Without Prejudice, All Rights Reserved David-Lee: Buess. Non-corporation, Bloodline American, Sovereign CO: 22014 Delaware Township Road 184 Arlington, Ohio State near [45814] Phone: 419 694 5796 Fax: 419 694 1018 03 01 2017

President of the United States of America, President and CEO, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, dba, Donald Trump, President 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. President:

Whereas the IRS, a division of the IMF, unregistered foreign agents, (Diversified Metal Products v. IRS Case No: cv-93-405E U.S.D.C. I. Public Law 94-564, Senate Report 94-1148 page 5967, Reorganization Plan No. 26, Public Law 102-391) are illegally placing a tax lien on me without due process of law I demand it be removed immediately, by Executive Order, for the following reasons:

Based on un-rebutted Affidavits to the Congress tand the one superem Court, 18 U.S.C. 1001, I make the following Claim(s):

Claim 1

(1895) the one supreme Court ruled "income taxes" unconstitutional. Pollock v. Farmers' Loan And Turst Co. 157 U.S. 429, 442, 555, 556, 573, 582, 595.

Claim 2

(1920) Eisner v. Mcomber 252 U. S. 189, 206 ...Congress cannot by any definition it may adopt conclude what income is, since it by legislation cannot alter the Constitution form which it derives it's poser to lsegislate and within who's limitations alone that paower can be lawfull exercised.

Claim 3

Stanton v. Baltic Mining Co., 240 U.S. 103 ...wages are not income within the meaning of the income tax amendment to the Constitution or any other provision of the Constitution.

Claim 4

(1913) Stratton Indep. v. Howbert 231 U.S. 399 ... The lawful definition of income for all tax legislation "the gain arrived from Capital, from labor or from both combined, provided it include profit gained from the sale of a capital asset."

Claim 5

U. S. v. LLOYDE R. LONG, FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT TN, Case No. CR-1-93-91 (10 15 1993) http://www.apfn.net/messageboard/8-18-03/discussion.cgi.45.html

NOT GUILTY verdict by a Federal Jury – why – the 16th Amendment, the "income tax" to the 1776, ratified 1779, Constitution of the United States was never lawfully ratified. The IMF/IRS guilty of tax fraud, counterfeiting tax debts, mail fraud, extortion by mail, collusion to commit tax fraud, R.I.C.O. Act, Violation of 44 U.S.C. Paperwork Reduction Act 3512 Public Protection, U. S. v. Lawrence Case No: 06-cr-10019 (2005), not guilty whereas the IRS failed to inform Lawrence he was not required to fill out their form(s). Claim 6

Income taxes are 100% voluntairy: February 3, 1953 Hearing before the Committed on Ways and Means House of Representatives, Internal Revenue Investigation, Statements under OATH: Dwight E. Avis (ATF) and Robert B. Ritter, assistant ATF, tax legal division, bureau of INTERNAL REVENUE, Page 13..."let me point this out now; your income tax is 100% voluntary tax and your liquor tax is 100 percent enforced tax. The situation is as different as day and night No Challenges !

Claim 7

IRS Form 1040 has no standing in law; Lear v. USA (1-05) Federal District Court Grand Rapids MI.

Claim 8

In the un-rebutted Affidavit I produced some 60 Key case rulings of the one supreme court not found in either the U.S. Code nor the IRS CODE = INTENTIONAL FRAUD. These rulings are posted to my facebook web site: Tax Fraud in America. I also find it interesting that the lawful definition of "income", see line 16 - 18, is not found in either the U.S. Code nor INTERNAL REVENUE CODE.

Claim 9

Congress cannot define income, see lines 19 - 22, what incompetent jackass is defining it? Do we now just ignore the rulings of this nation's highest court?

Claim 10

The one supreme Court ruled that the tax for Social Security was unconstitutional for the American Worker but not for the Corporation/businesses. You cannot tax wage for labor as "income". Therefore an employee cannot be taxed to pay for Social Security.

Claim 11

(1-2005) Schultz v. IRS 2nd Circuit Court of Appeals, New York City, NY Case No. 04-0196-cv ... No action can be taken against a supposed taxpayer without first taking them to court. The IRS/IMF began their, unlawful acts, against me in July 2005. I then submitte to the IRS AGENTS Affidavits in Admiralty which they refused to answer. This established a TRUE BILL and INVOICE. Since 2005 I have been billing the IRS monthly and they have refused to pay. They now owe me ~\$9,399,950,492.51 default and I fully expect payment. IRS agents are trained code not law and are therefore idiots by making blank statements relating as to what is frivolus = trivial, silly. I responded what part of this is frivolus – they hung up. Called back = law requires me to pay this tax – they hung up.

Remedy:

Whereas the IRS/IMF choose to ignore the rulings of the one supreme Court of this nation I consider them as Terrorists, Bullies, hate mongers engaging in Crimes against humanity who are working for a cult driven Religion. They being ignorant in law consider the Treaty of 1213 still in existence when in fact this Treaty Died in 1215 with the Death of the Pope having no Heir or successor.

Copies of this letter will be handed over to the one supreme Court and the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE. The DOJ will assemble a Grand Jury and the Court will then issue a Declatory Judgment for the sum certain \$9,399,950,492.51 on my behalf along with all writs to collect this debt. Due to the Prejudice and Bias lower courts and the Federal Court System I can no longer file cases into these courts. Both President Bush and President Obama stated that no man is above the law now Mr. President PROVE IT ! You have 20-days=3/

Thereof:

Autograph: David-Lee: Buess. Non-Corporation, Bloodline American, Sovereign. 09/44; 3/1/2017; 11:2917AM