



CHRISTIAN CRUSADE FOR TRUTH

Intelligence Newsletter

"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." John 8:32.

January - February, 1995

Our Post Election Position

In the [last issue \(Nov.-Dec. 94\)](#) we asked the question, "Is the will of the American people strong enough to carry through with the effort that has been started with this vote?" (The election of Nov. 8th.) That is still a valid question. From the observations of this writer there are some who believe the war against big government has been won and now we can go back to sleep. We must not fall into that state of tranquility. Those election results only revealed that the American people are fed up with excessive and oppressive government. That, in itself, is of tremendous value to those in the battle to return this nation to a Christian Republic. The battle must continue with the teaching, writing, information exchange, meetings with officials at all levels and, yes, peaceful gatherings to demonstrate that old-time Christianity is alive and well. Government at all levels must be convinced that if the present incumbents believe that they can continue with oppressive, totalitarian philosophies, they will be removed from office. When we see them get serious about repealing the Brady Bill, the Crime Bill of 1994, the reversal of the current totalitarian concepts of the Executive Branch (ATF, DOJ, Treasury Dept., BLM, Forest Service, EPA, etc.) we will then know that they received the message of the vote of November 8th.

To show the seriousness of the forgoing paragraph, we must compare the two men who now represent the two parties in power, Democrats and Republicans. President Clinton, of course, still is the chief representative of the Democrats and Newt Gingrich is touted as the "darling" of the Republicans.

The *New York Times* columnist Frank Rich revealed amazing similarities of these two men. He stated, "Both are Baptists, overeat, own '67 Mustangs, participated in student protests and once worked for candidates McGovern and Rockefeller, more liberal than they are and these two ambitious baby boomers smoked dope and ducked Vietnam."

The very well-researched newsletter covering the pro-Israel lobby in the United States, the *New American View* (formally *Zionist Watch*) reported the following information: Both men came from broken homes, Clinton was born William Blythe, and Gingrich was born Newton McPherson. Both men had doting mothers who were strong and independent. Both men tend to be attracted to trendy, although spacy, ideas such as Alvin and Heidi Toffler's *"Third Wave Theory."* Both Clinton and Gingrich like to hang out and philosophize with gurus and feel-good gimmick salesmen of all sorts.



Zbigniew Brzezinski

President Clinton held a weekend gabfest with a collection of these types at Camp David, while he was preparing the State of the Union Message. Newt Gingrich, hosted a conference in Washington on "Democracy in Virtual America," featuring the Third Wave Tofflers, two former Marxist labor organizers. The Tofflers are continuing the teachings of Zbigniew Brzezinski in his book *Between Two Ages*. It is this teaching that has accelerated the United States in its downhill slide to a third world nation. The [GATT agreement](#) and NAFTA are products of this thinking. The Tofflers teach that the first wave of socio-economic evolution was the agrarian culture, the second wave was the industrial revolution, and the third wave is the present information age, all of this coming from Zbigniew Brzezinski. At the conference in Washington which Gingrich hosted, the question was asked, "How is information going to feed, clothe, house and otherwise provide for a well-being of the billions of people now living on earth?" Alvin Toffler said, "That's a second wave question," and refused to discuss the matter further.

Having visited many of the offices in the "hallowed" halls of Congress several times in past years it is easy to understand why congressmen and senators can so easily be influenced into believing that their only solution to saving the United States from destruction is to pass more restrictive laws which remove personal freedoms. First, there are the numerous lobby groups such as the environmentalists, women's liberation groups, the homosexual and lesbian groups, the Black racists groups, the Jewish racist groups, etc. which seem to have mysteriously unlimited sums of money.

The media, which is the fourth estate of government, then puffs these groups in their myriad of editorials and articles. To further confuse the halls of Congress the news media denigrates any group, which attempts to show what is truly going on in the American "outback." That has an effect on Congress. Then, within the very offices of our Congressmen and Senators are the *sayanim*. This is a Yiddish word revealed by the ex-Mossad Agent Victor Ostrovsky in his book *By Way of Deception* (St. Martin's Press, ISBN 0-312-05613-3). *Sayanim* are the employees of Congress working in the capacity of legal assistants, office chiefs, bill writers, etc., who are also volunteers for Zionism. These volunteers for Zionism will naturally push the agendas of the above mentioned lobby groups. The first time this writer visited our Congressmen and Senators, along with other men of our persuasion, it was very obvious that these sayanim were there as members of the staffs.

Stand fast therefore in the liberty wherewith Christ hath made us free, and be not entangled again with the yoke of bondage." ([Gal 5:1](#)) What a terrible indictment the Word of God has given us for allowing ourselves to again be entangled with the yoke of bondage.

The current effort among the Western States, and now expanding to the Eastern States, to reclaim the Tenth Amendment rights, along with County Rule, Equal Footing Doctrine, and Second Amendment Rights is not going unnoticed within the Executive Branch in Washington. The news media (that which is not ignoring the effort entirely) is busy writing articles, which create the impression that everyone working for liberty and freedom are at least buffoons and more probably terrorists. One such article was printed in the Wall Street Journal of January 3, 1995. The article, written by Charles McCoy, was entitled "Catron County, NM, Leads a Nasty Revolt Over Eco-Protection. U.S. Agency's Plan to Trim Grazing Rights Sparks Laws-And Lawlessness. An End to Cowboy Welfare!" That is quite a title and it reflects very well the article, which was full of half-truths and outright untruths. The article took the liberty to actually name some of the participants in the Catron County

"revolt," which is unusual because of the liabilities involved. That in itself shows the extreme concern of those who are trying to remake the United States in their own image.

This writer knows personally, or is acquainted with, the individuals named and they are fine decent law abiding citizens who simply love their God, their country, and their family and simply want all of their freedoms back. Now, these people are forced to watch over their shoulder because a person, or persons, acting as eco-terrorists wrote Catron County a letter with completely unveiled threats.

Joseph Schumpeter once said, "Democracy means only that the people have the opportunity of accepting or refusing the men who are to rule them." This would seem to be an accurate assessment. Both major parties determine who will be the choices available for either accepting or refusing their rule. There are no means to vote, "none of the above." By not voting for either of the two candidates means that still one of the two will be the next incumbent.

The results of such an unconstitutional arrangement has resulted in a system of government properly called "polyarchy." This is a system wherein a very small group actually rules. A more common name for polyarchy would be low intensity democracy and this is the democracy that the United States is attempting to force upon all of the budding third world nations. The citizens of the third world countries are being force fed this brand of democracy. Our country decides who will be the incumbents participating in their elections. That was how it happened in Haiti. The Italian political writer Gaetano Mosca wrote this, "The first class, always the less numerous, performs all political functions, monopolizes power and enjoys the advantages that power brings, whereas the second, the more numerous class, is directed and controlled by the first, in a manner that is now more or less legal, now more or less arbitrary and violent." (Gaetano Mosca, *The Ruling Class*, New York; McGraw Hill, 1965).

The ruling class in this polyarchic, low intensity democracy developed its techniques right here in the United States. Years ago as they started their travel down the road toward this modern "benign" totalitarianism their efforts had to be covert, or in secret. Only a very few of us knew about the [State Department's publication 7277](#) when it was released in 1961. It was the United States that introduced "A program for General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World" (7277) at the Sixteenth General Assembly of the United Nations. It was accomplished covertly because the American people were not advised nor warned about it by the media. The Public Law 101-216 became law on December 11, 1989. This is the Arms Control and Disarmament Amendments Act of 1989. This, too, was covert in that the American people were not made aware of this. Only a few of us knew about it and, of course, we were extremists and radicals. The American people were too busy making a living for their families.



William Colby

Once they had in place all of the laws necessary to accomplish their agenda and a plan developed to make this new system of low intensity democracy an actual desire of the average voter, they no longer needed to be so secretive. It was CIA Director William Colby who said, "It is not necessary to turn to the covert approach. Many of the programs which...were conducted as covert operations can now be conducted quite openly, and consequentially, without controversy." Please understand, we are discussing activities within the United States as well as relationships abroad.

In 1966, a secret Hudson Institute study was authorized by the then Secretary of Defense Robert Strange McNamara. It was entitled *Report From Iron Mountain*. The study discusses, using straightforward language, the totalitarian techniques by which our government might, or would, control the population to perpetuate itself in the absence of war. That would include situations within the United States as well as without.

The first paragraph of the Introduction of the report says it all. "The report which follows summarizes the results of a two-and-a-half-year study of the broad problems to be anticipated in the event of a general transformation of American society to a condition lacking its most critical current characteristics: its capability and readiness to make war when doing so is judged necessary or desirable by its political leadership." In other words, the end product of the Report From Iron Mountain is to reduce the United States to a totally disarmed nation incapable of defending its people from an attack by any adversary.

The *Report From Iron Mountain* shows that, from the historical perspective, the major means available to the government to "secure the subordination of citizens to the state" was war. The report reasoned that war as a means of maintaining an adequate economy was very inefficient and somewhat counterproductive and the report stated this: "The production of weapons of mass destruction has always been associated with economic 'waste.' The term is pejorative (disparaging and depreciative-ed.), since it implies a failure of function. But no human activity can properly be considered wasteful if it achieves its contextual objective. The phrase 'wasteful but necessary,' applied not only to war expenditures but to most of the 'unproductive' commercial activities of our society, is a contradiction in terms. The attacks that have since the time of Samuel's criticism of King Saul been leveled against military expenditures as waste may well have concealed or misunderstood the point that some kinds of waste may have a larger social utility."

The report reasoned that the major problem with war is that generally the better specimens of men are lost in battle and this reduces, instead of elevating, the quality of human life. To show that life itself is not important they state this, "What gives the war system its preeminent role in social organization, as elsewhere, is its unmatched authority over life and death. It must be emphasized again that the war system is not a mere social extension of the presumed need for individual human violence, but itself in turn serves to rationalize most nonmilitary killing. It also provides the precedent for the collective willingness of members of a society to pay a blood price for institutions far less central to social organization than war. To take a handy example, 'rather than accept speed limits of twenty miles an hour we prefer to let automobiles kill forty thousand people a year.' A Rand analyst puts it in more general terms and less rhetorically, 'I am sure that there is, in effect, a desirable level of automobile accidents-desirable, that is, from a broad point of view; in the sense that it is a necessary concomitant of things of greater value to society.' " So much for the stated reasoning for the enforced wearing of seat belts in automobiles!

Of course, they reasoned that what is termed insurrection in any form was tantamount to war. Shays Rebellion was to the government war. The Whiskey rebellion was war. Both of

these efforts were put down with federal troops. It makes no difference to any government if a difference of opinion was within or without the country's borders.

Wars, in any form, have always been used to make the populace put up with sacrifices. Privation, taxes and controls are readily accepted by a population when they are convinced that they are in a state of war.

The *Report From Iron Mountain* stated that war as we know it would be replaced because "it may now be possible to create a world government in which all nations will be disarmed and disciplined by a world army, a condition which we will call peace."

There have been two imagined enemies developed to replace the conventional forms of threat. One is the threat of alien space ships and we all have read many articles and reports on the imagined or real threat. The other imagined threat that is being used to cause us to put up with privation, taxation and controls without complaint (just like in a shooting war) is the destruction of our planet due to our misuse of the environment.

The *Report From Iron Mountain* says in this regard, "An effective political substitute for war would require 'alternate enemies,' some of which might seem equally farfetched in the context of the current war system. It may be, for instance, that gross pollution of the environment can eventually replace the possibility of mass destruction by nuclear weapons as the principal apparent threat to the survival of the species. Poisoning of the air, and of the principal sources of food and water supply, is already well advanced, and at first glance would seem promising in this respect; it constitutes a threat that can be dealt with only through social organization and political power." It could very well be that this report written for the leaders of this nation is being used to direct our government's actions toward the one world government concept and to use the environmental ploy as the major thrust.

We have the "green house effect," "ozone layer destruction," "water pollution," "air pollution," etc. Certainly, we should all be concerned with our resources because we are to be good stewards of the land that God gave us. But it isn't God they are worried about. It isn't really the environment either or they wouldn't have created the dialectics of giving the A-bomb and H-bomb secrets to the USSR and to Israel.

We now can understand the power of the [Environmental Protection Agency](#). We can now understand why the [Bureau of Land Management](#) and the [Forest Service](#) have grown to be such powerful organizations with police powers to enforce their mandates. The EPA in general has those same police powers. We are in a state of war with an imagined enemy and as such we must give up freedoms with privation, increased taxation and increased controls in our lives.

The only requirement is that the population needs to believe the threat is real and that is where the news media is used. Thus, we now see why the *Wall Street Journal* published the article written by Charles McCoy. Nearly every major newspaper, television station and radio station releases some news daily promoting ecological doom and the "war" to save our planet. The rain forests of the equator, is an example. We are told that our oxygen will be depleted if we allow more trees to be cut or burned. Yet, no one mentions the fact that all of the farmland of the Eastern United States was once solid forest. It was cut down to provide room for farmland. The production of oxygen in the area would have decreased because of the tree cutting, at least in the short term. Oxygen is generated by the act of photosynthesis, which uses sunlight to convert water into hydrogen and oxygen within the green chlorophyll of all plants, not only trees. Thus the vast grasslands of the world create oxygen, as do the farm plants, which are planted replacing the trees. We all love trees and need them for our existence. We need food-producing plants also and they give off oxygen free!

The "Eco-terrorists" can now have free license to move about in the land and on the water to do their work. There have been signs erected in the Western forests saying such things as "Kill a logger for my child." They have driven large nails into trees marked for cutting, a practice, which is potentially deadly for the man using the chain saw. We can now understand why mountain roads are closed to the public, "Wet-Lands" established and other unrealistic actions. The endangered species actions make sense to us now. We are at war and we must submit to privation, taxation and controls.

The bottom line is, does the Congress of the United States understand this? The biggest share of the American people instinctively knows this. Voters have families to feed, to clothe and to educate. Our people have been told that we are committing environmental aggression against the rest of the world. We are told that the current lifestyle of the "affluent middleclass" is committing environmental aggression against the rest of the world with our frozen and canned foods, electrical appliances, automobiles, suburban housing, air conditioning, etc. If this be the case why are we encouraging the rest of the world to follow our example? Further, why are we funding welfare to such a degree as to allow fourth generation recipients to live in the same manner without working?

Closing down our industrial infrastructure in this country "to save our environment" and sending it to third world countries is denying a decent livelihood for our people. The North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) and the [General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs](#) (GATT) are likewise denying our citizens access to decent paying jobs. Does the Congress know that the American people understand this? Do they care?

Yes, we have been forced into a war, one that sounds so righteous. The "shock-troops" of the eco-terrorists, supported and protected by the police arm of the United States, created and permitted by laws that Congress passed and allowed to be multiplied to a horrendous degree by the regulations of the Executive Branch, has created a state of siege within our country. The American people want it stopped.

Congress, forget the "Contract with America." Get back to basics. Remove through whatever legislation required the myriad of regulations that you haven't even read nor have the American people because the only place they are posted is in the Federal Register. If the Federal Register were available to every American they could do nothing but read it because of the immense amount printed every day. Our people are thrown in jail for breaking regulations they don't even know exist. For example, to this day there has been no comprehensive document summarizing in useful form the Crime Bill of 1994 and yet good, decent people are about to go to prison for breaking a regulation based on 1100 plus pages of law that the Congress itself hasn't read. It is the same with the regulations that the [Environmental Protection Agency](#) has written.

Those organizations which currently have the most influence within government offices (all three branches, legislative, judicial and executive) understand what the mood of the American people is. They have been monitoring the activities at the county level regarding the County Sovereignty efforts and at the state level with the Tenth Amendment Resolutions. They know then that the American people, at least in those circles, are serious about returning our government back to a Constitutional Republic. But it was only after the November 8th election that they realized just how serious their problem was. It is their problem but it is a blessing to the American people.



Morris Dees

It was after the November election that the *Wall Street Journal* printed their now infamous article. It was after the November election that Morris Dees, the director of the [Southern Poverty Law Center](#) started addressing the perceived evils of States Rights and ownership of the vacant lands. Dees seems to be particularly interested in the grassroots unorganized militias that are springing up everywhere. He wrote a letter to the Attorney General reminding Ms. Reno of the threat to the one-world agenda these militias represent. He took the liberty to widely distribute the letter to the news media throughout the country. The [ATF](#) rewrote Dees' letter into the form of an intelligence briefing paper which was sent to the attorneys general of several states which they felt were the most active.

This entire situation is nothing new to the people of the Christian Bible. During the reign of William the Conqueror and his descendents, the people of England revolted and the Magna Carta was invoked to return to the Common Law, or God's law. The enemies of Christianity wrote letters to William and otherwise tried to thwart the efforts of the Christians but no avail. In the Book of Ezra, the enemies of Israel sent letters to the King and tried to stop the rebuilding of the Temple. The temple in Ezra's time relates to the Bible and the Common Law of today. It didn't work in the days of Ezra and it won't work today. Read the story in [Ezra 4](#).

The enemies of a Constitutional Republic based on Christian principles are comparing the current efforts to the tax protest efforts of twenty years ago as well as the "sagebrush rebellion" efforts of several states of the West, which was even then seeking to return the so-called federal lands to the states. They compare the beginning of the unorganized militia efforts to the Waco disaster and to the Weaver case.

Obviously, there is some truth in these letters. The American people have finally become aroused after the Waco and Weaver incidents. In great part the tax movement of twenty years ago and the "sagebrush rebellion" didn't succeed because there were not enough Americans sufficiently concerned about the disastrous conditions within our country. It is now realized that America is serious and the citizens are demanding a change.

Throughout all of this there is no mention of similar incidents in our history as a nation. The New World Order crowd doesn't mention Shay's Rebellion, the Whiskey Rebellion or the Alien and Sedition Act of 1798.

Federalism at that time was at the peak of its power in our young country. There was even a Federalist Party, which represented everything a super strong, totalitarian form of government would have, very similar to the conditions in Washington today. They even arrested and imprisoned leaders who opposed such totalitarian efforts. They classified anyone opposing excessive government as seditionists. When we fail to learn from history, we will certainly repeat it.

It is true, Shay's Rebellion didn't succeed. The Whiskey Rebellion didn't succeed. Neither did the tax revolt of the 1970's and 1980's or the sagebrush rebellion succeed. Be that as it may, the Federalist Party of the late 1700's was destroyed because of their totalitarianism. As hard as they have tried throughout the past 200 years, the Federalist Party was never able to reorganize, that is, until now. What we have now is not called the Federalist Party because it is not party affiliated. Republicans and Democrats alike are dedicated to a One World Order. The genuine Christians have figured it out and they want it stopped just like

they did in the days of Ezra, during the time of the Magna Carta, and the latter years of the 1700's in this country.

Returning to *The Report From Iron Mountain*, the writers disclose other facets of modern American life that have been forced upon us that now makes sense. Remember, this report was written and transmitted to the United States Government in 1966.

The report addressed the loss of "the flower of manhood" by stating this, "The regressive genetic effect of war has been often noted and equally often deplored, even when it confuses biological and cultural factors." They then address alternate systems for controlling the citizens of any society and to control numbers by writing this, "But as the polemologist Gaston Bouthoul has pointed out, other institutions that were developed to serve this ecological function have proved even less satisfactory. They include such established forms as these: infanticide (abortion-ed.), practiced chiefly in ancient and primitive societies (and now practiced in the United States-ed.); sexual mutilation (vasectomies and hysterectomies-ed.); monasticism; forced emigration; extensive capital punishment, as in China and eighteenth-century England." Remember, this report, was intended to be used as a guide and instruction manual for legislative use and governmental practices.

In quoting the following section from the *Report From Iron Mountain*, we can realize another direction our government seems to be taking in their quest for people control:

"Another possible surrogate for the control of potential enemies of society is the reintroduction, in some form consistent with modern technology and political processes, of slavery. Up to now, this has been suggested only in fiction, notable in the works of Wells, Huxley, Orwell, and others engaged in the imaginative anticipation of the sociology of the future. But the fantasies projected in *Brave New World* and *1984* have seemed less and less implausible over the years since their publication. The traditional association of slavery with ancient pre-industrial cultures should not blind us to its adaptability to advanced forms of social organization, nor should its equally traditional incompatibility with Western moral and economic values. It is entirely possible that the development of a sophisticated form of slavery may be an absolute prerequisite for social control in a world at peace. As a practical matter, conversion of the code of military discipline to a euphemized form of enslavement would entail surprisingly little revision; the logical first step would be the adoption of some form of 'universal' military service.

"When it comes to postulating a credible substitute for war capable of directing human behavior patterns in behalf of social organization, few options suggest themselves. Like its political function, the motivational function of war requires the existence of a genuinely menacing social enemy. The principal difference is that for purposes of motivating basic allegiance, as distinct from accepting political authority, the 'alternate enemy' must imply a more immediate, tangible, and directly felt threat of destruction. It must justify the need for taking and paying a 'blood price' in wide areas of human concern.

"In this respect, the possible substitute enemies noted earlier would be insufficient. One exception might be the environmental-pollution model, if the danger to society it posed was genuinely imminent. The fictive models would have to carry the weight of extraordinary conviction, underscored with a not inconsiderable actual sacrifice of life; the construction of an up-to-date mythological or religious structure for this purpose would present difficulties in our era, but must certainly be considered.

"Games theorists have suggested, in other contexts, the development of 'blood games' for the effective control of individual aggressive impulses. It is an ironic commentary on the current state of war and peace studies that it was left not to scientists but to the makers of

a commercial film (*The Tenth Victim*-ed.) to develop a model for this notion, on the implausible level of popular melodrama, as a ritualized manhunt. More realistically, such a ritual might be socialized, in the manner of the Spanish Inquisition and the less formal witch trials of other periods, for purposes of 'social purification,' 'state security,' or other rationale both acceptable and credible to postwar societies. The feasibility of such an updated version of still another ancient institution, though doubtful, is considerably less fanciful than the wishful notion of many peace planners that a lasting condition of peace can be brought about without the most painstaking examination of every possible surrogate for the essential functions of war. What is involved here, in a sense, is the quest for William James's 'moral equivalent of war.'

"It is also possible that the two functions considered under this heading may be jointly served, in the sense of establishing the antisocial, for whom a control institution is needed, as the 'alternate enemy' needed to hold society together. The relentless and irreversible advance of unemployability at all levels of society, and the similar extension of generalized alienation from accepted values may make some such program necessary even as an adjunct to the war system. As before, we will not speculate on the specific forms this kind of program might take, except to note that there is again ample precedent, in the treatment meted out to disfavored, allegedly menacing, ethnic groups in certain societies during certain historical periods." End of quotes from this section of the report.

Can we see modern America in these paragraphs? We have an explosion of prisons with sentences meted out to all types of individuals. However, those who murder, rape, steal, etc., are given the rotating door concept where they spend only a fraction of their term behind bars. Those who are politically incorrect are given two and three life sentences with no chance for parole. There is an extensive prison industry that competes with our free enterprise system wherein prisoners are used to manufacture goods with slavery wages which are in competition with the open market. We see the "blood price" paid in such instances as the Waco incident and the Weaver case. All that is needed to justify these actions is that "the 'alternate enemy' must imply a more immediate, tangible, and directly felt threat of destruction."

We will relate the contents of this report to the Tenth Amendment Resolutions, Equal Footing Doctrine, Unfunded Federal Mandates, and the Militia issues shortly but we must still reveal more evidence of what has become a government out of control.

The report says this in what they term the ecological substitute for the functions of war. "Considering the shortcomings of war as a mechanism of selective population control, it might appear that devising substitutes for this function should be comparatively simple. Schematically this is so, but the problem of timing the transition to a new ecological balancing device makes the feasibility of substitution less certain.

"It must be remembered that the limitation of war in this function is entirely eugenic. War has not been genetically progressive. But as a system of gross population control to preserve the species it cannot fairly be faulted. And, as has been pointed out, the nature of war is itself in transition. Current trends in warfare-the increased strategic bombing of civilians and the greater military importance now attached to the destruction of sources of supply, as opposed to purely 'military' bases and personnel (a system developed by the United States and England during World War II), strongly suggest that a truly qualitative improvement is in the making. Assuming the war system is to continue, it is more than probable that the regressively selective quality of war will have been reversed, as its victims become more genetically representative of their societies.

"There is no question but that a universal requirement that procreation be limited to the products of artificial insemination would provide a fully adequate substitute control for population levels. Such a reproductive system would, of course, have the added advantage of being susceptible of direct eugenic management. Its predictable further development, conception and embryonic growth taking place wholly under laboratory conditions, would extend these controls to their logical conclusion. The ecological function of war under these circumstances would not only be superseded but surpassed in effectiveness.

"The indicated intermediate step, total control of conception with a variant of the ubiquitous `pill,' via water supplies or certain essential foodstuffs, offset by a controlled `antidote,' is already under development. (The report's footnotes indicate that teams of experimental biologists in Massachusetts, Michigan, and California, as well as in Mexico and the U.S.S.R. are already either planning or doing this in Southeast Asia.)

"Since the eugenic solution cannot be achieved until the transition to the peace system takes place, why not wait? One must qualify the inclination to agree. As we noted earlier, a real possibility of an unprecedented global crisis of insufficiency exists today, which the war system may not be able to forestall. If this should come to pass before an agreed-upon transition to peace were completed, the result might be irrevocably disastrous. There is clearly no solution to this dilemma; it is a risk which must be taken. But it tends to support the view that if a decision is made to eliminate the war system, it were better done sooner than later."

In their summary they give these recommendations as substitutes for war: "ECONOMIC. A comprehensive social-welfare program, directed toward maximum improvement of general conditions of human life. A giant open-end space research program, aimed at unreachable targets. A permanent, ritualized, ultra-elaborate disarmament inspection system, and variants of such a system. POLITICAL. An omnipresent, virtually omnipotent international police force. An established and recognized extraterrestrial menace. Massive global environmental pollution. Fictitious alternate enemies. SOCIOLOGICAL: CONTROL FUNCTION. Programs generally derived from the Peace Corps model. A modern, sophisticated form of slavery. MOTIVATIONAL FUNCTION. Intensified environmental pollution. New religions or other mythologies. Socially oriented blood games. Combination forms. ECOLOGICAL. A comprehensive program of applied eugenics. CULTURAL. No replacement institution offered. SCIENTIFIC. The secondary requirements of the space research, social welfare, and/or eugenics programs." End of quotes.

What have we learned? Those in power today are paranoid that a world-wide upheaval in any form might occur before they get their totally controlled society in place. Such an upheaval can be massive starvation, acts of nature such as floods and earthquakes, and a universal rejection of their plans for a world order.

We have learned why there is so much interest and expenditure of money in space programs. We can realize why there has been so much attention made to an all encompassing welfare program. The current ongoing disarmament inspection system now makes sense.

We can see the reasons for the frantic efforts in an international police force. The extraterrestrial flying saucers and the "gray men" and the super intelligent alien midgets stated to be in a deep tunnel near Dulce, New Mexico can, perhaps, be better understood. The expenditure of enormous sums of money with the unlimited power of the [Environmental Protection Agency](#), along with the senseless introduction of [BLM](#) and [Forest Service](#) SWAT team goon squads, can now be understood.

The unreasonable insistence on enormously expanded prison systems is now understood. We see the reasons for the protection and encouragement of mythologies and off-the-wall religions. The reason why there is only lip service made to removing the violence from the movies and TV, as well as the violence-prone video games in the malls, now makes sense.

We can see the reason for the enormous efforts made in protecting the abortion clinics. It is most interesting to note that they have in some form of development a system of birth control where all of us will be sterilized except those who meet their approval. Do not believe for a moment that Western man will be exempt.

The book *Philip Drew, Administrator*, written by Colonel Edward M. House, advisor and confidant to President Woodrow Wilson, was an instruction book for government actions in the years following its publication. Brzezinski's book *Between Two Ages* is another instruction manual. The *Report From Iron Mountain* is identically the same type of instruction manual. There can be no question about this when we consider the momentous strides toward the specific discussions and recommendations made in the report.

How does this relate to the ongoing efforts to return government control to the states? The writers of the report, and those hidden leaders in power who control them, realize that there are certain events that could irrevocably destroy their plans for world conquest. The report stated, "...a real possibility of an unprecedented global crisis of insufficiency exists today, which the war system may not be able to forestall. If this should come to pass before an agreed-upon transition to peace were completed, the result might be irrevocably disastrous. There is clearly no solution to this dilemma; it is a risk which must be taken." A global insufficiency (food shortage, etc.) is not the only condition that is of concern to them. Here within the United States, the nation directing and paying for the transition to world control, there is a vital concern that the American people will revolt and thus their entire effort will be "irrevocably disastrous." Of major concern to them is the current effort at the state and county level for a return to a Constitutional Republic with fifty sovereign states.

Their paranoia over the development of the numerous unregulated militias to provide physical support for the return to state sovereignty is also of paramount importance to them. It is not that there is concern that these militias could not be initially destroyed by more powerful forces. But by doing so the entire population would finally become aware of the tremendous threat to their freedoms. Thus they are making their initial efforts to stop the freedom movements within the state and county governments and the militias.

This is exactly what happened in South Africa. There was no large and visible effort that would awaken the tranquilized South African. They planted government operatives within the resistance movements to "assist" the freedom loving in their efforts. The momentum was lost when the South Afrikaners trusted the smooth and believable words of the government operatives. There is an old saying, "freedom is not free." That saying must remain in the hearts and mind of every freedom loving American until the day we die. As Paul said as he neared the end of his life, "I have fought a good fight, I have finished my course, I have kept the faith."

It is possible that an identical situation is taking place within the United States now as did happen in South Africa. There is substantial effort expended to organize what has been called a "Conference of States." All state legislatures are being strongly encouraged to attend the Conference of States sometime this summer for the purpose of changing the way the states do business with the federal government. On the surface this seems to dovetail perfectly with the current efforts of Tenth Amendment Resolutions, Equal Footing Doctrine efforts, removing federal mandating, etc. However, the stated purpose of the conference is

to arrange a new system of balance of power. The threat of a Constitutional Convention is real.

Governor Mike Levitt of Utah and Governor Ben Nelson of Nebraska are the leaders of this movement. The American Legislative Exchange Council (ALEC), founded by Paul Weyrich, claims to be an alternative to the Advisory Commission on Inter-governmental Relations (ACIR). However, many of the elected officials belong to both groups. It is reported that funding for the conference is being supplied in part by the liquor, tobacco and gambling interests who also fund the Heritage Foundation, the CATO Institute, along with other "think tanks" similar to the writers of the Report From Iron Mountain. Paul Weyrich was a co-founder of Heritage Foundation, which provided direct influence within the Reagan and Bush administrations.

The ACIR held a conference in Washington in December, 1994, for the stated purpose of "reasserting the 10th Amendment." The conference was attended mostly by Republican state legislators. The "conservative" columnist Walter Williams attended the conference and made this statement, "We should first, peaceably petition the Congress, but if that does not work, we must be prepared to secede..." There were many of the Republican state legislators who reportedly applauded the remarks. For such a statement to be made in the nation's capitol is significant. It is identical to the rhetoric that emanated from the government operatives in South Africa.

If that kind of statement had been made at any conference that any of us attended, the SWAT teams, in their Ninja uniforms, would have been crawling through the windows. That one statement of threatening secession, made at the Washington conference, should tell the state legislators and county officials who have worked so hard in the past months to regain states rights, to be wary of this potential hijacking of their efforts by hidden powers.

Hegelian Dialectics is at work in this matter. A momentous problem has been presented to those who are striving for a one world order, a problem that could be "irrevocably disastrous." This planned Conference of States could very possibly be the antithesis of the dialectics. That "Conference of States" could very easily turn into a Constitutional Convention to give us the proposed Newstates of America Constitution.

More information can be obtained regarding the possible consequences of this Conference of States. A video has been produced to disclose the power the ACIR has over elected officials at all levels. The video is instructional in nature and is directed to individuals and groups working together to keep these government operatives from destroying all of the tremendous work that has been accomplished thus far in the fight for a Christian Republic. Send \$15 for the video to Mantooth Report, Route 1, Box 387, Salem, IN 47167.

The *Report From Iron Mountain* was written by 15 selected men from many quarters of American academia. Their first meeting was held in 1961 in the vast nuclear safe underground that has been built for government officials in the event of a nuclear war at Iron Mountain, near Washington, D.C. Their last meeting was likewise at that facility, thus the name of the report. The report was concluded in 1966 and published in 1967. Because of the nature of the report and particularly its recommended actions, President Johnson demanded it be kept secret from the American people. However, there was one man who was an American first who released a copy in 1967. The government immediately stated that the report was a fraud. But it is not. The report is hard to find now but some larger libraries will have a copy of it.