She stated that her bathroom floor had blood on it. She then saw [REDACTED] bleeding, and she added that the bathroom did not appear to be

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-40-IA

disturbed other than the blood on the floor. I asked her about how Mr. [REDACTED] had gotten into the bathroom, to which she replied he must have gotten up and went into the bathroom once she went to answer the door. However, the last she saw of him prior to the police entering the apartment was when he was sitting on the couch.

The police narratives done by [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] differed from the account provided by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. However it should be noted that [REDACTED] stated that the officers entered the apartment and went right into the bathroom, so [REDACTED] was in the bathroom prior to the officers. This contradicts [REDACTED] assertion that he was right behind [REDACTED], and that he was surrendering himself. Those actions would have been readily observable by [REDACTED]. However, [REDACTED] stated that she did not see the physical confrontation between the officers and [REDACTED]. These discrepancies between these accounts cast doubt upon the credibility of [REDACTED] version of events. [REDACTED] also pled guilty one charge of resisting arrest from this incident, which is contradiction to his account of the events.

Based upon the conflicts in the account given by [REDACTED], not only with the arrest but also the domestic assault and the fact that he pled guilty to both charges casts doubt upon [REDACTED] excessive force allegations. This complaint is found to be not sustained.

Case forwarded to [REDACTED] for review.



**Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report**

Case #: 13-39-IA

Date/Time Reported: 07/22/2013 @ 0850  
 Report Date/Time: 08/21/2013 @ 1325  
 Occurred Between: 07/22/2013 @ 0850  
 And: 07/22/2013 @ 0930  
 Complaint Type: EXCESSIVE FORCE  
 Disposition: UNFOUNDED  
 Additional Cases: 1 [REDACTED]

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: Government/Public Building      Zone: Tab 7-4  
 CELL BLOCK  
 121 ROOSEVELT AVE  
 PAWTUCKET RI 02860

1	EXCESSIVE FORCE			C
	OCCURRED: 07/22/2013    0850			

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	48	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	----	------------	------------

CONTACT INFORMATION:  
 Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
 Home Phone [REDACTED]  
 Home Phone [REDACTED]  
 Home Phone [REDACTED]  
 ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]  
 RESIDENT STATUS: Non Resident  
 VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1

#	PERSON(S)	PERSON TYPE	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	WITNESS	M	[REDACTED]	39	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---------	---	------------	----	-----------	------------

EMPLOYER: CITY OF PAWTUCKET POLICE    401-727-9100  
 CONTACT INFORMATION:  
 Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
 Work Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]

2	[REDACTED]	WITNESS	M	[REDACTED]	2829	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---------	---	------------	------	-----------	------------

EMPLOYER: CITY OF PAWTUCKET    401-727-9100  
 CONTACT INFORMATION:  
 Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
 Home Phone [REDACTED]

Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page 2  
03/08/2016

Case #: 13-39-IA

#	PERSON(S)	PERSON TYPE	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

3	[REDACTED]	WITNESS	M	[REDACTED]	28	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---------	---	------------	----	-----------	------------

EMPLOYER: PAWTUCKET POLICE  
CONTACT INFORMATION:  
Home Phone (Primary) 401-727-9100

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	------------	-----------	------------

CONTACT INFORMATION:  
Home Phone (Primary) 401-727-9100

BODY: NOT AVAIL. COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.  
DOB: [REDACTED] PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.  
LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED] ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE 401-727-9100

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-39-IA

On July 25, 2013 [REDACTED] was interviewed in regards to an excessive force complaint. [REDACTED] had previously made a statement over a telephone call on July 24, 2013. [REDACTED] in that statement stated that he had been beaten severely in cell block. He added that he wanted something done about the Pawtucket Police, and he would take it to "Obama" if necessary. During the phone call conversation, [REDACTED] on several occasions could be heard interrupting and correcting [REDACTED]. (See IA-38-IA in regards to [REDACTED]). Both parties self report mental health issues.

It should be noted that during both statements, [REDACTED] made conflicting statements. He stated that he was calm when he was brought to the station after being arrested. He then stated that he crazed over the facts surrounding the arrest. [REDACTED] then stated that he was beaten severely by an officer, and that he was suffering from injuries to his face, jaw, wrists and ribs. He stated that his ribs had been fractured. Several photographs were taken of those areas. The pictures did not depict severe injuries to [REDACTED], nor did I observe any severe bruising. However, [REDACTED] did have slight bruising to his ribs. He also at my request provided medical records documenting the treatment for his alleged injuries. The medical records did not indicate that [REDACTED] had fractured ribs. The reports also indicate that while [REDACTED] reports his pain on the pain scale to be a 10 out of 10, the reports indicate that [REDACTED] "Appears in no apparent distress".

[REDACTED] was taken into custody [REDACTED]-AR) for driving on a suspended license. The report narrative indicates that he made threats towards [REDACTED] [REDACTED] about confronting the officer once he was uncuffed, and in the search room once uncuffed [REDACTED] was uncooperative. [REDACTED] according to the report made several movements towards [REDACTED] [REDACTED] which he interpreted to be preflight indicators. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] used a takedown maneuver to bring [REDACTED] to the ground, where he put his knee on [REDACTED] to control him. Once [REDACTED] calmed down the processing continued, and there was no further physical controls exerted over [REDACTED].

The video surveillance system in cell block was viewed. However, there is no video cameras in the prisoner search room. The other video captured did not show anything unusual that would suggest any physical confrontation took place.

Statements were given by [REDACTED] [REDACTED], [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. All the officers involved gave similar accounts of what occurred in cell block. All statements were similar to the report narrative done by [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. There were several cell block policies which were not adhered to in this matter, but they were not pertinent to this complaint. Those matters were handled by the Planning and Training Division.

#### Conclusion

This complaint was judged to be unfounded due to the lack of evidence and the contradiction of facts supporting [REDACTED] claim.



**Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report**

**Case #: 13-38-IA**

Date/Time Reported: 07/22/2013 @ 1300  
Report, Date/Time: 08/21/2013 @ 0834  
Occurred On: 06/22/2013 @ 0702

Complaint Type: ABUSIVE LANGUAGE  
Disposition: NOT SUSTAINED

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: Highway/Road/Alley/Street      Zone: Tab 4-6  
111 BREWSTER ST  
PAWTUCKET RI 02860

1	UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT			C
	OCCURRED: 06/22/2013		0702	

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	F	[REDACTED]	55	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
---	------------	---	------------	----	------------	------------

CONTACT INFORMATION:  
Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
Home Phone [REDACTED]  
Home Phone [REDACTED]  
Home Phone [REDACTED]  
Home Phone [REDACTED]  
Cell Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]  
ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]  
RESIDENT STATUS: Non Resident  
VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	401-727-9100
---	------------	---	------------	------------	-----------	--------------

CONTACT INFORMATION:  
Home Phone (Primary) 401-727-9100  
BODY: NOT AVAIL.      COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.  
DOB: NOT AVAIL      PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.  
LICENSE NUMBER: NOT AVAIL.      ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

2	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	401-727-9100
---	------------	---	------------	------------	-----------	--------------

CONTACT INFORMATION:  
Work Phone (Primary) 401-727-9100  
BODY: NOT AVAIL.      COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.  
DOB: NOT AVAIL      PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.  
LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]      ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]  
EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE · 401-727-9100

Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 2  
03/08/2016

Case #: 13-38-IA

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
3	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION: Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED] Work Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]					
	BODY: NOT AVAIL.		COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.			
	DOB: [REDACTED]		PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.			
	LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]		ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]			
	EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE					
4	[REDACTED]	F	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION: Home Phone (Primary) 401-727-9100					
	BODY: NOT AVAIL.		COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.			
	DOB: [REDACTED]		PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.			
	LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]		ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]			



NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-38-IA

On July 22, 2013, the Internal Affairs division was contact by [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] originally contacted the office in regards to an excessive force complaint with her husband as the victim. The complaint was not linked to any the officers involved in this affair (13-39-IA). [REDACTED] while speaking with me about the issues around the incident with her husband stated that she wished to file a complaint against some other officers she encountered about a month ago.

[REDACTED] stated that she had gone to Memorial Hospital because she wasn't feeling well. She was transported to the hospital by rescue from her home in Cumberland. [REDACTED] added that she decided to leave the hospital, and asked hospital staff for transportation back to her home. The staff at the hospital refused, so she left. She then was sitting on the side of the road crying because she suffers from mental illness. She then stated that [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and a female officer arrived. The officers made reference to suicide and prostitution. [REDACTED] stated that her sister committed suicide, so this upset her. The officers eventually transported her home to Cumberland.

During the interview with [REDACTED] she showed signs of mental illness. She frequently eluded to her past and how the police department was harassing her because of it. [REDACTED] stated that she used to be a drug addict and prostitute. She added that her past wasn't her fault because her father used to make her inject heroin when she was a minor. She yelled and cried while giving her statement. She would frequently ramble during her statement, and would yell over any attempts to refocus her. During the course of the interview, [REDACTED] would begin to yell uncontrollably and would regain control when told I told her that I was going to end the interview. This behavior of her showed the symptoms of her mental illness, which appeared to be signs of being bipolar.

A records check revealed that Pawtucket Police [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were involved in a call on June 22, 2013. [REDACTED] was just responsible for handling the dispatch call for check on the well being. The other officers responded to the scene and dealt directly with [REDACTED]. They all were also given an administrative rights form to review. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] called the station to request a ride home from the hospital because she was not receiving assistance from the staff. She also complained about feeling sick. She stated that hospital staff was being rude to her, and that she wanted to leave. He received a second call from hospital staff who informed him that [REDACTED] was creating a scene at the hospital, using foul language. He believed an additional call came in for [REDACTED] being in the roadway. Several units were dispatched to the hospital to check on the well being of [REDACTED].

[REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] stated that they responded to the area of Memorial Hospital were they met with [REDACTED], who was partially in the roadway. She was uncooperative and belligerent with the officers when they refused to transport her home. The officers described similar behavior to which I saw exhibited during her statement. The officers then decided to receive permission to transport her to out of the city to her home in Cumberland. Once, [REDACTED] learned that the officers had received permission to transport her home she became cooperative. They transported her home without incident.

The Pawtucket Police Department has had numerous contacts with [REDACTED] for the past 30 years. She frequently finds herself stranded without transportation home, and asks for the police to transport her. These encounters fit the same pattern of [REDACTED] becoming upset if transportation is denied. [REDACTED] in the past was wheel chair bound, and now while not restricted by a wheel chair appears to have trouble walking. She does have a legally registered vehicle and a license to operate it. She frequently finds herself at Memorial

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-38-IA

Hospital, where she has created numerous disturbances.

### Conclusion

[REDACTED] mental illness has created multiple contacts with the police department over disturbances, which she creates. She is difficult to communicate with because of her angry hostile tone that she takes towards people, and her fixation with the idea that her past is being used against her. All the officers involved in this incident do not know of [REDACTED] long history with police contacts. It is difficult to find substance in [REDACTED] complaint because her account of the incident lacks credibility due to her irrational behavior. Therefore, this complaint is not sustained.



Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 1  
03/08/2016

Case #: 13-12-IA

Date/Time Reported: 03/22/2013 @ 1550  
Report Date/Time: 03/22/2013 @ 1550  
Occurred Between: 03/18/2013 @ 1551  
                  And: 03/22/2013 @ 1551  
Complaint Type: VIOLATION DEPT. RULE  
Disposition: OTHER

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]



Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 2  
03/08/2016

Case #: 13-12-IA

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*CONFIDENTIAL PERSON REPORT\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

PERSON(S)	PERSON TYPE	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONF
1 	PARTICIPANT	F		25		

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-12-IA

On March 22, 2013, [REDACTED] forwarded an anonymous letter sent to the Mayor's office to the Internal Affairs Office. The letter claimed that a crossing guard named [REDACTED] has supervised visitation with her children. The letter questioned the ability of [REDACTED] to care for the safety of other children, if she herself could not properly care for own.

On Aug. 1, 2014, a criminal background check was completed on [REDACTED] there was no records found for her. [REDACTED] had been a custody battle over custody for her kids and believes that the other party is the person whom wrote the anonymous letter. The custody situation has been resolved.

There is no information available that suggests that [REDACTED] shouldn't be qualified to be a crossing guard.

Case forwarded to [REDACTED].



**Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report**

Page: 1  
03/08/2016

Case #: 13-11-IA

Date/Time Reported: 03/19/2013 @ 0808  
Report Date/Time: 03/21/2013 @ 0808  
Occurred On: 03/01/2012 @ 0808

Complaint Type: CONDUCT UNBECOMING  
Disposition: NOT SUSTAINED

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: Residence/Home/Apt./Condo      Zone: Tab 5-4

1	UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT			C
	OCURRED: 03/01/2012	0808		

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	F	[REDACTED]	53	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION:					
	Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]					
	ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]					
	RESIDENT STATUS: Resident					
	VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1					

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION:				
	Home Phone (Primary) 401-727-9100				
	BODY: NOT AVAIL.				
	COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.				
	DOB: [REDACTED]				
	PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.				
	LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]				
	ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]				

**[APPEARANCE]**

GLASSES WORN: NO

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE · 401-727-9100

NARRATIVE FOR LIEUTENANT NAPOLEON A GONSALVES

Ref: 13-11-IA

On March 19, 2013, an email was forwarded to internal affairs via the Mayor's office in regards to a complaint of unprofessional conduct by [REDACTED] by [REDACTED].

[REDACTED] was contacted and interviewed via telephone. She stated that last year [REDACTED] responded to her home for a landlord tenant matter. She stated that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] was unprofessional towards her and she thought he had a bias towards woman. She stated that she had saw his name in the news for a domestic arrest, so she wanted to make us aware of the incident.

[REDACTED] specifically was upset that the officer was speaking amicably with the tenants. She stated that the officer was there simply as a "peace keeper", and no other roll. The officer had questioned her about not allowing her tenants access to the basement, and she felt that this was improper. She also felt that he was taking too long in facilitating the tenants taking items out of her basement. She also stated that the officer while communicating with the officers on his radio, had utilized a 10 code reference about her that implied that she was mentally unstable. She was familiar with the code because of her law enforcement background as an ACI guard. She stated that she called [REDACTED] and asked for a supervisor to respond to the scene. However, once the supervisor arrived and the Officer used the 10 code reference again, she asked the officers to leave.

A review of dispatch records show no entries for [REDACTED] responding to that address for this matter. There were a couple of other entries involving other officers for similiar circumstances, it did not show any supervisors being sent to the scene.

[REDACTED] could not provide any substance to her statement that she felt that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] had a predisposed bias towards women. This incident as depicted by [REDACTED] is unfounded on the basis that there is no records of [REDACTED] [REDACTED] being dispatched to her home for a landlord tenant dispute last year. It appears that the complainant has confused [REDACTED] [REDACTED] with the other officers. In addition, based on what she has reported it does not appear to be enough to warrant a complaint of unprofessional behavior.

Report forwarded to [REDACTED] for review.







NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-4-IA

The Internal Affairs office received a letter on January 21, 2013, the letter referenced unprofessional behavior by an officer while on duty. The letter did not have a return address, nor did the letter provide the identity of the writer. In the letter a reference was made to Rhode Island license plate, [REDACTED], which was found to be registered to [REDACTED], the spouse of [REDACTED].

On January 26, 2013, [REDACTED] informed me that [REDACTED] is claiming to have had a [REDACTED]. It was also learned that [REDACTED] had filed a report with [REDACTED] P.D. in regards to receiving some letters threatening to "out" him.

On January 28, 2013, [REDACTED] was interviewed in the internal affairs office after being advised of his administrative rights and given a notice of investigation. [REDACTED] waived his right to have representation with him during the interview.

[REDACTED] in being questioned about the letter received by internal affairs stated that he also received some letters. Those letters referenced the officer having an extramarital affair. [REDACTED] admitted having an affair with a woman named [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was suspected by [REDACTED] of sending letters threatening to expose an extramarital relationship. [REDACTED] stated that he "absolutely" did not have any on duty sexual activity with anyone during his career. The interview was recorded on audio.

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] reported being approached by [REDACTED] in regards to some threatening letters in the weeks prior to his relapse. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] had approached him years prior about some concerns he had about a woman he was attempting to end a relationship with. The woman he claimed to be unbalanced and had threatened him. [REDACTED] stated that he gave [REDACTED] advice on how to handle the woman. [REDACTED] never mentioned the problem again, so [REDACTED] believed [REDACTED] had resolved the matter. Just recently, [REDACTED] approached [REDACTED] and told him he was being harassed by the same woman again. [REDACTED] had several letters in his hand, and stated that the letters had been sent to his estranged wife. The letters were written anonymously, but he believed that they were being sent from the same woman that he had problems with in the past. [REDACTED] urged [REDACTED] to speak with a supervisor with higher authority such as [REDACTED].

[REDACTED] approached [REDACTED] about the situation. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] told him about some threatening letters. [REDACTED] added that [REDACTED] let him look at some of the letters. [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] that he suspected the author of the letters was a female that he had a 9 year affair with. [REDACTED] after determining that it didn't involve any on duty conduct based on the information provided by [REDACTED], advised [REDACTED] to reveal the relationship to his wife. [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] that he was going to file a complaint with the North Smithfield P.D. over the letters.

During their conversation, [REDACTED] brought up [REDACTED]. In December of 2012, [REDACTED] had ordered [REDACTED] to not have contact with [REDACTED] because of her criminal indictment. [REDACTED] assured [REDACTED] that he had no contact with [REDACTED] since she left in late December of 2012.

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-4-IA

On January 29, 2013 [REDACTED] and I interviewed [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. Earlier in the day [REDACTED] and I had gone to [REDACTED] residence but did not find her home. We left a message with her neighbor, [REDACTED], to have [REDACTED] contact us. [REDACTED] was seated in the lobby, while [REDACTED] was brought into the internal affairs office to be interviewed.

[REDACTED] was specifically questioned about her relationship with [REDACTED]. She adamantly denied any involvement with him. Upon further questioning, she became panicked and started crying. She then agreed to speak with us but only if we would allow [REDACTED] to come into the room [REDACTED] was then brought into the room. [REDACTED] stated that she was afraid, and began to tell us about her relationship with [REDACTED]. She confirmed that she had a ten year relationship with [REDACTED]. She first met [REDACTED] when he took a [REDACTED] complaint from her, in regards to her daughter being [REDACTED] at that time gave her a card with his phone number on it and told to call if she had any problems [REDACTED] then pursued a relationship with her, which she eventually consented to. During the course of their relationship, [REDACTED] stated to her that [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that she had frequent sexual relations with [REDACTED] when he was on duty. There were multiple occasions when they had sexual relations in public. Those encounters sometimes occurred in and on police cruisers, and also in her vehicle. They would also have sexual relations at her apartment, [REDACTED]. She stated that the two would almost always meet on weekends, since [REDACTED] began working during the day. [REDACTED] confirmed seeing [REDACTED] in uniform, come and go from [REDACTED] apartment. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] had discussed the strangeness of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] relationship. She also stated that she has heard him say "I love you" to [REDACTED] at one point. [REDACTED] remembers seeing [REDACTED] visiting [REDACTED] on the day of one of the Patriot's playoff games in January, 2013. The Patriots played playoff games on Jan. 13th and 20th of 2013.

[REDACTED] stated that during the course of her relationship with [REDACTED], the two argued quite a bit. The two usually fought over the lack of time that [REDACTED] spent with her, and that he never took her out in public. On one occasion this past fall, [REDACTED] had what she called a pregnancy scare. [REDACTED] wanted her to have an abortion. The two argued in the parking lot of Apex, where he struck her in the stomach area. The two also recently argued about [REDACTED] accusing her of writing letters to his home. Both incidents occurred when [REDACTED] was working. She also stated that during the course of their relationship that she had let him borrow some money. [REDACTED] never paid her back the money that he borrowed. During a rough time during their relationship, she wrote him some letters. Those letters were sent to the police department, but addressed to [REDACTED]

During the fall of 2012, he attempted to give her two watches to sell. She was suspicious of the watches so she gave them back [REDACTED] stated that she was on the phone with her friend [REDACTED] when she met with [REDACTED], because she was concerned about [REDACTED] behavior. This meeting occurred in the Apex parking lot.

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-4-IA

[REDACTED] informed us that she had last spoken with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] on the telephone on January 28, 2013. She stated that he informed her that she would be getting contacted by the Internal Affairs Division. He told her to not tell the investigators anything, and deny everything.

[REDACTED] provided a photograph of her and [REDACTED] taken together. She stated that the photograph was taken by her daughter, and it was taken on Mossashuck Street. The photograph shows [REDACTED] [REDACTED] in uniform from the the shoulders up in the company of [REDACTED]. She also provided a photograph of a watch, which she claimed was one of the ones that [REDACTED] was attempting to have her sell. She also informed us that she had contracted Chlamydia from [REDACTED]. She stated that she had not been sexually active with anyone else but him for the last ten years.

Parts of the interview with [REDACTED] were audio taped, and video taped statements were also taken. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] later provided another video statement in regards to a domestic violence case listing [REDACTED] [REDACTED] as the suspect.

On Feb. 2, 2013, [REDACTED] showed, [REDACTED] and I, locations within the city of Pawtucket where she had sexual encounters with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] while he was on duty. The locations are as follows:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] was able to specify an occasion that she recently had a sexual liaison with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] in public, and it was on January 1, 2013. That encounter occurred behind a building at [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] was on duty, and the sex occurred inside her vehicle. Attempts were made to obtain video surveillance from [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] (which borders [REDACTED]) but it was not successful.

During the interviews with [REDACTED] she was confronted about the letters written to [REDACTED] [REDACTED] at his home, and she denied any involvement. However, it was learned both through the interview with [REDACTED] that she was a strong suspect in writing the letters. There has been no complaint lodged in regards to the letters received by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] other then a police information report by [REDACTED] P.D.

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-4-IA

On [REDACTED] [REDACTED] was escorted to Family Court at 6th District Court where she received a temporary restraining order against [REDACTED]. At that time, [REDACTED] was in a [REDACTED] in [REDACTED]. He was served with the restraining order by [REDACTED] Police department which has jurisdiction over the [REDACTED] where [REDACTED] is staying.

On Feb. 5, 2013, I contacted, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] of the [REDACTED] P.D. in regards to the report concerning threatening letters to the [REDACTED]. I spoke with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] whom stated that [REDACTED] had filed a report concerning some threatening letters involving an affair that [REDACTED] had with a woman. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] told the [REDACTED] Police Department that he would handle the matter on his own, and the case was closed.

On Feb. 6, 2013, I contacted [REDACTED] whom is a friend of [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] had told us that [REDACTED] had been on the phone with her during one of her arguments with [REDACTED]. The argument she stated occurred in the Apex parking lot, when [REDACTED] [REDACTED] was working. [REDACTED] stated that she overheard a male voice arguing with [REDACTED] while the two were talking with one another on their cell phones. The discussion involved some watches. The couple was also accusing each other of cheating on one another. The conversation she stated occurred on a Saturday, January 13, 2013.

On Feb. 19, 2013, [REDACTED] was arrested and charged with several counts of domestic violence in regards to his relationship with [REDACTED] (See [REDACTED]-AR).

Audio recordings were obtained from [REDACTED] Police Department which contained phone calls from [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. The phone calls eluded to a series of threatening letters received by [REDACTED] family. The letters threatened to expose [REDACTED] in a video, and made indirect threats towards the [REDACTED] family. One of the letters was written in Italian, and threatens to have [REDACTED] "sleep with the fishes". The [REDACTED] P.D. also included a report with the audio recordings. The report was listed as a police information report, and [REDACTED] narrative stated that [REDACTED] was going to handle the matter on his own.

On March 5, 2013, [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] P.D. was interviewed in regards to the threatening letters. He stated that he handled the complaint with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] informed him during a phone conversation that he had been having a ten year affair with a woman whom he believed was responsible for the letters. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] told the detective that he would handle the matter on his own.

On March 14, 2013, [REDACTED] was interviewed in regards to the criminal charges against [REDACTED] in regards to [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] had fabricated some letters in the Fall of 2013, which she sent to [REDACTED] [REDACTED] anonymously.

On March 21, 2013, [REDACTED] was interviewed in regards to new information received by [REDACTED] [REDACTED] from [REDACTED], in regards to letters sent to [REDACTED] home. [REDACTED] stated that she sent approximately 6 letters to [REDACTED] and his wife at their home in North Smithfield. She stated that she obtained information about the couple through Google searches. She also had someone call [REDACTED] home and sent someone to

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-4-IA

drop a letter off at his home. She stated that she was upset at [REDACTED] because he had lied to her about his marital status. Her discovery of the lie had created emotional distress on her, and she hoped to create the same for [REDACTED] [REDACTED] through the letters and phone call.

---

[REDACTED] and I, based on information from a confidential informant were able to locate a [REDACTED] [REDACTED] stated to us that she had sex with [REDACTED] while he was on duty. The act took place on top of a Pawtucket Police cruiser, near [REDACTED], about 10 years ago. On, Feb. 7, 2013, a video taped statement was taken from [REDACTED] in the rear of [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] guided myself and [REDACTED] to the rear of [REDACTED] Pawtucket, RI. She pointed an area close to [REDACTED] where, she stated that she had sexual relations with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] while he working on a patrol car. The incident occurred about ten years ago either during the spring or fall she stated. The area in which she pointed out has undergone some reconstruction, which has changed the appearance of the area.

---

On Feb. 7, 2013, [REDACTED] was interviewed in the Internal Affairs office by [REDACTED] and I. [REDACTED] had been involved in a previous internal affairs investigation. The investigation led to a written reprimand being issued to [REDACTED] [REDACTED] for improper associations and for failure to notify when transporting a passenger in a police cruiser. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] had visited [REDACTED] on numerous occasions while on duty, and transported her in a police vehicle without notifying anyone. [REDACTED] has had several arrests, and was suspected of having been a prostitute operating out of the Comfort Inn. There was also a follow up investigation by [REDACTED] which involved an order being given which prohibited [REDACTED] [REDACTED] from having contact with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] had been criminally indicted for charges relating to her arrest for having sexual relations with a [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] during the interview was at times uncooperative and did not want the interview recorded. She stated repeatedly that she did not want to be the reason why he ([REDACTED] [REDACTED]) lost his job. She stated that her relationship with [REDACTED] began when he responded to her apartment on a call for service. The call for service involved a reported [REDACTED] complaint involving [REDACTED] as the victim, which occurred in [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] gave her his phone number and asked her to call him. She stated that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] asked her to go out dates with him on several occasions, but she was hesitant so she kept putting him off. She felt flattered by [REDACTED] [REDACTED] attention, but stated her self-esteem was so low that she was afraid of getting involved with him. She was concerned about not being good enough for him. She eventually went out on date with him. She stated that their first sexual encounter occurred at the [REDACTED] (It should be noted that there was a report on file involving a domestic arrest where [REDACTED] boyfriend claimed she was [REDACTED])

Early on in the relationship, [REDACTED] told her that he was [REDACTED] and that he traveled to Italy with his

## NARRATIVE FOR LIEUTENANT NAPOLEON A GONSALVES

Ref: 13-4-IA

father. [REDACTED] stated that she laughed at him when he told her that he was [REDACTED]. She did believe however that he traveled to Italy with his father last summer.

[REDACTED] was asked if she ever had sexual relations with [REDACTED] while he was on duty. She stated that she had not, but that the two had discussed having sex on a police cruiser with him this upcoming summer. She stated when questioned about having sex with him in a cruiser "isn't that every girl's fantasy".

[REDACTED] also added that she received a strange message from an unknown person that was threatening. The phone call referenced her relationship with [REDACTED] [REDACTED], and the caller stated that she was taken advantage of [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. The caller stated that they were going to get her. She asked [REDACTED] about the call and he claimed to not know who it came from. During the interview, she attempted to retrieve the saved phone message from her phone but was unable to.

[REDACTED] stated that she was presently staying at [REDACTED] [REDACTED] apartment at [REDACTED] [REDACTED], with his permission. He told her that his brother would be handling the bills associated with the apartment. She also stated that she had been staying there off and on, even after he told her that the department had ordered him to not have contact with her.

[REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and her were always together when he was off duty, up until the time of his last relapse. She added that the two constantly argued because he would get upset over little things. He always accused her of cheating on him, and being a [REDACTED]. She stated that on numerous occasions he told her to pack her stuff up, and that he was taking her back to [REDACTED]. He would then apologize, and the two would make up.

[REDACTED] stated during the interview that she had been told by [REDACTED] [REDACTED] that he had been in relationships with two women that ended in the spring of 2012. One of the women lived off of [REDACTED] in Pawtucket. We disclosed to [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] had a relationship with another women which ran concurrently with her relationship. [REDACTED], did not believe that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] had the other relationship.

After the interview, [REDACTED] and I transported [REDACTED] at her request to [REDACTED] [REDACTED] apartment). The following day we picked her up at [REDACTED] and gave her a ride to her place of employment. [REDACTED] revealed that she had been in contact with [REDACTED] [REDACTED], and the two had discussed her interview with internal affairs. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] told her that he was concerned about internal affairs questioning her. She stated that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] told her to deny everything. She also later disclosed that while talking with us during the first interview that he had called her several times on her cell phone. It was noted during our meeting with [REDACTED] that she had taken several phone calls in our presence. During the short conversations, [REDACTED] stated to the caller that she was with "them" and that she didn't know when she would be done.

[REDACTED] was subsequently reinterviewed on Feb. 14, 2013. She provided more insight into the relationship. She stated that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] had been again in contact with her after her last meeting with us, and that he planned on coming back from rehab to continue their relationship. She stated that he had told her that he would support her as she continued her education, and he talked about buying a house in Johnston in the near future for them to live together. She added again that she wouldn't tell us any information that would jeopardize him losing his job. She stated that she had been living at [REDACTED] [REDACTED] apartment because it was better environment for visitations with her children than [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was in a

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-4-IA

residential program with [REDACTED], which provided her the apartment on [REDACTED]. She planned on having her kids over for the following vacation school week to the apartment. [REDACTED] mother has custody of her kids currently [REDACTED] was very concerned about her future plans, and how she was going to be able to keep her life stable without [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] went on to disclose information about [REDACTED]. She stated that he had begun [REDACTED] prior to the latest incident. She stated that "he can't [REDACTED]" because its a trigger for him which [REDACTED]. She admitted to having a [REDACTED], and that [REDACTED] was aware of her [REDACTED]. Despite the fact that they were both [REDACTED], he had made arrangements for them to [REDACTED] in the Fall of 2012.

She related some incidents that occurred during the period just before [REDACTED] [REDACTED] latest [REDACTED]. She stated that he had dropped her off at her apartment on [REDACTED], and was supposed to comeback and get her. She received a text in the middle of the night from [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. The text she thought was meant for someone else, and she interpreted as a [REDACTED] because he was talking "ghetto" and there was a mention of [REDACTED]. The word [REDACTED] is slang for [REDACTED]. She added that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] never talked to her in that manner so she believed it was meant for someone else.

She also told us about [REDACTED] [REDACTED] telling her that he had gotten some letters, and was concerned. One of the letters was in Italian, and was threatening. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] told her that he was concerned about woman named [REDACTED] having a video of him having sex with her.

---

Based on information from a confidential sources it was learned that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] had inappropriate sexual relations with a [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

[REDACTED] was interviewed on Feb. 18, 2013, in the internal affairs office with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] present. She stated that she had been in a relationship with [REDACTED] during the 2002-2004 range. The two had sexual relations while on a Pawtucket Police cruiser. She stated that sexual acts took place in the rear factory building near [REDACTED] on [REDACTED]. She stated that relationship was mainly sexual, and that she stopped seeing him [REDACTED] refuses to give an audio tape statement without consulting with an attorney.

On Feb. 22, 2013, I spoke with [REDACTED] who stated that he is representing [REDACTED]. He was advised of the nature of the investigation being conducted. An appointment was setup to have [REDACTED] come in and give a statement in the presence of the attorney. I subsequently was contacted by [REDACTED] whom stated that she is going to respond to the station and gave a statement without her lawyer being present.

On Feb. 22, 2013, [REDACTED] was reinterviewed and gave a audio taped statement. In the statement she stated that [REDACTED] had introduced himself to her at disturbance call. He gave her a business card with his name on it, and wrote a cell phone number on the back of the card. She subsequently called him, and they began to have a relationship. During the relationship she would have sex with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] while he was on duty in uniform, and at least on two occasions they had sex on a cruiser in public. She stated that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] had told her



NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-4-IA

that he was affiliated with [REDACTED], and had done [REDACTED] for them. She also stated that during her relationship with him that she was [REDACTED] at the time, and had associates that [REDACTED]. She added that she had [REDACTED] by [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] also told her during their relationship that he used steroids. She stated that she felt that when he used steroids during the time they were together [REDACTED], and she referred to it as a cycle. She also stated that he once asked [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] was interviewed in the internal affairs office on Feb. 20, 2013, with the assistance of [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] informed us that she had sexual relations with [REDACTED] while he was on duty as a Pawtucket Police Officer. She stated that she was introduced to him by a prostitute she knew as [REDACTED] and that she had told him that [REDACTED] was interested in her. She stated soon afterwards the two started having sexual relations at her apartment on Pawtucket Avenue. She estimated that she had sex about 3 dozen times beginning three years ago, and ending last summer. On most of the occasions, [REDACTED] had been in uniform and appeared to be on duty because he had met her driving a police cruiser. She stated that he had met her at her house on [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and the [REDACTED] to have sex. She also stated that they had sex in her vehicle while he was working at [REDACTED] on [REDACTED] and behind [REDACTED] near a baseball field. She stated that all these sexual encounters happened during the day.

She also stated that [REDACTED] had texted her in the middle of the night in the spring of 2012. The text she interpreted as being a request for her to get [REDACTED]. She called him the following day, and confronted him about the request. She stated that he told her that he was in a hotel room [REDACTED] "with a buddy, and apologized for the text.

[REDACTED] also stated that during one of [REDACTED] visits to her apartment, that she had inadvertently left out [REDACTED]. She stated that she tried to hide it after he saw it, but he shrugged it off and told her not to worry about it. She added that during her relationship, that he never asked her for any information about criminal activity.

[REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] had been in contact with her days prior to the interview, and had visited her at her home. He told her to be expecting to be interviewed about their relationship, and that the questioning would focus upon sexual relations with her. She added that they had stopped having sexual relations around the summer of 2012, because she was tired of only having sex with him outside in vehicles.

[REDACTED] gave a audio taped statement.

On March 21, 2013, [REDACTED] guided [REDACTED] and I, to a location in [REDACTED] which she stated is a location in which she had sex on numerous occasions with [REDACTED]. She also informed us that she had [REDACTED] with [REDACTED] child in the early part of 2012. [REDACTED] [REDACTED], when he met with her at the [REDACTED]. The two had sexual relations then and he

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-4-IA

was on duty during that encounter.

She also stated that in the Spring of 2011, she had met [REDACTED] [REDACTED] at the [REDACTED] and had a sexual encounter with him. She then ran out of gas when attempting to leave [REDACTED]. She got gas with the assistance of one of the [REDACTED] workers and [REDACTED]. She was driving a black sports utility vehicle.

In interviews with the workers at the [REDACTED], it was learned that in the summer of 2012, a police cruiser would regularly visit the [REDACTED] but would park in an isolated area near a dirt pile in the north east end of [REDACTED]. The visits would occur during the day. One of the workers, [REDACTED], recalled assisting a female driving a black sports utility that had run out of gas. He added that a police officer was on scene when he came across her. He added that he would not be able to identify the parties involved.

On April 19th, 2013, a notification of investigation was drawn up and submitted to Attorney [REDACTED], whom has been retained to represent the City of Pawtucket. Attorney [REDACTED] turned over to [REDACTED] counsel, [REDACTED] the notification via email. An interview is set up to be conducted with [REDACTED] on April 25, 2013.

On April 25, 2013, Attorney [REDACTED] informed [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] was intending on resigning.

An agreement was subsequently reached between the City of Pawtucket and [REDACTED].

On April 29, 2013, this investigation was closed.

[REDACTED] resigned on April 30, 2013.

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-4-IA



**Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report**

**Case #: 13-1-IA**

Date/Time Reported: 12/26/2012 @ 1325  
 Report Date/Time: 01/04/2013 @ 1325  
 Occurred Between: 12/25/2012 @ 0500  
                     And: 12/25/2012 @ 0540  
 Complaint Type: EXCESSIVE FORCE  
 Disposition: EXONERATED

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
	LOCATION TYPE: Government/Public Building PAWTUCKET POLICE STATION 121 ROOSEVELT AVE PAWTUCKET RI 02860		Zone: Tab 7-4	
1	EXCESSIVE FORCE OCCURRED: 12/25/2012 0530			C

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
1	[REDACTED] EMPLOYER: [REDACTED] CONTACT INFORMATION: Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED] Home Phone [REDACTED] ETHNICITY: Hispanic RESIDENT STATUS: Non Resident VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1	M	[REDACTED]	18	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
1	[REDACTED] CONTACT INFORMATION: Home Phone (Primary) 401-727-9100 BODY: NOT AVAIL. DOB: NOT AVAIL. LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	3940	NOT AVAIL	401-727-9100
						COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL. PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL. ETHNICITY: [REDACTED] EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: CITY OF PAWTUCKET 401-727-9100

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 13-1-IA

On Dec 27, 2012, an internal affairs complaint was received from [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] alleged that he was assaulted on Dec. 25, 2012, by an Officer when he was escorted to cell block by the officer. [REDACTED] explicitly stated that he was struck in the face with an open hand, in the rear stairway of the police station.

Video surveillance images were captured showing the arrest of [REDACTED]. The video shows him being taken into custody by [REDACTED] with the assistance of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] escorts [REDACTED] through the station, with a firm grip on [REDACTED] right bicep. The escort goes from the lobby area to the rear stairwell heading towards cell block on the southeast side of the police department. [REDACTED] releases his grip on the second landing and nudges [REDACTED] towards the processing officers.

At no time is there any signs of a strike to [REDACTED] face. The escort is done in a firm manner, and it appears that [REDACTED] is being forcefull with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was confronted with the inconsistency between his account and the video, but he still maintains that he was struck. It should also be noted that Youth Bureau had developed information about [REDACTED] involvement in a string of larceny from vehicles. The larcenies is the basis of [REDACTED] having come in contact with the Pawtucket Police Department.

[REDACTED] is exonerated from the complaint made by [REDACTED] based on the video surveillance contradicting the complaint. [REDACTED] was interviewed in regards to the incident. He was advised to do a Use of Force on the incident. It was also brought to his attention that [REDACTED] was processed with no supervisor in cell block.

Case submitted to [REDACTED] for review.

After review by the [REDACTED], this matter is closed.



Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 1  
03/08/2016

Case #: 12-5-IA

Date/Time Reported: 01/09/2012 @ 0921  
Report Date/Time: 01/09/2012 @ 0921  
Occurred On: 01/09/2012 @ 0921

Complaint Type: VIOLATION DEPT. RULE  
Disposition: SUSTAINED

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
	LOCATION TYPE: Other/Unknown 121 ROOSEVELT AVE PAWTUCKET RI 02860		Zone: Tab 7-4	
1	SOCIAL NETWORKING POLICY OCCURRED: 01/09/2012 0921			C

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
1	[REDACTED]	M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION:					
	Home Phone	(Primary)	[REDACTED]			
	Home Phone	(Primary)	[REDACTED]			
	BODY: NOT AVAIL.		COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.			
	DOB: [REDACTED]		PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.			
	LICENSE NUMBER: RI unknown		ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]			

[APPEARANCE]

GLASSES WORN: NO

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE

Fawcett Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 2  
03/08/2016

Case #: 12-5-IA

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*CONFIDENTIAL PERSON REPORT\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

#	PERSON(S)	PERSON TYPE	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
1	██████████ ██████████ UNK ██████████ UNK ██████████	REPORTING PARTY	█	U	00		

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-5-IA

On 1/9/2012 the Pawtucket Police Department received a citizen complaint via email regarding a face book posting by [REDACTED]. The email which was received was from [REDACTED], contained the following statement "If some kid points a gun at me. He will be very lucky if I only shoot him 3 times. Or maybe I should wait until he shoots me in the face ? Tell that to my wife and son. Fucking civilians"

[REDACTED] attempts were made to contact [REDACTED] however they were unsuccessful.

On 1/10/2012 [REDACTED] was interviewed regarding this complaint. At that time he readily admitted that he was responsible for the quote and that the quote was in reference to the recent police shooting in Texas. He stated that he was unaware that he was in violation of department policy and that this would never occur again.

[REDACTED] was issued the departments social networking policy.

[REDACTED] has been an officer since 11/30/07. A review of [REDACTED] file shows that he was involved in two minor accident which no action was taken.

It is the recommendation of [REDACTED] that the incident be handled administratively.





Pawtucket Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 1  
03/08/2016

Case #: 12-41-IA

Date/Time Reported: 07/08/2012 @ 1508  
Report Date/Time: 07/11/2012 @ 1438  
Occurred Between: 06/15/2012 @ 1439  
And: 07/11/2012 @ 1439  
Complaint Type: VIOLATION DEPT. RULE  
Disposition: SUSTAINED

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Assisting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TITLE/CHAP	SECTION
---	------------	-----	------------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: Residence/Home/Apt./Condo      Zone: Tab 5-3  
74 ANTHONY AVE  
PAWTUCKET RI 02860

1	VIOLATION OF RULES AND REGS OCCURRED: 07/08/2012    1512			C
---	---	--	--	---

#	PERSON(S)	PERSON TYPE	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED] PAWTUCKET RI 02860 DOB: [REDACTED] EMPLOYER: NONE CONTACT INFORMATION: Home Phone                      (Primary) [REDACTED]	PARTICIPANT	F	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	---	-------------	---	------------	------------	-----------	------------

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1	[REDACTED] CONTACT INFORMATION: Home Phone                      (Primary) [REDACTED]  BODY: NOT AVAIL. DOB [REDACTED] LICENSE NUMBER [REDACTED]		M	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT AVAIL	[REDACTED]
---	---	--	---	------------	------------	-----------	------------

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.  
PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.  
ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

[APPEARANCE]

GLASSES WORN: NO

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE STATION [REDACTED]

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-41-IA

On July 8, 2012, I was notified by [REDACTED] that he had received information from a friend who resided on Anthony Avenue in Pawtucket. The reporting party saw some suspicious activity by Officer [REDACTED]. Allegedly Officer [REDACTED] had gone over to [REDACTED] and had allowed a female resident from that address ride in the front seat of a Pawtucket Police cruiser. He had left the neighborhood with the female and returned about twenty minutes later to drop her off. [REDACTED] has some concerns about whether the female that was seen with Officer [REDACTED] maybe a prostitute.

On July 9, 2012, I contacted [REDACTED] and relayed this information from [REDACTED]. He informed me to start an investigation into the activities of Officer [REDACTED] in relation with [REDACTED].

IMC record checks revealed no dispatch entries for Officer [REDACTED] at [REDACTED] that was initiated by the officer as of July 9, 2012. He had been dispatched to that location in Nov. 8, 2011, for a possible sexual assault that occurred in Johnston, see report [REDACTED]-OF for further information.

On July 9, 2012, I met with the Pawtucket resident whom had provided the information to [REDACTED]. The reporting party expressed the desire to remain anonymous in this matter. It was verified that the reporting party was a person in good standing in the community, and had no apparent malice intent. The reporting party was familiar with Officer [REDACTED] but did not know him personally. The reporting party stated that a female neighbor on [REDACTED], was suspected of being a prostitute. The female neighbor has several male visitors per day and she goes on short rides with them. The female neighbor would be gone for about 20-30 minutes each time. Officer [REDACTED] was observed on three occasions giving the female neighbor for rides that were about 20-30 minutes long. The reporting party stated that it was well known in the neighborhood that the female neighbor was a prostitute and that she would engage in those activities with Officer [REDACTED]. There was also a rumor that another Pawtucket Police Officer visited the female neighbor as well, but it could not be verified as to what officer it could be at this time. That information was an uncorroborated rumor according to the reporting party.

An IMC check revealed an [REDACTED] who matched the description provided by the reporting party. Subsequent reports from IMC revealed that Mrs. [REDACTED] had several domestic incidents involving an [REDACTED] this year at that address. One incident in particular occurred at the [REDACTED], in that incident [REDACTED] was arrested for assaulting Mrs. [REDACTED]. In the report, Mr. [REDACTED] stated to the officers that Mrs. [REDACTED] was prostituting herself on the advertisement service "Back Pages", which is an adult service classified advertisement.

During the investigation it was also learned that Mrs. [REDACTED] had been a correctional officer both at [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] (D.O.C), at [REDACTED]. It was also learned that she was married to a [REDACTED], whom was also a correctional officer at [REDACTED]. In conversations with Scituate and Gloucester P.D., it was learned that Ms. [REDACTED] had been involved in numerous events in their towns.

She had been involved in a sexual relationship with sex offender, which led to her husband attacking her and the sex offender with a combat knife. After the assault her husband committed suicide in their garage. Mrs. [REDACTED] lost custody of her children because, she allowed the sex offender to be in their presence.

The police departments also had contact with Ms. [REDACTED] at motels in the areas which were known for drugs and prostitution. They observed her at these locations appearing to be under the influence of narcotics.

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-41-1A

A check with [REDACTED], revealed that Ms. [REDACTED] has a sorted history of employment. She was disciplined on numerous occasions for her behavior. She was also terminated for having sexual relations with an inmate. Previous to that she was involved in an incident where her late husband, had chased her and another correctional officer in a vehicle. She was also involved in domestic violence with her late husband at the correctional facility. Both her and her husband's employment with [REDACTED] D.O.C. was eventually terminated.

Mrs. [REDACTED] criminal record indicates a few arrests based on an Operating Under the Influence and traffic offenses. She currently has a suspended RI driver's license.

On July 10, 2012, Officer [REDACTED] vehicle was observed at [REDACTED] by [REDACTED]. During that time frame, there was information dispatched about a homicide suspect being in the area. Dispatch logs revealed that Officer [REDACTED] was in service, and was not logged out at the address.

On July 10, 2012, Officer [REDACTED] was interviewed in regards to a previous complaint from a citizen, Officer [REDACTED] appearance and behavior at the time of the interview did not suggest that he was under the influence of any illegal substance. However, he exhibited signs of nervousness, watery eyes and redness around the nose. Officer [REDACTED] has had a past substance abuse problem, and he signed a substance abuse testing agreement. Due to the association with [REDACTED], the citizen complaint and the possible physical symptoms it was decided to have Officer [REDACTED] submit to a drug test.

On July 11, 2012, Officer [REDACTED] submitted to a drug test and the results came back negative for any illegal substance. He was given a notification letter prior to the test as per the testing agreement.

[REDACTED] of the Johnston Police Department was interviewed in regards to this matter. He stated that he met with Officer [REDACTED] and Mrs. [REDACTED] when she made allegations of a sexual assault back on Nov. 8, 2011. He stated that Officer [REDACTED] acted professionally while in his presence, and was attempting to persuade Mrs. [REDACTED] to cooperate with the investigation. Mrs. [REDACTED] was not cooperative with the following through with the complaint.

Officer [REDACTED] was interviewed on July 11, 2012, in the Internal Affairs Office. He was accompanied by Union President [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] was present during the interview. The interview was audio taped. Officer [REDACTED] was given a notification of the investigation, and was advised of his Administrative Rights (Garrity) via prepared document. Officer [REDACTED] signed and acknowledged that he understood the rights.

Officer [REDACTED] answered all the questions put forth to him. He explained that he had gone over to [REDACTED] on several occasions to meet a "friend", whom he identified as [REDACTED]. He stated that she was in trouble with drugs and alcohol, and that he was trying to help her through a hard time in her life. He has known her for about ten years, they met through mutual associations at [REDACTED]. He stated that they "hit off" when they first met, and that he hadn't seen her for several years until he saw her again at [REDACTED] about 6-7 months ago. He stated that he goes over their a lot to see Mrs. [REDACTED]. He added that there is no sexual activity between him and her, and that he's just trying to help her get through her sobriety. He stated that he has given her rides in his police cruiser twice to the local convenience store. He stated that he would be shocked if she was prostituting herself. He stated that she works at [REDACTED] on [REDACTED]. He

## NARRATIVE [REDACTED]

Ref: 12-41-IA

reiterated that their relationship is not sexual, and that he's trying to help her with her substance abuse problems.

On July 12, 2012, [REDACTED] and I responded to [REDACTED] to speak with [REDACTED]. She was located in the rear of that residence sun bathing in a string bikini. She invited the officers to her apartment, where we spoke to her for several minutes. She stated that Officer [REDACTED] and her are just friends. [REDACTED] has helped her a lot with her problems. She stated that the house in which she lives is a sober house run by Gateway. Her residency at the house is part of an agreement with DCYF, to help her regain custody of her children. She has accepted rides to the local convenience store on several occasion by Officer [REDACTED]. He has allowed her to sit in the front of his cruiser while he transported her, and nothing inappropriate occurred between the two.

It should be noted that Mrs. [REDACTED] physical appearance and demeanor is consistent with a person whom might be assumed to be a female escort. All though at this time there is no supporting facts other than Mr. [REDACTED] statement and the assumption made by her neighbors' observations that she is a female escort and or prostitute.

Voxnet phone record checks revealed several calls from Mrs. [REDACTED] phone to the police station. The calls are complaints about her roommate and her ex boyfriend [REDACTED]. There is one call from her phone made by Officer [REDACTED] to [REDACTED]; in which the [REDACTED] tells him to contact internal affairs. That call took place after the start of the investigation but before the interview.

There was no information developed that would suggest that there was any inappropriate contact between Officer [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], while the officer was on duty.

[REDACTED] after reviewing the case, issued a formal written reprimand for violation of the rules regulations, specifically for "Inappropriate Association" and also for transporting Ms. [REDACTED] in a police vehicle without notification and authorization.

A letter was sent to Officer [REDACTED] and retained in his file.