

Summary of Modeling WG Webinar

Monday, July 2, 1 PM ET

Official/designated WG members in attendance: Erin Hogan (Chair), Wil Burns, Michael Goggin, Doug Gotham, Brenda Harris, Ezra Hausman, Alan Myers, Tyler Ruthven, Samir Succar, Michael Wegner, Marya White, Bruce Tsuchida and Ralph Luciani (CRA), Stan Doe, Flora Flygt, and David Whiteley (EIPC). Facilitated by Keystone.

****NOTE: To facilitate the rapid pace of the MWG meetings during June 2012, these summaries will focus on the action steps taken during the meeting and next steps resulting from the meeting. Details of modeling discussed will be captured in the matrix of inputs (see below) and the output framework drafts, to be updated regularly on the [Phase II – MWG page](#) online. Recordings of the webinars will also be posted.***

1. Presentation for July 9 SSC Webinar

- Erin Hogan reviewed the slides she developed, which summarize the MWG's recommendations to the SSC. Participants discussed the slides and agreed to edits.
- The final version resulting from this discussion can be found on the Phase II SSC Meetings page of the EIPC website.

2. Output Framework Details

- CRA reviewed the outputs framework document, which specifies which outputs will be provided on an hourly and annual basis.
- Participants discussed the documents and made some clarifying changes so it would be clearer which data could be provided on an hourly basis.
- The MWG also added information pertaining to the six HVDC lines in Scenario 1, particularly that the following outputs would be provided (if possible within GE MAPS reporting limits): MW Limit; Annual Hours Binding; Average shadow price when binding; Annual Energy Flow and Hourly Flow.

3. Sensitivities

- The MWG was encouraged to share with the SSC the results of their discussions on sensitivities thus far, even though there are no specific recommendations, in order to solicit enough preliminary feedback from the SSC to move forward with developing recommendations once the GE MAPS results are ready.
- Some of the key points the MWG agreed to share with the SSC included:
 - The MWG plans to present sensitivities recommendations to the SSC during the same meeting as the presentation of the GE MAPS results to the SSC.
 - The six sensitivities may be allocated however the SSC wants – 2 per scenario, or more for some scenarios and fewer for others.
 - There are pros and cons to trying to select consistent sensitivities across the three scenarios, but most MWG members indicated a preference for *not* being locked into the same sensitivities for each scenario, since it's likely that the most interesting assumptions worth testing will vary from one scenario to another.
 - The MWG will share some of their initial ideas related to the types of sensitivities that seem the most interesting, such as DR, carbon prices, transmission-related assumptions, etc.
 - The MWG will ask the SSC for any preliminary guidance related to the sensitivities.

Summary of Modeling WG Webinar

Monday, July 2, 1 PM ET

- **The MWG will meet July 11 from 3-5 p.m. ET** in order to discuss hypothetical GE MAPS results and the sensitivities the MWG might recommend, given the range of likely or possible results they anticipate.

4. **Next steps:**

- Erin Hogan will work with EISPC to provide additional explanation and assistance so EISPC members are prepared to weigh in on the MWG recommendations during the July 9 SSC webinar.
- Erin and CRA will finalize the slides and materials by the end of the day so Keystone can send them out to the SSC.