



CHRISTIAN CRUSADE FOR TRUTH

Intelligence Newsletter

"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." John 8:32.

March - April, 2001

Why Modern So-Called Conservatives Never Win

In the Book of Isaiah God tells us something about us as a people which has, in effect, established our culture. *"Learn to do well; seek judgment, relieve the oppressed, judge the fatherless, plead for the widow. Come now, and let us reason together, saith the Lord: though your sins be as scarlet, they shall be as white as snow; though they be red like crimson, they shall be as wool. If ye be willing and obedient, ye shall eat the good of the land. "* ([Isaiah 1:17-19](#)).

As a people, we have always tried to do the very best we could. The Laws, Statutes and Judgments of God have driven us to seek judgment wherever evil is found. We have always tried to take care of each other in times of need. We have always had what is called "upward mobility" where each generation has had a little better life than the one before it. We achieved this with the very least amount of control and "help" from government. We lived by following the Principles of the Bible.

With the influences of outside forces within our society, our destiny is now determined by others instead of ourselves. Those outside forces have worked within the governmental system and have created a top-heavy, excessive and oppressive government. Those outside forces have penetrated and greatly modified the political party system of this once-upon-a-time Republic.

Only, and only, the genuine old-time Christian of the Bible can be called a Conservative. A genuine Conservative, by nature, wants to conserve the culture and society of the past, the past which realized the greatness of this nation. It was also a time when we believed in the teachings of Jesus without being concerned about whether it was "politically correct" or not!

An excellent example of this is Jesus' confrontation with the money changers in the temple. *"And the Jews passover was at hand, and Jesus went up to Jerusalem, And found in the temple those that sold oxen and sheep and doves, and the changers of money sitting: And when he had made a scourge of small cords, he drove them all out of the temple, and the sheep, and the oxen; and poured out the changers' money, and overthrew the tables; and said unto them that sold doves, take these things hence; make not my Father's house an house of merchandise. And his disciples remembered that it was written, The zeal of thine house hath eaten me up. "* ([John 2:13-17](#)).

Israel had become a conglomeration of old Israel, Talmudism, Phariseeism, politics, and shopkeepers. This is the exact manner in which the United States is living today.

It is most important for us to realize that Christ was acting within the political arena when he overturned the money changers tables in that temple. Why is it then that we don't do that today in the mainline churches? Simply because it is not politically correct to do so! That same point can be made regarding every factor which makes up our modern culture.

There is a vast difference between the politics of revolution and the principles of conservative Christianity. This issue is dedicated to a study of those differences.

Returning to [John 2:17](#), "And his disciples remembered that it was written, the zeal of thine house hath eaten me up." John is referring to [Psalms 69](#) and in this passage David shows us what happens when conservative Christianity allows outside forces to enter into our culture.

"They that hate me without a cause are more than the hairs of mine head: they that would destroy me, being mine enemies wrongfully, are mighty: then I restored that which I took not away. O God, thou knowest my foolishness; and my sins are not hid from thee. Let not them that wait on thee, O Lord God of hosts, be ashamed for my sake: let not those that seek thee be confounded for my sake, O God of Israel. Because for thy sake I have borne reproach; shame hath covered my face. "

*" I am become a stranger unto my **brethren**, and an alien unto **my mother's children**. **For the zeal of thine house hath eaten me up;** an the reproaches of them that reproached thee are fallen upon me. When I wept, and chastened my soul with fasting, that was to my reproach. I made sackcloth also my garment; and I became a proverb to them. They that sit in the gate speak against me; and I was the song of the drunkards. But as for me, my prayer is unto thee, O Lord, in an acceptable time: O God, in the multitude of thy mercy hear me, in the truth of thy salvation. Deliver me out of the mire, and let me not sink: let me be delivered from them that hate me, and out of the deep waters." ([Psalm 69: 4-14](#)).*

Throughout the entire world there are basically two forces in combat to the death for control of this planet. Although that may appear to be an over-simplification, it is not. The problem is; we can perhaps at least partly understand this when we discuss it in the spiritual sense. But we are not able to realistically understand it in the physical sense. Let us expand on this:

In the spiritual sense, the Bible explains this as the conflict between God and this thing called the Devil, or Satan. This is the conflict our Judeo-Christian churches constantly discuss. Along with this, personal salvation is stressed.

But that conflict is truly a real conflict, with real names and organizations attached to it. Before the genuine Conservative Christian can even think about regaining our nation, he must know who his enemy is! Now, there are those who believe that we are past that point and the only thing left is to let God sort it all out. Well, maybe so, but we here at Christian Crusade for Truth don't believe that is Biblical! Even if that was the only answer, Jesus would chastize us for doing nothing!

Jesus again entered into the political arena in [Matthew 11](#). "And it came to pass, when Jesus had made an end of commanding his twelve disciples, he departed thence to teach and to preach in their cities." ([Mat. 11:1](#)).

Then, starting in verse 20. "Then began he to upbraid the cities wherein most of his mighty works were done because they repented not: Woe unto thee, Chorazin! Woe unto thee, Bethsaida! (these were two cities in Palestine) for if the mighty works, which were done in you, had been done in Tyre and Sidon, they would have repented long ago in sackcloth and ashes. But I say unto you, it shall be more tolerable for Tyre and Sidon at the day of judgment, than for you. And thou, Capernaum, which art exalted unto heaven, shall be brought down to hell: for if the mighty works, which have been done in thee, had been done in Sodom, it would have remained until this day. But I say unto you, That it shall be more tolerable for the land of Sodom in the day of judgment, than for thee."

Jesus was not chastising the morality of the believers in these cities for morality's sake alone. The morality of those in control of the civil government of those cities, also controlled the culture which includes morality. Jesus was condemning them for not teaching righteous culture to the inhabitants of the cities.

The Bible is full of examples of this but here is another one before we continue. In [Proverbs 29:2](#), "*When the righteous are in authority, the people rejoice, but when the wicked rule, the people mourn.* " That passage speaks of civil government and authority. Who is going to do it?

Now we know that Jesus told us in [Matthew 24](#) that He was going to destroy Jerusalem and the temple and He did just that in 70 A.D. through Titus. Yet, He told them in [Matthew 11](#) to get busy and do something! He also tells us through James that "*Even so faith, if it hath not works, is dead, being alone. Yea, a man may say, Thou hast faith, and I have works: shew me thy faith without thy works, and I will shew thee my faith by my works.*" ([James 2:17-18](#)).

Jesus had told all of his Apostles what was going to happen in Jerusalem. Then, if they were to have a defeatist attitude, why did they do all of those things recorded in the book of Acts? Deductively, that tells us that it doesn't make any difference if God is going to destroy it all and start over again. We are required to keep on fighting by our works.

There is not one *iota* of difference between the battle raging today and that battle at the time of Jesus. There was the Conservative Israelite, trying to preserve the Laws of God which were so very carefully laid out in the Old Testament. They had the Septuagint version of the Old Testament which had been in existence for nearly 300 years. We call them Conservative because, again, they wanted to preserve those time proven and honored Principles of God in how a nation should be governed.

Coming into their midst with the intentions of destroying that old way and replacing it with a new system were the Talmudic Pharisees. The Conservative Israelite believed that God was God and He was in command. The Pharisees believed that the Old Testament was a dead letter because it was not a "living document." This was the same thought process as when President Kennedy said that our Constitution was to be a "living document" and it needed to be brought into line with our modern culture! That is the same, Talmudic principle which existed since its beginning in the Babylonian exile.

Conservative Israel and Conservative Christianity believe that the old standards of the Bible are still in existence. The Talmudic Pharisees at the time of Jesus as well as all those today who believe that man sets the rules are in a battle to the death with Conservative Christianity. In other words, to them, God is dead and Man becomes god.

It is quite easy to observe that all organizations which are Socialist or Communist in nature are never satisfied with any law or rule which resembles the conservative and unchangable Laws of God. The examples are almost unlimited. For example, God says that a murderer, found guilty with two or more witnesses, is to be put to death. Talmudic socialists constantly try to put limiting factors into the question until now there are very few death sentences. To further complicate that situation, the Talmudic socialists have developed a trial court system, called case law, which so completely confuses the jury, that many innocent people are put in prison for the rest of their life or, on rare occasions, to death. A lawyer, knowing that the person on trial for murder is actually innocent, will allow him to go to prison for the rest of his life, just to "win the case" for his client. God says that lawyer is to be put to death!

God tells us that homosexuals are to be put to death *"to get the evil from among us."* But the Talmudic Socialist class effectively insists that it is simply an alternative lifestyle. God tells us that the penalty for theft is restitution to the victim. The Talmudic Socialist tells us that the restitution is paid to society by putting the thief in prison at the expense of society!

There is one more point to be made before we can explain why the modern Conservative Christian can not possibly win until some changes are made. The Conservative Christian, bound to God's Law, believes in repentance and forgiveness.

The Talmudic Socialist thinks the Christian is "out of touch with reality" for believing that. The Talmudic Socialist believes in, "Never forgive, never forget." They will take advantage of this situation and maneuver the Conservative Christian into a loss every time. This condition exists in every part of our culture; politics, law, education, media and even the churches.

An example of this totally unBiblical situation is excessive sexual and nudity scenes in the television and movie industry. The media and movie review boards are brought to task for this by the American citizens, the Churches, and even Congress. The filth industry promises to clean up their act and even change their rating codes. The Christian Conservative goes home satisfied and even thinks he has done his job. The media and movie industry make some modifications in their systems and in reality come back with even worse sexual scenes and nudity. What happens? Nothing. Congress won't do anything because of extensive campaign "soft money."

Also, the Conservative Christians cannot win in any contest with the radical Talmudic Socialist because the Radical Socialist is a revolutionary. This revolutionary has goals which include a world of their own, a world where they totally control it, a world where all Conservative Christians are nothing but a memory. They don't care whether it is by killing the Christian or by converting him to their way of thinking. Judeo-Christianity is being used as the intermediate step to complete Talmudism.



General Patton

General Patton, the Commanding General of the Third Army in World War II, told us while we were in Normandy that we should never take the **defensive!** Always, always, be on the **offensive.** When we are weak, never appear weak, and go on the offensive. The offensive always puts the defensive in an off balance condition. Wins are made in these circumstances.

General Patton's words were meant in the strategic sense, not necessarily in any one tactical situation. It would be foolish for the Conservative, when he is in a weak position, to take an immediate offense. That rule also applies to all parts of our culture; politics, law, education, media and the churches.

The Conservative Christian really doesn't make unreasonable demands. All he wants is to maintain and protect what his culture has always had. At least, he wants to return his culture to that which, in the near past history, was commonplace.

The Talmudic Socialist, on the other hand, wants to completely tear down every vestige of that which once was. To them, it is not important to know or understand what they want to replace the past with, just destroy it and get it out of the way. The Talmudic Socialist is fighting in all directions at the same time for a utopia where there will be no war, no

depressions, no discrimination, no racism. Their Utopia is a land of equality, "to each according to his needs and from each according to his ability."

Many will perhaps contest the phrase "Talmudic Socialist." The term is an accurate one. In deductive reasoning, "Things equal to the same thing are equal to each other." Talmudism is a compendium of ideals which replaced the absolutes of God's Laws with the humanistic desires of mankind. This greatly modified the rigorous demands of the Bible and in time, according to most Jewish authors, became the main source of their religion. Socialism, on the other hand, is the same thing in reverse order. It is a "religious" faith, the main tenet of which is the redemption of mankind through politics, human power and the state. We agree that the term Talmudic Socialism is redundant since the one equals the other but we use it to show its relationship.

We can now turn to the American political scene to show that we do have a battle to the death of two forces, the Radical and Revolutionary **left** and the highly modified Conservative **right**. It is this "highly modified Conservative" which we are calling the Modern Conservative. It is this group, in its present form, that can not possibly win against the Revolutionary Left.

In the [January-February, 2001 issue](#) of the *Intelligence Newsletter* we showed that in our once Christian Republic we had a higher Law than man's to give us the Principles of Law. Those higher principles were, of course, the Holy Bible. In any form of representative government, which our once Christian Republic was, the final **earthly** power rests with the vote of the people.

In the early days of the Christian Republic the laws protected the integrity of the voting system. First, he was required to be a citizen, either native born or immigrated from European nations of like people. Second, he was required to read, write and speak English. Third, he was required to own property and pay taxes. All of this was required before he could vote. It should be easy for anyone to realize that under those stringent requirements, the integrity of the Republic was secure.

It is also most obvious that if those requirements were in any way modified or removed, the Republic as known, would be gone. The logical way to accomplish it would be to modify the religious faith of the American citizen. The most secure way, but not the fastest, was to develop a new curriculum for the seminaries.

This is the type of work of the trained revolutionary and radical Talmudic Socialists. Somehow the trained Talmudic Socialists from the days of the *Second Socialist International* in Europe gained a foothold in the United States. This was accomplished during the last half of the 19th century.

The United States was already rampant with turmoil. Of course, we had the Civil War which killed so many of our men. We had the Industrial Revolution with so many men going off to the factories in the cities, leaving the home and children in the care of the wives. We had the Woman's Suffrage Movement which gave the vote to the ladies for the first time.

As a result of all of this but mainly from the legal and illegal immigration of Eastern European Talmudists who were already imbued with the teachings of the *Second Socialist International*, the nation lay prostrate before this onslaught of "one man, one vote." The days of responsible representative government were numbered.

Starting with the churches with their new breed of liberation theologians the congregations were conditioned to accept sweeping changes in our American culture. Schools began teaching humanism, ever so subtly at first. A new brand of Anthropology

developed by the Talmudic Socialist Franz Boaz was taught in our colleges, to our teachers and then to our children. It was devastating because it taught, among many other things, of course, that the Intelligence Quotient (I.Q.) is meaningless. Everything depends on the environment. Boaz taught that culture and environment were the primary factors to be considered. According to him, anyone entering into a foreign culture and foreign environment will assume the same mental and social characteristics as the native population.

It has now been well over one hundred years since the onslaught of peoples with different mental and social characteristics than those of the American culture which formed our Conservative Christian system. Why then have these people of foreign cultures refused to accept our system but instead are radically trying to change ours to meet theirs? What is their system which they want us to emulate? It is the Talmudic Socialism of the *Second Socialist International* of Eastern Europe from where they came in the first place!

It will be useful here to reiterate a statement that Professor Kevin MacDonald made in the abstract of his book *Jewish Involvement in Shaping American Immigration Policy, 1881-1965, A Historical Review*:

"This paper discusses Jewish involvement in shaping United States immigration policy. In addition to a periodic interest in fostering the immigration of co-religionists, Jews have an interest in opposing the establishment of ethnically and culturally homogeneous societies in which they reside as minorities. Jews have been at the forefront in supporting movements aimed at altering the ethnic *status quo* in the United States in favor of immigration of non-European peoples. These activities have involved leadership in Congress, organizing and funding anti-restrictionist groups composed of Jews and Gentiles, and originating intellectual movements opposed to evolutionary and biological perspectives in the Social Sciences."

The "intellectual movements" which he discusses are the churches, colleges, government, and the political parties. The churches utilize the services of Talmudic Rabbis to teach the Old Testament. Naturally, they would use their Talmudic concept of understanding the Old Testament. It starts there in the churches. Colleges, government and the political parties are made up of people who many of which believe in the Christian faith. If that weren't so, then why are all of the National Cemeteries filled with Christian crosses? That, alone, tells us that this is a Christian nation, albeit a Christianity of many different "sects."

What we see in America today has been a long time in the making. It is like a long-term, slow-growing, cancer. Most cancer patients do not even know the cancer is there until they feel pain. That is the way it was in this slowly developing national cancer of our loss of absolutes and principles. We are now feeling the pain for the years of neglect we have given our Christian culture. As we pointed out in the [Jan.-Feb., 2001 issue](#) of this newsletter, our constitution was only workable as long as we followed the Absolutes and Principles of God. When we agreed to even one Legislative action which was not in accordance with the Principles of God, we began the long slide into destruction. Don't let anyone tell you that we have a healthy society!

From the book *The War Against Authority: From the Crisis of Legitimacy to a New Social Contract*, by Nicholas Kittrie., (John Hopkins University Press, 1995), we find another witness to our discussion on the problems of Pluralism. He writes this, "As the twentieth century draws to a close, undeclared yet unceasing civil and communal wars rage around the globe. The battlegrounds are widespread - Bosnia and the countries of the former Soviet Union, Kashmir and Sri Lanka, Rowanda and South Africa, Mexico and Columbia. The

struggles also go on at the gates of America's embattled abortion clinics, in our urban ghettos, and even in our suburban neighborhoods and homesThe greatest contemporary and forthcoming world crisis will be domestic. The escalating global disorder seems to derive from internal, rather than international, confrontations."

This quote by Nicholas Kittrie was found in the very well informed *Eternal Vigilance Journal* by Gerald Atkinson, 6110 Rayburn Drive, Camp Springs, MD 20748. The number of journalists, historians, college professors and theologians who are learning and teaching these great truths is growing rapidly. The results of this will be explained shortly.

Mr. Kittrie then states this, "More than one-quarter of all independent states, some 50 out of more than 180 worldwide, are involved in wrenching and divisive domestic contests: between citizens and citizens, between one community and another, and between communities and their governments This escalating fragmentation is the result of growing pluralism within what used to be more homogeneous and conformist entitiesPluralism is referring to any federation, state, nation, unit, or community that is made up of diverse populations. Diversity may be racial, ethnic, linguistic, religious, sexual, or the result of any other of the myriad human characteristics or identities that might account for divisiveness."

The first generation of the 20th century was still trying to get over the Civil War, which in itself was, at least in part, the result of Pluralism. The 13th and 14th Amendments added more fuel to the fire because it encouraged still more Pluralism. It was during those years that the inundation from Eastern Europe and Russia became rampant. That generation also had to live through World War I which was partly instigated by those of the *Second Socialist International*, that same thought process of today. This will be discussed more shortly.

The second generation of the 20th century was the depression years and World War II. Gerald Atkinson calls it the Civic Generation and it retained the greater part of that old culture of the Principles of the Bible. It thought it was doing the right thing for our culture. It bled, sweated and died trying to save it. From our viewpoint here at Christian Crusade for Truth, the World War II generation is called the Civic Generation because of the emphasis placed on the study of Civics in our schools. The change from the study of Civics to that of Social Studies became the normal in succeeding generations. That word "Social" is meant to be Socialism, as in *The Second Socialist International!*

The latter part of that Civic Generation gave us what Atkinson calls the Silent Generation, the third generation of the 20th century. It was a comparatively brief period. They matured in the Korean War and the earlier Vietnam War period. They were certainly taught the old culture by their parents and even the schools had not yet been significantly penetrated.

Out of that somewhat poorly defined period of the latter part of the Civic generation and the Silent generation came what is called the "Baby Boomers," the fourth generation of this century. With many exceptions, of course, it is this generation which has changed our culture.

The third generation fought in Korea and in Vietnam where they thought they were fighting Communism. Comparitively few of the older generations knew the truth about the living hell those young men of Korea and Vietnam lived under. We here at *Christian Crusade for Truth*, along with many others of the older generations, repent and ask for forgiveness for not loudly and firmly fighting for the rights of these young American men. As we shall see, what we are living with today has come about during the generation of the "Baby Boomers." It sickens this writer to know of the many, many cases of these "Baby Boomers"

spitting into the faces of returning Vietnam veterans and calling them such epithets as "baby killers."

Many of these veterans are genuinely mentally disturbed, not only because of what they had to endure during that "police action," but because of the total lack of compassion society still has for them. The larger share of homeless men today come from that group. Is our American Culture so far gone that we can't at least try to regain our greatness?

There are those of our older generations who had the means, the power and the authority to not only permit the education of that young generation of radicals but to encourage and direct the leaders of those Baby Boomers into positions of power. The family names of those in power, many of whom have come down from the days of the American Revolution, are still among the pillars of society. Their money and power still can't buy them peace of mind and personal salvation. Have you ever noticed how many accidents and other tragedies happen in their lives?

It was those families (but we allowed it to happen) who put into power the leaders of this infamous Baby Boomer generation. As Gerald Atkinson says in his journal, "The elites of the Baby Boomer generation have taken power in every institution in the land. The very nature of this power elite render this generation, possibly, the most dangerous in America's history."

This was the generation which was totally disillusioned with the American system of government. They had no idea which direction they would take the political system. The result was that they took to the streets, rioting, looting, and maiming in a manner which made them hoodlums. As children they were given excesses of things, just things, which the generations before them never thought possible. But it wasn't enough. They wanted to be recognized.

They totally disrupted the Democratic National Convention in Chicago. Out of it came people like Tom Hayden and Jerry Rubin. They were part of the "Chicago Seven." Riots on the campus of Ohio State University resulted in the Ohio National Guard being forced to fire upon these radical and revolutionary Baby Boomers.

Jane Fonda was a part of this generation. She was noted for her participation in the Vietnam War by going to Hanoi and visiting and consoling the Vietcong. One can readily see why she, and those just like her, could excite that generation into spitting into the faces of returning soldiers.

Bill and Hillary Rodham Clinton were a part of that generation of "hate America and all it stands for." They, too, went to the prestigious universities to learn law and jurisprudence. These baby boomers already recognized that violent rebellion wasn't going to succeed. They had to get into the mainstream politics of the nation and destroy it from within.

Bill Clinton went to George Washington University where he studied under Professor Carroll Quigley, whom he considered his mentor. What Quigley did was to give Clinton political expertise in his drive for a Socialist America. Bill Clinton was also nominated as a Rhodes Scholar so he attended Oxford College in England. Rather than being studious while there, he spent most of his time demonstrating against the United States' policies.



Bill & Hillary Clinton

We have shown in many of our *Intelligence Newsletters* that the United States has been under attack from the very beginning of our republic. Those same forces which brought us the War of 1812, the Civil War, the 13th and 14th Amendments, brought us the demands for pluralism.

The following information on President Woodrow Wilson and President Bill Clinton comes from the book *Socialism, The Road to Slavery* by Dr. John Coleman, Joseph Pub. Co., 2533 N. Carson St., Carson City, NV 89706.

President Woodrow Wilson was a simple hireling given to us by those same forces. They provided George Mandell House as Wilson's confidant in their drive for World-Wide Socialism. House openly stated that "Wilson was elected to carry out a Socialist agenda without alarming the people." To do this, Mandell House wrote the book *Philip Dru, Administrator*. In that book Mandell House planned for **President Wilson to become the dictator of the United States through a series of Executive Orders**. Please note how the Socialists planned to take over the United States!

What is also important to note, as it pertains to our current problems, were the comments of Mandel House pertaining to international trade protection. The book set out a plan to **create a panel of economists who would destroy the United States' Tariff Laws which he said "would lead to the abolition of the theory of protection as government policy."**

Absolute Free Trade is a pure Socialist concept. The United States has had a set of Tariff' Laws which were designed to protect the American producer as well as the American consumer. It had been in place since the days of George Washington and these laws could be considered to be the main reason why the United States production and economy was the envy of the world. House, and his socialist ideals, called it a theory. It was a proven fact and when we went with the "free trade" concept, the down-hill slide for America was guaranteed.

Well, as we all know, President Wilson didn't fulfill House's dreams. He did, however, help set us up for the fall which was to come later. Remember again, it was these same *Second Socialist International* radicals who came to this country, legally and illegally, who accomplished it, along with their "brothers" called the Fabian Socialists. That concept is rampant within the elite "Eastern Establishment" of the United States. A great share of our past presidents can trace their families down through them.

Just because we have become numb over this Conspiracy, does not mean that it does not exist! They saw in Bill Clinton the man to carry on with Wilson's and House's plan to Socialize the United States. Wilson had violated his oath to uphold the Constitution over 50 times. Bill Clinton was a radical Socialist who had demonstrated his willingness to do the same thing.

They observed that Bill Clinton had the same type of advisors as did Woodrow Wilson. A President's advisors play the prominent role in the actions of any President. Wilson's advisors were, Louis Brandeis, Felix Frankfurter, Walter Lippmann, Bernard Baruch, Sydney Hillman, and Florence Kelley.

President Bill Clinton's advisors during his formative years in Arkansas were numerous but the leaders were the two wealthiest men in Arkansas, Tyson and Stevens. It was Mr. Stevens who recommended Clinton to Jay Rockefeller and Pamela Harriman, the two leaders of the Socialist wing of the Democratic Party. It was Mrs. Harriman, who saw the potential in Bill Clinton to make great advances in the socialist agenda, who arranged for his selection as a Rhodes Scholar. When Clinton returned, Mrs. Harriman proved to be the

Democratic Party that Bill Clinton was to be groomed for President. The Socialists of the "Boomer Generation" were ecstatic. Now they had a man who thought exactly like they did.

David Horowitz was one of the elite of the baby boomers of the 60's. He was as radical as any of them. He worked with the Simbionese Liberation Army (SLA), the Black Panthers, the "Chicago Seven." He became disenchanted and quit them and what they stood for. He is now speaking and writing on issues of how Conservatives can not win against the techniques of these Socialists.

Mr. Horowitz made a very important statement in his book *The Art of Political War*, Spence Publishing Co. Dallas, ISBN 1-890626-28-7. "All of this (the time honored political stability in our election system) changed in the 1960's with the emergence of an ideological left in the heart of America's political culture. This counter-cultural movement was socialist in content and radical in its approach. Its leaders styled themselves revolutionaries, turned their backs on democratic elections, and took the causes `to the streets.'

"They rejected the political parties, calling them pawns of a `corporate ruling class.' Democracy, they groused, was a `sham.' But the revolutionary idea proved elusive in democratic America, and in 1972 the radicals of the 1960's abandoned the battle of the streets to join the presidential campaign of antiwar candidate George McGovern. In the aftermath of Watergate and the Nixon impeachment, they assumed a new role as the activist core of the Democratic Party.

"As a result of these developments, today the Democratic Party draws its strength from the ideological leftPhilosophically, the Democratic Party is now almost indistinguishable from the traditional left-wing parties of Europe that make up the "*Second Socialist International*." The affinity of the Democrats for the socialist Labour Party in Britain is as emblematic of the change as in the Democratic National Committee's choice of Carlotta Scott - a former mistress of the Marxist dictator of Grenada and a veteran of the hard left - to be its 'political issues director.' Yet this 1999 appointment was so much in character for the progressive coalition that now directs the Democratic Party that the appointment did not raise a single eyebrow among the Republican opposition.

"At the most basic level, Democrats now view `rights' - the key concept of democratic politics - through the same lens as the traditional left, as social entitlements that can be created by government. This is not the view of right held by the American Founders, but the classic socialist view. It can be traced to the French, but not the American, Revolution. It is a view both parties previously understood to be at odds with the idea of liberty enshrined in the Constitution of the American Republic."

We can now understand why so many people truly believe that there is no difference between the two political parties. Both parties have been tranquilized by these Baby Boomers of the 1960's. Most Democrats don't even realize that they no longer have a Democratic Party. Most Republicans don't even realize that Fabian Socialists have captured their party also. Our first President, George Washington, forewarned us to beware of party labels. He called political parties "baneful and unnecessary."

We now can understand why our current President George W. Bush says he wants some form of reconciliation with the Democratic Party. That plays directly into the hands of what Carroll Quigley wrote about regarding the merging economic systems in his chapter on The Pluralist Economy and World Blocs. He wrote that the system of *laissez-faire* competitive system had destroyed itself, and almost destroyed civilization as well. The system of monopoly capitalism had helped in this disaster because it could not combine conservatism with economic and social life. Communism failed to produce innovations, flexibility and freedom in the market place... This almost simultaneous failure of the three systems,

Laissez faire, economic Fascism and Communism, determined that they need to seek some new economic organization."

That thinking is nonsense! There wouldn't even be a problem in economics that they could complain about if they had stayed with protective tariffs, just as our founding fathers advised. Now, our current president wants to continue with international free trade.

We will close with a passage from Mark Ludwig's excellent newsletter *God and Country*. (He can be reached at, General Delivery, San Ignacio, Belize, Central America.) "Law is fundamentally a statement of what is right and wrongAt present, in a representative state, law is given legitimacy by the vote. Simply put, every bill passed with the proper majority becomes a bona fide law, and a `true' statement of right and wrongIt is representative government, and nothing less, that has fostered the maxim `there are no absolutes' which has become the principle of life in the modern era. This maxim is nothing but the great principle (or absolute) of democratic government, expanded to all of lifeUnless the representative republic's principle of law is challenged, there can be no hope of reform in our time. In the absence of binding absolutes, the one common denominator of mankind is economics. Simple economics will, in the end, govern every decision of the state, and every decision of the peopleThe United States will continue to interfere in world affairs because it is economically advantageous to do so ...In the end we have to conclude that unless this legal principle is challenged, things will only get worse and worse, until even the righteous cannot stand. The righteous must either give into relativism or stand exactly at odds with the reigning principle of government and law in their societies." The Biblical Principle found in his masthead is most appropriate: "*after the doings of the land of Canaan, whither I bring you, shall ye not do, neither shall ye walk in their ordinances.* " ([Leviticus 18:3](#)).

Reiterating a point made in the last issue of this *Intelligence Newsletter*, "This is the way it is in the United States today. Republics have Constitutions and they represent the oldest and highest civil authority of the republic. If that republic is to stand, it must have even higher and older Laws from which to draw its authority for existence. Those older and higher Laws are the Laws of God. Without that chain of authority, the republic will not stand."