



Case #: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Date/Time Reported: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 0900
Report Date/Time: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1345

Complaint Type: Rules/Regs/Policies
Disposition: Not Sustained

Reporting Officer: Major [REDACTED]

Signature: _____

OFFENSE(S) A/C TITLE/CHAP SECTION

[REDACTED] residence/Home/Apt./Condo Zone: NATICK
WEST WARWICK RI 02893

1 DEPARTMENT RULES, REGULATIONS & POLICIES C
OCCURRED: [REDACTED]/2014 1622

VICTIM(S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

ETHNICITY: Not of Hispanic Origin
RESIDENT STATUS: Resident

VICTIM CONNEC NUMBER(S): 1
RELATION TO: [REDACTED] Stranger

OFFICER(S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

CONTACT INFORMATION:

[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
HE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
DOB: [REDACTED] COMPLEXION: [REDACTED]
LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED] PLACE OF BIRTH: [REDACTED]
ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

Investigative

Case #: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

[APPEARANCE]

GLASSES WORN: [REDACTED]

SCARS: [REDACTED]

[FAMILY/EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION]

MARITAL STATUS:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

NARRATIVE FOR MAJOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1449

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1510

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

On [REDACTED]/2014 a Civilian Complaint Form was received via mail from [REDACTED] of [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] The complaint concerned the actions and/or inactions of [REDACTED] during a call involving her civil eviction from her apartment. [REDACTED] alleged that the moving company brought in by the constable stole items.

[REDACTED] assisted with this investigation and his conclusions are [REDACTED] with this report. **Refer to this document for the basis of this investigation.**

The complaint that officers were not dispatched to [REDACTED] call for service for police presence while the constable and movers were on scene was not sustained. While true, officers were not required, nor needed based upon the information provided at the time.

The complaint regarding the failure to take an initial theft report was also not sustained. During the course of the investigation, [REDACTED] obtained additional information to substantiate a complaint and one was taken and assigned to [REDACTED] ([REDACTED]) and will be investigated separately from this matter.

A Letter of Findings was mailed to [REDACTED] however it was returned as not deliverable.

Case closed. Not sustained.



Case #: 14- -IA

Date/Time Reported: /2014 @ 1855
Report Date/Time: /2014 @ 1320

Complaint Type: Rules/Regs/Policies
Disposition: ined
Additional Cases:

Reporting Officer: Major

Signature:

OFFENSE (S) A/C TITLE/CHAP SECTION

bandoned/Condemned Structu Zone: CROMPTON

WEST WARWICK RI 02893

Table with 2 rows of offense details including occurrence dates and bias information.

VICTIM (S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

02893
VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 2

PERSON (S) PERSON TYPE SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

Table with 1 row of victim details.

OFFICER (S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

Table with 1 row of officer details including physical characteristics and contact information.

Investigative

Case #: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

[APPEARANCE]

GLASSES WORN: [REDACTED]

SCARS: [REDACTED]

TATTOOS: [REDACTED]

[FAMILY/EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION]

MARITAL STATUS:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

2 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

BODY: NOT AVAIL.

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.

DOB: NOT AVAIL

PLACE OF BIRTH:

LICENSE NUMBER: NOT AVAIL.

ETHNICITY: [REDACTED]

[APPEARANCE]

TATTOOS:

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL:

WEST WARWICK POLICE DEPT

NARRATIVE FOR MAJOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1355

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1530

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

On [REDACTED] 2014 patrol responded to a structure fire at [REDACTED]. As the investigation progressed, [REDACTED] received information on possible suspects involved in the fire to include [REDACTED]. At the conclusion of [REDACTED] investigation, [REDACTED] was arrested along with a second suspect.

[REDACTED] who was allowing [REDACTED] to live with her at the time, responded to headquarters after she was advised that [REDACTED] was arrested. She spoke with [REDACTED] and was not happy with how the conversation took place. After leaving headquarters, [REDACTED] would later talk with [REDACTED] after his release and became concerned with how [REDACTED] handled the investigation. [REDACTED] then called the [REDACTED] office and office of the OIC and received voice mails. Major [REDACTED] was then advised of [REDACTED] message left with the [REDACTED] and had [REDACTED] supervisor, call [REDACTED] on [REDACTED] 2014.

[REDACTED] forwarded a memo to Major [REDACTED] in regards to his conversation with [REDACTED] expressed concerns with the interview techniques that [REDACTED] used during his interview with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] explained to [REDACTED] that proper department procedure was followed and [REDACTED] was advised of his rights prior to questioning by detectives. [REDACTED] was not satisfied with [REDACTED] explanation and advised him that she would be contacting [REDACTED].

On [REDACTED] 2014 at approximately 0839 hours, Major [REDACTED] spoke with [REDACTED]. She stated she would like to handle this matter by phone or in person as opposed to filling out any formal complaint. Major [REDACTED] informed [REDACTED] that a face to face conversation would be preferred and she agreed. [REDACTED] then wished to advise Major [REDACTED] of her concerns and proceeded to do so. She advised she had called the Chief's office and was referred to [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] explained to [REDACTED] that when she spoke with [REDACTED] he was not listening to her concerns about [REDACTED]. She explained that he has mental health issues and since [REDACTED] 2013 she took [REDACTED] into her home because he was on the streets. She explained he has cognitive disabilities and is possibly bipolar. She stated that [REDACTED] was texting and calling her while he was being interviewed at the police station asking her to come to the station.

She then responded to headquarters and spoke with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] states she is told that the [REDACTED] can tell when someone lies to him and that the defendant has been a thorn in his side. [REDACTED] states she tries to tell the [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] learning disabilities but he does not seem to listen. The [REDACTED] goes on to tell her how he puts his "ducks in a row and then attacks". [REDACTED] states that the [REDACTED] boast about how he is able to outsmart people and talks about past cases he has investigated. [REDACTED] ask about the case and the court proceeding and is told that they cannot discuss the case. She ask why have you told me so much already and felt that the [REDACTED] has it out for [REDACTED] and she left headquarters.

[REDACTED] then spoke with [REDACTED] after his release and became concerned that he may have been coerced during his interview. She was told [REDACTED] had his phone while being interviewed and tried calling her. She also stated that she was told by [REDACTED] that he told the [REDACTED] he did not understand his rights. [REDACTED] then called [REDACTED] to tell him how she felt about what [REDACTED] had told her and after a short conversation, [REDACTED] hung up on her. [REDACTED] then spoke with [REDACTED] and felt that the [REDACTED] was not concerned with her complaint. She expressed this to [REDACTED] he told her he was "entertaining her complaint", which she took as it was a bother to him speaking with her.

Major [REDACTED] advised [REDACTED] that he would review the interview conducted with [REDACTED] and also

NARRATIVE FOR MAJOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1355

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1530

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

address her other concerns. A meeting in person was scheduled with Major [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] for [REDACTED], 2014 at a time to be determined. [REDACTED] ended her conversation stating that [REDACTED] did tell her that we need more people like her helping other people. The call was ended at approximately 0950 hours.

Major [REDACTED] then reviewed the taped interview of [REDACTED] by [REDACTED] where [REDACTED] was also present. There were no signs of concern other than the fact that the recording starts with [REDACTED] holding up the rights form to [REDACTED] and ask him if that was the rights form he signed. Major [REDACTED] would later advised [REDACTED] that the proper procedure would be to record the Miranda rights portion as the start of the interview.

On [REDACTED] 2014 at approximately 1345 hours, Major [REDACTED] would meet with [REDACTED] at the Youth Services Bureau. [REDACTED] was asked if she would like to file a formal complaint and she again advised Major [REDACTED] she did not want to file a formal complaint however, she wanted the department to know her concerns and have them addressed. Major [REDACTED] explained that he had reviewed the taped interview and there were no signs of coercion or wrong doing. [REDACTED] was satisfied with that and again expressed that she is in the psychology profession and was concerned for [REDACTED] due to his cognitive abilities. [REDACTED] was not happy how [REDACTED] boasted to her and also hung up the phone on her when she tried to talk with him. She also did not like the response by [REDACTED] that he was "entertaining her complaint". I explained to [REDACTED] that I spoke with [REDACTED] and she misinterpreted his response. He did state that but, he truly meant he was entertaining the complaint as he took it serious. [REDACTED] had several questions on the upcoming court proceedings and she was provided with the court dates for [REDACTED]. Major [REDACTED] stated he would have a meeting with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] to explain her concerns. [REDACTED] was seemed satisfied with this meeting and did not have any other questions or concerns.

On [REDACTED] 2014, Major [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] meet in the office of Major [REDACTED] and discussed this incident.

There was no formal complaint filed and this investigation has been classified as unfounded.



Case #: 14- -IA

Did use force or a weapon and resisted arrest.

Date/Time Reported: /2014 @ 0900

Report Date/Time: /2014 @ 1316

Complaint Type: Rules/Regs/Policies

Disposition: Sustained

Reporting Officer: Major

Signature: _____

OFFENSE (S) A/C TITLE/CHAP SECTION

LOCATION TYPE: Highway/Road/Alley/Street Zone: ARCTIC

WEST WARWICK RI 02893

1 POLICY, RULES & REGULATIONS C

OCCURRED: /2014 0925

SUSPECTED OF USING: Not Applicable

BIAS AGAINST: No Bias

VICTIM(S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [Redacted] [Redacted] [Redacted] [Redacted] [Redacted]

CONTACT INFORMATION: (Primary) [Redacted]

RESIDENT S TAKEN TO: [Redacted]

RELATION TO: (S): 1 Otherwise Known
RELATION TO: Otherwise Known

PERSON(S) PERSON TYPE SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [Redacted] [Redacted] [Redacted] [Redacted] [Redacted]

CONTACT INFORMATION: Home Phone (Primary) [Redacted]

Investigative

Case #: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

#	PERSON(S)	PERSON TYPE	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
2	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION: [REDACTED] (Primary)	[REDACTED]					
3	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION: Home Phone [REDACTED] (Primary)	[REDACTED]					
4	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION: Home Phone [REDACTED] (Primary)	[REDACTED]					
5	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	Home Phone [REDACTED] (Primary)	[REDACTED]					
6	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION: Home Phone [REDACTED] (Primary)	[REDACTED]					
7	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION: Home Phone [REDACTED] (Primary)	[REDACTED]					
8	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION: [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]					

Investigative

Case #: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

#	PERSON(S)	PERSON TYPE	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
9	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION: [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]					
10	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
11	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	Home Phone (Primary)	[REDACTED]					
12	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION: Work Phone (Primary)	[REDACTED]					
13	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
14	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION: Home Phone (Primary)	[REDACTED]					
15	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION: Home Phone (Primary)	[REDACTED]					

Investigative

Case #: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

#	PERSON(S)	PERSON TYPE	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
16	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION:						
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]					
17	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION:						
	Home Phone (Primary)	[REDACTED]					
18	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION:						
	Home Phone (Primary)	[REDACTED]					
19	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]					
20	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	CONTACT INFORMATION:						
	Home Phone (Primary)	[REDACTED]					
21	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
1	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	[REDACTED]					

BODY: NOT AVAIL.
DOB: NOT AVAIL
LICENSE NUMBER: NOT AVAIL.

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.
PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.
ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

Investigative

Case #: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

WEST WARWICK POLICE · 401-821-4323

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL:

2	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
---	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------

BODY:
DOB: [REDACTED]
LICENSE NUMBER: NOT AVAIL.

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.
PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.
ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

██████████ COMPLAINT NARRATIVE FOR ██████████

Ref: 14-██████-IA

Entered: ██████████/2014 @ 1004 Entry ID: ██████████
Modified: ██████████/2014 @ 1005 Modified ID: ██████████
Approved: ██████████/2014 @ 0918 Approval ID: ██████████

Did behave in a disorderly manner, to wit: engaged in fighting or threatening, or in violent or tumultuous behavior.

In violation of 11-45-1 of the General Laws of Rhode Island as amended.

NARRATIVE FOR

Ref: 14- -IA

Entered: /2014 @ 1146 Entry ID:
Modified: /2014 @ 1335 Modified ID:
Approved: /2014 @ 0918 Approval ID:

On /2014 at 0925 hours, and I, were dispatched to for a report of a male who was holding a sign and protesting. The caller also advised dispatch the male in question may have stolen tools and copper from a construction site located at .

Upon arrival I observed two white males whom I am familiar with through prior police contacts as and . I observed who was holding a white sign, standing in the southbound lane of traffic impeding and distracting oncoming traffic. I requested both to come up on the side walk and speak with me. It was obvious was upset about something. He was yelling across the street at the workers at and again causing a distraction for drivers driving by. I again asked o please calm down. At this time, who was still visibly upset started talking to me in a loud voice. I again asked him to calm down. After several requests to calm down, finally complied. I asked him what was going on. told me that he and had done work for . He continued to tell me that the owner of the company had not paid him or for the work. I told both that it was okay to stand peacefully on the sidewalk and protest, but that they could not interfere with traffic. apologized and told me that he, along with would stay on the sidewalk and remain peaceful.

I then spoke with is the job site construction manager for . told me he just arrived at the site and advised me he was the the person who had called the police. As I spoke to who was across the roadway on the sidewalk continued to yell rude and belligerent comments towards the workers at . I continued to speak with old me his workers, and stated that earlier this morning and came to the job site at and took tools and copper piping from the site without permission. stated both and had previously worked for but they had recently been terminated from thier employment.

To further investigate the theft claim, I asked and to point to the individuals who took the items from the job site. Both identified and as the two individuals who took the items. called his who is the owner of . I spoke with over the phone and he indicated he wished to pursue charges for the stolen tools. I later spoke with the owner of the home was unsure at this time if she wanted to pursue charges for the stolen copper. See 1 for further details. had indicated concern that both were at the work site. I informed that it was and right to stand peacefully and protest and that I could not prevent them from leaving the public area.

I then walked back to speak with and about the items stated were taken from the job site. I seperated both and . I spoke with first. Before I spoke with him, I conducted a terry pat down for his and officer's safety, due to him possibly having tools that could be used as a weapon. was compliant, and told me that came to his house around 0700 hours this morning. He told me he did not go with at first. He continued to say came back about an hour later and woke him up and asked him to go to the construction site to protest with him. As I spoke with I observed again step into the roadway with his sign and yell and scream at traffic passing by. In regards to the stolen items was read his constitutional rights and denied taking any items. He was later transported to headquarters for further questioning. Once at headquarters he read and signed a department rights form and initialed all blocks stating he understood his rights. Upon further questioning, he denied any wrongdoing.

I then attempted to speak with next. I asked to allow me to complete a Terry pat down for weapons for his safety and officers safety. This again was to insure he did not have construction tools that may hurt him or officers. As I conducted a Terry Pat, was becoming more and more agitated with me. then

[REDACTED] NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1146 Entry ID: [REDACTED]
Modified: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1335 Modified ID: [REDACTED]
Approved: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 0918 Approval ID: [REDACTED]

screamed and pushed, with both hands, forcefully off a stone wall, and spun himself around so he was facing me. I instructed him to turn back around and he refused. [REDACTED] then started screaming and yelling at me. He was clenching his fist and took a bladed stance. I then advised him he was under arrest for disorderly conduct and instructed him to turn around and place his hands behind his back. He again refused to comply with my request. [REDACTED] and I then physically escorted him to the ground where he continued to fight with officers. [REDACTED] was refusing to place his hands behind his back and was laying on his arms. Officers had to forcefully remove his arms from underneath him to secure him in handcuffs. As officers were securing him, [REDACTED] attempted to kick at the officers. After he was secured in handcuffs, he was escorted to [REDACTED] patrol cruiser. He was advised he was under arrest for Disorderly Conduct and Resisting Arrest. He was then transported to headquarters where he was booked, photographed, and allowed use of the phone. He was then placed into cell #5 with video.

On scene [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] provided witness statements on the above incident. [REDACTED] statement is as follows:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] provided a statement as follows:

[REDACTED] provided a statement as follows:

At this point, the investigation into then alleged tool theft will continue once the owners of the property that terney was working on make a decision on pursuing charges. A seperate incident report is being completed for the larceny complaint. Refer to case [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SUPPLEMENTAL NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1335 Entry ID: [REDACTED]
Modified: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1404 Modified ID: [REDACTED]
Approved: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 0918 Approval ID: [REDACTED]

On [REDACTED]-2014, I [REDACTED] was acting OIC for the 8am-4pm patrol shift. [REDACTED] had transported [REDACTED] to police headquarters after he had been arrested for disorderly conduct and resisting arrest. Upon arrival, [REDACTED] was verbally upset and accusatory of police abuse. Upon being placed in the booking room, he was released from his handcuffs and searched. Several times during the search, he did not comply with [REDACTED] commands to place his hands against the wall. Each time, removing a hand from the wall and turning toward the officer, only to be told to place the hand back down. The only visible injury I could see on [REDACTED] was a small abrasion on his left knee. He did not request any medical aid and only focused his verbal dictations on "suing" the police. He was booked and processed by detectives and secured inside cell #5 without incident.

Approximately 20 minutes later, [REDACTED], (whom was monitoring [REDACTED] via dispatch video) indicated he was banging on the cell walls and holding his stomach. I responded to the cell block and spoke with [REDACTED]. He again expressed his displeasure with the police and indicated he needed medical attention. I asked him what was wrong, so I could relay it to the fire department. He told me he had previously been in a motorcycle accident that caused him to have problems with his [REDACTED]. He said both were hurting him at this time. I told him I would call the fire department, but also reminded him that the bail commissioner was coming to release him from custody in about five minutes. He suddenly stated he no longer wanted medical treatment and he appeared to have recovered from the pain he claimed he was in. I thoroughly explained that I was offering him immediate medical assistance, but he again told me he was okay and asked me not to call for help. I told him to wave to the camera if he felt he needed assistance.

[REDACTED] was released approximately 10 minutes later after being presented in front of a bail commissioner. [REDACTED] indicated that upon his release, [REDACTED] made verbal remarks that he was going right back to the work site to cause a problem. He was advised against this. [REDACTED] then walked out of the station. He returned to the front foyer several minutes later, asking to speak to whoever was in charge. I spoke with [REDACTED] in the foyer, aware that he was using his cell phone to visually record our conversation. He was agitated and stated he was beaten by the police. I told him that he could make a formal complaint when he wanted to and that he could request a copy of the report through the records division. He then demanded a rescue be called, indicating he was injured. I directed [REDACTED] to call the fire department for a rescue. While waiting in the foyer, [REDACTED] continued his agitated speech and at one point yelled " That little fucker, tell him to come and fight me one on one!!" He movements were quick and sudden (standing, walking in and out of the station, etc), even after I asked him to sit down to avoid any further injury. He also stated that his wife had a cell phone and "got it all on video" when referring to the point he was arrested. Rescue came and he went to [REDACTED] for treatment. The rescue workers were advised that he was not in police custody and that this was a medical transport.

[REDACTED]

NARRATIVE FOR MAJOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1731

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1447

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

Advisement of a probable complaint

On [REDACTED] 2014 at approximately 0750 hours, [REDACTED] sent [REDACTED] an IMC email reporting that [REDACTED] had entered the lobby of headquarters requesting to speak to the Chief to make a complaint about [REDACTED] due to his recent arrest ([REDACTED]). Due to the time, [REDACTED] agitated state, and [REDACTED] being in and about the building at shift change, [REDACTED] was directed to contact [REDACTED], an Internal Affairs officer.

[REDACTED] received a voicemail from [REDACTED]....([REDACTED])

Receipt of Civilian Complaint Packet

On [REDACTED] 2014, a Civilian Complaint Packet was hand delivered to police headquarters and forwarded to Major [REDACTED] an Internal Affairs Officer. Colonel [REDACTED] Chief of Police, was advised of the complaint and assigned the investigation to [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] mailed a letter of acknowledgement of the receipt of the Civilian Complaint form to the complainant, [REDACTED] of [REDACTED]

Nature of the Civilian Complaint (transcribed from the form):

On [REDACTED] 14 at approx 10:00 am I was standing on the side walk across from [REDACTED]. When [REDACTED] observed me calmly holding a sign standing on the sidewalk. He asked me what I was doing. I advised I worked on the job across the street and the unlicensed contractor [REDACTED] on the job owed me money. The officer then went across the street to question the workers. He [REDACTED] came back put my friend w/ me in the police car and told me to get against the wall. I put my hands up on the wall. He began to search my pockets and asked if I had drugs or weapons. I advised no. I told him I was not breaking a law by standing with a sign. He responded and aggressively said shut up. I responded I don't have to shut up. He put one handcuff on me and said I have had enough of you and slammed me to the ground. I protected my face from hitting the ground. He jumped on my back holding my hand up and was kneeing me in my ribs and punching me in the spine. I was begging him to stop and over and over said cuff me I'm not resisting. My friend in the car was screaming out the window to stop you are hurting him. The assisting officer held me down and did nothing to stop [REDACTED] and added no words. He put the cuffs on me and forcefully put my hands above me to force me in the car to hurt me more even though I was going willingly. I am sure your on board camera/recorder of voice will view this. Please preserve the camera records for future court hearings regarding this matter. While in the cruiser with the assisting officer I asked him why he didn't stop [REDACTED] and he ignored me. I advised the desk sergeant I needed medical attention and he said if I got medical attention I would then go to the ACI for the weekend because the bail bondsman was coming in 30 min. Upon release I went from the rear of the police station and in the front door and advised I needed medical attention. A rescue from next door was sent and due to my blood pressure being high took me in the ambulance to [REDACTED]. The EMT said this [REDACTED] has done this to many people and they are familiar with [REDACTED] and his bad attitude. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]. I have the hospital cd of this as proof. The bruising is from unnecessary police brutality. While [REDACTED] was kneeing me in my ribs I was shouting I have a medical injury to my liver and please stop. My wife arrived on scene after I left and witnessed [REDACTED] and a [REDACTED] badgering my friend to write a statement and rip it up 3X and make him rewrite it to their satisfaction of what he was told to write and told him if he tried to change his statement they would see him again and put him in jail. Your onboard camera will show this also as per my wife this transpired directly in front of the police car. My friends name is [REDACTED]. The workers of [REDACTED] also witnessed this happen. I am confident in stating at no time did I act in any manner in which the officer would have been lead to believe I was resisting. I was a target and victim of police brutality. At no time was I read my rights or told I was under arrest. I only heard him tell the dispatcher "he is being charged with disorderly, resisting and whatever else I can come up with."

NARRATIVE FOR MAJOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1731

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1447

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

Call for Service

(from the Call screen [REDACTED] and one-sided audio recording of the call for service-attached)

On [REDACTED] 2014 at 0925 hours, [REDACTED] answered a telephone call from an unknown person reporting that he was advised by a third party that a male currently across from [REDACTED] holding a sign took copper piping from the building that is under construction earlier this morning. [REDACTED] were dispatched at 0928 to investigate this larceny complaint. [REDACTED] arrived at 0930 hours and reported that he was out with two subjects. [REDACTED] arrived at 0933 hours. At 0949 hours, [REDACTED] reported that [REDACTED] was Code 2 and that [REDACTED] was transporting him to headquarters. [REDACTED] requested the duty tow service at 0950 hours and [REDACTED] was dispatched. At 1041 hours, [REDACTED] reported he was returning to headquarters with [REDACTED] for further investigation and that [REDACTED] would follow them in. [REDACTED] was released from headquarters at 1120 hours and [REDACTED] began his reports. The [REDACTED] arrest for disorderly conduct and resisting arrest was assigned report number [REDACTED] and the investigation into the theft of copper and tools from [REDACTED] was assigned 1 [REDACTED] naming [REDACTED] as the suspect.

(from audio recording of the department's radio system)

TBD

Officers' Reports regarding the arrest of [REDACTED]

Narratives were completed by the arresting officer, [REDACTED] and by the Officer-In-Charge, [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] narrative reported on his contact with [REDACTED] while in police custody. Refer to their reports for information. The arrest packet also included written statements of three witnesses: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED]. Refer to these statements for information.

Internal Affairs Investigative Interviews:

[REDACTED] - owner [REDACTED] (8/24 @ HQ)

Mr. [REDACTED] reported that he was out of state during the incident on [REDACTED] 2014. He received a call from his [REDACTED] regarding the allegations of theft of company tools. [REDACTED] was named as the suspect and he decided that he wished to pursue charges for the theft on behalf of the company. Mr. [REDACTED] remained with [REDACTED] to further that investigation.

[REDACTED] - [REDACTED] (8/24 @ HQ)

Mr. [REDACTED] was interviewed with the assistance of [REDACTED], a Spanish speaker. Mr. [REDACTED] reported that he and a colleague, [REDACTED], arrived at the [REDACTED] at [REDACTED] early in the morning on [REDACTED], 2014. They were working on the back side of the [REDACTED] when [REDACTED] arrived on scene. He observed [REDACTED] load his personal SUV with some of the company's tools and some copper remnants from the roof job. [REDACTED] left the work site and returned an hour later with another male. The men parked across the street and were holding up cardboard signs and yelling at passing motorists. [REDACTED] heard a lot of yelling, but could not understand the statements. He observed traffic being restricted to one lane as [REDACTED] continued to yell and wave his sign. The other male was quiet. [REDACTED] advised his supervisor, [REDACTED] of the suspicious removal of the tools and copper when he arrived at the work site at approximately 0900 hours. [REDACTED] was aware that the police arrived on scene, but he continued to work on the roof and did not witness any of the officers interactions with his colleagues.

[REDACTED] - [REDACTED] (8/25 @ HQ)

[REDACTED] reported that he fired [REDACTED] and instructed him to leave the work site at [REDACTED]

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1731

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1447

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] on [REDACTED] 2014 after confronting him about unsatisfactory workmanship. [REDACTED] was extremely upset, but departed. When [REDACTED] arrived at the work site on Saturday morning at approximately 0830 hours with another worker, [REDACTED], he was advised by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] had been there earlier in the morning and he took tools and copper. [REDACTED] had left area and returned with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was now across from the site holding signs alleging that the company refuses to pay him and that they are working without proper licenses and permits. [REDACTED] consulted his [REDACTED], by telephone and decided that they wanted to pursue charges for the theft. Meanwhile, [REDACTED] was disrupting traffic by stepping into the roadway as cars passed by yelling out his message. The road was very narrow because [REDACTED] has parked his SUV with a boat on a trailer across from [REDACTED] right up to the intersection with [REDACTED]. There was no breakdown lane and the vehicles were on the side of the road, but took up most of the northbound lane. Drivers had to alternate in order to safely pass the area. [REDACTED] was extremely "mouthy." He would mostly pace the sidewalk, but occasionally would step out in front of his SUV as a car passed to waive his sign wildly and yell. Some drivers swerved abruptly to avoid him, but kept on going after sounding their horns. [REDACTED] stated he called the police and officers arrived minutes later. While waiting, [REDACTED] had crossed the street and approached [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and told them to "take off." He then returned to the other side of the road, but a verbal exchange continued. The first responding officer [REDACTED] directed [REDACTED] to stay on the other side of the street and calm down or be arrested. The officer then spoke with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. Although [REDACTED] would occasionally yell and wave his sign during that interaction, the discussion appeared calm and professional. A second officer ([REDACTED]) arrived on scene and stayed with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], while [REDACTED] crossed the street and spoke with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated he suffers from [REDACTED] as a result of his military serve and gets very excitable and nervous. He stated he advised [REDACTED] "I'm a disabled vet." He did this to explain his apprehensiveness and rapid, nervous speech. [REDACTED] responded, "I don't give a fuck. I am too." [REDACTED] thought this response was extremely unprofessional. [REDACTED] continued, "Why am I here today?" [REDACTED] described the firing of the men the day before and the theft this morning and he requested that [REDACTED] be arrested. [REDACTED] responded, "Don't tell me how to do my God damn job." Their discussion continued and [REDACTED] noted that [REDACTED] seemed to calm down and the remainder of their interaction was very professional. Meanwhile, [REDACTED] was still yelling and waving his sign across the street. [REDACTED] stated, "He doesn't know it, but I know him. He was a punk when we were kids and he's a punk now." [REDACTED] then returned to the other side of the road, consulted the other officer and spoke to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] again. It appears that [REDACTED] was pat down and seated in the back of a police car without handcuffs. [REDACTED] heard [REDACTED] tell [REDACTED] to "put his hands on the wall." He saw [REDACTED] initially comply, but then he turned around quickly. [REDACTED] grabbed hold of [REDACTED] and threw him on to the ground. [REDACTED] was kicking his legs and squirming, while yelling, "You're going to break my arm." The officer were trying to put handcuffs on him and [REDACTED] did not see any assaultive behavior (punches or kicks) directed at [REDACTED] nor none directed at officers. The officers eventually got the cuffs on and put [REDACTED] in a cruiser and whisked him away. [REDACTED] remained on scene and obtained his written statement. [REDACTED] noted that [REDACTED] display had gotten the attention of the residents of the yellow house across the street and they were looking out of their windows of the first and second floors.

[REDACTED] - neighbor in [REDACTED] house ([REDACTED])
[REDACTED] stated he heard a commotion in front of his home as he continued his morning routine and walk the dogs. He looked outside. He observed a large SUV with a boat on a trailer attached parked directly in front of the [REDACTED] building on [REDACTED]. The vehicles were parked illegally and made it difficult for traffic to pass on [REDACTED] and turn on [REDACTED]. One male seemed like he didn't want to be there and stayed in between the boat and the SUV holding a sign. He was quiet. Another male was directly in front of his home. This male was extremely loud. He was yelling, waving his sign, stepping partially into the road and causing motorists to

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1731

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1447

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

sound their horns. [REDACTED] observed the police arrive and speak to the men and the workers across the street. He saw one of the men put his hands on the wall in front of his home and as the officer patted him down, the male kept turning his body and taking his hand from the wall. The officer took the man to the ground and disappeared from his view. He did not observe any assaultive behavior on anyone's part. He declined to provide a statement at this time, but would in the future if needed.

[REDACTED] - neighbor in [REDACTED] house ([REDACTED])
[REDACTED] witnessed two men on the sidewalk in front of her home holding signs. One man was yelling and flailing his arms. She observed officers arrive, help with traffic, and attempt to stop the man that was yelling and stepping off of the curb. [REDACTED] saw the officers trying to speak with the man, but he kept yelling as they tried to calm him down. She could not fully see the altercation as they tried to arrest the man, but she did not hear the police yell to agitate the man nor handle him brutally. She provided a written statement.

[REDACTED] - neighbor in [REDACTED] house ([REDACTED])
[REDACTED] reported that she was woken by shouting outside of her apartment at approximately 0915 hours. She went downstairs between 0920 and 0930 hours and the shouting became more frequent. The police officers arrived and began talking to the protesters. "T-shirt" guy was more calm and quiet, but "sweatshirt" guy was louder and didn't seem to want to cooperate. The officers put "T-shirt" guy in the back of a police car and continued to talk to "sweatshirt" guy. An officer began to handcuff him, but he was not cooperating. He kept pulling his arms back and putting them on the wall and began talking back to the officers. The words were inaudible. After a minute or two, the officers took him from standing up and put him face down on the ground. She did not see nor hear any kicking, punching or hitting by either the police officers or the man in the sweatshirt. She provided a written statement.

[REDACTED] - [REDACTED] ([REDACTED] at Youth Center)
[REDACTED] reported that [REDACTED] arrived at his apartment early in the morning to pick him up to go fishing. They planned on stopping at their former work site on [REDACTED] to pick up their pay for the work that they had already done, but learned that one of the [REDACTED], [REDACTED] was in [REDACTED] and not available to pay them. [REDACTED] became upset and wanted to protest in front of the work site. [REDACTED] stated he was going to [REDACTED] to buy signs. [REDACTED] returned and picked up [REDACTED] wrote messages on their signs about [REDACTED] working without permits and failing to pay their workers, and pulled up across the street from the job site. Within minutes, a couple of the workers on scene began yelling at [REDACTED] to leave. They argued about being paid and [REDACTED] states he doesn't want to be involved anymore. [REDACTED] calls him a "pussy," so he decides to stay. [REDACTED] reported that an officer arrived on scene [REDACTED] and advised them to calm down. The officer requested their ID's and ran checks on them. He advised them that it was not illegal to stand there, but just stay out of the street and do not obstruct traffic. The officer returned their ID's and walked across the street to speak with the workers on scene. [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] that he wanted to leave again, but was told to stick around.

Several minutes later, the officer returned to them. The officer asked [REDACTED] to walk over to his cruiser. He was patted down and secured unhandcuffed in the back seat of his cruiser. The officer told him that the workers were alleging that they had stolen some tools and copper. [REDACTED] denied any involvement in a theft. The officer then walked back to [REDACTED] and asked him to put his hands on the wall and he complies, but is speaking in an agitated manner and told the officer to, "Go fuck yourself." [REDACTED] said he heard the officer say, "Shut the fuck up when I'm talking to you." [REDACTED] said, "No. You shut the fuck up. I have no reason to shut up." [REDACTED] suddenly saw [REDACTED] fly into the air and tried to brace his fall with one hand because one hand was cuffed. He was yelling, "I ain't doing anything. I ain't resisting." Both arms were behind his back and the officer was punching [REDACTED] in the back. They got [REDACTED] handcuffed, then put him in another police car. [REDACTED] couldn't

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1731

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1447

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

understand why the officer was punching him so hard because [REDACTED] was not doing anything.

The officer then returned to his cruiser and told [REDACTED] that he needed to write a statement about how [REDACTED] resisted arrest. [REDACTED] didn't see any resistance, but was scared to write that out of fear of being arrested since he was on probation. A [REDACTED] stayed with him, while the other officer walked back across the street to the job site. [REDACTED] stated he wrote a brief statement, but the officer returned, read it, and ripped it up. [REDACTED] told them that he was illiterate and he didn't want to write that [REDACTED] resisted. The officer told him to write down what happened and he wrote a second statement. The officer returned again, read it, and ripped it up again. The officer told him, "One more fucking time kid. If I have to come back here and it ain't right, I'm locking you up...for whatever I can...resisting or obstruction of justice." [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] wife, [REDACTED] had pulled up and was parked across the street observing. [REDACTED] wrote a third statement and then was transported to headquarters. Officers spoke to him about the alleged theft of tools and copper then let him go. He left the police station and walked home.

* [REDACTED] interview was very erratic and he was extremely excitable. This is a summary of the interview.

[REDACTED] - [REDACTED] and observer of post-arrest interactions ([REDACTED] at Youth Center) and I spoke of the internal investigation process. She also reported that there had been some [REDACTED] postings by [REDACTED] about her being a felon, but that was not true. She also had some questions about the content of the police arrest narratives.

[REDACTED] reported that she was called by her [REDACTED] about getting arrested. She responded to the scene to retrieve her vehicle. The vehicle and boat were being towed away and she made arrangements for them to be towed to their home. She saw officers speaking to [REDACTED] and he was very animated and appeared nervous. She stayed to assist keep him calm. [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] to, "Shut your mouth." The [REDACTED] kept asking [REDACTED] to lower his voice. [REDACTED] sees who she believes is [REDACTED] walk up to [REDACTED] t being "alittle agressive and mouthy." She went to high school with [REDACTED] and his brother. She hears [REDACTED] talk about writing a statement, so [REDACTED] writes something. [REDACTED] then rips it up. [REDACTED] writes something else and this statement gets ripped up too. She yells over to [REDACTED] to write whatever they want him to write, so he could leave. [REDACTED] didn't want to write a statement against his friend, but [REDACTED] told him that he would understand and to just comply with the officers. [REDACTED] was then transported from the scene in a police vehicle.

[REDACTED] also inquired about an altercation between her [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] while [REDACTED] [REDACTED] after his release. She was advised that an investigation into that incident was on going and be handled by [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] - neighbor ([REDACTED])
[REDACTED] observed two men park an SUV with a boat in front of his building. They were yelling and holding signs at passing vehicles. Officers arrived on scene and spoke to them for five to ten minutes. One man had his hands against the wall across [REDACTED] and the he was suddenly taken to the ground. [REDACTED] could not see the entire interaction because his view was obstructed by the wall and he was distracted by his young son. He just continued to hear the involved man "running his mouth." [REDACTED] declined to provide a written statement.

[REDACTED] - neighbor ([REDACTED])
Ms. Marr reported that her air conditioner was on and that she did not hear nor see any disturbances nor police interactions.

[REDACTED] - neighbor ([REDACTED])
[REDACTED] reported that she had left for work at approximately 0800 hours and was not home at the

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1731

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1447

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

time of the incident. She also reported that the other half of her duplex [REDACTED] and the home next door [REDACTED] are both vacant.

[REDACTED] reviewed the RI EMS Ambulance Run Report ([REDACTED]) from 1117 hours on [REDACTED] 2014. He recalled responding to the front of police headquarters for a male complaining of difficulty breathing. He had limited interaction with the male, identified as [REDACTED] as he was primarily attended to by [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was advised that [REDACTED] claims that after he described his treatment by and alleged injuries at the hands of [REDACTED] a rescue worker claimed to be aware of [REDACTED] frequent heavy-handed tactics and had transported other victims. [REDACTED] reported that he did not hear nor make any of these types of statements. [REDACTED] drove [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] and assisted in turning [REDACTED] over to hospital staff. He also stated that he never heard any hospital staff make similar comments.

[REDACTED] was the OIC of [REDACTED] and recalled dealing with [REDACTED]. He recalled [REDACTED] was found walking around in front of the police department. He complained of shortness of breath. [REDACTED] did not recall nor note any other injury claims. [REDACTED] was transported to [REDACTED] for evaluation. [REDACTED] was advised that [REDACTED] claims that after he described his treatment by and alleged injuries at the hands of [REDACTED] a rescue worker claimed to be aware of [REDACTED] frequent heavy-handed tactics and had transported other victims. [REDACTED] reported that he did not hear nor make any of these types of statements. [REDACTED] rode with the patient in [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] and assisted in turning [REDACTED] over to hospital staff. He also stated that he never heard any hospital staff make similar comments.

[REDACTED] - Director of Risk Management and Safety, [REDACTED]

Several messages have been left for [REDACTED] in an effort to preserve and collect medical information and to assist in the investigation into allegations that hospital staff made comments about [REDACTED] and awareness of his police related conduct. [REDACTED] would not return numerous messages.

[REDACTED] - employee of [REDACTED] ([REDACTED] @ HQ)

[REDACTED] reported that he, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] pulled up to the job site and the [REDACTED] guys (subcontractors) that were already there working on the roof told them that [REDACTED] had showed up earlier, went on the roof, and took all of their tools and the scrap copper that was left on the side of the building. [REDACTED] called his [REDACTED] [REDACTED], to figure out what to do and [REDACTED] and another guy suddenly showed up and started waving signs and yelling that [REDACTED] doesn't pay. [REDACTED] called back and instructed his [REDACTED] to call the police about the alleged theft. [REDACTED] said that [REDACTED] pulled up in a Suburban pulling a boat on a trailer. He parked across the street in the roadway, partially obstructing the lane of travel. Cars had to alternating passing by using the remaining roadway. [REDACTED] said [REDACTED] was just holding a sign, but [REDACTED] was much more vocal and stepping out into the roadway to get the passing motorists' attention to show his message. [REDACTED] confront [REDACTED] and told him to leave, but [REDACTED] refused. [REDACTED] kept yelling for [REDACTED] to call 911 every time. [REDACTED] asked him to leave. [REDACTED] didn't want to get involved any further because he was on probation and didn't want to jeopardize his freedom. The police were called and they arrived a few minutes later.

The first officer approached [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and got their story, then came over to the job site. The officer ([REDACTED]) was speaking with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] appeared nervous and was trying to explain that he was a veteran, but the officer said, I don't give a fuck if you're a vet. I want to know what brought me up here

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1731

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1447

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

today." [REDACTED] explained that [REDACTED] was fired from the job site the night before and he had learned from the [REDACTED] workers that [REDACTED] had arrived at the site earlier and had taken company tools and scrap metal. Meanwhile, [REDACTED] was still across the street yelling and waving his sign. The officer walked back across the street to investigate further.

The officers spoke to [REDACTED] patted him down, then put him in the back seat of the police car. [REDACTED] saw [REDACTED] put his hands on a wall and the officer attempted to pat him down. [REDACTED] turned around and asked what he was getting arrested for. [REDACTED] then saw [REDACTED] on the ground. [REDACTED] yelled, "I'm not resisting. Don't break my arm. I'm not resisting."

[REDACTED] reported that he didn't see any resisting, nor any assaultive behavior by the officers. He stated that he provided a written statement indicating that he saw [REDACTED] resist, but he did not observe any resistance. He stated he provided a false statement to satisfy the officer's request for a statement. The officer told him what to write and he didn't want to agitate anyone or get his probation violated. Other than [REDACTED] inaccurately describing the "fight," the remainder of the statement was accurate. [REDACTED] had obstructed traffic with his truck, he was holding a sign, yelling at passing motorists, and almost causing accidents. He said he could not see what transpired when [REDACTED] and the officers were on the ground because his view was obstructed by passing vehicles.

[REDACTED] did not believe that any of the officers did anything wrong.

[REDACTED] - [REDACTED] ([REDACTED] @ HQ)

[REDACTED] reported that he arrived at the job site with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. He saw [REDACTED] arrive in a SUV and start holding signs about not working for [REDACTED] and they will screw you. The SUV obstructed the travel lane and cars had to take turns passing by. [REDACTED] friend, [REDACTED] was really doing anything. They walked across the street to tell [REDACTED] that what he was doing wasn't right and his issue was with the owner, [REDACTED], and he wasn't there that day, so they should leave. The conversation was heated until an officer arrived and told them to go back across the street to the job site or get arrested for disorderly. They complied. [REDACTED] heard an officer speak with [REDACTED] in a rude manner, saying, "I don't care if you... Don't bring that up. ...take care of this issue."

[REDACTED] saw an officer speaking with [REDACTED] and heard him tell [REDACTED] to put his hands on the wall. [REDACTED] initially complied, but then it sounded like he turned away from the wall and asked what he was getting arrested for. The officer yelled for him to put his hands back on the wall. [REDACTED] could not see [REDACTED] nor the officers on the ground due to his view being obstructed by passing vehicles. He did not observe any assaultive behavior from anyone on scene. The officers picked [REDACTED] up after approximately a minute and escorted him to a patrol car.

[REDACTED] ([REDACTED]/2014 @ HQ)

[REDACTED] reported that he was dispatched for a male holding up a sign causing a disturbance and allegations of theft of tools. He arrived after [REDACTED] and parked just south of [REDACTED]. It appeared that [REDACTED] was just completing his initial contact with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] on the corner of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and asked [REDACTED] to stand by with them as he responded across the street to speak with the reporting party and the others on scene. [REDACTED] was highly agitated and explained that his boss refused to pay him for working this week. [REDACTED] stated he was there to support his friend and was complaint with officers' directions. [REDACTED] continued to be agitated, walking around and yelling across the street distracting [REDACTED] efforts to interview the others. [REDACTED] kept trying to engage [REDACTED] in a discussion to keep him calm and allow [REDACTED] to continue his investigation.

[REDACTED] returned to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and asked [REDACTED] to follow him towards his cruiser, while [REDACTED] stayed with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] put [REDACTED] in the back of his cruiser and returned to [REDACTED]

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1731

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1447

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] asked [REDACTED] to put his hands on the curved, stone wall on the corner of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] to be patted down and he initially complied. [REDACTED] was still speaking with [REDACTED] during the pat down and [REDACTED] kept taking a hand off of the wall and turning slightly towards the officers. They kept directing him to keep his hands on the wall. Suddenly something set [REDACTED] off. He yelled, "Fuck you!" and turned aggressively towards [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] had hold of [REDACTED] arm and directed him to the ground right in front of [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] fell to his knees with [REDACTED] head and shoulders directly in front of him. [REDACTED] had his arms secured under his torso, so [REDACTED] reached under his shoulders and was attempting to pull his arms out from under his body. He believes that he may have been instructing [REDACTED] to stop resisting, but most of the verbal commands were being given by [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was off to the side and on [REDACTED] back attempting to pull his arms out. [REDACTED] was flailing and kicking his legs and [REDACTED] described the attempt to secure him as "quick and sloppy." [REDACTED] described [REDACTED] as being on [REDACTED] back, but did not see any knee strikes or punches. [REDACTED] kept yelling that he didn't do anything wrong and that he didn't steal their stuff. They eventually secured him in handcuffs and escorted [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] cruiser. [REDACTED] reported that he did not observe a handcuff being applied prior to [REDACTED] alleged resistance. [REDACTED] transported [REDACTED] to headquarters, booked him and secured him in the cell block.

When asked to recall the interaction that resulted in an arrest, [REDACTED] stated he did not hear [REDACTED] tell [REDACTED] that he was under arrest. [REDACTED] suspected that the investigation was continuing due to the theft allegations and when [REDACTED] turned aggressively towards [REDACTED], [REDACTED] decided to effect an arrest at that time. [REDACTED] was also aware that [REDACTED] had been protesting by yelling and had stepped into the travel lane of [REDACTED] once in his presence. He also indicated that [REDACTED] vehicle and boat trailer was parked partially on the sidewalk and in the roadway just south of [REDACTED] on [REDACTED].

During the booking process, [REDACTED] continue to say that he didn't do anything wrong and alleged that he was assaulted by [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] did not complain of any injuries and did not show any signs of a noteworthy injury.

After securing [REDACTED] in the cellblock, [REDACTED] returned to the scene to obtain statements from the three [REDACTED] workers. They indicated [REDACTED] had taken items from the scene and did not offer any observations of the police interactions that resulted in the physical altercation with [REDACTED].

[REDACTED] (1 [REDACTED] 2014 @ HQ) reported that [REDACTED] was dispatched to the scene to assist in obtaining statement at the request of [REDACTED]. Upon [REDACTED] arrival, [REDACTED] discovered that an arrest had been made and the arrestee had been transported from the scene. [REDACTED] was directed to obtain a statement from [REDACTED] in the vicinity of [REDACTED] police vehicle on [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] already had a statement form and had started to write as [REDACTED] approached him and [REDACTED] stated he was going across the street to speak with the [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] asked [REDACTED] what to write. [REDACTED] told him to write down what he had observed. He asked again, so [REDACTED] inquired what he had seen. He described his and [REDACTED] arrival to protest. Then he said the police responded and spoke to everyone. [REDACTED] was acting aggressively and as the officer was patting down [REDACTED], he suddenly turned around on the officer and the officers restrained him with handcuffs.

[REDACTED] was writing his statement when [REDACTED] pulled up and identified herself. [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] had been arrested and was at headquarters and if [REDACTED] wanted to speak to the arresting officer, [REDACTED] could respond there. [REDACTED] declined and remained on scene as [REDACTED] was providing his statement. [REDACTED] kept stating he didn't know what to write and [REDACTED] reiterated that he had just verbally told [REDACTED] his observations. [REDACTED] returned and viewed the statement. [REDACTED] kept saying that he wasn't involved an any theft. [REDACTED] asked him if his written statement was true and [REDACTED] kept saying that he wasn't involved and didn't know what to do. [REDACTED] advised him that if he provided a false statement, he would be arrested. [REDACTED] gave [REDACTED] another statement form and told him that he would give him another chance. Again, [REDACTED].

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1731

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1447

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] complained he didn't know what to write. His second statement was one page and [REDACTED] believed the first statement was two pages. Eventually he claimed he was done and the two statements were put on the front seat of [REDACTED] vehicle by [REDACTED] [REDACTED] then cleared the scene. [REDACTED] did not see what happened to the statements after [REDACTED] turned them over. When asked about the alleged destruction of statements, [REDACTED] denied observing any destruction.

[REDACTED] ([REDACTED] 2014 @ HQ)
[REDACTED] was originally dispatched to the call, but was called off. He returned to the scene as statement were being taken by [REDACTED]. He was then directed to return to headquarters and assist [REDACTED] with the booking of [REDACTED]. During the booking process, [REDACTED] was verbally hostile and agitated. [REDACTED] movements did not highlight any injuries, nor did he complain of injuries during the booking process that [REDACTED] recalled. [REDACTED] did not participate in obtaining any statements from any parties in either investigation.

[REDACTED] ([REDACTED]/2014 at HQ)
[REDACTED] was the Officer-In-Charge during the day shift and supervised the related investigations. [REDACTED] accepted the arrest report and believed that the probable cause for the arrest was "the totality of the circumstance," primarily the allegations that [REDACTED] continuously stepped into the roadway with his signs while yelling and disrupting traffic. He also understood that there was a secondary investigation into the alleged theft of tools and scrap metals from the same scene. In addition to this, [REDACTED] learned that [REDACTED] was not compliant during a pat down, became combative, and was "grounded" by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] during the arrest for disorderly conduct and now resisting arrest.

[REDACTED] met [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] in the booking room upon his arrival. [REDACTED] reported that [REDACTED] was verbally agitated and vowed to sue the police department. He indicated that he had been arrested numerous times before, but never had been treated this way. [REDACTED] continued to be agitated while getting the handcuffs removed and repeatedly had to be told to keep his hands on the wall. [REDACTED] observed a scrape/small abrasion on [REDACTED] knee and acknowledged that he may have indicated the wrong knee within his report narrative, but was advised of the error by [REDACTED] a couple of days later. [REDACTED] indicated that he did not alter his narrative with this new information knowing that a personnel complaint was being filed and he did not want to alter any police reports.

While being placed in the cell (1007 hours), [REDACTED] was advised that his criminal record would be checked and a bail commissioner would likely be called in for a special arraignment. Approximately twenty minutes later (1045 hours), [REDACTED] alerted [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] was banging on the cell walls and holding his abdomen. [REDACTED] responded to the cell block (1048 hours) and [REDACTED] advised him that he had injured his liver and back in a motorcycle accident and requested a rescue. Believing [REDACTED] may be feigning injury to get out of the cell after not reporting nor displaying any injuries during the booking process, [REDACTED] advised him that transport to the hospital would prolong his detention and that the bail commissioner was ten minutes away. [REDACTED] changed his mind and indicated he wanted to get released and then he would get treatment. [REDACTED] verified and offered to call rescue personnel, but [REDACTED] again declined. The bail commissioner arrived and he was presented for special arraignment at 1107 hours. [REDACTED] was released at 1111 hours. (All times came from the Prisoner Lock Up Sheet.)

[REDACTED] exited the back door of HQ and walked around to the front of the building. He entered the front door and asked to speak with the Officer-In-Charge. [REDACTED] met him in the lobby and acknowledged that [REDACTED] appeared to be recording the interaction on his cell phone. [REDACTED] reported that he was injured and wanted to file a complaint against [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] directed dispatch to call for a rescue and he explained

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1731

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1447

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

the procedure on filing a complaint. [REDACTED] walked out and met the rescue in front of headquarters. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] came in minutes later and asked if his jacket was still in headquarters. [REDACTED] located the jacket in the basement and turned it over to her.

[REDACTED] also indicated that [REDACTED] returned to headquarters to further his complaint approximately forty-five minutes after being assisted by rescue personnel. [REDACTED] thought this was odd and too brief of a period to be transported to [REDACTED] evaluated, and treated for alleged internal injuries.

[REDACTED] (after [REDACTED])

After several attempts to contact [REDACTED] after the conclusion of the trial, [REDACTED] eventually took my call and reported that he would not be available for an interview on the advice of his new counsel for a civil complaint against [REDACTED] and the Police Department. He also stated that he would not be turning over his medical records to me to assist in my investigation.

[REDACTED] ([REDACTED] 2015 @ HQ)

[REDACTED] was interviewed in the presence of IBPO President, [REDACTED], and IBPO attorney, [REDACTED]. He was advised of the investigation into the allegations of police brutality and my investigation into the charge of Conduct Unbecoming a Police Officer. [REDACTED] acknowledged that he was aware the interview was being recorded and that he had received the Letter of Complaint in a timely manner. He also noted that he was able to review both his arrest and offense reports with counsel prior to the interview.

[REDACTED] noted that significant confusion was brought about due to several differences between written words and verbal testimony from both police reports and the District Court trial. [REDACTED] stated that he wished he had completed one all inclusive narrative that would have explained both the investigation into the alleged theft and the decision to arrest [REDACTED] on scene. The two different narratives left questions in the minds of the reader and you would have to piece both together in order to develop a chronological story of that morning's events.

[REDACTED] stated that he wished he would have stayed with [REDACTED] while he completed his written statement. He regrets leaving [REDACTED] with another officer while he went back and forth between [REDACTED] and the reporting parties to conduct the investigation. [REDACTED] reported that [REDACTED] initial verbal version of the events that played out that morning were different than his almost illegible, first written statement. When confronted with the differences and the sloppiness in the statement, [REDACTED] offered to write a new statement.

[REDACTED] acknowledged discarding the first statement believing that it was not relevant to the investigation. [REDACTED] acknowledged that he now understands that this action was not the best nor proper police procedure in conducting a criminal investigation and will not discard or destroy material in the future. He acknowledged repeating this action with a second statement that was illegible. He tore the statement up in front of [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was almost crying and kept stating that he would write whatever [REDACTED] wanted him to write. [REDACTED] atus instructed him to write the truth, which is what he believed [REDACTED] told him verbally earlier in their interactions. The third statement was not much better, but [REDACTED] believed that it was going to be the "best product" he would be able to get.

[REDACTED] spoke of the physical tactics involved in the arrest of [REDACTED]. He reported that he was confused by the questioning during the trial and understands that his responses did not accurately answer the questions and may have caused the judge and the defense to question his openness and integrity. [REDACTED] stated that as [REDACTED] turned and moved towards him, he used [REDACTED] forward momentum, grabbed [REDACTED] shoulders and pushed him towards the ground. [REDACTED] landed face down and laid on his hands. [REDACTED] then knelt on one side and began attempting to pull [REDACTED] arms out in order to handcuff him.

The interview shifted back in an attempt to follow a chronological order of events. [REDACTED] stated that he was dispatched to the area of [REDACTED] to investigate two subjects protesting a [REDACTED] in the roadway. He also learned that the two subjects may have been involved in a theft from the site prior to his arrival on scene. Upon

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1731

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1447

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

arrival, [REDACTED] observed two men in the roadway confronting each other. They were later identified as [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. The two were separated and directed to opposite sides of the road. [REDACTED] dealt with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] first. They explained that they were protesting the fact they did not get paid for their work. [REDACTED] advised them that they were within their rights to protest, but could not go into the roadway and obstruct traffic. [REDACTED] acknowledged that he did not have reason to, nor did he conduct any type of pat down of either man at that time. [REDACTED] then crossed the street to speak with the reporting party, [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] reported that he had arrived on scene to work and observed the two men protesting his company and causing a disturbance. He also reported that he had learned that the two men had been at the site earlier and had taken some company tools, saw horses and scrap metal. He then called the police to report both matters. When asked about a verbal exchange between him and [REDACTED] [REDACTED] only recalled that [REDACTED] told him that he was a military veteran and [REDACTED] felt that [REDACTED] was looking for preference in the investigation. [REDACTED] advised him that no preference would be given and that he would conduct a fair investigation. When advised that [REDACTED] reported that he was a very excitable person and a disabled veteran due to [REDACTED] and wanted the officer to know about his own excitable demeanor, [REDACTED] responded, "I don't give a fuck. I am too." [REDACTED] continued to describe the morning's events and requested that the two men be arrested. [REDACTED] responded, "Don't tell me how to do my God damn job." The discussion continued and [REDACTED] noted that as it continued, [REDACTED] calmed and was very professional. [REDACTED] denied responded to [REDACTED] in the manner described and acknowledged telling him that he would not get preference in the investigation. [REDACTED] returned to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] to investigate further. Based upon the allegation of the theft and the fact that both men were roofers and may have had tools on their persons, [REDACTED] decided to pat both men down for safety reasons before continuing the interviews. The men were separated since [REDACTED] had three witnesses reporting the theft. [REDACTED] was pat down first and then seated in the rear of [REDACTED] patrol vehicle unrestrained. [REDACTED] returned to [REDACTED] and advised him that he wanted to pat him down and asked him to place his hands on the wall. [REDACTED] was verbally excitable and upset at the entire situation. During the pat down, [REDACTED] took his hands off of the wall and spun around "nose to nose" with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] instructed him to turn back around and put his hands back on the wall. [REDACTED] continued to yell and was becoming increasingly agitated. [REDACTED] then took a "bladed stance" and [REDACTED] believed that [REDACTED] would not comply with his commands and that a physical attack was coming. [REDACTED] continued to ignore the commands to turn around and place his hands on the wall and was advised that he was under arrest for obstructing the investigation. Believing that he would be hit, [REDACTED] grabbed [REDACTED] by the shoulders as he shifted forward and used his momentum to "escort" him to the ground. [REDACTED] tried to pull [REDACTED] arms out from under him, while [REDACTED] was flailing his legs and squirming on the ground. [REDACTED] acknowledged yelling, "Stop resisting." [REDACTED] assisted by stabilizing [REDACTED] head and shoulders and [REDACTED] put his knee in [REDACTED] back to pin him to the ground and was able to get [REDACTED] arms out from underneath him and secure him in handcuffs. [REDACTED] reported that he did not use any type strikes with his arms or legs, nor were any other less lethal weapons used during this arrest. [REDACTED] stated that other than getting [REDACTED] down to the ground and pinning him firmly, the only other physical actions were using leverage and forcible pulling to get [REDACTED] arms out from underneath him and applying handcuffs. Specifically, there were no knee strikes nor "hay makers." [REDACTED] reiterated that he did not "beat" [REDACTED] while he was on the ground as was implied by [REDACTED] trial attorney during the trial.

The interview shifted to a discussion of the probable cause for arrest. There was confusion among the criminal complaint, the police narrative and the testimony in court. [REDACTED] stated he placed [REDACTED] under arrest based upon the "totality of the circumstances." Primarily, [REDACTED] was arrested for obstructing the investigation by not complying with a pat down and becoming combative with [REDACTED]. This disorderly behavior during his interaction with [REDACTED] coupled with his questionable disorderly behavior protesting the job site also factored into the decision to arrest. In addition to [REDACTED] on scene behavior, [REDACTED] still believed

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1731

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1447

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

that [REDACTED] was a suspect in a theft and was attempting to continue that portion of the investigation.

During trial, [REDACTED] testified that he provided an untruthful statement. He stated that he was told what to write or he would be arrested for disorderly conduct. Knowing that he was on probation, [REDACTED] complied and wrote what [REDACTED] told him to write. [REDACTED] denied forcing anyone to write a statement and that he completed one on his own free will. [REDACTED] just told him to write what he had already told him verbally.

Finally, [REDACTED] reiterated that he does not believe that he had done anything in violation of any department rules, nor violated [REDACTED] civil rights. [REDACTED] acknowledged that destroying two written statements was not a best practice in law enforcement.

Further investigative methods:

1. additional neighborhood canvass - no other witnesses could be located.
2. review related telephone calls - no additional information was found.
3. review related police radio transmissions - no additional information was found.
4. review video surveillance for custody and release - the booking room video appeared to show [REDACTED] moving freely and not showing any signs of injury.
5. seek additional [REDACTED] employee witness - [REDACTED] - subject could not be located.
6. obtain [REDACTED] medical release and all records - [REDACTED] eventually declined to provide and further documentation that would assist with a thorough investigation.
7. alert TRUST to probable suit - advised [REDACTED].
8. investigate [REDACTED] claims of destroyed [REDACTED] statements, conspiracy among PO's that go to the same gym with [REDACTED] causing an assault in [REDACTED], and that the arrest report narratives have been altered after approved and a copy released. - The destruction of [REDACTED] statements was confirmed. There was no evidence of conspiracy amongst department personnel to have [REDACTED] assault [REDACTED] during his protest in front of police headquarters. [REDACTED] was subsequently arrested for his actions and the matter was handled in District Court. And finally, there was no evidence to show that any material information was altered in any police reports after they were approved and initially released. Several supplemental narratives were done, specifically with the pending theft offense report.

The Primary Issues developed during the internal investigation are:

1. Discrepancies describing the actual arresting charge(s) and the probable cause associated with the charges.
2. Description of the physical arrest tactics used by [REDACTED] portrayed in the brutality allegation.
3. Destruction of written statements provided by [REDACTED] to [REDACTED].
4. Foul language and demeanor of [REDACTED] during interaction with [REDACTED].
5. Allegation of a coerced statement from [REDACTED] by [REDACTED].

Findings:

1. The coordination and accuracy of the narratives of the arrest report and the criminal complaint was not done well. The charging offenses were not well defined and should have been reviewed and refined more thoroughly.

The following discrepancies were noted:

- a. Arrest report narrative: indicates that [REDACTED] was initially advised that he was under arrest for disorderly conduct. I assumed his disorderly behavior was based upon his demeanor and behavior during the interaction with [REDACTED] involving questioning and a pat down and not for [REDACTED] alleged interference of traffic and entering the roadway during his protests. After being handcuffed, [REDACTED] was advised that he was being charged with disorderly conduct and resisting arrest.

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED] NOTT

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1731 Entry ID: [REDACTED]
Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1447 Modified ID: [REDACTED]

b. Criminal Complaint (initial): [REDACTED] indicated in the complaint narratives that [REDACTED] had engaged in fighting or threatening, or in violent or tumultuous behavior and resisted arrest.

c. Criminal Complaint (revised at arraignment or pre-trial): After a Bill of Particulars was requested by the defense, Solicitor [REDACTED] altered the criminal complaint to highlight the disorderly conduct subsection related to obstructing vehicular or pedestrian traffic base upon the allegation that [REDACTED] had violated this section several times.

d. Internal Affairs Interview discussions on charges and probable cause: There was confusion among the criminal complaint, the police narrative and the testimony in court. At trial and during the IA interview, [REDACTED] stated he placed [REDACTED] under arrest based upon the "totality of the circumstances." Primarily, [REDACTED] was arrested for obstructing the investigation by not complying with a pat down and becoming combative with [REDACTED]. This disorderly behavior during his interaction with [REDACTED] coupled with his questionable disorderly behavior protesting the job site also factored into the decision to arrest. In addition to [REDACTED] on scene behavior, [REDACTED] still believed that [REDACTED] was a suspect in a theft and was attempting to continue that portion of the investigation.

I find that [REDACTED] did not accurately and completely describe the facts that he had used to decide to effect the arrest in his narrative, in his verbal report to the Officer in Charge, [REDACTED], and then during testimony at trial. [REDACTED] did not question or seek additional information in order to verify and document the appropriate criminal charges and describe the actions and list the elements of the crimes in the criminal complaint narratives. I believe that the actions described above are a result of incomplete and non-thorough police work and not negligent or intentional acts.

2. [REDACTED] denies using any techniques or strikes that could have been portrayed as assaultive behavior on his part. He acknowledges "escorting" [REDACTED] to the ground by grabbing his shoulders and using [REDACTED] forward momentum to secure him to the ground to better enable officers to control and restrain [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] acknowledged that he placed his knee into [REDACTED] back and applied his weight to keep him pinned to the ground to reduce the kicking and flailing of his legs and the squirming of his body, while he attempted to use physical strength and leverage to pull [REDACTED] arms out from under his torso. [REDACTED] had stabilized [REDACTED] and shoulders during the struggle and did not use any other techniques.

I did not find enough corroborated statements nor facts to support the brutality allegation.

3. [REDACTED] acknowledged destroying (ripped up) the first two written statements provided by [REDACTED] on scene. He denied attempting to coerce [REDACTED] into writing a slanted version of the incident and asked him to describe the incident the way [REDACTED] had done verbally during their initial interaction.

I find that the destruction of "evidence" or the written statements violates the best practices of a criminal investigations being conducted by a law enforcement officer.

4. [REDACTED] denies using any foul language during his interaction with [REDACTED]. He stated that he acknowledged that he was a military veteran during their conversations, but did not recall being disrespectful nor using foul language.

I believe that [REDACTED] used foul language and briefly acted in an unprofessional manner during the interaction

NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1731

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1447

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

with [REDACTED] based upon the separate statements of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]

5. During the Internal Affairs interview and during trial, [REDACTED] alleged that he was coerced by [REDACTED] to complete a written statement with a slanted version of the incident or be subject to arrest for disorderly conduct. [REDACTED] stated that he complied and wrote what the officer asked for because he was on probation and did not want to be violated. [REDACTED] acknowledged directing [REDACTED] to return to the sidewalk or be arrested for disorderly conduct upon his arrival. [REDACTED] stated he observed two men in the roadway and believed that they were about to fight as he pulled up to the scene. [REDACTED] exited his patrol car and directed both men to opposite sides of the road or be arrested. Both men initially complied and [REDACTED] continued his investigation. [REDACTED] stated that after [REDACTED] had acted out and had been arrested, he approached [REDACTED] and asked him to complete a statement. [REDACTED] wrote a statement of his own free will and was instructed to write what he had observed.

I did not find enough facts to support the statement of [REDACTED] was coerced.



Case #: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Date/Time Reported: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1043
Report Date/Time: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1043
Occurred Between: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1216
And: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1225
Complaint Type: Rules/Regs/Policies
Disposition: Exonerated

Reporting Officer: Major [REDACTED]

Signature: _____

OFFENSE (S) A/C TITLE/CHAP SECTION

[REDACTED] d/Alley/Street Zone: ARCTIC
WEST WARWICK RI 02893

1 DEPT RULES, REGS AND POLICIES C
OCCURRED: [REDACTED] 1216
BIAS AGAINST: [REDACTED]

VICTIM(S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]:
Home Phone [REDACTED] (Primary) [REDACTED]
ETHNICITY: Not of Hispanic Origin
RESIDENT STATUS: Non Resident
VICTIM CONNEC NUMBER(S): 1
RELATION TO: [REDACTED] Stranger

PERSON (S) PERSON TYPE SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

OFFICER (S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

NARRATIVE FOR MAJOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 0946

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1106

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

On [REDACTED], 2014, [REDACTED] delivered a Civilian Complaint Form to police headquarters. The complaint focused on a traffic stop ([REDACTED]) on [REDACTED], 2014 at 1216 hours initiated by [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was issued a Municipal Court summons ([REDACTED] for Obedience to Stop Sign. [REDACTED] denied the infraction and felt that he was stopped and discriminated against for being [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] had previously stopped at headquarters and spoke with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] regarding his issues. [REDACTED] investigated the complaint and submitted a memo to [REDACTED], dated [REDACTED]/2014. [REDACTED] plead not guilty to the charge and a trial was scheduled in municipal court.

The Municipal Court trial was initially scheduled for [REDACTED] 2014, but was continued to [REDACTED] 2014 after [REDACTED] requested a continuance due to injuries he sustained in a car crash. On [REDACTED]/2014, [REDACTED] was involved in an investigation of a motor vehicle crash involving a suspected DUI operator and Judge [REDACTED] continued the trial to [REDACTED] 2014. After testimony, [REDACTED] was found guilty of the offense and fined \$85.00 plus \$35.00 court cost.

Maj. [REDACTED] investigated the allegation of racial profiling. On [REDACTED] 2014, [REDACTED] appears to have conducted seven traffic stops involving stop sign infractions and issued a summons on six of them between 1216 and 1459 hours. He was working the Accident Reduction detail and was assigned to high volume crash sites. The Department has not received any previous complaints of racial profiling involving [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] ran a TriTech Race Profile Statistics report on [REDACTED] from [REDACTED]/2014 - [REDACTED] 2014 (date of finalized statistics). During that time frame, it appeared that [REDACTED] conducted [REDACTED] traffic stops.

[REDACTED] were [REDACTED] for [REDACTED] %
 [REDACTED] were [REDACTED] for [REDACTED] %
 [REDACTED] were [REDACTED] for [REDACTED] %
 [REDACTED] were [REDACTED] for [REDACTED] %
 [REDACTED] was [REDACTED] for [REDACTED] %

Of those stops, approximately [REDACTED] % of them (for both Whites and Blacks) were for motor vehicle violations and the remaining stops were Investigatory in nature. The outcomes of the stops were consistent between the two Race perceptions as approximately [REDACTED] % were issued citations, between [REDACTED] % were given warnings. The duration of the stops was primarily the same for both primary races at 0-15 minutes falling between [REDACTED] %.

Based upon the reported circumstances of the specific motor vehicle stop and subsequent trial, [REDACTED] pattern of enforcement on and prior to [REDACTED]/2014, and the Race Profile Statistics, [REDACTED] did not find any evidence to support the allegations of biased stops and enforcement by [REDACTED].

The case was forwarded to [REDACTED] Chief of Police, on [REDACTED] 2014 for review.



Case #: 14- -IA

Date/Time Reported: /2014 @ 1545
Report Date/Time: /2014 @ 1137
Occurred Between: /2014 @ 0616
And: /2014 @ 0700
Complaint Type: Rules/Regs/Policies
Disposition: Unfounded

Reporting Officer: Major

Signature: _____

OFFENSE (S) A/C TITLE/CHAP SECTION

: Residence/Home/Apt./Condo Zone: CROMPTON
WEST WARWICK RI 02893

1 DEPARTMENT RULES, REGULATIONS & POLICIES C
OCCURRED: /2014 0435

PERSON (S) PERSON TYPE SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [Redacted Person Information]

CONTACT INFORMATION: (Primary)

[Redacted Contact Information]

2 [Redacted Person Information]

CONTACT INFORMATION: Home Phone (Primary)

[Redacted Contact Information]

OFFICER (S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [Redacted Officer Information]

Investigative

Case #: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

CONTACT INFORMATION:

[REDACTED] ([REDACTED] [REDACTED]) [REDACTED]

BODY: NOT AVAIL.
DOB: NOT AVAIL.
LICENSE NUMBER: NOT AVAIL.

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.
PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.
ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL:

WEST WARWICK POLICE · 401-821-4323

NARRATIVE FOR MAJOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1525

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2016 @ 1014

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

On [REDACTED] 2014 Major [REDACTED] received a three page letter from [REDACTED] regarding Arrest # [REDACTED] AR ([REDACTED]) of her son, [REDACTED]. The letter had a partial arrest report attached and also noted that copies were sent to Governor [REDACTED], Attorney General [REDACTED] the Public Corruption Unit, Coventry PD Internal Affairs, Coventry Police Chief [REDACTED] West Warwick Police Chief [REDACTED] and Colonel [REDACTED].

The nature of the letter revolved around perceived inconsistencies between the reports by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. It also indicated that the author believed that [REDACTED] has been harassed by law enforcement for years, arrested under false pretenses and wrongly incarcerated. On [REDACTED]/2015 [REDACTED] responded to headquarters and had a productive meeting with Maj [REDACTED]. They went line by line through her letter and clarified many of the misunderstandings. Some of the inconsistencies were various officer's point of view, however, none were pertinent to the probable cause for arrest and subsequent bail status. [REDACTED] also sought assistance from the police department in recognizing that [REDACTED] has mental health and substance abuse issues and hopes to aid him in treatment and recovery as opposed to continued law enforcement action. [REDACTED] was advised that department members prefer to guide towards treatment when possible, however, some actions require criminal prosecution and a determination of sentencing (outcome) by a judge. The civilian complaint investigation was closed as unfounded.



Case #: 14-█-IA

Date/Time Reported: █/2014 @ 1800
Report Date/Time: █/2014 @ 1146

Complaint Type: Rules/Regs/Policies
Disposition: Not Sustained

Reporting Officer: Major █

Signature: _____

Assisting Officer: Captain █

Signature: _____

OFFENSE (S) A/C TITLE/CHAP SECTION

█ Highway/Road/Alley/Street Zone: NATICK
WEST WARWICK RI 02893

1 DEPARTMENT RULES/REGS C
OCCURRED: █ 1847
REFER TO CITATION#: █
SUSPECTED OF USING: Not Applicable
BIAS AGAINST: No Bias

PERSON (S) PERSON TYPE SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 █
EMPLOYER: WEST WARWICK POLICE DEPT █
CONTACT INFORMATION: █

2 █
EMPLOYER: WEST WARWICK PD █
CONTACT INFORMATION: █

3 █
CONTACT INFORMATION: █
Home Phone (Primary) █

NARRATIVE FOR MAJOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1321 Entry ID: [REDACTED]
Modified: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1336 Modified ID: [REDACTED]

On [REDACTED], 2014 Major [REDACTED] was updated on this complaint by Major [REDACTED] Major [REDACTED] stated that he had [REDACTED] follow-up with the complainant in this case. Major [REDACTED] spoke with [REDACTED] about this complaint. [REDACTED] advised that he had spoken at length with the complaining party, [REDACTED], on [REDACTED] 2014. After his conversation with [REDACTED], [REDACTED] felt that he had satisfied her concerns and forwarded his involvement in a department email. [REDACTED] also informed Major [REDACTED] that he had also spoke with [REDACTED] about this complaint.

On [REDACTED], 2014 Major [REDACTED] phoned the complainant, [REDACTED]. A message was left with her by Major [REDACTED] informing her that he spoke with [REDACTED] about their conversation on [REDACTED]. Major [REDACTED] advised her to reach out to him should she have any other concerns regarding her complaint. [REDACTED] was also advised of her upcoming Municipal Court date scheduled on [REDACTED].

Major [REDACTED] not receive any further contact from [REDACTED]. Major [REDACTED] was informed by Major [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] did respond to her court date on [REDACTED].

Civilian complaint, [REDACTED] and motor vehicle violations are attached to this report.

SUPPLEMENTAL NARRATIVE FOR MAJOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 0932

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 0955

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

On [REDACTED] 2015 at approximately 1119 hours Major [REDACTED] spoke with [REDACTED] regarding this investigation. [REDACTED] had a trial at the West Warwick Municipal Court for the red light citation she received and was found guilty after the trial. She advised Major [REDACTED] that she felt [REDACTED] committed perjury at the trial. [REDACTED] believed because she stated at the scene she was advised by the officer that there was a white pick up truck in front of the officer however, during the officers testimony he never mentioned the white vehicle.

I advised [REDACTED] that officers testify from written reports and memory and that the white vehicle was not pertinent to the facts of the case, which is the officer observing her travel through a red light. [REDACTED] requested I listen to the tape of the trial because, in her opinion, the officer committed perjury.

On [REDACTED] 2015 at approximately 1330 hours Major [REDACTED] retrieved a copy of the municipal court recordings of [REDACTED] trial. In review of the trial I did not hear any evidence of [REDACTED] committing perjury. [REDACTED] testified to the facts which were established in his report. [REDACTED] did not testify to a white vehicle being in front of him during his testimony.

During [REDACTED] testimony she focused on the white pick up truck and stated she never saw one. Major [REDACTED] advised [REDACTED] that there is no evidence of perjury by the officer during his testimony.



Case #: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Date/Time Reported: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 0959
Report Date/Time: [REDACTED]/2014 @ 1440

Complaint Type: Rules/Regs/Policies
Disposition: Not Sustained

Reporting Officer: Major [REDACTED]

Signature: _____

OFFENSE(S) A/C TITLE/CHAP SECTION

TYPE: Residence/Home/Apt./Condo Zone: ARCTIC

[REDACTED]
WEST WARWICK RI 02893

1 DEPARTMENT RULES, REGULATIONS & POLICIES C
OCCURRED: [REDACTED]/2014 1930

VICTIM(S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Comments=

ETHNICITY: Not of Hispanic Origin
RESIDENT STATUS: Resident
VICTIM CONNEC SE NUMBER(S): 1
RELATION TO: [REDACTED]

Stranger

OFFICER(S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

BODY: NOT AVAIL.
DOB: NOT AVAIL.
LICENSE NUMBER: NOT AVAIL.

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.
PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.
ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

NARRATIVE FOR MAJOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 14-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2016 @ 1023

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2016 @ 1038

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

On [REDACTED] 2014 at approximately 1930 hours, West Warwick's Director of Human Resources, [REDACTED] received a voicemail from a male identified as [REDACTED] of [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] alleged that a female dispatcher discriminated against him by not dispatching officers to him to speak about his life being threatened. [REDACTED] forwarded this information to [REDACTED] on [REDACTED]/2014 and he in turn assigned Major [REDACTED] to investigate the matter.

[REDACTED] reviewed the call screen [REDACTED] and the related 911 call. [REDACTED] responded to headquarters to report that his friend, [REDACTED] owes him \$80.00 and when he inquires about repayment, she gets upset and "harasses" him. [REDACTED] advised [REDACTED] that the loan collection is a civil issue and that [REDACTED] alleged conduct did not rise to criminality. She suggested that he block [REDACTED] from his cell phone and FaceBook if the contact is unwanted and persists. [REDACTED] left and called back later to report the \$80.00 as a larceny. When dispatch declined to send officers to take his complaint, [REDACTED] became irate. [REDACTED] called headquarters as well and told dispatch that [REDACTED] loaned her money until she gets her tax return and he is now harassing her. Both parties were advised to keep the peace via telephone. [REDACTED] then called 911 because he was unhappy with the resolution. He was advised that this issue is not a police matter.

[REDACTED] spoke to [REDACTED] via telephone on [REDACTED] 2014 at approximately 1340 hours. [REDACTED] advised [REDACTED] that no bias or discrimination was found. He also told [REDACTED] that officers should have been dispatched on a priority basis to speak with him, however, based on the information provided, no criminal investigation would have been initiated. And finally, he was told to ask to speak to a supervisor in the future if he has any concerns with police services.

The investigation was closed as not sustained.



Case #: 15-[REDACTED]-IA

Date/Time Reported: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1400
Report Date/Time: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1337
Occurred Between: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 0900
And: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1400
Complaint Type: Rules/Regs/Policies
Disposition: Not Sustained

Reporting Officer: Major [REDACTED]

Signature: _____

OFFENSE (S) A/C TITLE/CHAP SECTION

[REDACTED] idence/Home/Apt./Condo Zone: CENTREVILLE
WEST WARWICK RI 02893

1 DEPARTMENT RULES/REGS C
OCCURRED: [REDACTED]/2015 1500
SUSPECTED OF USING: Not Applicable
BIAS AGAINST: No Bias

PERSON (S) PERSON TYPE SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

CONTACT INFORMATION:
Home Phone (Primary) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

OFFICER (S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

CONTACT INFORMATION:
[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

HEIGHT: [REDACTED] WEIGHT: [REDACTED] HAIR: [REDACTED] EYES: [REDACTED]
BODY: MEDIUM COMPLEXION: M
DOB: [REDACTED] PLACE OF BIRTH: [REDACTED]
LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED] ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

[APPEARANCE]

GLASSES WORN:NO

SCARS: [REDACTED]

Investigative

Case #: 15-[REDACTED]-IA

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

[FAMILY/EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION]

MARITAL STATUS:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

NARRATIVE FOR MAJOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 15-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1344

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1141

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

On [REDACTED] 2015 at approximately 2:00pm Major [REDACTED] was assigned this complaint by Chief [REDACTED]. Chief [REDACTED] was advised by the Town Manager's office that the complainant, [REDACTED], responded to their office stating she was threatened by [REDACTED]

Major [REDACTED] reviewed the address and checks screens from our computer system and learned that there has been an on going issue between the residents of [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] resides at [REDACTED] and the [REDACTED] resides at number [REDACTED]

At approximately 2:15pm Major [REDACTED] phoned [REDACTED] and spoke to her regarding her complaint. [REDACTED] was asked if she would like to sit down in person and speak about this complaint however, she reported she was fine handling it over the phone at this time. [REDACTED] reported that she has been in contact with the building inspector, [REDACTED] in regards to the property line between her home and the neighbors, [REDACTED]. She asked about a survey and stated she was going to hire a surveyor to pinpoint the property line between the homes. She reported that in about 2008 or 2009 the families purchased a trellis type yard decor and put it up together. There was also a fence that it was connected to and that the fence was owned by [REDACTED]. Recently, the [REDACTED] cut down the trellis and the fence as well (refer call numbers [REDACTED] dated [REDACTED]/2015 and [REDACTED] dated [REDACTED]/15). According to [REDACTED] this fence divided the property line and was used to put her trash cans behind. She was not upset over the cutting down of the trellis because the families purchased it together however, she felt that they had no right to cut down her fence. The patrol division responded and advised the parties that it was a civil matter as the police department was told that [REDACTED] gave permission to the [REDACTED] to cut down the fence. This is what lead [REDACTED] to contact the building inspector.

While speaking to [REDACTED] she was obviously quite upset about the incident. She stated that ten (10) years ago the [REDACTED] put in an apartment in their basement without permits. Major [REDACTED] asked her to tell him how she was threatened by [REDACTED] and she continued with the recent incident that took place on [REDACTED]/2015. She reported that on that day, [REDACTED] boyfriend [REDACTED] spoke to her husband, [REDACTED] and was told he could cut down the fence. [REDACTED] said she told [REDACTED] prior to cutting down the fence that she "overruled" her husband and said he couldn't cut down the fence but he did so anyway. She became upset again and was crying stating they park on her grass, she keeps her yard up and they don't. She was asked how [REDACTED] was involved and she stated that the day after the police responded, he was at the house (off duty). He spoke with [REDACTED] and his sister, [REDACTED], and stated to let the survey be completed and then they will know the location of the property line so the fence can be replaced. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] was helpful on that date. She stated that on [REDACTED]/15 was when she was threatened by [REDACTED]. She said that at about 3-3:30pm she pulled into her driveway and [REDACTED] was there (off duty). [REDACTED] said that she had put some stakes (plowing type marker stakes) in the ground where the fence was and they were removed by [REDACTED] and placed in her recycle bin. He began to yell at her [REDACTED], I thought we straightened this out. You went and turned in my parents. I'll be on the force another [REDACTED] years honey". [REDACTED] responded saying are you threatening me and he responded to her what are you talking about. [REDACTED] was again crying and said she took [REDACTED] statement as a threat. She stated she has the [REDACTED] on one side and the other neighbors [REDACTED] (sp.) on the other side busting her balls. They are dirty and don't clean their pool and she just wants peace and quiet. She continued about cars parking next to her trees and when they open the door they hit the trees and damage them.

Major [REDACTED] explained to [REDACTED] the difficulty over neighborhood disputes and civil issues where the police have limited authority. He offered to sit down with her and her neighbors to attempt to mediate the issues at hand however, she refused, again stating she just wants peace and quiet. She said she is trying not to bother them and [REDACTED] statement made her feel violated and threatened. [REDACTED] stated that all she wants from

NARRATIVE FOR MAJOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 15-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1344

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1141

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

Major [REDACTED] is to ask that [REDACTED] and her neighbors be advised to leave her alone until the survey be completed. Major [REDACTED] advised [REDACTED] that he would talk with [REDACTED] about the incident. [REDACTED] was asked to keep the peace as well until the survey could be completed. This officer provided [REDACTED] with his office phone number to call if he could be of any other assistance to her and that he would reach out to her after speaking with [REDACTED]. She was also advised to call the police department if any immediate problems take place with her neighbors.

On [REDACTED] 15 at approximately 1:15pm Major [REDACTED] met with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] at the [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was aware of the incident that [REDACTED] reported and stated that he grew up next door to her and her family. He reported that this was not the first incident of this kind over the years and that his neighbors the [REDACTED] sp.), who leave on the other side of the [REDACTED] family, have been going through this for years with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was then asked to explain the recent incidents that have taken place. He reported an issue over a fence and trellis being cut down causing a dispute on the property line. He was advised by his sister over the incident so he responded and spoke to his sister and [REDACTED]. At that time he felt the issue was resolved as he advised them to let the survey go through to determine the property line. [REDACTED] reported the bickering must have continued because he was advised by his family about stakes now being in the yard. He responded back to the home and discovered stake type markers between the property. [REDACTED] stated he pulled out the stakes and placed them in the [REDACTED] recycle bin and [REDACTED] arrived home. She yelled at [REDACTED] "you think you can do anything you want cause your a cop". [REDACTED] responded to her that "I'll be here another [REDACTED] years [REDACTED]". She then responded are you threatening me and he responded it is not a threat, you asked me a question and I gave you an answer. [REDACTED] stated their conversation ended and he called the police department and advised dispatch that the neighbor [REDACTED] may call because of the interaction. Major [REDACTED] asked [REDACTED] if he was threatening [REDACTED] and he said it was not a threat nor intended to be a threat. He has been dealing with her for years and that this sought of incident takes place at least once a year with her and the neighbors. Major [REDACTED] advised [REDACTED] to please have his family keep the peace with [REDACTED] while the survey is pending.

On [REDACTED] 15 Major [REDACTED] attended court in the morning. At approximately 1:30pm he had just returned to the police department and was advised by dispatch that [REDACTED] was here to see him. Major [REDACTED] escorted her in to the records room and spoke with her. She asked if he had received her phone message and she was told he had not at this time. [REDACTED] reported she called to say that the [REDACTED] now had cameras in their windows recording her and she felt that that was harassment. She was advised that they could legally have cameras on their property and she could do the same if she wished to document any possible issues. [REDACTED] showed Major [REDACTED] a few pictures she captured on her cell phone of what looks like cameras in at least two (2) windows of the [REDACTED] home. [REDACTED] asked Major [REDACTED] if he had spoke with [REDACTED] and she was advised he had and of the conversation. Major [REDACTED] told her that [REDACTED] did not feel his comments were a threat towards [REDACTED] and that was not his intention if she perceived it that way. [REDACTED] was asked if there was anything else Major [REDACTED] could assist her with and she stated she was all set. She was again advised that a mediation may help the problem but she again declined. Major [REDACTED] advised her to reach out to him if he could be of any other assistance.

On [REDACTED] 15 at approximately 12:13pm Major [REDACTED] received a phone message from [REDACTED]. In her message she sounded very irate and said she was not happy with the way things are being handled with the [REDACTED]. Now [REDACTED] (the old man) is at the [REDACTED] house trying to get them against her and that is nothing sacred that I say to you. She went to say that the police stick together and she was going to call [REDACTED]. Her call was returned however, a voice mail answered so a message was left for her advising her call was being returned and to

NARRATIVE FOR MAJOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 15-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1344

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1141

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

call back if she wished to. Major [REDACTED] then responded to the town hall to advised the Managers Office that [REDACTED] may return as she is not happy with how she was being treated by the police department. As he was exiting the town hall [REDACTED] was entering. She was advised he had received her call and left her a voice message. [REDACTED] then yelled at Major [REDACTED] that we are all related in this town and stick up for each other and that she was calling [REDACTED] Major [REDACTED] told her he was offended by her comment and was sorry she was not happy but felt that she was treated with the utmost respect by him. She then walked away.

On [REDACTED] 15 the patrol division responded to [REDACTED] after a call was received by [REDACTED] reporting [REDACTED] was harassing her. A report was completed ([REDACTED]) which was attached to this report. The report documents mutual allegations between the involved parties and all were advised to keep the peace. On [REDACTED] 15 [REDACTED] phoned Major [REDACTED] stating that the survey company had began their work and she was advised by the company that the [REDACTED] called them looking for information. She inquired if they could do that and she was told it was not illegal for them to call the company and that he would think that the company would not release any details until the survey was completed. Major [REDACTED] again empathized with her over the issue at hand and explained that they are delicate issues with the police department options being limited in civil issues. She stated she was told the survey should be completed in 4-6 weeks and will hopefully assist in resolving the dispute. [REDACTED] also reported that she had seen a local survey company there as well for the [REDACTED]

Both [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] account of the incident are very similar. The difference lies in the intent and how the comment made by [REDACTED] was perceived by [REDACTED] She felt threatened and he states he did not threaten her nor was that his intention.

There is no evidence to sustain this allegation/complaint of [REDACTED] threatening [REDACTED]



Case #: 15-[REDACTED]-IA

Date/Time Reported: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1021
Report Date/Time: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1021
Occurred Between: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 2117
And: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 2126
Complaint Type: Rules/Regs/Policies
Disposition: Not Sustained

Reporting Officer: Major [REDACTED]

Signature: _____

OFFENSE(S) A/C TITLE/CHAP SECTION

[REDACTED] Highway/Road/Alley/Street Zone: NATICK
[REDACTED] WEST WARWICK RI 02893

1 VIOLATIONS OF POLICY, RULES OR REGULATIONS C
OCCURRED: [REDACTED]/2015 2117
SUSPECTED OF USING: Not Applicable
BIAS AGAINST: No Bias

VICTIM(S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

CONTACT INFORMATION:
Home Phone [REDACTED] (Primary)
ETHNICITY: Not of Hispanic Origin
RESIDENT STATUS: Resident
VICTIM CONNEC NUMBER(S): 1
RELATION TO: [REDACTED]

Stranger

OFFICER(S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

BODY: [REDACTED] COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.
DOB: [REDACTED] PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.
LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED] ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL:

NARRATIVE FOR MAJOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 15-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1111

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1137

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

On [REDACTED]/2015 Major [REDACTED] received a Civilian Complaint Form from [REDACTED] regarding a traffic stop and summons ([REDACTED]) issued by [REDACTED] on [REDACTED] 2015. [REDACTED] sent [REDACTED] a letter of acknowledgement on [REDACTED] 2015.

[REDACTED] complained that [REDACTED] reasonable suspicion for the stop was unjustified and when confronted with this, [REDACTED] changed his reason for the stop and eventually unjustly issued a RITT summons. [REDACTED] reported that he was advised that the initial basis of the stop was for not having a bumper. He provided information that he in fact had a bumper and the necessary equipment, but just lacked a bumper cover which is not required by state law. [REDACTED] then advised him that he was also stopped for an expired inspection sticker and an expired registration sticker. [REDACTED] questioned how [REDACTED] could make these observations and why he seemed to have changed his justification for the stop. [REDACTED] questioned the officer's training in the field of motor vehicle inspection laws and suspected his Constitutional protections had been violated.

[REDACTED] was issued a RITT summons for operating a motor vehicle without evidence of insurance and inspection sticker required. The matter was heard on [REDACTED] 2015 and was dismissed after [REDACTED] proved corrective action was taken on the inspection violation and proved that he had an active insurance policy at the time of the stop.

[REDACTED] spoke with [REDACTED] on [REDACTED] 2015 and allowed him to read the civilian complaint. [REDACTED] stated that his narrative for the violation was accurate. He stated that he was parked at [REDACTED] and had a clear view of the vehicle as he observed the expired inspection and registration stickers. He also observed what he perceived to be a missing bumper. He initiated the stop. When he was informed that the required bumper and safety equipment was present, he moved on to the other violations. DMV checks revealed the registration was active, however the stickers had not been applied. [REDACTED] issued the summons for the expired inspection sticker and no proof of insurance after [REDACTED] could not provide proof.

[REDACTED] concluded that while [REDACTED] was mistaken in his belief that a required bumper was missing, he conducted a stop in good faith and also had also observed additional violations. No wrong doing was found and no Constitutional protections were violated. The stop lasted nine (9) minutes.

[REDACTED] attempted to reach [REDACTED] by telephone on [REDACTED] 2015 at 1416 hours to inquire if he had any additional information or input. A message was left. No return call had been received as of [REDACTED]/2015. A letter of the findings was mailed to [REDACTED] on [REDACTED] 2015. The investigation revealed that there may be a deficiency in the training officers have received or their understanding about safety equipment is required by law. An assessment of local training will be completed by the Planning and Training officer.



Case #: 15-[redacted]-IA

Date/Time Reported: [redacted]/2015 @ 1200
Report Date/Time: [redacted]/2015 @ 1157
Occurred Between: [redacted]/2014 @ 0943
And: [redacted]/2015 @ 1200
Complaint Type: Rules/Regs/Policies
Disposition: Not Sustained

Reporting Officer: Major [redacted]

Signature: _____

OFFENSE(S) A/C TITLE/CHAP SECTION

LOCATION TYPE: Government/Public Building Zone: ARCTIC
WEST WARWICK POLICE DEPARTMENT
1162 MAIN ST
WEST WARWICK RI 02893

1 DEPARTMENT RULES, REGS, POLICIES C
OCCURRED: [redacted]/2014 0943

VICTIM(S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted]

DOB: [redacted]
EMPLOYER: RETIRED
FORMATION: [redacted]
ETHNICITY: Not of Hispanic Origin
RESIDENT STATUS: Resident
VICTIM CONNEC NSE NUMBER(S): 1
RELATION TO: [redacted] Stranger

OFFICER(S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted]

CONTACT INFORMATION:
Home Phone (Primary) [redacted]
BODY: N COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.
DOB: [redacted] PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.
LICENSE NUMBER: [redacted] ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

2 [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted]

CONTACT INFORMATION:
Home Phone (Primary) [redacted]
BODY: NOT AVAIL. COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.
DOB: NOT AVAIL. PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.
LICENSE NUMBER: NOT AVAIL. ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

Investigative

Case #: 15-[REDACTED]-IA

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

WEST WARWICK POLICE

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL:

NARRATIVE FOR MAJOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 15-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1204

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1342

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

On [REDACTED] 2015, Major [REDACTED] received a memo from [REDACTED] outlining an interaction that he had with [REDACTED] at police headquarters on [REDACTED]/2015. During that same week, [REDACTED] received a fax from [REDACTED] indicating that he had a complaint against an officer for treatment received a couple of months earlier on [REDACTED]/2014 (call # [REDACTED]) and for the treatment that he received from [REDACTED] on [REDACTED]/2015. Maj. [REDACTED] was assigned to investigate the matter.

[REDACTED] fax to [REDACTED] was not dated, however, it was time stamped by the fax machine as [REDACTED]/2003 from [REDACTED]. In summary, it noted that he had responded to headquarters to complain about the treatment received on [REDACTED]/2014. He reported that he was travelling in his wheelchair between CVS Pharmacy and the Shell station and was nearly struck by a speeding car. He had used the marked crosswalk, utilized the LED pedestrian cross light, and was crossing the double yellow line when he heard a horn and swerved to avoid a vehicle passing through the crosswalk. The vehicle pulled into the CVS parking lot. He stated that he regained his composure and followed the car into the lot to yell at the woman getting out of the car. The woman advised him that she didn't see him and that she didn't pass through on purpose. She stated that she had the green light and he eventually said, "Listen bitch you need to pay attention when you're driving." The woman walked away and into CVS. He took a photo of her registration plate and called 911. [REDACTED] responded and spoke to both of them. [REDACTED] tried to explain how the current traffic signals work and how it was possible that she had the green light. [REDACTED] stated that each time he tried to talk, the officer interrupted him. He explained further that his numerous medical conditions cause him difficulty with verbalizing his concerns. The officer continuously admonished him to be careful. Frustrated, he left to attend a doctor appointment.

This incident stewed in his mind until today, when a similar traffic incident happened again in the same spot. He responded to the station to file a complaint with a Captain. He waited in his wheelchair for 45 minutes before someone acknowledged him and took some basic information. When he asked if a restroom was available, he was simply informed no. He told the dispatcher that he was going to the town hall to use the facilities and made note that he also now wanted to complain about today's treatment. Upon returning, he was greeted by a lieutenant [REDACTED]. He explained the [REDACTED] incident to [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] advised [REDACTED] that the call was handled properly. [REDACTED] told him that he swore at the woman and that the officer has not had any previous complaints against him and therefore, he would not take a personnel complaint. He advised [REDACTED] that his investigation was biased and his failure to take his complaint denied him his Constitutional rights to equal protection and denied the officer his rights under the Law Enforcement Officers' Bill of Rights. The fax requested that [REDACTED] also be included in a complaint for the denial of his right to equal protection and other Constitutional rights because his actions covered up the incident by the officer.

[REDACTED] forward a memo to Maj. [REDACTED] dated, [REDACTED]/2015. [REDACTED] reported that he was working as the patrol supervisor on [REDACTED] 2015. He stopped at headquarters and was asked by the OIC, [REDACTED] to check on a citizen in the lobby that wanted to make a complaint. [REDACTED] was occupied with another investigation at the time. Dispatch advised [REDACTED] that the complainant didn't want to speak with anyone less than a Captain and that he had just gone to town hall. Upon his return, [REDACTED] introduced himself by advising [REDACTED] that he was only a [REDACTED] but willing to listen to him and assist with his concerns. [REDACTED] explained how an industrial accident had left him with numerous injuries and then described his complaint about the [REDACTED] 2014 ([REDACTED]) incident. [REDACTED] had already spoken to the responding officer, [REDACTED], while waiting for [REDACTED] to return from the town hall. [REDACTED] explained that he had spoken to both parties. [REDACTED] was upset about almost being hit and the elderly woman was upset that [REDACTED] had yelled and swore at her even after she acknowledged that she did not see him and stated that it was an accident. When pressed, [REDACTED] stated the the officer spoke down to him by telling him to be careful and thought that he was being

NARRATIVE FOR MAJOR [REDACTED]

Ref: 15-[REDACTED]-IA

Entered: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1204

Entry ID: [REDACTED]

Modified: [REDACTED]/2015 @ 1342

Modified ID: [REDACTED]

degraded violating his rights. [REDACTED] assured him that the phrase was used strictly about concern for his safety and that [REDACTED] although new to the job, has a great disposition, not known to yell, and genuinely cares about the people he serves. [REDACTED] disagreed and after speaking to the town manager, wanted to make a formal complaint. When asked if at anytime he was yelled at, sworn at, treated poorly, etc., his only complaint was that two months ago he was told to be careful. [REDACTED] advised [REDACTED] that he would speak with [REDACTED], but he did not believe this portion of the complaint to be founded. [REDACTED] then complained about the lack of a public restroom and the heat in the foyer. [REDACTED] then escorted [REDACTED] to the door and the interaction concluded. [REDACTED] believed that [REDACTED] would not knowingly and intentionally insult or offend [REDACTED]. He found the complaint against [REDACTED] to be without merit. [REDACTED] concluded his memo with a recommendation that RIDOT assess the intersection of Columbus Square for safety considerations of pedestrians in the crosswalks and restricting right turns on red.

On [REDACTED] 2015, [REDACTED] sent a letter of acknowledgement to [REDACTED]. The most significant point noted was the serious safety concerns reported in Columbus Square. [REDACTED] contacted RIDOT and requested a safety assessment. (RIDOT would later change the intersection to No Right Turns on Red in all directions based upon this complaint.) Secondly, [REDACTED] spoke with [REDACTED] and concluded that the incident was handled appropriately and he used discretion on issuing the female motorist a motor vehicle violation. The officer apologized if [REDACTED] misconstrued his communication while he was in an excitable state. He meant no disrespect and acted out of concern for both involved parties. Finally, [REDACTED] spoke with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] indicated that he wished to speak about this interaction in person and invited [REDACTED] to contact him at his earliest convenience to arrange a meeting. [REDACTED] apologized that he had to wait to speak to a supervisor on [REDACTED]/2015, however, [REDACTED] was occupied with calls for service. He also apologized for our lack of a public restroom and for our staff's lack of awareness that an accessible bathroom was available in Town Hall. This concern was immediately addressed with our staff.

After not hearing from [REDACTED] after two weeks, [REDACTED] responded to [REDACTED] to personally meet with [REDACTED]. No one answered the door and a note was left.

As of [REDACTED] 2015, no further communication has occurred and the matter is closed after appropriate corrective action was taken.