

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW AGENDA

Regular Council Meeting held at the Municipal Office 2317 Twp Rd 545 LSA County
Public may participate in person or via zoom
Tuesday, November 19, 2024 at 9:30 a.m.

1. Call to Order:

2. Treaty 6 Territory Land Acknowledgement

The Summer Village of South View acknowledges that we are meeting on Treaty 6 Territory and on the homelands of the Metis Nation. We acknowledge all indigenous peoples who have walked these lands for centuries.

3. Agenda:

Pg. 1-5

a) November 19th, 2024 Regular Council Meeting Agenda

(approve agenda as is or with amendments)

4. Minutes:

Pg. 6-10

a) October 15th, 2024 Regular Council Meeting Minutes

(approve minutes as is or with amendments)

5. Appointments:

a) 9:30 a.m. – Sgt. Jeff Sutherland, Officer in Charge, Evansburg RCMP detachment

Sgt. Sutherland is attending the meeting to provide an update on crime in the community, as well as discuss enhanced policing.

(Direction as provided by Council at meeting time.)

b) 11:00 a.m. – Randy Schoeder, Fire Chief and Trista Court, General Manager of Community Engagement, Lac Ste Anne County

Separate
Cover

Chief Schroeder and Ms. Court are attending the meeting to discuss Lac Ste Anne County's Fire Services Proposal. Discussion to be held in Closed Session.

(Direction as provided by Council at meeting time.)

**SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW
AGENDA**

Regular Council Meeting held at the Municipal Office 2317 Twp Rd 545 LSA County
Public may participate in person or via zoom
Tuesday, November 19, 2024 at 9:30 a.m.

6. Bylaws: a) Draft Animal Control Bylaw 250-2024

Pg. 11-23

In the package is a red-lined and clean version of the amended animal control bylaw, based on the discussions at the October Council meeting. Council can do as many readings as you feel appropriate, or none.

(that the discussion regarding draft Bylaw 250-2024, being a bylaw of the Summer Village of South View in the Province of Alberta to govern the control of animals within the municipal boundaries, be accepted for information)

Or

(that Bylaw 250-2024, being a bylaw of the Summer Village of South View in the Province of Alberta to govern the control of animals within the municipal boundaries, be given first reading as amended/presented.)

(that Bylaw 250-2024, being a bylaw of the Summer Village of South View in the Province of Alberta to govern the control of animals within the municipal boundaries, be given second reading as amended/presented.)

(that unanimous consent be given to have 3 reading of Bylaw 250-2024 in one sitting.)

(that Bylaw 250-2024, being a bylaw of the Summer Village of South View in the Province of Alberta to govern the control of animals within the municipal boundaries, be read a third and final time as amended/presented.)

7. Business: a) FireSmart Canada Wildfire Community Preparedness Day Grant

Pg. 24-32

The Wildfire Community Preparedness Day Grant, worth \$500, is currently open for applications, which are due by January 31, 2025. More information on the program and grant is attached. Last year, Council used this grant to host a FireSmart Day and to utilize volunteers to clear deadfall, undergrowth, and flammable debris.

(That the Summer Village of South View apply for the FireSmart Wildfire Community Preparedness Day Grant, in the amount of \$500, to _____ on _____.

Or,

Some other direction as given by Council at meeting time.)

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW AGENDA

Regular Council Meeting held at the Municipal Office 2317 Twp Rd 545 LSA County
Public may participate in person or via zoom
Tuesday, November 19, 2024 at 9:30 a.m.

b) North Saskatchewan Watershed Alliance Funding Request

Pg. 33 - 35

This is an annual funding request that is sent by the NSWA to all municipalities within the North Saskatchewan River Watershed to help them support watershed management.

(that the 2025 funding request, for \$100 to support watershed management, from the North Saskatchewan Watershed Alliance be approved,

Or,

Some other direction as provided by Council at meeting time.)

c) 2025 Draft Operating and Capital Budget

Separate
Cover

The 2025 Draft Operating and Capital Budget is included in the meeting package. This will be our first review of the 2025 Draft Budget, discussion to take place at meeting time.

(that changes to the Draft 2025 Operating and Capital Budget be made as directed at meeting time, and that the revised draft budget be brought back to the next meeting for review and discussion.)

d)

e)

f)

8. Financial:

Separate
Cover

a) Income and Expense Statement as of October 31, 2024.

(that Council accept the Income and Expense Statement, as of October 31, 2024, for Information.)

9. Council Reports:

- a) Mayor Benford
- b) Deputy Mayor Ward
- c) Councillor Richardson

(that the Council reports be accepted for information.)

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW AGENDA

Regular Council Meeting held at the Municipal Office 2317 Twp Rd 545 LSA County
Public may participate in person or via zoom
Tuesday, November 19, 2024 at 9:30 a.m.

10. Chief Administrator's Report:

Pg. 36-39

- a) James Woslyng V Summer Village of South View
- b) Mayerthorpe CPO Resignation
- c) Boatlaunch
- d) ASVA Conference
- e) Water Engagement Town Hall
- f) Alberta Municipalities Sustainability and Environment Committee Appointment
- g) Meetings: Oct 24, 2024 Sargent Sutherland – Evansburg RCMP, October 30, 2024 Mayor/CAO Fire Meeting
- h) 2024 Financial Audit
- i)
- j)

(that Council accept the Chief Administrative Officer's Report for information)

11. Information and Correspondence:

Pg. 40-41

Pg. 42-43

Pg. 44-53

Pg. 54-55

Pg. 56-58

Pg. 59-60

Pg. 61-74

Pg. 75

Pg. 76-77

Pg. 78-79

Pg. 80-87

Pg. 88-89

- a) 3rd Quarter Safety Codes Report
- b) Town of Mayerthorpe: October Community Peace Officer Report
- c) October 25, 2024 email from Municipal Affairs Minister Ric McIver: 2026 Local Government Fiscal Framework Capital Allocations
- d) October 22, 2024 Alberta Municipalities Casual Legal Article: Share Wisely
- e) October 21, 2024 email from Municipal Affairs: Municipal Affairs Statutes Amendment Act, 2024 Proclamation
- f) October 28, 2024 email from Alberta Municipalities President Tyler Gandam: Share the impact of provincial decisions on property taxpayers
- g) October 28, 2024 email from Alberta Municipalities President Tyler Gandam: Fall 2024 Alberta Interim Police Advisory Board Communique
- h) October 30, 2024 letter from Alberta Beach: Alberta Beach Organizational Meeting
- i) October 31, 2024 email from Alberta Municipalities President, Tyler Gandam: Provide your input on the 2024 Outstanding Resolutions
- j) Lac Ste Anne County 2024 Committee Appointments
- k) Municipal Affairs: Comparison of the 2025 Equalized Assessment to Current Equalized Assessment
- l) November 5, 2024 letter from the Town of Onoway: Town of Onoway Organizational Meeting – October 24, 2024

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW AGENDA

Regular Council Meeting held at the Municipal Office 2317 Twp Rd 545 LSA County
Public may participate in person or via zoom
Tuesday, November 19, 2024 at 9:30 a.m.

- Pg. 90-91 m) October 31, 2024 email from Alberta Environment and Parks: Water Availability Engagement
- Pg. 92 n) November 5, 2024 letter from Municipal Affairs Minister Ric McIver: 2024 Alberta Municipalities Convention Question follow-up
- Pg. 93-96 o) November 6, 2024 news article: Policing costs for small municipalities going up 39%, but Alberta gov't will cover for one year
- Pg. 97 p) November 13, 2024 letter from the Hwy 43 East Waste Commission: crushed concrete for municipal projects
- q)

(that Council accept the above information items for information.)

12. Open Floor Discussion with Gallery – Total Time Provision of 15 Minutes

13. Closed Meeting Session:

- a) Fire Services
- b) CAO Evaluation

(Pursuant to section 197(2) of the Municipal Government Act, that Council go into a closed meeting session at _____ a.m. to discuss the following: Fire Services– disclosure harmful to business interests of a third party, FOIPP Act Section 16.)

(that Council come out of closed meeting at _____ a.m.)

(further direction as given by Council at meeting time)

(that the 2024 Chief Administrative Officer Evaluation, as completed and discussed at the November 19, 2024 Regular Council Meeting be accepted as information.)

14. Next meeting: December 17th, 2024

15. Adjournment:

Upcoming Meetings:

- Regular Council Meeting – December 17, 2024
- Regular Council Meeting – January 21, 2025
- Regular Council Meeting – February 18, 2025

**SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW
REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES**

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2024

IN PERSON AT 2317 TWP RD 545 LAC STE. ANNE COUNTY & VIA ZOOM

- PRESENT:** Council: Mayor Sandi Benford
Deputy Mayor Garth Ward
Councillor Colleen Richardson
- Administration: Wendy Wildman, Chief Administrative Officer
Angela Duncan, Assistant Chief Administrative Officer
- Absent:
- Appointments: Dwight Moskalyk (11:00 a.m. until 12:38 p.m.)
- Public at Large: 0 – Via Zoom / 0 – In Person

	MOTION #	
1.	CALL TO ORDER	Mayor Benford called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m.
2.	TREATY 6 TERRITORY LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	The Summer Village of South View acknowledges that we are meeting on Treaty 6 Territory and on the homelands of the Metis Nation. We acknowledge all indigenous peoples who have walked these lands for centuries.
3.	AGENDA 195-2024	MOVED by Mayor Benford that the October 15 th , 2024 Agenda be approved as presented. CARRIED
4.	MINUTES 196-2024	MOVED by Councillor Richardson that the September 17 th , 2024 Regular Council Meeting Minutes be approved as presented. CARRIED
5.	APPOINTMENTS	Appointment with Dwight Moskalyk was held later in the meeting. See Motion 211-2024.
6.	BYLAWS 197-2024	MOVED by Mayor Benford that Travis Horne be appointed as the municipal assessor for the Summer Village of South View. CARRIED

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW
REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2024

IN PERSON AT 2317 TWP RD 545 LAC STE. ANNE COUNTY & VIA ZOOM

	<p>198-2024</p> <p>199-2024</p> <p>200-2024</p> <p>201-2024</p> <p>202-2024</p>	<p>MOVED by Mayor Benford that Bylaw 248-2024, being a bylaw to establish the position of Designated Officer - Assessor, be read a first time.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED</p> <p>MOVED by Mayor Benford that Bylaw 248-2024, being a bylaw to establish the position of Designated Officer - Assessor, be read a second time.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED</p> <p>MOVED by Mayor Benford that unanimous consent be given to have three reading of Bylaw 248-2024 in one sitting.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY</p> <p>MOVED by Mayor Benford that Bylaw 248-2024, being a bylaw to establish the position of Designated Officer - Assessor, be read a third and final time.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED</p> <p>MOVED by Mayor Benford that a new animal control bylaw be drafted to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • address the word "harbour", • double the fines listed in Schedule "A" and Schedule "B" • any other recommendations from administration <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED</p>
<p>7.</p>	<p>BUSINESS</p> <p>203-2024</p> <p>204-2024</p>	<p>MOVED by Deputy Mayor Ward that the Participant Memorandum of Agreement, 2025-2027, with Capital Region Assessment Services Commission (CRASC) for the supply of Local Assessment Review Boards and Composite Assessment Review Boards be approved and its execution authorized.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED</p> <p>MOVED by Councillor Richardson that the 2025 capital projects are to include boat launch upgrades, east access road improvements, new village signage, and regional sewer (if applicable) and FURTHER that administration bring back options and pricing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED</p>

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW
REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2024

IN PERSON AT 2317 TWP RD 545 LAC STE. ANNE COUNTY & VIA ZOOM

	205-2024	MOVED by Mayor Benford that information be requested from Lac Ste Anne County regarding pricing, service levels, and a fire contract, to be considered along with the proposal from Fire Rescue International prior to the expiration of the current contract in March 2025. CARRIED
	206-2024	MOVED by Councillor Richardson that a letter of support, regarding Connect Mobility's Alberta Broadband Funds application, be provided to Connect Mobility. CARRIED
8.	FINANCIAL 207-2024	MOVED by Mayor Benford that the Income and Expense Statement, as of September 30, 2024, be accepted for information. CARRIED
9.	COUNCIL REPORTS 208-2024	MOVED by Mayor Benford that the Council Reports be accepted for information. CARRIED
10.	CAO REPORT 209-2024	MOVED by Mayor Benford that the Chief Administrative Officers' Report be accepted for information. CARRIED
11.	INFORMATION AND CORRESPONDENCE 210-2024	MOVED by Councillor Richardson that the following Information and Correspondence items be received for information: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Letter from the Darwell Public Library thanking the Summer Village of South View for their contributions. b) September 16, 2024 email from the Yellowhead Regional Library Director, Karla Palichuk regarding the Draft 2025 Budget and overview. c) Development Permit 24DP05-32 for the demolition of an existing building and construction of a detached dwelling, C/W deck and shed, and installation or utilization of existing water supply and septic system.

**SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW
REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES**

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2024

IN PERSON AT 2317 TWP RD 545 LAC STE. ANNE COUNTY & VIA ZOOM

	211-2024	<p>d) October 2, 2024 letter from Municipal Affairs Minister, Ric McIver, regarding the federal carbon tax's impact on municipalities.</p> <p>e) September 2024 Community Peace Officer Report</p> <p>f) October 8, 2024 email from Lac Ste Anne County regarding HWY 43 East Waste Commission Recycling List</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED</p> <p>The meeting recessed from 10:55 a.m. until 11:00 a.m.</p> <p>Dwight Moskalyk, Land Use Bylaw Consultant, 11:00 a.m. until 12:38 a.m.</p> <p>MOVED by Mayor Benford that the discussion with Dwight Moskalyk, Land Use Bylaw Consultant, regarding the Land Use Bylaw Review be accepted for information.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED</p>
12.	OPEN FLOOR DISCUSSION WITH GALLERY (15 min)	There was no gallery present at the meeting.
13.	<p>CLOSED MEETING</p> <p>212-2024</p> <p>213-2024</p>	<p>The meeting recessed from 12:38 p.m. until 12:40 p.m.</p> <p>MOVED by Mayor Benford that pursuant to section 197(2) of the Municipal Government Act, that Council go into a closed meeting session at 12:40 p.m. to discuss the following: Fire Services–disclosure harmful to business interests of a third party, FOIPP Act Section 16.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED</p> <p><u>Present for Closed Session:</u> Mayor Benford Deputy Mayor Ward Councillor Richardson CAO Wildman Assistant CAO Duncan</p> <p>MOVED by Mayor Benford that Council come out of closed meeting at 1:15 p.m.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED</p> <p>The meeting recessed from 1:15 p.m. until 1:16 p.m.</p>

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW
REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2024
IN PERSON AT 2317 TWP RD 545 LAC STE. ANNE COUNTY & VIA ZOOM

14.	NEXT MEETING	The next regular Council meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, November 19 th , 2024 at 9:30 a.m. in a hybrid format.
15.	ADJOURNMENT	As there was no further business, Mayor Benford adjourned the meeting at 1:17 p.m.

Sandi Benford, Mayor

Chief Administrative Officer, Wendy Wildman

G.a

BYLAW NO. 250-2024143

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Municipal Government Act RSA 2000 Chapter M-26
Part 2 Section 7(h)

Formatted: Right

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW

Formatted: Title, Right

BEING A BYLAW OF the Summer Village of South View in the Province of Alberta to govern the control of animals within the municipal boundaries.

WHEREAS, under provisions of Section 7 of the Municipal Government Act, Chapter M-26 of the Revised Statutes of Alberta, 2000 and amendments thereto, the Council may pass By-laws respecting domestic animals and activities in relation to them; and

WHEREAS, the Council of the Summer Village of South View deems it in the best interests of animal owners and the public in general for the village to regulate control of animals within its boundaries:

NOW THEREFORE, the Council of the Summer Village of South View in Council duly assembled, hereby enacts as follows:

I DEFINITIONS

1. "Animal" shall mean any domesticated animal, including but not limited to cattle, horses, fowl, sheep or goats.

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

"Animal Control Officer" shall mean any person appointed by the Summer Village to carry out the provisions of this By-law.

~~1-2.~~

3. "At large" shall mean off the premises of the owner or harbourer and not under the immediate, continuous and effective control of a competent person.

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

2-4. "Dangerous dog" shall mean any individual dog which when either unmuzzled, unleashed or unattended by its owner, or a member of its owner's family, in a vicious or terrorizing manner, approaches any person in an apparent attitude of attack upon streets, sidewalks, or any public ground or places.

5. "Dog" shall mean a male or female of the species over the age of three months and shall include femalebitch, spayed femalebitch, male or neutered male.

3-6. "Harbour" shall mean to give shelter or refuge either permanently or temporarily.

Formatted: List Paragraph, Left, No bullets or numbering, Tab stops: Not at 0 cm + 0.76 cm + 1.27 cm + 2.54 cm + 3.81 cm + 5.08 cm + 6.35 cm + 7.62 cm + 8.89 cm + 10.16 cm + 11.43 cm + 12.7 cm + 13.97 cm + 15.24 cm + 16.51 cm + 17.78 cm + 19.05 cm + 20.32 cm + 21.59 cm + 22.86 cm + 24.13 cm + 25.4 cm + 26.67 cm + 27.94 cm + 29.21 cm + 30.48 cm + 31.75 cm + 33.02 cm + 34.29 cm + 35.56 cm + 36.83 cm + 38.1 cm + 39.37 cm + 40.63 cm + 41.9 cm + 43.17 cm + 44.44 cm + 45.71 cm + 46.98 cm + 0 cm + 0.76 cm + 1.27 cm + 2.54 cm

~~4-1. "Animal Control Officer" shall mean any person appointed by the Summer Village to carry out the provisions of this By-law.~~

5-7. "Owner" shall mean and include any person owning, possessing, having charge of or control over or harbouring any animal or dog or suffering or permitting any animal or dog to remain about his house or premises.



Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW

Formatted: Title, Right

6.8. "Run at large" shall mean an animal that is at any place other than the property of the owner or the property of the harbourer, or is not otherwise restrained by a leash held by a person and that leash is attached to a choke chain, collar, or harness, securely holding the animal.

7.9. "Summer Village" or "Village" means the Summer Village of South View in the Province of Alberta.

8.10. "Vicious dog" means:

- (i) any individual dog that when unprovoked inflicts bites or attacks a human being or other animal either on public or private property;
- (ii) any individual dog with a known propensity, tendency or disposition to attack without provocation, to cause injury or to otherwise endanger the safety of human beings or domestic animals;
- (iii) any individual dog which attacks a human being or domestic animal without provocation;
- (iv) any individual dog owned or harboured primarily or in part for the purpose of dog fighting or any dog trained for dog fighting;
- (v) any individual dog which has been found to be a "dangerous dog" upon 3 separate occasions;
- (vi) no dog shall be deemed "vicious" if it bites, attacks, or menaces a trespasser on the property of its owner provided that such property is posted with warning signs or harms or menaces anyone who has tormented or abused it or is a professionally trained dog for law enforcement or guard duties.

~~9. "Dangerous dog" shall mean any individual dog which when either unmuzzled, unleashed or unattended by its owner, or a member of its owner's family, in a vicious or terrorizing manner, approaches any person in an apparent attitude of attack upon streets, sidewalks, or any public ground or places.~~

II REGULATIONS

1. No animals, other than cats, dogs and other small pets may be harboured on any property within the Village boundaries.
2. No person or owner shall harbour more than two small pets of one kind of whatever sex and aged six (6) months or more at one and the same time in any house, shelter, room or place within the Village unless a Development Permit for operating a kennel has been sought and obtained from the Village.
3. No person or owner shall permit any dog to run at large within the boundaries of the Village.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right

SUMMER-VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW

Formatted: Title, Right

4. No person shall remove or attempt to remove any animal or small pet, including a dog from the possession of the Animal Control Officer or the Animal Holding Facility prior to having paid the outstanding fines and fees.
5. No person, whether or not he or she is the owner of a dog or small pet which is being pursued by the Animal Control Officer, shall:
 - (a) interfere with or attempt to obstruct an Animal Control Officer from enforcing the provisions of this By-law;
 - (b) induce any dog, small pet or animal to enter a house or place where it may be safe from capture or otherwise assist the dog, small pet, or animal to escape capture;
 - (c) falsely represent himself as being in control of a dog, so as to establish that the dog is not running at large;
 - (d) unlatch or open the vehicle in which dogs captured for impounding have been placed, so as to allow dogs to escape therefrom.
6. It shall be the duty of the occupant of any house or premises in or about which any female animal is kept or allowed to remain, to keep such animal housed and confined during the whole period during which the female is in heat.
7. No person shall allow any dog to howl or bark excessively or in a manner to disturb the quiet of any person.
8. No dog shall be allowed to defecate on any public or private property other than the property of its owner. If a dog defecates on any public or private property, the owner shall cause such defecation to be removed immediately.

III. DETERMINING AN ANIMAL TO BE VICIOUS

1. The Owner of a Dog, which the Owner has reason to believe to be a Vicious Dog, shall keep such Dog in accordance with the provisions of Section III (3) of this Bylaw
2. If an ~~Police R.C.M.P~~ Officer, or a Peace Officer, Special Constable or Bylaw Enforcement Officer, appointed by the Council, determines that a Dog is a Vicious Dog, either through personal observation or after an investigation initiated by a complaint, he or she may, in writing:
 - i) inform the Owner that his Dog has been determined to be a Vicious Dog, and
 - ii) require the Owner to keep such Dog in Accordance with the Provisions of Section III (3) of this Bylaw, and

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Right

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW

Formatted: Title, Right

- iii) inform the Owner that if the Vicious Dog is not kept in accordance with Section III (3) of this Bylaw, the Owner will be fined, or subject to enforcement action pursuant to Schedule "B" of this bylaw.

3. The Owner of a Dog determined to be A Vicious Dog under Section III of this Bylaw shall take the following precautions:

- i) at all times while a Vicious Dog is on the premises of its Owner, the Owner shall either keep such Dog confined indoors, or confined in a securely enclosed and locked pen, or other structure, constructed to prevent the escape of the Vicious Dog, and capable of preventing the entry of young children;
- ii) such pen shall have secure sides and a secure top, and if it has no bottom secured to the sides, the sides must be embedded in the ground to a minimum depth of one (1) foot;
- iii) when any Vicious Dog is off the premises of the owner, the owner shall securely muzzle the Dog and ensure the Dog is restrained by a permitted leash which shall effectively prevent it from attacking or biting a person or other animals;
- iv) the Owner of a Vicious Dog shall take all necessary steps to ensure that such Dog does not bite, chase or attack any person or other animal, whether the person or animal is on the property of the Owner or not;
- v) the Owner of a Vicious Dog shall not permit such Dog to run at large;

IV. TICKETS/FINES

1. An animal control ticket issued to any person contravening any provision of this By-law shall be deemed to be sufficiently served if:

- (a) served personally on the owner or harbourer of the animal; or
- (b) mailed by registered mail to the address of the owner or harbourer as recorded on the Village tax roll; or
- (c) left at the residence of the accused in care of a person who appears to be at least 16 years of age.

2. Fines levied for contravention of the By-law are listed in schedules "A" and "B" of this By-law.

By-law 143136 shall be rescinded on the date of final reading and signing of this By-law.

BYLAW NO. 250-2024143

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Municipal Government Act RSA 2000 Chapter M-26
Part 2 Section 7(h)

Formatted: Right

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW

Formatted: Title, Right

READ A FIRST TIME, this 23rd day of July 2004,

READ A SECOND TIME, this day of 23rd day of July 2004

UNANIMOUSLY CONSENTED TO AND READ A THIRD TIME,
this day of 23rd day of July 2004.

~~MAYOR~~ Mayor, Sandi Benford

~~MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATOR~~ Chief
Administrative Officer, Wendy Wildman

DRAFT

BYLAW NO. 143
SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW

SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW
BY-LAW 143

SCHEDULE "A"
FINES

Fines shall be as follows:

I. Infraction	Section	1st Offence	2nd Offence
1. Harboring prohibited animals	(III,1)	\$15075.00	\$125250.00
2. Having in excess of two pets of the same kind	(III,2)	\$75150.00	\$250125.00
3. Running at large	(III,3)	\$75150.00	\$125250.00
4. Removing from custody	(III,4)	\$150300.00	\$3600.00
5. Interfering with capture	(III,5)	\$150300.00	\$3600.00
6. Not confining femalebitch in heat \$150300.00	(III,6)		\$2100.00
7. Barking or howling	(III,7)	\$15075.00	\$125250.00
8. Failure to remove defecation	(III,108)	\$15075.00	\$75150.00

II. If an animal control ticket issued to an owner as a result of an infraction of this By-law is not paid in accordance with the terms of the ticket, an information may be filed and a summons issued and prosecution conducted against such owner for the alleged violation. Court costs will be levied in addition to fines.

III. Any person who contravenes any of the provisions of the By-law shall be liable upon summary conviction to a penalty not to exceed \$1000.00 plus costs, or in default of payment, to imprisonment for a period not to exceed 30 days.

BYLAW NO. 143
SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW

SCHEDULE "B"
FINES

Formatted: Font: Tahoma, Bold

Formatted: Normal, Tab stops: Not at 0.79 cm

		1st Offense	2nd Offense
Section III 3 (i)	Failure to confine a Vicious Dog	\$500 250.00	\$5100.00
Section III 3 (iii)	Failure to muzzle or otherwise secure a Vicious Dog when off the premises	\$250 500.00	\$5100.00
Section III 3 (iv)	If a Vicious Dog bites or attacked a person or animal causing injury	\$1000 500.00	\$12,000.00
Section III 3 (v)	Permitting a Vicious Dog to run at large	\$250 500.00	\$5100.00

Any Owner who commits three or more offences listed in this Section will be issued a compulsory court notice, and upon conviction will be subject to a fine of not more than \$2,500.00 and not less than ~~\$10~~500.00.

BEING A BYLAW OF the Summer Village of South View in the Province of Alberta to govern the control of animals within the municipal boundaries.

WHEREAS, under provisions of Section 7 of the Municipal Government Act, Chapter M-26 of the Revised Statutes of Alberta, 2000 and amendments thereto, the Council may pass By-laws respecting domestic animals and activities in relation to them; and

WHEREAS, the Council of the Summer Village of South View deems it in the best interests of animal owners and the public in general for the village to regulate control of animals within its boundaries:

NOW THEREFORE, the Council of the Summer Village of South View in Council duly assembled, hereby enacts as follows:

I DEFINITIONS

1. "Animal" shall mean any domesticated animal, including but not limited to cattle, horses, fowl, sheep or goats.
2. "Animal Control Officer" shall mean any person appointed by the Summer Village to carry out the provisions of this By-law.
3. "At large" shall mean off the premises of the owner or harbourer and not under the immediate, continuous and effective control of a competent person.
4. "Dangerous dog" shall mean any individual dog which when either unmuzzled, unleashed or unattended by its owner, or a member of its owner's family, in a vicious or terrorizing manner, approaches any person in an apparent attitude of attack upon streets, sidewalks, or any public ground or places.
5. "Dog" shall mean a male or female of the species over the age of three months and shall include female, spayed female, male or neutered male.
6. "Harbour" shall mean to give shelter or refuge either permanently or temporarily.
7. "Owner" shall mean and include any person owning, possessing, having charge of or control over or harbouring any animal or dog or suffering or permitting any animal or dog to remain about his house or premises.
8. "Run at large" shall mean an animal that is at any place other than the property of the owner or the property of the harbourer, or is not otherwise restrained by a leash held by a person and that leash is attached to a choke chain, collar, or harness, securely holding the animal.
9. "Summer Village" or "Village" means the Summer Village of South View in the Province of Alberta.

10. "Vicious dog" means:

- (i) any individual dog that when unprovoked inflicts bites or attacks a human being or other animal either on public or private property;
- (ii) any individual dog with a known propensity, tendency or disposition to attack without provocation, to cause injury or to otherwise endanger the safety of human beings or domestic animals;
- (iii) any individual dog which attacks a human being or domestic animal without provocation;
- (iv) any individual dog owned or harboured primarily or in part for the purpose of dog fighting or any dog trained for dog fighting;
- (v) any individual dog which has been found to be a "dangerous dog" upon 3 separate occasions;
- (vi) no dog shall be deemed "vicious" if it bites, attacks, or menaces a trespasser on the property of its owner provided that such property is posted with warning signs or harms or menaces anyone who has tormented or abused it or is a professionally trained dog for law enforcement or guard duties.

II REGULATIONS

1. No animals, other than cats, dogs and other small pets may be harboured on any property within the Village boundaries.
2. No person or owner shall harbour more than two small pets of one kind of whatever sex and aged six (6) months or more at one and the same time in any house, shelter, room or place within the Village unless a Development Permit for operating a kennel has been sought and obtained from the Village.
3. No person or owner shall permit any dog to run at large within the boundaries of the Village.
4. No person shall remove or attempt to remove any animal or small pet, including a dog from the possession of the Animal Control Officer or the Animal Holding Facility prior to having paid the outstanding fines and fees.
5. No person, whether or not he or she is the owner of a dog or small pet which is being pursued by the Animal Control Officer, shall:
 - (a) interfere with or attempt to obstruct an Animal Control Officer from enforcing the provisions of this By-law;
 - (b) induce any dog, small pet or animal to enter a house or place where it may be safe from capture or otherwise assist the dog, small pet, or animal to escape capture;
 - (c) falsely represent himself as being in control of a dog, so as to establish that the dog is not running at large;

- (d) unlatch or open the vehicle in which dogs captured for impounding have been placed, so as to allow dogs to escape therefrom.
- 6. It shall be the duty of the occupant of any house or premises in or about which any female animal is kept or allowed to remain, to keep such animal housed and confined during the whole period during which the female is in heat.
- 7. No person shall allow any dog to howl or bark excessively or in a manner to disturb the quiet of any person.
- 8. No dog shall be allowed to defecate on any public or private property other than the property of its owner. If a dog defecates on any public or private property, the owner shall cause such defecation to be removed immediately.

III. DETERMINING AN ANIMAL TO BE VICIOUS

- 1. The Owner of a Dog, which the Owner has reason to believe to be a Vicious Dog, shall keep such Dog in accordance with the provisions of Section III (3) of this Bylaw
- 2. If a Police Officer, or a Peace Officer, Special Constable or Bylaw Enforcement Officer, appointed by the Council, determines that a Dog is a Vicious Dog, either through personal observation or after an investigation initiated by a complaint, he or she may, in writing:
 - i) inform the Owner that his Dog has been determined to be a Vicious Dog, and
 - ii) require the Owner to keep such Dog in Accordance with the Provisions of Section III (3) of this Bylaw, and
 - iii) inform the Owner that if the Vicious Dog is not kept in accordance with Section III (3) of this Bylaw, the Owner will be fined, or subject to enforcement action pursuant to Schedule "B" of this bylaw.
- 3. The Owner of a Dog determined to be A Vicious Dog under Section III of this Bylaw shall take the following precautions:
 - i) at all times while a Vicious Dog is on the premises of its Owner, the Owner shall either keep such Dog confined indoors, or confined in a securely enclosed and locked pen, or other structure, constructed to prevent the escape of the Vicious Dog, and capable of preventing the entry of young children;
 - ii) such pen shall have secure sides and a secure top, and if it has no bottom secured to the sides, the sides must be embedded in the ground to a minimum depth of one (1) foot;
 - iii) when any Vicious Dog is off the premises of the owner, the owner shall securely muzzle the Dog and ensure the Dog is restrained by

- a permitted leash which shall effectively prevent it from attacking or biting a person or other animals;
- iv) the Owner of a Vicious Dog shall take all necessary steps to ensure that such Dog does not bite, chase or attack any person or other animal, whether the person or animal is on the property of the Owner or not;
- v) the Owner of a Vicious Dog shall not permit such Dog to run at large;

IV. TICKETS/FINES

1. An animal control ticket issued to any person contravening any provision of this By-law shall be deemed to be sufficiently served if:
 - (a) served personally on the owner or harbourer of the animal; or
 - (b) mailed by registered mail to the address of the owner or harbourer as recorded on the Village tax roll; or
 - (c) left at the residence of the accused in care of a person who appears to be at least 16 years of age.
2. Fines levied for contravention of the By-law are listed in schedules "A" and "B" of this By-law.

By-law 143 shall be rescinded on the date of final reading and signing of this By-law.

READ A FIRST TIME, this ____ day of _____, _____

READ A SECOND TIME, this ____ day of _____, _____

UNANIMOUSLY CONSENTED TO AND READ A THIRD TIME,
this ____ day of _____, _____.

Mayor, Sandi Benford

Chief Administrative Officer, Wendy Wildman



**SUMMER VILLAGE OF SOUTH VIEW
BY-LAW 143**

**SCHEDULE "A"
FINES**

Fines shall be as follows:

<u>I. Infraction</u>	<u>Section</u>	<u>1st Offence</u>	<u>2nd Offence</u>
1. Harboring prohibited animals	(II,1)	\$150.00	\$250.00
2. Having in excess of two pets of the same kind	(II,2)	\$150.00	\$250.00
3. Running at large	(II,3)	\$150.00	\$250.00
4. Removing from custody	(II,4)	\$300.00	\$600.00
5. Interfering with capture	(II,5)	\$300.00	\$600.00
6. Not confining female in heat	(II,6)	\$200.00	\$300.00
7. Barking or howling	(II,7)	\$150.00	\$250.00
8. Failure to remove defecation	(II,8)	\$150.00	\$150.00

II. If an animal control ticket issued to an owner as a result of an infraction of this By-law is not paid in accordance with the terms of the ticket, an information may be filed and a summons issued and prosecution conducted against such owner for the alleged violation. Court costs will be levied in addition to fines.

III. Any person who contravenes any of the provisions of the By-law shall be liable upon summary conviction to a penalty not to exceed \$1000.00 plus costs, or in default of payment, to imprisonment for a period not to exceed 30 days.

22

SCHEDULE "B"
FINES

		1st Offense	2nd Offense
Section III 3 (i)	Failure to confine a Vicious Dog	\$500.00	\$1000.00
Section III 3 (iii)	Failure to muzzle or otherwise secure a Vicious Dog when off the premises	\$500.00	\$1000.00
Section III 3 (iv)	If a Vicious Dog bites or attacked a person or animal causing injury	\$1000.00	\$2,000.00
Section III 3 (v)	Permitting a Vicious Dog to run at large	\$500.00	\$1000.00

Any Owner who commits three or more offences listed in this Section will be issued a compulsory court notice, and upon conviction will be subject to a fine of not more than \$2,500.00 and not less than \$1000.00.

DRAFT

23

7.1a

CENTRAL SAANICH VOLUNTEER



**WILDFIRE
COMMUNITY
PREPAREDNESS
DAY
PROMOTION**

OFFICIAL RULES



(711)

By participating in The FireSmart™ Canada Wildfire Community Preparedness Day Promotion (the "Promotion"), entrants accept and agree to be bound by the following Rules:

1. **ADMINISTRATOR AND SPONSORS.** This Promotion is administered by the Canadian Interagency Forest Fire Centre Inc. (CIFFC) (the "Administrator"), 1749 Ellice Avenue, Winnipeg, MB R3H 1A6. This Promotion is sponsored by the Co-operators Group Ltd., the Institute for Catastrophic Loss Reduction and the Provincial and Territorial wildfire agencies (collectively the "Sponsors"), who have provided funding for the awards.
2. **THE ODDS OF WINNING AN AWARD DEPEND ON THE NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE ENTRIES RECEIVED. NO PURCHASE OR PAYMENT OF ANY KIND IS NECESSARY TO ENTER THIS PROMOTION AND A PURCHASE OR PAYMENT WILL NOT INCREASE YOUR CHANCES OF RECEIVING AN AWARD.**
3. **ELIGIBILITY.** Eligibility for this Promotion is open to all Canadian Citizens new immigrants or First Nations residents who are of legal age within the Province or Territory within which they reside. Employees, directors and officers of the Administrator, any of the Sponsors, and any of the affiliates, advertising agencies and contest administrators of the Administrator or any of the Sponsors, and their immediate family (spouse, parents, children, siblings, and their respective spouses) and household members are not eligible to enter this Promotion. For award consideration, applicants need to describe how the proposed project supports the reduction of risk of wildfire or the advancement of wildfire preparedness in the entrant's community.
4. **CONDITIONS OF PARTICIPATION.** By entering this Promotion, each entrant hereby agrees to be bound by these Official Rules, agrees to accept and abide by all decisions of the Administrator with regard to the interpretation and implementation of the Promotion and these Official Rules, and acknowledges and agrees that no entry form submitted by the entrant shall violate any law or the rights of any third party, contain content that is obscene, offensive, profane, pornographic, violent or derogatory; promote alcohol, illegal drugs, tobacco, firearms/weapons (or the use of any of the foregoing), defame, misrepresent or disparage any third party, communicate messages or images inconsistent with the positive image and/or goodwill to which the Administrator and the Sponsors wish to associate, depict unsafe or illegal behavior; all as determined by the Administrator in its sole discretion.
5. **THE APPLICATION PERIOD.** The application period for this Promotion begins at 8:00AM Mountain Time (MT) on November 1, 2024, and ends 4:00PM MT on January 31, 2025 (the "Application Period").

01

-
6. **HOW TO ENTER.** Eligible persons may enter this Promotion by clicking on the application link found at www.firesmartcanada.ca, fully completing the entry form and agreeing to the Terms and Conditions. **All entry form questions, including the question regarding the type of proposed project the entrant or the entrant's group will undertake and complete between Wildfire Community Preparedness Day on May 3, 2025, and October 31, 2025, to reduce the risk of wildfire or advance preparedness for wildfire in their neighbourhood, must be answered in order for an entrant to be considered for an award.** Upon submission, all entries become the property of the Administrator and the Sponsors. The Administrator reserves the right to reject any entry form which, in the sole and absolute discretion of the Administrator, does not meet the criteria set forth in these Official Rules.
 7. **NUMBER OF ENTRIES. Only one entry per community is permitted.** Any attempt to access any website associated with this Promotion via a bot script or other brute force attack, through the use of automated entry devices or programs, or through any other unauthorized means is prohibited and will result in the voiding of any associated entries and disqualification from and ineligibility for participation in this Promotion.
 8. **CONDITIONS OF ENTRY.** By completing the entry form an entrant hereby represents and warrants to the Administrator that the entrant has obtained any and all required consents, approvals, licenses or permissions.
 9. **WINNER SELECTION.** The Administrator (who may be assisted by other parties if the Administrator, in its sole discretion, so chooses) shall assess all fully completed entry forms submitted by eligible persons during the Application Period for clarity and ease of understanding in the proposed project's description as well as how the proposed project supports the reduction of risk of wildfire or the advancement of wildfire preparedness in the entrant's community. Specifically, does the proposed project focus on fuel removal from within the community, an education event about FireSmart best practices or a combination of such. Following completion of its assessment, the Administrator (with the aid of the Provincial and Territorial FireSmart Liaisons) will select the winning entries. All winning entries selected by the Administrator shall be subject to verification of identity and eligibility.

NOTE THAT THE SELECTION OF A WINNER DOES NOT IN ANY WAY INDICATE AND SHOULD NOT IN ANY WAY BE ASSUMED TO INDICATE OR BE INTERPRETED AS INDICATING THAT THE ADMINISTRATOR OR ANY OF THE SPONSORS HAVE ANY OPINION WHATSOEVER AS TO THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT TO ACHIEVE ITS GOAL OR ANY FIRE SAFETY OR PREPAREDNESS GOAL.

02

-
10. **AWARDS.** Each winner will receive an award of \$500 (in the form of a cheque or electronic fund transfer), to be used toward implementing the proposed project described in the winner's entry form. Each winner may also receive FireSmart™ promotional material and information to be used by the winner to support their proposed project. Awards are awarded "as is." All taxes and any costs, associated with the proposed project or otherwise, are the sole responsibility of the winner. Awards may not be transferred or substituted except with the Administrator's written consent, which consent shall be in the Administrator's sole discretion. The Administrator reserves the right to substitute an award of equal or greater value.
11. **CLAIMING AN AWARD.** The Administrator will notify the winning entrants at the email address provided in the entrant's entry form. Within the time specified in the notification email, the winner must, by return email or other means directed by the Administrator, provide a response, indicating acceptance of the award and provide the Administrator with an executed AWARD ACCEPTANCE FORM, confirming the winner's identity and eligibility and agreement with the terms and conditions contained in these Official Rules. FAILURE TO SIGN AND RETURN THE AWARD ACCEPTANCE FORM OR TO COMPLY WITH THESE OFFICIAL RULES WILL RESULT IN IMMEDIATE DISQUALIFICATION. In addition, the award will be forfeited if the winner declines to accept the award; the Administrator is unable to contact the winner through the provided email address, or a award notification or award is returned undeliverable. Where an award has been forfeited, the award may, at the Administrator's sole discretion, be awarded to an alternate winner selected from among the remaining eligible entries.
- Awards will be sent to verified winners at the Administrator's expense. Winners should allow up to six (6) weeks for verification and shipment of their awards. The Administrator shall not be liable for any delays in issuing the awards.
12. **PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT.** A public announcement of all award recipients will be made on or before May 3, 2025.
13. **PHOTOGRAPHS AND OTHER DETAILS.** Winners may be requested to share photographs or other likenesses of themselves and/or other project participants, and other details of their project implementation with Administrator and the Sponsors for promotional, publicity or advertising purposes of the Sponsors in any and all media now known or hereinafter developed without territorial or time limitation, except where prohibited by law, in Administrator's or the Sponsors sole discretion.

03

-
14. **RELEASE AND LIMITATION OF LIABILITY.** By entering this Promotion, each entrant hereby agrees to release, indemnify and hold harmless the Administrator, all Sponsors and all of their respective employees, officers, directors, representatives, agents and affiliates, (collectively, the “released parties”) from any claims, actions, causes of action, injuries, losses or damages of any nature or kind whatsoever, including but not limited to, personal injury or death, resulting from participating in this Promotion or from the acceptance, possession, or use or misuse of any award. This limitation of liability is a comprehensive limitation of liability that applies to all damages of any kind including, without limitation, compensatory, direct, indirect or consequential damages.
15. **APPLICABLE LAWS.** This Promotion is subject to all applicable federal, provincial, municipal and local laws, by-laws, order, rules and regulations. This Promotion is void where prohibited.

04

Wildfire Community Preparedness Day Application – 2025

Name *(Required)*

First

Last

Email *(Required)*

Phone *(Required)*

Community, organization, neighbourhood or location that the award will go to *(Required)*

Province or territory where the project will take place *(Required)*

Town/City/First Nation where the event will take place *(Required)*

Does this organization/community currently participate in the FireSmart Canada Neighbourhood Recognition Program? *(Required)*

Organization/community planning the event *(Required)*

Organization type (check all that apply) (Required)

- Homeowner (or cottage) association
- Condominium corporation or strata
- Neighbourhood or community group or association
- Fire department or agency
- Indigenous community, organization, or group
- Municipality or community
- Other (please specify below)

Who will participate in the event? (check all that apply) (Required)

- Home/property owners or residents
- Community/neighbourhood residents
- Local FireSmart Ambassador
- Neighbourhood Recognition Program Champion and/or Specialist
- Fire Department/agency personnel or volunteers
- Elected Representatives
- Business Owners
- School/Community Groups
- Media
- Other (please specify below)

Select All

Project Type (check all that apply) (Required)

- Neighbourhood/community clean-up (yards, streets, parks, etc)
- Creating a 1.5 metre non-combustible zone around homes
- Chipping event
- FireSmart public education activity or event
- Other (please specify below)

Select All

What is the planned date of your event? (Required)

mm/dd/yyyy



- 1-20
- 21-50
- 51-100
- 101+

What are the goals of the event? (check all that apply) *(Required)*

- Reduce fuel around homes in your neighbourhood or common areas
- Educate residents/community members about FireSmart and wildland fire resilience
- Work towards becoming a FireSmart Canada Recognized Neighbourhood
- Familiarize the community with the evacuation plan
- Display or demonstrate use of sprinklers, pumps or other suppression equipment
- Other (please specify below)

Select All

How do you intend on using the award funds? (check all that apply)

(Required)

- Chipper rental (or similar)
- Rent/buy tools for clean up
- Dumpster rental/disposal fees
- Gas/fuel for required tools
- Supplies/food for an educational event or clean up
- 72-hour emergency kit prep
- Trade fair event
- Printing of standardized educational materials provided by FireSmart
- Other (please specify below)

Select All

Provide a brief description of your planned event. *(Required)*

Has your neighbourhood received a Wildfire Community Preparedness Day award previously? *(Required)*

- Yes
- No

Would you like to receive an email in future years when this program is offered? *(optional)*

- Yes, please send me emails related to this program in the future.

Terms and conditions *(Required)*

By submitting this application, you confirm that you have read and agree to the Wildfire Community Preparedness Day Award [Official Rules](#).

- I agree to the terms and conditions

Submit



202, 9440 49 Street, Edmonton, AB T6B 2M9 | NSWA.AB.CA

October 15, 2024

*Mayor Benford and Council,
Summer Village of South View*

RE: Partnering for South View's Sustainable Water Future

I hope this message finds you well. I'm writing on behalf of the North Saskatchewan Watershed Alliance (NSWA) to thank you for your past contributions and to request your continued support in 2024. Your ongoing partnership is crucial to maintaining the environmental health of the Summer Village of South View and the entire watershed.

Water management is central to the Summer Village of South View's well-being. Clean drinking water, protection from floods and droughts, and effective stormwater systems are vital to your community's prosperity. The wetlands, streams, and lakes in and around South View are all connected to the larger North Saskatchewan watershed, meaning water issues can't be managed in isolation. **What happens upstream affects you, and your actions affect your neighbors downstream.** That's why regional cooperation through the NSWA is so essential.

For 25 years, the NSWA has been at the forefront of water management in our region, bringing together municipalities, government bodies, and experts to address complex water challenges. Last year, the Summer Village of South View was one of 47 municipalities that joined forces to support this essential work.

This year, we ask for your continued collaboration and a contribution of \$ **100.00** to sustain these efforts. Your support enables:

- **Practical, science-driven water management** tools to inform local decision-making;
- **Collaborative solutions** for water quality, flood risks, and drought preparedness;
- **Cutting-edge projects** like the State of the Watershed update, using the global-standard Freshwater Health Index, will offer a comprehensive view of the North Saskatchewan River's health. This will help Beaver County set priorities and provide tools to communicate water health to residents. Your contribution makes this possible."

We invite you to explore our [latest Annual Report](#) or visit www.nswa.ab.ca for more information. If you'd like further details, I'm happy to meet with you, and our Executive Director, Scott Millar (scott.millar@nswa.ab.ca), is also available for presentations or questions.

We sincerely appreciate your ongoing commitment to water stewardship and look forward to continuing our partnership into 2025.

Warm regards,

Steph Neufeld

Chair, North Saskatchewan Watershed Alliance

HIGHLIGHTS FROM 2023-2024

The North Saskatchewan Watershed Alliance (NSWA) is a non-profit, multi-stakeholder organization dedicated to **improving the health and sustainability of the North Saskatchewan River and its watershed.**


Under the Alberta *Water for Life* strategy, the NSWA carries the responsibility of developing and then encouraging voluntary implementation of an integrated watershed management plan. Implemented through collaboration and community engagement, the plan sets out the actions needed to protect and enhance the quantity and quality of water and the health of aquatic ecosystems within the watershed and support the social and economic well-being of the region.



River Reaches of the North Saskatchewan River

STATE OF THE WATERSHED ASSESSMENT

The State of the Watershed assessment examines numerous aspects of watershed health so that we have a baseline from which to determine if actions are needed to improve watershed health.



The State of the Watershed will make use of an internationally recognized methodology called the Freshwater Health Index (FHI), developed by Conservation International and adapted for its first use in North America by the NSWA.

Throughout the last year, NSWA has hosted workshops, launched a Governance and Engagement survey, and convened subject matter experts from many different sectors to validate the evaluations used in the FHI.

YOUTH WATER COUNCIL

The NSWA launched the inaugural session of the Youth Water Council in February 2024 with nine students from grades 10, 11, and 12 who live in the central portion of the North Saskatchewan watershed.

The first of its kind for the NSWA, the Council is a youth-led initiative that aims to provide education on local watershed issues and empowering Council members with the opportunity to act.



RIPARIAN HEALTH ACTION PLAN


The NSWA continues to promote practices and policies that support riparian health. Over the summer of 2023, the NSWA engaged with over 60 people to hear their riparian policy concerns and innovations.

Additionally, the NSWA published the report Legal Foundations for Municipal Riparian Management (2023) that discusses municipal roles and responsibilities, liabilities and tools enabled through provincial and federal legislation.

WATERSHED MOMENTS ANIMATED SERIES

NSWA developed a 4-part animated video series starring the dynamic water droplet duo, H₂ and O, from Alberta Watersheds Inc. These characters go on an educational adventure that promotes watershed literacy and highlights the importance of watersheds.

The videos were released at the 2024 World Water Day event on March 22. Be sure to find them on NSWA's YouTube Channel Playlist called Watershed Moments.



STAY CONNECTED TO NSWA

Email us at water@nswa.ab.ca to join our newsletter and event mailing list.

Visit us at www.nswa.ab.ca to see more on our partners, our work, and our results.

Connect with us on the social media platform of your choice.



NorthSaskRiver



north_sask_river



@NorthSaskRiver



northsaskriver

CAO Report, November 19, 2024

- a) James Woslyng V Summer Village of South View – We have received notification from legal counsel that the Alberta Court of Appeal has deemed Mr. Woslyng’s appeal of his disqualification from Council as abandoned.
- b) Mayerthorpe CPO Resignation – Constable Kasamaba, Mayerthorpe’s CPO, has resigned effective October 18. We have heard no further news from the Town regarding hiring a replacement. We are currently without CPO services. Our website has been updated, accordingly.
- c) Boat launch – Council had requested that we follow up on various items regarding the new boat launch. On October 21 Council was forwarded an email regarding this follow-up, which is included in your agenda package. Council is asked to consider what else you would like done with the launch, as outlined in the email.
- d) ASVA Conference – Council, along with CAO Wildman, attended the conference. Congratulations to Mayor Benford on receiving the Alberta Association of Summer Villages McIntosh Bulrush Award!
- e) Water Engagement Town Hall – Assistant CAO Duncan attended a telephone townhall, hosted by Alberta Environment and Parks, regarding the provinces current water availability engagement. Unfortunately, the call to join the Town Hall came 10 minutes after the townhall began and Angela missed most of the important information. The engagement is currently open and includes a few different engagement methods, including surveys. More information can be found at <https://www.alberta.ca/water-availability-engagement>.
- f) Alberta Municipalities Sustainability and Environment Committee Appointment – Assistant CAO Duncan has been appointed to the ABmunis Sustainability and Environment Committee. Angela has a background in water and ABmunis is hoping to draw on her knowledge during the provincial water engagement, as well as ABmunis internal water policy review.
- g) Meetings, Sargent Sutherland, Evansburg RCMP, October 30, 2024 – Wendy, Heather, and Angela met with Sgt. Sutherland at the Evansburg Detachment. Since he is attending today’s meeting, there is not much else to report.
- h) 2024 Financial Audit– CAO Wildman to speak to this at meeting time

From: Summer Village of South View
Sent: October 21, 2024 10:25 AM
To: colleen@summervillageofsouthview.com; sandi@summervillageofsouthview.com; garth@summervillageofsouthview.com
Cc: wendy wildwillowenterprises.com
Subject: RE: South View Boat Launch

Hello Council,

Jason and I had a chat today regarding the Boat Launch.

Winter Removal

- He reiterates that it does not need to be removed in the winter.
- If Council does wish to remove it, it will be about \$2000 to remove and \$2000 to reinstall in the spring, so we would need to budget for an operating cost of \$4000 annually.
- Our water act approval does not allow us to do any work between April 16 to June 30, so it would need to be reinstalled before April 16.
- Each time we install and remove, there is the potential to damage the lakebed.

Warranty

- This was fabricated as a skid and there is not warranty on it. This is part of the reason it was so affordable.

Extension of the Launch

- Extending the launch would require an amendment to our water act approval, which can take 6 months to a year, and we may not get it. Or, it may require an entirely new water act approval.
- About 10 feet out from the launch is a high spot, where the water is only 2-3 feet deep. If we want to extend the launch we will need to level and slope the lake bed which would either require us to either use an aqua damn and dewater the area or dredge the area. This is costly, either way.

Solutions for the Rut

- Since you only need a couple feet of water to launch most boats, the issue may be more about people not knowing where the end of the launch it.
- We could put up signage at the end of the launch that indicates it is the end and there is a drop off.
- Additionally, if we pull the launch out for the winter, we could put rubber curb mats about 3 feet from the end of the launch so that people would need to make a serious effort to get over them. We could enhance it with a warning sign where the curb mat is. I am fairly certain the launch would need to be removed to do this.

If we are pulling it out for the winter, I need to know ASAP.

Thanks,

Angela Duncan
 Assistant CAO
 Summer Village of South View
 780-967-0271
<http://www.summervillageofsouthview.com/>

From: Summer Village of South View
Sent: October 1, 2024 9:22 AM
To: colleen@summervillageofsouthview.com; sandi@summervillageofsouthview.com;
garth@summervillageofsouthview.com
Cc: wendy wildwillowenterprises.com <wendy@wildwillowenterprises.com>
Subject: FW: South View Boat Launch

Hello Council,

I reached out to Jason with a few questions about the Boatlaunch. Below is his response. He says that it does NOT need to be removed for the winter.

Thanks,

Angela Duncan
Assistant CAO
Summer Village of South View
780-967-0271
<http://www.summervillageofsouthview.com/>

From: Jason Madge
Sent: September 25, 2024 10:09 AM
To: Summer Village of South View <svsouthview@outlook.com>
Cc: wendy wildwillowenterprises.com <wendy@wildwillowenterprises.com>
Subject: Re: South View Boat Launch

Hi Angela,

The boat launch does not need to be removed.

In regards to the rutting, there are no slabs left as they were disposed of. There is also a high spot (approx 2-2.5' higher) in the lake bed 5-10 feet out from the end of the boat launch. To remove this, an application will have to be made to undertake this type of work as it is not considered part of the boat launch. An extension will not prevent the rutting. This is a common occurrence at most launches to do the turbulence created by the boat motors. AB fights with this constantly. The best would be to make application to reshape lake bed from the boat launch out 25 feet or so.

JM

On Tue, Sep 24, 2024 at 11:41 AM Summer Village of South View <svsouthview@outlook.com> wrote:

Hello Jason,

At their last meeting, South View Council had a discussion about the boat launch. I have a couple of questions:

- Will the launch need to be removed at the end of the season and then put back in once the ice is off?
 - If so, what type of equipment would be required and what would it cost to have this done
 - would there be any concerns with our water act approval?
- The skid is shorter than Council had anticipated and I am told that there is a rut forming in the sand at the end.
 - Do we still have the concrete slabs that were taken out and do you think they might help prevent the rutting if they are put back at the end of the launch?
 - If not, would it be possible to create an extension that could be installed next year? (just looking into options!)

As always, your feedback is appreciated.

Thanks,

Angela Duncan

Assistant CAO

Summer Village of South View

780-967-0271

<http://www.summervillageofsouthview.com/>

Wildwillow Enterprises


From: svsunrisebeach.wildwillowenterprises.com
Sent: October 15, 2024 9:32 AM
To: Wildwillow Enterprises
Subject: 3rd quarter report
Attachments: 3rd Quarter Safety Codes Report for Council.docx

Hi Angela,

Please add to your next agenda as an information item.

Thanks,
Tori

Summer Village of South View – 3rd Quarter Safety Codes Report



● Building Permit - 33.3% ● Electrical Permit - 50.0% ● Gas Permit - 16.7%

11.5

Town of Mayerthorpe

Report Title : SOUTHVIEW TOTAL CONTRACT HRS

Report Range

Start: 2024/10/01 0000

End: 2024/10/31 2359

Man Hour Report by User

S.V. SOUTHVIEW

KASAMBA, GERVAIS

Event start: 2024/10/09 1531 Event end: 2024/10/09 1531 Time: 0 Minutes

Address: 70 LAKEVIEW

Activity Type: REPORT WRITING (CASE REPORT)

Total Time on Call for this Event : 0 Hours 0 Minutes

Event start: 2024/10/12 1400 Event end: 2024/10/12 1401 Time: (1) Minutes

Address: 70 LAKEVIEW AVE

Activity Type: REPORT WRITING (CASE REPORT)

Total Time on Call for this Event : 0 Hours 1 Minutes

Event start: 2024/10/12 1400 Event end: 2024/10/12 1401 Time: (1) Minutes

Address: 70 LAKEVIEW AVE

Activity Type: REPORT WRITING (CASE REPORT)

Total Time on Call for this Event : 0 Hours 1 Minutes

Event start: 2024/10/17 0943 Event end: 2024/10/17 0943 Time: 0 Minutes

Address: SUMMER VILLAGE

Activity Type: REPORT WRITING (CASE REPORT)

Total Time on Call for this Event : 0 Hours 0 Minutes

KASAMBA, GERVAIS : Total Time On Calls 0 Hours 2 Minutes

Total Group Time: 2 Hours 2 Minutes

TOWN OF MAYERTHORPE

KASAMBA, GERVAIS

42

Town of Mayerthorpe

Report Title : SOUTHVIEW TOTAL CONTRACT HRS

Report Range **Start:** 2024/10/01 0000 **End:** 2024/10/31 2359

Man Hour Report by User

Event start: 2024/10/03 0900 **Event end:** 2024/10/03 1030 **Time:** (90) Minutes
Address: SUMMER VILLAGE
Activity Type: GENERAL PATROL
Total Time on Call for this Event : 1 Hours 30 Minutes

Event start: 2024/10/12 1130 **Event end:** 2024/10/12 1300 **Time:** (90) Minutes
Address: SUMMER VILLAGE
Activity Type: GENERAL PATROL
Total Time on Call for this Event : 1 Hours 30 Minutes

Event start: 2024/10/15 1559 **Event end:** 2024/10/15 1600 **Time:** (1) Minutes
Address: 34 HILLSIDE ST LOT 7 BLK 1 PLAN 4187KS
Activity Type: REPORT WRITING (CASE REPORT)
Total Time on Call for this Event : 0 Hours 1 Minutes

Event start: 2024/10/15 1559 **Event end:** 2024/10/15 1600 **Time:** (1) Minutes
Address: 34 HILLSIDE ST LOT 7 BLK 1 PLAN 4187KS
Activity Type: REPORT WRITING (CASE REPORT)
Total Time on Call for this Event : 0 Hours 1 Minutes

KASAMBA, GERVAIS : Total Time On Calls 3 Hours 2 Minutes

Total Group Time: 3 Hours 2 Minutes

All Officers: Total Time On Calls 3 Hours 4 Minutes

11.c

svsouthview@outlook.com

From: MA.lgffcapital@gov.ab.ca
Sent: October 25, 2024 4:24 PM
To: Wendy Wildman
Subject: 2026 Local Government Fiscal Framework Capital Allocations

Dear Chief Elected Officials:

The Local Government Fiscal Framework (LGFF) is our government's commitment to assist local governments with infrastructure funding, and I am pleased to announce the release of information on LGFF Capital allocations for the 2026 program year. I expect this information will facilitate your capital planning, and allow you to more effectively budget for, and manage, your projects.

The 2026 LGFF Capital allocations for all local governments are available on the [program website](#).

The allocations are based on total program funding of \$800 million, with \$423 million allocated to the cities of Calgary and Edmonton, and \$377 million allocated to the remaining local governments.

Compared to 2025, this is a decrease of 2.5 per cent, which is the same as the decrease in provincial revenues between 2022/23 and 2023/24, as is prescribed by the Revenue Index Factor in the *Local Government Fiscal Framework Act*. Please note that while total funding will decrease by 2.5 per cent, funding impacts on individual municipalities and Metis Settlements will vary depending on changes in their formula factors relative to other local governments.

I look forward to continuing to work with you to build stronger communities in a sustainable way that continues to be reflective of Alberta's finances.

Sincerely,

Ric McIver
Minister

cc: Chief Administrative Officers

44

Local Government Fiscal Framework – Capital Funding

Local Government	2024 Allocation ^{1,2}	2025 Allocation ^{1,2}	2026 Allocation ^{1,2}
Charter Cities³			
CALGARY	\$223,865,417	\$254,980,948	\$249,394,219
EDMONTON	\$158,134,583	\$179,067,590	\$173,721,890
Charter Cities Sub-total	\$382,000,000	\$434,048,538	\$423,116,109
Other Cities⁴			
AIRDRIE	\$8,022,724	\$9,323,778	\$9,423,380
BEAUMONT	\$2,377,384	\$2,743,339	\$2,830,373
BROOKS	\$1,832,503	\$2,100,833	\$2,085,971
CAMROSE	\$2,432,254	\$2,815,477	\$2,746,762
CHESTERMERE	\$2,322,425	\$2,661,954	\$2,754,423
COLD LAKE	\$2,092,889	\$2,411,348	\$2,426,514
FORT SASKATCHEWAN	\$3,377,559	\$3,905,018	\$3,958,594
GRANDE PRAIRIE	\$7,878,359	\$9,140,129	\$8,317,332
LACOMBE	\$1,789,732	\$2,054,696	\$2,024,408
LEDUC	\$4,629,986	\$5,350,363	\$5,537,435
LETHBRIDGE	\$12,323,689	\$14,272,235	\$14,337,752
LLOYDMINSTER	\$2,610,197	\$3,025,206	\$2,951,126
MEDICINE HAT	\$8,196,006	\$9,461,402	\$9,217,417
RED DEER	\$13,207,703	\$15,330,053	\$14,806,087
SPRUCE GROVE	\$4,257,264	\$4,929,748	\$5,145,270
ST. ALBERT	\$7,969,935	\$9,257,152	\$9,222,073
WETASKIWIN	\$1,645,709	\$1,873,886	\$1,810,879
Towns⁴			
ATHABASCA	\$518,404	\$575,400	\$538,366
BANFF ⁵	\$1,402,845	\$1,486,202	\$1,373,563
BARRHEAD	\$744,316	\$853,040	\$782,052
BASHAW	\$287,914	\$300,508	\$317,976
BASSANO	\$338,248	\$385,143	\$384,842
BEAVERLODGE	\$442,929	\$493,622	\$463,394
BENTLEY	\$325,579	\$345,214	\$344,190
BLACKFALDS	\$1,379,424	\$1,579,440	\$1,562,613
BON ACCORD	\$380,306	\$422,612	\$393,889
BONNYVILLE	\$1,034,025	\$1,178,996	\$1,141,923
BOW ISLAND	\$511,199	\$561,243	\$567,132
BOWDEN	\$362,245	\$388,583	\$400,010
BRUDERHEIM	\$331,548	\$369,868	\$327,311
CALMAR	\$415,248	\$457,052	\$441,445

Local Government	2024 Allocation ^{1,2}	2025 Allocation ^{1,2}	2026 Allocation ^{1,2}
CANMORE ⁵	\$2,622,876	\$2,277,648	\$2,440,166
CARDSTON	\$877,872	\$972,817	\$877,050
CARSTAIRS	\$633,155	\$711,202	\$779,618
CASTOR	\$333,078	\$355,972	\$313,515
CLARESHOLM	\$672,909	\$752,075	\$730,976
COALDALE	\$1,170,692	\$1,343,087	\$1,322,754
COALHURST	\$550,104	\$580,688	\$624,116
COCHRANE	\$3,523,342	\$4,104,262	\$4,286,277
CORONATION	\$346,676	\$370,489	\$345,849
CROSSFIELD	\$554,618	\$618,196	\$626,066
CROWSNEST PASS, MUNICIPALITY OF	\$1,069,295	\$1,217,178	\$1,203,472
DAYSLAND	\$309,652	\$327,436	\$308,813
DEVON	\$929,909	\$1,050,712	\$1,023,444
DIAMOND VALLEY	\$939,383	\$1,044,329	\$1,023,238
DIDSBURY	\$813,055	\$950,876	\$831,039
DRAYTON VALLEY	\$1,131,264	\$1,294,498	\$1,267,714
DRUMHELLER	\$1,223,169	\$1,406,247	\$1,396,360
ECKVILLE	\$328,594	\$352,468	\$300,802
EDSON	\$1,180,399	\$1,345,541	\$1,312,711
ELK POINT	\$337,366	\$414,447	\$396,615
FAIRVIEW	\$620,108	\$702,435	\$656,394
FALHER	\$356,302	\$383,612	\$377,965
FORT MACLEOD	\$531,740	\$592,126	\$612,984
FOX CREEK	\$500,370	\$552,932	\$501,968
GIBBONS	\$518,824	\$577,484	\$566,155
GRIMSHAW	\$665,981	\$731,690	\$694,024
HANNA	\$579,831	\$651,747	\$597,309
HARDISTY	\$222,591	\$233,532	\$230,379
HIGH LEVEL	\$810,115	\$904,792	\$870,455
HIGH PRAIRIE	\$524,344	\$582,048	\$542,648
HIGH RIVER	\$1,857,393	\$2,132,494	\$2,096,582
HINTON	\$1,390,171	\$1,586,265	\$1,528,505
INNISFAIL	\$1,109,729	\$1,265,548	\$1,239,232
IRRICANA	\$312,140	\$331,307	\$312,565
JASPER, MUNICIPALITY OF ⁵	\$728,701	\$805,225	\$805,543
KILLAM	\$326,039	\$358,924	\$333,096
LAMONT	\$444,866	\$505,749	\$506,242
LEGAL	\$352,514	\$380,028	\$347,181
MAGRATH	\$550,368	\$595,425	\$611,435
MANNING	\$367,912	\$407,724	\$386,985
MAYERTHORPE	\$439,173	\$504,037	\$511,635
MCLENNAN	\$359,358	\$386,546	\$355,993
MILK RIVER	\$328,712	\$348,395	\$347,529

Local Government	2024 Allocation ^{1,2}	2025 Allocation ^{1,2}	2026 Allocation ^{1,2}
MILLET	\$379,834	\$435,956	\$424,622
MORINVILLE	\$1,407,230	\$1,606,276	\$1,605,033
MUNDARE	\$257,424	\$274,517	\$264,198
NANTON	\$428,480	\$472,619	\$459,917
NOBLEFORD	\$302,866	\$327,245	\$341,226
OKOTOKS	\$3,437,881	\$3,968,225	\$3,984,676
OLDS	\$1,242,219	\$1,416,499	\$1,371,335
ONOWAY	\$279,411	\$312,815	\$287,090
OYEN	\$375,332	\$369,836	\$355,992
PEACE RIVER	\$1,081,131	\$1,232,910	\$1,170,517
PENHOLD	\$559,968	\$627,044	\$602,887
PICTURE BUTTE	\$407,719	\$440,190	\$479,425
PINCHER CREEK	\$605,993	\$677,939	\$666,421
PONOKA	\$988,558	\$1,121,543	\$1,126,557
PROVOST	\$416,966	\$482,907	\$461,037
RAINBOW LAKE	\$381,439	\$462,904	\$347,469
RAYMOND	\$924,552	\$1,024,899	\$971,976
REDCLIFF	\$860,718	\$972,599	\$943,455
REDWATER	\$417,202	\$459,516	\$455,503
REDWOOD MEADOWS, TOWNSITE OF	\$269,361	\$288,614	\$283,764
RIMBEY	\$465,628	\$517,248	\$494,316
ROCKY MOUNTAIN HOUSE	\$971,354	\$1,104,105	\$1,089,075
SEDGEWICK	\$249,216	\$278,078	\$258,431
SEXSMITH	\$475,391	\$530,652	\$498,335
SLAVE LAKE	\$1,072,891	\$1,235,689	\$1,217,924
SMOKY LAKE	\$317,033	\$339,231	\$359,733
SPIRIT RIVER	\$347,191	\$372,305	\$379,994
ST. PAUL	\$914,254	\$1,034,152	\$1,065,921
STAVELY	\$225,369	\$237,147	\$234,244
STETTLER	\$981,743	\$1,111,391	\$1,047,239
STONY PLAIN	\$2,190,817	\$2,520,784	\$2,464,500
STRATHMORE	\$1,737,417	\$1,992,413	\$2,011,318
SUNDRE	\$479,988	\$532,624	\$518,674
SWAN HILLS	\$468,214	\$544,800	\$505,014
SYLVAN LAKE	\$1,915,180	\$2,197,541	\$2,258,672
TABER	\$1,233,406	\$1,408,866	\$1,417,235
THORSBY	\$325,786	\$350,504	\$341,438
THREE HILLS	\$656,823	\$722,761	\$697,569
TOFIELD	\$392,160	\$431,082	\$417,679
TROCHU	\$359,309	\$379,388	\$363,472
TWO HILLS	\$533,799	\$594,293	\$596,736
VALLEYVIEW	\$420,132	\$463,342	\$429,331
VAUXHALL	\$423,893	\$450,872	\$486,097

Local Government	2024 Allocation ^{1,2}	2025 Allocation ^{1,2}	2026 Allocation ^{1,2}
VEGREVILLE	\$944,849	\$1,070,954	\$1,033,407
VERMILION	\$698,124	\$783,172	\$737,605
VIKING	\$366,232	\$407,714	\$372,278
VULCAN	\$470,147	\$517,684	\$484,152
WAINWRIGHT	\$897,305	\$1,009,633	\$1,016,375
WEMBLEY	\$331,414	\$359,503	\$342,716
WESTLOCK	\$772,054	\$867,568	\$825,220
WHITECOURT	\$1,471,045	\$1,679,098	\$1,594,667
Villages⁴			
ACME	\$263,192	\$279,114	\$266,890
ALBERTA BEACH	\$269,636	\$288,797	\$267,727
ALIX	\$242,582	\$257,253	\$260,056
ALLIANCE	\$197,110	\$203,690	\$210,818
AMISK	\$200,464	\$207,192	\$216,093
ANDREW	\$236,523	\$248,281	\$226,208
ARROWWOOD	\$195,674	\$203,163	\$193,249
BARNWELL	\$288,957	\$296,813	\$311,187
BARONS	\$236,541	\$249,954	\$236,782
BAWLF	\$236,381	\$251,830	\$241,354
BEISEKER	\$257,413	\$274,482	\$261,771
BERWYN	\$276,167	\$294,916	\$314,532
BIG VALLEY	\$223,296	\$230,335	\$226,362
BITTERN LAKE	\$190,853	\$195,893	\$196,132
BOYLE	\$330,844	\$356,829	\$321,853
BRETON	\$242,862	\$257,467	\$262,893
CARBON	\$253,139	\$266,160	\$264,253
CARMANGAY	\$203,529	\$212,512	\$224,073
CAROLINE	\$278,772	\$293,726	\$275,809
CHAMPION	\$225,813	\$238,874	\$252,164
CHAUVIN	\$236,172	\$249,703	\$234,730
CHIPMAN	\$205,011	\$210,561	\$207,270
CLIVE	\$244,354	\$248,728	\$285,424
CLYDE	\$237,846	\$259,145	\$248,950
CONSORT	\$311,936	\$340,519	\$306,513
COUTTS	\$202,908	\$212,428	\$200,207
COWLEY	\$189,596	\$194,684	\$199,137
CREMONA	\$213,904	\$219,357	\$215,457
CZAR	\$201,355	\$209,406	\$232,191
DELBURNE	\$289,057	\$303,927	\$329,898
DELIA	\$195,121	\$201,056	\$175,737
DONALDA	\$204,626	\$211,562	\$217,392
DONNELLY	\$236,407	\$249,149	\$250,418
DUCHESS	\$335,900	\$374,440	\$356,848

Local Government	2024 Allocation ^{1,2}	2025 Allocation ^{1,2}	2026 Allocation ^{1,2}
EDBERG	\$194,102	\$199,422	\$190,675
EDGERTON	\$249,518	\$264,188	\$250,528
ELNORA	\$215,854	\$224,166	\$222,100
EMPRESS	\$200,642	\$206,977	\$204,577
FOREMOST	\$259,512	\$270,246	\$250,117
FORESTBURG	\$343,366	\$377,831	\$353,800
GIROUXVILLE	\$231,406	\$241,327	\$239,958
GLENDON	\$242,867	\$269,451	\$285,967
GLENWOOD	\$212,459	\$217,129	\$201,954
HALKIRK	\$181,533	\$185,785	\$178,352
HAY LAKES	\$231,656	\$247,641	\$220,970
HEISLER	\$195,030	\$200,578	\$192,374
HILL SPRING	\$179,710	\$183,896	\$185,532
HINES CREEK	\$252,209	\$268,588	\$264,921
HOLDEN	\$229,107	\$240,867	\$238,613
HUGHENDEN	\$218,365	\$228,811	\$219,109
HUSSAR	\$190,903	\$198,147	\$189,044
INNISFREE	\$210,978	\$219,948	\$206,327
IRMA	\$244,886	\$260,260	\$246,298
KITSCOTY	\$314,254	\$348,641	\$289,067
LINDEN	\$259,039	\$276,889	\$246,432
LOMOND	\$189,270	\$193,019	\$201,292
LONGVIEW	\$197,056	\$204,415	\$201,209
LOUGHEED	\$209,356	\$219,113	\$208,083
MANNVILLE	\$358,484	\$393,297	\$373,966
MARWAYNE	\$296,086	\$318,822	\$299,257
MILO	\$165,296	\$167,823	\$171,100
MORRIN	\$211,512	\$221,471	\$208,996
MUNSON	\$184,564	\$189,236	\$179,600
MYRNAM	\$238,837	\$259,165	\$228,486
NAMPA	\$214,676	\$224,459	\$221,971
PARADISE VALLEY	\$212,047	\$221,483	\$211,130
ROCKYFORD	\$233,729	\$236,564	\$271,545
ROSALIND	\$193,482	\$200,093	\$189,299
ROSEMARY	\$240,607	\$249,459	\$241,648
RYCROFT	\$261,338	\$283,261	\$255,680
RYLEY	\$258,501	\$272,237	\$275,628
SPRING LAKE	\$223,841	\$235,669	\$234,510
STANDARD	\$198,141	\$208,628	\$206,373
STIRLING	\$407,657	\$428,130	\$390,667
VETERAN	\$218,459	\$228,324	\$219,681
VILNA	\$234,310	\$247,077	\$240,460
WARBURG	\$315,820	\$335,917	\$306,034

Local Government	2024 Allocation ^{1,2}	2025 Allocation ^{1,2}	2026 Allocation ^{1,2}
WARNER	\$245,019	\$257,603	\$254,631
WASKATENAU	\$194,330	\$204,632	\$218,585
YOUNGSTOWN	\$193,932	\$198,132	\$206,568
Summer Villages⁴			
ARGENTIA BEACH	\$65,450	\$66,334	\$67,385
BETULA BEACH	\$63,270	\$63,808	\$64,853
BIRCH COVE	\$66,991	\$68,127	\$70,273
BIRCHCLIFF	\$76,234	\$78,708	\$88,145
BONDISS	\$73,147	\$75,315	\$76,526
BONNYVILLE BEACH	\$69,049	\$70,528	\$68,854
BURNSTICK LAKE	\$62,291	\$62,656	\$63,367
CASTLE ISLAND	\$61,868	\$62,177	\$62,640
CRYSTAL SPRINGS	\$69,134	\$70,782	\$73,006
GHOST LAKE	\$70,063	\$71,674	\$71,431
GOLDEN DAYS	\$80,034	\$83,363	\$91,998
GRANDVIEW	\$75,879	\$78,429	\$80,878
GULL LAKE	\$80,396	\$83,789	\$88,258
HALF MOON BAY	\$65,370	\$66,182	\$68,537
HORSESHOE BAY	\$70,220	\$72,338	\$72,761
ISLAND LAKE	\$87,262	\$91,743	\$85,065
ISLAND LAKE SOUTH	\$67,413	\$68,651	\$70,640
ITASKA BEACH	\$63,177	\$63,851	\$64,494
JARVIS BAY	\$83,747	\$87,498	\$87,022
KAPASIWIN	\$62,308	\$62,669	\$64,173
LAKEVIEW	\$64,245	\$64,933	\$64,696
LARKSPUR	\$65,921	\$66,863	\$67,706
MA-ME-O BEACH	\$78,565	\$81,970	\$81,711
MEWATHA BEACH	\$70,911	\$72,720	\$73,809
NAKAMUN PARK	\$70,292	\$72,045	\$69,967
NORGLLENWOLD	\$92,755	\$97,869	\$100,174
NORRIS BEACH	\$66,868	\$68,004	\$71,247
PARKLAND BEACH	\$79,281	\$82,576	\$83,340
PELICAN NARROWS	\$78,101	\$81,041	\$81,150
POINT ALISON	\$61,691	\$62,068	\$63,077
POPLAR BAY	\$75,738	\$78,216	\$78,658
ROCHON SANDS	\$71,938	\$73,893	\$74,665
ROSS HAVEN	\$80,072	\$83,184	\$78,688
SANDY BEACH	\$92,543	\$98,831	\$97,419
SEBA BEACH	\$81,993	\$85,597	\$91,069
SILVER BEACH	\$69,016	\$70,391	\$68,932
SILVER SANDS	\$79,471	\$82,686	\$87,666
SOUTH BAPTISTE	\$67,698	\$68,584	\$68,413
SOUTH VIEW	\$68,409	\$69,758	\$70,032

Local Government	2024 Allocation ^{1,2}	2025 Allocation ^{1,2}	2026 Allocation ^{1,2}
SUNBREAKER COVE	\$72,855	\$74,934	\$79,659
SUNDANCE BEACH	\$69,690	\$71,415	\$67,777
SUNRISE BEACH	\$77,068	\$79,746	\$80,907
SUNSET BEACH	\$66,988	\$68,119	\$68,483
SUNSET POINT	\$79,967	\$83,132	\$91,522
VAL QUENTIN	\$86,171	\$90,342	\$79,525
WAIPAROUS	\$66,547	\$67,829	\$68,415
WEST BAPTISTE	\$66,239	\$67,417	\$68,176
WEST COVE	\$80,207	\$83,310	\$90,211
WHISPERING HILLS	\$76,634	\$79,513	\$77,518
WHITE SANDS	\$74,777	\$77,148	\$82,650
YELLOWSTONE	\$74,308	\$77,069	\$74,329
Municipal Districts and Counties⁴			
ACADIA NO. 34, M.D. OF	\$402,802	\$439,391	\$429,813
ATHABASCA COUNTY	\$1,704,758	\$1,946,652	\$1,786,119
BARRHEAD NO. 11, COUNTY OF	\$1,192,812	\$1,354,364	\$1,276,618
BEAVER COUNTY	\$1,564,919	\$1,774,404	\$1,718,635
BIG LAKES COUNTY	\$1,311,171	\$1,488,443	\$1,389,338
BIGHORN NO. 8, M.D. OF	\$408,920	\$450,102	\$467,222
BIRCH HILLS COUNTY	\$1,083,357	\$1,217,001	\$1,169,454
BONNYVILLE NO. 87, M.D. OF	\$3,053,572	\$3,500,847	\$3,283,859
BRAZEAU COUNTY	\$1,751,210	\$1,993,585	\$1,856,320
CAMROSE COUNTY	\$1,782,659	\$2,017,490	\$1,772,169
CARDSTON COUNTY	\$1,045,188	\$1,181,309	\$1,170,569
CLEAR HILLS COUNTY	\$1,086,778	\$1,240,211	\$1,209,434
CLEARWATER COUNTY	\$2,787,346	\$3,205,195	\$3,100,884
CYPRESS COUNTY ⁵	\$2,039,853	\$2,108,963	\$2,036,513
FAIRVIEW NO. 136, M.D. OF	\$635,114	\$707,257	\$685,565
FLAGSTAFF COUNTY	\$1,431,877	\$1,631,969	\$1,573,481
FOOTHILLS COUNTY	\$3,356,423	\$3,866,154	\$3,781,463
FORTY MILE NO. 8, COUNTY OF	\$1,613,754	\$1,790,509	\$1,736,856
GRANDE PRAIRIE NO. 1, COUNTY OF	\$4,090,770	\$4,721,663	\$4,733,498
GREENVIEW NO. 16, M.D. OF ⁵	\$3,184,939	\$3,067,685	\$2,861,356
KNEEHILL COUNTY	\$1,370,055	\$1,564,960	\$1,513,519
LAC STE. ANNE COUNTY	\$1,819,410	\$2,080,923	\$2,051,314
LACOMBE COUNTY	\$2,698,804	\$3,079,122	\$2,942,792
LAC LA BICHE COUNTY	\$2,025,000	\$2,327,776	\$2,215,104
LAMONT COUNTY	\$1,183,018	\$1,344,238	\$1,290,050
LEDUC COUNTY	\$3,739,050	\$4,260,582	\$4,140,333
LESSER SLAVE RIVER NO. 124, M.D. OF	\$854,679	\$949,865	\$928,648
LETHBRIDGE COUNTY	\$2,133,779	\$2,426,872	\$2,313,197
MACKENZIE COUNTY	\$2,337,409	\$2,693,126	\$2,679,307
MINBURN NO. 27, COUNTY OF	\$1,381,582	\$1,562,118	\$1,501,185

Local Government	2024 Allocation ^{1,2}	2025 Allocation ^{1,2}	2026 Allocation ^{1,2}
MOUNTAIN VIEW COUNTY	\$2,758,684	\$3,096,588	\$2,965,466
NEWELL, COUNTY OF ⁵	\$1,754,334	\$1,705,322	\$1,653,211
NORTHERN LIGHTS, COUNTY OF	\$1,077,141	\$1,244,677	\$1,204,042
NORTHERN SUNRISE COUNTY	\$867,681	\$976,443	\$933,286
OPPORTUNITY NO. 17, M.D. OF	\$1,150,970	\$1,304,149	\$1,244,429
PAINTEARTH NO. 18, COUNTY OF	\$1,069,592	\$1,217,509	\$1,190,453
PARKLAND COUNTY	\$4,746,334	\$5,458,327	\$5,222,047
PEACE NO. 135, M.D. OF	\$479,714	\$529,739	\$502,087
PINCHER CREEK NO. 9, M.D. OF	\$1,021,407	\$1,154,810	\$1,146,862
PONOKA COUNTY	\$1,613,366	\$1,848,303	\$1,812,810
PROVOST NO. 52, M.D. OF	\$1,106,860	\$1,262,026	\$1,215,612
RANCHLAND NO. 66, M.D. OF	\$215,534	\$225,663	\$224,764
RED DEER COUNTY	\$4,323,818	\$4,979,965	\$4,853,225
ROCKY VIEW COUNTY ⁵	\$6,463,565	\$6,901,956	\$6,829,525
SADDLE HILLS COUNTY ⁵	\$979,556	\$1,090,542	\$1,081,382
SMOKY LAKE COUNTY	\$884,443	\$995,153	\$973,518
SMOKY RIVER NO. 130, M.D. OF	\$1,053,963	\$1,191,172	\$1,145,433
SPIRIT RIVER NO. 133, M.D. OF	\$508,875	\$564,045	\$539,631
ST. PAUL NO. 19, COUNTY OF	\$1,372,756	\$1,566,121	\$1,499,656
STARLAND COUNTY	\$974,644	\$1,101,733	\$1,042,359
STETTLER NO. 6, COUNTY OF	\$1,820,143	\$2,047,660	\$1,829,645
STRATHCONA COUNTY	\$12,215,060	\$14,198,554	\$13,848,213
STURGEON COUNTY	\$2,972,283	\$3,471,770	\$3,331,951
TABER, M.D. OF	\$1,510,656	\$1,733,672	\$1,723,483
THORHILD COUNTY	\$1,039,196	\$1,172,405	\$1,109,511
TWO HILLS NO. 21, COUNTY OF	\$1,437,933	\$1,631,288	\$1,562,534
VERMILION RIVER, COUNTY OF	\$2,838,664	\$3,286,158	\$3,144,187
VULCAN COUNTY	\$1,434,098	\$1,635,763	\$1,613,141
WAINWRIGHT NO. 61, M.D. OF	\$1,777,910	\$2,029,432	\$1,956,595
WARNER NO. 5, COUNTY OF	\$1,321,073	\$1,494,057	\$1,487,420
WESTLOCK COUNTY	\$1,679,477	\$1,906,444	\$1,834,122
WETASKIWIN NO. 10, COUNTY OF	\$1,903,250	\$2,187,605	\$2,117,995
WHEATLAND COUNTY	\$2,460,621	\$2,815,251	\$2,709,999
WILLOW CREEK NO. 26, M.D. OF	\$1,744,567	\$1,967,200	\$1,738,994
WOOD BUFFALO, REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF	\$16,149,857	\$18,704,878	\$17,876,606
WOODLANDS COUNTY	\$1,233,100	\$1,397,712	\$1,333,200
YELLOWHEAD COUNTY	\$3,847,026	\$4,370,260	\$4,106,855
Improvement Districts and Special Areas Board⁴			
I.D. NO. 04 (WATERTON)	\$198,816	\$206,377	\$209,650
I.D. NO. 09 (BANFF) ⁵	\$362,178	\$272,118	\$264,917
I.D. NO. 12 (JASPER NATIONAL PARK) ⁶	\$155,023	\$155,825	\$0
I.D. NO. 24 (WOOD BUFFALO)	\$211,412	\$221,213	\$224,800
KANANASKIS IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT	\$175,386	\$179,353	\$171,449

Local Government	2024 Allocation ^{1,2}	2025 Allocation ^{1,2}	2026 Allocation ^{1,2}
SPECIAL AREAS BOARD	\$2,550,959	\$2,916,049	\$2,879,038
Metis Settlements⁴			
BUFFALO LAKE	\$250,560	\$262,208	\$232,365
EAST PRAIRIE	\$232,417	\$241,963	\$217,370
ELIZABETH	\$240,574	\$251,066	\$279,090
FISHING LAKE	\$233,683	\$243,376	\$239,972
GIFT LAKE	\$275,454	\$289,985	\$285,827
KIKINO	\$293,175	\$309,759	\$362,542
PADDLE PRAIRIE	\$231,151	\$240,551	\$269,745
PEAVINE	\$235,089	\$244,945	\$234,104
Rest of Alberta Sub-total	\$342,170,671	\$386,325,924	\$376,595,490
Total	\$724,170,671	\$820,374,462	\$799,711,599

Notes:

1. Amounts above show what local governments will be entitled to in the specified years based on the *Local Government Fiscal Framework Act* and the approved allocation formula for the Local Government Fiscal Framework, subject to Ministerial commitments of the allocations.
2. 2024 LGFF Capital allocations are based mainly on 2021 data, 2025 allocations are based mainly on 2022 data, and 2026 allocations are based on 2023 data.
3. LGFF Capital allocations for the Charter Cities of Calgary and Edmonton are calculated using a formula based on population (48%), education tax requisitions (48%) and length of local roads (4%).
4. LGFF Capital allocations for local governments other than Calgary and Edmonton are calculated using a formula based on population (65%), tangible capital assets (15%), average cumulative amortization (10%) and length of local roads (10%). In addition, each local government receives base funding and those with a population less than 10,000 and a limited local assessment base receive needs-based funding on top of their regular allocation.
5. 2024 LGFF Capital amounts include a one-time transitional top-up allocated to nine local governments to ensure they do not experience a year-over-year decrease from capital funding allocated under the Municipal Sustainability Initiative in 2023. The top-up funding totals \$2,170,671, with the Town of Banff receiving \$106,456, the Town of Canmore receiving \$646,571, the Municipality of Jasper receiving \$13,789, Cypress County receiving \$192,946, the Municipal District of Greenview receiving \$332,152, the County of Newell receiving \$265,015, Rocky View County receiving \$482,780, Saddle Hills County receiving \$24,358, and I.D. No. 09 (Banff) receiving \$106,604.
6. Local governments with no resident population are not eligible for LGFF Capital funding. Based on the 2023 Municipal Affairs Population List, I.D. No. 12 (Jasper National Park) had no population and is not entitled to LGFF Capital funding in 2026.

CASUAL LEGAL: SHARE WISELY

[Home](#) / [News](#) / Casual Legal: Share wisely

[← News](#)

DISCLAIMER: This article is meant to provide information to Alberta Municipalities members only and is not intended to provide legal advice. You should seek the advice of legal counsel to address your specific set of circumstances. Although every effort has been made to provide current and accurate information, changes to the law may cause the information in this article to be outdated. This content is not intended for the general public.

By Sean Ward
Reynolds Mirth Richards Farmer LLP
Alberta Municipalities Casual Legal Service Provider

In the age of social media, we often give little thought to sharing or re-posting an article, blog or other information that was prepared by another person. However, people are often surprised to learn that if the content is defamatory, it is not only the original author of the defamatory content that could be liable in defamation, but also any person that has re-published or shared that content with others.

One of the requirements in any defamation action is to show that the defamatory words were "published". That could mean publication in the traditional sense of a newspaper, television or radio, but it could also mean publication over social media or by email. Publication simply requires sharing the information with at least one person other than the target of the defamatory comments, whether in writing, verbally, or online.

It also includes re-publication by another person after the original defamatory words were first published. The person who republishes the defamatory words could be liable despite not having been involved in the original defamatory work, and potentially even in cases where they do not agree with the defamatory content that they have republished.

That does not mean that any time a person shares information about someone else's work, they will be considered to have republished the information. The Supreme Court of Canada has found that simply publishing a hyperlink to defamatory content does not amount to a republication of that content. The Court noted that a link itself is a neutral reference, and it would be unfair to ascribe liability to the person posting the link, who cannot control any changes to the website linked to, after the link was published.

However, that is a limited exception where a person simply posts a hyperlink to a work. If, along with the hyperlink, a person includes commentary that expresses support or agreement for the content they are linking to, or repeats portions of that work, that may be sufficient to attract liability for the defamatory content they are sharing. And certainly, in cases where a person reposts the actual defamatory words themselves on social media, or repeats it in an email or other communications, that person faces potential liability for the defamatory content.

Employees and elected officials should therefore exercise caution when publishing, sharing or promoting information that could potentially be defamatory.

*To access Alberta Municipalities Casual Legal Helpline, Alberta Municipalities members can call toll-free to 1-800-661-7673 or **email** to reach the municipal legal experts at Reynolds Mirth Richards and Farmer LLP. For more information on the Casual Legal Service, please call 310-MUNI (6864) or **email** to connect with Alberta Municipalities Risk Management staff. Any Regular or Associate member of Alberta Municipalities can access the Casual Legal Service.*

Oct 22

2024

cao

From: municipalservicesdivision@gov.ab.ca
Sent: October 21, 2024 10:45 AM
To: cao
Subject: Municipal Affairs Statutes Amendment Act, 2024 Proclamation

Dear Chief Administrative Officers:

As you are aware, Bill 20: the *Municipal Affairs Statutes Amendment Act, 2024* received Royal Assent on March 30, 2024, and modifies two key pieces of legislation for Alberta municipalities – the *Municipal Government Act* and the *Local Authorities Election Act*. The legislation is intended to help municipalities accelerate housing development, strengthen provincial oversight, and update the rules for local elections and locally elected officials.

The *Municipal Affairs Statutes Amendment Act, 2024* will be proclaimed October 31, 2024, which will bring most of the legislation into force. The provisions pertaining to assessment and property tax matters will come into force January 1, 2025. In addition, two new regulations for local political parties and slates, and campaign expense limits will be in place. These regulations can be found here:

Local Political Parties: https://kings-printer.alberta.ca/Documents/Orders/Orders_in_Council/2024/2024_286.pdf

Expense Limits: https://kings-printer.alberta.ca/Documents/MinOrders/2024/MunicipalAffairs/2024_MSD073-24_Municipal_Affairs.pdf

To assist you with implementing these amendments in your municipality, Municipal Affairs will share documents outlining the key changes to the legislation and what it means for your municipality. These documents will also be available online within the next two weeks, at <https://open.alberta.ca/publications>.

If you have any questions about these changes, please contact the Municipal Advisory Team at ma.advisory@gov.ab.ca.

Yours truly,

Gary Sandberg
 Assistant Deputy Minister

56

NEW LOCAL ELECTION RULES INTRODUCED

[Home](#) / [News](#) / New local election rules introduced

[← News](#)

The Government of Alberta released two new regulations under the *Local Authorities Election Act (LAEA)* on October 18 that set new rules for the 2025 municipal election.

The new regulations relate to changes made under the provincial government's spring passing of Bill 20, the *Municipal Statutes Amendment Act*. Alberta Municipalities has significant concerns about the new rules, which are outlined in this [news release](#) and explained below.

Expense Limits Regulation

This [regulation](#) creates campaign expense limits for:

- Candidates in all municipalities
- Municipal political parties in Calgary and Edmonton
- Third-party advertisers.

Key details:

- If elected at-large: Candidates may spend up to \$1 per resident, or \$20,000, whichever is greater.
- If elected by a ward: Candidates may spend up to \$1 per resident based on the average population across all wards in the municipality, or \$20,000, whichever is greater.
- Local political parties in Calgary and Edmonton will be allowed to spend up to \$1 per resident based on the total of the average population in wards where the party has endorsed candidates.
- The limits described above are specific to the election year. In the year prior to the election year, the limits are 50% lower. In

the two years following the election, candidates and local political parties may not incur campaign expenses.

- The limits are specific to campaign expenses as defined in LAEA section 147.1(1). There is no limit on operational expenses, which is any expense that does not meet the definition of a campaign expense.

Local Political Parties & Slates Regulation

This **regulation** creates rules for the registration, operation and financial administration of local political parties and slates of candidates in the cities of Calgary and Edmonton. This creates the ability for candidates in Calgary and Edmonton to have the name of their party/slate listed on the election ballot.

Key details:

- To register a local political party, the party must have a membership of 1,000 eligible electors and have endorsed candidates in at least one-third of the total council seats.
- The returning officers in Calgary and Edmonton will be responsible to oversee all aspects of registration and reporting by local political parties.
- Local political parties are not permitted for school board trustee elections.

ABmunis' initial analysis

Based on the short time we have had to review the regulations, ABmunis is disappointed that:

- Candidates running under a political party will have a significant financial advantage over independent candidates because political party candidates can use their entire expense limit plus benefit from hundreds of thousands of additional dollars spent by the political party.
- Allowing campaign expenses in the last two years of the council term will increase the focus on campaigning instead of governance of the municipality.

Both go against the recommendations we submitted this summer to the provincial government.

We appreciate that the Government of Alberta followed our advice to use a per capita based framework to set the campaign expense limit. It is not yet clear how towns and villages will view the campaign expense limit of \$20,000 as this is significantly higher than what most candidates spend in small and most mid-sized communities.

The provincial government has committed to release documents within the next two weeks that will help municipalities fully understand the changes. Questions about the new regulations may be sent to Municipal Affairs by **email**.

Oct 22

2024

58

11.6

svsouthview@outlook.com

From: Tyler Gandam <president@abmunis.ca>
Sent: October 28, 2024 3:23 PM
To: Wendy Wildman
Subject: Share the impact of provincial decisions on property taxpayers

Dear Mayor's and CAOs,

ABmunis is calling on members to provide the Premier with information on the impact of provincial decisions on municipal property taxpayers.

Grants in Place of Taxes

Between 2019 and 2020 the Government of Alberta reduced funding for Grants in Place of Property Taxes (GIPOT) from \$60 million to \$30 million. While funding has since increased to \$38.1 million in Budget 2024, due to rising assessment values and construction of new government infrastructure, this still falls well below the amount that should be granted to municipalities for the essential services you provide to provincial properties.

At ABmunis 2024 Convention, members passed a resolution calling for the reinstatement of full GIPOT funding. Following the passing of that resolution, the Premier encouraged delegates to share data with the province on how much they are being short changed and the impact that it is having on their municipality. I also encouraged members to share that data.

As work on the 2025 provincial budget is underway, time is of the essence. ABmunis is requesting members write directly to the Premier outlining the impact that the cut to GIPOT is having on your municipality's property taxes. The benefit of this approach is that it enables you to get data to the Premier ahead of final deliberations regarding the provincial budget, while still enabling ABmunis to collect data to amplify in our own advocacy and media campaigns in the lead up to the provincial budget.

For example, the City of Wetaskiwin indicates that it wrote off \$50,582.38 due to GIPOT underfunding in 2023 and \$51,435.28 in 2024.

The Town of Whitecourt calculates that it has had to recoup a total of \$267,882 from other taxpayers over the past several years.

Year	Total Eligible Property Taxes for Provincial Properties	Amount Written Off Due to GIPOT Underfunding	Portion Written Off
2019	95,933	23,983	25%
2020	109,903	54,951	50%
2021	116,610	58,305	50%
2022	124,560	62,280	50%
2023	136,606	68,303	50%
	\$ 583,611	\$ 267,822	46%

The City of Edmonton estimates that since 2019, the Government of Alberta has short-changed it over \$80 million.

Election Vote Counting

Tabulators are another example of where provincial decisions have a significant impact on ratepayers in many municipalities and where action in the upcoming provincial budget is warranted. Members who have traditionally used electronic tabulators to count election votes would also be encouraged to include the estimated cost of switching to a hand count for voting results.

59

Suggested Action

If the impact of GIPOT funding reductions and removal of vote counting machines is a concern for your municipality, we suggest you:

- Write a letter to the Premier that summarizes the cost to your municipality and what that means in terms of a tax rate increase or projects/services you have had to forego due to the province's decision;
- cc: the Honourable Ric McIver, Minister of Municipal Affairs; and
- cc: ABmunis' President Tyler Gandam at president@abmunis.ca

Sincerely,

Tyler Gandam | President

E: president@abmunis.ca
300-8616 51 Ave Edmonton, AB T6E 6E6
Toll Free: 310-MUNI | 877-421-
6644 | www.abmunis.ca



This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee, you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this email.

We respectfully acknowledge that we live, work, and play on the traditional and ancestral territories of many Indigenous, First Nations, Métis, and Inuit peoples. We acknowledge that what we call Alberta is the traditional and ancestral territory of many peoples, presently subject to Treaties 4, 6, 7, 8 and 10 and Six Regions of the Métis Nation of Alberta.

llg

svsouthview@outlook.com

From: Tyler Gandam <president@abmunis.ca>
Sent: October 29, 2024 2:12 PM
To: Wendy Wildman
Subject: Fall 2024 Alberta Interim Police Advisory Board Communique
Attachments: Alberta Police Advisory Board Communique.pdf

Dear Mayors and CAOs,

This email is being sent out on behalf of the Alberta Interim Police Advisory Board (AIPAB). Attached is the AIPAB's Fall 2024 Communique report. This communique provides an update on that Board's work to date, as well as information on:

- The police funding model (PFM) implemented in 2019;
- Bill 6, the Police Amendment Act, which establishes a new system of police governance in Alberta;
- Bill 11, the Public Safety Statutes Amendment Act, which establishes an independent police agency;
- and
- Information on how funds raised through the PFM have been allocated.

If you have any questions about this communique, please email Kelly Santarossa, Senior Policy Analyst, at kelly@abmunis.ca.

Sincerely,

Tyler Gandam | President

E: president@abmunis.ca
300-8616 51 Ave Edmonton, AB T6E 6E6
Toll Free: 310-MUNI | 877-421-
6644 | www.abmunis.ca



This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee, you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this email.

We respectfully acknowledge that we live, work, and play on the traditional and ancestral territories of many Indigenous, First Nations, Métis, and Inuit peoples. We acknowledge that what we call Alberta is the traditional and ancestral territory of many peoples, presently subject to Treaties 4, 6, 7, 8 and 10 and Six Regions of the Métis Nation of Alberta.



ALBERTA INTERIM POLICE ADVISORY BOARD

Report to Municipalities, Police Governance Bodies,
and Stakeholders

October 2024

Alberta Interim Police Advisory Board Communique

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	1
Introduction	1
Alberta Interim Police Advisory Board.....	1
Police Funding Model (PFM).....	4
Police Act Amendments.....	6
Appendix A – Police Officer and Civilian Position Detail.....	8
Appendix B – RCMP Strategies for Recruitment, Retention, and Emergency Response	11

Introduction

The purpose of this communique is to update municipalities, police governance bodies, and other stakeholders on the Alberta Interim Police Advisory Board’s work to date. This document provides an overview of the police funding model (PFM) implemented in 2019; Bill 6, the Police Amendment Act, which establishes a new system of police governance in Alberta; and Bill 11, the Public Safety Statutes Amendment Act, which establishes an independent police agency.

From its inception, the Interim Board has prioritized communicating and consulting with municipalities and existing police governance bodies. The Interim Board has endeavored to update stakeholders on the work it has undertaken, as well as solicit feedback through surveys and meetings to inform that work. All previous Interim Board communiques are available on the [ABmunis policing hub](#).

Alberta Interim Police Advisory Board

History and Background

In December 2019, the Government of Alberta (GoA) introduced a new, five-year Police Funding Model (PFM) that applies to municipalities receiving police services from the RCMP under the Provincial Police Service Agreement (i.e. municipalities with populations under 5,000 and all municipal districts and counties). The following spring, the Minister of Justice and Solicitor General established the Alberta Police Advisory Board to give municipalities a stronger voice in setting RCMP policing priorities. The creation of this board aligned with Alberta Association of Police Governance (AAPG), Alberta Municipalities (ABmunis), and Rural Municipalities of Alberta (RMA) advocacy calling for municipalities to have greater oversight of policing, particularly now that they were paying directly for a portion of policing costs.

The Alberta Police Advisory Board was originally meant to be implemented in two phases; in the first year, an Interim Board would develop the structure and scope of the Advisory Board. On completion

of the Interim Board’s mandate, the work of the Operational Police Advisory Board would begin for a four-year term.

According to the [Terms of Reference](#) developed by Justice and Solicitor General, the Interim Board is made up of one representative from the AAPG Executive, four representatives from the ABmunis Board, and four representatives from the RMA Board.

Interim Police Advisory Board Current Membership

AAPG	ABmunis	RMA
Ian Sanderson Chair of the St. Albert Policing Committee	Tanya Thorn Mayor, Town of Okotoks, and Interim Board Chair	John Burrows Councillor, Woodlands County
	Tyler Gandam Mayor, City of Wetaskiwin	Paul McLaughlin Reeve, Ponoka County
	Krista Gardner, Councillor, Town of Calmar	Jason Schneider Reeve, Vulcan County
	Trina Jones Councillor Mayor, Town of Legal	Kara Westerlund Councillor, Brazeau County

The Interim Board was originally mandated with:

1. Developing the scope and terms of reference for the Operational Board.
2. Developing a recruitment and selection process for Operational Board members.
3. Developing governance documents for the Operational Board, including at minimum, a Competency Matrix for Board member appointments and review, a Code of Conduct, and a Mandate and Roles Document.
4. Providing input, advice, and recommendations to the government and RCMP “K” Division on the buildup of the provincial police service.
5. Providing input into discussions respecting the provincial policing priorities for the 2021/22 fiscal year to facilitate engagement during transition to the Operational Board.

The Interim Board fulfilled its mandate one year later, with [recommendations on governance](#) submitted to the Minister of Justice and Solicitor General, in June 2021. At the end of 2021, the Board was notified that the Ministry would be providing financial and staff support to the Board but would not be moving forward with the recommendations.

In early 2022, a new Minister was appointed as Minister of Justice and Solicitor General and the Board requested that this Minister review and reconsider the Board’s recommendations. The new Minister directed the Board to provide advice on provincial policing priorities for 2023, as well as support the transition to an Operational Board.

In June 2022, the Board was notified that recruitment for an Operational Board would likely be delayed and asked whether the Interim Board members would be willing to continue to participate on the Board until an Operational Board could be established, using the GoA’s internal recruitment and selection process instead of the recommendations that the Board had previously provided.

AAPG, ABmunis, and RMA advised that they were willing to continue serving on the Interim Board, subject to the following conditions:

- Justice and Solicitor General provides administrative support to the Board and the Board Chair.

- The mandate of the Operational Board is amended to enable the Board to be effective in its advisory role and to determine its annual work plan.
- A new Terms of Reference for the Board is created.
- The Interim Board's recommendations related to governance of the Operational board are reviewed and the Ministry provides rationale for the direction it took regarding these recommendations.

Following the October 2022 UCP leadership race and the election of Danielle Smith as party leader, Mike Ellis, MLA for Calgary-West, was appointed as Minister of Public Safety and Emergency Services.

In early 2023, the Board was advised by the Public Safety and Emergency Services staff that:

- Minister Ellis was looking for us to proceed with previous support requests.
- A new Terms of Reference would be created.
- An administrative position was in the process of being hired.

The [updated Terms of Reference](#) was finalized by the Board in May 2023. The Board's new mandate was to:

- Provide ongoing input, advice, and recommendations to the GoA and RCMP "K" Division on policing in Alberta.
- Collaborate with the GoA and RCMP "K" Division to develop annual provincial policing priorities.
- Engage with the GoA and RCMP "K" Division to provide input, advice, and recommendations on any other issues, plans, or documents as determined by the GoA.
- Provide recommendations and advice on the buildup of PPSA resources from the PFM revenue during the Board's term.
- Serve as a communications conduit between all municipalities served by the RCMP through the PPSA and the GoA/RCMP "K" Division. This includes:
 - Regularly reporting to municipal associations and PPSA municipalities on the Board's activities and other policing-related information.
 - Regularly soliciting local input from PPSA municipalities and other relevant organizations on policing issues, initiatives, and priorities.
 - Distributing at least one annual survey to seek input from PPSA municipalities seeking input or further clarification on how they are seeing these priorities improved in their communities.
 - Distributing a survey every third year to aid the Board's input into the provincial policing priorities development process.
 - Working with the GoA and RCMP "K" Division to provide information to municipal associations and communities on how police funds were spent and the resulting outcomes.
 - Creating the Board's annual work plan to assist with the completion of deliverables.
 - Providing input into the next phase of the Provincial Policing Funding Model.
 - Aid and support the ministry in the transition from the Interim Board to a Permanent Board.

Throughout 2023 and 2024, the Board has continued to meet with the RCMP and Public Safety and Emergency Services staff to provide input into the 2023 provincial policing priorities and RCMP resource allocation (see Appendix A for more details on RCMP staffing).

In February 2024, Minister Ellis announced provincial policing priorities for the upcoming year for all policing in Alberta. Consequently, the Board determined that there was no need to survey our

members on any changes to the policing priorities in the RCMP Joint Business Plan. The Board has provided input into the Police Amendment Act Regulations and advised that the consultation period on PFM renewal is not sufficient given that this model will expire in March 2025. Following the Board's July 2024 meeting with Minister Ellis, we are waiting for an update from the Ministry on the Board's next steps, including timelines and deliverables.

Board Benefits and Challenges

AAPG, ABmunis, and RMA acknowledge that participating on the Interim Board has been beneficial in building relationships with the RCMP and Ministry staff. The Deputy Commissioner and Commander of "K" Division, along with senior RCMP staff, have attended all Interim Board meetings and demonstrated a strong commitment to municipal engagement, at both the local and provincial level. AAPG, ABmunis, and RMA have noted several improvements to RCMP accountability and transparency since the establishment of the Interim Board, including:

- Standardized reporting and invoicing forms.
- Municipal education sessions on multi-year financial plans.
- The development of a performance evaluation framework for the RCMP Joint Business Plan.
- Detailed information on the allocation of PFM funds to augment RCMP resources.

Having all partners (Ministry of Public Safety & Emergency Services, RCMP and municipalities) involved in and impacted by contracted RCMP policing services at the same table has resulted in a valuable sharing of perspectives, as well as a broader understanding of all the challenges faced by each entity. Interim Board members often have access to valuable information, discussions, and updates that we wouldn't have access to otherwise. We have also gained a solid understanding of how the resources supported by PFM funding have affected crime across the province.

The Board has seen four Ministers since our inception, which has created a learning curve with each new Minister and resulted in some shifting of priorities and policy directions. With the transition to an Operational Provincial Advisory Board anticipated in early 2025, the Board is currently seeking clarity from Minister Ellis on what role he would like the Board to take in the transition, as well as any deliverables he wishes to assign to the Board.

Police Funding Model (PFM)

Background

The PFM, introduced in December 2019 and implemented in 2020-21, applies to municipalities with populations under 5,000, as well as municipal districts and counties, which are policed by the provincial police service. The PFM takes the total cost of frontline officers and redistributes a portion of those costs to these municipalities. The implementation of the PFM required those communities that had not previously paid for frontline policing to begin contributing a portion of the costs. At the time of implementation, the GoA committed that the additional revenue raised through the PFM would be reinvested into policing, with a priority on core policing.

Under the five-year model, municipalities pay 10% of provincial policing costs in Year One, 15% in Year Two, 20% in Year Three, and 30% in Years Four and Five. Each municipality's share of policing costs is calculated according to a formula that weighs equalized assessment at 50% and population at 50%, with modifiers related to shadow populations, crime severity, proximity to detachment, and existing enhanced policing positions. The province has shared a [spreadsheet](#) listing the costs for

affected municipalities over the five years, as well as [sample calculations](#) for the distribution of costs under this model.

Use of Police Funding Model (PFM) Funds

As previously mentioned, the RCMP has shared detailed information on how PFM funds have been used to augment its resources. The RCMP understands that police resource levels are important to Alberta communities, particularly under the PFM. Within the RCMP's integrated service delivery model, detachment resources are augmented by centralized frontline support and specialized units, as well as by civilian support positions. This means that even detachments that do not receive new police officer positions benefit from additional centralized services, as these positions enable frontline officers to spend more time on community policing.

The RCMP and the Board have prioritized using PFM funds to:

- Ensure adequate resources in frontline detachments.
- Enhance specialized support services for emergency response and investigational capacity.
- Focus on community safety and well-being to help address the root causes of crime.

To help determine where to allocate new resources, the RCMP analyzed its workload at each detachment, looking at factors such as travel time, call volume, the type of crimes occurring in the area, amount of time required for investigations, the size of detachment, and the time available for proactive policing (strategic patrols, community engagement, visiting schools, and attending community events).

The following table provides a summary of the positions created using PFM funds. Additional information on position locations and types is available in Appendix A.

Year	Police Officer Positions		Civilian Support Positions	
	Positions Created	Positions Filled	Positions Created	Positions Filled
2020-21	76	76	57	57
2021-22	55	53	42	40
2022-23	40	24	52	42
2023-24	108	55	91	39
Total	279	208	242	178

The RCMP has acknowledged municipal concerns about staff recruitment and retention, vacancies, and emergency response, and has provided further information about current strategies to address these issues in Appendix B.

Invoicing and Vacancies under the PFM

The Interim Police Advisory Board also believes it is important to clarify that under the current PFM, there is no direct link between the number of positions at a detachment and the amount that communities are invoiced. As mentioned above, each municipality's share of policing costs is calculated based on equalized assessment and population. This means that regardless of whether a detachment is at full strength or if there are vacancies, the PFM amount invoiced to communities by the GoA remains the same.

The RCMP does invoice the GoA for provincial police services based on the actual number of RCMP members that are employed full time. This means that the province does not pay for vacant

positions¹ under the Provincial Police Service Agreement, and that the province is not charged for newly created positions until they are filled. Given that the RCMP, like other police services at this time, has struggled with recruitment, the province has chosen to invest the refunds for unfilled positions into other initiatives to reduce crime and enhance public safety. The RCMP's Real-Time Operations Centre, which supports frontline members with real-time, accurate, and actionable intelligence, is one example of how these funds have been invested.

Police Funding Model (PFM) Renewal

As the PFM expires in March 2025, the Public Safety and Emergency Services Ministry is expected to begin engaging on a renewed model. The Police Advisory Board has not yet received a timeline for this engagement. Department staff have indicated that if engagement is not completed in time for the 2025-26 fiscal year, the Minister will be asked to consider extending the model for an additional year, billing municipalities at the same rate as in Year Five (30% cost recovery). The Board is still waiting for confirmation of this.

When the PFM was created in 2019, the base cost utilized to calculate each municipalities costs was \$285M. This represents the estimated cost of delivering front-line services under the RCMP contract for Alberta's provincial police service. Since then, there have been significant increases in RCMP policing costs, due to collective bargaining settlements, equipment upgrades, body-worn cameras, and so on. The GoA has paid all of these increased costs as they have not been factored into the PFM to date.

As the Ministry considers renewing the PFM, it is important for our members to understand that this escalation of costs has resulted in an increase in the cost of delivering frontline policing to a conservative estimate of \$311.4 million in 2024. Even if the GoA only changes the base cost in the original PFM formula (and not the percent of cost recovery), this will result in increased costs for all municipalities.

Police Act Amendments

New Police Governance Model

The Police Act mandates the GoA to ensure that adequate and effective policing is maintained in Alberta. Following several years of engagement with stakeholders and the public, the province passed Bill 6, the Police Amendment Act (PAA), in December 2022. According to the GoA, this legislation was intended to increase police transparency and enhance public trust to help build safer communities. In addition to other reforms, the legislation required the formation of civilian governance bodies for all municipalities in Alberta, with the intent for communities to have a role in setting policing priorities and performance goals. The PAA creates several new mandated structures for municipal input into policing:

- Communities with a population over 15,000 that are policed by the RCMP with an MPSA will be required to establish municipal policing committee.
- Communities with a population of under 15,000 policed by the RCMP with a Municipal Police Service Agreement (MPSA) will be represented by regional policing committees but will have the option to form their own municipal policing committee.

¹ The RCMP categorizes vacancies as either "hard" or "soft". Hard vacancies refer to unfilled positions, while soft vacancies refer to temporary absences such as injury or parental leave. While contract partners are invoiced for soft vacancies, they are not invoiced for hard vacancies.

- Communities policed by the RCMP under a Provincial Police Service Agreement (PPSA) will be represented by a Provincial Police Advisory Board (PPAB).

As next steps to the 2022 legislative amendments, the GoA is currently developing supporting regulations to clarify the powers, duties, functions, and composition of these new governance bodies, as well as the configuration of regional policing committees. Written submissions were accepted until mid-March 2024 and were in the form of an online questionnaire.

It is our understanding that the PPAB will be in place by early 2025. However, it is unclear what role the Interim Police Advisory Board will play moving forward with this transition. The Board has expressed through our original governance recommendations and in subsequent engagements that the PPAB should develop governance, engagement, and accountability processes that align with the fact that policing challenges and priorities are often regional or local in nature. Before the PPAB begins speaking on behalf of those it represents, it must have a plan in place to ensure that perspectives from different parts of the province, as well as different groups within communities, are adequately represented.

Bill 11


In March 2024, the GoA introduced Bill 11, the Public Safety Statutes Amendment Act, which permits the province to establish a new policing organization. This new organization will work alongside police services across the province. Officers in the new agency would take on the responsibility for the roles currently carried out by the Alberta Sheriffs. This agency will have the authority and jurisdiction to support the RCMP, municipal police services, and First Nations police services in Alberta, with the goal of allowing other police services across the province to spend more of their time on core operations and frontline duties.

It is important to note that there was no mention of this new policing organization in the 2024-25 provincial budget, and it is unclear where the funding for this potential transition would come from. Unfortunately, it does not appear that there was any engagement done regarding Bill 11 with municipal associations, municipalities, or any other policing organizations, such as Alberta Sheriffs. This lack of engagement is concerning, considering the community input necessary to ensure that policing reflects local needs.

Although AAPG, ABmunis, and RMA support any effort that will help make life safer and more secure for Albertans, it is unclear how Bill 11 will accomplish this. There are many unknowns regarding how this entity will work with the RCMP at the local level in terms of collaboration, the development of Community Safety Plans, gathering community input, implementing policing priorities, and so on. If supported by proper governance and local input, enhanced police capacity is beneficial to rural communities, but there are risks around having two different entities providing similar services within the same community.

The Minister of Public Safety and Emergency Services has indicated that no decision has been made on the creation of an Alberta Provincial Police Service and that this new agency is not intended to replace the RCMP. The Interim Police Advisory Board has not been involved to date with the establishment of the new policing organization and does not anticipate that the future Operational Board will have any say in its governance.





Appendix A – Police Officer and Civilian Position Detail

Funding provided through the police funding model has enabled the Alberta RCMP to grow the Provincial Police Service by adding 279 police officer positions and 242 civilian support positions. The chart below highlights how many positions were added each year, and the current staffing status as of October 1, 2024.

PFM Position Allocation						
	RM			PS		
	Allocated	Filled	% Filled	Allocated	Filled	% Filled
PFM Year 1	76	76	100.00%	57	57	100.00%
PFM Year 2	55	53	96.36%	42	40	95.24%
PFM Year 3	40	24	60.00%	52	42	80.77%
PFM Year 4	108	55	50.93%	91	39	42.86%
Total	279	208	74.55%	242	178	73.55%


**Data as of Oct. 1, 2024. Number of filled positions fluctuate with new hires, transfers, leaves, etc.*

To ensure we provide a comprehensive policing service to Albertans, Alberta RCMP allocated positions afforded to us through the PFM to frontline policing, specialized support units and resources focused on addressing root causes of crime.

POLICE OFFICER POSITIONS

Police Officers Allocated to Detachments

Over four years, the Alberta RCMP added 136 Regular Member positions directly at detachments. When allocating police officer positions, our first priority was to ensure all detachments had adequate resources to balance the time spent responding to call for service with the time available to engage in activities such as strategic patrols, participation in community events and increased school presence. Through a workload analysis model and data related to the frequency, seriousness and type of crimes occurring, geography and population of the detachment area and travel time to calls, we determine the number of resources needed at each detachment to ensure we direct resources to where the data told us they were needed.



POLICE OFFICER POSITIONS BY DETACHMENT = 136 POSITIONS											
Central Alberta District Detachments		31	Eastern Alberta District Detachments		34	Southern Alberta District Detachments		35	Western Alberta District Detachments		36
Bashaw	Stettler		Athabasca	Viking		Airdrie	Three Hills		Beaverlodge	Spirit River	
Blackfalds	Strathcona		Bonnyville	Westlock		Bassano	Vulcan		Edson	Swan Hills	
Breton	Sylvan Lake		Cold Lake			Bow Island			Evansburg	Valleyview	
Camrose	Thorsby		Elk Point			Canmore			Faust	Whitecourt	
Innisfail	Wetaskiwin		Kitscoty			Cochrane			Grande Prairie		
Leduc			Lac La Biche			Didsbury			High Level		
Morinville			Provost			Hanna			High Prairie		
Parkland			Smoky Lake			High River			Manning		
Ponoka			St. Paul			Lake Louise			Mayerthorpe		
Rimbey			Two Hills			Okotoks			Peace Regional		
Rocky Mountain House			Vegreville			Strathmore			Red Earth Creek		

Relief Support for Detachments


30 Positions were also allocated to Relief Teams. When staffed, these teams of police officers will be able to deploy to detachments experiencing short-term resource pressures.

Police Officers Allocated to Specialized Units

Ensuring that we have the necessary specialized services in place to support the work of the front-line officers when needed is critical to providing a comprehensive police service to our communities. To that end, the Alberta RCMP has increased capacity to a number of units that provide specialized support to detachments in areas such as investigations, forensic units, police-dog teams, and Emergency Response Teams (ERT). We were able to establish the Real Time Operations Centre (RTOC), a team of senior police officers who monitor operations in real-time, assess incident risk, coordinate resources (including with other police agencies and first responders) and manage the response. Additionally, we were able to build capacity in our teams dedicated to tackling financial and cybercrime, and in teams that provide specialized skills related to child advocacy. While these resources are not needed in each community every day, they are always available to address more dangerous situations or take on the more sensitive, complex, or serious files.

Police Officers Focusing on Root Causes of Crime

We also know enforcement alone or in isolation doesn't always work. Often, people facing addictions, mental health challenges and those who live without adequate housing are among offenders who land in a cycle of reoffending. We know there are root causes to some of this behavior, and while there are no simple solutions to address them all, there is work we can do with our community and social agency partners to achieve tangible, positive impacts to community safety. To this end, we used funding through the PFM to establish the Community Safety and Wellbeing Branch. With our partners, the Branch was able to build Rural Police and Crisis Teams (RPACT), teams of police officers and health professionals who respond to calls related to mental health. We also implemented the Virtual Opioid Dependency Program (VODP), which provides medical intervention and support to those dealing with addiction.



CIVILIAN SUPPORT POSITIONS

Civilian Supports Allocated at Detachments

Over four years, the Alberta RCMP added 77 Public Service Employee positions directly to detachments to enable police officers to be more visible with the communities they serve.


CIVILIAN SUPPORT POSITIONS BY DETACHMENT = 77 POSITIONS											
Central Alberta District Detachments		16	Eastern Alberta District Detachments		20	Southern Alberta District Detachments		21	Western Alberta District Detachments		20
Bashaw	Wetaskiwin		Athabasca	Wood Buffalo	Airdrie	Nanton	Beaverlodge	Swan Hills			
Blackfalds			Bonnyville		Beiseker	Picture Butte	Ft. Vermillion	Valleyview			
Breton			Boyle		Bow Island	Strathmore	Fox Creek				
Camrose			Cold Lake		Cardston	Turner Valley	Grande Prairie				
Innisfail			Coronation		Cochrane	Vulcan	High Level				
Rimbey			Desmarais		Crowsnest Pass		High Prairie				
Rocky Mountain House			Elk Point		Didsbury		Hinton				
Strathcona			Kitscoty		Gleichen		Manning				
Stony Plain			Lac La Biche		Lake Louise		Mayerthorpe				
Sylvan Lake			Provost		Milk River		Peace Regional				
Thorsby			Two Hills		Olds		Peace River				
Wetaskiwin			Westlock		Oyen		Red Earth Creek				

Civilian Supports in Specialized Units

The Alberta RCMP allocated a number of civilian support positions in areas such as intelligence and analytics, digital forensics and scenes of crime. We were also able to dedicate more operators to the Operational Communication Centre (OCC) and build our Remote Piloted Aircraft Systems (or drones) program, to name a few. Additionally, we invested in establishing a Return-on-Investment Team that will help us assess whether our efforts are achieving their stated goals and objectives.

Civilian Supports Focusing on Root Causes of Crime

Civilian positions in Community Safety and Wellbeing branch provide critical support to communities by working with our community partners to tackle the root causes of crime. These include the Rural Police and Crisis Teams (RPACT), and teams focused on community engagement and outreach, social engagement, alternative and restorative justice, and youth programming.



Appendix B – RCMP Strategies for Recruitment, Retention, and Emergency Response

Enhancing Recruitment Efforts

Over the past several years, recruiting has been a challenge for not only the RCMP, but for all police organizations in North America. The Alberta RCMP has made addressing this challenge a top priority.

In addition to all detachments having their own recruiting strategy, over the past year we have attended over 1,200 events and engaged with over 400,000 people to talk about a policing career with the Alberta RCMP. These efforts are paying off: in April 2023, the Alberta RCMP had 102 applicants. As of May 2024, this number has more than tripled to 318 Albertans. Depot (the RCMP training academy) is also back to having troop gate that it had prior to the pandemic.

The Alberta RCMP has also been focused on recruiting experienced police officers, and over the past couple of years a significant number of experienced police officers have joined the. Several years ago, regular member officers received a pay raise that brought their salaries in line with other police officers in Canada, and this pay raise has had a positive impact on our ability to recruit officers to the RCMP.

We're continually working to find efficiencies in the application process, and thus far have cut the time it takes to get into training to 6 months. We're examining ways to cut that timeframe down even further.

Our focus on recruiting remains, and will continue to remain, a top priority for the Alberta RCMP.


Addressing Vacancies

Increased vacancy rates have been a challenge for all police services in North America, including the Alberta RCMP. Ensuring that we have enough police officers in our detachments to respond to calls is a critical aspect of how we manage our resources. We are continually analyzing our service delivery models to ensure they are as efficient and effective as they could be. Some of this work includes regular examination of shift schedules to ensure optimal schedules are in place or looking at alternate service delivery models such as regional options, fly-in options for remote communities. This work is always done in consultation with the communities, as if the model doesn't meet their needs, it's not workable.

Our Human Resources Management dashboard allows our District Management Teams to continually monitor HR numbers at detachments to ensure they have an adequate number of police officers working at any given time. Should a detachment be experiencing a significant resource pressure, the District Management Team is able to redeploy resources from another area to address the pressure.

We share our vacancy rates with the Police Advisory Board at our meetings, and with the Ministry of Public Safety and Emergency Services on a monthly basis. As of Sept 14, 2024, our combined vacancy number is 17.3%, which includes both hard vacancies (meaning we don't have an officer to fill a position) and soft vacancies (meaning the position is encumbered, but the employee is away from work due to things such as medical or parental leave). Earlier this year, that number was closer to 20%, but we are seeing improvements every month.

The Alberta RCMP is very focused on recruiting to address hard vacancies, but we're also very focused on addressing our soft vacancies and have introduced number of initiatives to ensure we're supporting our



employees and getting them back to work as soon as they are able. These strategies include hiring additional doctors, disability case managers, nurses and psychologists and enhancing our mental health and wellness programs.

911 Call Response

The Alberta RCMP operates in a vast geographic area, which brings its own challenges. Given the geography and size of the areas we police, response times in a rural environment will be different than in an urban setting such as Calgary or Edmonton. That said, we continually monitor our response times to priority calls and implement any changes required to policy or by examining the service delivery model, ensuring we are best positioned to respond. In some cases, as a community evolves its existing detachment boundaries no longer make sense, so we examine whether adjustments to those boundaries would enhance our response.

We are also looking at ways to educate the public around proper use of 911, with the ultimate goal of reducing the number of non-emergency calls to 911. We've also hired a number of new operators in our call centers, which decreases wait times when calling 911. Additionally, the Alberta RCMP is currently working towards providing an easy-to-remember option for non-emergency calls.

The Alberta RCMP is always examining strategies that will positively impact response times and enhance our service.

24/7 Shift Coverage in all PPSA locations

In late 2000, the Alberta RCMP presented an analysis of what would be required to have police officers on shift 24/7 in each of our detachments to the Police Advisory Board. The key finding was that in order to do this, the Alberta RCMP would need to add an additional 350 police officers to the PPSA. Adding this many police officers would also require additional detachments and housing (in some locations), along with the items that our officers would need to do their job, such as equipment, vehicles and more. In order to achieve this, it required a significant increase in financial investment by the Province.

While we do not have someone on shift 24/7 in every one of our detachments, all detachments do have 24/7 coverage via our on-call officers.

ll.h



Alberta Beach

Box 278 • Alberta Beach • Alberta • T0E 0A0
Telephone: 780-924-3181 • Fax: 780-924-3313

October 30, 2024

Re: Alberta Beach Organizational Meeting

Council of Alberta Beach held their Organizational Meeting on October 29th, 2024. The results of their Organizational Meeting are as follows;

Mayor.....	Kelly Muir	kellymuir@albertabeach.com
Deputy Mayor.....	Bill Love	aboffice@albertabeach.com
Councillor.....	Debbie Durocher	debbiedurocher@albertabeach.com
Councillor.....	Tara Elwood	taraelwood@albertabeach.com
Councillor.....	Daryl Weber	aboffice@albertabeach.com

Committee Appointments:

- Alberta Beach Ag Society Agliplex Operations Committee – Mayor Muir & Councillor Elwood as alternate
- Alberta Beach Ag Society Beachwave Park Operations Committee – Mayor Muir & Councillor Durocher as alternate
- Alberta Beach Campground Advisory Committee – Deputy Mayor Love & Councillor Elwood
- Alberta Beach Inter-municipal Development Plan Steering Committee – Councillor Elwood & Councillor Weber
- Alberta Beach Library Board – Councillor Elwood
- Alberta Beach Museum & Archives – Councillor Durocher
- Alberta Beach Public Works Advisory Committee – Deputy Mayor Love & Councillor Elwood
- Beachwave Park Stakeholders Committee – Mayor Muir & Councillor Durocher as alternate
- Community Futures Yellowhead East – Councillor Weber & Councillor Elwood as alternate
- Ste. Anne Summer Village Regional Emergency Partnership Advisory Committee – Councillor Elwood
- FCSS Trivillage Committee – Mayor Muir
- Highway 43 East Waste Commission – Deputy Mayor Love & Councillor Weber as alternate
- Inter-municipal Collaboration Framework (ICF) Committee – Councillor Elwood & Councillor Weber & Mayor Muir as alternate
- Lac Ste. Anne East End Bus – Deputy Mayor Love
- Lac Ste. Anne Foundation – Councillor Weber
- Lake Isle and Lac Ste. Anne Stewardship Society – Councillor Durocher
- Land Use Bylaw Review Committee – Councillor Durocher & Councillor Elwood
- Municipal Planning Commission – All Council members
- Partners In Progress Committee – Mayor Muir
- Regional Fire Services Committee – Mayor Muir & Councillor Elwood
- Regional Trail Master Plan Steering Committee – Mayor Muir & Councillor Elwood
- Ste. Anne Recreational Lake Use Committee (SARLUC) – Mayor Muir & Councillor Weber
- Sturgeon River Watershed Alliance – Councillor Weber
- Trivillage Regional Sewage Service Commission – Mayor Muir & Councillor Weber
- Water Distribution Feasibility Study Steering Committee – Mayor Muir & Councillor Elwood & Councillor Durocher as alternate
- West Inter Lake District (WILD) Water Commission – Councillor Elwood & Councillor Durocher as alternate
- Yellowhead Regional Library Board – Councillor Elwood & Councillor Weber as alternate

From: Tyler Gandam <president@abmunis.ca>
Sent: October 31, 2024 9:04 AM
To: Colleen Richardson
Subject: Provide your input on the 2024 Outstanding Resolutions
Attachments: ABmunis 2024 Outstanding Resolutions 20241030.pdf

Dear Mayor, Councillors, and CAOs:

Due to the high number of resolutions brought forward by members this year and the lengthy debate on some of the resolutions at ABmunis 2024 Convention, the resolutions session ended before members could debate and vote on all the resolutions. This was a unique and unfortunate outcome. That said, ABmunis was prepared for this type of scenario as section 45 of our [Resolutions Policy](#) specifies that resolutions not debated at a resolution session due to lack of time are to be considered by ABmunis Board of Directors.

Before our Board votes on the six outstanding resolutions, we want to make sure you have an opportunity to share your views on those six outstanding resolutions, which are presented in the **attached Resolutions Book**. You have two options to share your views with our Board:

1. Provide Input in Writing

Elected officials of Regular Member municipalities may submit input in writing to resolutions@abmunis.ca by **November 18, 2024**. Any written input must include your name, position, and municipality, and a reference to the resolution number(s). Written input does not require the endorsement of your council, similar to how members can speak and vote on resolutions at ABmunis Convention.

2. Provide Input via Webinar

Elected officials of Regular Member municipalities may speak to the Board and provide input through poll questions during a webinar on **November 28, 2024 at 12:00 – 1:30 p.m.** The process for this online engagement is outlined on page 3 of the attached Resolutions Book for the 2024 Outstanding Resolutions. [Register to attend the webinar.](#)

CAOs and administrators may attend the webinar but are ineligible to speak or participate in the Zoom polls.

What happens after the webinar?

After the webinar and a review of the written input, the ABmunis Board will vote on each of the outstanding resolutions at an upcoming Board meeting to determine if the resolutions will form part of ABmunis' advocacy efforts. ABmunis will report back to members on the Board's decision by January 2025.

To avoid this scenario in the future, our Municipal Governance Committee and Board will be reviewing our Resolutions Policy to explore the opportunity for improvements.

We look forward to receiving your written input on the outstanding resolutions by November 18 or hearing your remarks at the November 28 webinar. If you have any questions about this process, please email our Advocacy Team at resolutions@abmunis.ca.

Thank you for your support and participation in our resolution process.

Tyler Gandam | President

E: president@abmunis.ca
300-8616 51 Ave Edmonton, AB T6E 6E6
Toll Free: 310-MUNI | 877-421-
6644 | www.abmunis.ca



This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee, you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this email.

We respectfully acknowledge that we live, work, and play on the traditional and ancestral territories of many Indigenous, First Nations, Métis, and Inuit peoples. We acknowledge that what we call Alberta is the traditional and ancestral territory of many peoples, presently subject to Treaties 4, 6, 7, 8 and 10 and Six Regions of the Métis Nation of Alberta.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
1	Committee	Olsvik	Gelych	Vaughan	Lovich	Blakeman	Bohnet	Giebelhaus
2		Div. 1	Div. 2	Div. 3	Div. 4	Div. 5	Div. 6	Div. 7
3		Lorne Olsvik	Deputy Reeve Nick Gelych	George Vaughan	Kevin Lovich	Reeve Joe Blakeman	Ross Bohnet	Lloyd Giebelhaus
4		x	x	x	x	x	x	x
5	Municipal Committee		x				x alternate	x
6	Municipal Planning Commission		x				x	x
7	Ag. Services Board							x
8	Emergency Advisory Committee		x					x
9	Alberta Beach IDP Committee			x				
10	Athabasca Watershed Council						x	x
11	Beachwave Park			x				
12	East End Bus	x						
13	West End Bus						x	x
14	Campground, Parks and Outdoor Spaces Committee	x		x			x	x
15	Community Futures Yellowhead East		x					
16	Community Railway Advocacy Alliance (CRAA) 2023		x				A	
17	Darwell Wastewater Lagoon Commission	A						
18	Economic Development Advisory Committee	x		x			x	x
19	Fallen Four Visitor Center/Mayerthorpe Library Project Committee						x	
20	First Nations Committee	x						
21	George Pegg Botanic Garden Society			x				
22	Highway 43 East Waste Commission	x		x				
23	Interlakes Regional Trail Master Plan Steering Committee		x					x
24	Joint Worksite Health & Safety Training		x	x				
25	Lac La Nonne Enhancement & Protection Association (LEPA)							
26	Lac Ste. Anne County Library Board				x		x	
27	Lac Ste Anne Foundation						x	
28	Lac Ste Anne/Lake Isle Water Quality Group Society (LILSA)				x			
29	Mayerthorpe IDP Committee							x
30	Mayerthorpe and LSAC Economic Development Committee						x	Alt.
31	Millar Western Advisory Committee		x				x	
32	North 43 Lagoon Commission	x						
33	North Saskatchewan Watershed Alliance Committee			x				

11

100

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
34								
35								
36	Committee	Olsvik	Gelych	Vaughan	Lovich	Blakeman	Bohnet	Giebelhaus
37		Div. 1	Div. 2	Div. 3	Div. 4	Div. 5	Div. 6	Div. 7
38			Deputy Reeve			Reeve		
39		Lorne Olsvik	Nick Gelych	George Vaughan	Kevin Lovich	Joe Blakeman	Ross Bohnet	Lloyd Giebelhaus
40	Onoway IDP Committee	x	x					
41	Onoway Regional Medical Clinic Board of Directors	x	x				x	x
42	Peter Trynchy Airport Committee						x	
43	Provincial Ag. Services Board Rep. (Endorsed by the County)							
44	Rural IDP Committee - local Councillor							
45	Shop Committee - Council as a Whole	x	x		x		x	x
46	Ste. Anne Emergency Response Center						x	x
47	Ste Anne Regional Lake Use Committee (SARLUC)			x	x			
48	Ste Anne Regional Trail Use Committee (SARTUC)			x	x			x
49	Sturgeon River Watershed Alliance (SRWA)				x			
50	Swede Moren Park Committee			x				
51	Upper Athabasca Watershed Alliance							x
52	Villeneuve Landing Network		x					
53	West Inter Lake District (WILD) Water Commission	x						
54	Yellowhead Regional Library (public member)							

Comparison of the Official 2025 Equalized Assessment (EA) to Current 2024 EA

The 2025 equalized assessments reflect each municipality's 2023 assessment year data, that is subject to 2024 property taxation. These EAs reflect the reported and declared municipal assessments submitted to the province as of October 18, 2024.

*The non-residential equalized assessments reflect the taxable non-residential (non-regulated) land and improvements, and property defined as designated industrial property.

This data is formatted according to Education Property Tax Requisition Categories.

RESIDENTIAL / FARM LAND

* NON-RESIDENTIAL

MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT

Municipality Code	Municipality Name	2025 Residential/Farm Land	2024 Residential/Farm Land	\$ Diff	% Diff
3	AIRDRIE	15,002,188,921	12,764,344,280	2,237,844,641	17.53%
19	BEAUMONT	3,779,240,862	3,419,893,463	359,347,399	10.51%
43	BROOKS	1,176,908,618	1,141,650,718	35,257,900	3.09%
46	CALGARY	290,698,139,023	258,825,240,849	31,872,898,174	12.31%
48	CAMROSE	2,342,084,127	2,229,195,325	112,888,802	5.06%
355	CHESTERMERE	5,955,599,463	4,871,784,939	1,083,814,524	22.25%
525	COLD LAKE	1,825,387,263	1,692,769,774	132,617,529	7.83%
98	EDMONTON	151,419,641,779	147,095,437,497	4,384,204,282	2.98%
117	FORT SASKATCHEWAN	4,408,552,875	4,138,752,995	269,799,880	6.52%
132	GRANDE PRAIRIE	7,391,174,776	7,158,045,249	233,129,527	3.26%
194	LACOMBE	1,721,915,448	1,607,233,716	114,681,732	7.19%
200	LEDUC	5,101,962,921	4,693,056,963	408,905,958	8.71%
203	LETHBRIDGE	13,428,745,839	12,584,625,914	844,119,925	6.71%
206	LLOYDMINSTER	2,235,021,064	2,164,626,317	70,394,747	3.25%
217	MEDICINE HAT	8,276,406,584	7,914,186,179	362,220,405	4.58%
262	RED DEER	12,761,882,837	12,108,658,262	653,224,575	5.39%
291	SPURGE GROVE	6,085,685,562	5,670,107,222	415,578,340	7.33%
292	ST. ALBERT	12,425,529,663	11,901,899,578	523,630,085	4.40%
347	WETASKIWIN	1,075,846,707	1,034,807,283	41,039,424	3.97%
	SUBTOTAL	547,111,914,322	502,956,316,473	44,155,597,849	8.78%

Specialized Municipalities

361	CROWNEST PASS, MUNICIPALITY	1,256,812,119	1,111,333,614	145,478,505	13.09%
418	JASPER, Municipality of	1,192,951,396	1,131,896,763	61,054,633	5.39%
4853	LAC LA BICHE COUNTY	1,378,088,509	1,329,261,834	48,826,675	3.67%
505	MACKENZIE COUNTY	1,370,758,169	1,276,580,436	94,177,733	7.38%
302	STRATHCONA COUNTY	20,392,350,063	19,358,991,498	973,358,565	5.03%
508	WOOD BUFFALO, REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY	9,859,686,925	9,995,394,827	-135,707,902	-1.36%
	SUBTOTAL	35,390,647,181	34,203,458,972	1,187,188,209	3.47%

Municipal Districts

1	ACADIA NO. 34, M.D. OF	72,909,044	71,960,454	948,590	1.32%
12	ATHABASCA COUNTY	1,218,589,015	1,159,667,869	58,921,146	5.08%
15	BARRHEAD NO. 11, COUNTY OF	857,914,984	829,855,913	28,059,071	3.38%
20	BEAVER COUNTY	870,985,770	831,223,870	39,761,900	4.57%
506	BIG LAKES COUNTY	668,888,820	620,393,273	48,488,547	7.82%
382	BIGHORN NO. 8, M.D. OF	786,893,025	705,240,309	81,652,716	11.58%
502	BIRCH HILLS COUNTY	120,065,353	116,242,389	3,822,964	3.29%
36	BONNYVILLE NO. 87, M.D. OF	2,088,890,940	1,955,247,981	133,642,959	6.84%
383	BRAZEAU COUNTY	1,133,478,528	1,069,511,647	63,966,881	5.98%

Classification: Public

Comparison of Official 2025 EA to Current 2024 EA

Municipality Code	Municipality Name	2025 Non-residential	2024 Non-residential	\$ Diff	% Diff
		2,227,206,849	1,997,825,361	229,381,488	11.48%
		268,991,106	250,415,071	18,576,035	7.42%
		333,303,920	331,151,446	2,152,474	0.65%
		62,750,656,391	59,216,014,254	3,534,642,137	5.97%
		651,818,923	636,981,535	14,837,388	2.33%
		275,124,415	238,898,049	36,226,366	15.16%
		623,538,591	598,584,924	24,953,667	4.17%
		41,316,277,687	40,755,165,704	561,111,983	1.38%
		1,417,203,393	1,344,201,199	73,002,194	5.43%
		3,184,314,301	3,158,717,858	25,596,443	0.81%
		386,512,212	349,926,202	36,586,010	10.46%
		2,391,330,654	2,152,451,937	238,878,717	11.10%
		3,343,630,638	3,095,871,279	247,759,359	8.00%
		1,107,827,543	1,075,096,848	32,730,695	3.04%
		2,072,067,752	1,914,407,070	157,660,682	8.24%
		3,823,064,231	3,725,619,462	97,444,769	2.62%
		1,292,899,717	1,210,511,961	82,387,756	6.81%
		2,142,760,188	2,055,786,752	86,973,436	4.23%
		359,172,088	354,595,704	4,576,384	1.29%
		129,967,700,599	124,462,222,616	5,505,477,983	4.42%

		182,762,588	173,515,190	9,247,398	5.33%
		859,355,652	763,977,836	95,377,816	12.48%
		1,899,906,361	1,829,032,503	70,873,858	3.87%
		965,614,012	944,907,569	20,706,443	2.19%
		6,800,414,453	6,468,772,395	331,642,058	5.13%
		12,758,916,111	12,475,646,627	283,269,484	2.27%
		23,466,969,177	22,655,852,120	811,117,057	3.58%

		11,986,830	10,220,448	1,766,382	17.28%
		813,570,549	809,274,567	4,295,982	0.53%
		193,762,080	169,540,440	24,221,640	14.29%
		461,842,444	454,133,803	7,708,641	1.70%
		1,006,442,561	930,097,590	76,344,971	8.21%
		513,266,902	477,004,603	41,262,299	8.76%
		119,695,770	127,140,710	-7,444,940	-5.86%
		3,488,688,069	3,385,210,944	103,477,125	3.06%
		2,064,704,865	1,966,321,662	98,383,203	5.00%

Municipality Code	Municipality Name	2025 Mach. & Equip.	2024 Mach. & Equip.	\$ Diff	% Diff
		6,045,290	10,443,370	-4,398,080	-42.11%
		129,540	129,540	0	0.00%
		1,071,700	1,045,250	26,450	2.53%
		393,270,000	382,190,000	11,080,000	2.90%
		43,092,630	62,857,530	-19,764,900	-31.44%
		610,970	600,980	9,990	1.66%
		879,160	837,160	42,000	5.02%
		909,663,236	870,852,314	38,810,922	4.46%
		1,981,565,590	1,914,354,610	67,210,980	3.51%
		2,461,420	2,287,610	173,810	7.60%
		88,199,000	88,253,990	-54,990	-0.06%
		355,003,690	327,171,970	27,831,720	8.51%
		204,579,010	198,521,680	6,057,330	3.05%
		564,224,700	553,633,080	10,591,620	1.91%
		31,497,870	34,733,100	-3,235,230	-9.31%
		13,526,550	19,433,250	-5,906,700	-30.39%
		26,271,900	26,235,430	36,470	0.14%
		30,083,980	29,223,000	860,980	2.95%
		4,639,841,076	4,568,680,324	71,160,752	2.87%

		3,713,000	3,634,860	78,140	2.15%
		2,842,530	2,782,190	60,340	2.17%
		1,771,807,200	1,809,225,950	-37,418,750	-2.07%
		337,391,090	310,578,180	26,812,910	8.63%
		12,600,843,500	11,005,634,480	1,595,209,020	14.49%
		39,254,806,723	38,650,487,943	604,318,780	1.56%
		53,371,404,043	51,782,343,603	2,189,060,440	4.23%

		445,690	529,130	-83,440	-15.77%
		546,712,470	529,545,190	17,167,280	3.24%
		47,521,250	42,877,580	5,043,670	11.87%
		69,166,730	75,147,700	-5,980,970	-7.96%
		299,649,610	293,848,430	5,801,180	1.97%
		436,144,300	435,122,050	1,022,250	0.23%
		39,638,910	41,811,730	-2,172,820	-5.20%
		2,888,985,020	2,834,743,080	54,241,940	1.91%
		705,259,690	692,558,020	12,701,670	1.83%

11.k



MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT

* NON-RESIDENTIAL

RESIDENTIAL / FARM LAND

Municipality Code	Municipality Name	2025 Residential/Farm Land	2024 Residential/Farm Land	\$ Diff	% Diff	2025 Non-residential	2024 Non-residential	\$ Diff	% Diff	2025 Mach & Equip	2024 Mach & Equip	\$ Diff	% Diff
49	CAMROSE COUNTY	1,566,776,004	1,483,506,676	83,269,328	5.61%	576,239,375	563,559,770	12,679,605	2.25%	191,715,110	190,475,650	1,239,460	0.65%
53	CARDSTON COUNTY	774,041,766	658,463,503	115,578,263	17.55%	119,066,250	114,337,795	4,728,455	4.12%	14,226,860	14,506,280	-279,420	-1.93%
504	CLEAR HILLS COUNTY	231,358,929	213,603,620	17,755,327	8.31%	694,157,380	680,738,121	13,419,259	1.97%	304,538,510	229,141,800	75,396,710	32.90%
377	CLEARWATER COUNTY	2,144,871,268	1,959,917,933	184,953,675	9.44%	3,924,804,570	3,728,287,813	196,516,757	5.27%	2,185,258,630	2,111,994,570	73,264,060	3.47%
376	CYPRESS COUNTY	1,749,719,558	1,626,587,884	123,131,674	7.57%	2,904,310,151	2,493,603,321	410,706,830	16.47%	945,991,990	890,337,690	55,653,600	6.25%
107	FAIRVIEW NO. 136, M.D. OF	201,192,222	201,453,125	-260,903	-0.13%	126,030,630	120,538,110	5,492,520	4.56%	39,112,260	38,464,680	647,580	1.68%
110	FLAGSTAFF COUNTY	560,553,540	541,179,940	19,374,050	3.58%	1,136,566,203	1,068,323,733	68,242,470	6.39%	378,831,220	381,122,940	-2,291,720	-0.60%
111	FOOTHILLS NO. 31, M.D. OF	9,124,149,190	8,093,091,607	1,031,057,583	12.74%	1,136,566,203	1,068,323,733	68,242,470	6.39%	212,577,470	209,171,990	3,405,080	1.63%
118	FORTY MILE NO. 8, COUNTY OF	526,703,851	518,224,140	8,479,711	1.64%	1,030,000,090	809,872,060	220,128,030	27.18%	22,223,180	24,136,740	-1,913,560	-7.93%
133	GRANDE PRAIRIE NO. 1, COUNTY OF	4,728,444,060	4,534,346,638	194,097,402	4.28%	4,070,419,355	3,954,425,541	115,993,814	2.93%	2,101,194,750	2,060,891,300	40,303,450	1.96%
481	GREEVIEW NO. 16, M.D. OF	1,212,102,628	1,114,951,881	97,150,747	8.71%	8,285,291,343	7,862,749,475	422,541,868	5.37%	6,996,413,500	6,667,420,310	328,993,190	4.93%
191	KNEEHILL COUNTY	821,478,320	749,838,871	71,639,449	9.55%	1,127,538,455	1,066,938,264	60,600,191	5.68%	306,201,720	293,307,690	12,894,030	4.40%
193	LAC STE. ANNE COUNTY	1,961,957,159	1,862,269,340	99,687,819	5.35%	359,694,933	345,711,332	13,983,601	4.04%	61,790,270	60,807,130	983,140	1.62%
195	LACOMBE COUNTY	2,284,386,111	2,191,478,911	92,907,200	4.24%	2,056,789,331	2,029,880,026	26,909,305	1.33%	3,221,233,480	3,138,297,730	82,935,750	2.64%
198	LAMONT COUNTY	635,096,216	609,096,448	25,999,768	4.27%	479,912,251	469,062,667	10,849,584	2.31%	166,654,820	164,847,490	1,807,330	1.10%
201	LELUC COUNTY	3,471,606,432	3,187,116,033	284,490,399	8.93%	6,466,987,091	5,999,893,403	467,093,688	7.79%	620,812,490	544,443,570	76,368,920	14.03%
507	LESSER SLAVE RIVER NO. 124, M.D. OF	581,842,640	563,285,483	18,557,157	3.29%	754,416,854	694,889,234	59,527,620	8.57%	170,443,610	166,868,360	3,575,250	2.14%
204	LETHBRIDGE COUNTY	1,539,540,901	1,444,850,905	94,689,996	6.55%	878,728,530	719,707,047	159,021,483	22.10%	125,056,270	120,060,990	4,995,280	4.16%
222	MIMBURN NO. 27, COUNTY OF	430,641,716	412,821,687	17,820,029	4.32%	574,066,633	363,737,965	210,328,668	57.82%	568,214,230	548,522,370	19,691,860	3.59%
226	MOUNTAIN VIEW COUNTY	3,344,943,009	3,021,747,205	323,195,804	10.70%	1,759,092,334	1,700,483,290	58,609,044	3.45%	610,529,940	587,383,180	23,146,760	3.94%
235	NEVELL COUNTY	1,107,223,641	1,029,836,542	77,387,099	7.51%	2,569,308,346	2,515,547,215	53,761,131	2.14%	568,214,230	548,522,370	19,691,860	3.59%
496	NORTHERN LIGHTS, COUNTY OF	484,683,271	454,529,013	30,154,258	6.63%	639,280,949	633,690,809	-14,409,860	-2.20%	428,283,950	384,078,920	44,204,130	11.51%
512	NORTHERN SUNRISE COUNTY	249,345,718	244,968,587	4,377,131	1.79%	1,265,163,120	1,242,910,420	22,252,700	1.79%	466,944,560	459,212,570	7,731,990	1.68%
243	OPPORTUNITY NO. 17, M.D. OF	242,074,751	231,450,458	10,624,293	4.59%	726,412,970	674,121,680	52,291,290	7.76%	124,860,330	117,056,200	7,804,130	6.67%
245	PARKLAND COUNTY	7,477,487,923	7,062,164,718	415,323,205	5.88%	4,330,126,319	4,226,009,725	104,116,594	2.46%	351,821,900	334,910,630	16,911,270	5.05%
246	PEACE NO. 135, M.D. OF	203,601,097	190,352,308	12,248,789	6.43%	109,753,252	115,987,059	-6,233,807	-5.37%	10,591,270	10,635,230	-43,960	-0.41%
251	PINCHER CREEK NO. 9, M.D. OF	847,996,152	756,052,580	91,943,572	12.16%	812,945,346	808,220,081	4,725,265	0.58%	195,125,220	183,880,410	11,244,810	6.04%
258	PONOKA COUNTY	2,063,504,686	1,853,499,569	210,005,117	11.33%	1,036,133,406	979,056,364	57,077,042	5.83%	464,145,950	449,498,950	14,647,000	3.26%
501	PROVOST NO. 52, M.D. OF	311,123,118	302,666,251	8,456,867	2.79%	1,227,823,738	1,147,685,240	80,138,498	6.98%	1,418,104,170	1,409,861,950	8,242,220	0.58%
263	RED DEER COUNTY	29,122,290	27,308,760	1,813,530	6.64%	151,753,370	149,518,560	2,234,810	1.49%	72,840,150	70,658,620	2,181,530	3.09%
269	ROCKY VIEW COUNTY	4,486,406,513	4,124,563,305	361,843,208	8.77%	2,459,961,485	2,393,845,346	66,116,139	2.76%	253,198,130	248,370,150	4,827,980	1.94%
286	SMOKY LAKE COUNTY	444,559,861	407,749,993	36,809,868	9.03%	295,074,332	278,738,917	16,335,415	5.86%	70,908,230	73,492,170	-2,583,940	-3.52%
287	SMOKY RIVER NO. 130, M.D. OF	260,640,483	245,128,095	15,512,388	6.33%	231,434,093	218,122,870	13,311,223	6.10%	51,507,510	45,323,740	6,183,770	13.64%
290	SPIRIT RIVER NO. 133, M.D. OF	91,009,217	85,186,125	5,823,092	6.84%	154,870,800	130,919,170	23,951,630	18.29%	341,246,050	346,276,650	-5,030,600	-1.45%
294	ST. PAUL NO. 19, COUNTY OF	1,111,472,654	1,060,975,237	50,497,417	4.76%	7,577,587,852	6,310,737,298	1,266,850,554	20.07%	108,726,860	103,577,860	5,149,000	4.97%
296	STARLAND COUNTY	262,287,251	240,647,243	21,640,008	8.99%	492,214,401	356,899,340	135,315,061	37.91%	133,471,910	132,327,390	1,144,520	0.88%
299	STETTLER NO. 6, COUNTY OF	921,519,255	850,845,753	70,673,502	8.31%	538,791,800	523,672,657	15,118,921	2.89%	5,047,172,310	4,598,648,470	448,523,840	9.75%
305	STURGEON COUNTY	4,538,663,170	4,278,112,574	260,550,596	6.09%	2,519,351,858	2,447,158,511	72,193,347	2.95%	267,995,980	271,958,100	-3,962,120	-1.46%
312	TABER, M.D. OF	1,080,603,960	961,654,018	118,949,942	12.37%	1,067,793,350	964,522,359	103,269,991	10.17%	44,469,770	44,491,170	-21,600	-0.05%
314	THORHILL COUNTY	457,769,029	446,789,347	10,979,682	2.46%	354,074,220	344,869,109	9,205,111	2.67%	21,899,150	22,508,350	-609,200	-2.71%
323	TWO HILLS NO. 21, COUNTY OF	465,920,315	440,996,785	24,923,530	5.65%	141,916,409	144,904,720	-2,988,311	-2.06%	255,195,650	244,784,330	10,411,320	4.25%
329	VERMILION RIVER, COUNTY OF	1,288,246,732	1,212,984,091	75,262,641	6.20%	992,450,107	959,492,435	32,957,672	3.43%				

Municipality Code	Municipality Name	2025 Residential/Farm Land	2024 Residential/Farm Land	\$ Diff	% Diff	2025 Non-residential	2024 Non-residential	\$ Diff	% Diff	2025 Mach & Equip	2024 Mach & Equip	\$ Diff	% Diff
49	CAMROSE COUNTY	1,566,776,004	1,483,506,676	83,269,328	5.61%	576,239,375	563,559,770	12,679,605	2.25%	191,715,110	190,475,650	1,239,460	0.65%
53	CARDSTON COUNTY	774,041,766	658,463,503	115,578,263	17.55%	119,066,250	114,337,795	4,728,455	4.12%	14,226,860	14,506,280	-279,420	-1.93%
504	CLEAR HILLS COUNTY	231,358,929	213,603,620	17,755,327	8.31%	694,157,380	680,738,121	13,419,259	1.97%	304,538,510	229,141,800	75,396,710	32.90%
377	CLEARWATER COUNTY	2,144,871,268	1,959,917,933	184,953,675	9.44%	3,924,804,570	3,728,287,813	196,516,757	5.27%	2,185,258,630	2,111,994,570	73,264,060	3.47%
376	CYPRESS COUNTY	1,749,719,558	1,626,587,884	123,131,674	7.57%	2,904,310,151	2,493,603,321	410,706,830	16.47%	945,991,990	890,337,690	55,653,600	6.25%
107	FAIRVIEW NO. 136, M.D. OF	201,192,222	201,453,125	-260,903	-0.13%	126,030,630	120,538,110	5,492,520	4.56%	39,112,260	38,464,680	647,580	1.68%
110	FLAGSTAFF COUNTY	560,553,540	541,179,940	19,374,050	3.58%	1,136,566,203	1,068,323,733	68,242,470	6.39%	378,831,220	381,122,940	-2,291,720	-0.60%
111	FOOTHILLS NO. 31, M.D. OF	9,124,149,190	8,093,091,607	1,031,057,583	12.74%	1,136,566,203	1,068,323,733	68,242,470	6.39%	212,577,470	209,171,990	3,405,080	1.63%
118	FORTY MILE NO. 8, COUNTY OF	526,703,851	518,224,140	8,479,711	1.64%	1,030,000,090	809,872,060	220,128,030	27.18%	22,223,180	24,136,740	-1,913,560	-7.93%
133	GRANDE PRAIRIE NO. 1, COUNTY OF	4,728,444,060	4,534,346,638	194,097,402	4.28%	4,070,419,355	3,954,425,541	115,993,814	2.93%	2,101,194,750	2,060,891,300	40,303,450	1.96%
481	GREEVIEW NO. 16, M.D. OF	1,212,102,628	1,114,951,881	97,150,747	8.71%	8,285,291,343	7,862,749,475	422,541,868	5.37%	6,996,413,500	6,667,420,310	328,993,190	4.93%
191	KNEEHILL COUNTY	821,478,320	749,838,871	71,639,449	9.55%	1,127,538,455	1,066,938,264	60,600,191	5.68%	306,201,720	293,307,690	12,894,030	4.40%
193	LAC STE. ANNE COUNTY	1,961,957,159	1,862,269,340	99,687,819	5.35%	359,694,933	345,711,332	13,983,601	4.04%	61,790,270	60,807,130	983,140	1.62%
195	LACOMBE COUNTY	2,284,386,111	2,191,478,911	92,907,200	4.24%	2,056,789,331	2,029,880,026	26,909,305	1.33%	3,221,233,480	3,138,297,730	82,935,750	2.64%
198	LAMONT COUNTY	635,096,216	609,096,448	25,999,768	4.27%	479,912,251	469,062,667	10,849,584	2.31%	166,654,820	164,847,490	1,807,330	1.10%
201	LELUC COUNTY	3,471,606,432	3,187,116,033	284,490,399	8.93%	6,466,987,091	5,999,893,403	467,093,688	7.79%	620,812,490	544,443,570	76,368,920	14.03%
507	LESSER SLAVE RIVER NO. 124, M.D. OF	581,842,640	563,285,483	18,557,157	3.29%	754,416,854	694,889,234	59,527,620	8.57%	170,443,610	166,868,360	3,575,250	2.14%
204	LETHBRIDGE COUNTY	1,539,540,901	1,444,850,905	94,689,996	6.55%	878,728,530	719,707,047	159,021,483	22.10%	125,056,270	120,060,990	4,995,280	4.16%
222	MIMBURN NO. 27, COUNTY OF	430,641											

MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT

* NON-RESIDENTIAL

RESIDENTIAL / FARM LAND

Municipality Code	Municipality Name	2025 Residential/Farm Land	2024 Residential/Farm Land	\$ Diff	% Diff
334	VULCAN COUNTY	898,853,338	790,761,186	108,092,152	13.67%
336	WAINWRIGHT NO. 61, M.D. OF	748,607,061	730,591,295	18,015,766	2.47%
340	WARNER NO. 5, COUNTY OF	579,588,480	41,576,569	41,576,569	7.73%
346	WESTLOCK COUNTY	940,314,246	880,906,741	59,407,505	6.74%
348	WETASKIWIN NO. 10, COUNTY OF	2,338,574,979	2,161,734,552	176,840,427	8.18%
349	WHEATLAND COUNTY	1,775,029,793	1,610,388,222	164,641,571	10.22%
353	WILLOW CREEK NO. 26, M.D. OF	1,109,913,725	969,188,999	140,724,726	14.53%
480	WOODLANDS COUNTY	849,095,973	797,599,160	51,496,813	6.46%
482	YELLOWHEAD COUNTY	1,786,456,801	1,788,038,442	-1,581,641	-0.09%
	SUBTOTAL	103,269,508,478	94,793,891,200	8,475,617,278	8.94%

Municipality Code	Municipality Name	2025 Non-Residential	2024 Non-Residential	\$ Diff	% Diff
11	ATHABASCA	106,948,091	108,474,963	-1,526,872	-1.41%
387	BANFF	1,809,920,154	1,300,971,061	508,949,093	39.12%
14	BARRHEAD	23,972,410	119,926,340	4,046,070	3.37%
16	BASHAW	20,117,252	18,067,787	2,049,465	11.34%
17	BASSANO	34,575,928	30,290,804	4,285,124	14.15%
21	BEAVERLODGE	68,149,386	64,967,127	3,182,259	4.90%
24	BENTLEY	14,840,780	15,269,790	-429,010	-2.81%
31	BLACKFALDS	176,689,041	162,748,577	13,940,464	8.57%
34	BON ACCORD	8,185,915	7,560,780	624,535	8.26%
35	BONNYVILLE	344,065,996	350,443,626	-6,378,230	-1.82%
39	BOW ISLAND	51,452,003	48,933,767	2,518,236	5.15%
40	BOWDEN	16,044,971	15,523,630	521,341	3.36%
44	BRUDERHEIM	19,630,330	18,815,090	815,240	4.33%
47	CALWAL	53,634,120	49,943,749	3,690,371	7.39%
50	CANMORE	2,012,952,386	1,724,117,076	288,835,310	16.75%
52	CARDSTON	53,641,473	48,002,232	5,639,241	11.75%
56	CARSTAIRS	71,173,180	67,960,588	3,212,592	4.75%
58	CASTOR	15,231,894	14,215,209	1,016,685	7.15%
65	CLARESHOLM	105,787,075	101,455,562	4,331,513	4.27%
69	COALDALE	209,458,221	179,095,532	30,362,689	16.95%
360	COALHURST	15,418,733	14,755,940	662,793	4.49%
70	COCHRANE	720,174,635	685,431,561	34,743,074	5.07%
75	CORONATION	208,530,617	190,766,354	17,764,263	9.31%
79	CROSSFIELD	7,475,893	7,512,133	-36,240	-0.48%
82	DAYSLAND	131,124,118	130,929,004	195,114	0.15%
86	DEVON	91,172,215	84,138,389	7,033,826	8.36%
7662	DIAMOND VALLEY	480,253,748	455,920,044	24,333,704	5.34%
88	DIDSBURY	248,766,509	233,444,407	15,322,102	6.58%
91	DRAYTON VALLEY	23,071,262	21,503,547	1,567,715	7.29%
532	DRUMHELLER	417,711,970	402,254,311	15,457,659	3.84%
100	EDSON	42,673,076	42,476,048	197,028	0.46%
101	ELK POINT	68,919,438	66,656,597	2,262,841	3.39%
106	FAIRVIEW				

Municipality Code	Municipality Name	2025 Mach & Equip	2024 Mach & Equip	\$ Diff	% Diff
		76,652,790	77,728,660	-1,075,870	-1.38%
		220,959,860	205,062,410	15,897,450	7.75%
		13,152,480	26,122,470	-13,969,990	-106.98%
		168,051,320	168,340,890	-289,570	-0.17%
		855,347,790	811,915,300	43,432,490	5.35%
		729,096,050	620,619,430	108,476,620	17.48%
		3,117,093,860	3,048,016,330	69,077,530	2.27%
		42,482,558,660	40,700,808,320	1,781,750,340	4.38%

Municipality Code	Municipality Name	2025 Residential/Farm Land	2024 Residential/Farm Land	\$ Diff	% Diff
11	ATHABASCA	271,134,714	263,166,118	7,968,596	3.03%
387	BANFF	2,257,246,464	2,129,715,930	127,530,534	5.99%
14	BARRHEAD	400,409,098	380,723,726	19,685,372	5.17%
16	BASHAW	66,639,880	61,297,271	5,342,609	8.80%
17	BASSANO	96,562,477	91,386,669	5,175,808	5.66%
21	BEAVERLODGE	238,295,124	233,079,201	5,215,923	2.24%
24	BENTLEY	101,630,170	97,810,250	3,819,920	3.91%
31	BLACKFALDS	1,364,863,200	1,274,187,465	90,675,735	7.12%
34	BON ACCORD	150,097,705	150,731,143	-633,438	-0.42%
35	BONNYVILLE	578,884,703	593,386,668	-14,501,965	-2.44%
39	BOW ISLAND	148,605,157	145,900,920	2,704,237	1.85%
40	BOWDEN	112,237,835	106,123,993	6,113,842	5.76%
44	BRUDERHEIM	146,419,510	142,032,893	4,386,617	3.09%
47	CALWAL	247,338,793	241,587,710	5,751,083	2.38%
50	CANMORE	10,214,023,400	9,341,142,401	872,880,999	9.34%
52	CARDSTON	367,080,222	351,097,901	15,982,321	4.55%
56	CARSTAIRS	821,813,476	746,398,380	75,415,096	10.10%
58	CASTOR	66,548,233	63,425,903	3,122,330	4.92%
65	CLARESHOLM	458,125,106	417,725,026	40,400,080	9.67%
69	COALDALE	1,198,560,289	1,078,645,478	119,914,811	11.12%
360	COALHURST	336,145,453	311,432,702	24,712,751	7.94%
70	COCHRANE	7,840,427,344	6,636,868,604	1,203,558,740	18.13%
75	CORONATION	58,130,864	55,792,682	2,338,182	4.19%
79	CROSSFIELD	623,967,757	542,669,904	81,297,853	14.98%
82	DAYSLAND	79,667,399	76,148,313	3,519,086	4.62%
86	DEVON	875,187,190	830,956,292	44,230,898	5.32%
7662	DIAMOND VALLEY	1,016,210,145	862,621,247	153,588,898	17.80%
88	DIDSBURY	638,771,317	594,163,025	44,608,292	7.51%
91	DRAYTON VALLEY	744,770,973	693,406,793	51,364,180	7.41%
532	DRUMHELLER	758,358,324	708,637,566	49,720,758	7.02%
95	ECKVILLE	98,395,424	96,857,552	1,537,872	1.59%
100	EDSON	897,444,076	876,540,195	20,903,881	2.38%
101	ELK POINT	103,392,240	105,378,904	-1,986,664	-1.89%
106	FAIRVIEW	222,137,040	223,433,192	-1,296,152	-0.58%

Classification: Public

Comparison of Official 2025 EA to Current 2024 EA



MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT

* NON-RESIDENTIAL

RESIDENTIAL / FARM LAND

Municipality Code	Municipality Name	2025 Residential/Farm land	2024 Residential/Farm land	\$ Diff	% Diff	2025 Mach. & Equip	2024 Mach. & Equip	\$ Diff	% Diff
108	FALHER	57,812,931	56,661,870	1,151,061	2.03%	284,660	276,040	8,620	3.12%
115	FORT MACLEOD	373,967,927	339,540,541	34,427,386	10.14%	1,945,290	1,955,020	-9,730	-0.50%
119	FOX CREEK	185,142,522	197,161,512	-12,018,990	-6.10%	122,640	118,970	3,670	3.08%
124	GIBBONS	366,313,477	352,003,201	14,310,276	4.07%	651,400	668,240	-16,840	-2.52%
137	GRIMSHAW	209,407,412	210,294,458	-887,046	-0.42%	185,360	180,570	4,790	2.65%
141	HANNA	181,145,179	167,949,861	13,195,318	7.86%	1,373,690	1,348,660	25,030	1.86%
143	HARDISTY	69,789,429	68,347,070	1,442,359	2.11%	13,180	12,790	390	3.05%
146	HIGH LEVEL	274,051,759	252,953,486	21,098,273	8.34%	102,160,340	99,279,110	2,881,230	2.90%
147	HIGH PRAIRIE	186,599,593	180,862,566	5,737,027	3.17%	27,431,650	25,734,670	1,696,980	6.59%
148	HIGH RIVER	2,302,524,585	2,025,655,764	276,868,821	13.67%	124,990	121,710	3,280	2.69%
151	HINTON	1,194,480,850	1,134,265,195	60,215,655	5.31%	300,975,070	293,712,470	7,262,600	2.47%
180	INNISFAIL	902,310,968	845,004,529	57,306,439	6.78%	65,084,690	63,115,550	1,969,140	3.12%
183	IRRICANA	147,357,251	131,164,867	16,192,384	12.35%	0	0	0	0.00%
188	KILLAM	74,192,768	72,077,779	2,114,989	2.93%	0	0	0	0.00%
197	LAMONT	144,355,827	136,213,666	8,142,161	5.98%	213,930	211,820	2,110	1.00%
202	LEGAL	122,698,165	123,543,349	-845,184	-0.68%	121,010	145,930	-24,920	-17.08%
211	MAGRATH	273,908,582	249,569,166	24,339,416	9.75%	1,519,980	1,450,090	69,890	4.82%
212	MANNING	90,400,997	88,950,301	1,450,696	1.63%	0	0	0	0.00%
215	MAYERTHORPE	77,826,875	77,361,480	465,395	0.60%	118,020	115,840	2,180	1.88%
216	MCGLENNAN	31,660,922	31,007,396	653,526	2.11%	67,040	66,140	900	1.36%
218	MILK RIVER	73,347,291	63,911,760	9,435,531	14.76%	24,080	24,080	0	0.00%
219	MILLET	208,981,225	201,185,881	7,795,344	3.87%	128,600	128,400	200	0.16%
224	MORINVILLE	1,286,969,362	1,209,826,030	77,143,332	6.38%	988,620	988,620	0	0.00%
227	MUNDARE	87,945,811	85,085,403	2,860,408	3.36%	820,270	2,445,640	-1,625,370	-66.46%
232	NANTON	311,566,685	270,038,681	41,528,004	15.38%	1,434,810	1,438,580	-3,770	-0.26%
235	NOBLEFORD	152,668,469	135,418,885	17,249,584	12.74%	5,474,140	5,511,560	-37,420	-0.68%
238	OKOTOKS	6,255,731,448	5,382,500,267	873,231,181	16.22%	7,701,270	7,900,010	-198,740	-2.52%
239	OLDS	1,378,921,207	1,244,085,259	134,835,948	10.84%	10,750,340	32,040,380	-21,290,040	-66.45%
240	ONOWAY	87,967,423	84,415,490	3,551,933	4.21%	695,020	938,700	-243,680	-25.96%
241	OVEN	73,411,864	70,680,965	2,730,899	3.85%	79,390	77,000	2,390	3.10%
247	PEACE RIVER	643,582,462	649,297,654	-5,715,192	-0.88%	368,160	357,100	11,060	3.10%
248	PENHOLD	420,505,270	399,106,360	21,398,910	5.36%	2,824,960	2,747,720	77,240	2.81%
249	PICTURE BUTTE	204,857,830	184,430,744	20,427,086	11.08%	1,809,920	1,816,610	-6,690	-0.37%
250	PINCHER CREEK	440,305,703	380,185,302	60,120,401	15.81%	171,160	166,070	5,090	3.06%
254	PONOKA	730,309,626	694,062,998	36,246,628	5.22%	3,650,060	3,097,630	552,430	17.83%
257	PROVOST	143,931,752	142,246,356	1,685,396	1.18%	40,060	40,250	-190	-0.47%
260	RAINBOW LAKE	16,502,731	16,008,470	494,261	3.09%	0	0	0	0.00%
261	RAYMOND	431,855,131	387,849,889	44,005,242	11.35%	69,990	70,660	-670	-0.95%
264	REDCLIFF	637,525,428	607,037,934	30,487,494	5.02%	8,976,120	8,874,490	101,630	1.15%
265	REDWATER	212,099,208	208,897,186	3,202,022	1.53%	19,157,650	19,552,910	-395,260	-2.02%
266	RIMBEY	249,811,726	239,834,892	9,976,834	4.16%	1,549,150	1,552,360	-3,210	-0.21%
268	ROCKY MOUNTAIN HOUSE	752,650,839	706,546,445	46,104,394	6.53%	235,390	234,530	860	0.37%
280	SEDEGWICK	72,894,136	71,564,016	1,330,120	1.86%	0	0	0	0.00%
281	SESMITH	275,320,008	266,078,951	9,241,057	3.47%	581,000	545,600	35,400	6.49%
284	SLAVE LAKE	660,554,077	636,637,121	23,916,956	3.76%	0	0	0	0.00%

Municipality Code	Municipality Name	2025 Non-residential	2024 Non-residential	\$ Diff	% Diff	2025 Mach. & Equip	2024 Mach. & Equip	\$ Diff	% Diff
108	FALHER	27,814,313	26,805,798	1,008,515	3.76%	0	0	0	0.00%
115	FORT MACLEOD	152,269,396	140,016,973	12,252,423	8.75%	0	0	0	0.00%
119	FOX CREEK	143,940,359	153,309,462	-9,369,103	-6.11%	0	0	0	0.00%
124	GIBBONS	36,730,985	31,572,160	5,158,825	16.34%	0	0	0	0.00%
137	GRIMSHAW	45,422,385	50,158,805	-4,736,420	-9.44%	0	0	0	0.00%
141	HANNA	63,093,010	62,517,404	575,606	0.92%	0	0	0	0.00%
143	HARDISTY	29,382,677	29,888,131	-505,454	-1.69%	0	0	0	0.00%
146	HIGH LEVEL	217,449,944	206,334,269	11,115,675	5.39%	0	0	0	0.00%
147	HIGH PRAIRIE	113,089,457	110,789,676	2,299,781	2.09%	0	0	0	0.00%
148	HIGH RIVER	356,383,326	334,740,781	21,642,545	6.47%	0	0	0	0.00%
151	HINTON	476,499,637	463,390,850	14,108,787	3.05%	0	0	0	0.00%
180	INNISFAIL	289,023,699	281,985,085	7,038,614	2.50%	0	0	0	0.00%
183	IRRICANA	8,450,040	8,369,720	80,320	0.96%	0	0	0	0.00%
188	KILLAM	22,682,304	23,342,940	-660,636	-2.83%	0	0	0	0.00%
197	LAMONT	27,361,864	27,783,421	-421,557	-1.52%	0	0	0	0.00%
202	LEGAL	9,202,877	8,775,455	427,422	4.87%	0	0	0	0.00%
211	MAGRATH	18,204,308	16,711,788	1,492,520	8.93%	0	0	0	0.00%
212	MANNING	29,476,089	27,867,562	1,608,527	5.77%	0	0	0	0.00%
215	MAYERTHORPE	26,469,963	27,232,533	-762,570	-2.80%	0	0	0	0.00%
216	MCGLENNAN	10,954,479	9,691,561	1,262,918	13.03%	0	0	0	0.00%
218	MILK RIVER	12,214,512	11,225,886	988,626	8.81%	0	0	0	0.00%
219	MILLET	42,238,677	34,403,157	7,835,520	22.78%	0	0	0	0.00%
224	MORINVILLE	188,292,158	184,662,132	3,630,026	1.97%	0	0	0	0.00%
227	MUNDARE	68,491,057	60,456,103	8,034,954	13.29%	0	0	0	0.00%
232	NANTON	44,649,858	39,060,147	5,589,711	14.31%	0	0	0	0.00%
235	NOBLEFORD	919,123,120	789,327,468	129,795,652	16.44%	0	0	0	0.00%
238	OKOTOKS	367,224,410	388,762,195	-22,537,785	-5.78%	0	0	0	0.00%
239	OLDS	33,573,695	37,298,454	-3,724,759	-9.99%	0	0	0	0.00%
240	ONOWAY	25,375,865	21,700,059	3,675,807	16.94%	0	0	0	0.00%
241	OVEN	260,017,881	267,554,961	-7,537,080	-2.82%	0	0	0	0.00%
247	PEACE RIVER	45,043,806	40,611,942	4,431,864	10.91%	0	0	0	0.00%
248	PENHOLD	44,272,106	40,225,458	4,046,648	10.06%	0	0	0	0.00%
249	PICTURE BUTTE	140,325,352	124,915,057	15,410,295	12.34%	0	0	0	0.00%
250	PINCHER CREEK	196,555,435	192,950,025	3,605,410	1.87%	0	0	0	0.00%
254	PONOKA	67,420,401	65,533,866	1,886,535	2.88%	0	0	0	0.00%
257	PROVOST	13,145,841	13,126,143	19,698	0.15%	0	0	0	0.00%
260	RAINBOW LAKE	30,145,877	28,722,037	1,423,840	4.96%	0	0	0	0.00%
261	RAYMOND	217,379,286	209,417,788	7,961,498	3.80%	0	0	0	0.00%
264	REDCLIFF	88,497,716	90,068,539	-1,570,823	-1.78%	0	0	0	0.00%
265	REDWATER	281,815,896	82,292,565	6,523,331	7.93%	0	0	0	0.00%
266	RIMBEY	291,856,402	283,008,872	8,847,530	3.13%	0	0	0	0.00%
268	ROCKY MOUNTAIN HOUSE	18,921,900	18,538,354	383,546	2.09%	0	0	0	0.00%
280	SEDEGWICK	50,793,113	51,172,913	-379,800	-0.74%	0	0	0	0.00%
281	SESMITH	254,709,859	252,589,095	2,120,764	0.84%	0	0	0	0.00%

Classification: Public

Comparison of Official 2025 EA to Current 2024 EA

MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT

* NON-RESIDENTIAL

RESIDENTIAL / FARM LAND

Municipality Code	Municipality Name	2025 Residential/Farm land	2024 Residential/Farm land	\$ Diff	% Diff	2025 Non-residential	2024 Non-residential	\$ Diff	% Diff	2025 Mech & Equip	2024 Mech & Equip	\$ Diff	% Diff
285	SMOKY LAKE	82,043,129	76,989,571	5,053,558	6.56%	21,177,028	19,864,563	1,312,465	6.61%	35,300	34,300	1,000	2.92%
289	SPIRIT RIVER	64,867,864	65,042,752	-174,888	-0.27%	20,260,072	20,043,476	216,596	1.08%	14,330	13,900	430	3.09%
293	ST. PAUL	493,271,410	492,355,523	915,887	0.19%	173,515,993	166,941,121	6,574,872	3.94%	583,330	543,980	38,350	7.05%
297	STAVELY	62,125,967	55,167,504	6,958,463	12.61%	10,555,816	11,936,728	-1,380,912	-11.57%	873,540	868,640	4,900	0.55%
298	STETLER	600,514,237	568,158,150	31,356,087	5.58%	256,616,106	240,307,116	18,308,990	7.62%	106,760	107,500	-740	-0.69%
301	STONY PLAIN	2,675,195,174	2,490,393,071	184,802,103	7.42%	552,677,334	516,099,415	36,577,919	7.09%	729,910	725,520	4,390	0.61%
303	STRATHMORE	2,350,391,476	1,858,537,136	491,854,340	26.5%	386,913,449	352,776,314	34,137,135	9.68%	634,490	620,390	14,100	2.27%
307	SUNDRE	348,948,473	327,278,939	21,669,534	6.62%	96,209,397	98,511,249	-2,301,852	-2.34%	79,260	76,870	2,390	3.11%
309	SWAN HILLS	50,595,495	47,865,758	2,729,737	5.70%	26,224,121	29,533,167	-3,309,046	-11.20%	891,100	864,660	26,440	3.06%
311	TABER	82,069,601	81,232,745	836,856	1.03%	357,920,009	341,135,950	16,784,059	4.92%	2,256,740	1,154,120	1,102,620	95.54%
316	THREE HILLS	296,876,380	2,408,720,873	94,670,603	3.93%	297,866,379	269,780,122	27,905,097	10.34%	74,268,670	72,190,860	2,077,810	2.88%
318	TOTFIELD	200,935,682	197,542,301	3,393,381	1.72%	20,316,532	21,500,076	-1,183,544	-5.50%	996,930	968,860	30,070	3.10%
320	TROCHU	80,555,835	73,144,704	7,411,131	10.13%	69,687,172	61,741,420	7,945,752	12.87%	1,739,420	1,782,330	-42,910	-2.41%
322	TWO HILLS	63,822,840	62,400,364	1,422,476	2.28%	55,182,942	53,683,666	1,499,076	2.79%	2,699,600	2,619,900	79,700	2.94%
325	VALLEYVIEW	89,096,265	136,098,965	9,529,095	7.00%	14,150,463	13,960,184	190,279	1.36%	508,000	521,000	-13,000	-2.50%
326	VAUXHALL	514,123,178	496,180,846	17,942,332	3.62%	85,562,622	78,035,160	7,527,462	9.65%	444,630	453,460	-8,830	-1.95%
327	VERMILION	422,205,693	409,420,960	12,784,733	3.12%	196,119,668	189,949,166	6,170,502	3.25%	1,564,450	1,580,940	-16,490	-1.04%
331	VIKING	73,253,266	70,981,393	2,271,873	3.20%	180,553,726	174,991,304	5,562,422	3.18%	1,625,920	1,683,900	-57,980	-3.44%
333	WAINWRIGHT	213,893,469	197,930,208	15,963,261	8.07%	44,086,971	41,470,356	2,616,615	6.31%	766,580	769,810	-3,230	-0.42%
335	WAINWRIGHT	651,958,863	643,392,873	8,565,990	1.33%	257,079,286	253,216,858	3,862,428	1.53%	919,050	895,750	23,300	2.60%
343	WEIMLEY	148,879,114	143,216,658	5,662,456	3.95%	40,175,550	37,394,496	2,781,054	7.44%	9,175,550	8,984,780	190,770	2.12%
345	WESTLOCK	432,061,586	415,194,499	16,867,087	4.05%	181,797,602	181,149,123	648,479	0.36%	1,102,220	2,758,390	-1,656,170	-60.04%
350	WHITECOURT	1,088,118,487	1,068,907,639	19,210,848	1.80%	633,763,636	605,218,144	28,545,492	4.72%	3,094,370	3,013,710	80,660	2.68%
	SUBTOTAL	71,117,663,199	65,199,239,266	5,918,423,933	9.08%	17,552,118,090	16,105,464,680	1,446,653,410	8.98%	198,337,640	193,321,100	5,016,540	2.59%
										1,010,838,700	1,007,154,530	3,684,170	0.37%

Municipality Code	Municipality Name	2025 Residential/Farm land	2024 Residential/Farm land	\$ Diff	% Diff	2025 Non-residential	2024 Non-residential	\$ Diff	% Diff	2025 Mech & Equip	2024 Mech & Equip	\$ Diff	% Diff
2	ACME	61,387,300	53,745,825	7,641,475	14.22%	12,065,369	10,940,494	1,124,875	10.28%	0	0	0	0.00%
4	ALBERTA BEACH	181,559,476	180,019,820	1,539,656	0.86%	12,666,133	11,254,015	1,412,118	12.55%	159,930	156,450	3,480	2.22%
5	ALIX	67,837,689	61,328,783	6,508,906	10.61%	17,387,436	15,890,033	1,497,403	9.42%	30,157,600	29,375,300	782,300	2.66%
6	ALLIANCE	6,908,775	6,823,452	85,323	1.25%	2,847,760	2,869,122	-21,362	-0.74%	111,580	113,540	-1,960	-1.73%
7	AMISK	11,213,065	11,492,508	-279,443	-2.43%	1,704,880	1,462,200	242,680	16.60%	0	0	0	0.00%
8	ANDREW	25,555,976	26,547,885	-991,909	-3.74%	5,811,984	5,337,345	474,639	8.91%	10,700	10,380	320	3.08%
10	ARROWWOOD	15,689,259	13,323,592	2,365,667	17.76%	3,589,406	3,035,757	553,649	18.24%	0	0	0	0.00%
363	BARNWELL	107,793,926	102,902,851	4,891,075	4.75%	4,824,790	4,621,750	203,040	4.39%	9,630	9,330	300	3.22%
13	BARONS	24,211,099	18,494,140	5,716,959	30.91%	3,457,326	2,610,077	847,249	32.46%	46,650	46,350	300	0.65%
18	BAVLF	33,962,507	32,902,260	1,060,247	3.22%	1,846,720	1,778,200	68,520	3.85%	0	0	0	0.00%
22	BESEKER	90,177,868	79,749,378	10,428,490	13.08%	29,576,088	29,061,565	514,523	1.77%	731,990	55,770	676,220	1212.52%
25	BERWYN	27,843,857	28,876,787	-1,032,930	-3.58%	3,270,019	3,285,670	-15,651	-0.48%	48,460	49,390	-930	-1.88%
27	BIG VALLEY	23,670,417	1,194,005	22,476,412	5.31%	5,641,158	5,110,140	531,018	10.39%	60,020	59,190	830	1.40%
29	BITTERN LAKE	63,043,159	22,518,389	40,524,770	2.33%	2,339,365	2,274,269	64,996	2.86%	245,290	241,010	4,280	1.78%
41	BOYLE	61,801,401	60,966,550	834,851	1.37%	26,322,363	25,584,259	738,068	2.88%	618,330	604,230	14,100	2.33%
42	BRETON	44,595,135	41,521,251	3,073,884	7.40%	11,105,497	11,056,672	48,825	0.44%	103,140	104,380	-1,240	-1.19%
51	CARBON	43,342,980	39,958,387	3,384,593	8.47%	3,055,063	3,054,325	738	0.02%	0	0	0	0.00%

Comparison of Official 2025 EA to Current 2024 EA

Classification: Public

84

MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT

* NON-RESIDENTIAL

RESIDENTIAL / FARM LAND

Municipality Code	Municipality Name	2025 Residential/Farm land		2024 Residential/Farm land		2025 Non-Residential		2024 Non-Residential		2025 Mach & Equip		2024 Mach & Equip		% Diff
		\$	% Diff	\$	% Diff	\$	% Diff	\$	% Diff	\$	% Diff	\$	% Diff	
54	CARMANGAY	21,673,884	14.63%	18,907,964	14.63%	2,995,639	18.08%	2,536,969	18.08%	26,240	3.00%	25,460	3.00%	3.00%
55	CAROLINE	29,387,416	6.14%	26,746,293	6.14%	11,538,976	0.91%	11,434,840	0.91%	0	-100.00%	39,500	-39,500	-100.00%
61	CHAMPION	32,065,761	37.38%	23,340,147	37.38%	4,269,175	15.76%	3,687,828	15.76%	130,050	2.59%	127,460	2,590	2.03%
62	CHAUVIN	15,741,183	0.59%	15,648,214	0.59%	6,059,264	6.55%	5,687,000	6.55%	285,440	3.01%	277,090	8,350	3.01%
64	CHIPMAN	19,085,444	3.30%	18,475,526	3.30%	4,467,741	4.24%	4,324,817	4.24%	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
66	CLIVE	78,694,820	3.60%	75,960,683	3.60%	3,409,015	131.88%	3,277,127	131.88%	62,800	1.70%	61,100	1,700	2.78%
68	CLYDE	31,982,721	6.11%	30,140,914	6.11%	2,455,415	-6.10%	2,614,875	-6.10%	79,650	33.33%	59,740	19,910	33.33%
73	CONSORT	42,747,702	3.98%	41,112,528	3.98%	17,529,144	4.89%	16,711,667	4.89%	116,020	21.11%	94,910	21,110	22.24%
76	COULTS	15,745,693	8.69%	14,486,390	8.69%	10,502,759	11.15%	9,449,483	11.15%	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
77	COWLEY	19,906,771	18.14%	16,849,801	18.14%	4,272,303	171.96%	4,100,334	171.96%	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
78	CREMONA	44,860,143	7.73%	43,486,773	7.73%	7,949,192	2.49%	7,170,970	2.49%	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
81	CZAR	80,889,649	0.22%	80,716,099	0.22%	10,720,708	-8.03%	11,656,740	-8.03%	63,670	1.67%	62,000	1,670	2.69%
83	DELBURNE	14,501,744	8.51%	13,363,973	8.51%	3,409,192	-11.86%	3,421,060	-11.86%	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
84	DELIA	80,889,649	4.40%	79,986,400	4.40%	2,199,080	2.80%	2,139,230	2.80%	40,040	3.09%	38,840	1,200	3.09%
89	DONALDA	12,899,335	4.81%	12,855,551	4.81%	10,245,020	7.87%	9,496,060	7.87%	341,400	9.68%	331,720	9,680	9.92%
90	DONNELLY	20,208,248	1.59%	19,281,176	1.59%	3,972,574	5.90%	3,751,120	5.90%	144,480	2.28%	141,260	3,220	2.28%
93	DUCHESS	99,505,770	6.62%	97,953,124	6.62%	2,661,753	-4.31%	2,781,690	-4.31%	154,990	0.49%	154,180	810	0.49%
96	EDBERG	8,514,811	0.39%	8,486,063	0.39%	1,777,557	8.75%	1,768,805	8.75%	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
97	EDGERTON	24,772,317	11.08%	22,084,930	11.08%	12,636,311	9.88%	11,499,937	9.88%	152,860	0.86%	151,560	1,300	0.86%
102	ELMORA	7,218,424	-0.20%	7,232,986	-0.20%	9,869,757	-2.00%	9,867,488	-2.00%	41,180	3.13%	39,990	1,190	3.13%
103	EMPRESS	48,691,789	13.19%	43,016,671	13.19%	2,581,860	-4.02%	2,690,060	-4.02%	26,590	0.80%	26,380	210	0.80%
112	FOREMOST	59,844,608	3.01%	58,066,817	3.01%	4,822,579	35.50%	4,787,075	35.50%	147,650	1.73%	145,140	2,510	1.73%
113	FORESTBURG	13,394,656	0.28%	13,002,945	0.28%	2,433,013	-11.04%	2,444,053	-11.04%	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
125	GROUXVILLE	36,428,057	102.531	36,325,526	102.531	2,311,977	18.76%	1,946,801	18.76%	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
127	GLENWOOD	33,254,687	13.05%	29,417,011	13.05%	1,456,150	6.05%	1,119,820	6.05%	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
128	GLENWOOD	33,254,687	13.05%	29,417,011	13.05%	1,875,584	1.63%	1,119,820	1.63%	3,356,500	1.34%	3,312,000	44,500	1.34%
140	HALKIRK	5,913,589	3.09%	5,736,395	3.09%	8,723,995	6.83%	8,655,163	6.83%	931,020	1.69%	915,930	15,090	1.69%
144	HAY LAKES	51,124,912	5.59%	48,418,740	5.59%	1,660,278	6.17%	1,563,864	6.17%	2,202,310	5.41%	2,089,280	113,030	5.41%
145	HEISLER	7,166,018	6.25%	6,744,372	6.25%	2,945,990	10.63%	2,662,860	10.63%	56,790	3.10%	55,080	1,710	3.10%
149	HILL SPRING	22,220,605	4.54%	21,255,533	4.54%	3,401,962	7.10%	3,176,501	7.10%	446,470	0.69%	443,420	3,050	0.69%
150	HINES CREEK	12,989,560	-2.79%	13,363,005	-2.79%	7,667,896	9.01%	7,658,880	9.01%	153,090	-0.33%	153,540	-450	-0.33%
152	HOLDEN	18,535,615	7.24%	17,284,324	7.24%	17,840,704	2.25%	17,447,785	2.25%	3,656,320	49.97%	2,437,980	1,218,340	49.97%
153	HUGHENDEN	10,325,089	-0.77%	10,405,145	-0.77%	2,460,662	5.44%	2,333,810	5.44%	94,110	2.79%	91,560	2,550	2.79%
154	HUSSAR	12,908,696	7.61%	11,996,167	7.61%	13,064,290	1.38%	12,886,720	1.38%	1,071,700	2.38%	1,046,760	24,940	2.38%
181	INNISFREE	10,337,026	7.72%	9,596,374	7.72%	7,667,896	9.01%	7,658,880	9.01%	397,590	3.10%	385,630	11,960	3.10%
182	IRMA	37,925,745	2.75%	36,908,979	2.75%	7,258,345	2.14%	7,106,299	2.14%	36,440	2.97%	35,330	1,110	2.97%
190	KITSCOTT	82,297,818	-0.18%	82,450,164	-0.18%	4,902,152	1.06%	4,850,525	1.06%	364,440	1.50%	353,930	10,510	1.50%
205	LINDEN	73,539,969	11.78%	65,787,309	11.78%	8,754,744	9.29%	8,768,882	9.29%	104,810	-2.38%	103,260	1,550	-2.38%
207	LONDON	11,442,617	8.91%	10,506,500	8.91%	4,851,881	7.43%	4,443,121	7.43%	15,150	-2.38%	15,520	-370	-2.38%
208	LONGVIEW	57,836,917	11.08%	52,068,641	11.08%	3,656,842	7.43%	3,403,833	7.43%	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
209	LOUGHEE	12,836,863	1.98%	12,587,244	1.98%	1,339,991	11.59%	1,200,780	11.59%	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
213	MANNVILLE	43,272,640	2.95%	42,034,187	2.95%	1,339,991	11.59%	1,200,780	11.59%	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
214	MARWAYNE	37,946,327	5.58%	35,940,405	5.58%	1,339,991	11.59%	1,200,780	11.59%	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
220	MILLO	10,933,693	17.35%	9,317,485	17.35%	1,339,991	11.59%	1,200,780	11.59%	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
225	MORRIN	14,401,027	5.36%	13,668,507	5.36%	1,339,991	11.59%	1,200,780	11.59%	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%

Classification: Public

Comparison of Official 2025 EA to Current 2024 EA

85

MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT

* NON-RESIDENTIAL

RESIDENTIAL / FARM LAND

Municipality Code	Municipality Name	2025 Residential/farm land	2024 Residential/farm land	\$ Diff	% Diff	2025 Mach & Equip	2024 Mach & Equip	\$ Diff	% Diff
228	MUNSON	17,720,187	16,835,715	884,472	5.25%	258,640	265,920	-7,280	-2.74%
229	MYRNAM	14,695,018	14,429,180	265,838	1.84%	49,980	48,490	1,490	3.07%
231	NAMPA	22,415,980	22,415,980	-356,220	-1.59%	3,012,300	2,824,000	188,300	6.67%
244	PARADISE VALLEY	8,737,847	8,436,062	301,785	3.58%	40,680	39,450	1,230	3.11%
270	ROCKFORD	26,573,568	25,099,415	1,474,153	5.87%	244,820	237,460	7,360	3.10%
271	ROSSALIND	12,972,866	12,159,344	813,522	6.69%	316,270	306,760	9,510	3.10%
272	ROSEMARY	28,622,118	28,585,677	36,441	0.14%	100,170	97,740	2,430	2.49%
275	RYEGROFT	35,538,176	34,622,838	915,338	2.63%	735,880	726,130	9,750	1.34%
276	RYLEY	26,280,915	25,703,441	577,474	2.25%	1,240,460	1,256,600	-16,140	-1.28%
295	STANDARD	156,240,777	145,917,096	10,323,681	7.08%	0	0	0	0.00%
300	STIRLING	34,255,372	31,614,395	2,640,977	8.35%	0	0	0	0.00%
303	STIRLING	127,589,176	115,146,677	12,442,499	10.80%	0	0	0	0.00%
330	VETERAN	9,568,583	9,138,746	429,837	4.70%	72,170	70,560	1,610	2.28%
332	WILNA	11,325,714	11,148,791	176,923	1.59%	0	0	0	0.00%
338	WARBURG	49,961,251	47,750,676	2,210,575	4.63%	179,370	181,520	-2,150	-1.18%
339	WARNER	29,322,541	25,619,933	3,702,608	14.45%	713,960	692,420	21,540	3.11%
342	WASKATENAU	16,128,723	15,959,488	169,235	1.06%	0	0	0	0.00%
355	YOUNGSTOWN	9,118,491	8,847,662	270,829	3.06%	0	0	0	0.00%
	SUBTOTAL	2,862,921,629	2,707,573,843	155,347,786	5.74%	53,931,260	50,813,330	3,117,930	6.14%

Municipality Code	Municipality Name	2025 Non-residential	2024 Non-residential	\$ Diff	% Diff	2025 Mach & Equip	2024 Mach & Equip	\$ Diff	% Diff
		1,383,450	1,316,590	66,860	5.08%	0	0	0	0.00%
		1,646,767	1,451,290	195,477	13.47%	0	0	0	0.00%
		17,832,300	18,046,100	-213,800	-1.18%	0	0	0	0.00%
		1,436,050	1,355,000	81,050	5.98%	0	0	0	0.00%
		6,521,980	6,288,691	233,289	3.71%	0	0	0	0.00%
		2,572,920	2,461,700	111,220	4.52%	0	0	0	0.00%
		2,502,630	2,229,770	272,860	12.24%	0	0	0	0.00%
		24,717,280	25,129,460	-412,180	-1.64%	0	0	0	0.00%
		12,225,980	11,617,551	608,429	5.24%	0	0	0	0.00%
		3,409,410	3,187,750	221,660	6.95%	0	0	0	0.00%
		13,809,143	13,877,725	-68,582	-0.49%	0	0	0	0.00%
		4,097,260	3,787,470	309,790	8.18%	0	0	0	0.00%
		2,592,542	2,545,549	46,993	1.85%	0	0	0	0.00%
		2,223,812	2,055,127	168,685	8.21%	0	0	0	0.00%
		11,198,116	11,161,997	36,119	0.32%	0	0	0	0.00%
		5,095,573	4,366,463	729,110	16.70%	0	0	0	0.00%
		1,936,623	1,794,890	141,733	7.90%	0	0	0	0.00%
		2,175,140	2,065,220	109,920	5.32%	0	0	0	0.00%
		512,353,818	493,104,424	19,249,394	3.90%	0	0	0	0.00%

Municipality Code	Municipality Name	2025 Residential/farm land	2024 Residential/farm land	\$ Diff	% Diff
9	ARGENTIA BEACH	98,127,010	91,166,908	6,960,102	7.63%
26	BETULA BEACH	35,642,462	4,214,395	31,428,067	13.41%
384	BIRCH COVE	15,418,082	14,183,959	1,234,123	8.70%
28	BIRCHCLIFF	210,371,868	198,858,958	11,512,910	5.79%
367	BONDISS	71,497,373	66,755,324	4,742,049	7.10%
37	BONNYVILLE BEACH	26,804,093	26,653,020	151,073	0.57%
414	BURNSTICK LAKE	28,046,924	21,081,918	6,965,006	33.04%
57	CASTLE ISLAND	13,644,235	13,898,034	-253,799	-1.83%
80	CRYSTAL SPRINGS	98,279,632	93,032,889	5,246,743	5.64%
123	GHOST LAKE	57,529,887	49,300,701	8,229,186	16.69%
129	GOLDEN DAYS	154,199,361	143,569,145	10,630,216	7.40%
134	GRANDVIEW	118,684,727	112,229,688	6,455,039	5.75%
138	GULL LAKE	115,455,361	105,193,505	10,261,856	9.76%
358	HALF MOON BAY	47,977,934	47,520,376	457,558	0.96%
375	HORSESHOE BAY	16,739,494	16,511,708	227,786	1.34%
185	ISLAND LAKE	128,545,992	117,457,265	11,088,727	9.44%
368	ISLAND LAKE SOUTH	33,675,996	32,364,618	1,311,378	4.05%
186	ITASKA BEACH	50,525,306	48,633,263	1,892,043	3.89%
379	JARVIS BAY	211,593,589	191,430,353	20,163,236	10.53%
187	KAPASWIN	34,831,702	34,317,495	514,207	1.50%
196	LAKEVIEW	20,320,715	18,001,543	2,319,172	12.88%
378	LARKSPUR	36,068,000	34,550,000	1,518,000	4.40%
210	MA-MIE-O BEACH	105,722,253	106,514,176	-791,923	-0.74%
359	MEWATHA BEACH	64,816,075	60,038,168	4,777,907	7.96%
230	NAKAMUN PARK	45,987,515	43,107,286	2,880,229	6.68%

Summer Villages

Municipality Code	Municipality Name	2025 Non-residential	2024 Non-residential	\$ Diff	% Diff
9	ARGENTIA BEACH	331,580	313,770	17,810	5.68%
26	BETULA BEACH	59,850	57,310	2,540	4.43%
384	BIRCH COVE	57,380	54,950	2,430	4.42%
28	BIRCHCLIFF	1,918,460	1,895,850	22,610	1.19%
367	BONDISS	850,550	765,060	85,490	11.17%
37	BONNYVILLE BEACH	183,370	177,360	6,010	3.39%
414	BURNSTICK LAKE	37,450	34,860	2,590	7.43%
57	CASTLE ISLAND	17,380	16,430	950	5.78%
80	CRYSTAL SPRINGS	335,280	321,340	13,940	4.34%
123	GHOST LAKE	70,420	69,860	560	0.80%
129	GOLDEN DAYS	814,490	866,450	-51,960	-6.00%
134	GRANDVIEW	305,610	286,050	19,560	6.84%
138	GULL LAKE	1,352,920	1,197,870	155,050	12.94%
358	HALF MOON BAY	44,890	41,800	3,090	7.39%
375	HORSESHOE BAY	201,900	193,470	8,430	4.36%
185	ISLAND LAKE	809,300	694,430	114,870	16.54%
368	ISLAND LAKE SOUTH	113,980	108,580	5,400	4.97%
186	ITASKA BEACH	160,410	154,990	5,420	3.50%
379	JARVIS BAY	389,400	368,940	20,460	5.55%
187	KAPASWIN	84,310	84,310	0	0.00%
196	LAKEVIEW	72,930	68,170	4,760	6.98%
378	LARKSPUR	59,930	58,610	1,320	2.25%
210	MA-MIE-O BEACH	2,061,640	2,073,540	-11,900	-0.57%
359	MEWATHA BEACH	287,900	243,630	44,270	18.17%
230	NAKAMUN PARK	159,260	151,180	8,080	5.34%

Comparison of Official 2025 EA to Current 2024 EA

Classification: Public

MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT

* NON-RESIDENTIAL

RESIDENTIAL / FARM LAND

Municipality Code	Municipality Name	2025 Residential/Farm land		2024 Residential/Farm land		2025 Non-residential		2024 Non-residential		2025 Mach & Equip		2024 Mach & Equip		% Diff
			\$ Diff		\$ Diff		\$ Diff		\$ Diff		\$ Diff		\$ Diff	
237	NORGLINWOLD	258,215,606	23,662,348	234,553,258	10.09%	621,140	582,850	38,290	6.7%	0	0	0	0.00%	
385	NORRIS BEACH	39,123,256	941,092	38,182,164	2.46%	180,540	175,670	4,870	2.7%	0	0	0	0.00%	
374	PARKLAND BEACH	84,135,744	79,376,687	4,759,057	6.00%	2,583,120	2,472,828	110,292	4.46%	0	0	0	0.00%	
362	PELICAN NARROWS	56,633,552	2,544,588	54,088,964	4.70%	319,730	309,170	10,560	3.42%	0	0	0	0.00%	
253	POINT ALISON	25,394,657	-41,391	25,436,048	-0.16%	80,180	76,780	3,400	4.43%	0	0	0	0.00%	
256	POPLAR BAY	105,151,193	907,149	104,244,044	0.87%	411,030	395,590	15,440	3.80%	0	0	0	0.00%	
273	ROCHON SANDS	66,839,653	3,079,467	63,760,186	4.83%	233,730	222,000	11,730	5.28%	0	0	0	0.00%	
271	SANDY BEACH	51,319,345	48,363,260	2,956,085	6.11%	676,877	628,812	48,065	7.64%	0	0	0	0.00%	
279	SEBA BEACH	204,944,372	17,367,245	187,577,127	9.26%	3,886,530	3,692,860	193,670	5.24%	0	0	0	0.00%	
282	SILVER BEACH	97,557,868	1,067,080	96,490,788	1.11%	209,800	200,890	8,910	4.44%	0	0	0	0.00%	
283	SILVER SANDS	70,050,286	6,195,557	63,854,729	9.70%	1,343,960	1,254,520	89,440	7.13%	0	0	0	0.00%	
369	SOUTH BAPTISTE	23,136,355	1,890,387	21,255,968	8.85%	138,100	132,560	5,540	4.18%	0	0	0	0.00%	
288	SOUTH VIEW	20,587,286	739,598	19,847,688	3.73%	778,690	768,250	10,440	1.36%	0	0	0	0.00%	
388	SUNBREAKER COVE	160,094,129	8,928,639	151,165,490	5.91%	170,210	163,000	7,210	4.42%	0	0	0	0.00%	
306	SUNDANCE BEACH	68,984,227	2,800,511	66,183,716	4.23%	91,760	86,840	4,920	5.67%	0	0	0	0.00%	
386	SUNRISE BEACH	31,296,281	1,619,471	29,676,810	5.46%	153,020	145,870	7,150	5.19%	0	0	0	0.00%	
357	SUNSET BEACH	38,403,430	1,563,481	36,839,949	4.24%	202,640	161,590	41,050	25.42%	0	0	0	0.00%	
308	SUNSET POINT	74,367,798	-206,625	74,574,423	-0.28%	305,690	292,150	13,540	4.63%	0	0	0	0.00%	
324	VAL QUENTIN	54,487,298	3,774,952	50,712,346	7.44%	221,540	210,930	10,610	5.03%	0	0	0	0.00%	
380	WAIPAROUS	47,032,933	9,050,703	37,982,230	23.84%	140,540	134,100	6,440	4.80%	0	0	0	0.00%	
370	WEST BAPTISTE	42,854,372	4,391,403	38,462,969	11.42%	221,540	210,930	10,610	5.03%	0	0	0	0.00%	
344	WEST COVE	59,945,733	466,716	59,479,017	0.78%	140,540	134,100	6,440	4.80%	0	0	0	0.00%	
371	WHISPERING HILLS	58,867,667	7,385,016	49,482,651	14.92%	472,480	291,480	181,000	62.10%	0	0	0	0.00%	
365	WHITE SANDS	126,923,437	6,051,769	120,871,668	5.01%	628,090	600,250	27,840	4.63%	0	0	0	0.00%	
354	YELLOWSTONE	40,605,537	2,459,469	38,146,068	6.45%	176,710	167,420	9,290	5.55%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	SUBTOTAL	3,810,178,949	238,330,358	3,571,848,591	6.67%	25,483,437	24,143,860	1,339,577	5.55%	139,830	95,880	43,950	45.80%	
Improvement Districts														
159	I.D. NO. 04 (WATERTON)	204,914,379	14,695,848	190,218,531	7.73%	75,230,780	71,253,670	3,977,110	5.58%	0	0	0	0.00%	
164	I.D. NO. 09 (BANFF)	139,521,790	17,729,590	121,792,200	14.56%	885,137,783	731,062,930	154,074,853	21.08%	0	0	0	0.00%	
167	I.D. NO. 12 (JASPER NATIONAL PARK)	6,635,090	458,590	6,176,500	7.42%	57,818,840	57,205,850	612,990	1.07%	0	0	0	0.00%	
168	I.D. NO. 13 (ELK ISLAND)	374,130	630	373,500	0.17%	5,863,570	5,940,010	-76,440	-1.29%	0	0	0	0.00%	
179	I.D. NO. 24 (WOOD BUFFALO)	2,439,830	-8,070	2,447,900	-0.33%	1,308,420	1,267,340	42,080	3.32%	0	0	0	0.00%	
373	KANAMASKI IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT	76,495,915	6,228,219	70,267,696	8.86%	139,161,230	123,248,450	15,912,780	12.91%	24,293,160	24,177,410	115,750	0.48%	
	SUBTOTAL	430,381,134	39,104,807	391,276,327	9.99%	1,164,521,623	989,978,250	174,543,373	17.63%	24,293,160	24,177,410	115,750	0.48%	
Special Areas														
142	SPECIAL AREAS BOARD	675,990,836	55,285,819	620,704,917	8.91%	2,946,651,256	2,585,680,407	360,980,849	13.96%	467,701,670	461,023,690	6,677,980	1.45%	
	SUBTOTAL	675,990,836	55,285,819	620,704,917	8.91%	2,946,651,256	2,585,680,407	360,980,849	13.96%	467,701,670	461,023,690	6,677,980	1.45%	
462	TOWNSHIP OF REDWOOD MEADOWS	249,648,091	21,882,353	227,765,738	9.61%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	SUBTOTAL	249,648,091	21,882,353	227,765,738	9.61%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	GRAND TOTAL	764,918,833,819	60,246,779,392	704,672,074,427	8.55%	270,834,997,698	256,006,403,106	14,828,554,592	5.79%	102,710,708,399	98,595,097,087	4,115,611,312	4.17%	

Classification: Public

Comparison of Official 2025 EA to Current 2024 EA

87



11.1

TOWN OF ONOWAY

Mail: Box 540
Onoway, Alberta
T0E-1V0
Town Office: 4812-51 Street
Phone: 780-967-5338
info@onoway.ca

November 5, 2024

Town of Onoway Organizational Meeting – October 24, 2024

Onoway Town Council held their organizational meeting on October 24, 2024. The results of the Organizational Meeting are:

Mayor	Lenard Kwasny
Deputy Mayor	Lisa Johnson
Councillor	Bridgitte Coninx
Councillor	Robin Murray
Councillor	Sheila Pockett

COMMISSION APPOINTMENTS:

Capital Regional Assessment Services Commission

- Councillor Robin Murray as representative and Deputy Mayor Lisa Johnson as alternate.

Highway 43 East Waste Commission

- Mayor Lenard Kwasny as representative and Deputy Mayor Lisa Johnson as alternate.

West Inter Lake District (WILD) Regional Water Services Commission

- Mayor Lenard Kwasny as representative and Councillor Bridgitte Coninx as alternate.

REGIONAL BOARD APPOINTMENTS:

East End Bus Society

- Councillor Sheila Pockett as representative and Deputy Mayor Lisa Johnson as alternate.
-

Community Futures Yellowhead East

- Councillor Robin Murray as representative and Councillor Bridgitte Coninx as alternate.

Lac Ste. Anne Foundation

- Deputy Mayor Lisa Johnson as representative.

Yellowhead Regional Library Board

- Councillor Bridgitte Coninx as representative.

Economic Development Committee/Partnership Committee

- Councillor Bridgitte Coninx and Councillor Robin Murray as representatives and Councillor Sheila Pockett as alternate.

Onoway Regional Medical Clinic / Physician Recruitment Retention Committee

- Councillor Bridgitte Coninx as representative and Councillor Sheila Pockett as alternate.



Town of Onoway Organizational Meeting Appointments – Page 2

North Saskatchewan Watershed Alliance

- Councillor Bridgitte Coninx as representative; Public Works Manager to the Technical Committee.

Onoway Regional Fire Services Committee

- Deputy Mayor Lisa Johnson as representative and Councillor Sheila Pockett as alternate; Chief Administrative Officer or designate to attend meetings.

Emergency Management/ Disaster Services Committee

- Deputy Mayor Lisa Johnson and Councillor Bridgitte Coninx as representatives

LOCAL BOARD APPOINTMENTS:

Town of Onoway Library Board

- Councillor Bridgitte Coninx and Councillor Sheila Pockett as representatives.

Onoway and District Chamber of Commerce

- Councillor Sheila Pockett as representative and Deputy Mayor Lisa Johnson as alternate.

Onoway Beautification Committee

- Councillor Sheila Pockett and Councillor Bridgitte Coninx as representatives.

Onoway and District Agricultural Society (ODAS) - (Arena)

- Councillor Bridgitte Coninx as representative and Deputy Mayor Lisa Johnson as alternate.

Onoway Facility Enhancement Association (OFEA) – Community Hall

- Deputy Mayor Lisa Johnson as representative and Councillor Bridgitte Coninx as alternate.

Onoway and District Historical Guild

- Councillor Robin Murray as representative and Deputy Mayor Lisa Johnson as alternate.

Regional Wastewater Line Committee

- Mayor Len Kwasny and Deputy Mayor Lisa Johnson as representatives; CAO Thompson to the Technical Committee.

Regional Trail Committee

- Deputy Mayor Lisa Johnson and Councillor Bridgitte Coninx as representatives.

Onoway Economic Development and Tourism Committee/Subcommittee Onoway Interagency Committee

- Mayor Lenard Kwasny and Deputy Mayor Lisa Johnson as representatives.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS:

Enforcement Review Committee

- Deputy Mayor Lisa Johnson, Councillor Bridgitte Coninx and Councillor Sheila Pockett.

09

11.m

cao

From: Government of Alberta <epa.water@gov.ab.ca>
Sent: October 31, 2024 4:32 PM
To: Info
Subject: Water availability engagement



October 31, 2024

Dear Municipal Leaders:

I am writing to inform you of the launch of an engagement to identify opportunities and understand barriers to improve water management and make more water available for use.

Population growth, economic growth and water variability are challenging the water management system in Alberta. New policy and regulatory tools, including potential amendments to the *Water Act*, could be used to increase water availability for Albertans and optimize the water management system to better address these issues while continuing to protect the aquatic environment.

The water priority and licence seniority system in Alberta – reflecting the principles of first in time, first in right – is not proposed to change. The goal is to engage on how Alberta can best:

- increase water conservation, efficiency, and productivity;
- free up and optimize use of available water;
- better capture and improve access to existing water sources; and
- improve water management and make faster approval decisions.

Feedback over the years has also identified some initial opportunities to explore, including:

- improving measuring and reporting on water use and availability;
- enhancing water conservation, efficiency, and productivity;
- improving water allocation and transfers to accommodate need and demand;
- clarifying use of rainwater;
- identifying opportunities and barriers for using alternative sources of water and wastewater reuse;

ao

- identifying criteria for when transfers of water between major river basin boundaries might be appropriate; and
- updating or enabling additional exemptions for water diversions or activities.

Environment and Protected Areas is open to feedback on any opportunity or barrier that needs to be addressed to enhance the water management system in Alberta and make more water available for use.

For more specific information, please visit the online engagement page at alberta.ca/water-availability-engagement. We appreciate you sharing your ideas around the opportunities and barriers to enhancing water management and availability by:

- Completing an online survey. Online surveys will be available soon to share your thoughts on increasing water availability.
- Meeting with department staff. We are planning meetings with sectors and regions, including public engagement. Please email epa.water@gov.ab.ca to confirm interest in participating.
- Sharing your ideas with others through the online ideas board. You can post a new idea, like, or comment on other ideas.
- If you have questions, you are welcome to ask a question online about water management in Alberta, where the department will post the questions and responses.

If you have any questions, please let us know. We have established a specific email – epa.water@gov.ab.ca – to support this engagement. If there are additional members of your organization that you would like to receive updates directly on this engagement and future water initiatives, please reach out to epa.water@gov.ab.ca to confirm their name, position, and organization to be added to our distribution list.

We look forward to hearing from you, and thank you in advance for your participation.

Sincerely,

Kate Rich

Assistant Deputy Minister

Water and Circular Economy Division

Environment and Protected Areas

11-n



ALBERTA
MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS

*Office of the Minister
MLA, Calgary-Hays*

AR116585

November 5, 2024

Her Worship Sandi Benford
Mayor
Summer Village of South View
PO Box 8
Alberta Beach AB T0E 0A0

Dear Mayor Benford:

It was a pleasure speaking with you at the Ministers' Dialogue Session during the Alberta Municipalities Fall 2024 Convention.

As noted during the session, I was unable to answer your question on abandoned oil wells; however, I have copied my colleague, the Honourable Brian Jean, Minister of Energy and Minerals, to ensure he is aware of the question you raised regarding the \$30 million for abandoned oil wells.

I strongly believe in continuing to strengthen and maintain a relationship of mutual respect and cooperation with municipal leaders while serving all Albertans. I look forward to continuing to work with you.

Sincerely,

Ric McIver
Minister

cc: Honourable Brian Jean, Minister of Energy and Minerals
Wendy Wildman, Chief Administrative Officer, Summer Village of South View

02

11.0



STAY CONNECTED: Have the stories that matter most delivered every night to your email inbox. Subscribe to our daily local news wrap.



(ID 331710645 © Oasisamuel | Dreamstime.com)

"LUDICROUS," SAYS MAYOR OF RIMBEY

By Josh Hall | @Vancan19

Policing costs for small municipalities going up 39%, but Alberta gov't will cover for one year

Nov 6, 2024 | 5:54 PM

Alberta municipalities with fewer than 5,000 people will soon pay 39 per cent more for policing costs, but not before the Alberta government covers the increase for one year.

The UCP announced in 2019 that these smaller municipalities would have to start paying 30 per cent of policing costs, which they say has led to the hiring of hundreds of new RCMP positions across the province – 285 regular members and 244 on civilian duties.

The government says costs are rising due to changes in RCMP collective bargaining agreements.

In 2025, Alberta will give municipalities \$27 million for one year to offset the spike, but after March 2026, they're on their own.



03

"The expiring regulation would have municipalities seeing a 39 per cent increase in their costs - with no improvement in policing services delivered," says Mike Ellis, Minister of Public Safety and Emergency Services.

SEASONAL SORROW

How Do You Get Through The Holidays When You're Grieving?

99

UPCOMING EVENTS AT OLIVER'S

Grief & Loss and Estate Planning Seminars

Oct 02, 2024

LOCAL HISTORY

Oliver's Funeral Home - 109 years of Service

Sep 04, 2024

"We know this is not acceptable for many municipalities. This cost freeze will give rural municipalities the stability and predictability they need, and it will allow for meaningful engagement between the province and municipalities on equitable support."

Ric McIver, Municipal Affairs Minister, says government understands the increase will be a challenge for municipalities.

"With the costs frozen for a year, we look forward to a comprehensive review of the police funding model with our municipal partners," he says. "During our review, we will carefully consider all factors to ensure we provide an updated funding model that is sustainable."

Shop, Dine & Explore

Unique Shops, Delicious Eats & Family-Friendly Fun!

SHOP NOW

Explore the Heart of Grande Prairie Today

A government release Wednesday notes that in 2020, ministers began working with Alberta Municipalities (AB Munis — formerly AUMA) and Rural Municipalities of Alberta (RMA) to create a shared funding model.

The eventual *Police Funding Regulation* saw the portion municipalities were expected to pay eased in until it hit 30 per cent for the 2023-24 fiscal year. That regulation was supposed to expire in March 2025, but will now run through March 2026.

Penhold Mayor Mike Yargeau, whose town receives policing from the Innisfail RCMP detachment, says one way or another, Albertans are paying these costs.

It's unfortunate, he says, that more will have to come directly from municipalities because it likely means a steeper property tax increase.

"This doesn't mean we get additional RCMP resources. If there are new officers going somewhere, I don't believe they're coming to central Alberta," Yargeau told *rdnewsNOW*, talking about getting bang for their buck. "This isn't to speak negatively of our local detachment, because the officers do a good job and we have a great working relationship with them; it's just that there aren't enough resources for them."

In Penhold, a one per cent property tax hike is equivalent to about \$50,000, and the town already pays roughly \$250,000 for its 30 per cent share of policing. A 39 per cent increase on \$250,000 equals nearly \$100,000.

Up the QEII in Rimbey, Mayor Rick Pankiw says a four per cent property tax increase will be needed to cover the incoming 39 per cent escalation.

"I'd like to know where our provincial government comes up with some of their ideas. They've dropped our Local Government Fiscal Framework (formerly MSI) funding by 30 per cent, and the only way we can come up with this extra money is by raising taxes; but how far can you raise taxes?" he wonders.

"There was a time when we didn't pay for any police funding and now it's gone up every single year. It's frozen for one year, but then it goes up 39 per cent, and I think it's ludicrous. I'd love for the minister to tell me where I'm supposed to get that money."

Pankiw, who's been mayor for 10 years, says their RCMP numbers haven't gone up since the current funding model was implemented.

According to Alberta RCMP Public Information Officer, Cpl. Troy Savinkoff, Rimbey has added one police officer and one civilian support position since the original funding model came in, and Penhold has added one officer.

Pankiw also wonders why the province, which has strongly indicated it'd like to ship out the RCMP, is talking about the RCMP CBA when it too is set to expire next year.

Explore the Heart of Grande Prairie Today!

VISIT US

Shop, Dine & Explore Unique Shops, Delicious Eats & Family-Friendly

Pankiw clarifies that Rimbey's stance on moving away from the RCMP is that it would be wrong and unwanted.

Meantime, outgoing RMA President Paul McLaughlin, who is also Ponoka County reeve, says the police funding model has been a tremendous download onto municipalities, and worse yet, it hasn't led to a change in service.

McLaughlin says the discussion should be around contract management on the province's part, as opposed to the work of the RCMP.

"As far as the funding model, key performance indicators aren't defined, and I've called it in the past the worst policy development I've ever seen, because we're paying for something that's got no feedback loop to see whether it's successful or not," he says.

"The freeze is a positive thing because the increase will cause impairment to municipalities, but the fundamentals of this discussion should be that the system is flawed."

McLaughlin, speaking to us from his organization's annual convention in Edmonton, is also concerned that this is being made out to be a union issue.

"There are a lot of big discussions related to policing, and we seem to be paying for something we have no input or control over," he says.

95

In his own jurisdiction – Ponoka County – RCMP regularly report to council, like they do in Red Deer. He says that model has proven successful; for example, they hired a private investigator, directly leading to the uncovering of a significant crime ring.

McLauchlin surmises too that when the province alludes to hundreds of new positions, many have simultaneously been lost due to retirement and relocation.

About RMA being involved in forming the regulation five years ago, McLauchlin says, "They say they worked with us, but they literally told us what they were going to do and then did it. We weren't a partner in deciding how this worked."

Tyler Gandam, president with AB Munis, agrees with McLauchlin on the degree to which they worked together with the province, adding that municipalities need to see value for their money.

"The mixed messaging there was when the police funding model came out, and there was the understanding that if municipalities were paying, they'd have more boots on the ground," says Gandam. "That's probably why there's some apprehension for those communities now, because they've not had a good experience."

He says if there's been roughly 500 new positions created, councils and residents want to know how they've impacted communities.

"We continue to offer to be a partner for the province, and that includes helping with what these agreements can look like," adds Gandam.

Cpl. Savinkoff clarifies that recently re-negotiated collective agreements saw police officers receive an increase in pay to keep pace with inflation. This compensates officers but also helps in retention and attraction, he says.

Says Savinkoff: "Alberta RCMP has increased capacity to a number of units that provide specialized support to detachments in areas such as crime reduction, investigations, forensic units, police-dog teams, Emergency Response Teams (ERT), and Relief Teams (teams of officers who will be able to deploy to detachments experiencing short-term resource pressures)."

rdnewsNOW also reached out to the town of Bentley, and will add their comments here if provided.

by Josh Hall | @Vancan19

Around the Web

1 Simple Trick to Save on Your Electric Bill (Try Tonight)

Esaver

This is What Full Mouth Dental Implants Should Cost You in 2024

Dental Implants

Parasitologist From Calgary : One Teaspoon Kills All Parasites in Your Body!

ParasiteRelief

Neuropathy & Nerve Pain? Do This Immediately (Watch)

Nerve Recovery

Diabetes is Not From Sweets: Meet the #1 Enemy of Diabetes

WellnessGaze Diabetes

30 Second Stretch Relieves Years of Back Pain (Try It)

WellnessGaze Back Health



Pro Tips

1 SEASONAL SORROW
How Do You Get Through The Holidays When You're Grieving?
Nov 01, 2024

2 UPCOMING EVENTS AT OLIVER'S
Grief & Loss and Estate Planning Seminars
Oct 02, 2024

3 LOCAL HISTORY
Oliver's Funeral Home - 109 years of Service
Sep 04, 2024

(a6)

11.0



November 13, 2024

Summer Village of South View
Box 8
Alberta Beach, AB
T0E 0A0

Dear Municipal Council and or CAO,

I am writing on behalf of Highway 43 East Waste Commission Board to inform you of our current offering of high-quality crushed concrete available at a competitive rate of \$15.00 per tonne. We believe this material could be a valuable resource for various infrastructure and development projects within your municipality, providing both a cost-effective and sustainable option. We will have limited quantities in 2025 for your use if we proceed with crushing the stockpile.

Crushed concrete has multiple applications, from road base to construction backfill, and can help your municipality meet both budgetary and environmental goals. We are reaching out to gauge your interest in this product and explore potential collaborations that could support your ongoing and upcoming projects.

Please let us know if you would like to discuss this offering further, or if additional information is needed.

Thank you for considering this opportunity. We look forward to the possibility of supporting your municipality's projects.

Sincerely,

Mike Primeau, MBA, CLGM
Commission Manager

Cc: Highway 43 East Waste Commission Board

97