



CHRISTIAN CRUSADE FOR TRUTH

Intelligence Newsletter

"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." John 8:32.

July - August, 1997

NAFTA, Border XXI And The U.N.

"Many will intreat the favour of the prince: and every man is a friend to him that giveth gifts." ([Prov. 19:6](#))

We here at Christian Crusade for Truth have been receiving many telephone calls about the current problems along the Mexico-United States border. There have been rumors, half-truths, and even planted disinformation circulating. However, there is an old saying: "Where there is smoke there could be some fire." This issue of the Intelligence Newsletter will try to sort this out. There is no question but what the American people must quickly play "catch-up" in learning what very harmful things are being done to our once free nation. Then we must go forward and do our part in returning our nation back to its Christian principles of:

"Stand fast therefore in the liberty wherewith Christ hath made us free, and be not intangled again with the yoke of bondage." ([Gal. 5:1](#))

The subject of nearly all of the 1996 and 1997 issues of the Intelligence Newsletter has been on the immense danger of an eminent final thrust for the so-called New World Order. To compliment this issue we suggest that you review the [May-June 1996](#), [Sept-Oct 1996](#), [Jan-Feb 1997](#), [March-April 1997](#) and the [May-June 1997](#) issues of the Newsletter. All of these are relevant to the current issue.

It is hard for the average American to believe that the government would do anything that is not in accordance with the Constitution. This comes from our long-standing knowledge that our forefathers stated very clearly that the Constitution was created only for a moral people. This fact was impressed on our readers in the [May-June 1997 issue](#). Further, it was shown that the Constitution can be made worthless, from within, by immoral people in power. Further, any knowledgable person fully acquainted with it can use the document itself to legally ignore it. This is exactly what has been accomplished through the illegal use of treaties.

Perhaps the best way to explain the current problem along the Southern border between the United States and Mexico is to quote, with permission, a document that was recently published in the *New Mexico Stockman* and the *Arizona Cattlelog*.

La Paz Agreement. NAFTA's dirty laundry It's time for Congressional delegations to come clean on [NAFTA](#).

By: Luther Broaddus III *America's Country Editor, Emeritus.*

"Ask 10 people if they have ever heard of the NAFTA Treaty. You get a, "What do you think I am, a dummy?" look. Ask if they have ever heard of the La Paz Agreement; you get a perplexed, "La what"? query.

"In fact, most people, even those in Washington's congressional offices, cannot give a reasonable explanation of what it is or what it is meant to do. Among people who have studied the document, there is a wide range of assessments as to what it is **meant** to do and what it **will** do. One thing everyone is in agreement on...La Paz is not good for U.S. sovereignty in general, and especially for people living in counties on the border.

"Could be, but the information published by the [Environmental Protection Agency](#) (EPA) is that the U.S. and Mexico signed the La Paz Agreement in 1983. At that time, the Agreement covered common environmental problems with hazardous waste, from both sides of the border. It was then assigned to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to act as lead agency for the United States. The Agreement was then put on 'hold' and only last year was it translated into plain English, and published.

"Only now it is not called La Paz, but the Border XXI Program, and according to the EPA documents, is tied in tighter than mud to NAFTA. The original (1983) agreement was finally given authority when the U.S., Mexico, and Canada, as NAFTA partners, in 1993, signed a side agreement that gaveteeth to the La Paz Agreement.

"The EPA has published three documents on this subject; first, an EXECUTIVE SUMMARY, EPA 160-S-96-001; second, FRAMEWORK DOCUMENT EPA-160-R-96-003; Third, IMPLEMENTATION PLANS EPA160-R-96-004, all dated October 1996. These are available for free from EPA regional office in El Paso TX (915) 533-7273. Ask for the 'Border 21' documents. These are the La Paz documents, under several layers of bureaucratic smoke. It is, and was designed to be, part of the NAFTA Treaty...see appendix 1.3 EPA FRAMEWORK DOCUMENT.

"There is one theme printed in all three EPA documents. 'BORDER XXI is an innovative, bi-national effort which brings together the diverse U.S. and Mexican federal entities responsible for the shared border environment to work cooperatively toward **sustainable development** through protection of human health and the environment, as well as **proper management of natural resources in each country.**'

"All three documents repeatedly refer to **sustainable development of natural resources**. To understand what the NAFTA Treaty, incorporating the La Paz Agreement, now known as BORDER XXI, has planned for the citizens living on and near the border, everyone must understand the principle of **Sustainable Development** as planned by the United Nations.

"In 1992, 179 countries met at the infamous U.N. Conference on World Environment, held in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. They developed a global plan for '**sustainable development**.' Although the U.S. never 'signed on,' President Clinton adopted these principles as his administration's own, and established the '**President's Council on Sustainable Development**.' The report of this council is now available from the Superintendent of Documents, '**SUSTAINABLE AMERICA**' ISBN 0-16-04 8529-0. The price is \$15. This was published in February, 1996. There was an update published in March of 1997. The price for that is \$7.50. You can secure both by writing the Superintendent of Documents, Washington D.C. 20402-9238, or you may call (202) 205-3287.. They take VISA/Master Charge.

"The report provides for a domestic policy that can be integrated to achieve 'economic progress, environmental protection, and social equality,' (i.e. Communism). It covers every thing from the need for abortion, to septic systems, to removal of subsidies on overgrazed (true or not-ed.) public lands. Controlling these principles; economy, environment, and social equality, are the three legs of Clinton's policy he calls **Sustainable Development**. It is apparent he means to force compliance by our people to the International (UN)

standards...Doubt this? Get a copy. Read it. They are so cocky, they are printing it as policy.

"The La Paz Agreement collected dust for 13 years, and then was quietly implemented in January 1997. According to the EPA reports described above, the agreement is one of NAFTA's side agreements. It calls for the 100 kilometer (62 1/2 mile) strip north and south of the border to be 'open zones.' Private property rights are normally suspended in an open zone and law enforcement is handled by an international police force. Supposedly on our side of the border, the law enforcement arm of the [Department of Interior \(BLM\)](#) will handle law enforcement.

"I'll bet the county commissioners in Hidalgo, Grant, Luna, Dona Ana, Otero, Eddy, Lea, Yuma, Pima, and Cochise counties are going to be interested in learning what their new relationship to their citizens, taxes, law enforcement, etc. will be. In fact, I'll bet they have never been notified of the implementation of the La Paz agreement, at least those I have talked with have never heard of it.

"The personnel at all Congressional offices for NM and Arizona, had no information on, nor opinions as to the effect this may have on or how this would affect the county governments, or people living in the affected area. In fact, the Congressional Research Service, on April 2, notified Congressman's Skeen's office, 'The La Paz Agreement is unrelated to NAFTA.'

"If you want more information on this subject, I suggest you contact: Kathleen Marquardt, V.Pres. American Policy Center, 13673 Park Center Rd. #316, Herndon VA 22071, (703) 925-0881. Request the April issue of the INSIDER'S REPORT. Also, Karen-Lee Bixman, publisher, THE INVESTIGATIVE REPORTER NEWSLETTER, Box 2747-296, Huntington Beach CA, 92648, (714) 847-7534.

"If you are really serious about finding out what they have on this subject, you could call and subscribe to these reports by credit card. At the same time, you will find out how the federal government, through cute little 'side agreements,' tightens the noose just one more notch. It is time those NAFTA supporters, specifically the Washington crowd, put in writing, how this will effect their constituents who live along the border. Better still, let's get them to put in writing why they joined the One-Worlders in giving us NAFTA in the first place."

End of quotes from "LUTHER'S COMMENTS" (Luther Broaddus III, HC 64, Box 7, Magdalena, NM 87825. This Christian man is deep into the fight to save our country from the evils of a One World Order.

The problem that Mr. Broaddus explains is not a local problem. The problem is nation wide. In the name of environmental protection all Americans are now, or will be shortly, under the police enforcement actions of the United Nations. The Bureau of Land Management, as described in the above editorial is only one occasion where the government has attempted to use the BLM to impose United Nations police tactics. In 1996 the BLM distributed a new set of rules and regulations which would have greatly expanded their police powers. The American people responded quickly and wrote numerous letters to their congressmen and senators. Congress didn't tell the BLM to completely cancel their new concept of police powers but rather simply asked them to extend the time on the comment period. This itself should alert Americans that their intentions were, and are, to quietly slip the police powers into law after everyone is tired of fighting the issue

The newsletter *Taking Aim* reported that on March 11, 1997, the Secretary of the Interior Bruce Babbitt directed the BLM to post in the Federal Register the following statement: "My decision to stop further action on this proposal is based on the confusion

and misinformation about how these regulations would affect BLM's law enforcement responsibilities under existing law as demonstrated by the many public comments received. This action does not diminish the legal authority of BLM law enforcement officers on public land. But it is very clear that we have not done a good job of clarifying regulations and communicating BLM's legal authority under existing federal statutes to protect health, safety and environmental resources on America's public lands.

"I've been contacted personally by Idaho Governor Phil Batt and several members of Congress, who have expressed the concerns of many. The rule proposed by BLM attempted to revise, consolidate and rewrite most of its law enforcement regulations, in an effort to help the public understand the action that BLM law enforcement officers may take to implement its existing law enforcement authority.

"We hear the users of the public lands and we will do all we can to help them understand the legal authority of BLM under existing federal statutes. BLM will go back to the drawing board, and any future attempt to improve existing regulations and make them more understandable by public lands users will include better public education efforts to explain the BLM law enforcement program." End of BLM quotes.

Notice that the BLM is not saying that they are eliminating their concept of a law enforcement establishment within their organization. This new concept been developed over the past thirty years. Each BLM district office had about fifteen to twenty employees. Now they have hundreds. They once had a "civil servant" attitude. Now they have a haughty "landlord" mentality. There is now no feeling of trust or respect. There was no "police force" whatsoever. That function was that of the local Sheriff's office. Now, many of them have been "deputized" to act as law enforcement. They appear authoritative and sometimes surly but they appear to be cautious and sometimes even afraid. Consequently, all visitors are required to obtain a pass and be escorted to the office of whomever they want to discuss business.

The [BLM](#), [Forest Service](#), the [Fish and Wildlife Service](#), as well as other government agencies, have become deeply involved with the One World Order concept. Without question, Congress is using the Environmental Protection Agency as the catalyst to create the problems which need enforcement action. It is also obvious that all of the government agencies have become involved in this process with enthusiasm!

The old and proven method of "cooking the frog" has been used repeatedly. If you put a frog in hot water, he immediately jumps out. If you put a frog in cold water and slowly heat the water, the frog cooks to death. In this case, the BLM was caught attempting to increase its law enforcement power. The American people balked so they backed off, **but just for a little while**. Had the American people balked thirty years ago, instead of waiting until the frog is nearly cooked, we would not be in this untenable situation today!

What we see with the Border XXI Program and the part that BLM is playing in it is just but the tip of the iceberg. Americans are upset, and rightfully so, but we need to understand the bigger picture behind this. We constantly hear people say, "They can't do this! It's against the Constitution!" This is all true but they are doing it anyway and before Americans can correct a problem or fight an enemy, we need to understand both the problems and the enemies creating them. The rest of this issue will be a continuation of the lessons presented in the Intelligence Newsletter issues discussed on page one.

We hear the terms "Global Governance," "Earth Charter," "Earth Summit I," "Agenda 21," etc. We can see in those titles that they all are directly related to the environment. We also read of such terms as "ozone holes," "carbon dioxide increases," "earth warming," etc. These, too, refer to the environment. What this all means is that they are using concerns

for the environment as a stepping stone for world governance. We have discussed this before and in this issue we will be specific regarding the activities of one man. From an article written by the staff of *Ecologic*, we find how one man can be so powerful in the conquest for one world government. We will learn from this article how the concern for the environment (real or not) is being used to create the one world government. Play close attention to the article. There is a lot of information in it.

"This is true in the struggle to save the environment....we must be organizers, the leaders of these movements...Human society cannot basically stop the destruction of the environment under capitalism. Socialism is the only structure that makes it possible." Gus Hall, CPUSA, National Chairman of the US Communist Party, 1972

"The environmental movement promises to bring greater numbers into our orbit than the peace movement ever did." Carl Bloice, Leading US Communist, 1995

"The wicked walk on every side, when the vilest men are exalted." (Psa.12:8).



Maurice Strong

MAURICE STRONG, The new guy in your future! "Shortly after his selection as UN Secretary General, Kofi Annan told the Lehrer News Hour that Ingvar Carlsson and Shirdath Ramphal, co-chairs of the UN-funded Commission on Global Governance, would be among those asked to help him reform the sprawling, world-wide UN bureaucracy. His first choice, however, announced in the *Washington Post* on January 17, was none other than Maurice Strong, also a member of the Commission on Global Governance.

"Strong's appointment as Senior Advisor, 'to assist planning and executing a far-reaching reform of the world body,' is seen by UN watchers to be a masterful strategic maneuver to avoid political opposition while empowering Strong to implement a global agenda he has been developing for years. More than 100 developing nations coordinated a 'Draft Strong' movement in 1995 to replace Boutros Boutros-Ghali. But Strong's name was never presented publicly as a candidate. His appointment avoids the public scrutiny and the possibility of a veto. As a Senior Advisor to Kofi Annan, Strong will have a free hand to do what he wants while Annan takes the heat-or the praise. Strong prefers to operate in the background. He, perhaps more than any other single person, is responsible for the development of a global agenda now being implemented throughout the world. Although various components of a global agenda are associated with an assortment of individuals and institutions, Maurice Strong is, or has been, the driving force behind them. It is essential that Americans come to know this man who has been entrusted with the task of 'reforming' the UN-this man Maurice F. Strong.

"According to Elaine Dewar, author of *Cloak of Green*, Strong is a Socialist. He was born into a family who worked to get out the vote for Prime Minister Mackenzie King, who in 1943 was promoting the National Council for Soviet-Canadian Friendship. Strong's cousin, Anna Louise Strong, was a Marxist, and a member of the Comintern, who spent two years with Mao and Chou En-lai. Her burial in China in 1970 was organized personally by Chou En-lai. Maurice is well received in China, partly because of his cousin's connections.

"Strong is also closely aligned with Mikhail Gorbachev and was a participant in Gorbachev's State of the World Forum in San Francisco in 1995. His organization, Earth Council, and Gorbachev's organization, Green Cross International, are currently developing a new 'Earth Charter' for presentation to the UN General Assembly and ratification by all UN members before the year 2000. He served on the Brudtland Commission, headed by Gro Harlem Brundtland, then-Vice President of the World Socialist Party. Strong's love for

socialist ideas is scattered throughout his professional life-as they apply to everyone else. For himself, he is quite the capitalist.

"He ran away from home at 14. His father retrieved him from Vancouver. But in 1945, after completing the 11th grade, Strong was off again to become an apprentice fur trader in Hudson Bay. Strong's business success was remarkable. At 19, he was an investment analyst. At 25, he was Vice President of Dome Petroleum. At 31, he became the President of Power Corporation of Canada. He headed both Petro Canada and Hydro Canada, and made a few deals on the side as well, one of which was the acquisition in 1978 of the Colorado Land & Cattle Company which owned 200,000 acres of San Luis Valley in Colorado-from Saudi arms dealer Adnan Khashoggi.

"The ranch, called Baca, sat on the continent's largest fresh water aquifer. Strong intended to pipe the water to the desert southwest, but environmental organizations protested and the plan was abandoned. Strong ended up with a \$1.2 million settlement from the water company, and annual grant of \$100,000 from Laurance Rockefeller, and still retained the rights to the water.

"Strong's success in business was exceeded only by his success in government. From his post as founding director of the Canadian International Development Assistance Program (CIDA), he was elevated by Prime Minister Lester Pearson to represent Canada's interests in international affairs.

"Strong's first exposure to the UN came in 1947 when, at 18, he went to New York to take a job as assistant pass officer in the Identification Unit of the Security Section. He lived with Noah Monod, then treasurer of the UN. Here, he first met David Rockefeller and learned that the UN's funds were handled by Rockefeller's Chase Bank. He also met the other Rockefeller brothers and other influential people as well.

"The idea of global governance emerged during this era. John J. McCloy was a member of the law firm that represented the Rockefeller's business interests. McCloy helped set up the World Bank and became its first president. He also became an assistant to Roosevelt's secretary of war, Henry Stimson. McCloy had been with Truman, Andrei Gromyko and Stalin at Potsdam in 1945, and it was McCloy who first received word that the atomic bomb test at Alamogordo had been successful. He was appointed to a presidential commission to respond to a Soviet proposal that the United Nations control future development of atomic power. McCloy recommended that the U.S. turn over all information about the atomic bomb, including where to find uranium, to the UN. This idea of allowing the UN to become a supranational agency was also promoted by the Rockefellers and the Rockefeller-funded Council on Foreign Relations.

"Although Strong kept his UN job only two months, he met very influential people through Noah Monod who would later prove to be very useful. Strong returned to Winnipeg, failed to qualify for the Royal Canadian Air Force, and took a job as trainee analyst for James Richardson and Sons. By 1951, he had taken a job with Dome Petroleum, on whose board of directors was Henrie Brunie, a close friend of John J. McCloy. Dome became one of the largest oil companies in Canada but its shareholders resided on Wall Street, never very far away from Standard Oil and the Rockefellers.

"In 1951 Strong married, and in 1952, abruptly sold his home, quit his job and took a world cruise. He wound up in Nairobi and took a job with CalTex, a company formed to exploit Saudi oil. His job involved travel to exotic parts of the world for two years. Strong visited his distant cousin, Robbins Strong, in Geneva, who was the Secretary of the Extension and Intermovement Aid Division of the international YMCA. Strong wanted to

become an international ambassador for the YMCA, but settled for a position on the International Committee of the U.S.A. and Canada which raised funds for the YMCA.

"This experience may have been the genesis of Strong's realization that NGOs (non-government organizations) provide an excellent way to use NGOs to couple the money from philanthropists and business with the objectives of government. In 1959, Strong created his own company, MF Strong Management. While serving as executive vice-president of Canada's Power Corporation, he also ran his own company, Alberta Gas Company, another company called Ajax, and elevated his role in the international YMCA and Canada's Liberal Party. He told Elaine Dewar, 'We controlled many companies, controlled political budgets. We influenced a lot of appointments...Politicians got to know you and you them.

"While Strong was expanding his influence in the Canadian politics, his friend, John J. McCloy became entrenched in the Kennedy administration as the head of the U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency. McCloy continued to promote the idea of turning all defense over to the UN through his *Blueprint for the Peace Race: Outline of Basic Provision of a Treaty on General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World* (Publication 4, General Series 3, May 3, 1962).

"By 1966, Strong had moved up again in government. He became Director General of Canada's External Aid. He also became President of Canada's YMCA. Strong's primary job was to deliver the foreign aid promised by Lester Pearson's government. Rather than hire a staff, Strong contracted with a Quebec-based engineering firm called SNC-Lavalin, to supply 'technical facilities' with the proviso that the firm would hire only those individuals approved by Strong. External Aid was transformed from a one-man operation to the Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA) in 1968, which Strong headed. His mentor, Lester Pearson, created another institution called the International Development Research Center (IDRC). The IDRC was a quasi-government agency that had unique authority to receive charitable donations--and issue tax deductible certificates--and give money directly to individuals, governments, and private organizations. Strong became its head in 1970.

"Through his creation and direction of CIDA, Strong controlled the implementation of aid programs on the ground--including who was hired to do the work, and through the newly created IDRC, Strong controlled the issuance of tax deductible certificates and the distribution of both private foundation money as well as government money. He was in the perfect position to make many friends around the world. Dewar describes the arrangement this way: **'He had helped create a federally funded but semi-private intelligence-influence network that could have impacts both in Canada and abroad.'**

"Strong was chosen to direct Earth Summit I, in Stockholm in 1972, not for his demonstrated interest in the environment, but because the Swedish representative to the UN believed that only Strong, with his extensive worldwide network of friends, could get both the developed and developing nations to participate. Strong was very busy when asked to organize the conference. He was recruiting people for Trudeau's new government, and he was managing his private investments which included real estate holdings in a company consisting of two former Canadian officials and himself. He also took a position as trustee of the Rockefeller Foundation which supplied a grant for the running of the Stockholm Conference office. He was also given the writing services of Barbara Ward and of the French ecologist Rene Dubos, who worked for the Rockefeller Foundation.

"The 1972 Stockholm Conference on Human Environment (Earth Summit I) had far more international significance than was ever reported. NGO (non-government organizations) were funded by the Canadian government to attend the conference to give the appearance of participation by the general public. Of course, only those NGOs

personally selected by Strong received funding. One such NGO was headed by William Turner, Strong's protege who then headed the Power Corporation which Strong once headed. Strong also personally softened the Chinese to Nixon's initiatives. Strong visited China to persuade them to participate in the Stockholm Conference; the Chinese had not appeared at any UN function since the 1949 revolution. The Chinese took Strong to visit the grave of his cousin, Anna Louise Strong. Nixon named Henry Kissinger, who came from the Council on Foreign Relations, as his Security Advisor, and his first assignment was to open secret discussions with China. The Rockefellers gave Kissinger a \$50,000 bonus when he went to work for Nixon.

"The 1972 Stockholm Conference institutionalized the environment as a legitimate concern of government, and it institutionalized NGOs as the instruments through which government could varnish its agenda with the appearance of public support. The primary outcome of the conference was a recommendation to create the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) which became reality in 1973 with Maurice Strong as its first Executive Director. Not suprisingly, Nairobi, Strong's headquarters twenty-years earlier, was chosen for the permanent headquarters of the UNEP.

"After establishing UNEP and setting its agenda, Strong returned to Canada where he resumed chairmanship of both Petro-Canada and the IDRC. He was introduced to Scott Spangler, who ran a Texas company called ProChemCo. Strong's partnership, Stronat, bought ProChemCo, and changed the name to Procor, which immediately entered into a complex \$10 million deal to acquire AZL, also known as the Arizona-Colorado Land and Cattle Company. AZL's major stockholder was Adnan Khashoggi. In the end, AZL acquired Procor, but Strong landed in control of the conglomerate which owned feed lots, land, gas and oil interests, engineering firms, and 200,000 acres which included the Baca ranch in Colorado. Amid this multinational deal making, Strong became a Vice President of the World Wildlife Fund (WWF), a post he held until 1981.

"In 1983, Strong was appointed to the UN's World Commission on Environment and Development, headed by Gro Harlem Brundtland, Vice President of the World Socialist Party. Strong also had a colleague appointed as Executive Director, Warren 'Chip' Lindner, an American lawyer, based in Geneva who had handled an intricate merger for Strong and who later went to work for the World Wildlife Fund in Gland, Switzerland. Strong, and the World Wildlife Fund, were largely responsible for the content of the Brundtland Commissions's final report, *Our Common Future*. Before the report was released, Strong was looking to the future.

"At a luncheon with Swedish Prime Minister Ingvar Carlsson in 1986, Strong proposed another world conference on the environment to be held on the 20th anniversary of the Stockholm Conference. Both Sweden and Canada wanted to host the event, but Strong's visit to Collor de Mello, prospective Brazilian President, convinced Strong that the event should be held in Rio de Janeiro. Dewar says: **'I was beginning to understand that the Rio Summit was part of a Rockefeller-envisioned Global Governance Agenda that dated back before World War II....'**

"As Strong organized the Rio Conference, he utilized his vast network to ensure the outcome. His office bought Bella Abzug's airplane tickets to attend a preparatory meeting in Geneva. He asked her to schedule a special conference in Miami for women through her recently formed NGO called Women's Environment and Development Organization (WEDO). Another NGO formed by Abzug in 1981, the Women's USA Fund, had been almost dormant until 1991, when the NGO received nearly \$1 million. He arranged for the creation of the Business Council on Sustainable Development. Strong's long-time colleague, and former minister to Pierre Trudeau, J. Hugh Faulkner, was asked to leave his post as Executive

Director of the International Chamber of Commerce to take charge of the new organization. The new organization was immediately accredited to the Rio Conference and designated to advise Strong who **'needed people with their feet on the ground to do a reality check on these UN guys.'** The Canadian Participatory Committee for UNCED (CPCU) was entirely funded by the Canadian government and consisted of carefully selected individuals who represented various NGOs.

"The practice started by Strong at the 1972 conference, of cloaking the agenda in the perception of public grassroots support from NGOs, culminated in Rio in 1992, with the largest collection of NGOs ever assembled in support of **Agenda 21**. Only those NGOs that were 'accredited' by the UN Conference were permitted to attend. And only those which had demonstrated support for the agenda were funded. Dewar calls these NGOs--PGOs--Private Government Organizations.

"Strong has influence with the major Foundations which provide the funding for NGOs and he has influence with the major international NGOs that coordinate the activities of the thousands of smaller NGOs around the world. Strong has served, or is currently on the Board of Directors of the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN); the World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF); and the World Resources Institute (WRI); the three international NGOs that have developed and advanced the global agenda since the early 1970s.

"Strong also served on the UN-funded Commission on Global Governance, co-chaired by Ingvar Carlsson, and Shirdath Ramphal, former President of the IUCN. The Commission's final report, *Our Global Neighborhood*, sets forth detailed plans to achieve what is called 'Global Governance.' In his new position as Senior Advisor to Kofi Amnan, Strong is again well positioned to implement the agenda he has been developing by calling its implementation 'reform.' Undoubtedly, Strong's NGO network, funded by Foundations and governments tied to Strong's worldwide interests, will be used to promote the agenda at the national level and at the UN level.

"One of the first steps likely to be taken will be a recommendation to dissolve the UN Economic and Social Council (ESOSOC). This cumbersome body is one of five original organs of the UN designated to oversee economic and social programs. Activities in these areas have expanded to the extent that programs such as the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and the United Nations Development Program, and several others, now have their own budgets, staff, and independent headquarters facilities. ECOSOC has become a useless layer of bureaucracy. Strong will be praised for eliminating this waste.

"In reality, the move will simply pave the way to strengthen the UN's power and will actually result in more expense. The functions of ECOSOC will be divided between a newly created Economic Security Council, and a reorganized Trusteeship Council. In other words, we will praise the publicly touted 'reform' of eliminating one UN agency, but probably never even be told of the new activities of two new councils. This projection is based upon published recommendations of the UN-funded Commission on Global Governance--of which Maurice Strong was a member. The implementation of this 'reform' will require an amendment to the UN Charter.

"The G-77 nations, which represent 135 of the 185 member nations of the UN held a conference in Costa Rica in January to outline amendments to Article 13 of the UN Charter which will be necessary to bring about global governance as described in *Our Global Neighborhood*. Costa Rica is the international headquarters of Strong's most recent NGO, Earth Council, and the UN University, where a portion of the conference was held. Among the other recommendations of the Commission on Global Governance is the elimination of

the veto power of the five permanent members of the UN Security Council, and a review of the entire concept of permanent member status in ten years. Another recommendation would make decisions of the International Court of Justice binding on all nations. Still another would create a UN standing army, and another would provide for independent finance in the form of various global taxation schemes.

"Strong has worked diligently and effectively to bring his ideas to fruition. He is now in a position to implement them. His speeches and writing provide a clear picture of what to expect. In 1991, Strong wrote the introduction to a book published by the Trilateral Commission, called *Beyond Interdependence: The Meshing of the World's Economy and the Earth's Ecology*, by Jim MacNeil. (David Rockefeller wrote the foreword). Strong said this:

'This interlocking...is the new reality of the century, with profound implications for the shape of our institutions of governance, national and international. By the year 2012, these changes must be fully integrated into our economic and political life.'

"He told the opening session of the Rio Conference (Earth Summit II) in 1992, that industrialized countries have:

'Developed and benefited from the unsustainable patterns of production and consumption which have produced our present dilemma. It is clear that current lifestyles and consumption patterns of the affluent middle class--involving high meat intake, consumption of large amounts of frozen foods, use of fossil fuels, appliances, home and work-place air-conditioning, and suburban housing--are not sustainable. A shift is necessary toward lifestyles less geared to environmentally damaging consumption patterns.'

"In an essay by Strong entitled *Stockholm to Rio: A Journey Down a Generation*, he says:

'Strengthening the role the United Nations can play...will require serious examination of the need to extend into the international arena the rule of law and the principle of taxation to finance agreed actions which provide the basis for governance at the national level. But this will not come about easily. Resistance to such changes is deeply entrenched. They will come about not through the embrace of full blown world government, but as a careful and pragmatic response to compelling imperatives and the inadequacies of alternatives.'

'The concept of national sovereignty has been an immutable, indeed sacred, principle of international relations. It is a principle which will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the new imperatives of global environmental cooperation. What is needed is recognition of the reality that in so many fields, and this is particularly true of environmental issues, it is simply not feasible for sovereignty to be exercised unilaterally by individual nation-states, however powerful. The global community must be assured of environmental security.'

"Maurice Strong has demonstrated an uncanny ability to manipulate people, institutions, governments, and events to achieve the outcome he desires. Through his published writings and public presentations he has declared his desire to empower the UN as the global authority to manage a new era of global governance. He has positioned his NGO triumvirate, the IUCN, WWF, and the WRI, to varnish UN activity with the perception of 'civil society' respectability. And now appointed Senior Advisor to the UN Secretary General and assigned the responsibility of reforming the United Nations bureaucracy, the fox has been given the assignment, and all the tools necessary, to repair the henhouse to his liking."

All of the great leaders and warriors throughout history have proven that in order to win a battle, you must first understand the enemy. If the Christian principles given to us by our forefathers are to be preserved, we must understand our enemies. Maurice Strong is no friend of Christian America.

A Socialist is actually a Communist who has not yet "come out of the closet." This article, found in the *Ecologic* magazine, revealed that Maurice Strong is a Socialist. In the old Soviet Union, when Communism was the controlling power, members of the party were known to be Capitalists in their personal lives. So it is with Maurice Strong. He is a very powerful and successful Capitalist having extensive holdings in private industry.

Maurice Strong's Capitalist holdings are mainly in energy, water and land, particularly ranching. These are the exact same industries that Strong and his colleagues (including Clinton and Gore) are wanting to destroy in their "concern for the environment."

He points out to us very clearly that the lifestyle of the middle-class must be destroyed because he claims that it is not sustainable. He wants ranching to cease because we are not to have meat in our diet. We are not to have the luxury of frozen foods, air conditioning, appliances, and automobiles. We are not to be allowed to live in rural areas but must move to the cities.

Maurice Strong was chosen to bring in their world order because of his abilities to manipulate people. He is equally capable of manipulating people on an individual basis as well as at the international level. He has kings and presidents alike doing his bidding. It is obvious that he also has the Congress of the United States in his hip pocket. They zealously jump at every chance to pass another law further restricting our freedoms, all in the name of environmentalism.

Just one of thousands of examples of this insensitivity to American freedoms and liberty all over the entire United States is in the case of the "endangered" Southwest Willow Flycatcher. This is a bird, one of many varying types of the Flycatcher, which is foreign to many areas of the Southwest. There have been many books written specifically concerning the birds of the Southwest. This "endangered" Willow Flycatcher can not be found as native to the area in any of these books. Further, many of these books have been written by Game and Fish Department personnel and the Department of Agriculture.

Knowing this, it is difficult to imagine that the government would now declare this specific bird as "endangered." This is exactly what they have done. They met with such success in using the Mexican Spotted Owl to disrupt the ranching industry. Now comes the Willow Flycatcher to further make life miserable for the agriculturists in rural America.

What apparently causes problems for the Willow Flycatcher is another bird called the Cow Bird. We are told that the Cow Bird destroys the Willow Flycatcher. The Cow Bird associates with cows, horses and probably other domestic animals as well. Consequently, the BLM and Forest Service is now combing the forest and river areas of the Southwest to try to find a nest of the Willow Flycatcher. If they find a nest, a cordon is placed around it for a radius of **ten miles** and all cattle and horses must be removed from all property-- federal, state and private alike.

The actions of Maurice Strong can be credited for developing this concept of people control. The Non Government Organization (NGO) concept of using private organizations to control the directions of government was developed by Maurice Strong as shown in the above article.

The *Forest Guardians* is an NGO which is dedicated to removing the ranching and timber industries from the West. They sent the Federal Government a "Notice of Intent to File Citizen Suit Under Sec. 11(g) of the Endangered Species Act. 16 U.S.C. Sec. 1540 (g), for Failure to Consult Under Sect. 7 concerning the Forest Service's Decisions To issue Livestock Grazing Permits That May Adversely Affect the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher, the Loach Minnow, Spikedace, Mexican Spotted Owl, Little Colorado Spinedace, Gila Topminnow, Apache Trout, Gila Trout, Razorback Sucker, and Bald Eagle for Illegal Take of the Species Under Section 9."

The President and Congress (with individual Congressmen disagreeing, of course) work in concert with NGO's such as the *Forest Guardians*. The President has designated national waterways as "National Heritage Rivers" which provides the federal muscle to accomplish the task, all the while working within the concepts of Maurice Strong.

The *Defenders of Property Rights*, (6233 33rd St. NW, Washington D.C. 20015) a national legal defense foundation dedicated exclusively to the protection of private property rights, has written a commentary regarding this travesty of justice and freedom for the purpose of telling the American people that there is a problem here that all of us had better become involved in if we want to keep this Christian Nation intact.

From that commentary we find this: "Under the stated objectives of the Initiative, which was first announced in the President's 1997 State of the Union address, thirteen federal departments and agencies will be brought in to provide services to designated river communities. A bureaucrat called a 'river navigator' will be assigned to each river with the duty of guiding local officials through the plethora of federal programs. Hence, all decisions--local or otherwise-- regarding designated rivers will have to flow through the river navigator. The other potential for mischief lies in the very creation of yet another federal land-use program of breathtaking proportions. Forty percent of the continental United States is the watershed for the Mississippi River, and the river navigator will control the entire watershed.

"While the administration claims that communities will decide the extent of federal involvement--and they can even 'opt out' of the Initiative at any time--Congressman Chris Cannon of Utah expressed his skepticism during a recent House Resources Committee oversight hearing. He said the Initiative is justified on very few facts and a great deal of just 'trust us.' When it comes to land-use policy, trust is not a commodity this administration possesses in abundance. Congressman Cannon himself was propelled into office on a tide of anti-Clinton sentiment after state and private property rights in his district were extinguished when the President unilaterally created the Escalante National Monument last year. The Escalante designation, planned in secret and without the consultation of local politicians or landowners, shows how quickly the federal government can move in and take control of local decisionmaking.

"Administration officials exhibited little respect for local autonomy over local affairs. When asked if Utah could opt out of the President's Escalante designation, Secretary of the Interior Bruce Babbitt said he would not rescind the monuments' designation or halt the introduction of grizzly bears to Idaho despite overwhelming local opposition to both federal actions. Furthermore, White House environmental czar Katy McGinty refused to commit to prohibiting river navigators from using their influence to affect local zoning decisions."

Christian America must awaken to this evil being perpetrated by ungodly, communist, one-worlders. We cannot continue to violate the instructions of Jesus when he said:

"No man, when he hath lighted a candle, covereth it with a vessel, or putteth it under a bed; but setteth it on a candlestick, that they which enter in may see the light." ([Luke 8:16](#)).

Maurice Strong has obviously lighted a candle and he certainly hasn't covered it with a vessel. He is swinging that candle so that the whole world will see it but it certainly is not in the name of Jesus Christ. Maurice Strong is violating every principle that the Bible teaches us about sovereignty, freedom and liberty, the very concepts our forefathers fought and died to give us. Are we, as Christians, going to continue to hide our light under a vessel?

We must increase our efforts in exposing this beast. We must spend less time in "crossing the T's and dotting the I's" and start loving each other in the name of Jesus Christ. *"Surely thou wilt slay the wicked, O God" depart from me therefore, ye bloody men. For they speak against thee wickedly, and thine enemies take thy name in vain. Do not I hate them, O Lord, that hate thee? and am not I grieved with those that rise up against thee? I hate them with perfect hatred: I count them mine enemies. Search me, O God, and know my heart: try me, and know my thoughts: And see if there be any wicked in me, and lead me in the way everlasting." ([Psa. 139:19-24](#)).*