

**NEEDED URGENTLY** FOR THE SAKE OF OUR HUMAN SECURITY

By Lyal S. Sunga. Photography: UN.

The United Nations Security Council is responsible for determining the existence of any threat to or breach of the peace or act of aggression and for taking measures to restore international peace and security (under articles 39, 41 and 42 in Chapter VII of the Charter). Whereas the General Assembly can only recommend rather than compel action, the Council can invoke Chapter VII and adopt a resolution binding on all member States to address the situation, even using military force if necessary to restore peace and security. Where UN collective security fails, we see the tragedies of Syria, Iraq, Yemen, Afghanistan and other unresolved armed conflicts.

decision, except those on purely procedural affirmative Council votes including action could be taken only where all 5 the concurring votes of the permanent major powers agreed. It would also make an affirmative or an abstaining vote. This attack against one is an attack against all allows China, France, Russia, the UK and US to block any Council draft resolution, even if all other 14 Council members vote of a conflict, escalating tensions, perhaps for example to deploy UN peacekeepers to a troubled zone to keep belligerents apart and enforce peace.

Council members in the Charter was principle, more effective, by ensuring that big powers did not line up on opposite sides even risking nuclear war.

"Permanent Council members frequently use their veto powers in ways that prioritize their political and strategic interests over international peace and security"

It is well known that no draft Council Including veto privileges for permanent In practice however, permanent Council members frequently use their veto powers matters, can be adopted without nine intended in 1945 to ensure that UN coercive in ways that prioritize their political and strategic interests over international peace and security and that can let armed conflicts members, which means in practice either collective security action, based on the an and all the human misery associated with them continue. Since 1945, vetoes were used to block draft Council decisions for example to: address the Palestine question; condemn the USSR's 1956 invasion of Hungary; impose a cease-fire between Egypt and Israel (Suez, 1956); demilitarize Jammu and Kashmir; condemn Southern Rhodesia's racist regime; admit Vietnam as a UN member; denounce apartheid; expel South Africa from Namibia; denounce the US invasion of Grenada; and denounce the Soviet Union's invasion of Afghanistan. The Council failed to stop the Khmer Rouge from wiping out millions in Cambodia from 1975-1979, or to prevent extremist

Hutu militia from massacring between a half million and one million Rwandans in

1994, and there are more recent examples. Since the Syrian civil war broke out in March 2011, four Council draft resolutions to negotiate peace, condemn the violence and refer the situation to the International Criminal Court, were vetoed - the death toll is now climbing to 400 thousand.

Reforming the UN, a process initiated in 1992, and revamping the Council from anachronistic, hamstrung and ineffectual, to representative, responsible and responsive, are admittedly no easy tasks. Charter amendment requires a two-thirds majority of the 193 member States including all permanent members. Agreement will have to be reached upon: altering veto privileges (which some current permanent members strongly oppose); making regional representation more equitable; improving As the race for new Secretary-General Council working methods; and optimizing the relationship among the Security have been emphasizing UN reform as a Council, General Assembly and the UN Peacebuilding Commission.

The Razali Formula (1997) favours expanding permanent Council membership, but without extending veto powers to new members. Kofi Annan's Plans A and B (2004) leave veto powers and current permanent membership intact, increase only the Councils non-permanent membership, and tinker with the length of non-permanent member terms. Brazil, Germany, India, Japan, South Africa and Nigeria among others, insist on becoming permanent members, while certain others put themselves forward. The complex variety of proposals, shifting member State support for them, and challenges of reaching agreement, seem to cry out for reforming the UN reform process itself!

Yet protecting human security is everyones business since anyone anywhere can fall victim to war or other breach of the peace. warms up, thankfully, certain candidates priority concern. In our interdependent world, United Nations reform is needed urgently for the sake of our human security. **DIPLOMAT**VIP



Lyal S. Sunga has conducted monitoring, investigation, reporting, technical cooperation, education and training in some 55 countries over the last 25 years in human rights, humanitarian law and international criminal law. He is Head of the Rule of Law program at the Hague **Institute for Global Justice** in The Netherlands, and Visiting Professor at the Raoul Wallenberg Institute for Human Rights and Humanitarian Law in Lund, Sweden

## VERRA/



## Van Bergenlaan 9 - Wassenaar

Always wanted to live right in the middle of 2 hectares of gorgeous dune landscape and call it your own? This fully detached unfurnished villa offers just that! The privacy and tranquility of this location will take your breath away. The villa was built in 1994 and offers 4 bedrooms, 4 bathrooms, a sauna, Jacuzzi and a wine/heating/provisioning basement, a double garage and a large bicycle storage. A true gem of a property!

- Fully automated gate, extensive security and alarm system.
- Sprinkler system, with its own well, throughout the grounds.
- Fully insulated, double glazing set in gorgeous wooden frames throughout the entire residence.
- The main plot offers 12,945 m<sup>2</sup> of beautiful private dune lands.

Rent € 8.500,00 excl.



