2017 NOFA Score Card St. Johns County Continuum of Care

Instructions: Based on maximum number of points allowed for each category, please assign a score for each category. The maximum number of allowable for **Rapid Re-Housing 230 points** and **Supportive Services Only 200 points**. You are encouraged to add comments regarding your evaluation of the applicant's responses. For those that have a scoring rubric of yes/no 10 points available, you can use a sliding scale of (Unsatisfactory= 0; Fair=2; Satisfactory= 4; Good=7; Excellent=10) if you believe points should be awarded.

- Note to Score and Review Committee: HMIS isn't a service based project and will be ranked based on CoC requirements/needs.

Program Name:		**Contract Start Date:** **(if applicable)**
Contact Name:		
Phone Number:		
Email Address:		
Program Type: ☐ Rapid Re-Housing ☐ Supportive Services Only		**What is the amount of your renewal or New Project?**
Project Type: ☐ New ☐ Renewal ☐ Expansion		

	Dedication, Prioritization, Coordinated Entry:	

1	*Is this project committed to serving one of the following priority sub-populations per the FY17 NOFA? (select all that apply as stated in project application)*
	    ☐ Families ☐ Youth ☐ Veterans ☐ Persons with HIV/AIDS
	    ☐ Persons with Substance Use ☐ Persons with Mental Illness ☐ Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	

2	*Agency commits to participation in Coordinated Entry System, use of standardized assessment tool selected by CoC and compliance with Coordinated Entry policies and procedures, including accepting all referrals for program openings directly from the By-Name List/Coordinated Entry Assessor:*	☐ Yes ☐ No
	Housing First	

3	*Did project screen out for any of the following reasons, over the past 12 months? If yes, please select the reasons for which the project screened clients out?*
	    ☐ Having too little income ☐ History of victimization (domestic violence, sexual assault, child abuse) ☐ Having a criminal record with exceptions for state-mandated restrictions
	    ☐ Active or history of substance abuse
		☐ Yes
		 ☐ No

	HMIS Certification	
4	**Agency certifies to input all beds/units into local CoC's designated HMIS System?**	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A

Applicant Experience

Describe applicant and sub-recipients(s) prior experience in serving homeless people and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.

Narrative box- be as brief as possible with narrative:

[Type a quote from the document or the summary of an interesting point. You can position the text box anywhere in the document. Use the Drawing Tools tab to change the formatting of the pull quote text box.]

Describe your agency's experience with prior HUD grants and other public contracts, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidence by timely reimbursements of sub-recipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitor findings, and timely submission of APRs on existing grants.

Narrative box-be as brief as possible with narrative:

[Type a quote from the document or the summary of an interesting point. You can position the text box anywhere in the document. Use the Drawing Tools tab to change the formatting of the pull quote text box.]

By checking this box, I hereby certify that the information on this cover sheet, on the eSNAPS project application and all emailed attachments are true and correct. I understand that the CoC reserves the right to request back up documentation supporting any part of any or all documents at any time.

☐

Category	Question	Materials Used to Score	Score	Scoring Rubric	Score/ Comments
Agency Capacity **40 Possible Points** **Total Received:** _____	Agency is free of HUD monitoring findings (looking at compliance)	Agency Certification, Staff interview with HUD field office.	Standard	N=Not Met Y=Met	
	Project has drawn down at least 90% of funding in the last completed grant?	For projects in operation of a year or more- submit APR. For projects operating for less than a year- submit a screen shot of last draw down in e-loccs.	Standard	90% or more= Met Under 90%= Not met	
	Application is clear; questions are answered consistently and thoroughly?	Project Application	15	Clear= 5 pts. Consistent= 5 pts. Thorough= 5 pts.	
	Project fits within agency mission?	Project Application	10	Yes= 10 pts. No= 0 pts.	
	Agency has capacity to operate project on reimbursement basis and has sufficient match dollars? *(25% match)*	HUD budget sheet , currently approved agency budget	10 *Threshold*	Yes= 10 pts. No= 0 pts.	
	For projects requesting service dollars, agency plans to seek alternative funding sources in the future?	project application, project budget	5	No= 0 Y= 5 N/A= 5	

Category	Question	Materials Used to Score	Score	Scoring Rubric	Score/ Comments
Community Partnerships **30 Possible Points** **Total Received:** _____	Agency agrees to participate fully in Coordinated Entry? *(standard)*	project application	10	Yes= 10 No= 0	
	Agency participates in monthly CoC Membership meetings? *(Provided by Home Again St. Johns)*	Meeting Sign-In or Minutes; July 2016-June 2017	10	Attended 0-30% = 0 pts. 31-75% = 5 pts. 76-100% = 10 pts.	
	All agency beds dedicated to homeless individuals and families as listed on Housing Inventory Count are entered into HMIS? • Includes comparable HMIS system for DV/Sexual Assault	Renewal: HMIS Report, HMIS Lead Agency New: Agency Certification	10	Threshold	
	Agency commits to enter 100% of new beds into HMIS	Agency will Certify	*Threshold*	Threshold	

Category	Question	Materials Used to Score	Score	Scoring Rubric	Score/ Comments
Project Design **RRH: 50 Possible Points** **Other Projects: 20 Possible Points** **Points Received:** _____	Agency agrees to implement CoC endorsed Written Standards.	Agency Policies	10 Standard	Yes= 10 No= 0	
	RRH: Project employs CoC Standards of low barrier, consumer driven, and housing first principles along with Rapid Rehousing Performance Benchmarks & Program standards (according to the National Alliance to End Homelessness/ HUD RRH Brief)	Project Application	Standard	Yes= Met No= Not Met	
	RRH: Core Program Standard #1: Housing Identification: - *GOAL: To find housing for program participants quickly.* - Program employs housing identification, including landlord engagement, recruitment, and retention	Project Application	10	Yes= 10 No= 0	
	RRH: Core Program Standard #2: Rent and Move-In Assistance: - *GOAL: To provide short term help to households so they can pay for housing.* - Program staff are trained on eligible and ineligible costs and the use of a progressive yet flexible approaches to determine duration and amount of financial assistance.	Project Application	10	Yes= 10 No= 0	

Category	Question	Materials Used to Score	Score	Scoring Rubric	Score/ Comments
Project Design (*Continued…*)	**RRH: Core Program Standard #3: Rapid Re-Housing Case Management and Services:** - *GOAL: To help participants obtain and move into permanent housing, support them in stabilizing in housing, and connect them to services and supports needed.* - Program has clearly defined relationships with employment and income programs that can connect participants to assistance when appropriate. This using includes formal and informal agreements with mainstream benefits, including employment and income programs… - Program has clearly defined, consumer driven, flexible, and objective policies and standards for when case management should continue and end.	Project Application	10	Yes= 10 No= 0	
	ALL: Housing First Model • Clearly describes a program design that is consistent with housing first approach. (i.e., housing assistance without preconditions, such as sobriety or minimum income threshold, or services participation requirements, and rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing are primary goals. Participants are only terminated due to standard lease violations not due to program requirements.)	Project Application, Agency Policies	10	Yes = 10 No = 0	

Category	Question	Materials Used to Score	Score	Scoring Rubric	Score/ Comments
Project Serving Most Vulnerable **Possible Points: 30** **Total Received:** _____	**ALL:** Project intends to serve those with Zero Income at Entry	Renewal: CoC APR and Project Application New: Project Application	10	0-33% = 0 pts. 34-67% = 3 pts. 68-99% = 6 pts. 100% = 10 pts.	
	ALL: Project intends to serve those from Place not meant for human habitation	Renewal: CoC APR and Project Application New: Project Application	10	0-33% = 0 pts. 34-67% = 3 pts. 68-99% = 6 pts. 100% = 10 pts.	
	ALL: Project intends to serve chronically homeless	Renewal: CoC APR and Project Application New: Project Application	10	0-33% = 0 pts. 34-67% = 3 pts. 68-99% = 6 pts. 100% = 10 pts.	

For project performance: If project is NEW or RENEWAL that hasn't yet been executed in the time frames below and has 'like' projects, please submit an APR from the time frame given. If project wasn't in operation within 2016 Calendar year, please provide within operations time frame.

Category	Question	Materials Used to Score	Score	Scoring Rubric	Score/ Comments
Project Performance **Total Possible Points: 80** **Total Received:** _____	Exits to permanent Housing	APR: 1/1/2016 – 12/31/2016	25	0-33% = 0 pts. 34-67% = 5 pts. 68-99% = 15 pts. 100% = 25 pts.	
	% of persons entering from eligible homeless situation RRH: Literally Homeless Street Outreach: Place not meant for human habitation	APR: 1/1/2016 – 12/31/2016	10	0-99% = 0 pts. 100% = 10 pts.	
	Within 12 months of exiting to permanent housing, participants to return were less than 15%	HMIS Lead System Performance	10	Less Than 15% = 10 pts. More Than 15% = 0 pts.	
	Total income for adult leavers maintained or increased	APR: 1/1/2016 – 12/31/2016	10	No increase = 0 pts. Increase from previous FY = 10 pts.	
	DATA Quality Report Card Universal Data Elements	HMIS Lead APR 8/1/2016 – 7/31/2017	25	0-33% = 0 pts. 34-67% = 5 pts. 68-99% = 15 pts. 100% = 25 pts.	