2020 Notre Dame Football Prev

Head Coach (Yr)
Brian Kelly (11) Offensive Coord.
Tommy Rees (1) Defensive Coord.
Clark Lea (3) Conference/Div
Independent

Team Profile	#	Rk
2020 Team Power Rating	85.3	13
Power Ratings Diff vs Last Year	-2.0	88
2020 Strength of Schedule	75.3	16
2020 Season Win Projection	9.0	14
Returning Starters (OFF/DEF)	12 (7/5)	87
Return Starting QB (YES/NO)	YES	
Returning Production %	59%	83
Returning Offense Production	66%	64
Returning Defense Production	51%	102
2020 Recruiting (Signees)	17	17
2020 Roster Talent Rank	_	14

2020 Offense/Defense Analysis

Notre Dame has won at least 10 games in three consecutive seasons for the time since 1991-93. However, for all their recent success, the Irish have consecutive seasons for the security of th up short in the bigger games (Miami in 2017, Clemson in 2018 and Michig last year). This year's team has a good chance to make it four straight d ble-digit win seasons but can the Irish finally get over the hump and win a game (i.e. at home vs Clemson)?

The Irish return 12 starters including QB Ian Book who will likely finish career as the No. 2 all-time passer in ND history behind Brady Quinn. ND do lose their leading rusher and their top three receivers as both WR Claypool a TE Kmet were 2nd-round draft picks. Keep an eye out on WR's Kevin Au and speedster Braden Lenzy who could emerge this season. The best unit is offensive line which returns all five starters from last season (115 career star The defense has been the strength of the team the last couple of years thank D.C. Clark Lea and this year's unit will be tough again. The Irish return th top two tacklers including LB Jeremiah Owusu-Koromoah (80 tackles, 1 total TFL's) who is probably ND's best NFL prospect. The secondary is by safety Kyle Hamilton who flashed as a true frosh last season with 4 INT The schedule is again among the toughest in the country, but ND should significant favorites in 9 of their 12 games. How ND fares in the big games Wisconsin, Clemson and USC will ultimately determine if this program ta the next step and joins the nation's elite.

2020 Schedule with BP Projected Lines

Date	Opponent	Line	Win %	Line	<u> Total</u>	Score	W/L	C
9/5	at Navy	-13.5	83%					
9/12	Arkansas	-22.9	94%					
9/19	W Michigan	-27.7	96%					
9/26	* Wake Forest	-18.8	92%					
10/3	* Wisconsin	4.8	37%					
10/10	Stanford	-16.1	89%					
10/17	at Pittsburgh	-10.0	75%					
10/24								
10/31	Duke	-21.0	93%					
11/7	Clemson	8.6	29%					
11/14	† Georgia Tech	-14.9	85%					
11/21	Louisville	-14.1	84%					
11/28	at USC	2.1	45%					
	Projected W	ins	9.02					

	110	jeer	cu r	111.5									
Las	st 5	Ye	ar F	lec	ord	S			AT				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total	ND h	AS WO	on 18	stra	ight	home	gan
Straight Up	10-3	4-8	10-3	12-1	11-2	47-17	he h	eann Bann	favi	nrite	niu s to	'20. Ti exte	nd t
Home	6-0	2-4	6-1	6-0	7-0	27-5	strea	ık to	22 9	amo	es u	ntil C	lem
Away	3-2	0-3	3-2	4-0	3-2	13-9	visits	s Sou	ith Be	end a	n Na	vemb	er 71
Neutral	1-1	2-1	1-0	2-1	1-0	7-3		E	na (DT S	iea	son	
Conference	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	Po	We	r Ra	atiı	na '	201	5-1
Non-Conf	10-3	4-8	10-3	12-1	11-2	47-17	105 -						
ATS	7-5-1	4-8	8-5	6-6-1	9-4	34-28-2	95 -						
Home Fav	4-1	1-4	4-3	2-3	5-2	16-13	85 -	-	-	/			
Home Dog	1-0	1-0	0-0	1-0	0-0	3-0	75 -						
Away Fav	1-2	0-1	3-2	2-1-1	2-2	8-8-1	65 -						
Away Dog	1-0-1	0-2	0-0	0-0	1-0	2-2-1	55 -						
Conference	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	35 -						
Non-Conf	7-5-1	4-8	8-5	6-6-1	9-4	34-28-2							
O/U	7-6	6-6	6-6-1	6-7	5-8	30-33-1		2015	20:	16	2017	2018	20:
2015 (SU:	10-3. A	TS: 7-5	5-1. O/U	l: 7-6)	2	016 (SU:	4-8. AT	S: 4-	B. O/L	J: 6-6	i]	201	7 (S
Date Opponer		Line	Score	W/LO/U	Date	Opponent		Line	Score	W/L	.O/U	Date	Орре
9/5 Texas 9/12 at Virgi	nia	-9 -12'	38-3 34-27		3'9/4 5'9/10	at Texas-	2ot	-3'				9/2 9/9	Tem
9/19 Georgia		+2	30-22	W u55	9/10	Nevada Michigar	n St	-27	39-10 28-36			9/9	Geoi at Bo
9/26 Massac			62-27	W 060	9/24	Duke	150		35-38			9/23	at M
10/3 at Clem 10/10 Navy	son	+2	22-24 41-24		, 10/1	† Syracu			50-33			9/30	Mia
10/17 USC		-6	41-31		,10/8	at NC Sta			3-10			10/7	at N
10/24					10/15	Stanford		-3	10-17	/ L	u54	10/21 10/28	
10/31 at Temp 11/7 at Pittst		-11 -9	24-20 42-30	W 053	3 110/29	Miami, F	L	$^{+1}$	30-27	7 W	u58		Wak
11/14 Wake F	orest	-26'	28-7	L u52	11/5	† Navy		-7	27-28	8 L	u64	11/11	at M
11/21 † Bosto						† Army	T1.		44-6			11/18	
11/28 at Stanf 1/1 † Ohio		+3' +6'	36-38 28-44			Virginia' at USC	Tech	-1 +17	31-34 27-4		053 059'	11/25	at St † LS
.,. Ohio		. 0	20 11	2 050	1.1/20			. 1 /	27 4.	~ ~	00)	11/1	1 LO

		UU	Д	<u> </u>	
Rk		2019	St a	ats	
3	Rushing	ND	Rk	Орр	R
38	YPC	5.0	25	3.8	44
6	YPG	179.2	44 D1	153.1	60
4	Passing Comp %	ND 60.8%	Rk 63	Opp 54.3%	R 1′
37	YPA YPA	7.9	48	5.9	3
	YPG	252.2	48	168.5	3
33	TD-INT	37-6		13-9	
54	Total	ND	Rk	Opp	R
02	YPP YPG	6.3 431.4	28 43	4.7 321.6	8 18
7	Scoring	ND	Rk	Opp	R
4	PPG	36.8	14	17.9	12
	3rd Down		Rk	Opp	R
first	% Red Zone	40.2% ND	64 Rk	32.3%	18 R
ome	TD %	76.4%	кк 8	Opp 56.7%	44
igan	Scoring %	92.7%		96.7%	12
dou-	KO Ret	ND	Rk	Opp	R
big	Avg	19.2	91 D1	17.9	1′ R
hia	Punt Ret	ND 8.4	Rk 54	Opp 3.9	К 1:
his does	Avg Sacks	By	Rk	Vs	R
and	#	34	33	16	1
istin	TFL's	By	Rk	Vs	R
s the rts).	# Net Punt	91 ND	27 Rk	71	54
ts).	Avg	37.7	кк 81		
heir	4th Down	Off	Rk	Follow	Bra
13.5	Att P/Gm	1.9	37	on Twi	tte
led I's.	Turnovers		Rk (BradP	W
d be	Margin Penalties	+17	4 Rk		
s vs	Per Game	6.5	82		
akes	Scorin	g Quar	ter-B	y-Quar	
	10 ND 11		3Q 4		
	ND 11 Opp 44			110 0 78 0	47 23
)/U	2019		_		
				argi	
	Margi		⊦/-	R	
		1071	+1.1		3
	Rush Y				
			+2.0) 14	1
	Pass Y	PA -			1
	Pass YI YPP	PA -	+1.7	9	
	Pass YI YPP YPG	PA - -	⊦1.7 ⊦109	' 9 9.8 14	
	Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring	PA - -	+1.7	' 9 9.8 14 .9 7	
	Pass YI YPP YPG	PA - -	⊦1.7 ⊦109	9 9.8 14 9 7	
	Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019	PA - -	+1.7 +109 +18. √idu Return	9.8 14 9.8 14 9 7 1 81 S 1	1 t a
	Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing	PA - - g - ndiv	+1.7 +109 +18.	9.8 14 9.8 14 9 7 1 al St	1 t a
	Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 In Book	PA - - g - ndiv Bold = F	+1.7 +109 +18. idlu keturn Att 399 3	9.8 14 9.8 14 9 7 9 7 9 8 9 7 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8	
	Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Ian Book Phil Jurko Rushing	PA - - g - ndiv Bold = F	+1.7 +109 +18. (111) (111) (111) (111) (16) (16) (17) (17) (17) (17) (17) (17) (17) (17	9.8 14 9 7 10 51 10 51 1	1 2 0 C
	Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Ian Book Phil Jurko Rushing Tony Jone	PA - - g - ndiv Bold = F	+1.7 +109 +18. idlu eturr Att 3993 16 2 Att 144 8	9 9.8 14 9 7 10 5 10 5 10 5 10 5 10 5 10 5 10 5 10 5	
	Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Ian Book Rushing Tony Jone Ian Book	PA - - g - ndiv Bold = F vec	+1.7 +109 +18. idlu keturn Att 399 3 16 Att 144 8 112 5	9 9.8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 7 9 9 7 9 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 9 7 1 9 9 7 9 9 9 9	
	Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Ian Book Phil Jurko Rushing Tony Jone Ian Book Receiving	PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	+1.7 +109 +18. (eturn Att 399 16 Att 144 Rec	9 9.8 1 9 7 10 5 10 5 10 5 10 5 10 5 10 5 10 5 10 5	
will	Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Ian Book Rushing Tony Jone Ian Book	PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	+1.7 +109 +18. idu keturi Att 399 16 144 8 112 Rec 66	9.8 12 9.8 12 9.7 7 101 S1 109 % 3034 60. 222 75. Yds YP 857 6.0 546 4.9 Yds YP 1037 15. 515 12.	
will that nson	Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Ian Book Phil Jurko Rushing Tony Jone Ian Book Receiving Chase Cla Cole Kme Chris Fink	PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	+1.7 +109 +18. idu eturi 399 16 144 8 144 8 144 8 144 8 144 8 144 8 43 41	9.8 12 9.8 12 9.7 7 101 51 1019 7 101 51 1019 12 75 76 857 6.0 546 4.9 74s YP 1037 15. 515 12. 456 11.	1 2 0 C C 7 0 0 1
will that nson	Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Ian Book Rushing Tony Jone Ian Book Receiving Chase Cla Cole Kme Chris Fink Tommy T	PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	+1.7 +109 +18. idu +18. idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu	9.8 14 9.8 14 9.9 7 101 St 101 St 1034 60. 222 75. 75.4 4.9 745 YP 1037 15. 5515 12. 456 11. 183 11.	1 2 0 C 7 0 0 1 4
will that nson 7th.	Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Ian Book Phil Jurko Rushing Tony Jone Ian Book Receiving Chase Cla Cole Kme Chris Fink Tommy T Defense	PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	+1.7 +109 +18. (du) +18. (du) +18. (du) Att 399 16 2 16 2 16 2 16 2 41 43 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41	9 9 12 9 8 12 9 7 7 101 9 7 101 9 7 101 9 7 101 9 7 101 9 7 102 75 75 103 715 55 12 12 1456 11 183 11 Sks TF	1 2 0 C 7 0 4 4 4
will that nson 7th.	Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Ian Book Rushing Tony Jone Ian Book Receiving Chase Cla Cole Kme Chris Fink Tommy T	PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	+1.7 +109 +18. idu eturn Att 399 16 2 Att 144 8 6 41 41 41 41 5 80 2	9.8 14 9.8 14 9.9 7 101 St 101 St 1034 60. 222 75. 75.4 4.9 745 YP 1037 15. 5515 12. 456 11. 183 11.	1 2 0 C 7 0 0 1 4
will that nson 7th.	Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Ian Book Passing Ian Book Rushing Tony Jone Ian Book Receiving Chase Cla Cole Kme Chris Fink Tommy T Defense Drew Wh J. Owusu-K Asmar Bil	PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	+1.7 +109 +18. idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu id	9 9 8 14 .9 .7	1 2 0 C 7 0 4 4 4
will that nson 7th.	Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Ian Book Phil Jurko Rushing Tony Jone Ian Book Receiving Chase Cla Cole Kme Chris Fink Tommy T Defense Drew Wh J. Owusu-K Asmar Bil Alohi Gilr	PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	+1.7 +109 +18. idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu id	99.8 14 97.8 14 14.9 14 14.9 14 14.9 14 14.9 14 14.9 1	1 2 3 0 0 0 0 0 1 4 4 4
will that nson 7th.	Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Ian Book Phil Jurko Rushing Tony Jone Ian Book Receiving Chase Cla Cole Kme Chris Fink Tommy T Defense Drew Wh J. Owusu-K Asmar Bill Alohi Gilr Jalen Ellic	PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	+1.7 +109 +18. idu ietur 144 112 ± 80 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41	9 9 8 14 9 8 14 9 7 101 9 7 13 51 13 14 101 9 7 13 51 51 14 14 15 15 12 456 11 13 11 13 11 13 13 11 10	1 2 0 7 0 0 1 4 4 4
will that nson 7th.	Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Ian Book Phil Jurko Rushing Tony Jone Ian Book Receiving Chase Cla Cole Kme Chris Fink Tommy T Defense Drew Wh J. Owusu-K Asmar Bil Alohi Gilr Jalen Ellic Khalid Ka	PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	+1.7 +109 +18. idu Att 3993 16 2 8093 16 41 42 80 43 41 41 66 43 41 41 6 79 0 46 43 44 40 46 44 49 0 46	99.8 14 97 101 51 101 51 101 51 101 51 101 51 103 715. 515 12. 456 11. 183 11. 58ks TF 2 6 5.5 8 0 10 1 2 0 0 0 5.5 4.5	1 2 2 0 7 0 0 1 4 4 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
imes will that nson 7th.	Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Ian Book Passing Ian Book Rushing Tony Jone Ian Book Receiving Chase Cla Cole Kme Chris Fink Tommy T Defense Drew Wh J. Owusu-K Asmar Bil Alohi Gilr Jalen Ellic Khalid Ka Kicking Jonathan	PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	+1.7 +109 +18 idu eturr Att 399 16 144 112 Rec 144 112 144 112 144 112 144 112 166 174 166 176 177 109 177 109 177 109 177 109 177 109 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100100	9.8 14 9.8 14 9 7 101 St 101 St 101 St 101 St 1037 15 515 12 1037 15 515 12 1037 15 515 12 1037 15 515 12 1037 15 555 8 0 10 1 2 0 0 555 4.5 10 1 2 0 0 555 4.5 10 1 2 0 0 555 4.5 10 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	1 2 0 7 0 0 1 4 4 1 1 1 2 0 7 0 0 0 1 4 4 4 7 0 0 0 0 1 4 4 4 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
will that nson 7th. 19	Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Ian Book Phil Jurko Rushing Tony Jone Ian Book Receiving Chase Cla Cole Kme Chris Fink Receiving Chase Cla Cole Kme Chris Fink Tommy T Defense Drew Wh J. Owusu-K Asmar Bil Alohi Gilr Jalen Ellic Khalid Ka Kicking Jonathan Punting	PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	+1.7 +109 +18. idu tetur Att 399 4 4 112 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	99.8 14 97.8 14 97.8 14 97.8 14 97.8 14 97.8 14 98.5 14 98.5 15 15 12 15 12 1	1 2 2 7 0 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
	Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Ian Book Phil Jurko Rushing Tony Jone Ian Book Receiving Chase Cla Cole Kme Chris Fink Receiving Chase Cla Cole Kme Chris Fink Tommy T Defense Drew Wh J. Owusu-K Asmar Bil Alohi Gilr Jalen Ellic Khalid Ka Kicking Jonathan Punting Jay Bram	PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	+1.7 +109 +18. idu eturn Att 399 Att 124 Att 112 Att 112 Att 112 Att 112 Att 112 Att 112 Att 114 Att 112 Att 114 Att 112 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 114 Att 116 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 117 Att 317 Att 117 Att 339 Att 117 Att 339 Att 117 Att 339 Att 117 Att 339 Att 117 Att 339 Att 117 Att 339 Att 117 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 339 Att 39	99.8 14 97.8 1	4 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	Pass Y YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Ian Book Passing Ian Book Rushing Tony Jone Ian Book Receiving Chase Cla Cole Kme Chris Fink Tommy T Defense Drew Wh J. Owusu-K Asmar Bil Alohi Gilr Jalen Ellic Khalid Ka Kicking Jonathan Punting Jay Bram	PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	+1.7 +109 +18. idu eturn Att 399 399 41 41 41 41 43 41 41 44 41 44 41 41 44 41 44 41 44 41 44 46 46 49 49 40 74 49 40 46 49 49 40 40 49 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	9 9.8 14 9 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	4 2 0 C 0 7 0 0 1 4 1 1 0 0 1 4 1 1 0 0 0 1 4 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 4 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 4 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Open Close Score ATS -20.5 -19 35 Under: 3 54.5 55 17 Cover: 1 Rk Teams Notre Dame 4 Louisville 50 -ND was not as impressive as the final indicated with only a 423--Irish were +2 TO's and allowed 249 rushing yards (5.3 ypc). ND defense did make adjustments after allowing 163 yards and 14 ۲k 7 points their first 2 drives. New Mexico 64 63.5 14 Over: 16.5 Notre Dame -38.5 -34.5 66 Cover: 17.5 -ND had a 591-363 yard edge but did get out-rushed 212-157. The Irish were +4 in TO's and converted them into 28 points. -The Lobos were without head coach Bob Davie, the former Irish head coach from 1997-2001 who was hospitalized following New Mexico's concing agme 2k 8 Mexico's opening game. ۲k 59.5 59 -15 Notre Dame 2 Cover: 9 Notre Dame 59.5 59 17 Cover: 9 Georgia -12.5 -15 23 Under: 19 -UGA only had a 339-321 yard edge. -The firsh seemed to struggle with the noise judging by five false-start penalties and a botched snap on a fourth-down play. "The crowd impacted the game tonight more than I've ever seen a game impacted." – Kirby Smart. **k** 8 **k** 4 impacted." – Kirby Smart. Virginia 50 47 20 Over: 8 Notre Dame -11 -10.5 35 Cover: 4.5 Misleading Final: Virginia had a 338-322 yard edge but were -4 in TO's. ND's D did have 8 sacks. -ND got 14 points in the 3Q with zero first downs on offense. They got a 7-yard TD "drive" after a long fumble return and ND's D also got a 23-yard fumble return TD. Dawling Comp. 61.5 63.5 0 Under: 11.5 29 Чk 7 łk 5 k Bowling Green 61.5 63.5 0 Under: 11.5 Notre Dame -44.5 -45.5 52 Cover: 6.5 -ND had a \$73-228 yard edge, had zero TO's & only one penalty. 1 Чk 4 -Bowling Green was the first of six opponents in the next seven games to take a week off to prepare for ND. USC 60.5 59.5 27 Cover; 7.5 Notre Dame -11 -10.5 30 Under; 2.5 -ND had a 473-426 yard edge including 308-171 on the ground. her -ND had a 4/3-426 yait edge including 500-77 on the ground. -ND led 20-3 early 3Q but couldn't stop the Trojans offense late. Notre Dame 53.5 -1 14 Over: 11.5 Michigan -4 47.5 45 Cover: 32 er: ers Michigan -4 47.5 45 Cover: 32 -Michigan had 23-12 first down and 437-180 yard edges including -Since beating No. 8 Oklahoma on Oct. 27, 2012, ND has lost II straight true road games against Top 20 teams. 11 straight true road games against 1op 20 teams. Virginia Tech 56.5 88.5 20 Cover: 16.5 Notre Dame -16.5 -17.5 21 Under: 17.5 Misleading Final. ND had 25-12 first down and 442-240 yard edges but had several key TO's. -ND was intercepted at the VT 9-yard line. In the key play of the game, ND fumbled at the VT 2-yard line which was picked up and returned 98 yards for a TD with :09 left in the first half (14-point swing).It was the first fumble by a ND RB since 2015 (nearly 1,300 carries)! -In the second half, ND was intercepted at the VT 2-yard line and also missed a 35-yard FG. **`ota**l 78 33 S -In the second rian, ND was intercepted a the VT2-yard line and also missed a 35-yard FG. -However, on their game-winning 18-play 87-yard drive that culminated with an Ian Book 6-yard TD run with :29 left, ND converted two 4th Downs. Notre Dame -7 -7.5 38 Cover: 23.5 Duke 52 50.5 7 Under: 4.5 -ND had 21-10 first down and 469-197 yard edges including 288 -7 52 95 on the ground. -ND QB Ian Book threw 4 TD passes and had 139 rushing yards. its Navy 54 55.5 20 Over: 16.5 Notre Dame -11 -7.5 52 Cover: 24.5 -Navy had a 20-18 first down edge but ND was more explosive with a 410-360 yard edge. -The Irish were +4 in TO's and all 4 turned into ND TD's. -ND WR Chase Claypool caught four touchdown passes to match a school record. Rati 34-6 2-0 a school record TD a school record -It was the first time since 1973 Thanksgiving Day against Air Force, a string of 273 sold-out games that ND Stadium wasn't sold out. A crowd of 74,080, 3,542 below capacity attended. 6 4 sold out. A crowd of 74,080, 3,542 below capacity attended. Boston College 63.5 65.5 7 Under: 18.5 Notre Dame -18 -20.5 40 Cover: 12.5 -ND had 27-11 first down and 501-191 yard edges. -ND QB lan Book led the Irish in rushing for a 4th straight game. -Notre Dame completed a second straight unbeaten season (7-0) in Notre Dame Stadium, where the Irish have now won 18 straight. -But for the second straight week, Notre Dame Stadium was not filled after a streak of 273 sellouts since 1973. TD 13 6 4 4 Int Notre Dame -14.5 -17 45 Stanford 53 46 24 0 Cover[.] Stanford 53 46 24 Over: 23 -Stanford had a 26-24 FD edge but ND a 445-394 yard edge. -ND also put together three straight seasons of at least 10 wins for the second time in school history, having previously done it 0 0 1 2 under Lou Holtz from 1991-93. under Lou Holtz from 1991-93. Iowa State 56 54.5 9 Under: 12.5 Notre Dame -3.5 -3.5 33 Cover: 20.5 ND had a 455-272 yard edge including 208-45 on the ground. "Even this week. 'Notre Dame is not ready to play.' They used that as another form of motivation to show people wrong, They just read this team wrong," Kelly said. 0 -57 BL /U 55

2019 Game-By-Game Recan

16 (SU: 4-8, AT	S: 4-	8, O/U	: 6-0	6]	201	17 (SU: 10-3, AT	S: 8-5	i, 0/U :	6-0	6-1)	20	18 (SU: 12-1, ATS	S: 6-6	5-1, 0/ I	J: 6	-7)	20) 19 (SU: 11-2, A '				
Opponent	Line	Score	W/I	.O/U	Date	Opponent	Line	Score	W/	LO/U	Date	Opponent		Score				Opponent		Score		
at Texas-2ot	-3'	47-50	L	056	9/2	Temple	-18'	49-16	W	056	9/1			24-17			9/2	at Louisville	-19	35-17	L	u55
Nevada	-27'	39-10	W	u62	9/9	Georgia	-5	19-20	L	u57	9/8			24-16		u60'	9/1	New Martin	247	66.14	117	.0
Michigan St	-7'	28-36	L	050'	9/16	at Boston Coll	-13'	49-20	W	052	9/15	Vanderbilt	-13'	22-17	L	u52	9/14	New Mexico at Georgia		66-14		
Duke	-20'	35-38	L	060	9/23	at Michigan St	-3'	38-18	W	053'	9/22	at Wake Forest	-6'	56-27	W	059'	9/21	Virginia		35-20		
† Svracuse						Miami ŎH	-21	52-17	W/	053'	9/29	Stanford	-5	38-17	W	053	10/5	Virginia Bowling Green USC	-45'	52-0		
at NC State						at N Carolina	-13'	33-10	W	1163	10/0	at Virginia Tech Pittsburgh	-6	45-23	W	055	10/12	USC	-10'	30-27		
Stanford						USC	-4	49-14	Ŵ	n63	10/13	Pittsburgh	-21	19-14	L							
						NC State	-7'	35-14	w	u61	10/20	† Navy	22	44.22	т	o 5 4 '	10/26	at Michigan		14-45		
Miami, FL	+1	30-27	W			Wake Forest	-14'	48-37	T.	055	11/2	at Northwestern	-23	21 21	L D	054	11/2	Virginia Tech at Duke		21-20		
† Navy						at Miami. FL	-3,	8-41	ĩ	1159'	11/5			42-13	W	050	11/9	at Duke		38-7 52-20		
† Army						Navy	-10'	24-17	ī	1150'	11/10	† Svracuse						Boston College		40-7		
Virginia Tech						at Stanford							12	24 17	T	u04	11/23 11/30	at Stanford		45-24		
at USC						† LSU						† Clemson	+113	3-30	ř	u57'	12/28	† Iowa St		33-9		
at 050	1/	27-43	Ľ	039	11/1	1 L30	-13	21-1/	vv	u32	12/29	Ciemson	11	5-50	L	u.)/	1.2,20	100000	5	55 /		4.54

2020 BYU Football Previ Iew Rk **2019 Stats**

YPC YPG

Passing

4.5

159.1 68

BYU

62

Rk



Head Coach (Yr) Kalani Sitake (5) Offensive Coord. Jeff Grimes (3) Defensive Coord. Ilaisa Tujaki (5) Conference/Div Independent

Team Profile 2020 Team Power Rating 68.8 57 Power Ratings Diff vs Last Year -0.9 76 2020 Strength of Schedule 68.5 68 2020 Season Win Projection 72 6.2 Returning Starters (OFF/DEF) 15 (8/7) 30 Return Starting QB (YES/NO) YES Returning Production % 70% 43 Returning Offense Production 77% 26 62% Returning Defense Production 72 2020 Recruiting (Signees) 29 78 2020 Roster Talent Rank 74

2020 Offense/Defense Analysis

This is now the 10th season that BYU has been an independent and while the schedules have featured several big-time opponents, the Cougars have yet to have a break-through season and garner a major bowl bid. Despite his recent contract extension, there certainly hasn't been much consistency for BYU in the Kalani Sitake era. For every big upset win (i.e. Tennessee or USC last year), there's been a frustrating upset loss (i.e. Toledo and South Florida last year). BYU also had three games last year where they dominated the box score but still lost the game (see game recaps to the right).

On paper, 2020 looks more of the same. The Cougars do bring back 15 starters bin paper, 320 tooks infort of the same same barren bedgars to bing out to same including their top 3 QB's who all started last year. Zach Wilson is the favorite but don't be surprised if Jaren Hall doesn't push him in fall camp practices. BYU brings back their top two rushers and adds Utah transfer Devonta'e Henry-Cole. TE Matt Bushman is one of the better TE's in the country but the Cougars must replace their top 3 WR's. The good news is that BYU brings all 5 starters back on their offensive line. The defense returns seven starters includ-ing two of their top linebackers. Keep an eye on 320-lb DT Khyiris Tonga who flashed to us on tape.

The schedule is again difficult to start the season as BYU plays four Power 5 teams to open the season and all four went to a bowl game last year. Currently, we have the Cougars favored in 7 games. They will need to pull an upset to top last year's win total and more importantly win the games they should.

2	020 Schedu Opponent	ile v	/ith B	P Pr	Diec	ted L	ines	S
Date	Opponent	Line	Win %	Line	Total	Score	W/L	0
9/3	at Utah	13.4	19%					
9/12	Michigan St	-1.6	55%					
	at Arizona St	8.2	30%					
9/26	at Minnesota	13.5	19%					
10/2	Utah State	-12.9	81%					
10/10	Missouri	-1.1	53%					
10/16	Houston	-4.1	63%					
10/24	* N. Illinois	-10.2	75%					
10/31								
11/6	at Boise State	9.0	28%					
11/14	San Diego St	-7.2	69%					
11/21	N. Alabama	-41.7	99%					
11/28	at Stanford	8.9	29%					
	Projected W	ins	6.2					

Last 5 Year Records

LUG				IUU	ulu		
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total	Sinc
Straight Up	9-4	9-4	4-9	7-6	7-6	36-29	BYU _.
Home	6-0	5-1	2-4	3-3	4-2	20-10	road
Away	3-2	2-2	2-4	3-3	3-4	13-15	cove
Neutral	0-2	2-1	0-1	1-0	0-0	3-4	
Conference	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	Po
Non-Conf	9-4	9-4	4-9	7-6	7-6	36-29	105
ATS	8-5	9-4	4-9	9-4	4-9	34-31	95 —
Home Fav	4-1	2-3	1-2	3-3	0-2	10-11	85 -
Home Dog	1-0	1-0	0-3	0-0	2-2	4-5	75
Away Fav	1-1	1-0	1-2	1-0	0-5	4-8	65
Away Dog	2-1	3-0	2-1	4-1	2-0	13-3	45 -
Conference	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	35
Non-Conf	8-5	9-4	4-9	9-4	4-9	34-31	25
O/U	7-6	3-10	5-8	4-9	7-5-1	26-38-1	
2015 (SU	: 9-4, A	TS: 8-	5, 0/U :	7-6)	2)16 (SU: 9	-4, ATS
Date Opponen 9/5 at Nebra			Score 33-28	W/LO/U W 058	Date	Opponent † Arizona	
9/12 Boise S	t	+2'	35-24	W 056	5'9/10	at Utah	

ATS Stat e early in the 2010 season, is on a 22-6 ATS run as a l underdog with an average er of 7.4 ppg End of Season wer Rating 2015-19

> 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019

Comp %	63.2% 7 9	35 45	63	.7%	
YPG	284.7	27	22	6	,
Total	BYU	Rk	Op	p	
YPP YPG	443.8	35 28			
Scoring	BYU	Rk	Op	p]
	BYU	6/ Rk			1
%	42.5%	40 D1	40	.4%	,
TD %	BYU 51.7%	кк 107	57	р .8%	
	73.3%	120	80	.0%	
	вүс 19.4	КК 88	19	р .2	
Punt Ret	BYU	Rk	Op	p]
					i
#	17	111	29		
Net Punt	BYU	Rk	15		
Avg Ath Down	37.5 Off		Fol	low	R
Att P/Gm	1.5	77	on	Twi	i
		Rk (@Bra	ndPo	D
Penalties	1	Rk			
Per Game	6.1	64			•
10	Ž 2Q	3Q -	ĂQ⁻		
BYU 64 Opp 73			39 100	16 10	0.10
			100	10	
2010		t M	ar	niı	n
2019) Sta 1s -	⊦/ -	ar	gi R	l
2019 Margin Rush Y) Sta 1s -	⊦/ -			
2019 Margii) Sta ns - PC -		2	R	2
2019 Margin Rush Y	Sta ns + PC + PA +	⊦/ - ⊦0.2)	R 62	2
2019 Margin Rush Y Pass Y	Sta ns + PC + PA +	⊦/ - ⊦0.2 ⊦0.6)	R 62 52	223
2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP	PC - PA -	⊦/ - ⊦0.2 ⊦0.6	.3	R 62 52 43	2 2 3 4
2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring	PC - PA -	⊦/- ⊦0.2 ⊦0.6 ⊦0.6	.3	R 62 52 43 44 55	2 2 3 4
2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 I	PC - PA -	F/- F0.2 F0.6 F0.6 F50 F3.0	2 .3) 131	R 62 52 42 42 55 S	2 2 3 4
2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 I Passing Zach Wils	Stans PC - PA - Sold = F	+/- +0.2 +0.6 +0.6 +50 +3.0 idu Att 319	.3) 131 1119 Yds 2382	R 62 52 43 42 55 S %	
2019 Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Zach Wils Baylor Ro	Stans PC - PA - Sold = F	+/- +0.2 +0.6 +50 +3.0 +3.0 • • • • • • • • •	.3) Hal Ning Yds 2382 747	R 62 52 43 44 55 SI % 62. 63.	
2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 I Passing Zach Wils Baylor Rus Rushing Sione Fina	D Sta ns - PC - PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	F/- F0.2 F0.6 F0.6 F50 F3.0 F3.0 F3.0 F3.0 F3.0 F3.0 F3.0 F3.	.3) 1 ai ning Yds 2382 747 Yds 359	R 62 52 43 44 53 81 80 81 80 81 80 81 80 81 80 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81	
2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Zach Wils Baylor Ro Rushing Sione Fin: Lopini Ka	D Sta ns - PC - PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	F/- F0.2 F0.6 F0.6 F50 F3.0	.3) 131 Ning Yds 2382 747 Yds 359 358	R 62 52 42 52 42 52 42 52 52 42 52 52 42 52 52 52 42 52 52 52 42 52 52 42 52 52 52 42 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	
2019 Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring Zoch Wils Baylor Ro Rushing Sione Fina Lopini Ka Receiving Matt Busl	Sta PC - PA - - S NCIV Bold = MCIV Bold = MCIV A A A A A A A A	F/- +0.2 +0.6 +0.6 +50 +3.0 idu idu idu 319 85 Att 319 85 Rec 47	.3) (13) (13) (13) (13) (13) (13) (13) (R 62 52 42 42 52 42 52 42 52 52 42 52 52 42 52 52 62 63. YP 6.1 4.2 YP 14.	
2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring Zach Wils Baylor Ro Rushing Sione Fin: Lopini Ka Receiving Matt Busl Micah Sim	D Sta ns - PC - PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	F/- +0.2 +0.6 +0.6 +50 +3.0 idu Att 319 85 Att 59 85 Rec 47 51	.3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3	R 62 52 42 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	
2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring Zach Wils Baylor Ro Rushing Sione Fin: Lopini Ka Receiving Matt Busl Micah Sim Talon Shu Aleva Hifd	PA PA PA PA PA PA PA PA PA PA PA PA PA P	F/- +0.2 +0.6 +0.6 +0.6 +0.6 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +0.6 +0.6 +0.6 +0.6 +3.0 +0.6 +0.6 +0.6 +3.0 +0.6 +0.6 +3.0 +0.6 +3.0 +	.3) Yds 2382 747 Yds 359 Yds 688 616 561 483	R 62 52 43 44 52 44 52 44 52 44 52 44 52 44 52 62 63 9% 62 63 9% 64 14 22 9% 61 14 12 13 11	2 2 3 4 5 4 5 C 1 0 5
2019 Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring Zooring Zach Wils Baylor Ro Rushing Sione Fina Lopini Ka Receiving Matt Busl Micah Sim Talon Shu Aleva Hifd Defense	Sta PC PC PA C C C C C C C C	F/- +0.2 +0.6 +0.6 +50 +50 +50 +3.0 idu idu 85 Att 59 85 Rec 47 51 43 42 Tkl	.3) Yds 2382 747 Yds 359 358 Yds 616 561 483 Sks	R 62 52 43 44 53 81 62 63. 9% 62. 63. 9% 6.1 4.2 9% 6.1 4.2 9% 6.1 12. 13. 11. 11. TF	2 2 3 4 5 4 5 C 1 0 5
2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring Zach Wils Baylor Ro Rushing Sione Fin: Lopini Ka Receiving Matt Busl Micah Sim Talon Shu Aleva Hifd	D Sta ns - PC - PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	F/- +0.2 +0.6 +0.6 +50 +3.0 idu 85 Rec 43 43 42 Tkl 83	.3) Yds 2382 747 Yds 359 Yds 688 616 561 483	R 62 52 42 52 42 52 42 52 62 63 7P 64 12 13 11 12 13 11 17 F 2 2.5	2 2 3 4 5 4 4 5 C 6 1 0 5 L
2019 Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring Zach Wils Baylor Ro Rushing Sione Fin: Lopini Ka Receiving Matt Bush Micah Sin Talon Shu Aleva Hife Defense Kavika Fe D. Ghanwi Isaiah Ka	Sta Sta PC PC PA C C C C C C C C	F/- +0.2 +0.6 +0.6 +50 +3.0 idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu idu id	.3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3	R 62 52 42 52 42 52 42 52 42 52 62 63 YPP 6.1 4.2 YPP 14.2 YP 112 13 111 TF 2 2.5 4.5	2 2 3 4 5 4 4 5 C 6 1 0 5 L
2019 Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring Zach Wils Baylor Ro Rushing Sione Fina: Lopini Ka Receiving Matt Busl Micah Sim Talon Shuu Aleva Hift Defense Kavika FG D. Ghanwu Isaiah Ka Austin Leo	Stans PC - PA -	F/- +0.2 +0.6 +0.6 +50 +3.0 idu Att 319 85 Att 59 85 Att 59 85 Att 59 85 Att 50 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	.3) Yds 2382 747 Yds 358 Yds 688 616 561 483 Sks 1 2	R 62 52 42 52 42 52 42 52 62 63 7P 64 12 13 11 12 13 11 17 F 2 2.5	2 2 3 4 5 4 4 5 C 6 1 0 5 L
2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Zach Wils Baylor Ro Rushing Sione Fina Lopini Ka Receiving Matt Busl Micah Sim Talon Shu Aleva Hiff Defense Kavika Fo D. Ghanw Isaiah Ka Austin Lec Payton W Max Toolo	Sta ns PC PA PA A A A A A A A 	F/- +0.2 +0.6 +0.6 +50 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +3.0 +41 59 85 Rec 47 51 43 42 43 42 51 43 62 60 55 54 48	2382 747 747 747 747 747 747 747 747 747 74	R 62 52 42 52 42 52 42 52 42 52 62 62 63 P 60 14.2 PP 14. 12. 13. 11. TF 2.5 2 4.5 2 4.5 2 4.5 2 52 63 PP 14. 12. 13. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11	2 2 3 4 5 4 45 C C 6 1 0 5 L
2019 Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring Zoch Wils Baylor Ro Rushing Sione Fina Lopini Ka Receiving Matt Busl Micah Sim Talon Shu Aleva Hift Defense Kavika FO D. Ghanwi Isaiah Ka Austin Lee Payton W	Sta PC PC PA C C C C C C C C	F/- F/- F0.2 F0.6 F0.6 F0.6 F3.0 F	2382 2382	R 62 52 42 52 42 52 42 52 42 52 42 52 63. YP 6.1 4.2 YP 6.1 12. 13. 11. TF 22.55 2 4.5 2 4.5 2 4.5 2 4.5 2 4.5 2 52 63. YP 64.1 12. 52 63. YP 64.1 12. 52 63. YP 64.1 12. 52 64.1 12. 52 64.1 12. 52 64.1 12. 52 64.1 12. 52 64.1 12. 52 64.1 12. 52 64.1 12. 52 64.1 12. 52 64.1 12. 52 64.1 12. 52 64.1 12. 52 64.1 12. 52 64.1 12. 52 64.1 12. 52 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	
	YPA YPA TD-INT Total YPP YPG Scoring PPG 3rd Down % Red Zone TD % Scoring % KO Ret Avg Funt Ret Avg Sacks # TFL's # Net Punt Avg 4th Down Att P/Gm Turnoverss Margin Penalties Per Game Scoring (0 BYU 64	Comp % 63.2% YPA 7.9 YPG 284.7 TD-INT 20-11 Total BYU YPP 6.2 YPG 443.8 Scoring BYU PPG 28.5 3rd Down BYU % 42.5% Red Zone BYU TD % 51.7% Scoring % 73.3% KO Ret BYU Avg 19.4 Punt Ret BYU Avg 13.5 Sacks By # 17 TFL's By # 61 Net Punt BYU Avg 37.5 4th Down Off Att P/Gm 1.5 Turnovers Margin<+1 Penalties Per Game Per Game 6.1 Scoring Quar 10 QQ BYU 64	Comp % 63.2% 35 YPA 7.9 45 YPG 284.7 27 TD-INT 20-11 Total BYU Rk YPP 6.2 35 YPG 443.8 28 Scoring BYU Rk PPG 28.5 67 3rd Down BYU Rk PPG 28.5 67 3rd Down BYU Rk PPG 28.5 67 3rd Down BYU Rk 73.3% 120 KO Ret BYU Rk 73.3% 120 KO Ret BYU Rk Avg 19.4 88 Punt Ret BYU Rk Avg 13.5 14 Sacks By Rk 61 100 Stats 44 Sacks By Rk 4 17 111 TFL's By Rk # 17 111 TFL's By Rk Avg<	Comp % 63.2% 35 63 YPA 7.9 45 7.4 YPG 284.7 27 22 TD-INT 20-11 22 22 Total BYU Rk OF YPP 6.2 35 5.6 YPG 443.8 28 39 Scoring BYU Rk OF PPG 28.5 6.7 25 3rd Down BYU Rk OF % 42.5% 40 40 Red Zone BYU Rk OF Scoring % 73.3% 120 80 KO Ret BYU Rk OF Avg 19.4 88 19 Punt Ret BYU Rk OF Avg 13.5 14 11. Sacks By Rk Vs # 17 111 29 TFL's By Rk	Comp % 63.2% 35 63.7% YPA 7.9 45 7.4 YPG 284.7 27 226 TD-INT $20-11$ $22-15$ Total BYU Rk Opp YPP 6.2 35 5.6 YPG 443.8 28 393.5 Scoring BYU Rk Opp PPG 28.5 67 25.5 3rd Down BYU Rk Opp $\%$ 42.5% 40 40.4% Red Zone BYU Rk Opp $\%$ 42.5% 40 40.4% Red Zone BYU Rk Opp $\%$ 42.5% 40 40.4% Red Zone BYU Rk Opp $\%$ 107 57.8% 51.7% 107 57.8% Scoring $\%$ 13.5 14 11.5 $5acks$ By <

2019 Game-By-Game Recap Rushing BYU Rk Opp Rk Open Close Score ATS -6.5 -6 30 Cover: 12 57.5 49 12 Under: 7 Teams Utah BYU 62 78 4.2 167.5 Opp -Turnovers were clearly the story. Utah was +3 and converted them into 20 points including 2 "pick-six's." Rk BYU 53 53 29 Cover: 6.5 Tennessee -1 -3.5 26 Over: 2 UT had a 418-339 yard edge including 242-107 on the ground. The Vols controlled the game (led 13-3 at halftime) but were stopped on 4th & short twice inside BYU's 30-yard line. -BYU got a 64-yard pass on 3&6 with :06 left in the game! 107 64 65 15 р Rk Southern Cal -4 -4.5 27 Push BYU 48.5 57 30 Cover: 7.5 -USC had a 452-430 yard edges including 171-131 on the ground but were -3 in TO's. -USC true frosh QB Kedon Slovis was 24 of 34 for 281 yards and 60 3.5 68 Rk р .5 48 -USC true frosh QB Kedon Slovis was 24 of 34 for 281 yards and 2 TD's but threw 3 costly INT's. Washington -6.5 -6.5 45 Cover: 19.5 BYU 50.5 51 19 Over: 13 -Washington had 27-21 first down and 477-356 yard edges includ-ing 187-79 on the ground. -Washington did get a 69-yard fumble return TD and a 88-yard punt return TD. -BYU RB Ty'Son Williams did not play in the second half after cuffering an annexaet here in the second quartar. р .4% Rk 76 р .8% Rk .8% 52 .0% 37 Rk р 2 -BYU RB Ty'Son Williams did not play in the second half after suffering an apparent knee injury late in the second quarter. BYU -3.5 -2.5 21 Under: 13.5 Toledo 60 62.5 28 Cover: 9.5 -Toledo had a 27-18 first down edge but it was BYU with a 455-448 total yard edge. The Rockets did out-rush BYU atta 455-448 total yard edge. The Rockets did out-rush BYU 242-101 and won despite being -2 in TO's. -BYU ugs stopped on downs twice and also missed two FG's. -BYU UgB Zach Wilson was 22 of 38 for 315 yards and 2 TD's. However, BYU coach Kalani Sitake said after the game that Wilson suffered an injury to his throwing hand and expected the quarterback to miss some playing time. BYU = 65 - 45 - 23 Over: 0.5 34 Rk р 5 108 Rk 85 Rk 61 Ine quarterback to miss some playing time. BYU -6.5 -4.5 23 Over: 0.5 South Florida 51 49.5 27 Cover: 8.5 Misleading Final: BYU had 26-17 FD and 439-315 yard edges. -BYU led 13-0 in the second quarter and also 16-7 at halftime. -BYU was stopped on downs inside the USF 20-yard line on each of their final 2 possessions. -BYU played two QB's in Jaren Hall (15 of 23 for 148 yards 1 TD) and Baylor Romney (6 of 10 for 73 yards). Doise Strue -15 7 25 Course 9 ow Brad Twitter: dPowers Boise State -1.5 -7 25 BYU 54 45 28 Over: 8 uarter BYU 54 45 28 Cover: 10 -Boise had 25-16 first down and 359-342 yard edges including 174-121 on the ground but were -2 in TO's. -With that being said, BYU did lead 28-10 entering the 4Q before the least poise serving drives **OT** Total 16 370 10 331 Will mate being said, BTO that lead 28-10 entering the 4Q being two long Boils scoring drives. -BYU started Baylor Romney at QB and he was 15 of 26 for 221 yards and 2 TD's. -The Cougars scored their final two touchdowns off a fake QB sneak and a flea flicker pass. Then BYU converted a late fourth down with Kafentzis, NT Tonga, and DB Ghamwoloku lining up in the backfield in a rugby-like scrum formation. ains Rk 62 BYU 54 51.5 42 Cover: 31 Utah State -6.5 -3 14 Over: 4.5 -BYU had 31-26 first down and 639-521 yard edges including 221-127 on the ground. BYU was also +2 in TO's. -BYU QB's (Hall/Romney) combined to throw for 418 yards. 52 43 44 Liberty 55 61.5 24 Cover: 10.5 BYU - 20.5 -17.5 31 Under: 6.5 -Liberty had a 25-23 first down edge but BYU a 471-431 yard edge. BYU was -2 in TO's. -BYU QB Baylor Romney threw for 262 yards and 3 TD's. 55 Stats 58.5 58.5 10 -32.5 -33 42 Idaho State Cover: 1 Ratio 62.4 11-9 63.5 7-2 YPC TD $\begin{array}{ccc} 6.1 & 2 \\ 4.2 & 4 \end{array}$ YPC TD **14.6 4** 12.1 2 13.0 4

 Idaho State
 58.5
 88.5
 10
 Cover: 1

 BYU
 -32.5
 -33
 42
 Under: 6.5

 BYU had 27-10 first down and 449-271 yard edges.
 -0B Zach Wilson returned from a six-week absence due to a fractured thumb and the sophomore completed 19 of 31 passes.

 BYU
 -42
 -41.5
 56
 Over: 11.5

 Massachusetts
 71
 68.5
 24
 Cover: 9.5

 -BYU had 26-15
 first down and 428-292 yard edges including 320-146 on the ground. BYU led 49-0 at halftime.
 -BYU QB Zach Wilson threw for 228 yards and 4 TD's.

 -BYU BY Lackson McChesney ran for 228 yards and 4 TD's.
 -BYU RB Lackson McChesney ran for 228 yards and 4 TD's.

 -BYU BY Lackson McChesney ran for 228 yards and 2 TD's. McChesney's 228 rushing yards are the most by a BYU freshman and the sixt most in school history.

 BYU
 PK
 -5.5
 3
 Under: 22.5

 San Diego State
 39.5
 38.5
 13
 Cover: 15.5

 Misleading Final: BYU had 23-12 first down and 416-269 yard edges but were -3 in TO's. BYU also missed 2 FG's.
 -BYU was in their largest ad lost one fumble.

 BYU
 -2
 -25.5
 34
 Over: 7.

 Byu act Wilson threw for 316 yards, completing 31 of 53, but overall had a tough night against SDSU stingy defense. He was intercepted twice and lost one fumble.
 11 5 3 TFL Int 2 2 2 1 $\begin{array}{rrrr} -2 & -2.5 & 34 \\ 63 & 65 & 38 \end{array}$ 3 BYU Over: 7 1

Hawaii 63 65 38 Cover: 6.5 Misleading Final: BYU had 29-19 first down and 505-495 yard edges including 231-2 on the ground but were -3 in TO's. -Hawai'i scored 31 points by halftime against a BYU defense that had given up more than 30 points in a game once this season. G XP 40-41 50+ BLK 43.2 14 9 Jake Oldrovd 0

2015 (SU: 9-4, A	TS: 8-5, 0/U: 7-6	i) 2016 (SU: 9-	I, ATS: 9-4, 0/U: 3-10]		2017 (SU: 4-9, AT	S: 4-9, 0/U: 5-	B]	2018 (SU : 7	-6, ATS: 9·	4, 0/U: 4-	9)	2019 (SU: 7-6, ATS	5: 4-9 , 0)/U: 7-5	j -1]
Date Opponent	Line Score W/I	O/U Date Opponent	Line Score W/LO					Date Opponent	Line	Score W/		Date Opponent		core W	
9/5 at Nebraska	+5' 33-28 W	o58 9/3 † Arizona	-1 18-16 W u	53' 8/20	Portland St	-36 20-6 L	u60	9/1 at Arizona	+10	28-23 W	u59'	8/29 Utah		2-30 L	
9/12 Boise St	+2' 35-24 W	o56'9/10 at Utah	+3' 19-20 W u	14 9/2	† LSU	+14'0-27 L	u47'	9/8 California	-2'	18-21 L	u47'	9/7 at Tennessee-20			
9/19 at UCLA	+16'23-24 W	u59'9/17 UCLA	+3' 14-17 W u	51 9/9	Utah	+3' 13-19 L	u45'	9/15 at Wiscon	sin +23	'24-21 W	u51'	9/14 USC-ot	+4' 3	0-27 W	p57
9/26 at Michigan	+6' 0-31 L	u46 9/24 † West Virg	inia +7' 32-35 W o	53 9/10	Wisconsin	+15 6-40 L	042	9/22 McNeese	St -23	30-3 W	u41'	9/21 Washington	+6 1	9-45 L	051
10/2 Connecticut	-16 30-13 W	u44 9/30 Toledo	-3' 55-53 L o	52 9/23				9/29 at Washin	gton +18	7-35 L	u47'	9/28 at loledo	-2' 2	1-28 L	u62
10/10 East Carolina	-9' 45-38 L	o59 10/8 at Michigan	n St +5 31-14 W u	19 9/29	at Utah St	-1 24-40 L	049	10/5 Utah St	-1	20-45 L	054'	9/21 Washington 9/28 at Toledo 10/5 10/12 at South Florida 10/19 Boise St	4, 2	2 27 1	o40'
10/16 Cincinnati	-5' 38-24 W	u68 10/14 Miss St-20						10/13 Hawaii	-10	49-23 W	o57'	10/12 at South Florida	+7 2	.3-27 L 98-25 W	045
10/24 Wagner		060' 10/20 at Boise St	+7' 27-28 W u	57' 10/1	4 at Mississippi S	t +23 10-35 L	u49'	10/20					., 2	.0 25 11	045
10/31		10/29		10/2	1 at East Carolina	-5' 17-33 L	u55	10/27 Northern	llinois -7	6-7 L	u43′	11/2 at Utah St	+3 4	2-14 W	051'
11/6 at San Jose St	-12' 17-16 L	u55'11/5 at Cincinna	ti -7' 20-3 W u	54' 10/2	28 San Jose St	-10 41-20 W	051	11/3 at Boise S	t +13	16-21 W	u53'	11/9 Liberty		1-24 L	
11/14 † Missouri	-5 16-20 L	u41 11/12 Southern U	tah -31' 37-7 L u	52' 11/4	at Fresno St	+11 13-20 W	u48	11/10 at Massac	husetts -14	35-16 W	u57'	11/16 Idaho St	-33 4	2-10 L	u58'
11/21 Fresno St	-26' 52-10 W	o57 11/19 Massachus	etts -28' 51-9 W o	54' 11/1	0 at UNLV	+4 31-21 W	049'	11/17 New Mex	ico St -25	45-10 W	u57'	11/23 at Massachusett	s -41' 5	6-24 L	068'
11/28 at Utah St	-3 51-28 W	o55 11/26 Utah St	-17' 28-10 W u	52 11/1	8 Massachusetts	-3' 10-16 L	u51'	11/24 at Utah	+10	27-35 W	044'	11/30 at San Diego St	-5' 3	-13 L	u38'
12/19 † Utah	+2' 28-35 L	049'12/21 † Wyoming	-10 24-21 L u	56' 11/2	5 at Hawaii	-3' 30-20 W	048	12/21 † Western	Mich -12	49-18 W	051'	12/24 at Hawaii	-2' 3	4-38 L	065

020 Army Football Previ Rk



Head Coach (Yr) Jeff Monken (7) Offensive Coord. Brent Davis (7) **Defensive Coord.** Nate Woody (1) Conference/Div Independent

Team Profile 2020 Team Power Rating 60.0 Power Ratings Diff vs Last Year -0.4 2020 Strength of Schedule 58.1 2020 Season Win Projection 5.8 Returning Starters (OFF/DEF) 12 (6/6) 87 Return Starting QB (YES/NO) NO Returning Production % 53% Returning Offense Production 61% 44% Returning Defense Production 2020 Recruiting (Signees) 43 2020 Roster Talent Rank

Offense/Defense Analysis

Head coach Jeff Monken is doing a tremendous job here. However, after notching back-to-back double-digit win seasons for the first time in school history ing 2017 and 2018, Army took a major step back last year suffering 3 outright upset losses and falling to 5-8. The Black Knights looked fine at the start of the season as they were 3-1 with the only loss coming in double-overtime to Mich-igan. Then the roof caved in as the QB position became a revolving door due to injury and Army also lost a couple of key players on defense due to injury.

This year's team looks to be in better shape provided QB Jabari Laws can return 100-percent healthy from a late season knee injury. Laws started five games last year and impressed completing 80-percent of his passes while also rushing for 484 yards (6.4 yards per carry). Army does lose their top two rushers, but FB Sandon McCoy returns after leading the team with 10 rushing TD's. Army does return their top two receivers who combined to average 20.9 yards per catch last season in Camden Harrison and Artice Hobbs. The defense loses three of their top four tacklers including LB Cole Christiansen (112 tackles) and CB Elijah Riley who led the team in both sacks and interceptions.

The schedule is among the easiest in the country as Army plays a pair of FCS teams and four bottom-tier FBS teams. The only non-winnable game is the highly-anticipated home game vs Oklahoma. Currently, we have Army projected to be a favorite in six games and getting back to bowl eligibility would certainly be a step in the right direction after last season.

Schedule with BP Projected Lines /U

Date	Opponent	Line	Win %	Line	Total	Score	W/L	O/U
9/4	Bucknell	-34.1						
9/12	at Rice	-2.0	57%					
9/19								
9/26	Oklahoma	25.7	5%					
10/3	at Miami (OH)	2.7	43%					
10/10	Princeton	-10.9	76%					
10/17	E Michigan	-8.4	71%					
10/24	Buffalo	0.6	49%					
10/31								
11/7	Air Force	2.5	45%					
11/14	at Tulane	7.4	32%					
11/21	at Massachusetts	-22.1	94%					
	at Connecticut	-11.1	78%					
12/12	† Navy	8.9	29%					
	Projected W	ins	5.79					

Last 5 Year Records

							-							Sano	юп	MCC	UY.	1
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total							s on a	Jaba			•	7
Straight Up	2-10	8-5	10-3	11-2	5-8	36-28							Black	Rece				R
Home	1-5	3-2	6-0	6-0	4-2	20-9							IS as a			Harı		n 2
Away	1-4	3-2	2-3	2-2	1-5	9-16	nom						ears.	Arti	ce H	lobbs	5	1
Neutral	0-1	2-1	2-0	3-0	0-1	7-3		E	1d 0	15	ea	son		Defe	nse			Т
Conference	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	Pn	We	r Ra	htin	1a 1	201	5-19	Cole	Ch	ristiaı	ise	n 1
Non-Conf	2-10	8-5	10-3	11-2	5-8	36-28	105 T							Arik	Sn	nith		8
ATS	6-5-1	7-6	7-6	7-4-2	5-8	32-29-3	95 -							Elija	h R	iley		7
Home Fav	0-3	3-2	1-4	2-3-1	2-3	8-15-1	85 -							Ryan	Ve	lez		4
Home Dog	1-2	0-0	1-0	0-0	0-1	2-3	75 -			_	_	-		Ć. C	unr	ningh	am	4
Away Fav	0-0	1-1	1-0	1-0	1-2	4-3	65		/					M.N	1or	rison		4
Away Dog	4-0-1	2-1	2-2	2-1	2-1	12-5-1	55 -	/						Kick	ing			F
Conference		0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	45 -							Davi	dČ	ooper	-	3
Non-Conf	6-5-1	7-6	7-6	7-4-2	5-8	32-29-3								Punt	ing	1		A
O/U	4-8	5-8	7-6	7-6	5-8	28-36		2015	2016	2	017	2018	2019	Zach	ı H	ardin	g	4
2015 (SU: 2	2-10. A'	TS: 6-5	i-1. 0/L	: 4-8)	2	016 (SU: 1	8-5. AT	(S: 7-6	j. 0/U:	5-8]	2	D17 (SU: 1	0-3. AT	S: 7-	6. 0/U :	7-6]
Date Opponer				W/LO/U	J Date	Opponent			Score				Opponent			Score		
9/4 Fordhar 9/12 at Conn			35-37 17-22	L 062	2 ^{,9/2} 7 ^{,9/10}	at Temple Rice	3		28-13 31-14		u46 u52	9/1 9/9	Fordham Buffalo			64-6 21-17		o65 u54
9/19 Wake F		+6	14-17	W $u4$	y ⁹ /17	at UTEP		-3'	66-14	W	046'	9/16	at Ohio S	t	$+31^{\circ}$	7-38	W	u54
9/26 at Easte	rn Micl	h +1	58-36			at Buffalo	o-ot	-14	20-23	L	u51	9/23 9/30	at Tulane UTEP			17-21 35-21		u45 049
10/3 at Penn	St		14-20	W u44 L u42	5,9/24 4,9/30 4,10/8	at Duke			6-13		u45'	10/7	at Rice		-12'	49-12	Ŵ	047
10/10 Duke 10/17 Buckne	11		3-44 21-14		- 10/15	Lafayette		-33'	62-7	W			Eastern N	lich	-5 -7	28-27	Ļ	050
10/24 at Rice			31-38			North Ter at Wake I	kas Forest	-18 +7	18-35 21-13	L W	048 1141'	10/21 10/28	Temple-o	t	-/	31-28	L	047
10/31 11/7 at Air F		117	2 20		11/5	Air Force	2	-	12-31	L	u46'	11/4	at Air For					u54
11/7 at Air F 11/14 Tulane	orce		3-20 31-34		3,11/12	† Notre E Morgan S	Dame	+13'	6-44 60-3	L W	u54'	11/11	Duke at North]			21-16 49-52		u50 057
11/21 Rutgers			21-31		5 11/26		~					11/25					-	
11/28 12/12 † Navy		+22	17-21	W u50) ^{12/10}) 12/27	† Navy † North T	exas-o	+5' t -10'	21-17 38-31	W L	u47 o48	12/9 12/23	† Navy † San Die		$^{+3}_{+6}$	14-13 42-35		u45 046

ATS Stat

Rk		2019	Sta	ats	
98	Rushing	Army	Rk	Opp	Rk
69	YPC	5.2 297.2	17	4.6	90 72
123	YPG Passing	Army	3 Rk	163.4 Opp	73 Rk
84	Comp %	46.8%	129	62.3%	90
87	YPA	8.5	20	7.1	52
100	YPG TD DIT	82.0	129	178.9	5
102	TD-INT Total	6-7 Army	Rk	16-7 Opp	Rk
74	YPP	5.7	76	5.6	64
118	YPG	379.2	89	342.3	30
111	Scoring PPG	Army	Rk	Opp	Rk
123	3rd Down	28.5 Armv	67 Rk	23.0 Opp	40 Rk
	%	45.2%	24	44.8%	
notch-	Red Zone	Army	Rk	Opp	Rk
istory tright	TD % Scoring %	78.8% 84.6%	3 60	65.8% 86.8%	
of the	KO Ret	Army	Rk	Opp	Rk
Mich-	Avg	16.8	116	21.0	73
or due	Punt Ret	Army	Rk	Opp	Rk
ury.	Avg Sacks	2.6	125 Rk	4.1 Vs	17 Rk
's can d five	sacks #	By 21	кк 96	15	кк 8
e also	TFL's	By	Rk	Vs	Řk
rush-	#	52	124	44	1
TD's. yards	Net Punt Avg	Army 42.2	Rk 7		
loses	4th Down	Off	, Rk	Follow	Brad
s) and	Att P/Gm	3.0	1	on Tw	itter:
C D C C	Turnovers		Rk (BradP	owers
f FCS is the	Margin Penalties	-1	69 Rk		
/ pro-	Per Game	4.6	12		
would	Scorin	g Quar	ter-B	y-Quar	ter
	10	2 2Q	3Q 4	Q OT	' Tota
	Army 83	2 2 0 89	3Q 4 89 1		
O/U	Army 83	2 2 0 89	3Q 4 89 1	Q OT 02 7	Tota 370
0/U	10 Army 83 Opp 76 2019	2 2Q 89 71 Sta	3Q 4 89 1 65 7	iQ OT 102 7 77 10 argi	Tota 370 299
0/U	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin	2 2 8 9 71 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3Q 4 89 1 65 7 t Ma	iQ OT 102 7 77 10 argi R	Tota 370 299
O/U	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y	2 20 89 71 Sta 1s + PC +	3Q 4 89 1 65 7 t M +/- +0.6	iQ OT 102 7 77 10 argi R 40	Tota 370 299 NS k 0
O/U	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI	2 20 89 71 Sta 1s + PC + PA +	3Q 4 89 1 65 7 t M +/- +0.6 +1.3	io ot 102 7 10 argi R 40 2:	Tota 370 299 NS k 0 5
O/U	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP	2 20 89 71 Sta 15 + PC + PA +	3Q 4 89 1 65 7 t M +/- +0.6 +1.3	io or 102 7 102 7 10 argi R 40 2: 7:	Tota 370 299 1S k 0 5 5
O/U	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG	2 20 89 71 Sta 15 + PC + PA + (3Q 4 89 1 65 7 1 +/- +0.6 +1.3).0 +36.	argi argi argi 27 10 argi 2 21 22 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72	Tota 370 299 15 18 18 18 18 18 18 19 19 19 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
O/U	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP	2 20 89 71 Sta 15 + PC + PA + (3Q 4 89 1 65 7 t M +/- +0.6 +1.3	argi argi argi 27 10 argi 2 21 22 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72	Tota 370 299 15 18 18 18 18 18 18 19 19 19 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
O/U	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG	2 20 89 71 Sta 15 + PC + PA + (3Q 4 89 1 65 7 1 +/- +0.6 +1.3).0 +36.	argi argi argi 27 10 argi 2 21 21 22 72 23 24 24 24 25 24 25	Tota 370 299 15 18 18 18 18 18 18 19 19 19 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019	2 20 89 71 Sta 15 + PC + PA + (3Q 4 89 1 65 7 1 -0.6 -1.3 -36. -36. -5.5	iq or 102 7 10 argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi	Tota 370 299 NS k 0 5 5 4 7 tats
	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019	2 20 89 71 Sta 1s + PC + PA + (4 5 + 7 Ndiv Bold = F	3Q 4 89 1 65 7 1 M -0.6 -1.3 0.0 -36. -5.5 idu Att	iq or 102 7 177 10 argi argi 40 2: 7: 9 54 4' 10 2: 7: 9 54 4' 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Tota 370 299 15 18 18 18 18 18 18 19 19 19 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Kelvin Ho Jabari La	2 20 89 71 Sta 15 4 PC 4 PC 4 PA 4 (4 2 4 8 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	3Q 4 89 1 65 7 t M -0.6 -1.3 0.0 -36. -5.5 idu Att 2 77 5 20 3	iq or 102 7 10 argi argi 23 40 23 7 9 54 47 40 23 7 9 54 47 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	Tota 370 299 IS k 0 5 5 4 7 tats 8 4 6 0 1-0
	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Kelvin Ho Jabari La Rushing	2 20 89 71 Sta 15 4 PC 4 PA 4 (0 4 9 Mdiv Bold = R pkins ws	30 4 89 1 65 7 1 1 -0.6 -1.3).0 -5.5 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 11	iq or 102 7 107 10 argi argi argi 23 40 23 40 24 41 40 25 42 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41	Tota 370 299 IS k 0 5 5 4 7 tats 8 4 6 0 1-0 PC TD
	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Kelvin Ho Jabari La Rushing Kelvin Ho	2 20 89 71) Sta 1s + PC + PA + (C - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	3Q 4 89 1 65 7 t 1 +/- +0.6 +1.3).0 +3.6. +5.5 iduu eturn Att Y 77 5 20 3 Att Y 138 7	iq or 102 7 107 10 argi argi argi 22 7 40 22 7 9 54 47 9 54 47 10 54 47 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Tota 370 299 IS IS IS IS IS IS IS IS
	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Kelvin Ho Jabari La Rushing Kelvin Ho Sconor Ski Sandon M	2 2Q 89 71 Sta 1S + PC + PA + (- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	320 4 89 1 65 7 1 1 1-36 -1.3 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 -36. -5.5 1 -36. -5.5 1 -36. -5.5 1 -36. -5.5 1 -36. -5.5 1 -36. -5.5 1 -36. -5.5 1 -36. -5.5 1 -36. -5.5 1 -36. -5.5 1 -36. -5.5 1 -5.5 1 -5.5 1 -5.5 1 -5.5 1 -5.5 1 -5.5 1 -5.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 -7.5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	i o o t 102 7 107 10 argi	Total 370 299 299 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Kelvin Ho Jabari La Rushing Kelvin Ho Sandon M Jabari La	2 2Q 89 71 Sta 1S + PC + PA + (- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	+/- +/- +0.6 +1.3 0.0 -36. +5.5 idu eturn Att S 20 3 Att S 138 7 149 6 134 5 76 4	iq or 102 7 107 10 argi arg	Totai 370 299 IIS k 0 5 5 5 4 7 Totai 8 k 0 5 5 5 4 7 Totai 8 Ratii 9 9 4-6 0 0 C TD 7 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
on a Black	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring Conor Slo Sandon M Jabari La Rushing Kelvin Ho Connor Slo Sandon M	2 2Q 89 71 Sta Sta PC + PA + (C PA + (C Bold = R pkins ws pkins pkins ccoy ws	3Q 4 89 1 65 7 1 1 -0.6 -1.3).0 -36. -5.5 1 1 -36. -5.5 1 1 -37 -5.5 1 1 -36. -1.3 -36. -1.3 -37 -5.5 1 1 -1.3 -36. -1.3 -36. -1.3 -1.3 -1.3 -1.3 -1.3 -1.3 -1.3 -1.	i Q OT 102 7 77 10 argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi	Total 370 299 IIS Ik 0 55 5 4 7 tats 0 29 IS k 0 5 5 4 7 tats 10 29 4 8 0 20 4 20 4 20 4 20 4 20 4 20 4 20 4 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27
	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Kelvin Ho Jabari La Rushing Kelvin Ho Sandon M Jabari La	2 2Q 89 71 Sta 1s + PC + PA + (C PA + (C PA + (C Bold = R pkins ws pkins pkins ccoy ws	430 430 470	Q OT 102 7 107 10 argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi 	Total 370 299 IIS Ik 0 55 5 4 7 Ialis 10 29 IS Ik 0 55 5 4 7 100 20 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 3
OII a Black S as a	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Kelvin Ho Jabari La Rushing Kelvin Ho Connor Sla Sandon M Jabari La Receiving Camden H Artice Ho Defense	2 2Q 89 71 Sta Sta PC + PA + (C - PA + (C - C - S - M C - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S -	-36.	Q OT 102 7 107 10 Argi Argi Argi Argi 9 54 4 4 9 54 4 4 10 5 11 80 Yds YF 5 7 6 4 7 5 80 5 81 80 Yds YF 5 7 6 4 10 5 5 6 4 17 13 17 297 297 5 7 6 7 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 18 17	Total 370 299 IIS k k 0 5 5 5 4 4 7 7 fais 8 8 4 6 0 1-0 9 4 6 6 0 1-0 9 7 4 8 8 10 1-2 9 10 5 5 5 5 4 4 7 7 7 8 11 8 8 11 8 12 9 9 11 8 12 9 10 12 9 10 12 9 10 12 9 10 12 9 10 12 9 10 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
OII a Black S as a	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring Conor Sla Sandon M Jabari La Rushing Kelvin Ho Connor Sla Sandon M Jabari La Receiving Camden H Artice Ho Defense Cole Chris	2 2Q 89 71 Sta Sta PC + PA + (HPA + ())) () () ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ())	-4 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4	Q OT 102 7 107 10 argi argi argi	Total 370 299 IIS IK 0 5 5 5 5 6 7 Iais Ratit 7 Iais 8 0 10 10 10 10 10
OII a Black S as a	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Kelvin Ho Jabari La Rushing Kelvin Ho Connor Sla Sandon M Jabari La Receiving Camden H Artice Ho Defense	2 2Q 89 71 Sta Sta PC + PA + (HPA + ())) () () ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ())	-4 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4	Q OT 102 7 10 102 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Total 370 299 IIS IK 0 5 5 5 5 6 7 Iais Ratit 7 Iais 8 0 7 8 9 4 9 9 10 10 10
OII a Black S as a	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Kelvin Ho Connor Sla Kelvin Ho Connor Sla Sandon M Jabari La Rushing Kelvin Ho Connor Sla Sandon M Jabari La Receiving Camden H Artice Ho Defense Cole Chris Arik Smit Elijah Rile Ryan Velez	2 2Q 89 71 Sta 1s + PC + PA + (PA + (C 9 H Bold = F pkins ws pkins leCoy ws tiansen h yz	-360 $+7$ -360 $+7$ -360 $+7$ -360 $+7$ -360 $-$	Q OT 102 7 107 10 argi argi argi	Total 370 299 IIS k k 0 5 5 5 4 7 7 7 1 6 8 8 9 4 6 9 0 1-0 9 7 8 8 10 1-0 9 7 7 7 7 7 7 1 8 8 9 10 5 5 5 5 4 7 7 7 7 7 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
OII a Black S as a	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring Conor Sla Scoring Kelvin Ho Conor Sla Sandon M Jabari La Receiving Camben Ho Artice Ho Defense Cole Chris Arik Smit Elijah Rile Engan Vele: C. Cunnir	2 2Q 89 71 Sta Sta PC - PA - C PA - C PA - C C S Marrison bbs tiansen by z agham	$$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$	Q OT 102 7 77 10 argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi a	Total 370 299 IIS IK 0 5 5 4 7 Iais Ration 9 4.6 0 10 7 8 10 7 8 10 10 10 10 10 11 11 12 12 13 13 10 10 11 11 11 11 12 13 13 12 13 13 13 12 13 13 13 13 13 13
OII a Black S as a	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Kelvin Ho Connor Sla Kelvin Ho Connor Sla Sandon M Jabari La Rushing Kelvin Ho Connor Sla Sandon M Jabari La Receiving Camden H Artice Ho Defense Cole Chris Arik Smit Elijah Rile Ryan Velez	2 2Q 89 71 Sta Sta PC - PA - C PA - C PA - C C S Marrison bbs tiansen by z agham	-47 = -47	Q OT 102 7 102 7 102 7 10 Argin Argin A Argin A	Total 370 299 IIS IK 0 5 5 4 7 Iais Ration 9 4.6 0 10 7 8 10 7 8 10 10 10 10 10 11 11 12 12 13 13 10 10 11 11 11 11 12 13 13 12 13 13 13 12 13 13 13 13 13 13
OII a Black S as a	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Kelvin Ho Jabari La Rushing Kelvin Ho Jabari La Rushing Connor Ski Sandon M Jabari La Rushing Camden H Artice Ho Defense Cole Chriss Arik Smit Kicking David Coo	2 2Q 89 71 Sta Sta Sta PC + PA + (C PA + (C PA + (C PA + C PA + C PC + C PA + C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	+/- + -0.6 + 1.3 -36. + 5.5 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 11 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111	Q OT 102 7 102 7 10 7 10 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 2 10 2 11 80 Yds % 577 42 11 80 Yds YF 133 17 133 17 133 17 133 17 2.5 1 4 4 2.5 1 4 4 2.5 1 4 4 2.5 1 4 4 2.5 1 40 <th>Total 370 299 IIS k 0 5 5 5 4 7 7 tats 7 tats 8 4 6 0 1-0 9 4-6 0 1-0 9 4-6 5 5 5 4 7 7 7 1 1 L Int 0 3 3 3 7 7 1 2 9 9 1 8 5 5 5 5 4 4 7 7 2 9 9 1 8 5 5 5 5 5 4 4 7 7 7 7 8 1 8 1 9 9 1 8 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9</th>	Total 370 299 IIS k 0 5 5 5 4 7 7 tats 7 tats 8 4 6 0 1-0 9 4-6 0 1-0 9 4-6 5 5 5 4 7 7 7 1 1 L Int 0 3 3 3 7 7 1 2 9 9 1 8 5 5 5 5 4 4 7 7 2 9 9 1 8 5 5 5 5 5 4 4 7 7 7 7 8 1 8 1 9 9 1 8 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9
OII a Black S as a	Army 83 Opp 76 2019 Margin Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring Corring Kelvin Ho Jabari La Rushing Kelvin Ho Connor Sla Sandon M Jabari La Receiving Camden H Artice Ho Defense Cole Chris Arik Smit Elijah Rile Ryan Velez C. Cumin M. Morris Kicking	2 2Q 89 71 Sta Sta PC PA C 	+/- + -0.6 + 1.3 -36. + -5.5 10 10 134 576 41 134 576 41 134 576 41 134 576 41 134 576 41 134 576 41 134 577 134 577 134 576 134 576 134 577 134 576 134 576 134 576 134 576 134 576 134 577 1357 136 134 576 134 576 134 577 1357 1357 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1377 1	Q OT 102 7 77 10 argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi argi a	Total 370 299 IIS k 0 5 5 5 4 7 7 tats 7 tats 8 4 6 0 1-0 9 4-6 0 1-0 9 4-6 5 5 5 4 7 7 7 1 1 L Int 0 3 3 3 7 7 1 2 9 9 1 8 5 5 5 5 4 4 7 7 2 9 9 1 8 5 5 5 5 5 4 4 7 7 7 7 8 1 8 1 9 9 1 8 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9

Date 3/31

9/15

/29

10/6

u54' u50' o57'

u47 12/9 † Navy +3 14-13 W u45 12/8 † Navy 048 12/23 † San Diego St +6' 42-35 W 046' 12/22 † Houston

2019 Game-By-Game Recap Teams Rice Open Close Score ATS 51.5 47.5 7 Cover: 16.5 -24.5 -23.5 14 Under: 26.5 Army Army -24.5 -25.5 14 Under: 26.5 -Army had a 17-10 first down and 284-243 yard edge. -However, Rice had their moments missing 2 FG's and get-ting stopped on 4&1 late at the Army 26-yard line. -Army converted five third downs on an 18-play, game-win-ning drive that took over 9 minutes of the 4Q. -Army started three drives inside its own 10-yard line. 48 48 21 -23 -22.5 24 Cover: 19.5 Under: 3 Army Michigan Michigan -23 -22.5 24 Under: 3 -Michigan had a 340-243 yard edge but both teams were sloppy with 3 TO's a piece. Army UTSA -13.5 -17 46 45 31 Cover: 1 V1SA 46 45 13 Under: 1 -Army had 22-13 first down and 358-260 yard edges including 340-51 on the ground. -Army only led 10-7 late in the 3Q. -Army QB Jabari Laws started in place of an injured Kelvin Hopkins and proceeded to run for 137 yards. 13 Under: 1 Morgan State 58.5 55.5 21 Cover: 14 Army -49 -45 52 Over: 17.5 -Army had 30-12 first down and 483-308 yard edges includ ing 403-78 on the ground. -It was only 31-21 entering the 4Q as Army outscored the Bears 21-0 in the final stanza. Tulane -3 -2 42 Cover: 7 Army 45.5 42.5 33 Over: 32.5 -Tulane had a 525-363 yd edge including 324-193 rushing. -Tulane led 42-21 as Army scored the final 12 points. -After missing the last couple of games, Army QB Kelvin Hopkins played and ran for 132 yards and 2 TD's. He also had to attempt 24 passes in the game. Army -6 -5 8 Under: 18.5 Western Kentucky 42.5 43.5 17 Cover: 14 -WKU had 26-10 first down and 365-208 yard edges includ-ing 225-137 on the ground! -It was 17-0 WKU until Army got a late TD. $\begin{array}{cccc} -6 & -4 & 21 \\ 57 & 54 5 & 28 \end{array}$ Armv Under: 5.5 -Georgia State 57 54.5 28 Cover: 11 -Georgia St had a 379-343 yard edge despite only having the ball for 21:52. -Army actually led 21-14 mid-3Q before Georgia St scored the game's final 14 points. Army backstate 51 54 34 Cover: 14.5 Army -10 -9.5 29 Over: 9 -Army had 28-19 first down & 429-402 yard edges including 326-88 rushing (San Jose 314-103 pass yard edge). -Army coach Monken alternated senior Kelvin Hopkins Jr. and sophomore Jabari Laws at quarterback in the first heaf and heath ware uncertable lungled out of the grant half and both were eventually knocked out of the game with injuries. 45 45 13 -13.5 -16.5 17 Army 13 Air Force Under: 15 Air Force -13.5 -16.5 1/ Under: 15 -AF only had 17-14 first down and 344-343 yard edges but did own a 328-129 rushing yard edge. -Army surprisingly had a 214-16 passing yard edge. -The difference in the game was Army being stopped on 4the Goal at the Air Force 1-yard line on their opening possession and 4th&Goal from the 5-yard line on their final possession. Massachusetts 61.5 60.5 7 Army -33.5 -34.5 63 Over: 9.5 Cover: 21.5 Virginia Military 62.5 65 Army -34.5 -36 6 47 Under: 12 Virginia Military 62.5 65 6 Under: 12 Army - 34.5 - 36 47 Cover: 5 -Army had 29-13 first down and 643-271 yard edges includ-ing 594-40 on the ground. -Army QB Kelvin Hopkins ran for 208 yards and a TD. -The Black Knights finished with 594 yards rushing on Senior Day, 37 yards shy of matching the school record set against Colgate of the FCS in 1989. Army 54.5 55 31 Over: 28 Hawaii -2.5 -2.5 52 Cover: 18.5 -Hawaii had a 25-23 first down edge but Army had a 538-492 -Army's final TO was a 100-yard interception return TD for -Army starting QB Kelvin Hopkins, Jr. was knocked out of the game in the second quarter. With backup Jabari Laws on the mend, third-stringer Christian Anderson filled in and finished with a game-high 114 rushing yards on 12 carries. Army Navy 43 40.5 7 -6.5 -11 31 43 Under: 2.5 Cover: 13 -Navy had 17-9 first down and 396-148 yard edges including 395-123 on the ground. -Navy QB Malcolm Perry ran for 304 yards and 2 TD's. 0 2018 (SU: 11-2, ATS: 7-4-2, 0/U: 7-6) 2019 (SU: 5-8, ATS: 5-8, 0/U: 5-8) Line Score W/LO/U +14 14-34 L 046 -8 38-14 W u58 -7 28-21 P u62' +29 21-28 W u59' +7' 42-13 W 054' Opponent at Duke Liberty Date Opponent 8/30 Rice 9/7 at Michigan-2ot 9/14 at UTSA 9/21 Morgan St Line Score W/LO/U -23' 14-7 L u47 +22' 21-24 W u48 -17 31-13 W u45 -45 52-21 L o55 Hawaii at Oklahoma-ot at Buffalo 9/28 10/5 Tulane 10/12 at WKU 10/19 at Georgia St 10/26 San Jose St 11/2 at Air Force 11/9 Massachusetts 11/16 VMI 11/23 +2 33-42 L -5 8-17 L -4 21-28 L -9' 29-34 L +16' 13-17 W -34' 63-7 W -36 47-6 W 042 u43 u54 054 u45 060 u65 † San Jose State -17 52-3 W Miami, Oh-ot -6' 31-30 L at Eastern Mich -1' 37-22 W Air Force -5' 17-14 L Lafayette -46' 31-13 L Colgate -11 28-14 W o50 o47 o47 u41

u53 037 -7 17-10 P u39 11/25 -6' 70-14 W 058' 12/14 † Navy

+2' 31-52 L +11 7-31 L

o55 u40

2020 Liberty Football Preview 2019 Game-By-Game Recap



Head Coach (Yr) Hugh Freeze (2) Co-Off Coord. Kent Austin (2) Maurice Harris (2) Defensive Coord. Scott Symons (2) Conference/Div Independent

Team Profile 2020 Team Power Rating 55.3 114 Power Ratings Diff vs Last Year -5.3 1242020 Strength of Schedule 56.2 127 78 2020 Season Win Projection 6.1 Returning Starters (OFF/DEF) 10 (5/5) 117 Return Starting QB (YES/NO) NO Returning Production % 52% 105 44% Returning Offense Production 110 59% Returning Defense Production 81 2020 Recruiting (Signees) 27 95 2020 Roster Talent Rank 120

2020 Offense/Defense Analvsis

Liberty University has very deep financial pockets and this is a football program to keep an eye on. Last year head coach Hugh Freeze (formerly of Ole Miss) in his first season dealt with a back injury that forced him to miss 24 fall practices. He coached a game from the press box in a medical bed, and four more games on an elevated platform on the sidelines. Despite that, Liberty joined Georgia Southern and Appalachian State as the only teams to move from FCS to FBS and win a bowl game in its first season of eligibility!

It will be tough to duplicate 2019's success as Liberty loses their all-time lead ing passer in Stephen Calvert (12,025 yards), their No. 2 all-time receiver in Antonio Gandy-Golden (3,722 yards, 4th round draft pick) and their No. 4 alltime rusher in Frankie Hickson (2,898 yards). Auburn transfer Malik Willis figures to be the favorite to replace Calvert and keep an eve on WR Noah Frith who impressed us in the bowl game. The defense also suffers some heavy loss-es including their top 3 tacklers. DE Jessie Lemonier was the Flames' best pass rusher (10.5 sacks, bowl MVP) and he also departs.

The schedule is manageable for Liberty in 2020 as it ranks only No. 127 in terms of difficulty. Despite the heavy personnel losses, Liberty could find themselves favored in as many as 7 games. A 2nd straight bowl trip is possible provided they find themselves a QB. Note that since they play two FCS teams, Liberty needs to win 7 games to get to bowl eligibility.

2020 Schedule with RP Projected Lines

	020 00110uu					LUU L		
Date	Opponent	Line	Win %	Line	<u> Total</u>	Score	W/L	O/U
9/5	at Virginia Tech	28.0	4%					
<u>9/12</u>	NC A&T	-7.2	69%					
9/19	at W Kentucky	14.6	16%					
9/26	FIU	-1.2	53%					
10/3	at Bowl Green	-10.7	76%					
10/10	UL-Monroe		63%					
10/17	at Syracuse	13.4	19%					
10/24	So. Miss	6.5	33%					
10/31	at Connecticut	-8.6	72%					
11/7								
<u>11/14</u>	W Carolina	-28.4	96%					
11/21	at NC State	16.9	11%					
11/28	UMass	-20.9	93%					
	Projected W	ins	6.05					

rrojected Wins

Last 5 Year Records

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total	Libe
Straight Up	FCS	FCS	FCS	6-6	8-5	14-11	is 5
Home				5-1	5-1	10-2	gam
Away				1-5	2-4	3-9	
Neutral				0-0	1-0	1-0	_
Conference				0-0	0-0	0-0	PO
Non-Conf				6-6	8-5	14-11	105 -
ATS	FCS	FCS	FCS	5-7	8-5	13-12	95 -
Home Fav				1-2	3-1	4-3	85 -
Home Dog				2-1	1-1	3-2	75 -
Away Fav				0-2	2-1	2-3	65
Away Dog				2-2	1-2	3-4	55
Conference				0-0	0-0	0-0	45
Non-Conf				5-7	8-5	13-12	25
O /U	FCS	FCS	FCS	7-5	6-7	13-12	

ATS Stat erty head coach Hugh Freeze 55-33-1 ATS (62.5%) in all

nes in his coaching career. End of Season wer Rating 2015-19

2015 2016 2017 2020 Powers' Picks Renewal: Get covered with CFB/NFL Action Through Feb 2021! braddowerssdorts.com 2013 8-4

	2019) St a	2tr	
Rushing	LU	Rk	Орр	Rk
YPC	4.5	59	4.6	89
YPG	150.5	78	189.7	96 D1
Passing Comp %	LU 56.9%	Rk 693	Opp 59.7%	Rk 56
YPA	8.3	25	7.4	68
YPG	288.9		219.5	52
TD-INT	29-8		27-12	
Total	LU	Rk	Opp	Rk
YPP YPG	6.4 439.4	18 32	5.7 409.2	76 80
Scoring	439.4 LU	Rk	Opp	Rk
PPG	32.8	34	28.1	68
3rd Down		Rk	Opp	Rk
% D-17	40.7% LU		42.3%	98 Dl-
Red Zone TD %	69.6%	Rk 6 25	Opp 55.8%	Rk 39
Scoring %	83.9%	6 62	80.8%	
KO Ret	LU	Rk	Opp	Rk
Avg	24.3	19 D1	19.5	40
Punt Ret Avg	LU 0.5	Rk 130	Opp 8.2	Rk 74
Sacks	By	Rk	Vs	Rk
#	35	28	28	76
TFL's	By	Rk	Vs	Rk
# Net Punt	80 LU	47 Rk	69	44
Avg	37.1	кк 94		
4th Down		Rk	Follow	Brad
Att P/Gm	1.2	95	on Twi	
Turnovers		Rk (BradPo	wers7
Margin Penalties	+2	50 Rk		
Per Game	6.8	98		
Scorin	g Qua	rter-B	y-Quar	ter
10 LU 14			IQ OT 55 0	
Opp 63			95 0	427 365
		105 ,		505
9010	n Ctr	t M	oraiı	ne
201 9	9 Sta		argi	IS
Margi	ns	+/-	Rŀ	1S «
Margi Rush Y	ns TPC	+/ - -0.1	RI 78	1S <
Margi	ns TPC	+/-	RI 78	1S
Margi Rush Y Pass Y	ns PC PA	+/- -0.1 +0.9	RI 78 42	1S
Margi Rush Y Pass Y YPP	ns PC PA	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7	RI 78 42 34	1S
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG	ns PC PA	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30.	RI 78 42 34 2 59	1S
Margi Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring	ns PC PA	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7	RI 78 42 34	1S
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG	ns PC PA	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30.	RI 78 42 34 2 59	is ats
Margi Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019	ns PC PA	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30. +4.7 Vidu Return	RI 78 42 34 2 59 51 ai St	ats
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing	ns PC PA g nd i v Bold =	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30. +4.7 Vidu Return Att Y	RI 78 42 34 2 59 51 al St ing ds %	Ratio
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Stephen C	ns PC PA g ndiv Bold =	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30. +4.7 Vidu Att Y 431 30	RI 78 42 34 2 59 51 (a) S1 (a) S1 (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	Ratio 28-7
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Stephen C Landon B	ns PC PA g ndiv Bold =	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30. +4.7 Vidu Att Y 431 30 10 2:	RI 78 42 34 2 59 51 (a) S1 (a) S1 (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	Ratio 28-7 0-1
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Stephen C Landon B Rushing Frankie H	ns PC PA Bold = Calvert rown ickson	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30. +4.7 Vidu Att Y 431 30 10 2: Att Y 187 10	RI 78 42 34 2 59 51 (a) S1 (a) S1 (a) S1 (b) S (c) S (Ratio 228-7 10-1 2 TD 12
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Stephen C Landon B Rushing Frankie H Joshua M	ns PC PA Bold = Calvert rown ickson fack	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30. +4.7 Vidu Att Y 431 3(10 2) Att Y 187 10 136 7	RI 78 42 34 2 59 51 (a) S1 (a) S1 (b) 5 (c) 5 (c) 5 (c) 6 (c) 5 (c) 6 (c) 7 (c) 6 (c) 7 (c) 6 (c) 7 (c) 6 (c) 7 (c) 6 (c) 7 (c) 7 (c	Ratio 28-7 00-1 2 TD 12 7
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring Z019 Passing Stephen C Landon B Rushing Frankie H Joshua M Receiving	ns PC PA Bold = Calvert rown ickson fack	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30. +4.7 Vidu Att Y 431 30 10 23 Att Y 187 10 136 79 Rec Y	RI 78 42 34 2 59 51 (a) S1 (a)	Ratio 28-7 0-1 2 TD 12 7 7 C TD
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Stephen C Landon B Rushing Frankie H Joshua M Receiving A. Gandy-	ns PC PA Bold = Calvert rown ickson fack Golden	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30. +4.7 Vidu Return Att Y 431 3(10 2) Att Y 187 1(136 7) Rec Y 79 11	RI 78 42 34 2 59 51 8 8 63 57.8 5 30.0 ds YPC 041 5.6 92 5.8 ds YPC 041 5.6 92 6.8	Ratio 28-7 0-1 2 TD 12 7 2 TD 10
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Stephen C Landon B: Rushing Frankie H Joshua M Receiving A. Gandy DJ Stubb Kevin Sha	ns PC PA Bold = calvert rown ickson lack Golden s aa	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30. +4.7 Vidu Return Att Y 10 2: Att Y 187 10 136 7! Rec Y 79 11 32 40 26 34	RI 78 42 34 2 59 51 (a) S1 (a)	Ratio 228-7 10-1 2 TD 12 7 7 C TD 10 3
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring Z019 Passing Stephen C Landon B Rushing Frankie H Joshua M Receiving A. Gandy DJ Stubb Kevin Shi Noah Frit	ns PC PA Bold = calvert rown ickson lack Golden s aa	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30. +4.7 Vidu Return Att Y 431 3: 10 2: Att Y 136 7! Rec Y 79 1: 32 4: 26 3: 15 2: 45 2:	RI 78 42 34 2 59 51 (a) S1 (a) S1 (a) S1 (a) S1 (a) S1 (a) S1 (b) S1 (c) S1 	Ratio 28-7 0-1 27 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring Scoring Stephen C Landon B Rushing Frankie H Joshua M Receiving A. Gandy- DJ Stubb Kevin Sha Noah Frii Defense	ns PC PA Bold = Calvert rown ickson fack Golden s aa th	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30. +4.7 Vidu Return Att Y 431 32 10 22 Att Y 187 10 136 79 79 12 32 40 26 32 Tkl S	RI 78 42 34 2 59 51 8 8 5 30.0 ds % 5 30.0 ds % 5 30.0 ds YPC 041 5.6 92 5.8 ds YPC 396 17.7 01 12.4 ks TFL	Ratio 28-7 0-1 2 TD 12 7 7 7 2 TD 10 3 2 3 1 NT
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring Coring Coring Stephen C Landon B Rushing Frankie H Joshua M Receiving A. Gandy- DJ Stubb Kevin Shi Noah Frii Defense Solomon	ns PC PA Bold = calvert rown ickson fack Golden s aa th Ajayi	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30. +4.7 Vidu Return Att Y 431 3: 10 2: Att Y 136 7! Rec Y 79 1: 32 4: 26 3: 15 2: 45 2:	RI 78 42 34 2 59 51 (a) S1 (a)	Ratio 28-7 0-1 27 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Stephen C Landon B: Rushing Frankie H Joshua M Receiving A. Gandy DJ Stubb Kevin Sh: Noah Frit Defense Solomon <i>A</i> Elijah Ber Jessie Len	ns PC PA Bold = calvert rown ickson fack Golden s aa th Ajayi tton nonier	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30. +4.7 Vidu Return Return Att Y 10 2: 187 10 136 79 1: 15 22 4 26 3 . 15 22 4 26 3 . 15 22 4 26 3 . 15 22 5 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	RI 78 42 34 2 59 51 (a) S1 (a)	Ratio 28-7 0-1 2 TD 12 7 7 2 TD 10 3 2 3 1NT 0
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring Zong Stephen C Landon B Rushing Frankie H Joshua M Receiving A. Gandy JJ Stubb Kevin Sh: Noah Frit Defense Solomon A Elijah Ber Bessie Len Ralfs Rus	ns PC PA Bold = Calvert rown ickson iack Golden s aa th Ajayi nonier sins	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30. +4.7 vidu Return Return Return Return 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	RI 78 78 42 34 2 59 51 (a) S1 (a) S1 (a) S1 (a) S1 (a) S1 (a) S1 (b) S1 (c) S1	Ratio 28-7 0-1 27 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring Zo19 Passing Stephen C Landon B Rushing Frankie H Joshua M Receiving A. Gandy- DJ Stubb Kevin Sha Noah Frii Defense Solomon / Elijah Ber Jessie Lem Ralfs Rus Javon Sci	ns PC PA Bold = calvert rown ickson fack Golden s aa th Ajayi tton nonier iins ruggs	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30.7 +30.7 +4.7 Vidu Return Att Y 187 10 22 Att Y 187 10 126 32 44 26 32 44 26 32 45 26 32 46 53 15 15 15 16 53 17 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	RI 78 78 42 34 2 59 51 8 8 5 30.0 ds % 663 57.8 5 30.0 ds YPC 041 5.6 92 5.8 ds YPC 396 17.7 01 19.4 ks TFL 5 4.5 1.5 5 4.5 5 4	Ratio 28-7 0-1 CTD 12 7 CTD 10 3 2 3 INT 0 1 0 0 2
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring Content Passing Stephen C Landon B Rushing Frankie H Joshua M Receiving A. Gandy- DJ Stubb Kevin Sha Noah Frii Defense Solomon / Elijah Ber Javon Sci Ceneca Es	ns PC PA Bold = calvert rown ickson fack Golden s aa th Ajayi tton nonier iins ruggs	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30. +4.7 Vidu Return Return Att Y 10 2: 130 79 1: 136 7' Return 1136 7' 79 1: 132 44 26 32 15 22 45 26 32 15 32 46 26 32 58 0. 80 11 60 2 2 58 0. 44 1. 1	RI 78 42 34 2 59 51 2 59 51 34 2 59 51 34 5 30 34 5 30 30 30 11 12.5 46 13.3 96 17.5 5 4.5 5 5 4.5 5 5 4.5 5 5 4.5 5 5 4.5 5 5 4.5 5 5 4.5 5 5 4.5 5 5 4.5 5 5 5	Ratio 228-7 00-1 12 7 7 7 7 10 3 2 3 3 2 3 1 0 1 0 0 2 2
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring Zo19 Passing Stephen C Landon B Rushing Frankie H Joshua M Receiving A. Gandy- DJ Stubb Kevin Sha Noah Frii Defense Solomon / Elijah Ber Jessie Lem Ralfs Rus Javon Sci	ns PC PA Bold = calvert rown ickson ickson ickson ack Golden s an th Ajayi iton nonier ins ruggs pinoza	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30.7 +30.7 +4.7 Vidu Return Att Y 187 10 22 Att Y 187 10 126 32 44 26 32 44 26 32 45 26 32 46 53 15 15 15 16 53 17 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	RI 78 42 34 2 59 51 (a) S1 (a)	Ratio 28-7 0-1 CTD 12 7 CTD 10 3 2 3 INT 0 1 0 0 2
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring Zong Scoring Zong Stephen C Landon B Rushing Frankie H Joshua M Receiving A. Gandy JJ Stubb Kevin Sha Noah Frii Defense Solomon A Elijah Ber Solomon A Elijah Ber Ralfs Rus Javon Sci Ceneca Es Kicking Alex Prob Punting	ns PC PA Bold = calvert rown ickson fack Golden s aa th Ajayi monier sins ruggs pinoza ert	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30. +4.7 Vidu Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Re	RI 78 78 42 34 2 59 51 (a) S1 (a) S1 (a) S1 (a) S1 (a) S1 (b) S1 (c) S1	Ratio 28-7 0-1 27 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring Zong Stephen C Landon B Rushing Frankie H Joshua M Receiving A. Gandy DJ Stubb Kevin Sha Noah Frii Defense Solomon A Elijah Ber Sloomon A Elijah Ber Ceneca Ess Kicking Alex Prob Punting Aidan Ah	ns PC PA Bold = Calvert rown ickson iack Golden s aa th Ajayi noonier sins ruggs pinoza ert ves	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30. +4.7 Vidu Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Re	RI 78 78 42 34 2 59 51 (a) S1 (a) S1 (a) S1 (a) S1 (a) S1 (b) S1 (b) S1 (c) S1	Ratio 28-7 0-1 27 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring Content Scoring Stephen C Landon B Rushing Frankie H Joshua M Receiving A. Gandy JJ Stubb Kevin Sha Slomon A Elijah Ber Jessie Len Ralfs Rus Javon Sci Ceneca Es Kicking Alex Prob Punting Aidan Ah	ns PC PA Bold = calvert rown ickson fack Golden s aa th Ajayi tton nonier sins ruggs spinoza ert ves	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30. +4.7 Vidu Return Return Att Y 187 10 2: Att Y 187 15 2: 4 4 2: 5 8: 0 16 6 2: 5 8 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	RI 78 42 34 2 59 51 34 2 59 51 34 2 59 51 34 34 2 59 51 34 34 34 2 59 51 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34	Ratio 28-7 0-1 12 7 7 7 7 7 7 10 3 2 7 7 7 10 3 2 3 7 7 0 1 0 2 2 2 7 9 0-53 BLK 0 1:6-6, AT
Margin Rush Y Pass Y YPP YPG Scoring Zong Stephen C Landon B Rushing Frankie H Joshua M Receiving A. Gandy DJ Stubb Kevin Sha Noah Frii Defense Solomon A Elijah Ber Sloomon A Elijah Ber Ceneca Ess Kicking Alex Prob Punting Aidan Ah	ns PC PA Bold = calvert rown ickson fack Golden s aa th Ajayi tton nonier sins ruggs spinoza ert ves laying 018.	+/- -0.1 +0.9 +0.7 +30. +4.7 Vidu Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Return Re	RI 78 42 34 2 59 51 (a) S1 (a)	Ratio 28-7 0-1 12 7 7 7 7 7 7 10 3 2 7 7 7 7 10 3 2 7 7 10 3 2 7 7 7 7 7 0 1 0 2 2 2 8 9 0-53 - BLK 0 1 5 6-6, AT ation

Teams **Open Close Score ATS** Syracuse -17.5 -19 24 Cover: 5 66. 69.5 0 Under: 45.5 Liberty -Syracuse had a 368-234 yard edge including 192-(-4) on the ground. The Orange had 8 sacks. -First-year Liberty head coach Hugh Freeze watched from a hospital bed in the coaching box. "I don't know how much it played" a factor, he said of not being on the side-lines. "I think me being gone for two weeks probably had an effect somewhat on some things. 69.0 65.5 14 -10 -14 35 Liberty Under: 16.5 UL-Lafavette Cover: 7 -ULL had a 26-20 first down and 593-361 yard edge in-cluding 407-142 on the ground. -ULL had 2 turnovers, 3 missed FG's & still covered. -6.5 -6 17 57.5 55 35 Buffalo Under: 3 Liberty Cover: 24 -Buffalo had a 26-22 first down edge but Liberty had a 401-373 total yard edge. -The Bulls did out-rush Liberty 206-76 but were stopped on downs twice and missed two FG's. 58.5 27 Hampton Over: 30.5 Liberty -24 -25.5 62 Cover: 9.5 -Liberty had a 575-405 yard edge including 256-113 on the ground. The Flames led 41-12 at halftime. New Mexico 66.5 72 10 Cover: 0.5 -9 -75 Liberty 17 Under: 45 -Liberty had a 466-362 yard edge. -The Flames deserved the cover as they fumbled the ball at the New Mexico 4-yard line in the first half and then missed a 32-yard field goal in the second half. Still they allowed New Mexico to go 86 yards in 11 plays for the back-door cover TD with :43 left. Liberty -4.5 -4 20 Cover: 3 New Mexico St 63 63 13 Under: 30 **Misleading Final:** New Mexico St had 23-20 first down and 396-334 yard edges but were -3 in TO's. -The Aggies fumbled at the Liberty 6-yard line with 3:15 left in the game. Maine43.544Cover: 0.5Liberty-14.5-15.559Over: 59.5-Maine had 29-27 first down and 572-487 yard edges.-However, Liberty led 52-17 late in the 3Q. -4 -75 Liberty 34 Over: 33.5 44.5 44 49 Cover: 17.5 Rutgers "Autgers had a 463-413 yard edge. "I saw that they were favored to win, which I thought was ridiculous," Rutgers QB Langan said. Liberty -24 -23.5 63 Massachusetts 67 70 21 Cover: 18.5 Additional Liberty led 49-14 at halftime. -Liberty QB Calvert threw for 474 yards and 4 TD's. Liberty 55 61.5 24 -20.5 -17.5 31 Cover: 10.5 Under: 6.5 BYU -Liberty had a 25-23 first down edge but BYU a 471-431 yard edge. BYU was -2 in TO's. 55 57.5 27 -18.5 -16.5 55 Liberty Over: 24.5 Virginia Cover: 12 -Virginia had 25-20 first down and 499-392 yard edges including 227-79 on the ground. -UVA was +2 in TO's turned them into 14 points New Mexico St 69 66.5 28 Liberty -14 -14.5 49 Over: 10.5 Cover: 6.5 Liberty had 25-19 first down and 486-328 yard edges and were +2 in TO's. -Liberty RB Frankie Hickson rushed for a career-high 196 yards and a career-best four TD's. Liberty 55.5 58.5 23 Cover: 12 Ga Southern -6 -5 16 Under: 19.5 -Liberty had 19-13 first down and 402-249 yard edges. -Liberty joined Georgia Southern and Appalachian State as the only teams to move from FCS to FBS and win a bould error in its first eagean of chickbility.

bowl game in its first season of eligibility. -QB Calvert, a senior making his 43rd start, topped 12,000 yards in career passing yards. 2018 2019 S: 5-7, 0/U: 7-5) 2019 (SU: 8-5, ATS: 8-5, 0/U: 6-7) Liberty did the **FBS** lev
 9/1
 Old Dollminol
 +3
 52-10
 W 058

 9/15
 Norfolk St
 Postponed
 9/22
 North Texas
 +12
 7-47
 L
 u67'

 9/22
 North Texas
 +12
 7-47
 L
 u67'

 9/29
 at New Mexico
 +7
 52-43
 W 065'

 10/6
 at New Mexico St -3'
 41-49
 L
 065'

 10/13
 Troy
 +10'22-16
 W 063
 10/20
 Idaho St
 -7'
 48-41
 L
 o78
 Liberty's Last 5 Years of 9/8 9/15 9/22 9/29 10/6 FCS action: 2017 6-5 Liberty had 12 straight winning 2016 6-5 seasons from 2015 6-5 2006-2017 prior 2014 9-5 to jumping to

the FBS!

2020 Connecticut Football Preview Rk **2019 Stats**

126

27

83

49

46

59

119

106

Avg

Avg

125



Frank Giufre (2)

Lou Spanos (2)

Independent

Conference/Div

Defensive Coord.

Team Profile # 2020 Team Power Rating 44.7 Power Ratings Diff vs Last Year 3.3 2020 Strength of Schedule 63.3 2020 Season Win Projection 2.6 Returning Starters (OFF/DEF) 16 (7/9) 20 Return Starting QB (YES/NO) YES Returning Production % 68% Returning Offense Production 71% Returning Defense Production 65% 2020 Recruiting (Signees) 25 2020 Roster Talent Rank

2020 Offense/Defense Analysis

After going just 9-39 the last 4 years, UConn transitions to being an indepen-dent in 2020. Randy Edsall's 2nd tenure here has certainly gotten off to a slow start with just one win over an FBS team in two years and that was against a UMass team that we felt was the worst FBS team in more than 5 years

Things are looking up for UConn heading into 2020 as this should be Edsall' best team yet thanks to the return of 16 starters. Jack Zergiotis and Steven Krajewski are back at QB and RB Kevin Mensah is arguably their best player after rushing for 1,013 yards last year. Also keep an eye on WR Cameron Ross who caught 60 passes in 2019. The Huskies do lose their best offensive lineman in OT Matt Peart who was a 3rd round draft choice.

The defense did make some strides last season as they went from allowing 50.4 ppg and 617 ypg in 2018 to allowing 40.5 and 467 ypg last year. This year's defense looks improved again thanks to the return of 9 starters and all of those freshman and sophomores that took their lumps in 2018 are now upperclassmen. The Huskies return 5 of their top 6 tacklers.

Depending on what happens with practice schedules leading up to this season, we do see a major advantage for UConn in that they got all 15 of their spring practices in before COVID-19 hit! There is 3-4 winnable games on the schedule, but if you're looking for UConn to get back in bowl contention, the Huskies are still at least a year away.

2	020 Schedı	ile w	/ith B	P Pr (Dieci	ted L	ines	S
Date	Opponent	Line	Win %	Line	Total	Score	W/L	0/ l
9/3	UMass	-10.3	75%					
9/12	at Illinois	26.3	4%					
9/19	at Virginia		3%					
9/26	Indiana	32.2	3%					
10/3	Old Dom	1.7	47%					
10/10	Maine	4.2	38%					
10/17								
10/24	at Ole Miss	35.1	2%					
10/31	Liberty	8.6	29%					
11/7	at N. Carolina	40.8	1%					
11/14	at San Jose St	14.0	17%					
11/21	Middle Tenn	12.5	20%					
11/28		12.1	21%					
	Projected W	ins	2.60					

Last 5 Vear Records

Las)L J	IG	ai i	IGL	ulu	3	_		HI.		
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total			icut i		
Straight Up	6-7	3-9	3-9	1-11	2-10	15-46			ames		
Home	4-2	3-4	2-4	1-5	1-5	11-20			over	by	ar
Away	2-4	0-5	1-4	0-6	1-5	4-24	11.5				
Neutral	0-1	0-0	0-1	0-0	0-0	0-2		E	nd o	13	e
Conference	4-4	1-7	2-6	0-8	0-8	7-33	Po	We	r Ra	tī	na
Non-Conf	2-3	2-2	1-3	1-3	2-2	8-13	105 -				
ATS	5-8	2-8-2	5-7	2-9-1	5-7	19-39-3	95 -				
Home Fav	0-2	0-3	0-2	0-1	0-1	0-9	85				
Home Dog	3-1	1-3	2-2	1-4	3-2	10-12	75				
Away Fav	0-1	0-0	0-0	0-0	1-0	1-1	65	-	-		
Away Dog	2-3	1-2-2	3-2	1-4-1	1-4	8-15-3	55 45				
Conference	3-5	2-4-2	5-3	2-6	3-5	15-23-2	35				
Non-Conf	2-3	0-4	0-4	0-3-1	2-2	4-16-1	25				
O/U	2-11	5-7	6-6	6-5-1	5-7	24-36-1		2015	2016	2	017
2015 (SU:	6-7. A	TS: 5-8	3. O/U: (2-11)	20	16 (SU: 3	-9. ATS	: 2-8	-2.0/	J: 5	-7)
Date Opponen	it .	Line	Score	W/LO/U	Date	Opponent	-,	Line	Score	W/I	.0/t
9/3 Villanov 9/12 Army	va	+7 -7	20-15 22-17	W u51	,9/1	Maine			24-21		037
9/12 Army 9/19 at Misso	mei	+21		L u47 W u41	,9/10	at Navy		+4	24-28		044
9/26 Navy	Juli	+7	18-28		9/1/	Virginia		-3'	13-10		u48
10/3 at BYU			13-30		9/24	Syracuse		-2'	24-31		u58
10/10 at UCF		+1'	40-13	W 038	9/29	at Housto			14-42		050
10/17 South F		-2'	20-28	L 044	10/8	Cincinnat		+3	20-9	W	u48
10/24 at Cinci			13-37		10/15	at South F	iorida	+20	16-24	w	o53 u47
10/30 East Ca		+6'	31-13 7-3		10/22	at East Ca	malina		3-41	L	u47 u53
11/7 at Tulan 11/14	le	-5	1-5	L u47	11/4	Temple	uonna		0-21	L	u53 u44
11/17					111/4	rempte		10	0-21	L	u+++

21 Houston 11/28 at Temple 12/26 † Marshall



last 19 games as a favorite failing to cover by an average of 11.5 ppg! End of Season Power Rating 2015-19

85 75 65

1/19 at Boston Coll

11/4 Temple 11/12

+8 20-17 W u49^{11/7} 11/12 +12 3-27 L u39¹11/19 at Bosto +4² 10-16 L u44²11/26 Tulane

UConn Rk Opp 3.6 112 5.9 Rushing YPC 128.7 108 YPG 223.6 Passing Opp UConn Rk 63.8% 59.7% 75 Comp % 6.8 97 8.5 YPA 243.3 81 YPG 216 28-7 14-15 TD-INT Total UConn Rk Opp 5.1 111 344.7 108 YPP 7.0 YPG 466.8 Scoring UConn Rk Opp PPG 18.9 120 40.5 3rd Down UConn Rk Opp 29.4% 127 50.0% Red Zone UConn Rk Opp TD % 60.0% 69 84.9% Scoring % 77.1% 103 92.5% KO Ret Opp 24.5 UConn Rk 20.3 73 Punt Ret UConn Rk Opp 119 23 5.1 Rk Vs Sacks By

TFL's By 4Ť Net Punt UConn Rk 38.6 Avg 4th Down Off Att P/Gm 2.1 Turnovers -8 Margin Penalties Per Game 6.2 Scoring Quarter-By-Quarter

19

	JŲ.	2Q	зŲ	4Q	U1	10
UConn	62	54	45	66	0	22
Opp	111	155	136	84	0	48
20	19 9	Sta	t N	lar	niı	nc
Marg	gins	-	+/-	IUI	RI	ζ
Rush						
Pass	YP/	4.	-1.7	7	11	5
YPP			-1.9)	12	8
YPG			-12	2.1	12	4
Scori	ng		-21	.6	12	6
0040			ا م ت			

ZU19 INdividual Sta **Bold = Returning**

						Pass	ing		Att	Yds	~ %	Ratio	Cluc
		-					Zer	piotis			2 57.7		Inav
							e Bea				63.9		Cor
							hing	uui y			YPC		Cin
							in Me	ncal		101		9	-Ci
							Thom					2	clu
		AT	S St	at			eiving			· Yds			-Cii -Ze
11	iect	icut	is 1-18	ATS i	n their		ieron			723			Jac
					te fail-	Can	ell Bro			368	13.6	-	toda
					age of					278			gav
			ay an	aron	190 01		t Dra	yton	27				Nex
			f Coc	eon			Rose			261	9.7	2 DIT	Б
_			f Sea	12011	- 40	Defe		,	Tkl				Eas
IJ	we	L RS	ting	ZUI	D-19		r Coy		86	0	3.5	1	Cor -EC
Γ							ar Fo		. 70	1.5	1	0	var
t							son N			0.5	1.5	0	-Uc
t							ert K		59	0	0	0	-Uc
							Mor		49	2	5	0	C
						Dian	nond	Harr		0	1	2	Cor Ten
						Kicl			FG		G X		-Te
					_	Clay	ton I	Iarr	is 10-	16 4	3 2'	7-27	incl
L		1				Pun	ting		Avg	g I20	50 +	BLK	-Uc
	2015										50,		
		2016	2017	2018	2019			glioz	zi 42.	419	14	1	the
S						Luk	e Ma		zi 42.4	419	14	•	
S	: 2-8	-2, 0/	²⁰¹⁷ U: 5-7) W/LO/U	20	2019	Luk 3-9, AT	e Ma S: 5-7 ,	0/U: 6	zi 42. •6)	4 19 201	14	-11, ATS:	
ſS	: 2-8 Line -27'	-2, 0/ Score 24-21	U: 5-7) w/lo/u L o37	20 Date 8/31	D17 (SU: Opponent Holy Cro	Luk 3-9, AT	e Ma S: 5-7, Line S -22' 2	D/U: 6 core V 7-20 L	zi 42.4 -6] v/LO/U u59'	4 19 2018 Date 8/30	14 B (SU: 1 Opponen UCF (T	- 11, ATS: it hu)	2-9 - Line +24
ſS	1.10 Line -27' +4	Score 24-21 24-28	U: 5-7) W/LO/U L 037 P 044	Date 8/31 9/9	Opponent Holy Cro South Flo	Luk 3-9, AT	e Ma S: 5-7, 1 Line S -22' 2 Resch	D/U: 6 core V 7-20 L eduled	zi 42. - 6] v/LO/U 	4 19 2011 Date 8/30 9/8	14 B (SU: 1 Opponen UCF (Th at Boise	-11, ATS: t hu) St	2-9 - Line +24 +33
S	Line -27' +4 -3'	Score 24-21 24-28 13-10	U: 5-7) W/LO/U L 037 P 044 L u48	Date 8/31 9/9 9/16	Opponent Holy Cro South Flo at Virgin	Luk 3-9, AT	e Ma S: 5-7, 1 Line S -22' 2 Resch +11 1	D/U: 6 core V 7-20 L eduled 8-38 L	zi 42.4	4 19 2011 Date 8/30 9/8 9/15	14 B (SU: 1- Opponen UCF (TI at Boise Rhode Is	-11, ATS: t hu) St sland	2-9 - Line +24 +33 -9'
S	1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.111.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1	Score 24-21 24-28 13-10 24-31 14-42	U: 5-7) W/LO/U L 037 P 044 L u48 L u58 P 050	20 Date 8/31 9/9 9/16 9/24 9/30	Opponent Holy Cro South Flo	Luk 3-9, AT	e Ma Line S -22' 2 Resch +11 1 -4' 3 +16'2	D/U: 6 core V 7-20 L eduled 8-38 L 8-41 L 8-49 L	zi 42.4 -6] V/LO/U u59' 051' 064' 074'	4 19 2011 Date 8/30 9/8 9/15 9/22 9/29	14 B (SU: 1 Opponen UCF (Th at Boise	-11, ATS: hu) St sland use	2-9 - Line +24 +33 -9' +30 +17
	:2-8 Line -27' +4 -3' -2' +28 +3	Score 24-21 24-28 13-10 24-31 14-42 20-9	U: 5-7) W/LO/U L 037 P 044 L u48 L u58 P 050 W u48	20 Date 8/31 9/9 9/16 9/24 9/30 10/6	Opponent Holy Cro South Fla at Virgin East Card at SMU Memphis	Luk 3-9, AT oss orida ia olina	e Ma Line S -22' 2 Reschu +11 1 -4' 3 +16' 2 +15 3	D/U: 6 core V 7-20 L eduled 8-38 L 8-41 L 8-49 L 1-70 L	zi 42. -6] v/LO/U _ u59' _ o51' _ o64' _ o74' _ o74'	4 19 2018 Date 8/30 9/8 9/15 9/22 9/29 10/6	14 B (SU: 1- Opponen UCF (TI at Boise Rhode I: at Syrac	-11, ATS: t hu) St sland use ati	2-9 - Line +24 +33 -9' +30
	E:2-8 Line -27' +4 -3' -2' +28 +3 +20	Score 24-21 24-28 13-10 24-31 14-42 20-9 27-42	U: 5-7) W/LO/U L 037 P 044 L u48 L u58 P 050 W u48 W 053	21 Date 8/31 9/9 9/16 9/24 9/30 10/6 10/14	Opponent Holy Cro South Fla at Virgin East Cara at SMU Memphis at Templ	Luk 3-9, AT oss orida ia olina	e Ma Line S -22' 2 Reschu +11 1 -4' 3 +16'2 +15 3 +10 2	D/U: 6 core V 7-20 L eduled 8-38 L 8-41 L 8-49 L 1-70 L 8-24 V	zi 42. -6] V/LO/U . u59' . o51' . o64' . o74' . o74' V u57'	4 19 2018 Date 8/30 9/8 9/15 9/22 9/29 10/6 10/13	14 B (SU: 1- Opponen UCF (That Boise Rhode Is at Syrac Cincinna at Memp	-11, ATS: t hu) St sland use ati	2-9 - Line +24 +33 -9' +30 +17 +35
a	E:2-8 Line -27' +4 -3' -2' +28 +3 +20 +4'	Score 24-21 24-28 13-10 24-31 14-42 20-9 27-42 16-24	U: 5-7) W/LO/U L 037 P 044 L u48 L u58 P 050 W u48 W 053 L u47	21 Date 8/31 9/9 9/16 9/24 9/30 10/6 10/14 10/21	Opponent Holy Cro South Fla at Virgin East Carr at SMU Memphis at Templ Tulsa	Luk 3-9, AT oss prida ia plina s e	e Ma Line S -22' 2 Reschu +11 1 -4' 3 +16' 2 +15 3	D/U: G core V 7-20 L eduled 8-38 L 8-41 L 8-49 L 1-70 L 8-24 V 0-14 V	zi 42.4 -6] V/LO/U 2 051' 2 051' 2 051' 2 051' 2 074' 2 074' V u57' V u76'	4 19 2018 Date 8/30 9/8 9/15 9/22 9/29 10/6 10/13 10/20	14 Opponen UCF (TI at Boise Rhode Is at Syrac Cincinna at Memp at USF	-11, ATS: thu) St sland use ati phis	2-9 - Line +24 +33 -9' +30 +17
a	: 2-8 Line -27' +4 -3' -2' +28 +3 +20 +4' +7	Score 24-21 24-28 13-10 24-31 14-42 20-9 27-42	U: 5-7) W/LO/U L 037 P 044 L u48 L u58 P 050 W u48 W 053 L u47 L u53	21 Date 8/31 9/9 9/16 9/24 9/30 10/6 10/14 10/21 10/28 11/4	D17 (SU: Opponent Holy Cro South Flo at Virgin East Car at SMU Memphis at Templ Tulsa Missouri South Flo	Luk 3-9, AT oss prida ia olina s e	e Ma S: 5-7, I Line S -22' 2 Reschu +11 1 -4' 3 +16'2 +15 3 +10 2 +4 2 +13'1 +23'2	D/U: G core V 7-20 L eduled 8-38 L 8-41 L 8-49 L 1-70 L 8-24 V 0-14 V 2-52 L 0-37 V	zi 42. -61 V/LO/U - 051' - 064' - 074' V 057' V 057' V 076' - 075' V 064'	4 19 2011 Date 8/30 9/8 9/15 9/22 9/29 10/6 10/13 10/20 10/27 11/3	14 BISU: 1 Opponen UCF (II at Boise Rhode I: at Syrac Cincinna at Memp at USF Massach at Tulsa	-11, ATS: it hu) St sland use ati phis	2-9 - Line +24 +33 -9' +30 +17 +35 +31 +3' +18
a	E: 2-8 Line -27' +4 -3' -2' +28 +3 +20 +4' +7 +10'	Score 24-21 24-28 13-10 24-31 14-42 20-9 27-42 16-24 3-41 '0-21	U: 5-7) W/LO/U L 037 P 044 L u48 L u58 P 050 W u48 W 053 L u47 L u53 L u44	21 Date 8/31 9/9 9/16 9/24 9/30 10/14 10/21 10/28 11/4 11/11	D17 (SU: Opponent Holy Crc South Fla at Virgin East Carr At SMU Memphis at Templ Tulsa Missouri South Fla South Fla	Luk 3-9, AT oss orida ia olina s e	e Ma S: 5-7, I Line S -22' 2 Reschu +11 1 -4' 3 +16'2 +15 3 +10 2 +4 2 +13'1 +23'2 +39'2	D/U: G core V 7-20 L eduled 8-38 L 8-41 L 8-49 L 1-70 L 8-24 V 0-14 V 2-52 L 0-37 V 4-49 V	zi 42. -6 <i>v</i> /LO/U <i>v</i> /LO/U	4 19 2018 Date 8/30 9/8 9/15 9/29 9/29 10/6 10/13 10/20 10/27 11/3 11/10	14 B (SU: 1- Opponen UCF (TI at Boisee Rhode I: at Syrac Cincinna at Memp at USF Massach at Tulsa SMU	-11, ATS: t hu) St sland use ati phis nusetts	2-9- Line +24 +33 -9' +30 +17 +35 +31 +3' +18 +18
a	: 2-8 Line -27' +4 -3' -2' +28 +3 +20 +4' +7	Score 24-21 24-28 13-10 24-31 14-42 20-9 27-42 16-24 3-41 '0-21 0-30	U: 5-7) W/LO/U L 037 P 044 L u48 L u58 P 050 W u48 W 053 L u47 L u53 L u44 L u36	21 Date 8/31 9/9 9/16 9/24 9/30 10/14 10/21 10/21 10/28 11/4 11/11 11/18	D17 (SU: Opponent Holy Cro South Fla at Virgin East Caro at SMU Memphis at Templ Tulsa Missouri South Fla at UCF † Boston	Luk 3-9, AT oss orida ia olina s e orida College	e Ma S: 5-7, I Line S -22' 2 Reschu +11 1 -4' 3 +16' 2 +15 3 +10 2 +4 2 +13' 1 +23' 2 +39' 2 +20' 1	D/U: 6 core V 7-20 L eduled 8-38 L 8-41 L 8-49 L 1-70 L 8-24 V 0-14 V 2-52 L 0-37 V 4-49 V 6-39 L	zi 42. (6) (10,10)	4 19 2018 Date 8/30 9/8 9/15 9/29 10/6 10/13 10/20 10/27 11/3 11/10 11/17	14 B (SU: 1- Opponen UCF (TI at Boise Rhode I: at Syrac Cincinna at Memp at USF Massach at Tulsa SMU at East (-11, ATS: it hu) St sland use ati phis	2-9- Line +24 +33 -9' +30 +17 +35 +31 +3' +18 +18 +17
a	E: 2-8 Line -27' +4 -3' -2' +28 +3 +20 +4' +7 +10' +8	Score 24-21 24-28 13-10 24-31 14-42 20-9 27-42 16-24 3-41 '0-21 0-30	U: 5-7) W/LO/U L 037 P 044 L u48 L u58 P 050 W u48 W 053 L u47 L u53 L u44 L u36	21 Date 8/31 9/9 9/16 9/24 9/30 10/14 10/21 10/21 10/28 11/4 11/11 11/18	D17 (SU: Opponent Holy Crc South Fla at Virgin East Carr At SMU Memphis at Templ Tulsa Missouri South Fla South Fla	Luk 3-9, AT oss orida ia olina s e orida College	e Ma S: 5-7, I Line S -22' 2 Reschu +11 1 -4' 3 +16'2 +15 3 +10 2 +4 2 +13'1 +23'2 +39'2	D/U: 6 core V 7-20 L eduled 8-38 L 8-41 L 8-49 L 1-70 L 8-24 V 0-14 V 2-52 L 0-37 V 4-49 V 6-39 L	zi 42. (6) (10,10)	4 19 2018 Date 8/30 9/8 9/15 9/29 10/6 10/13 10/20 10/27 11/3 11/10 11/17	14 B (SU: 1- Opponen UCF (TI at Boisee Rhode I: at Syrac Cincinna at Memp at USF Massach at Tulsa SMU	-11, ATS: t hu) St sland use ati phis nusetts	2-9- Line +24 +33 -9' +30 +17 +35 +31 +3' +18 +18

2019 Game-By-Game Recan

19 Stats	ZU19 Game-By-Game Kecap
onn Rk Opp Rk 112 5.9 128	TeamsOpenCloseScoreATSWagner70.570.521Cover: 17
.7 108 223.6 123	Wagner 70.5 70.5 21 Cover: 17 Connecticut -17.5 -21 24 Under: 25.5
onn Rk Opp Rk	-Uconn controlled the game more than the final score indi-
7% 75 63.8% 110	cated as the Huskies had 26-12 FD & 392-185 yard edges. -UConn played without its two top receivers.
97 8.5 120 81 243.3 93	Illinois -17.5 -21.5 31 Under: 5.5
15 28-7	Connecticut 63.5 59.5 23 Cover: 13.5
onn Rk Opp Rk	-Illinois trailed 10-0 after the 1Q but outscored Uconn 24-3 in the 2Q.
111 7.0 128 7 108 466.8 116	-The Illini had 21-16 first down and 357-285 yard edges
.7 108 466.8 116 onn Rk Opp Rk	including 130-10 on the ground.
0 120 40.5 128	Connecticut 58 56.5 3 Under: 15.5 Indiana -28 -27 38 Cover: 8
onn Rk Opp Rk	-Indiana had 25-9 first down and 430-145 yard including
127 50.0% 126 onn Rk Opp Rk	178-51 on the ground. Connecticut 62 65 21 Cover: 8
0% 69 84.9% 130	UCF -40 -43 56 Over: 12
% 103 92.5% 126	-UCF had a 607-426 yard edge but were also +3 in TO's (Converted 4 Uconn TO's in to 4 TD's).
onn Rk Opp Rk 8 73 24.5 119	-Uconn did get a 15-yard TD run on 4th&8 with :19 left
onn Rk Opp Rk	for the backdoor cover. -UConn's Steven Krajewski came off the bench to throw
23 5.1 25	for 273 yards and 3 TD's. Edsall said the redshirt fresh-
Rk Vs Rk 106 33 102	man played well enough to be the Huskies' starting quar- terback moving forward. However, Krajewski fractured
Rk Vs Rk	his clavicle and missed the next two months.
129 82 95	USF -15.5 -11 48 Cover: 15
onn Rk 6 62	Connecticut 53.5 48.5 22 Over: 21.5 -USF had a 503-293 yard edge including 313-84 on the
Rk Follow Brad	ground.
30 on Twitter :	-Uconn QB Mike Beaudry was 18 of 29 for 209 yards and a TD.
Rk @BradPowers7	
Rk	Tulane -33 -34 49 Cover: 8
70	-Tulane dominated with 31-14 first down & 634-234 yard edges including 311-100 on the ground.
arter-By-Quarter	
Q 3Q 4Q OT Total 4 45 66 0 227	Houston -21 -21.5 24 Under: 16 Connecticut 58.5 57 17 Cover: 14.5
55 136 84 0 486	Misleading Final: Uconn had 23-16 first down and 438-
tat Margins	284 yard edges. -Uconn had a TO in Houston territory (returned 38
+/- Rk	yards), was stopped on downs at the Houston 2-yard line and missed a FG.
-2.3 128	Connecticut -9.5 -9.5 56 Cover: 11.5
-1.7 115	UMass 62 62.5 35 Over: 28.5
-1./ 113	-Uconn had 25-24 first down and 539-439 yard edges
10 100	including 326-200 on the ground
-1.9 128	including 326-200 on the ground. -Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five
-122.1 124	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a
-122.1 124 -21.6 126	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993.
-122.1 124 -21.6 126	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground Navy was +3 in TO's
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground Navy was +3 in TO's
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 1782 57.7 9-11	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. Connecticut 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 1782 57.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. Connecticut 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5 Cincinnati -34.5 -34.5 48 Cover: 10.5
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 178257.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 4 226 10134.5 9	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. Connecticut 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5 Cincinnati -34.5 -34.5 48 Cover: 10.5 -Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges in- cluding 307-148 on the ground.
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 1782 57.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. Connecticut 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5 Cincinnati -34.5 -34.5 48 Cover: 10.5 -Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges in- cluding 307-148 on the ground. -Cincy led 38-0 at halftime.
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 178257.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 226 10134.5 9 9 8 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 5 60 723 12.1 4	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. Connecticut 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5 Cincinnati -34.5 -34.5 48 Cover: 10.5 -Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges in- cluding 307-148 on the ground. -Cincy led 38-0 at halftime. -Zergiotis was 4 of 14 for 35 yards in the first half. ''I told Jack at halftime: You're like a starting pitcher in baseball
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 1782 57.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 226 10134.5 9 98 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 50 723 12.1 4 27 368 13.6 2	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. Connecticut 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5 Cincinnati -34.5 -34.5 48 Cover: 10.5 -Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges in- cluding 307-148 on the ground. -Cincy led 38-0 at halftime. -Zergiotis was 4 of 14 for 35 yards in the first half. "I told Jack at halftime: You're like a starting pitcher in baseball today." Edsall said. "You just didn't have it. It's like you
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 178257.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 226 10134.5 9 9 8 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 5 60 723 12.1 4	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. Connecticut 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5 Cincinnati -34.5 -34.5 48 Cover: 10.5 -Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges in- cluding 307-148 on the ground. -Cincy led 38-0 at halftime. -Zergiotis was 4 of 14 for 35 yards in the first half. ''I told Jack at halftime: You're like a starting pitcher in baseball
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 1782 57.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 226 10134.5 9 98 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 5 60 723 12.1 4 27 368 13.6 2 21 278 13.2 2 27 261 9.7 2 Tkl Sks TFL INT	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. Connecticut 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5 Cincinnati -34.5 -34.5 48 Cover: 10.5 -Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges in- cluding 307-148 on the ground. -Cincy led 38-0 at halftime. -Zergiotis was 4 of 14 for 35 yards in the first half. "I told Jack at halftime: You're like a starting pitcher in baseball today," Edsall said. "You just didn't have it. It's like you gave up five home runs. You have to put the other guy in. Next start it might be a lot better." East Carolina -14.5 -15 31 Under: 9.5
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 1782 57.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 226 10134.5 9 9 8 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 3 60 723 12.1 4 27 368 13.6 2 21 278 13.2 2 27 261 9.7 2 Tkl Sks TFL INT 86 0 3.5 1	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. Connecticut 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5 Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges in- cluding 307-148 on the ground. -Cincy led 38-0 at halftime. -Zergiotis was 4 of 14 for 35 yards in the first half. "I told Jack at halftime: You're like a starting pitcher in baseball today," Edsall said. "You just didn't have it. It's like you gave up five home runs. You have to put the other guy in. Next start it might be a lot better."
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 1782 57.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 226 1013 4.5 9 98 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 5 60 723 12.1 4 27 368 13.6 2 21 278 13.2 2 27 261 9.7 2 Tkl Sks TFL INT 86 0 3.5 1 70 1.5 1 0	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. Connecticut 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5 Cincinnati -34.5 -34.5 48 Cover: 10.5 -Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges in- cluding 307-148 on the ground. -Cincy led 38-0 at halftime. -Zergiotis was 4 of 14 for 35 yards in the first half. "I told Jack at halftime: You're like a starting pitcher in baseball today," Edsall said. "You just didn't have it. It's like you gave up five home runs. You have to put the other guy in. Next start it might be a lot better." East Carolina -14.5 -15 31 Under: 9.5 Connecticut 62.5 64.5 24 Cover: 8 -ECU had a 32-20 first down edge but Uconn a 527-509 yard edge.
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 1782 57.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 226 10134.5 9 98 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 5 60 723 12.1 4 27 368 13.6 2 21 278 13.2 2 27 261 9.7 2 Tkl Sks TFL INT 86 0 3.5 1 70 1.5 1 0 1165 0.5 1.5 0 59 0 0 0	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. Connecticut 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5 Cincinnati -34.5 -34.5 48 Cover: 10.5 -Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges in- cluding 307-148 on the ground. -Cincy led 38-0 at halftime. -Zergiotis was 4 of 14 for 35 yards in the first half. "I told Jack at halftime: You're like a starting pitcher in baseball today," Edsall said. "You just didn't have it. It's like you gave up five home runs. You have to put the other guy in. Next start it might be a lot better." East Carolina -14.5 -15 31 Under: 9.5 Connecticut 62.5 for 42.5 Cover: 8 -ECU had a 32-20 first down edge but Uconn a 527-509
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 178257.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 226 10134.5 9 98 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 3 60 723 12.1 4 27 368 13.6 2 21 278 13.2 2 27 261 9.7 2 Tkl Sks TFL INT 86 0 3.5 1 70 1.5 1 0 365 0.5 0.5 0 59 0 0 0 49 2 5 0	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. Connecticut 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5 Cincinnati -34.5 -34.5 48 Cover: 10.5 -Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges in- cluding 307-148 on the ground. -Cincy led 38-0 at halftime. -Zergiotis was 4 of 14 for 35 yards in the first half. "I told Jack at halftime: You're like a starting pitcher in baseball today," Edsall said. "You just didn't have it. It's like you gave up five home runs. You have to put the other guy in. Next start it might be a lot better." East Carolina -14.5 -15 31 Under: 9.5 Connecticut 62.5 64.5 24 Cover: 8 -ECU had a 32-20 first down edge but Uconn a 527-509 yard edge. -Uconn QB Zergiotis threw for 418 yards. -Uconn WR Ross had 169 receiving yards and 2 TD's. Connecticut 50.5 47.5 17 Over: 18.5
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 1782 57.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 226 1013 4.5 9 98 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 98 408 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 98 408 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 98 408 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 98 400 723 12.1 4 27 368 13.6 2 21 278 13.2 2 27 261 9.7 2 Tkl Sks TFL INT 86 0 3.5 1 0 99 0 0 0 49 2 5 0 8140 0 1 2 FG LG XP	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. Connecticut 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5 Cincinnati -34.5 -34.5 48 Cover: 10.5 -Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges in- cluding 307-148 on the ground. -Cincy led 38-0 at halftime. -Zergiotis was 4 of 14 for 35 yards in the first half. "I told Jack at halftime: You're like a starting pitcher in baseball today," Edsall said. "You just didn't have it. It's like you gave up five home runs. You have to put the other guy in. Next start it might be a lot better." East Carolina -14.5 -15 31 Under: 9.5 Connecticut 62.5 64.5 24 Cover: 8 -ECU had a 32-20 first down edge but Uconn a 527-509 yard edge. -Uconn QB Zergiotis threw for 418 yards. -Uconn WR Ross had 169 receiving yards and 2 TD's. Connecticut 50.5 47.5 17 Over: 18.5 Temple -29.5 -27.5 49 Cover: 4.5
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 1782 57.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 226 10134.5 9 98 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 50 723 12.1 4 27 368 13.6 2 21 278 13.2 2 27 261 9.7 2 Tkl Sks TFL INT 86 0 3.5 1 70 1.5 1 0 1165 0.5 1.5 0 59 0 0 0 49 2 5 0 1140 0 1 2 FG LG XP \$ 10-16 43 27-27	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. Connecticut 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5 Cincinnati -34.5 -34.5 48 Cover: 10.5 -Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges in- cluding 307-148 on the ground. -Cincy led 38-0 at halftime. -Zergiotis was 4 of 14 for 35 yards in the first half. "I told Jack at halftime: You're like a starting pitcher in baseball today," Edsall said. "You just didn't have it. It's like you gave up five home runs. You have to put the other guy in. Next start it might be a lot better." East Carolina -14.5 -15 31 Under: 9.5 Connecticut 62.5 64.5 24 Cover: 8 -ECU had a 32-20 first down edge but Uconn a 527-509 yard edge. -Uconn QB Zergiotis threw for 418 yards. -Uconn WR Ross had 169 receiving yards and 2 TD's. Connecticut 50.5 47.5 17 Over: 18.5 Temple had 20-15 first down and 574-326 yard edges including 262-76 on the ground.
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 1782 57.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 226 10134.5 9 98 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 60 723 12.1 4 27 368 13.6 2 21 278 13.2 2 27 261 9.7 2 Tkl Sks TFL INT 86 0 3.5 1 70 1.5 1 0 1165 0.5 1.5 0 59 0 0 0 49 2 5 0 21 G LG XP s 10-16 43 27-27 Avg 120 50+ BLK	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. Connecticut 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5 Cincinnati -34.5 -34.5 48 Cover: 10.5 -Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges in- cluding 307-148 on the ground. -Cincy led 38-0 at halftime. -Zergiotis was 4 of 14 for 35 yards in the first half. "I told Jack at halftime: You're like a starting pitcher in baseball today," Edsall said. "You just didn't have it. It's like you gave up five home runs. You have to put the other guy in. Next start it might be a lot better." East Carolina -14.5 -15 31 Under: 9.5 Connecticut 62.5 64.5 24 Cover: 8 -ECU had a 32-20 first down adge but Uconn a 527-509 yard edge. -Uconn QB Zergiotis threw for 418 yards. -Uconn WR Ross had 169 receiving yards and 2 TD's. Connecticut 50.5 47.5 17 Over: 18.5 Temple -29.5 -27.5 49 Cover: 4.5 -Temple had 20-15 first down and 574-326 yard edges including 262-76 on the ground.
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 1782 57.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 226 10134.5 9 98 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 98 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 90 723 12.1 4 27 368 13.6 2 21 278 13.2 2 27 261 9.7 2 Tkl Sks TFL INT 86 0 3.5 1 70 1.5 1 0 190 0 0 49 2 5 0 90 0 0 49 2 5 0 59 0 0 0 40 0 1 2 50 50 50 5	 -Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges including 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. Connecticut 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5 Cincinnati -34.5 -34.5 48 Cover: 10.5 -Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges including 307-148 on the ground. -Cincy Led 38-0 at halftime. -Zergiotis was 4 of 14 for 35 yards in the first half. "I told Jack at halftime: You're like a starting pitcher in baseball today," Edsall said. "You just didn't have it. It's like you gave up five home runs. You have to put the other guy in. Next start it might be a lot better." East Carolina -14.5 -15 31 Under: 9.5 Connecticut 52.5 64.5 24 Cover: 8 -ECU had a 22-20 first down adge but Uconn a 527-509 yard edge. -Uconn QB Zergiotis threw for 418 yards. -Uconn QB Zergiotis threw for 418 yards. -Uconn QB Zergiotis threw for 418 yards. -Uconn at Leg 26-76 on the ground. -Uconn actually led 17-7 late 2Q before Temple scored the game's final 42 points.
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 1782 57.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 226 10134.5 9 98 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 5 60 723 12.1 4 27 368 13.6 2 21 278 13.2 2 27 261 9.7 2 Tkl Sks TFL INT 86 0 3.5 1 70 1.5 1 0 59 0 0 0 49 2 5 0 59 0 0 0 49 2 5 0 59 0 0 0 49 2 5 0 510-16 43 27-27 Avg 120 50+ BLK zi 42.419 14 1 50 2018 (SU: 11.1,ATS) 40 (SU: 11.1,ATS) 50 (SU: 11.1,ATS) 50 (SU: 11.1,ATS) 50 (SU: 11.1,ATS) 50 (SU: 11.1,ATS) 51 (SU:	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993.Navy-26.5-26.556Cover: 19.5Connecticut 50.554.510Over: 11.5Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's.Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half.Connecticut 52.553.53Under: 2.5Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges in- cluding 307-148 on the ground.507-218 yard edges in- cluding 307-148 on the groundCincy led 38-0 at halftimeZergiotis was 4 of 14 for 35 yards in the first half. "I told Jack at halftime: You're like a starting pitcher in baseball today," Edsall said. "You just didn't have it. It's like you gave up five home runs. You have to put the other guy in. Next start it might be a lot better."East Carolina -14.5-1531Under: 9.5Connecticut 62.564.524Cover: 8-ECU had a 32-20 first down edge but Uconn a 527-509 yard edgeUconn QB Zergiotis threw for 418 yardsUconn QB Zergiotis threw for 418 yardsUconn QB Zergiotis threw for 418 yardsUconn at 20.15first down and 574-326 yard edges including 262-76 on the groundUconn actually led 17-7late 20 before Temple scored the game's final 42 points. 2-9-10,U: 6-5-11 Date OpponentLine Score WLO/U
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 178257.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 4 226 10134.5 9 9 8 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 5 60 723 12.1 4 27 368 13.6 2 21 278 13.2 2 27 261 9.7 2 Tkl Sks TFL INT 86 0 3.5 1 70 1.5 1 0 59 0 0 0 50 0 0 50 0 0 50 0	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993.Navy-26.5-26.556Cover: 19.5Connecticut 50.554.510Over: 11.5Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's.Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half.Connecticut 52.553.53Under: 2.5Cincinnati-34.5-34.548Cover: 10.5-Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges in- cluding 307-148 on the groundCincy led 38-0 at halftimeZergiotis was 4 of 14 for 35 yards in the first half. "I told Jack at halftime: You're like a starting pitcher in baseball today," Edsall said. "You just didn't have it. It's like you gave up five home runs. You have to put the other guy in. Next start it might be a lot better."East Carolina -14.5-1531Under: 9.5Connecticut 62.564.524Cover: 8-ECU had a 32-20 first down edge but Uconn a 527-509 yard edge20.5-27.5-Uconn QB Zergiotis threw for 418 yardsUconn WR Ross had 169 receiving yards and 2 TD's.Connecticut 60.547.517Over: 4.5-Temple had 20-15first down and 574-326 yard edges including 262-76 on the groundUconn actually led 17-7late 2Q before Temple scored the game's final 42 points. 2-9-10.16-5-11 Line Score W/LO/U 8/29Magner -21 24-21 L 24-21 L 24-21 L 24-21 L 24-21 L 24-21 C 24-21 L 24-21 L 24-21 L 24-21 C
$\begin{array}{c} -122.1 \ 124 \\ -21.6 \ 126 \\ \hline \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993.Navy-26.5-26.556Cover: 19.5Connecticut 50.554.510Over: 11.5Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half.Connecticut 52.553.53Under: 2.5Cincinnati-34.5-34.548Cover: 10.5-Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges in- cluding 307-148 on the groundCincy led 38-0 at halftime. -Zergiotis was 4 of 14 for 35 yards in the first half. "I told Jack at halftime: You're like a starting pitcher in baseball today." Edsall said. "You just didn't have it. It's like you gave up five home runs. You have to put the other guy in. Next start it might be a lot better."East Carolina -14.5-1531Under: 9.5Connecticut 50.564.524Cover: 8-ECU had a 32-20 first down edge but Uconn a 527-509 yard edgeUconn QB Zergiotis threw for 418 yardsUconn QB Zergiotis threw for 418 yardsUconn wR Ross had 169 receiving yards and 2 TD's.Connecticut 50.547.517Over: 18.5-Temple had 20-15 first down and 574-326 yard edges including 262-76 on the groundUconn actually led 17-7 late 20 before Temple scored the game's final 42 points.2019 [SU: 2-10, ATS: 5-7, O/U: 5-7)Date OpponentLine Score W/LO/U 242 '17.56 L or0242 '24 '242 '242''
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 1782 57.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 226 10134.5 9 98 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 50 723 12.1 4 27 368 13.6 2 21 278 13.2 2 27 261 9.7 2 Tkl Sks TFL INT 86 0 3.5 1 70 1.5 1 0 1165 0.5 1.5 0 59 0 0 0 49 2 5 0 50 0 0 50 0 50 0 0 50 0 50 0 0 50 0 0 50 0 50 0 50 0 0 50	$\begin{array}{c} -\text{Uconn } \bar{\text{RB}} \text{ Kevin } Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. \\ Navy & -26.5 & -26.5 & 56 & Cover: 19.5 \\ \hline Connecticut 50.5 & 54.5 & 10 & Over: 11.5 \\ Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges including 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. \\ \hline Connecticut 52.5 & 53.5 & 3 & Under: 2.5 \\ \hline Cincinnati & -34.5 & -34.5 & 48 & Cover: 10.5 \\ -Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges including 307-148 on the ground. \\ -Cincy led 38-0 at halftime. \\ -Zergiotis was 4 of 14 for 35 yards in the first half. "I told Jack at halftime: You're like a starting pitcher in baseball today," Edsall said. "You just didn't have it. It's like you gave up five home runs. You have to put the other guy in. Next start it might be a lot better." \\ East Carolina -14.5 & -15 & 31 & Under: 9.5 \\ Connecticut 52.5 & 64.5 & 24 & Cover: 8 \\ -ECU had a 32-20 first down adge but Uconn a 527-509 yard edge. \\ -Uconn QB Zergiotis threw for 418 yards. \\ -Uconn WR Ross had 169 receiving yards and 2 TD's. \\ Connecticut 50.5 & 47.5 & 17 & Over: 18.5 \\ Temple & -29.5 & -27.5 & 49 & Cover: 4.5 \\ -Temple had 20-15 first down and 574-326 yard edges including 262-76 on the ground. \\ -Uconn actually led 17-7 late 2Q before Temple scored the game's final 42 points. \\ \hline 2.7.9 \\ runs for WLOVU \\ runs for Halt at the for Store WLOVU \\ runs for WLOVU \\ runs for WLOVU$
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 1782 57.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 226 10134.5 9 98 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 98 405 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 98 40 72 5 9.0 0 0 49 2 5 0 EIG AC XP 10.15 1 0 10.15 1 0 10	$\begin{array}{c} -U \operatorname{conn} \bar{\mathrm{RB}} \operatorname{Kevin} \operatorname{Mensah} \operatorname{ran} \operatorname{for} 164 \operatorname{yards} \operatorname{and} \operatorname{five} \operatorname{TD's}. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. \\ Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 \\ \operatorname{Connecticut} 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 \\ Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges including 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. \\ Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. \\ \operatorname{Connecticut} 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5 \\ \operatorname{Cincinnati} -34.5 -34.5 48 Cover: 10.5 \\ -\operatorname{Cincy} had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges including 307-148 on the ground. \\ -\operatorname{Cincy} led 38-0 at halftime. \\ -Zergiotis was 4 of 14 for 35 yards in the first half. "I told Jack at halftime: You're like a starting pitcher in baseball today," Edsall said. "You just didn't have it. It's like you gave up five home runs. You have to put the other guy in. Next start it might be a lot better." \\ East Carolina -14.5 -15 31 Under: 9.5 \\ Connecticut 50.5 47.5 17 Over: 8 \\ -ECU had a 32-20 first down and 574-326 yard edges including 262-76 on the ground. \\ -Uconn QB Zergiotis threw for 418 yards. \\ -Uconn actually led 17-7 late 2Q before Temple scored the game's final 42 points. \\ 2C9-1,0/U: 6-5-11 \\ Date Opponent Line Score W/LO/U \\ +24 17-56 L or03 8/29 Wagner -21 24-21 L u70' +33' 7-62 L or63 9/7 flinois +21' 23-31 W u59' \\ -9' 56-49 L o61' 9/21 at Indiana +27 3-38 L u56' \\ +35' 14-55 L u 76' 10/2 at Tulen +34 7-49 L u58 \\ 10/19 Houston +21' 17-24W u 57 \\ \end{array}$
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 1782 57.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 226 1013 4.5 9 98 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 5 00 723 12.1 4 27 368 13.6 2 21 278 13.2 2 27 261 9.7 2 Tkl Sks TFL INT 86 0 3.5 1 70 1.5 1 0 59 0 0 0 49 2 5 0 50 0 0 0 49 2 5 0 510 0 1 2 FG LG XP s 10-16 43 27-27 Avg 120 50+ BLK 21 427 Avg 120 50+ BLK 21 278 415 27-27 Avg 120 50+ BLK 21 40 0 1 2 FG LG XP s 10-16 43 27-27 Avg 120 50+ BLK 21 40 0 1 2 FG LG XP s 10-16 43 27-27 Avg 120 50+ BLK 21 40 0 1 2 FG LG XP s 10-16 43 27-27 Avg 120 50+ BLK 21 40 0 1 2 FG LG XP s 10-16 43 27-27 Avg 120 50+ BLK 21 40 0 1 2 FG LG XP s 10-16 43 27-27 Avg 120 50+ BLK 21 27 21 57 20 50 51 70 70 70 10 70 10 20 4 USF 10 70 70 40 USF 10 70 1020 at USF 10 70 10 70 10 70 10 70 10 70 10 70 10 70 10 70 10 70 10 70 10 7	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 178257.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 226 10134.5 9 9 8 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 3 60 723 12.1 4 27 368 13.6 2 21 278 13.2 2 27 261 9.7 2 Tkl Sks TFL INT 86 0 3.5 1 70 1.5 1 0 59 0 0 0 49 2 5 0 51 0-16 43 27-27 Tkl Sks TFL INT 86 0 1 2 FG LG XP s 10-16 43 27-27 Avg 120 50+ BLK zi 42.4 19 14 1 61 2018 ISU:1+11,ATS Date Opponent u59 8/30 UCF (Thu) 9/8 at Boise Stats 051 9/15 Rhode Island 064 9/22 at Syracuse 74 10/6 at Memphis 7 u57 10/13 170 5MU 20 Content 10 20 Content 20 Co	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. Connecticut 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5 Cincinnati -34.5 -34.5 48 Cover: 10.5 -Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges in- cluding 307-148 on the ground. -Cincy led 38-0 at halftime. -Zergiotis was 4 of 14 for 35 yards in the first half. "I told Jack at halftime: You're like a starting pitcher in baseball today," Edsall said. "You just didn't have it. It's like you gave up five home runs. You have to put the other guy in. Next start it might be a lot better." East Carolina -14.5 -15 31 Under: 9.5 Connecticut 62.5 64.5 24 Cover: 8 -ECU had a 32-20 first down edge but Uconn a 527-509 yard edge. -Uconn QB Zergiotis threw for 418 yards. -Uconn WR Ross had 169 receiving yards and 2 TD's. Connecticut 50.5 47.5 17 Over: 18.5 Temple -29.5 -27.5 49 Cover: 4.5 -Temple had 20-15 first down and 574-326 yard edges including 262-76 on the ground. -Uconn actually led 17-7 late 2Q before Temple scored the game's final 42 points. 22-91.0/U:6-5-11 Line score WLOW +24 17.56 L 063 9/7 Illinois +21'23-31 W u59' -9' 56-49 L 061' 9/21 at Indiana +27'3-38 L u56' +17'7-49 L u62 10/5 USF +11 22-48 L 048' +35'14-55 L u76' 10/12 at Tulane +34'3-48 L u53' +18'50-62 w 066' +117 11/1 Navy +26'10-56 L 054' +18'19-49 L 058' 11/9 at Cincinnati +34'3-48 L u53'
-122.1 124 -21.6 126 ividual Stats = Returning Att Yds % Ratio 260 1782 57.7 9-11 83 503 63.9 1-2 Att Yds YPC TD 226 10134.5 9 98 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 98 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 98 468 4.8 2 Rec Yds YPC TD 60 723 12.1 4 27 368 13.6 2 21 278 13.2 2 27 261 9.7 2 Tkl Sks TFL INT 86 0 3.5 1 70 1.5 1 0 1865 0.5 1.5 0 59 0 0 0 49 2 5 0 59 0 0 0 40 0 1 2 FG LG XP s 10-16 43 27-27 Avg 120 50+ BLK 2018 (SU-11, ATS) 30 UCF (Thu) 9/8 at Boise St 051 9/15 Rhode Island 064 9/22 at Syracuse 074 9/29 Cincinnati 74 710/13 at Tulsa	-Uconn RB Kevin Mensah ran for 164 yards and five TD's. The last UConn player to rush for five TDs in a game was Wilbur Gilliard in 1993. Navy -26.5 -26.5 56 Cover: 19.5 Connecticut 50.5 54.5 10 Over: 11.5 Navy had 24-21 first down and 573-311 yard edges in- cluding 408-106 on the ground. Navy was +3 in TO's. Navy outscored Uconn 28-0 in the second half. Connecticut 52.5 53.5 3 Under: 2.5 Cincinnati -34.5 -34.5 48 Cover: 10.5 -Cincy had 27-13 first down and 507-218 yard edges in- cluding 307-148 on the ground. -Cincy led 38-0 at halftime. -Zergiotis was 4 of 14 for 35 yards in the first half. "I told Jack at halftime: You're like a starting pitcher in baseball today," Edsall said. "You just didn't have it. It's like you gave up five home runs. You have to put the other guy in. Next start it might be a lot better." East Carolina -14.5 -15 31 Under: 9.5 Connecticut 62.5 64.5 24 Cover: 8 -ECU had a 32-20 first down edge but Uconn a 527-509 yard edge. -Uconn QB Zergiotis threw for 418 yards. -Uconn WR Ross had 169 receiving yards and 2 TD's. Connecticut 50.5 47.5 17 Over: 18.5 Temple -29.5 -27.5 49 Cover: 4.5 -Temple had 20-15 first down and 574-326 yard edges including 262-76 on the ground. -Uconn actually led 17-7 late 2Q before Temple scored the game's final 42 points. 22-91.0/U:6-5-11 Line score WLOW +24 17.56 L 063 9/7 Illinois +21'23-31 W u59' -9' 56-49 L 061' 9/21 at Indiana +27'3-38 L u56' +17'7-49 L u62 10/5 USF +11 22-48 L 048' +35'14-55 L u76' 10/12 at Tulane +34'3-48 L u53' +18'50-62 w 066' +117 11/1 Navy +26'10-56 L 054' +18'19-49 L 058' 11/9 at Cincinnati +34'3-48 L u53'

2020 New Mexico St Football Prev Team Profile Rk

125

128

109

112

91

115

130

129

87



Head Coach (Yr) Doug Martin (8) Offensive Coord. Doug Martin (8) **Defensive Coord.** Frank Spaziani (5) **Conference/Div** Independent

2020 Team Power Rating 45.7 Power Ratings Diff vs Last Year -1.5 2020 Strength of Schedule 55.1 2020 Season Win Projection 4.4 Returning Starters (OFF/DEF) 10 (4/6) 117 Return Starting QB (YES/NO) NO Returning Production % 50% Returning Offense Production 53% 47% Returning Defense Production 2020 Recruiting (Signees) 15 2020 Roster Talent Rank

2020 Offense/Defense Analysis

We think New Mexico St might be the toughest job in all of college football. Due to its location, lack of past success and with no conference affiliation we're not sure if the Aggies will ever become a consistent winner. Just 3 years ago, they did get their first bowl win in nearly 6 decades. However, the last two years have been a dose of reality with just a 5-19 record.

This year's team doesn't look like it will make major strides thanks to several key personnel losses including their best player RB Jason Huntley who av-eraged 7.1 yards per carry last season and was a 5th round draft choice. The offense was supposed to bring back QB Josh Adkins who started 22 games the last two years, but he decided to transfer (along with 7 others on the team). It looks like the QB position will come down to freshman Weston Eget and JUCO dual-threat Jonah Johnson. Both could've used the 15 practices in spring. Keep an eye on Michigan transfer O'Maury Samuels at RB.

The defense was the weaker of the two units last year and the Aggies do lose their top tackler Javahn Fergurson (133 tackles). However, LB Rashie Hodge who had 90 tackles and 10 TFL's last year returns

The schedule is favorable as there are 5 winnable games including 4 of the first 6. There is an off-season investigation into head coach Doug Martin and staff and that might be part of the reason why so many players have transferred.

2020 Schedule with RP Projected Lines

	υζυ συπυμι	116 W	ILLI P			luu l	ШБа	
Date	Opponent	Line	Win %	Line	<u> Total</u>	Score	W/L	O/U
8/29	at UCLA	28.5	4%					
<u>9/3</u>	at UAB	20.5	7%					
9/12	Akron	-5.7	66%					
<u>9/19</u>	New Mexico	0.4	50%					
<u>9/26</u>	at UTEP	-4.8	64%					
10/3		0.4	50%					
10/10	at Hawaii	16.2	12%					
10/17	at Fresno State	19.2	8%					
10/24	UL-Lafayette	21.6	7%					
10/31								
11/7	at UMass	-7.3	69%					
11/14	Texas South.	-35.3	98%					
11/21	at Florida	47.3	0%					
	Projected W	ins	4.35					

Last	5 Ye	ar R	ec	ord	S			AT	SS	Sta	ht		Chri Rec		n Gibs	son
	15 2016	-			-		n Ne	w M	exi	co S	it tak	es the	Tony		cholse	on (
Straight Up 3-9	3-9	7-6	3-9	2-10	18-43							er 3rd	OJĆ			
Home 1-4		3-2	2-3	2-3	11-14							iready	Izaia	th Lo	ottie	1
Away 2-5	0-7	3-4	1-6	0-7	6-29	trave						days.	Nav	eon l	Mitch	ell
Neutral 0-0	0-0	1-0	0-0	0-0	1-0		E	1d O	t S	ea	son		Defe	ense		,
Conference 3-5	2-6	4-4	0-0	0-0	9-15	Po	We	r Ra	tī	na 1	201	5-19	Java	hn F	ergurs	son
Non-Conf 0-4	1-3	3-2	3-9	2-10	9-28	105 -									Iodge	
ATS 5-7	7-5	7-5-1	3-9	5-7	27-33-1	95 -							D. R	licha	ardso	n (
Home Fav 0-1	1-0	1-2	0-1	2-0	4-4	85							Aust	tin P	erkin	s (
Home Dog 1-3	3-1	1-1	1-3	1-2	7-10	75									Loma	
Away Fav 0-1	0-0	2-1	1-1	0-0	3-3	65			_	~					ons J	r
Away Dog 4-2		2-1-1	1-4	2-5	12-16-1	55 - 45 -	-				_		Kicl]
Conference 4-4	4-4	3-5	0-0	0-0	11-13	35 -									rown	
Non-Conf 1-3				5-7	16-20-1	25							Pun			
O/U 9-2	-1 6-5-1	5-8	7-5	6-6	33-25-2		2015	2016	2	017	2018	2019	Payt	on T	heisl	er 4
2015 (SU: 3-9	ATS: 5-7	, 0/U: 9	-2-1)	20	16 (SU: 3	-9, ATS	6: 7-5 ,	0/U: (6-5-	-1)	20	17 (SU :)	7-6, ATS	:7-5-	1, O/U:	5-8
Date Opponent 9/5 at Florida		Score V 13-61 I		Date	Opponent			Score				Opponen			Score	
9/12 Georgia St	-6	32-34 I	L 065	9/3 9/10	at UTEP New Mex	rico		22-38 32-31		p60 061	8/31 9/9	at Arizo	na St Mexico		31-37 30-28	
9/19 UTEP-OT 9/26	+2'	47-50 I		9/17	at Kentuc		+21'	42-62	W	066	9/16	Troy		+8'	24-27	Wι
10/3 at New Mex	ico +12	29-38 V	W p67	9/24 9/29	at Troy UL-Laf-2	OT		6-52 37-31			9/23 9/30	UTEP at Arkar			41-14	
10/10 at Ole Miss		3-52 1		10/8	UL-Lai-2	.01	<i>τ</i> 3	57-51	vv		9/30 10/7	at App S			31-45	
10/17 at Ga South 10/24 Troy		26-56 V 7-52 I	0.58		at Idaho			23-55		067'	10/14	at Ga So	outhern		35-27	
10/31 Idaho-OT	+7				Georgia S at Texas A			19-22 10-52				Arkansa			21-37	
11/7 at Texas St 11/14	+1/	31-21	W u72		at Texas A at Arkans			22-41				at Texas at UL-L			45-35 34-47	
11/21 at UL-Lafay				11/19	Texas St		-9'	50-10	W	u66	11/25	Idaho	-	-10	17-10	Lι
11/28 Arkansas Si 12/5 at UL-Mon		28-52 I 35-42 I			Appalach at So. Ala			7-37 28-35	L	u59'	12/2	South A	labama			
			_ 007	12/3	at 50. Ala	wailla	11	20-33	vv	058	12/29	† Utah S	51-01	-3	26-20	vv t

2019 Stats Rushing NMSt Rk Opp YPC YPG 4.5 61 6.1 143.5 259.2 89 NMSt Rk Opp Passing 60.8% 62.3% 44 Comp % YPA 72 5.9 121 73 217.6 220.8 YPG 79 55 21-5 TD-INT 15-15 Total NMSt Rk Opp YPP 5.2 101 6.6 YPG 361.1 102 479.9 Scoring NMSt Rk Opp PPG 217 108 41.0 3rd Down NMSt Rk Opp 32.2% 117 45.1% 110 **Red Zone** NMSt Rk Opp Rk 55.0% 91 70.4% 115 TD % Scoring % 70.0% 126 90.7% 120 NMSt Rk KO Ret Opp 13.5 128 26.0 Avg Punt Ret NMSt Rk Opp 10.3 36 9.5 Avg Sacks By Rk Vs 15 119 31 TFL's By Rk Vs 6Ő 117 77 83 Net Punt NMSt Rk 38.7 56 Avg 4th Down Off Rk **Follow Brad** on Twitter: Att P/Gm 2.2 25 Rk_@BradPowers7 Turnovers -15 128 Margin Penalties Rk Per Game 5.7 46 Scoring Quarter-By-Quarter **10 20 30 40 OT Total** 66 58 54 82 0 260 107 168 121 96 0 492 NMSt Opj

Opp	107	168	121	96	0	49
20	19 9	Sta	t N	lar	ʻgi	ns
Mar	gins	-	+/-	-	R	k
Rush	YP	С-	1.6)	12	23
Pass	YP/	۰ ۱	1.5	,	10)9
YPP		-	1.4	ŀ	12	20
YPG		-	-11	8.8	12	23
Scori	ing	-	19	.3	12	25
004	- -		i d			

2019 Individual Stats **Bold = Returning**

	1 1	Passing	Att	Y ds	%	Katio	110
		Josh Adkins	437	2588	62.9	14-15	Tea
		Matt Romero	6	16	33.3	0-0	Nev
		Rushing Jason Huntley	Att	Yds	YPC	TD	Mis
		Jason Huntley	154	1090	7.1	9	-Ol
		Christian Gibson	99	489	4.9	3	edg Tea
		Receiving	Rec	Yds	YPC	TD	Inc
	es the	Tony Nicholson					Nev
	er 3rd,	OJ Člark	58	457	7.9	1	-NI
	ready	Izaiah Lottie	27	287	10.6	0	edg
5 d	ays.	Naveon Mitchell					Tea
n				Sks			UT
	j-19	Javahn Fergurson				0	Nev
	J-13	Rashie Hodge Jr			10	ľ	Mi
		D. Richardson			1	Ô	and
		Austin Perkins			0	2	-Ne
		Shamad Lomax			1.5	ō	and
		J. Simmons Jr			4.5	ŏ	Tea Ne
		Kicking				P	Lib
		Dylan Brown				2-33	-Li
		Punting					edg
8	2019	Payton Theisler				0	-Li
		<u>,</u>				÷	
		-6, ATS: 7-5-1, 0/U: 5-8				: 3-9 , AT	
e 1	Opponent at Arizon			Date O 8/25 W	pponen	t	Line +5'
	at New N	fexico +6' 30-28 W	u72 s	3/30 at	t Minne	esota	+22'
	Troy	+8' 24-27 W	u60 a	/9 01	I Itala	C+	+23'
3	UTÉP at Arkana	+8' 24-27 W -18' 41-14 W +18 24-42 P	u60	9/8 al 9/15 N	lew Me	exico	+3
	at Arkans	as +18 24-42 P	001	9/22 at	t UTEI)	-5

10/13 at UL Lafayette

10/20 Georgia Southern +9'

38-66 L 067

-1 20-27 L u55 11/9 at Ole Miss -12' 52-42 L o63' 11/16 Incarnate Word +25 10-45 L u57' 11/23 UTEP +7' 21-28 W u73 11/30 at Liberty

31-48 L 053

20-27 L u55

-1

10/12 at Central Wien 10/19 10/26 at Ga Southern

+13'7-41 L +28'3-41 L -8 41-28 W -7' 44-35 W +14'28-49 L

u53

u64

060 055 066

10/6 Liberty

u56 11/3 Alcorn St u53' 11/17 at BYU

u63 11/24 at Liberty

10/27 at Texas St

9/8 ,9/15 ,9/22

055

o58' u71

057

065

2019 Game-By-Game Recan

Rk Teams **Open Close Score ATS** New Mex St 129 65.5 7 -32.5 58 Under: 65 Washington St -34 -32.5 58 Cover: 18.5 -Washington St had a 618-317 yard edge and 129 Rk were also +3 in TO's.
 Open
 Close
 Score
 ATS

 65
 65
 10
 Cove

 -54.5
 -55.5
 62
 Over
 Teams 62 New Mex St Alabama Cover: 3.5 Over: 7 -Alabama had 23-14 first down and 603-262 yard Rk edges including 318-101 on the ground. 118 -The Tide were +3 TO's but as usual under Saban sat on the ball late and didn't score in the 4Q. 126 Teams Open Close Score ATS San Diego St -14.5 -16.5 31 Cover: 4.5 New Mex St 49 51 10 Under: 10 -San Diego St only had a 397-329 yard edge (did out-rush New Mexico St 291-30). Rk 129 Rk The Aztecs were +3 in TO's. TeamsOpen Close Score ATSNew Mex St69.569.552Cover: 1New Mexico-3-455Over: 37.5 Rk 124 -New Mexico had a 598-489 yard edge including 243-154 on the ground. Rk 94 -New Mexico St actually took a 38-34 lead in the 3Q before New Mexico score 3 straight TD's to take a 55-38 lead. Rk 97 New Mexico St QB Josh Adkins was 30 of 47 for 335 yards and 3 TD's. Rk **Teams** Open Close Score ATS Fresno State -19 -20.5 30 Under: 16 New Mex St 58 63 17 Cover: 7.5 -Fresno only had 18-17 first down and 386-315 yard edges as they did out-gain the Aggies 239-105 on the ground. -Fresno was +2 in TO's that included a 91-yard interception return TD.
 Open Close
 Score
 ATS

 -4.5
 -4.5
 20
 Cover: 2.5

 63
 63
 13
 Under: 30
 Teams Libertv New Mex St Misleading Final: New Mex St had 23-20 first down & 396-334 yard edges but were -3 in TO's. -The Aggies fumbled at the Liberty 6-yard line with 3:15 left in the game. Teams
 Open Close
 Score
 ATS

 57
 57.5
 28
 Over

 -10
 -10.5
 42
 Cove
 New Mex St Central Mich Over: 12.5 Cover: 3.5 -CMU had 486-384 yard edge including 352-121 on the ground. Teams **Open Close Score ATS** New Mex St Ga Southern 51.5 53.5 7 -16 -13.5 41 Under: 5.5 Cover: 20.5 -Georgia Southern had a 406-268 yard edge in--Georgia Southern had a 406-268 yard edge in-cluding a 403-209 rushing yard edge. -Georgia Southern led 28-7 at halftime but their only two scores in the second half came via a 67-yard punt return and a 7-yard interception return. The two teams combined for only 62 pass yards. **Teams Open Close Score ATS** New Mex St 63 64.5 3 Under: 20.5 Mississippi -31 -28.5 41 Cover: 9.5 -Ole Miss had 32.12 first down and 606.193 yard w Mex St 63 64.5 3 Under: 20.5 ississippi -31 -28.5 41 Cover: 9.5 le Miss had 32-12 first down and 606-193 yard ges including 447-66 on the ground. **ams Open Close Score ATS** w Mex St -7.5 -8 41 Cover: 9 w Mex St -7.5 -8 41 Cover: 5 MSt had 28-20 first down and 611-282 yard ges including 295-39 on the ground. **ams Open Close Score ATS** FEP 56 55 5 35 Over: 23 5 55.5 35 -7.5 44 ΈP 56 Over: 23.5 w Mex St -8 -7.5 44 Cover: 1.5 isleading Final: UTEP had 27-17 first down d 557-441 yard edges but were -2 in TO's. ew Mexico St RB Huntley ran for 191 yards d 2 TD'a 3 TD's. Open Close Score ATS 69 66.5 28 Over ams Over: 10.5 Cover: 6.5 w Mex St 69 66.5 28 -14 -14.5 49 berty berty had 25-19 first down and 486-328 yard ges including 317-155 on the ground. berty was +2 in TO's. 9,0/0:7-5) 2019 (SU: 2-10, ATS: 5-7, 0/U: 6-6)
 LU19 130: 2-1, U, U/1: 5-6J

 Date
 Opponent
 Line Score W/LO/U

 8/31
 at Washington St +32 '7-58
 L
 u65'

 9/7
 at Alabama
 +55' 10-62
 W o65'

 9/14
 San Diego St
 +16' 10-31
 L
 u51'

 9/21
 at New Mexico
 +4
 52-55
 W o63'

 9/28
 Fresno St
 +20' 17-30
 W u63'

 10/5
 Liberty
 +4'
 13-20
 L
 u63'

 10/12
 at Central Mich
 +10'28-42
 L
 o57''
 Line Score W/LO/U +5' 7-29 L u46 +22'10-48 L 048' +23'13-60 L 062 at Minnesota +3 25-42 L -5 27-20 W New Mexico 061 u49 +3' 49-41 W 064

2020 Massachusetts Football Prev **2019** Game-Bv -Game Kecab

3.5

5.2

4.3

15-16

293.1

19.8

3rd Down Umass Rk

Red Zone Umass Rk

Scoring % 76.5% 105

20.7 66

8.5

By

12

By

48

+1

36.6

Rushing

YPC

YPG

YPA

YPG

Total

YPP

YPG

PPG

TD %

Avg

Avg

Sacks

TFL's

Avg

Net Punt

Turnovers

Margin

Penalties

4th Down Off

Att P/Gm 2.4

Per Game 6.9

KO Ret

Punt Ret

Passing

Comp %

TD-INT

Scoring

Head Coach (Yr) Walt Bell (2) Offensive Coord. Walt Bell (2) Defensive Coord. Tommy Restivo (2) Conference/Div Independent

2020

leam Profile	Ħ	KK
2020 Team Power Rating	36.4	130
Power Ratings Diff vs Last Year	+8.3	2
2020 Strength of Schedule	58.4	119
2020 Season Win Projection	1.6	129
Returning Starters (OFF/DEF)	14 (7/7)	45
Return Starting QB (YES/NO)	YES	
Returning Production %	51%	107
Returning Offense Production	52%	93
Returning Defense Production	51%	103
2020 Recruiting (Signees)	25	100
2020 Roster Talent Rank		110
Offense/Defense Analy	sis	

If there was one team that could ill afford not having spring practice this season it was the Minutemen who are coming off one of the worst seasons we've ever seen at the FBS level. Not much was expected of them coming into last season. It was the first year under head coach Walt Bell and the Minutemen were one of the least experienced teams in the country with only 8 returning starters. However, even with a win over Akron, statistically speaking UMass was by far the worst team in the country in most categories (see stat margins below).

This year the Minutemen will be improved but they do lose RB Bilal Ally (853 rushing yards, 7 TD's) who was arguably their best weapon on offense. Three of their top 4 receivers return and they also bring back QB Andrew Brito (830 yards, 7-6 ratio). However, Brito is very small in stature at 5-foot-8 170. Left tackle Larnel Coleman is their best offensive lineman and a 2-year starter.

On defense, the Minuteman return 3 of their top 5 tacklers led by Cole McCubrey (84 tackles). However, they do lose arguably the best player on the team in CB Isaiah Rodgers (4 INT's) who was also their top return man and a 6th round draft choice. Their best pass rusher Chinedu Ogbonna (2 sks, 7 TFL's) returns.

The schedule is not overly difficult (#119) but UMass was so far behind the rest of the FBS last year that they will likely be an underdog in every game. Still,

of the FBS last year that they will likely be an underdog in every game. Still,		UMass 65 69.5 0 Under: 25.5
there are 2-3 winnable games.	10 20 30 40 OT Total	FIU -25 -27.5 44 Cover: 16.5
2020 Schedule with BP Projected Lines	Umass 65 75 52 45 0 237	-FIU dominated with 26-5 first down and 541-115
Date Opponent Line Win % Line Total Score W/L O/U	Opp 157 200 117 70 0 052	yard edges including 278-38 on the groundFIU led 34-0 at halftime and put it on cruise control in
9/3 at Connecticut 10.3 26%		the second half.
9/12 Troy 21.4 7%	Margins +/_ Rk	Teams Open Close Score ATS Margin
9/19 Albany 14.5 16%		UMass 63 63.5 21 Over: 26.5 La Tech -31 -31.5 69 Cover: 16.5
$\frac{9/16}{26}$ at App St 37.2 2%	D VDA 47 120	-LT had a 689-347 vard edge including 385-126
$\frac{5720}{10/3}$ at New Mexico 14.2 17%	rass IFA -4./ 150	on the ground.
$\frac{10/3}{10/10} \frac{\text{at New Mexico 14.2}}{24.9} \frac{1776}{5\%}$		-LT led 52-14 at halftime. Teams Open Close Score ATS Margin
$\frac{10/10}{10/17} \text{ at Akron} \qquad 8.1 30\%$		TeamsOpen Close Score ATS MarginConnecticut -9.5-9.556Cover: 11.5
10/17/at AKION 8.1 50/6 10/24 FIU 17.7 9%	$S_{aarring} = 22.0 + 120$	UMass 62 62.5 35 Over: 28.5
<u>10/24 FIO 17.7 9%</u>	0	-Uconn had 25-24 first down and 539-439 yard
		edges including 326-200 on the ground. Teams Open Close Score ATS Margin
	Bola = Keturning	Liberty -24 -23.5 63 Cover: 18.5
<u>11/14 at Auburn 55.2 0%</u>		UMass 67 70 21 Over: 14
<u>11/21</u> Army 20.4 7%		-Liberty had 31-10 first down and 730-240 yard edges! Liberty easily won and covered despite
11/28 at Liberty 20.9 7%	Rushing Att Yds YPC TD	being -3 in TO's. Liberty led 49-14 at halftime.
Projected Wins 1.58	Bilal Ally 166 853 5.1 7	Teams Open Close Score ATS Margin
Last 5 Year Records ATS Stat		UMass 61.5 60.5 7 Over: 9.5 Army -33.5 -34.5 63 Cover: 21.5
2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 Total UMass failed to cover the		Army -33.5 -34.5 63 Cover: 21.5 -Army had 34-7 first down and 546-125 yard edg-
Straight Up 3-9 2-10 4-8 4-8 1-11 14-46 spread in 2019 by an avg of 11	Sadig Palmer 25 275 11.0 2	es including 498-26 on the ground.
Home 1-5 2-4 2-3 3-3 1-5 9-20 ppg. Their final power rating of	Samuel Emilus 27 273 10.1 2	Teams Open Close Score ATS Margin
Away 2-4 0-6 1-5 1-5 0-6 4-26 28.08 was the lowest in 5 years.	OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2	UMass 58 57.5 6 Cover: 0 N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 65
Neutral 0-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 End of Season	OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cale McCubrey 84 1 35 0	N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern
Neutral 0-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 End of Season Conference 2-6 0-0 0-0 0-0 2-6 Power Rating 2015-19	OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cole McCubrey84 1 3.5 0 Mike Puepee 66 0 1 5 0	N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83
Neutral 0-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 1-0 End of Season Conference 2-6 0-0 0-0 0-0 2-6 Power Rating 2015-19 Non-Conf 1-3 2-10 4-8 4-8 1-11 12-40 ATS 4-8 7-5 6-6 5-7 2-9-1 24-35-1	OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cole McCubrey84 1 3.5 0 Mike Ruane 66 0 1.5 0	N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83 on the ground.
Neutral 0-0 0-0 1-0 0-0 1-1 1-1 1-1 1-1 2-1 0-1 5-6 5-7 2-9-1 24-35-1 85 85 95 85 95 85 95 85 95 85 95 85 95 85 95 85 95 85 95 85 95 85 95 85 95 85 95 85 95 85 95 85 95 85 95 85 95 95 85 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cole McCubrey84 1 3.5 0 Mike Ruane 66 0 1.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 0 Jarvis Miller 60 1 3.5 0	N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83
Neutral 0-0 0-0 0-0 1-0	OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cole McCubrey84 1 3.5 0 Mike Ruane 66 0 1.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 0 Jarvis Miller 60 1 3.5 0 Tyris LeBeau 48 1 1.5 1	N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83 on the ground. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. Teams Open Close Score ATS Margin
Neutral 0-0 0-0 0-0 1-0 2-0 2-6 Power Rating 2015-19 10 15-6 10 1-1 2-1 0-1 5-6 5-7 2-9-1 24-35-1 9 </td <td>OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cole McCubrey84 1 3.5 0 Mike Ruane 66 0 1.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 0 Jarvis Miller 60 1 3.5 0 Tyris LeBeau 48 1 1.5 1 Isaiah Rodgers 42 0 3 4</td> <td>N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83 on the ground. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. Teams Open Close Score ATS Margin BYU -42 -41.5 56 Over: 11.5</td>	OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cole McCubrey84 1 3.5 0 Mike Ruane 66 0 1.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 0 Jarvis Miller 60 1 3.5 0 Tyris LeBeau 48 1 1.5 1 Isaiah Rodgers 42 0 3 4	N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83 on the ground. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. Teams Open Close Score ATS Margin BYU -42 -41.5 56 Over: 11.5
Neutral 0-0 0-0 0-0 1-0 2-1 0-1 5-6 5-7 1-1 2-1 0-1 5-6 5-7 1-1 2-1 0-1 5-6 5-7 1-1 2-1 0-1 5-6 5-7 1-1 2-1 0-1 5-6 5-7 1-1 2-1 0-1 2-3 3-5 6-6 5-7 1-1 1-1 2-1 0-1 2-3 3-5 5-6 5-7 5-6 5-7 5-7 5-6 5-7 5-7 5-7 5-7 5-7 5-7 5-7	OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cole McCubrey84 1 3.5 0 Mike Ruane 66 0 1.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 0 Jarvis Miller 60 1 3.5 0 Tyris LeBeau 48 1 1.5 1 Isaiah Rodgers 42 0 3 4 Kicking FG LG XP Cooper Garcia 7-9 38 29-30	N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83 on the ground. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. Teams Open Close Score ATS Margin BYU -42 -41.5 56 Over: 11.5 UMass 71 68.5 24 Cover: 9.5 -BYU had 26-15 first down and 628-292 yard
Neutral 0-0 0-0 0-0 1-0 2-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 2-6 Power Rating 2015-19 ATS 4-8 7-5 6-6 5-7 2-9-1 24-35-1 9 <	OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cole McCubrey84 1 3.5 0 Mike Ruane 66 0 1.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 0 Jarvis Miller 60 1 3.5 0 Tyris LeBeau 48 1 1.5 1 Isaiah Rodgers 42 0 3 4 Kicking FG LG XP 20-30 Punting Avg 120 50+ BLK	N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83 on the ground. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. Teams Open Close Score ATS Margin BYU -42 -41.5 56 Over: 11.5 UMass 71 68.5 24 Cover: 9.5 -BYU had 26-15 first down and 628-292 yard edges including 320-146 on the ground.
Neutral 0-0 0-0 0-0 1-0 1-0 1-0 1-0 1-0 1-0 1-0 1-0 1-0 1-0 1-0 1-0 1-0 1-0 1-1 1-1 1-240 1-0 1-0 1-3 2-10 4-8 4-8 1-11 12-40 1-0 1-0 1-1 2-10 4-8 4-8 1-11 12-40 1-0 1-0 1-1 2-10 1-5-6 5-6 5-7 1-0 1-1 2-1 0-1 5-6 5-7 1-0 1-0 1-2 2-3 7-11 1-1 2-1 0-1 2-3 1-2 2-3 7-11 1-3 1-0 0-0 2-3 3-4 1-0 0-0 2-3 3-5 1-0 1-0 2-3 3-5 1-0 1-0 2-3 3-5 1-0 1-0 2-3 3-5 1-0 1-0 2-3 3-5 1-0 1-0 2-3 3-5 3-5 3-5 3-5 3-5 3-5 3-5 3-5 3-5 3-5 3-5 3-5 3-5 3-5 3-5 3	OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cole McCubrey84 1 3.5 0 Mike Ruane 66 0 1.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 0 Jarvis Miller 60 1 3.5 0 Tyris LeBeau 48 1 1.5 1 Isaiah Rodgers 42 0 3 4 Kicking FG LG XP Cooper Garcia 7-9 38 29-30 Punting Avg I20 50+ BLK	N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83 on the ground. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. Teams Open Close Score ATS Margin BYU -42 -41.5 56 Over: 11.5 UMass 71 68.5 24 Cover: 9.5 -BYU had 26-15 first down and 628-292 yard
Neutral 0-0 0-0 0-0 1-0 <	OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cole McCubrey84 1 3.5 0 Mike Ruane 66 0 1.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 0 Jarvis Miller 60 1 3.5 0 Tyris LeBeau 48 1 1.5 1 Isaiah Rodgers 42 0 3 4 Kicking FG LG XP Cooper Garcia 7-9 38 29-30 Punting Avg I20 50+ BLK Georgopoulos 39.4 22 8 0	N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83 on the ground. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. -42 -41.5 56 Over: 11.5 UMass 71 68.5 24 Cover: 9.5 -BYU had 26-15 first down and 628-292 yard edges including 320-146 on the ground. -BYU led 49-0 at halftime. -30-140 on the ground. -BYU led 49-0 at halftime.
Neutral 0-0 0-0 0-0 1-0 1-0 Conference 2-6 0-0 0-0 0-0 2-6 Non-Conf 1-3 2-10 4-8 4-8 1-11 12-40 ATS 4-8 7-5 6-6 5-7 2-9-1 24-35-1 9 Home Fav 1-3 1-0 1-1 2-1 0-1 5-6 Home Dog 1-1 2-1 2-1 5-6 5-7 2-9-1 24-35-1 Mway Fav 1-0 0-0 0-3 1-0 0-0 2-3 Away Dog 1-4 4-2 4-0 5-1 10-15-1 Conference 2-6 0-0 0-0 2-3 Away Dog 1-4 4-2 2-9-1 22-29-1 O/U 4-7-1 7-5 6-4-2 8-4 3-24-3 2015 SU: 3-9, ATS: 4-8, O/U: 4-7-11 2016 SU: 2-10, ATS: 7-5, O/U: 7-51 2017 SU: 4-7-51 Date Opponent	OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cole McCubrey84 1 3.5 0 Mike Ruane 66 0 1.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 0 Jarvis Miller 60 1 3.5 0 Tyris LeBeau 48 1 1.5 1 Isaiah Rodgers 42 0 3 4 Kicking FG LG XP Cooper Garcia 7-9 38 29-30 Punting Avg 120 50+ BLK Georgopoulos 39.4 22 8 0 Stars 6-6.0/U: 6-4-21 2018 ISU: 4-8.ATS Line Score WLO/U Date Opponent -2' 35-38 L Output Opponent -2' 35-38 L Odi [%25 Duduesne Duduesne Duduesne	N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83 on the ground. -NW estern
Neutral 0-0 0-0 0-0 1-0 <	OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cole McCubrey84 1 3.5 0 Mike Ruane 66 0 1.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 3.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 3.5 0 Jarvis Miller 60 1 3.5 0 Tyris LeBeau 48 1 1.5 1 Isaiah Rodgers 42 0 3 4 Kicking FG LG XP Cooper Garcia 7-9 38 29-30 Punting Avg 120 50+ BLK Georgopoulos 39.422 8 0 8.AS: 6.6.0/U: 6-4-21 Date Opponent -2' 35-38 L O61' %25 Duquesne -1 Caro -2' 38-38 L 056' 9/1 at Boston College 0	N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83 on the ground. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. Teams Open Close Score ATS Margin BYU -42 -41.5 56 Over: 11.5 UMass 71 68.5 24 Cover: 9.5 -BYU had 26-15 first down and 628-292 yard edges including 320-146 on the ground. -BYU led 49-0 at halftime. 2019 (SU: 1-11, ATS: 2-9-1, 0/U: 8-41) Line Score W/LO/U Pate Opponent Line Score W/LO/U -21' 63-15 W o65 8/30 at Rutgers +16'21-48 L o54' +10'21-48 L o54' Pate Opponent Line Score W/LO/U Pate Opponent Line Score W/LO/U -21' 63-15 W o65' 8/30 at Rutgers +16'21-48 L o54' +10'21-48 L o54' Pate Opponent Line Score W/LO/U Pate Opponent Lin
Neutral 0-0 0-0 0-0 1-0 1-0 Conference 2-6 0-0 0-0 0-0 2-6 Non-Conf 1-3 2-10 4-8 4-8 1-11 12-40 ATS 4-8 7-5 6-6 5-7 2-9-1 24-35-1 Home Fav 1-3 1-0 1-1 2-1 0-1 5-6 Home Dog 1-1 2-3 1-2 2-3 7-11 Away Fav 1-0 0-0 0-3 1-0 0-0 2-3 Away Dog 1-4 4-2 4-0 1-4 0-5-1 10-15-1 Conference 2-6 0-0 0-0 0-2 2-3 O/U 4-7-1 7-5 6-6 5-7 2-9-1 22-29-1 O/U 4-7-1 7-5 6-4-2 8-4 8-4 33-24-3 2015 Sus 3-9, ATS: 4-8, O/U: 4-7-1 Date Opponent P3'a Torida +3'3'7-24 W 100/U	OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cole McCubrey84 1 3.5 0 Mike Ruane 66 0 1.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 3.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 3.5 0 Tyris LeBeau 48 1 1.5 1 Isaiah Rodgers 42 0 3 4 Kicking FG LG XP Cooper Garcia 7-9 38 29-30 Punting Avg 120 50+ BLK Georgopoulos 39.422 8 0 8.AS: 6-6.0U: 6-4-21 Duquesne 2018 (SU: 4-8, ATS) Claro -2' 35-38 L 061' %25 Duquesne 41 Boston College uinon +3'' 7-17 L u60'9/% at Ga Southern at Ga Southern	N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83 on the ground. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. Teams Open Close Score ATS Margin BYU -42 -41.5 56 Over: 11.5 UMass 71 68.5 24 Cover: 9.5 -BYU had 26-15 first down and 628-292 yard edges including 320-146 on the ground. -BYU led 49-0 at halftime. S5-7, 0/U: 8-41 Line Score WLO/U 201 GSU: 1-11, ATS: 2-9-1, 0/U: 8-41 Line Score WLO/U 217 63-15 W of5 8/30 at Rutgers +16'21-48 L of4 +1' 13-34 L u61' 9/14 at Charlotte +21 17-52 L u66' +1' 13-34 L u61' 9/14 at Charlotte +21 17-52 L u66'
Neutral 0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 1-0 1-0 Conference 2-6 0-0 0-0 0-0 2-6 Power Rating 2015-19 Non-Conf 1-3 2-10 4-8 4-8 1-11 12-40 ATS 4-8 7-5 6-6 5-7 2-9-1 24-35-1 Home Fav 1-3 1-0 1-1 2-1 0-1 5-6 Home Dog 1-1 2-3 1-2 1-2 2-3 7-11 Away Fav 1-0 0-0 0-3 1-0 0-0 2-3 Away Dog 1-4 4-2 4-0 1-4 0-5-1 10-15-1 Ortic 4-7.1 7-5 6-6 5-7 2-9-1 22-29-1 O/U 4-7.1 7-5 6-4-2 8-4 8-4 33-24-3 2015 2016 2017 2018 2017 2017 8024 Mon-Conf 2-2 7-5 6-6 5-7 2-9-1 22-29-1 2015 2016 2017 2017 8024 Mot	OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cole McCubrey84 1 3.5 0 Mike Ruane 66 0 1.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 0 Jarvis Miller 60 1 3.5 0 Tyris LeBeau 48 1 1.5 1 Isaiah Rodgers 42 0 3 4 Kicking FG LG XP Cooper Garcia 7-9 38 29-30 Punting Avg I20 50+ BLK Georgopoulos 39.422 8 0 state Score WLO/U Date Opponent -2' 35-38 L 06'1 [825 Duquesne at Boston College at Ga Southerm at Ga Southerm at Boston College at Boston College uinon +3' 7-17 L u60'9/8 at Ga Southerm at Boston College uise <td>N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83 on the ground. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. -42 -41.5 56 Over: 11.5 BYU -42 -41.5 56 Over: 11.5 UMass 71 68.5 24 Cover: 9.5 -BYU had 26-15 first down and 628-292 yard edges including 320-146 on the ground. -BYU led 49-0 at halftime. 57.0/U: 8-41 2019 ISU: 1-11, ATS: 2-9-1, 0/U: 8-41 Line Score W/LO/U Date Opponent Line Score W/LO/U -21' 63-15 W 065 8/30 at Rutgers Line Score W/LO/U -21' 63-15 W 065 9/7 Southern Illinois -6 20-45 L +1' 13-34 L u61' 9/14 at Charlotte +21 7-52 L 06' +1' 13-44 L 062' 9/21 Coastal Carolina +16' 28-62 L 062' -7' 49-31 W 058 9/28 Akron +8' 37-29 W 061' -11' 42-58 L 069 10/5 at FIU +27' 0-44 L u69'</td>	N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83 on the ground. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. -42 -41.5 56 Over: 11.5 BYU -42 -41.5 56 Over: 11.5 UMass 71 68.5 24 Cover: 9.5 -BYU had 26-15 first down and 628-292 yard edges including 320-146 on the ground. -BYU led 49-0 at halftime. 57.0/U: 8-41 2019 ISU: 1-11, ATS: 2-9-1, 0/U: 8-41 Line Score W/LO/U Date Opponent Line Score W/LO/U -21' 63-15 W 065 8/30 at Rutgers Line Score W/LO/U -21' 63-15 W 065 9/7 Southern Illinois -6 20-45 L +1' 13-34 L u61' 9/14 at Charlotte +21 7-52 L 06' +1' 13-44 L 062' 9/21 Coastal Carolina +16' 28-62 L 062' -7' 49-31 W 058 9/28 Akron +8' 37-29 W 061' -11' 42-58 L 069 10/5 at FIU +27' 0-44 L u69'
Neutral 0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 1-0 Conference 2-6 0-0 0-0 0-0 2-6 Non-Conf 1-3 2-10 4-8 4-8 1-11 12-40 ATS 4-8 7-5 6-6 5-7 2-9-1 24-35-1 9 Home Fav 1-3 1-0 1-1 2-10 0-5 5-6 5-7 Home Dog 1-1 2-12 2-3 7-11 -1 2-10 2-3 Away Dog 1-4 4-2 4-0 1-4 0-5-1 10-15-1 0-6 2-3 Away Dog 1-4 4-2 4-0 0-0 2-3 4-3 3-2 2-10	OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cole McCubrey84 1 3.5 0 Mike Ruane 66 0 1.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 0 Jarvis Miller 60 1 3.5 0 Tyris LeBeau 48 1 1.5 1 Isaiah Rodgers 42 0 3 4 Kicking FG LG XP Cooper Garcia 7-9 38 29-30 Punting Avg 120 50+ BLK Georgopoulos 39.422 8 0 8.ATS: 6-6.0/U: 6-4-21 Date Opponent 2' 12: 38: L 061'8/25 Duquesne 4 Boston College dimon -2' 35-38 L 06'9/1 at Boston College 4 e +14'21-29 W U32'9/15 at FIU 2 <t></t>	N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83 on the ground. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. -42 -41.5 56 Over: 11.5 UMass 71 68.5 24 Cover: 9.5 -BYU had 26-15 first down and 628-292 yard edges including 320-146 on the ground. -BYU led 49-0 at halftime. 2019 [SU: 1-11, ATS: 2-9-1, 0/U: 8-4] End Copponent Line Score WLO/U Line Score WLO/U -21' 63-15 W o65 9/91 S00 at Rutgers +16' 21-48 L o54' +1' 13-34 L u61' 9/14 at Charlotte +21 17-52 L o66' 20 45 L u78' +2' 4-34 L o64 9/21 Costal Carolinna +16' 28-62 L o62 9/28 Akron +8' 37-29 W o61' +11' 42-58 L o69 10/5 at FIU +27'0-44 L u69' 10/5
Neutral 0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 1-0 Conference 2-6 0-0 0-0 0-0 2-6 Non-Conf 1-3 2-10 4-8 4-8 1-11 12-40 ATS 4-8 7-5 6-6 5-7 2-9-1 24-35-1 9 Home Fav 1-3 1-0 1-1 2-10 0-5 5-6 5-7 Home Dog 1-1 2-12 2-3 7-11 -1 2-10 2-3 Away Dog 1-4 4-2 4-0 1-4 0-5-1 10-15-1 0-6 2-3 Away Dog 1-4 4-2 4-0 0-0 2-3 4-3 3-2 2-10	OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cole McCubrey84 1 3.5 0 Mike Ruane 66 0 1.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 0 Jarvis Miller 60 1 3.5 0 Tyris LeBeau 48 1 1.5 1 Isaiah Rodgers 42 0 3 4 Kicking FG LG XP Cooper Garcia 7-9 38 29-30 Punting Avg 120 50+ BLK Georgopoulos 39.422 8 0 8.ATS: 6-6.0/U: 6-4-21 Date Opponent 2' 12: 38: L 061'8/25 Duquesne 4 Boston College dimon -2' 35-38 L 06'9/1 at Boston College 4 e +14'21-29 W U32'9/15 at FIU 2 <t></t>	N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83 on the ground. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. -42 -41.5 56 Over: 11.5 UMass 71 68.5 24 Cover: 9.5 -BYU had 26-15 first down and 628-292 yard edges including 320-146 on the ground. -BYU led 49-0 at halftime. 2019 [SU: 1-11, ATS: 2-9-1, 0/U: 8-4] End Copponent Line Score WLO/U Line Score WLO/U -21' 63-15 W o65 9/91 S00 at Rutgers +16' 21-48 L o54' +1' 13-34 L u61' 9/14 at Charlotte +21 17-52 L o66' 20 45 L u78' +2' 4-34 L o64 9/21 Costal Carolinna +16' 28-62 L o62 9/28 Akron +8' 37-29 W o61' +11' 42-58 L o69 10/5 at FIU +27'0-44 L u69' 10/5
Neutral 0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 1-0 1-0 Conference 2-6 0-0 0-0 0-0 2-6 1-0	OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cole McCubrey84 1 3.5 0 Mike Ruane 66 0 1.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 0 Jarvis Miller 60 1 3.5 0 Tyris LeBeau 48 1 1.5 1 Isaiah Rodgers 42 0 3 4 Kicking FG LG XP Cooper Garcia 7-9 38 29-30 Punting Avg I20 50+ BLK Georgopoulos 39.422 8 0 -8. Ars: 6-6. 0/U: 6-4-21 Line scare WLOU Date Opnonent -2' 35-38 L 061 8/25 Duquesne -2'	N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83 on the ground. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. Teams Open Close Score ATS Margin BYU -42 -41.5 56 Over: 11.5 BYU -42 -41.5 56 Over: 9.5 -BYU had 26-15 first down and 628-292 yard edges including 320-146 on the ground. BYU led 49-0 at halftime. 2019 ISU: 1-11, ATS: 2-9-1, 0/U: 8-41 Line Score WLOU Date Opponent line is -6 20-45 L $u78$ 21 - 25 L 063' 9/7 Southern Illinois -6 20-45 L $u78'$ +1' 13-34 L 04' 9/12 Costal Carolina +16' 28-62 L 062' -7' 49-31 W 058 9/28 Akron +8' 37-29 W 061' +11' 42-58 L 071 10/3 at Rutger +8' 37-29 W 061' +10'/12 at La Tech +31'21-69 L 063' -2' 13-24 L 01/2 liberty +3'21-69 L 063' 3' -2'/16'// 10/26 Connecticut +9' 35-56 L 02' -3' 22-17 W urd 10/21 Liberty +3'21-69 L 07' +3' 21-69 L 07'
Neutral 0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 1-0 1-0 Conference 2-6 0-0 0-0 0-0 2-6 0-0 0-0 2-6 Non-Conf 1-3 2-10 4-8 4-8 1-11 12-40 1-0 1-0 1-0 5-6 ATS 4-8 7-5 6-6 5-7 2-9-1 24-35-1 9 Home Fav 1-3 1-0 1-1 2-1 0-1 5-6 5-7 2-9-1 24-35-1 Maway Dog 1-4 4-2 4-0 0-0 2-3 -3 -3 -3 -3 -4 -5-1 10-15-1 -5-6 Conference 2-6 0-0 0-0 0-0 2-6 -3 </td <td>OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cole McCubrey84 1 3.5 0 Mike Ruane 66 0 1.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 0 Jarvis Miller 60 1 3.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 3.5 0 1 0 Jarvis Miller 60 1 3.5 0 1 0 Tyris LeBeau 48 1 1.5 1 Isaiah Rodgers 2 0 3 4 Kicking FG LG XP Cooper Garcia 7-9 38 29-30 Punting Avg 120 50+ BLK Georgopoulos 39.422 8 0 8.Afs: 6-6.0/U: 6-4-21 Date Opponent 22 35-38 L 061 %125 Duquesne 4 051 %11 at Boston College 1016 122-28-38 L</td> <td>N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83 on the ground. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. -42 -41.5 56 Over: 11.5 UMass 71 68.5 24 Cover: 9.5 -BYU had 26-15 first down and 628-292 yard edges including 320-146 on the ground. -BYU led 49-0 at halftime. 2019 [SU: 1-11, ATS: 2-9-1, 0/U: 8-4] End Copponent Line Score WLO/U Line Score WLO/U -21' 63-15 W o65 9/91 S00 at Rutgers +16' 21-48 L o54' +1' 13-34 L u61' 9/14 at Charlotte +21 17-52 L o66' 20 45 L u78' +2' 4-34 L o64 9/21 Costal Carolinna +16' 28-62 L o62 9/28 Akron +8' 37-29 W o61' +11' 42-58 L o69 10/5 at FIU +27'0-44 L u69' 10/5</td>	OC Johnson 37 272 7.4 2 Defense Tkl Sks TFL INT Cole McCubrey84 1 3.5 0 Mike Ruane 66 0 1.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 0 Jarvis Miller 60 1 3.5 0 Martin Mangram 65 0 1 3.5 0 1 0 Jarvis Miller 60 1 3.5 0 1 0 Tyris LeBeau 48 1 1.5 1 Isaiah Rodgers 2 0 3 4 Kicking FG LG XP Cooper Garcia 7-9 38 29-30 Punting Avg 120 50+ BLK Georgopoulos 39.422 8 0 8.Afs: 6-6.0/U: 6-4-21 Date Opponent 22 35-38 L 061 %125 Duquesne 4 051 %11 at Boston College 1016 122-28-38 L	N'Western -40 -39 45 Under: 6.5 -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83 on the ground. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering the 4Q. -42 -41.5 56 Over: 11.5 UMass 71 68.5 24 Cover: 9.5 -BYU had 26-15 first down and 628-292 yard edges including 320-146 on the ground. -BYU led 49-0 at halftime. 2019 [SU: 1-11, ATS: 2-9-1, 0/U: 8-4] End Copponent Line Score WLO/U Line Score WLO/U -21' 63-15 W o65 9/91 S00 at Rutgers +16' 21-48 L o54' +1' 13-34 L u61' 9/14 at Charlotte +21 17-52 L o66' 20 45 L u78' +2' 4-34 L o64 9/21 Costal Carolinna +16' 28-62 L o62 9/28 Akron +8' 37-29 W o61' +11' 42-58 L o69 10/5 at FIU +27'0-44 L u69' 10/5

Umass Rk Opp Rk

299.1

Opp Rk 68.2% 129

262.1 109

32-10

Opp 7.8

561.2

Opp 52.7

Opp

Opp 21.7

Opp

11.9

51.4% 127

Opp Rk 79.7% 129

88.4% 106

121 6.

129 9.9

127

126

118

124.6 114

Umass Rk

55.6% 102

168.5 117

Umass Rk

Umass Rk

34.6% 109

58.8% 76

Umass Rk

Umass Rk

Umass Rk

52

Rk Vs

126 27

Rk Vs

128 93

104

Rk

15

53

Rk

102

Teams

UMass.

Rutgers

Teams

UMass

Teams

UMass

Teams

Akron

Charlotte

130

130

130

Rk

130

130

Rk

Rk

Rk

88

Rk

112

Rk

67

Rk

117

Follow Brad

on Twitter:

Rk @BradPowers

130

Open Close Score ATS Margin

Over: 14.5

Over: 2.5 Cover: 14

Cover: 16.5

Cover: 10.5

54.5 21

-16.5 48

-Rutgers had a 548-307 yard edge and averaged 10.9 yards per pass while Umass only averaged

3.3 yards per pass. -UMass led 21-7 after the first quarter, only to see

Rutgers score the game's final 41 points! Teams Open Close Score ATS Margin S Illinois 79.5 78.5 45 Cover: 30 UMass -4.5 -6 21 Under: 12.5

-Southern Illinois had 21-15 first down and 502-

321 yard edges including 237-123 on the ground.

-Umass actually led 13-10 with under a minute

-Charlotte had 22-15 first down and 533-262 yard

TeamsOpen Close Score ATS MarginCoa. Caro.-14-16.562Coa. Caro.-14-16.562Over:17.5UMass626228Over:28

Coastal Carolina had 35-20 first down and 636-

329 yard edges including 334-109 on the ground.

-Umass had 24-22 first down and 433-406 yard

edges including 220-71 on the ground. The Min-

-UMass entered the game without six players,

three offensive starters and a special teams starter

after coach Walt Bell suspended them for a viola-

61 66.5 17 -17 -21 52

edges including 338-135 on the ground.

-5.5 -8.5 29 60.5 61.5 37

utemen were also +2 in TO's.

tion of team rules.

Open Close Score ATS Margin

Open Close Score ATS Margin -5.5 -8.5 29 Over: 4.5

Open Close Score ATS Margin

-11

left in the first half.