

## **Model - Response to reviewer's comments**

Authors wish to thank the reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions which helped us to improve this manuscript.

### **Reviewer #1:**

The overall content of the paper was good and it is well organized. I recommend accepting this manuscript after major corrections.

### **Comments to the Author**

#### **Comment 1**

Introduction need to be revised for syntax errors and improved.

#### **Response 1**

Introduction chapter is checked for syntax errors and corrected in the revised manuscript.

#### **Comment 2**

Interpretation of results of could be more elaborate.

#### **Response 2**

Interpretation is elaborated and improved in the revised manuscript as given below.

### **Reviewer #2:**

I recommend accepting this manuscript after minor corrections.

### **Comments to the Author**

#### **Comment 1**

Introduction need to be revised for syntax errors and improved.

#### **Response 1**

Introduction chapter is checked for syntax errors and corrected in the revised manuscript.

#### **Comment 2**

Interpretation of results of could be more elaborate.

#### **Response 2**

Interpretation is elaborated and improved in the revised manuscript as given below.