

It's A Matter of Opinion

By
D. W. Wilber



Columns: 001-100 Plus Bonus Content



“For Julie – Thanks for sticking with me through all the years and miles. You truly are the Love of My Life.” Del



It's a Matter of Opinion

Columns 001 – 100 Plus a BONUS Column

Copyright © 2015 by D.W (Del) Wilber

All Rights Reserved

Edition I

About the Columnist

D.W. (Del) Wilber

“Being an American is about being a part of something that’s bigger than yourself”.

This is how Del Wilber thinks.

Del’s background:

1. Core member of the Special Operations OPSEC - www.OPSECTEAM.org - IMPORTANT
2. Over 30 years of experience in Intelligence & Counterterrorism with the Central Intelligence Agency and the Department of Defense overseas.
3. Served with the Department of Homeland Security Office of Intelligence and Analysis on the United States southwest Border.
4. Regular panelist for the ‘Defending Our America Program’, part of the Life Of Duty series on NRA-TV.
5. Regular columnist for Townhall.com.
6. Invited to speak on Terrorism and Defense-related issues on a number of national radio programs.
7. Del is a regular guest on REAGANradioNetwork.com and LakeOfTheOzarksRadio.com SUNRISE STATION, ‘Now You’re Talking’ radio program.
8. Del has a great deal to talk about and help those that have not had similar life experiences as Del so they can better understand what is happening and why.

Together let’s work to make a difference, and be a part of something that’s bigger than ourselves.

Comments about D. W. (Del) Wilber

“Del Wilber is a man with immense knowledge, perspective and actual professional experience relating to National Security and Foreign Policy. Therefore, his opinions on current issues are invaluable to me”.

Very respectfully,
Kelly L Carlson

“Del’s experience brings a unique perspective to everything he writes about”.

Hollie McKay
Fox News

“I have worked with Del, and consider him a good friend and great American. Del has dedicated his life to fighting for what is right, and fighting for our nation. Del’s writings are a glimpse into how true Americans, and Americans who have a deep concern for where our country is headed, truly feel. Del touches on the frustrations that we all deal with in those that hold leadership position in our county”.

Matt Thomas
Law Enforcement Officer
Arizona

“Del Wilber”

As an Intelligence officer in the military, then on to spending a major part of my life in politics, I commend Del Wilber’s ability to write down the complexity of counter terrorism experiences for others to comprehend. The journey Del takes us on through his knowledge will forever remind us of our freedoms. There continue to be personal sacrifices yet Del Wilber believes “Being an American is about being a part of something that’s bigger than yourself”.

Dr. Don Shelton
Reagan Alumni

“Del Wilber is in the “ZONE”. When national and world events break, we witness his presence. Given thirty years of Intelligence and Counter terrorism expertise, Del is on it like a Bulldog! **“It’s A Matter of Opinion”** delivers content unlike media slants. Del’s Columns read like being there, seeing situations through his eyes. He is “on watch” for his country. Politicians, you’ll raise the bar on your decision making capabilities after reading Del Wilber’s Columns book”.

Dave Raaf

Managing Partner

ReaganRadioNetwork.com

LakeOfTheOzarksRadio.com



Item #	# of pages	D.W. Wilber Columns	Page #	Date Of Column	EXCERPTS from D.W. Wilber's Columns
1	2	Stuxnet Redux	1	10/14/14	much like a small child who is told a 'secret' by a classmate in grammar school
2	2	The Ferguson Insurgency	3	10/15/14	Since August 9th when Michael Brown committed a strong arm robbery
3	2	Ferguson for the Beginner 'The Insurgency Continues'	5	10/16/14	what does an insurgency do but riot and destroy property
4	2	ISIS, A Collection of Misfits and Rabid Dogs	7	10/16/14	ISIS has a lot less to do with 'religion' than it does with psychotic people acting out their murderous tendencies
5	2	Where Are the Angry White Folks?	9	10/17/14	Most reasonable people, regardless of race or social standing, know full well that what happened to Michael Brown was dictated by Michael Brown himself.
6	4	Is it Time for a 'Citizen Wolf Pack' Against 'Loan Wolf Terrorists' ?	11	10/28/14	Being prepared for terrorists.
7	2	Has ISIS Helped Make the Streets Safer?	15	10/29/14	ISIS claims to be religiously-based
8	3	Ferguson, the Insurgency Grows	17	10/30/14	Police Officers from all over the St. Louis area have been standing the line
9	4	ISIS and Al Qaeda Soft Target Attacks	20	11/04/14	"ISIS knows how to write the headlines and they know what the media is going to cover."
10	3	Iran Thirty-Five Years Later	24	11/06/14	The ruling mullahs called the United States a "paper tiger"
11	2	Americans Clinging to Their Guns and Religion	27	11/07/14	"fundamental transformation"
12	3	Meanwhile On The Streets of Ferguson	29	11/08/14	Being civic-minded, Michael Brown's mother asked that the police report not be released
13	3	How About 'RepubliCare' ?	32	11/12/14	enthusiastically jumped on the band wagon
14	2	Hezbollah in the Western Hemisphere	35	11/13/14	Hezbollah is actively involved in weapons and explosives trafficking
15	3	Ferguson, the Verdict is.....	37	11/16/14	rumors flying that the Grand Jury decision will be released
16	2	Ferguson Rules of Engagement	40	11/21/14	for the police to follow during the anticipated demonstrations
17	2	Ferguson A.K.A. Benghazi	42	11/26/14	Missouri's Democrat Governor Jay Nixon was apparently "hiding"
18	2	The 'Useful Idiots' of Ferguson	44	11/27/14	We've all seen them in the news footage coming out of the St. Louis suburb of Ferguson since the August 9th shooting of black teenager Michael Brown
19	2	St. Michael the Gentle Giant Patron Saint for the Racially Aggrieved	46	11/28/14	St. Michael, though his life cut tragically short
20	2	Is America Too Caucasian?	48	11/29/14	take a look at what Barack Obama meant to achieve with his "fundamental transformation"
21	3	Race and Media Bias	50	11/30/14	"Incredibly, the best leadership on the scene has come from the family of the murdered teen".
22	3	The 'Hands Up Don't Shoot' Illusion	53	12/03/14	one lie that is head and shoulders above all of the other fairy tales told

Item #	# of pages	D.W. Wilber Columns	Page #	Date Of Column	EXCERPTS from D.W. Wilber's Columns
23	3	Benghazi Baloney	56	12/05/14	who ignored warnings from security experts
24	2	Empathize With Our Enemies	59	12/07/14	clarification about what she 'really said'
25	3	Enough of the 'Open Season' on Police	61	12/08/14	Among the legacy of the Obama Administration, along with a weakened and disrespected nation overseas
26	2	They Misdlead Us All the Time	64	12/10/14	As Senator Diane Feinstein releases the report of her committee's investigation into CIA practices during the Bush Administration
27	2	Knock Knock, Who's there? Diane Feinstein...	66	12/12/14	a forty million dollar joke has been perpetrated on the American people, curtesy of the California senator.
28	2	Liberal Mental Illness	68	12/14/14	Sputtering and stuttering they will begin to speak in an unrecognizable language
29	3	America Can Shred Iran. Why not?	70	12/15/14	"Don't Tread on Me".
30	2	An American's Response to Iranian Threats	73	12/15/14	Recently Iranian Army General Hossein Salami claimed that Iran had infiltrated the United States
31	2	Coming Soon to a Theater Near You	75	12/19/14	'Sleeping in Washington'
32	2	The Coming Obama End Run for Gun Control	77	12/20/14	protecting Americans' right to bear arms will be even more important as the Obama Presidency winds down
33	3	Al Sharpton Send His Condolences	79	12/21/14	2 police officers shot
34	3	Damnable Lies	82	12/23/14	"There are lies, damned lies, and statistics"
35	2	The Continuing Obama Soap Opera	85	12/26/14	Earlier this year, slapping themselves on the back in celebration
36	3	Alfred Charles Sharpton	87	12/29/14	'trusted presidential advisor'
37	2	ENOUGH DEAD COPS	90	01/02/15	Not to mention an Obama Administration Justice Department
38	3	Dancing With the Devil, Iran	92	01/05/15	During the preceding months Iran had called the U.S. "weak", "a paper tiger"
39	2	Sanctions on North Korea? Who Cares.	95	01/06/15	In a country where the citizens have been eating dirt for years
40	2	ISIS the Benevolent	97	01/07/15	ISIS has begun to create the illusion that they are providing essential services
41	2	A Shot Across the Bow ?	99	01/08/15	Reelection to the Speakership
42	2	Bill de Blasio's War on Foam Containers	101	01/08/15	NYPD has been prohibited from conducting intelligence gathering activities
43	2	Muslim Cleric	103	01/10/15	One particularly well-known "cleric" who has been a TV regular is Anjem Choudary from Great Britain
44	3	Treading Water	105	01/13/15	Never one to be much interested in foreign affairs, the American President Barack Obama this weekend sent a clear message to the rest of the civilized world.

Item #	# of pages	D.W. Wilber Columns	Page #	Date Of Column	EXCERPTS from D.W. Wilber's Columns
45	3	Where Was Barack?	108	01/15/15	but was also not seen at the 'Unity March' being held as part of the memorial services
46	2	Community College 101	111	01/16/15	his ambitious 'pie in the sky' schemes
47	2	I Have a Pen	113	01/17/15	a president who cares little about what the citizens of America want
48	2	Tricky	115	01/22/15	During his presidency and throughout his political career former President Richard Nixon was derisively tagged by the liberal media as "Tricky Dick"
49	3	Obama's 'ToughTalk' on Iran is a Joke	117	01/27/15	Barack Obama has previously used 'tough talk' against the Iranians
50	2	Angry	120	01/28/15	force an extreme leftist liberal agenda
51	2	Adios Al	122	01/29/15	Ferguson, MO
52	2	Explaining Obama's Obsession	124	02/16/15	The fact that Barack Obama appears almost obsessed with the earth's perfectly normal climate-changing patterns, but the myth of 'climate change' has nothing to do with
53	3	Secrets Revealed Again	126	02/24/15	No one from the media or the Obama Administration asks the men and women who are placed in harm's way
54	2	Reforming ISIS	129	02/25/15	Obama Administration's recent suggestions that we need to look at economic issues for what is driving ISLAMIC terrorist recruitment
55	2	Rudi Was Right	131	02/26/15	He told us he was going to "listen to the two-thirds who didn't vote".
56	3	They Lie to Me All the Time	133	03/06/15	When one looks at the depth and breadth of former House Speaker Nancy Pelosi's lies
57	2	Feminist Desperation	136	03/09/15	women candidates have been fairly well intimidated
58	4	In Defense of Racist Cops	138	03/10/15	I wonder if those talking heads would feel the same way if one of their loved ones was a police officer
59	2	E-Mail Gate	142	03/11/15	Hillary's newest 'E-Mail-Gate' scandal
60	3	The Iranian Threat Continues to Grow Unabated	144	03/12/15	The bottom line is the Obama Administration doesn't have the intestinal fortitude
61	3	Tom Jackson is a Good and Decent Man	147	03/13/15	As the Ferguson drama enters new and uncharted territory following the Department of Justice report critical of the community's police department
62	2	Black LIES Matter	150	03/18/15	Ferguson and across America
63	2	Corruption and Lies as a Matter of Policy	152	03/19/15	dilemma Hillary Clinton now faces over her missing e-mails
64	2	Bravo Tom Cotton	154	03/20/15	Iran was also responsible for shipping weaponry
65	2	Dick Durbin is at it Again	156	03/24/15	The Illinois Democrat whipped out the race card
66	2	The Difference Between Tom Cotton and John Kerry	158	03/26/15	I'll take Tom Cotton's sixty days over John Kerry's two decades anytime.

Item #	# of pages	D.W. Wilber Columns	Page #	Date Of Column	EXCERPTS from D.W. Wilber's Columns
67	2	Save The Whales	160	03/27/15	Only in the so-called mainstream media would one's love of country, a belief in the U.S. Constitution as our guiding document
68	2	The Stooges Rule	162	03/30/15	Never in the history of our nation have we experienced such a frighteningly inept and incompetent presidential administration.
69	2	Hey John, Why the Long Face?	164	03/31/15	Americans and Israelis may wake up on Tuesday to find that they've been sold out
70	3	A Change of Heart at the White House	166	04/01/15	Obama is changing for the better?
71	2	The Party of Lies	169	04/07/15	Apparently according to retiring Senate Minority Leader Harry Reid, spreading lies and complete fabrications about a political opponent is no big deal.
72	2	Five Things Republicans Need to do to Beat Hillary Clinton	171	04/09/15	tie Hillary Clinton in every way to Barack Obama and his unpopular approach to governing
73	1	The Things Most Likely to Happen in a Hillary Clinton Administration	173	04/10/15	Cigars and interns are banned from the White House.
74	2	Just Not You HILLARY!	174	04/13/15	Can anyone name a single piece of significant legislation authored by Hillary
75	2	Suppressing the Vote	176	04/13/15	Far too many American voters are absolutely clueless about the political issues facing the country
76	2	Hillary's Supreme Court	178	04/14/15	Second Amendment would surely come under more of an assault
77	2	Adios Harry	180	04/16/15	Harry Reid
78	2	Who's the Loser?	182	04/20/15	"I think they're all losers", Senate Minority Leader Harry Reid is quoted as answering when asked his opinion of the Republican candidates for president of the United States.
79	2	White House 'Condemns' ISIS Atrocities?	184	04/22/15	bulldozing and destroying important historical sites and artifacts
80	2	The Clinton Mafia	186	04/24/15	more information is developed about Bill and Hillary Clintons' record of shady dealings
81	3	The War on Women 2.0	188	04/28/15	As the 2016 presidential contest gathers steam one can already find Hillary Clinton out front and center claiming ownership of 'womanhood' as her own personal campaign issue.
82	3	The O-Surgency	191	05/01/15	Barack Obama and Eric Holder has now started a new front in the Maryland city of Baltimore
83	2	Should Hillary Wear a Body Camera?	194	05/04/15	former secretary of state and presumptive Democrat nominee for president in 2016 suggested
84	3	The Dog Ate My Homework	196	05/14/15	During the old Art Linkletter program 'Kids Say the Darn-dest Things'
85	3	Tuskegee Lament	199	05/27/15	Does racism exist in the United States? In fact, a better question would be does racism exist in the world?
86	2	Lost in a Fog	202	06/02/15	Barack Obama gives speeches proclaiming "climate change" as our most serious national security threat
87	2	Pathological Liars Anonymous	204	06/04/15	Straight out of a Saturday Night Live skit starring Jon Lovitz as Tommy Flanagan, the nation's chief prevaricator Barack Obama
88	3	Our Greatest National Security Threat	206	06/08/15	While Barack Obama may believe that climate change is the greatest national security threat facing our nation

Item #	# of pages	D.W. Wilber Columns	Page #	Date Of Column	EXCERPTS from D.W. Wilber's Columns
89	2	Groping For an Answer	209	06/10/15	For the United States to transform the TSA into an effective agency
90	3	Police Racism at a Pool Party	211	06/12/15	Has policing in America become even more of a thankless job over the past six years
91	2	Hillary's Tactics	214	06/19/15	What does obfuscate mean? Equals :Hillary
92	2	Laying Blame on 'Things'	216	06/23/15	one could predict that his comments would include another call for more gun control
93	3	Incompetence, or by Design ?	218	06/24/15	not an environment that instilled a pride and love of country that most Americans grew up in
94	2	Putin's Gambit	221	06/25/15	Vladimir Putin looks to be shifting into high gear his effort to rebuild the Russian empire, and to humiliate and punish the United States of America
95	2	Magically Delicious	223	06/26/15	Speaking of artificial coloring, following the tragic shooting at the South Carolina church Hillary Clinton quickly jumped on the gun control bandwagon
96	2	Bye Bye Second Amendment	225	06/29/15	look for the president and his allies in the Democrat Party and the anti-gun activists to be emboldened
97	2	Look at the Shiny Object Over Here!	227	07/02/15	many have blamed a relic of our distant past for everything racial that ails America
98	2	Spiking the Ball Too Soon ?	229	07/03/15	symbolically doing an 'end zone dance' and painting the White House rainbow colors as a poke in the eye to Americans who opposed both controversial decisions
99	3	Droning On	231	07/06/15	the administration's policy of almost exclusively fighting the War on Terror using aerial drones does little to protect us
100	4	Border-ing On Insanity	234	07/08/15	Presidential candidate Donald Trump stirred up a hornets nest recently with his comments about the character of illegal aliens entering the United States from Mexico.
BONUS	3	The Difference Between Legal and Illegal	238	07/09/15	Back in 2004 I met a very courageous person, a young Iraqi woman of twenty-four years of age. I had the privilege of working together with her trying to help rebuild her country after the U.S. invasion of Iraq in 2003.

Stuxnet Redux

By: D.W. Wilber

October 10, 2014



During a PBS program In May 2011, the Obama Administration White House Coordinator for Arms Control and Weapons of Mass Destruction Gary Samore was quoted, "we're glad the Iranians are having trouble with their centrifuge machine and we are doing everything we can to make sure that we complicate

matters for them".

With a wink and a nod Samore basically acknowledged U.S. involvement in the Stuxnet computer virus which caused extensive damage to computers used by Iran in their nuclear research efforts, and significantly delayed Iran from achieving their goal of developing a nuclear weapons capability.

Unfortunately, as has been typical of this administration, much like a small child who is told a 'secret' by a classmate in grammar school and who is just bursting inside wanting to share the secret with others. The Obama Administration doesn't seem to understand that once a 'secret' is revealed, it's no longer a secret.

Throughout the past six years this president and his sycophants have repeatedly revealed highly classified details about military or intelligence operations. Apparently in some desperate attempt to show the American people and the world how 'rough and tough' they are, and that they're fully engaged in the defense of the United States of America.

Little regard has been paid by the administration or their allies in the news media to what the unintended consequences might be as a result of highly sensitive information becoming public knowledge. The administration seems to think that

only Americans read American newspapers or watch American television. That citizens can be trusted and have the right to know everything their government is doing. Unfortunately, the liberal media is all too eager to help the Obama Administration try to sell their brand of snake-oil to the American people.

No one from the media or the Obama Administration asks the men and women who are placed in harm's way in service to this nation how they feel, when they see on the evening news highly classified secrets that would put them in jail for life if they made public the sensitive information they are privy to. Information that if disclosed places at risk the lives of American service members involved in sensitive special operations. No one in the Obama Administration has apparently heard that "loose lips sink ships".

At times it seems that their main concern about Special Operations is only how they can lower the standards of those elite forces so that women can gain entry into these specialized combat arms units. Undoubtedly should that happen someday, one can be certain it will be ballyhooed throughout the media, placing at risk the very lives of the individual and her colleagues they aim to celebrate.

That brings us to the recent cyber-attack against SONY, which has been fairly well documented to have been the work of North Korea, and most likely with the help of Russia, the Islamic Republic of Iran, and the People's Republic of China. Was this attack partly payback for the Stuxnet operation revealed by the Obama Administration way back in 2011 ? Perhaps only Kim Jung Un, Vladimir Putin, and Hassan Rouhani know for sure.

Obama's weakness, incompetence, and indecision as a leader breeds contempt and aggression from our enemies. Now we have North Korea's two-bit dictator threatening more attacks against the "whole U.S. mainland", apparently ticked off that the Obama Administration is blaming them for the SONY cyber-attack.

One would think that the Obama Administration would be very adept at protecting sensitive information, considering how effective they've been at hiding Barack Obama's college grades and records, his radical history and associates they held very close to the vest during his campaign for president. And considering his record of executive actions and memorandums, what he plans to do next to circumvent Congress. Sometimes he's been real adept at keeping secrets.

The Ferguson Insurgency

By: D.W. Wilber

October 15, 2014



Demonstrators and activists in the St. Louis area declared the Columbus Day weekend as a weekend of protest, aimed at bringing attention to the shooting of black teen Michael Brown by a white police officer. Protestors fanned out across this

Midwestern metropolitan area to demonstrate in a variety of venues and promote the cause that “black lives matter”, to quote one of the placards being waved around.

Since August 9th when Michael Brown committed a strong arm robbery and was confronted by Ferguson Police Officer Darren Wilson, a confrontation which ultimately resulted in Wilson shooting and killing Brown, Ferguson and the entire St. Louis metropolitan region has been gripped by a constant tug of war between the police trying to restore order and peace and allow the criminal justice system to follow its’ course, and a disparate group bent on continuing the protests and violence. New Black Panther activists, black Missouri state senators allied with the protestors and rioters, anarchists who show up anywhere that offers them an opportunity to destroy property, and white useful idiots who have fallen for the old line of blacks being “oppressed”. All drawn together for one purpose, to throw out the Constitution of the United States and demand Officer Wilson be arrested, prosecuted, jailed, and executed, regardless of what the evidence shows. Basically a modern day lynch mob. Or better yet an insurgency determined to force society to acquiesce to their demands, or else.

After all, what does an insurgency do but riot and destroy property, attack the authorities, in this case the police, and threaten to spread their ‘revolution’. As

the Ferguson insurgency has done, threatening next time to “burn down the white areas”.

Once Officer Wilson is exonerated of any wrongdoing in the shooting death of Michael Brown (and he will be) the evidence will show Officer Wilson was justified and acted within the law. Beyond what the evidence will show the State of Missouri also still has a ‘Fleeing Felon’ law on the books, allowing the police to use deadly force to affect the arrest of any felony suspect attempting to flee arrest. Remember, Michael Brown had just committed a ‘strong-arm robbery’, by Missouri Statute, Robbery 2nd Degree. A felony. And he definitely was trying to flee. The Ferguson insurgency has threatened to murder police officers and white people in general to achieve “justice” if Officer Wilson doesn’t receive the “justice” they deem appropriate. If we take them at their word, not only will the City of Ferguson burn, but many of the surrounding communities in St. Louis County will also fall victim to the insurgency.

One hope for stemming the expected violence is that weather prognosticators in the mid-west are correct in that this winter will be as bad or worse than last winter was in the St. Louis region. Perhaps the Grand Jury will release their findings when there’s a foot of snow on the ground in St. Louis and the temperature is fourteen below zero.

Ferguson, the Insurgency Continues

By: D.W. Wilber

October 16, 2014



Listening to some of the recent rhetoric from some of the protestors coming out of Ferguson, Missouri might lead one to wonder, is this still about “justice” for Michael Brown, the young man who was killed ?

Grand Jury evidence has been leaking out which sheds a new light on the evidence in this case, and seems to point to the fact that Michael Brown has already been dealt with justly, by Police Officer Darren Wilson. Obviously it’s not the kind of justice his family and supporters are wanting, but based on the evidence and facts of the case it is justice all the same.

Police Officers from a variety of St. Louis area departments have been standing the line in Ferguson for the nightly riots, protests, taunting, and other civil unrest for over two months. In those two months one officer has been shot and wounded, officers have been ambushed, firebombed, spit on, screamed at and physically assaulted. All supposedly in the name of people seeking justice for Michael Brown. But is there something else at work here ?

When O.J. Simpson was acquitted of murdering two white people many in the black community jumped up and cheered. Was this revenge against white crackers not enough ? Do the New Black Panthers, who are crying out for a revolution, want to kill more white people to satisfy their sense of justice ?

Protestors led by local politicians and outside influences have continued to demand the arrest of Officer Wilson, with no regard or interest in his right to due

process. They are doing this while knowing full well that Officer Wilson acted not only legally in using deadly force against Michael Brown, but was well justified in shooting Brown as he turned to attack him once again, seemingly intent on taking Wilson's life.

So why would people demand something that is clearly irrational, most certainly illegal, and not in any way based on evidence or facts? Do they do it because their intent is to keep the fires of unrest stoked and burning in order to pursue a larger goal? Do they seek revenge against "white crackers" for over two hundred years of 'perceived' injustices. What about those injustices? Were black people subjected to unfairness in the criminal justice system in years past? Most certainly in the past, and also on rare occasions that may still occur today. But the reality is that most of the ills affecting the black community are self-inflicted.

What kind of affect has the dissolution of the black family played in contributing to problems within the black community? Does the illegitimate birth rate and lack of parental role models play a part in the rising rates of incarceration for young black men?

Do young men with little interest in obtaining an education eventually resort to committing crimes in order to obtain the possessions they can't otherwise legally purchase? Does a poor education and no learned work ethic keep them from getting good paying jobs? Does having 'stuff' make them feel better. Whether they come by it legally or not? With poor role models and little parental guidance they may still have a conscience, but do they pay little attention to it. Hence Michael Brown's decision to forcibly steal a box of cigars, which ultimately led to his confrontation with Officer Wilson, and sadly his death at a young age.

Which brings us back to the Ferguson protestors. The conscience they may have does little to prevent them from looting and stealing in the name of 'justice for Michael Brown'. What is going on in Ferguson, Missouri hasn't been about Michael Brown since the very first day after he was killed. The orgiastic frenzy the protestors have worked themselves into has been about vengeance and getting 'stuff' that makes them feel better. One year from now Michael Brown will be a footnote in the local St. Louis area history books. Remembered by few, and forgotten by most.

ISIS: A Collection of Misfits and Rabid Dogs

D.W. Wilber

October 16, 2014



As fighters from the Islamic State continue their march across Iraq headed toward a showdown in the suburbs of Baghdad, perhaps it would be useful for Americans to gain a perspective on just who makes up this motley crew of psychopathic killers.

ISIS, also known as ISIL, the Islamic State, and its affiliates, the Khorasan Group, et al, basically consists of a collection of disaffected misfits. They are the type of people who would have difficulty fitting in as a part of any society or culture. Most could not hold a job as a dog catcher, since they are just as dangerous as the rabid dogs they would be expected to rid our streets of. In fact, in most civilized societies, ISIS fighters would be locked up, and the key thrown away, because they're crazier than a loon.

ISIS has a lot less to do with 'religion' than it does with psychotic people acting out their murderous tendencies. While the proclaimed 'caliph' of the Islamic State, *Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi*, professes a religious motivation for the *depredations committed by* ISIS fighters as they plowed across Syria and Iraq, much of his 'army' are nothing more than what we would consider mentally ill individuals who derive pleasure from butchering other people.

Were they not involved in conquering a large swath of a sovereign nation, police would be investigating ISIS fighters as serial murderers. The 'Jack the Rippers' of the Middle East, with an unabated bloodlust. Nothing more than sexual

psychopaths who have the freedom with ISIS to freely act out their sick and twisted fantasies.

In civilized societies, dog catchers work diligently to remove the threat presented to society by rabid dogs. The Obama Administration's response seems to be less about ridding the world of ISIS than simply arresting and 'kenneling' the threat until after the November elections.

Rabid dogs need to be destroyed. So should ISIS.

Where Are the Angry White Folks?

By: D.W. Wilber

October 17, 2014



As the mid-west community of Ferguson continues to experience turmoil over the shooting of black 18-year-old Michael Brown by white police officer Darren Wilson, with even worse expected once the Grand Jury releases its' finding clearing Officer Wilson, the

question that comes to mind is 'where are the angry white folks' ?

Most reasonable people, regardless of race or social standing, know full well that what happened to Michael Brown was dictated by Michael Brown himself. The robbery Michael Brown allegedly committed prior to the shooting and his subsequent attack on Officer Wilson afterwards, led to Officer Wilson making the decision to use deadly force against Brown. A 'one act play' directed and choreographed solely by Michael Brown.

The subsequent rioting and demonstrations have not been about Michael Brown since that very first day after his death at the hands of Officer Wilson. A peaceful protest and search for information and an understanding of what happened quickly morphed into riots directed and choreographed by outside activists.

Rioting that had little to do with "justice for Michael Brown", and much more to do with a 'black victimhood' agenda pursued by dishonest politicians, New Black Panthers preaching violence against white people, and liberal white folk still struggling with the issue of slavery they feel compelled to apologize for one hundred and fifty years (and hundreds of thousands of lives) after the fact.

All this fueled by race hustlers like Al Sharpton, Jesse Jackson, and Attorney General Eric Holder, President Obama's hand-picked emissary to help organize the groups into a larger movement.

But where are the angry white folks ? Why aren't they out protesting on the streets of Ferguson and St. Louis ? Why haven't Ferguson's white folks bussed in outside agitators to fire up the crowds with stories of black on white crime ? (Which by the way far, far exceeds any incidence of white police officers unjustly shooting black males.)

Is it because of that very fact, the high incidence of crimes committed by black people against white people ? Is there a justified reason why white people cross to the other side of the street when they see a group of young black males approaching ? How about the stories of young black males playing the 'Knockout Game' ? Has the real threat of black on white violence so intimidated white folks that they are fearful to even pass young black males on the sidewalk ?

For sure white folks can get angry enough to protest. We saw this with Tea Partiers who came out to express their displeasure with the Obama Administration's plans to implement the Affordable Care Act. They raised their voices against the bill, and shouted at the elected representatives during town hall meetings across the country when it was being debated. Angry, but no rioting.

But protest about racial violence and the rioting in Ferguson ? Not going to happen. Angry white folks will quietly seethe about the destruction of the community. They'll say "tsk, tsk, someone needs to do something about this". They'll park their cars in the garage overnight instead of leaving it out on the street. And when they get scared they'll call the police to come and reassure them. But they won't stand up for justice for Officer Wilson. Oh, among themselves in hushed tones they'll say the police need to start shooting the rioters. They might even post a few strong comments or pictures on Facebook. But that'll be the extent of it.

They surely won't publicly demand that the rioting be brought to an end and order restored, by whatever means necessary. They won't get angry enough to confront the rioters. They'll just bolt the doors a little tighter, and hope and pray the violence comes to an end without touching their lives.

Is it Time for a 'Citizen Wolf Pack' Against 'Loan Wolf Terrorists'

By: D.W. Wilber

October 28, 2014



As the news media and politicians pontificate about the threat from 'lone wolf' terrorists' one thing has been missing from their comments. What normal Americans can do to help protect themselves and the nation. Perhaps it's time we take a look at what

each citizen can do to help respond to this threat since you won't hear it from the politicians, they haven't a clue what to do.

We have seen recently that terrorism will continue to increase around the world, and unfortunately also attacks right here in the Continental U.S.. It's also becoming apparent that soft targets have become the target of choice among terrorist groups. It's where they can get the most 'bang for their buck'. We've also seen evidence that traditional criminal organizations have increased their cooperation for profit with terrorist groups. And unfortunately more restrictions such as with air travel will be placed on Americans as authorities scramble to respond to the threat.

What can the typical American do ? The short answer is 'train each other to recognize and report suspicious activity'. It's time to get our heads out of the sand and focus on assuming personal responsibility for you and your neighbor's well-being.

Just as with the 9-11 hijackers, suspicious activity is often recalled after a terrorist event. We have to train ourselves to be on the lookout for things that are out of the ordinary and arouse suspicions BEFORE an event occurs.

Keep in mind, those who commit terrorist acts usually live right among us without appearing suspicious while planning and preparing for their attack. They may be your neighbor, a student or even a 'friend'. (Indications are that as many as several hundred US citizens may have had contact with Islamic State jihadists in Syria.) Often they will need training or equipment that will arouse suspicion. And they will almost always need to conduct surveillance on possible targets and gather information on the planned attack location before committing an attack.

Learn to recognize the difference between normal and abnormal behavior. It can be a very fine line. Stay alert in your daily routines and get to know who your co-workers are, who regularly makes deliveries in and around where you work. Staying alert is NOT about becoming paranoid, it's about being aware and acting on suspicions.

Stay alert for indications of possible trouble. Previous illegal, questionable activity or crimes could be 'probing acts' at the target site. Or someone engaging in radical, extremist discussions at work could be an indication of future plans. Or suspicious thefts from the workplace, particularly missing work uniforms or work ID's.

It is impossible to identify a terrorist simply by their appearance, nationality, or language. You can only identify a terrorist threat by observing or hearing about suspicious activity that may lead to a criminal act. Identifying suspicious activity is not a difficult science. Rely on your judgment. Your suspicions will need to be based on your experience, judgment, and common sense. When in doubt go with your 'gut instinct'. Many times it's correct.

For example, someone displaying unusual Interest in Symbolic Targets. Maybe you are at a National Monument and you notice a person nearby taking several photos. Nothing unusual about that, unless that person is only taking photos of the locations of surveillance cameras, entrance crash barriers and access control points, and security procedures. Is that normal activity for a tourist? Some things should cause a heightened sense of suspicion, particularly unusual interest in 'security precautions' at a particular location; surveillance activities at different times of the day or night; inappropriate photographs or videos; note-taking and drawing of diagrams; or using night vision devices.

Unusual or suspicious activity does not necessarily mean that terrorist activity is happening, but people should be aware of certain behaviors such as individuals acting furtively and suspiciously, trying to hide what they are doing; individuals avoiding eye contact; individuals departing quickly when seen or approached; individuals in places they don't belong; or overdressed individuals for the type of weather. People normally don't wear a heavy winter overcoat to a summer baseball game.

Fake ID's aren't only used by minors trying to purchase alcohol. Many of the 9/11 terrorists were in the country illegally and using fraudulent IDs. Altering or using false government identification in any way and for any purpose is against the law. If you believe someone is using or has altered government identification, please notify law enforcement authorities right away.

Terrorists, when not acting alone, need to meet with their conspirators and often times work within a cell. Pay attention to visitors and guests that arrive and leave at unusual hours, or try not to be noticed. Parking a vehicle an unusual distance away when there are closer parking spaces might merit a closer look. Not all people who maintain privacy are terrorists. But people intent on doing illegal acts try not to attract attention and want to be left alone.

Additionally, suspicious packages, luggage, or mail that have been abandoned in a crowded place; or unauthorized people entering or exiting a secured, non-public area. Any type of activity or circumstance that seems curious or unusual within the normal routines of your workplace. Recruiters and Human Resources employees should be alert for unusual employment applications. Don't assume it couldn't be an inside job !

So what should you do ? If you see suspicious behavior, do not confront the individuals. Report the incident or circumstance right away to the police. You can try using this simple guide to help you keep track of what information is important:

- **S** – Size (Number of people, gender, ages, and physical descriptions)
- **A** - Activity (Describe exactly what they are doing)
- **L** - Location (Provide exact location, in rural areas provide landmarks if necessary)
- **U** – Uniform (Describe what they are wearing, including shoes)
- **T** – Time (Provide date, time, and duration of activity)

- **E - Equipment** (Describe vehicle, make, color etc., license plate, camera, guns, etc)

All of these things make terrorists more vulnerable to detection by those who have trained themselves to be more aware of suspicious activities.

Remember, what we may consider as suspicious activity may simply be innocent acts that are being misunderstood, or taken out of context. Does that mean you don't report it? Not at all. Let the police investigate and determine whether it's criminal or terrorist activity, or simply a misunderstanding.

Much of this information is available from the Department of Homeland Security and other resources. The information provided certainly isn't all-inclusive. But it will help people in developing their own skills and powers of observation. People need to take the time to better educate themselves on what they can do to help prevent attacks, and to protect the United States of America.

Has ISIS Helped Make the Streets Safer?

By: D.W. Wilber

October 29, 2014



While the Islamic State continues to expand its' ranks and its' reach across Iraq and Syria and threatening other Middle Eastern nations into action, has ISIS unintentionally helped make the streets of Western Europe and the United States safer?

With the reports of hundreds, perhaps a few thousand recruits from Europe and the U.S. trekking to Iraq to join the ranks of ISIS, many of the psycho-sexual killers who previously walked our streets and preyed upon women and children may now be dying on the ISIS fields of battle.

ISIS claims to be religiously-based and working towards a larger Islamic caliphate across the Middle East, but considering the level of depravity of many of the reports of atrocities reaching the Western media, ISIS's ranks appear to consist more of some of the sickest mental cases that ever walked the planet.

Psychopaths who were it not for the fighting in Iraq would be committing sexual assaults and murders in other places. Fortunately for civilized society many of those same psychopaths are being killed by American and coalition airstrikes.

So-called religious motivations aside, ISIS appeals to and actively recruits the same psychos and nut cases who once lived next door to and posed a threat to Americans and Europeans. A psychotic army, raping, pillaging and plundering in a rampage across the Middle East. Social outcasts whose departure from this earth will be welcome by the neighborhoods they once inhabited.

Less fortunate though are the women and children in Iraq and Syria who have fallen under the control of ISIS fighters, and are still being abused and killed by this assembly of psychopathic murderers. One can only hope that the reign of terror will soon come to an end, taking with it the serial killers and sexual psychopaths who will no longer be able to prey upon innocent women and children back where they came from.

So as long as we can kill them on the sands of Iraq, law enforcement in the U.S. and Europe won't have to deal with them back in the civilized world. And ISIS will have inadvertently helped make our streets just a little bit safer.

Ferguson, the Insurgency Grows

By: D.W. Wilber

October 30, 2014



Listening to the recent rhetoric from some of the protestors coming out of Ferguson, Missouri might lead one to wonder: is this still about “justice” for Michael Brown, or is there something more at play ?

Leaks from the Grand Jury have shed new light on the evidence in this case, and it seems to point to the fact that Michael Brown has already received “justice”. Justice at the hands of Ferguson Police Officer Darren Wilson, who in a struggle for his life, used deadly force against Michael Brown. It’s not the kind of result Brown’s family and supporters are wanting, but based on the evidence and facts of the case it is justice all the same.

Police Officers from all over the St. Louis area have been standing the line in Ferguson for over two months now, subjected to nightly riots, sniper fire, and other civil unrest. In those two months one officer has been shot and wounded, officers have been ambushed, firebombed, spit on, cursed at, they and their families threatened, and they have been physically assaulted. None of it shown on the ‘mainstream media’, which is invested in supporting a different version of the truth.

An insurgency continues in Ferguson. An insurgency which the leaders are hoping will spread once the Grand Jury releases its’ findings clearing Officer Wilson on any wrong-doing in the death of Michael Brown. YouTube videos have been posted by some of the loudest insurgents claiming that “Jihad will begin soon in

St. Louis". The New Black Panther Party is publicly crying out for a revolution against "white crackers".

Police intelligence reports indicate that the New Black Panthers have started to arm the insurgency in Ferguson, and have issued threats if they don't get what they demand to "kill police officers and other white people". It's also been reported that New Black Panther members and their allies have made trips to other cities across the country to solicit support and to plan for nationwide civil unrest. They have also threatened to expand their insurgent attacks to the predominantly white suburbs and communities outside of St. Louis.

New Black Panther radicals aside, why would other seemingly rational people demonstrating in Ferguson demand something that is clearly contrary to the facts, most certainly unconstitutional, and not in any way based on the evidence? They do it because they are intent to keep the fires of social unrest stoked and burning in order to pursue a larger goal. What is taking place in Ferguson is about seeking revenge against "white crackers" for over two hundred years of 'perceived injustice'.

During the O.J. Simpson trial back in 1994-95 which resulted in Simpson's acquittal for murdering two white people, many in the black community celebrated and cheered the verdict. They loudly applauded a black man getting away with murder based primarily on the fact that his victims were white. Though the evidence against Simpson was overwhelming, as happened then and is happening now, insurgents are making accusations that the police tampered with the evidence. No one capable of rational, critical thinking believed it during the O.J. Simpson trial, and no one believes it now with the Michael Brown case. Yet it's certain that this theory will be put forth by the New Black Panther insurgents, and it will be supported by outside agitators like Al Sharpton, whose career began by making false allegations against the police during the Tawana Brawley fraud.

This brings us back to the streets of Ferguson. It's been reported that just recently some family members of Michael Brown were involved in a confrontation with another group of family members on a Ferguson street corner. The confrontation had to do with the first group trying to capitalize on the current unrest by setting up a stand and selling T-Shirts memorializing Michael Brown. Apparently the second group took offense to being cut out of the profits, so they stole the cash box from the group of 'entrepreneurs'. Another case of an apparent 'strong arm

robbery' in Ferguson. Fortunately in this case none of the police officers responding had to fight for their life with anyone from the Brown family.

What is going on in Ferguson, Missouri hasn't been about Michael Brown since the very first day when he was killed. The orgiastic frenzy the protestors are working up to once the Grand Jury decision is released will be about vengeance. A New Black Panther-led insurgency based solely on getting even with "white crackers".

ISIS and Al Qaeda Soft Target Attacks

By: D.W. Wilber

November 4, 2014



ISIS and Al Qaeda are increasingly mounting and calling for attacks on soft targets as a means of deterring Western assaults on their strongholds -- a strategy that is extending the reach of terrorism to hotels, cafés, supermarkets and malls where Europeans and

Americans once felt safe.

ISIS, or Islamic State, and its sympathizers around the globe have claimed responsibility for several recent strikes on Western citizens. Further, the terrorist army's reach has extended deeper into the everyday lives of Westerners in their homelands or abroad. Last week's attack at a Tripoli hotel left one American and one French victim dead, and was the latest in a spate of planned and lone wolf attacks, including the Charlie Hebdo massacre, a siege at a Paris supermarket and another deadly hostage-taking at a cafe in Sydney.

“Their early actions were all about building a state, but when the international coalition forces began attacking them, they started to become very active in their videos and their propaganda on social media, telling their followers to act,” said Steve Stalinsky, executive director of U.S.-based Middle East Research Institute; which monitors and analyzes jihadist and other communications.

“ISIS knows how to write the headlines and they know what the media is going to cover.” - Ryan Mauro, the Clarion Project

Analysts describe a strategic evolution of the terror group's aims for its "caliphate" – or Islamic state – which it carved from the chaos of the civil war in Syria and the ethnic and religious divisions of Iraq. Analysts say hitting soft targets is now a central part of the group's standard operating procedure as it hits back against the international air campaign, targeting Islamic State in its Mesopotamian stronghold, and seeks to demonstrate its vitality to potential recruits.

"The main goal of striking so-called soft targets is to intimidate and deter by projecting that economic interests, companies and eventually the public will pressure the (Obama) administration into forgoing its air campaigns in Iraq and Syria," terrorism scholar Walid Phares, author of "The Confrontation: Winning the War against Future Jihad," told FoxNews.com

"While another goal is to produce video propaganda for recruitment, there is also the side dividend of demanding protection money from companies, telling them, 'If you don't want this to happen to you, here's what it will cost.'"

Islamic State almost instantaneously posted video of an attack Friday in which gunmen fired on two U.S. citizens – wounding one – as they traveled on a road in the oil-rich Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia.

A hostage runs to armed tactical response police officers after escaping from a cafe under siege in Sydney, Australia. (AP Photo/Rob Griffith, File) (The Associated Press)

The day before, Islamic State's Egypt wing struck a hotel owned by the Egyptian Army and Egypt's flagship government newspaper, al-Ahram, among targets that mainly comprised military and police installations in northeast Sinai. At least 32 were killed, mainly soldiers.

Islamic State has also said it was behind an attack earlier this week on a hotel in the Libyan capital Tripoli, killing at least nine people, including a U.S. Marine Corps veteran.

Other recent soft-target attacks to which Islamic State has been linked in some way include the killing of one of the two Canadian soldiers murdered in non-combat situations, and the deadly 17-hour December café siege in Sydney, Australia's largest city.

Saudi Arabia, Canada and Australia are all part of the international military alliance targeting positions in Islamic State-controlled territory, while Libya is in a state of political chaos and has been, as a result, one of several territories upon which Islamic State has reportedly set its sights for expansion. In Sinai, the Egyptian government has struggled to contain an 18-month insurgency by the Islamic State-linked militants, who have called themselves, “Province of Sinai”, since their oath of allegiance to the group in November.

Meanwhile, the battle for recruits is as much focused on co-opting them from Islamic State’s terroristic, and much longer-established rival, Al Qaeda, than on attracting newly radicalized Islamists.

“It is a race for the world leadership of the Jihadist movement,” said Phares.

The Obama administration’s refusal to be both specific and explicit about the driving forces behind current waves of international terrorism may prevent it from being able to curb what Phares considers to be an inevitable expansion of soft-target attacks.

“For now they use descriptive terms, such as ‘extremism,’” he said. “But the administration needs to identify the threat by defining it ideologically and politically so that we can [identify] partners in the Arab and Muslim world.”

The uptick in Islamic State’s focus on soft targets comes as the terror group this month suffered a territorial setback: the abandoning of its siege of Kobani in northern Syria in the face of Kurdish opposition and U.S.-led airstrikes.

In addition, the coming together of the two events may be more than just coincidence, according to Ryan Mauro, national security analyst with the Washington-based think tank, Clarion Project, which focuses on the threat of Islamic extremism.

The Charlie Hebdo attack in Paris, in which 12 were killed, sent a chill through Europe with the knowledge that terror groups could strike in the west.

“ISIS knows how to write the headlines and they know what the media is going to cover,” said Mauro.

“Soft-target attacks in places like Libya, Sinai or Afghanistan are very important in order for them to say that they have momentum and that the loss of a place like Kobani doesn’t make much of a difference.”

With the incidence of soft-target attacks expected to rise, Mauro cautions that Americans and other Western travelers should pay serious heed to State Department travel warnings.

“Don’t brush them off as something they do to cover themselves over one isolated incident,” he warned. “These warnings are based on a very clear escalating pattern.”

Iran Thirty-Five Years Later

D.W. Wilber

November 6, 2014



Thirty-five years ago this week I watched with grave concern as the Islamic Republic of Iran, led by a rag-tag group of ‘students’, committed an act of war against the United States and stormed the walls of the U.S. Embassy in Tehran, taking fifty-two American diplomats hostage. Serving overseas at an embassy myself I had a special interest in our response to this aggression. But President Jimmy Carter appeared staggered by the action and unable to respond, which only emboldened the Iranians. They ended up holding the Americans hostage for four hundred forty-four days. Only releasing them on the inauguration day of President Ronald Reagan, taking one last humiliating shot at Jimmy Carter.

Starting with the taking of our embassy, America was subsequently humiliated by Iran for a year and a half on the world stage, over and over again. Marching our blindfolded diplomats around for all to see they taunted and insulted America. The ruling mullahs called the United States a “paper tiger”, unable to do anything in retaliation. A seemingly valid argument considering the failed hostage rescue attempt less than a year later, which ended in tragedy in the Iranian desert and cost eight American lives.

Over the years since, Iran has remained at war with the U.S., using their proxies to attack our embassy in Beirut in 1983 killing seventeen Americans and wounding many more. Iran was behind the attack also in 1983 on the U.S. Marine barracks outside of Beirut killing another two hundred forty-one American Marines, Sailors, and Soldiers. The worst one-day death total of American military personnel since the Tet Offensive in South Vietnam in 1968.

Including their support for the Iraqi insurgency which cost countless American lives, since forcing the Shah out of power the Iranians have been responsible for the deaths of more Americans than any other terrorist organization in history. At least until Al Qaeda's 9-11 attacks. A record of hostility unrivaled by any of our other adversaries.

In June of 2009 young Iranians again took to the streets of Tehran, this time to protest the ruling government's oppression of the Iranian people. As President Obama and Secretary of State Hillary Clinton stood by and watched, the Iranian protests were crushed by the Republican Guard. The United States did nothing either overtly or covertly to help the Iranian people, and an opportunity to change the dynamics of Iran and the entire Middle East was lost.

Fast forward to today and we find an Iran which has violently crushed its' own internal opposition, expanded its' interests into Europe and the Western Hemisphere, has a robust nuclear weapons program, and is still supporting anti-American terrorist organizations around the world.

What does the future hold for Iranian – U.S. relations ? Considering the Obama Administration's weak negotiating posture led by Secretary of State John Kerry, the future doesn't bode well for the U.S. Without a clear and firm message being sent to the Iranian leaders that a nuclear Iran will not be tolerated, the U.S. will soon find itself dealing with a much more dangerous adversary, one which will also pose much more of a threat to the Middle East and the rest of the world.

Will our ally Israel out of self-preservation feel forced to attack Iran to try to destroy their nuclear ambitions ? And what will be the Obama Administration's response, considering their thinly-veiled hostility towards Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu ? Iran has threatened to unleash attacks against the U.S. and U.S. interests world-wide should Israel attack. Based on Iran's history I think their threats should be taken seriously.


With the current lack of leadership in Washington, our Iranian enemies will look to enhance their negotiating positions and take advantage of a weak America. Look for Iran to use the next two years before Obama leaves office to take advantage of that weakness, and to create as much advantage for themselves as they can. Using subterfuge, deceit and dishonesty.

Hopefully this time the cost to America won't be as high as it was in 1979, under another very weak and incompetent administration.

Americans Clinging to Their Guns and Religion

By: D.W. Wilber

November 7, 2014



During the 2008 presidential campaign candidate Barack Obama was quoted belittling Americans during a campaign fund-raising speech, saying that we “cling to our guns and religion”. Considering the record of the Obama

Administration over the past six years, Americans in fact have been desperately clinging to their country.

Starting with the Democrats ignoring public opposition to ObamaCare and passing a health care bill that no Democratic legislator had even read, and Americans by a large majority didn't want, to the surrender of our sovereignty along our Southwest border, Americans have seen their country piece by piece being taken away from them and replaced with something entirely unrecognizable. Done by an administration which obviously didn't care much what 'we the people' had to say about it.

During yesterday's press conference President Obama clearly said that he was listening to the two-thirds of American people who didn't vote, apparently more than the Americans who soundly defeated his party and his vision for America. In other words, those who lacked the patriotism to exercise their right to vote counted for more to the president than the patriots who stepped forward and gave their voice to this country.

The Obama Administration has obfuscated, covered up, and outright lied to the American people on a variety of issues over the past six years. Benghazi, the IRS, and Fast and Furious to name just a few of the scandals that have plagued this administration. Watching press briefings since Obama took office has been

entertaining to say the least. Never have so few said so little to so many for so long. I keep expecting for the lightning bolts to come crashing through the briefing room ceiling. The White House must have an excellent electrical grounding system in place, otherwise being an Obama press secretary could be a very hazardous job indeed.

Tuesday's election results have given encouragement to Americans struggling to defend against the agenda Barack Obama and his supporters have been trying to foist upon a nation no longer interested in his version of "hope and change".

Yes, Americans in fact are clinging to our religion and our guns. And clinging to our country, and the hope that America can survive intact as a Democratic Republic for the next two years. Two years which promise to be fraught with peril as President Obama ignores the voices and the votes of the patriots, and continues to go forward with his plans for the "fundamental transformation" of the United States of America.

Meanwhile On The Streets of Ferguson

By: D.W. Wilber

November 8, 2014



The continuing drama that is Ferguson, Missouri following the shooting of black eighteen-year-old Michael Brown by a white police officer has entered the realm of the surreal.

Recently some members of Michael Brown's family

supposedly assaulted and robbed other family members who were selling 'Michael Brown Memorabilia' on a Ferguson Street. Reportedly stolen was a box of cash as well as a quantity of "I Am Michael Brown" t-shirts. Entrepreneurship at its best.

According to the official police report, at least one family member had to seek medical treatment as a result of being struck in the face with a pipe or club of some sort, wielded by another family member. (Would love to sit around THAT Thanksgiving Day dining room table in a few weeks.) Since there have been no arrests it's assumed that the disagreement was settled out of court, and financial restitution was agreed upon. Or perhaps a licensing fee was paid. Pity the poor Ferguson cop who had to respond to this call.

Being civic-minded, Michael Brown's mother asked that the police report not be released, which is certainly understandable since it probably doesn't paint a pretty picture of Ferguson as a place to start up a new family-run business. The authorities erred on the side of openness and the police report was released after all.

Michael Brown's mother has accepted an invitation by the United Nations to travel to Switzerland to speak before a group of America-hating nations about 'police brutality' here in the U.S.. Apparently the UN isn't picking up the tab for all of her travel, since efforts are underway to raise funds so that she can make this trip. The recent box of cash and t-shirt sales should help with this.

Ferguson activists are trying to arrange "rules of engagement" with the police in anticipation of civil unrest following the Grand Jury decision being released, which it's anticipated will be a 'no true bill' clearing Officer Darren Wilson. This should happen fairly soon. Good thinking on their part to plan ahead like this.

The activists want the police to NOT use armored vehicles, presumably so that the officers cannot take cover behind them when the shooting starts. They also don't want any officers mounted atop armored vehicles since that allows a better field of vision for the officer to communicate threats to other police. They want the police to recognize "free zones", where the rioters can run to for safety after shooting at the police. These "free zones" will prevent the police from entering and arresting the rioters who just shot at them, since they are now in a "free zone". Sounds entirely reasonable I'm sure.

The activists have also sought an agreement that the police will NOT use police batons or TASERS on them, or engage them with police dogs while they are rioting.

Activists have sought an agreement that the police will NOT use tear gas or other chemical agents, and will also NOT fire rubber bullets at the rioters. (Considering what the police have endured over the last couple of months following Michael Brown's death, I suspect most of the police would be happy to oblige the activists in this regard and only use REAL bullets.)

Interestingly the activists have made no assurances to the police that they won't be shot at, assaulted, buildings set afire, or that looting, pillaging, and plundering will not occur. There are a number of other demands the rioters have made public, but they sounded rather foolish and silly (unlike these), so I don't list them here.

One might consider all of this drama playing out to be tailor-made for a Hollywood movie, or perhaps even a mini-series. 'The Ferguson Insurgency'! Or 'Idiocy', whichever is more appropriate. But it's more likely that this drama will

just continue to play out on the streets of Ferguson in the coming days and weeks, and it will most assuredly have a sad ending. Michael Brown will still be dead because he grew up in a dysfunctional urban environment. Police officers will be injured (hopefully nothing serious), and the news media will have several hours of film footage to use to fill in broadcast hours during those slow news days when they do 'retrospectives'.

How About 'RepubliCare'?

By: D.W. Wilber

November 12, 2014



As the ObamaCare ‘cover story’ begins to unravel and the truth about this legislative monstrosity is being revealed through the discovery of video-recorded comments made by Jonathan Gruber, one of the architects of the ACA, it’s time to remind

ourselves just who really was responsible for forcing this onto the American people.

While the president had little to do with actually writing the legislation that resulted in the Affordable Care Act, Obama simply gave marching orders to House and Senate Democrats and sat back and waited for them to create ‘his’ signature legislative accomplishment. He never participated in its creation, he never read it, never even looked at it, nor had any idea what it consisted of beyond what Nancy Pelosi told him. He simply accepted it as it was written and then enthusiastically jumped on the band wagon once the over two thousand pages were completed, and began to sell it to the American people as the greatest thing to come their way since canned beer and sliced bread.

Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi in one of her more lucid moments ballyhooed the Democrats’ creation, even while admitting that no one knew what the legislation contained within its’ pages.

Having little understanding at first, as everyone else, of all the headaches the legislation would eventually create for the Democrats and himself, the president embraced ownership and began to proudly use the ‘ObamaCare’ moniker during his public comments.

Once it became obvious that ObamaCare was rapidly becoming a thorny political issue for the administration's party, the president and Democrats running for election began to discreetly, yet quickly distance themselves from it. Rarely was the name "ObamaCare" heard during campaign speeches, in fact Democrats for the most part tried their darnedest to separate themselves from the very legislation they had proudly created at the president's behest.

Now that Republicans have taken over both branches of the legislative arm of government, they have the opportunity to do something meaningful about the Affordable Care Act. They can symbolically vote to repeal ObamaCare, which everyone knows will die by veto on the president's desk. And Democrats and the president will beat them over the head with it, making as much political hay as they can over Republican obsessiveness on the issue. Or they can fight back this strong urge and actually do something more responsible.

Recognizing that there are some parts of ObamaCare that Americans favor, wouldn't it be more logical to do something to actually fix it and make ObamaCare better, instead of just scrapping the entire law and starting over ? They can take the time to study the ACA from front cover to back cover, throwing out about two thousand pages along the way, and create something that will truly benefit Americans. Turn a sow's ear into a silk purse.

Politically it's a win-win for Republicans. They might even garner some bipartisan support for this effort and be able to show Americans that both parties, led by the Republicans, can really work together. It would also place President Obama in a very difficult position politically should he use his presidential veto. A much better course of action than taking head-on a sore-loser who has proven to be very adept at setting traps for Republicans to fall into.

While Congress isn't well known for fixing problems, working together to fix the American health care system isn't beyond their ability if they do it smart. Don't employ government bureaucrats and lawyers to fix the problem, they'll just create two thousand more pages no one understands or wants to read. Get health care workers, insurance companies, and even patients, everyone who has a stake in getting something done that'll work. Working together to solve the problems that need fixing, and doing it in way fewer pages !

Afterwards when they roll out the new product perhaps it'll be something they can all be proud of, not something everyone wants to run away from. They can even come up with a better-sounding name for their creation, maybe call it 'RepubliCare' !

Hezbollah in the Western Hemisphere

D.W. Wilber

November 13, 2014



The Obama foreign policy, such as it is, appears to be turning to long time adversary, Iran, as a means of fighting the ISIS threat spreading across the Arabian Peninsula.

Iran as our ally? Iran is a nation responsible for the

deaths of many Americans, yet it looks as though the Obama Administration, completely lost on how to deal with the ISIS threat, will be seeking to make a 'deal with the Devil' in the very near future.

Americans might find it useful to know a little more about how Iran has been operating in the world, and in our own hemisphere in particular, since the fall of the Shah of Iran back in 1979.

It has long been established that Hezbollah, the 'Party of God', is controlled and does the bidding of the Iranian leadership. Hezbollah is sort of an 'action arm' for the Iranian Republican Guard when they can't operate overtly in a particular area. 'Send in Hezbollah and deny', is the standard ploy Iran uses.

Law enforcement and intelligence organizations have developed links between Iran-backed Hezbollah and criminal gangs in a variety of South American countries. Attacks against Israeli and other Jewish targets have occurred in Argentina that have long been tied to Hezbollah and Iran. Hezbollah was believed responsible for the attack at a Jewish center in Argentina in 1994, which killed eighty-five people and wounded hundreds more. A previous attack at the Israeli Embassy was also connected to Hezbollah. Hezbollah is also believed to have on-

going plans for additional attacks against targets frequented by Jews and Israelis wherever they find them.

Additional intelligence information has been developed, indicating that Hezbollah is actively involved in weapons and explosives trafficking and transfers throughout South America. This is certainly something of a concern considering Hezbollah's history. Iran provided the weapons and technology for the EFP's, Explosively Formed Penetrators, which killed and maimed countless American military personnel fighting the insurgency in Iraq. Iran also covertly supported the so-called Mahdi Army, which was responsible for continuous mortar attacks and other actions against the U.S. led coalition forces during the Iraq War.

Common sense dictates that if Hezbollah is in any South American country, they are more than likely in most countries in South and Central America. One can make a fair wager that if a country hosts an embassy of the Islamic Republic of Iran, then Hezbollah is active in that country.

Strong evidence also exists showing suspected contacts between elements of the Mexican drug cartels and Hezbollah just south of the American border in Mexico. Considering that the Mexican cartels are involved in smuggling huge amounts of narcotics into the U.S., as well as smuggling people into our country, it begs one to ask what Hezbollah might have to gain by dealing with the drug cartels in Mexico.

Americans must simply ask themselves, "Do we really want to ally ourselves with a nation that is committed to our ultimate destruction?", as the Islamic Republic of Iran has publicly professed itself to be? Can anything good come to the United States from such an alliance? Or is the Obama Administration entering into a fool's pact with the Devil?

Ferguson, the Verdict is.....

By: D.W. Wilber

November 16, 2014



Officials and residents in the St. Louis, Missouri suburb of Ferguson await with anticipation any day now the release of the Grand Jury decision in the Michael Brown case. Police officials are gearing up for potentially large-

scale civil unrest and rioting if the Grand Jury declines to issue a True Bill of Indictment against Officer Darren Wilson, effectively clearing the officer of wrongdoing for killing the black teenager following what the officer says, and evidence indicates was a struggle over the officer's gun. Autopsy results also appear to back up Officer Wilson's version of events, contrary to statements given by Michael Brown's companion Dorian Johnson, who claimed Michael Brown had his hands raised and was surrendering when he was shot by police.

It's also been reported that additional witnesses, a number of whom are African American have stepped forward during the investigation and supported the officer's statements about the shooting.

Unfortunately following the shooting events quickly spiraled out of control and rioting forced a strong response from police. Rioting largely caused by outside influences, including the obligatory visits by Al Sharpton and Jesse Jackson who always show up in situations like this when they see an opportunity to jump before a TV camera, and culminating with representatives coming from the White

House to attend Michael Brown's funeral. The case has also become something of a 'Cause celebre' for young people on college campuses across the country since it was reported that Michael Brown was preparing to "start college" at the time he was killed.

Periodic demonstrations and civil unrest led by a conglomeration of members from the New Black Panthers, anarchists, and white useful idiots still feeling guilty over slavery from one hundred fifty years ago have continued since August 9th, the day Michael Brown was shot. Few of the demonstrators and rioters are actually Ferguson residents.

Attorney General Eric 'Chip on His Shoulder' Holder also came to Ferguson shortly after the shooting to assure the rioters that the Obama Administration was on top of things. A couple of dozen FBI agents and Justice Department investigators also arrived to conduct an investigation separate from local authorities. Before any investigation had been completed or all evidence collected, with a wink and a nod to his black audience Holder promised a full investigation, and to vigorously prosecute Officer Wilson using federal civil rights charges if the investigation showed Officer Wilson acted improperly.

In the ensuing weeks information has been leaking out from the investigation which appears to indicate that in fact Officer Wilson was clearly justified in using deadly force against Michael Brown. The investigation has also shown that the claims by many very questionable "witnesses" that Michael Brown was murdered by Officer Wilson appear to be clear fabrications from people who were nowhere near the scene of the shooting at the time it happened. Clearly lies told to investigators by angry black people who care nothing about real 'justice', but are only interested in exacting a sick sort of revenge against the police in general, and Officer Darren Wilson in particular. A modern day lynch mob looking for a white person to 'string him up'.

The truth of the matter is that Officer Wilson did not leave his home that day intending to shoot anyone, much less Michael Brown. What happened that day on a Ferguson street was the result of the young black teenager being raised in a dysfunctional urban environment, which neglects to teach its' youths to obey the law and to respect authorities. To work and study hard in school as a means to success, and to accept personal responsibility for their actions. Ask any police officer who works in and around Ferguson, or any major American city for that

matter, and they'll tell you that nowadays when they encounter a young black man it's more often than not an unpleasant encounter. Dealing with such negativity day in and day out it's no wonder that police suffer such high rates of alcoholism, divorce, and other physical and mental health issues.

With rumors flying that the Grand Jury decision will be released in the coming days news media organizations from around the world are scarfing up hotel rooms around St. Louis in anticipation of some great video shots of 'aggrieved' African Americans wailing and crying in the streets, since things seem to indicate the decision will be to clear the officer. The only real question is how the black college students at Howard University are going to react to the decision. Will there be cheering and celebrations as occurred when O.J. Simpson was acquitted of murder back in 1995. Or is that reaction only reserved for when a black person gets away with killing white people?

Ferguson Rules of Engagement

By: D.W. Wilber

November 21, 2014



Recently Ferguson activists led by the 'Don't Shoot Coalition' released a list of demands, so-called "rules of engagement" for the police to follow during the anticipated demonstrations when the St. Louis County Grand Jury releases their decision in the

Michael Brown case.

Rules of engagement? You've got to be kidding me! Here's my list of "Rules of Engagement" for the conglomeration of leftists, anarchists, race hustlers, and criminals threatening violence in the St. Louis metropolitan area in the event Officer Darren Wilson is not indicted.

- How about rule number one – Don't riot!
- Rule number two – Obey the law!
- Rule number three – Respect the decision!

No other rules of engagement are necessary. But considering the threats emanating from the insurgency to expand their activities outside of Ferguson, and in particular into "white areas", perhaps they need to understand some other "rules of engagement". Rule number four – Citizens have the right to protect themselves, and they will!

It's been reported that gun sales have sky-rocketed in the St. Louis area in recent weeks. Law abiding citizens are scared. The citizens of Greater St. Louis want to be able to protect themselves and their property, and are afraid that the police will not be able to respond to help them in the event of an emergency because the police will be busy dealing with rioters and insurgents trying to destroy municipal buildings in Ferguson and other areas.

Shopping malls have also been included on the list of places insurgents have said they will target. Certainly understandable, a large mall provides the perfect opportunity for pre-Christmas one-stop-looting. Anheuser Busch has also been targeted, presumably so the looters can stop for a cold one afterwards and relax after fighting all those crowds at the mall. Looting can be exhausting.

Missouri Governor Jay Nixon has activated the Missouri National Guard to provide protection for locations in and around the St. Louis area, but curiously NOT to protect Ferguson municipal buildings or the Ferguson police station. Could that be because Tom Jackson, the embattled Police Chief of Ferguson, and Ferguson officials have stood up to the immense political pressure being levied against them and their city. Pressure from Governor Jay Nixon and Missouri Senator Claire McCaskill who both follow a policy of appeasement towards the insurgency. Pressure which reaches back all the way to the Justice Department and the Oval Office, who have effectively aligned themselves with the insurgency instead of the rule of law.

Chief Jackson, a highly respected and decorated police professional has had a thirty-plus year career where he has received the Medal of Valor and just about every other award and commendation one can receive, has refused to surrender his city to the insurgency and resign from his job as Chief of Police. Regardless of what Nixon, McCaskill, Holder or Obama want. Should Jackson decide to leave one day, it will be at a time of his choosing, NOT following the dictates of politicians who have surrendered any moral authority they might have had when they decided to coddle and side with an insurgency. Fortunately Jackson has an ally in St. Louis County Police Chief Jon Belmar, who has also withstood strong political pressure to surrender to this insurrection.

So while weak-kneed politicians may be willing to dance to the music played and choreographed by a collection of misfits, fortunately the citizens of Ferguson and St. Louis County are represented by some dedicated professionals who will do their best to protect them from an uprising led by those who care little for the rule of law, and supported by politicians determined to “fundamentally transform” the United States of America.

Ferguson A.K.A. Benghazi

By: D.W. Wilber

November 26, 2014



According to Missouri Lieutenant Governor Peter Kinder, his boss Missouri's Democrat Governor Jay Nixon was apparently "hiding" when the rioting started in the St. Louis suburb of Ferguson, following the release of the Grand Jury decision in

the Michael Brown case.

As Ferguson burned amid gunfire and looting, troops from the Missouri National Guard were assembled not far away, awaiting orders from the governor to deploy them into Ferguson to protect residents and their property. Not until the wee hours of the morning did troops finally begin to take to the streets of Ferguson. Hours after much of the damage had already been done by looters and arsonists.

But why with the police being overwhelmed and with the obvious massive civil unrest, which was being broadcast on national television and was occurring just a short distance away from National Guard troops who might have been able to help protect property and restore order, did Governor Nixon sit on his thumbs and fail to give the order for the Guard to help assert control over the situation? An order that was eventually given, but far too late to be effective.

Could it be that Governor Nixon was experiencing the 'Benghazi Effect'? Was Nixon awaiting orders from the White House before sending his troops into the fray? Was the Obama Administration invested in civil unrest as a means of furthering their efforts to "fundamentally transform" the United States? Or was Nixon just frozen into inaction by indecision and incompetence?

From the very beginning of this tragedy for the Brown family AND Officer Wilson, Missouri's governor has seemed to be unsure how to respond. He certainly has

failed to display any leadership or moral clarity. During his initial public comments shortly after the incident, in an obvious play to activists in the black community, he practically indicted the officer without an investigation or evidence being collected, up until the most recent news conference where he fumbled and stumbled over his words trying to answer a simple question about “where the buck stopped”.

Nixon has cow-towed to the rioters in an act of appeasement hardly seen from a politician since Neville Chamberlain. Instead of standing firm and on the side of law and order and maintaining control of the Streets of Ferguson, Governor Nixon displayed cowardice. Or was it just plain stupidity? Or was it something more than that, was it indifference to the calls for help from the people of Ferguson?

His lack of leadership has cost the business owners and residents of the City of Ferguson dearly. Instead of stepping forward and defending the process AND the evidence that exonerated Officer Wilson of wrong-doing, Nixon remained silent. He failed to give the order to introduce National Guards troops to help the police until far too late, allowing insurgents to destroy and loot millions.

Were Nixon serving in the very Missouri National Guard he commands he would be considered absent without leave. AWOL from his duty and obligation to serve ALL the people of the State of Missouri, not just a potential voting bloc aligned with the Democrat Party.

The 'Useful Idiots' of Ferguson

By: D.W. Wilber

November 27, 2014



We've all seen them in the news footage coming out of the St. Louis suburb of Ferguson since the August 9th shooting of black teenager Michael Brown by a white Police Officer. Interspersed among the demonstrators are the

white faces, some young, some old, standing indignantly arm in arm with their black brethren. Protesting against the injustices perpetrated against the black community in general, and Michael Brown in particular by racist police.

Mixed in with the anarchists, the New Black Panthers, and opportunistic looters and other criminals you'll find the idealists. Supporters of all liberal causes, sometimes to the extreme, they have never found a cause they didn't adopt. Clinging to them like that warm and fluffy security blanket they had as a child. Bringing them reassurance of their value as a member of the human race.

You can find them showing up to 'Save the Whales', or they'll bemoan the loss of the redwoods, the Spotted Owl, and just about any other 'worthy cause' that attracts people like them. People who live for the opportunity to join hands with other like-minded individuals and expend their energies for what in their minds is a worthy and noble effort. Demonstrating against injustice and to 'make the world a better place'.

Also known as 'Useful Idiots'.

Some of the older ones come from the 'Woodstock Generation', trying desperately to reclaim their youth and relive the 'glory days of civil disobedience'.

The younger ones often are trying to create their own modern day version of Woodstock, being envious that they can only read about it since they weren't around to have participated years ago in the real 'Woodstock experience'.

Unfortunately for them they are often times used by politicians and other scavengers to help lend numbers to their cause, and perhaps 'diversity' to whatever endeavor they're promoting. Protesting for Mike Brown ? Toss in some white faces to show the strength of your numbers and the nobility of your cause. After all, what speaks more on the evening news than to have some white faces carrying a sign and chanting your slogan ?

Most of these useful idiots can be seen laughing and smiling, holding hands, linking arms and joining in chants with people they would never live next door to. Their loyalty to a cause doesn't carry them that far. "I mean after all, isn't coming down to the ghetto good enough, I don't actually have to LIVE with these people do I ?"

But they are indeed useful to the race hustlers like Al Sharpton. Though I find it kind of curious that you never see one up on the stage right there next to Reverend Al as he basks in his glory. But the useful idiots don't mind, since they don't really know that they're being used by Al.


Do they ever really accomplish anything ? Sometimes they accomplish getting themselves arrested as they lay down in a street, recreating some symbolic gesture. Then they'll post bail and pay the fines with their own money never to be reimbursed by the people like Al Sharpton, who is only interested in ramping up the volume of the protests in order to provoke the police into stepping in and making arrests. All so that Al Sharpton can get face-time on the evening news.

Though a useful idiot, most are probably genuinely good, decent people. But very, very naïve, and clearly lacking an understanding of the facts. Unfortunately for them they'll never understand that they're being used. They'll never understand that the people like Al Sharpton who are using them could care less about them personally. Or what might happen to them. After all, to Al Sharpton and the leaders of the Ferguson insurgency they're just a white face in the crowd. Just another useful idiot.

St. Michael the Gentle Giant Patron Saint for the Racially Aggrieved

By: D.W. Wilber

November 28, 2014



As Americans sat down to enjoy their Thanksgiving Day repast and give thanks for all the blessings bestowed upon them by this great land in which we live, many in Ferguson made the trek to a small memorial decked out in flowers and teddy bears. A memorial

to St. Michael the Gentle Giant, located not in a large cathedral but on a minor side street of this St. Louis suburban community.

Around the country people have been expressing their feelings of devotion for St. Michael, who it almost seems is quickly overshadowing the legacy of Dr. Martin Luther King as a symbol of the struggle for racial equality in America.

Based on his portrayal in much of the media and by his family of supporters around the nation, St. Michael appears to have become the new 'Patron Saint for the Racially Aggrieved'.

A poor, innocent youth elevated to sainthood by an assassins bullet aimed and fired by a racist police officer, who served as the symbolic arm of a racist society that places no value on the lives of young men of color.

St. Michael, though his life cut tragically short has still been able to work miraculous deeds. Even in death St. Michael's inspiration has helped bestow a bounteous blessing upon his followers in Ferguson. Blessings liberated from the racist and greedy merchants of that community, laid to waste by St. Michael's followers.

As Moses raised his arms and opened up the Red Sea, St. Michael's followers in Ferguson raised a torch and laid waste to a community. All in the name of St. Michael, the "Gentle Giant".

Considering the coverage in many news outlets of the tragedy and myth of Michael Brown's life and death, one would think that he's been given a 'Papal waiver' and has skipped right past all the traditional steps righteous people normally have to clear prior to beatification, and has been elevated immediately to the level of Sainthood.

The reality is that Michael Brown was no saint, he was just a thug. A teenager with an attitude who grew up mean and angry on the streets of Ferguson. If Michael Brown saw something he wanted he just took it. And when confronted by someone over his transgression he lashed out violently.

No contrition, no remorse. Just anger and meanness. Michael Brown was far from a "gentle giant". And much farther from the saint he's being portrayed as by the media and the well-known professional race hustlers. Based on how he lived his life I'm more inclined to believe that young Michael will spend a fair amount of time in Purgatory before he's ever considered for entry through the Pearly Gates, much less bestowed 'sainthood'.

Portraying Michael Brown as anything more than the thug he was, and trying to equate his life and death as some significant part of the 'black struggle' for equality, is an insult and a disservice to the countless REAL African American heroes of the past who fought and died in the name of civil rights. Michael Brown has no business sharing a pedestal with someone of Dr. Martin Luther King's stature. Michael Brown doesn't even deserve to stand in Dr. King's shadow.

Those who demonstrate and riot in Ferguson and all across America in the name of Michael Brown bring discredit to the legitimate concerns of African Americans. A group who have suffered more in the past six years during the administration of the first black president than they did in the preceding decades.

While we understand and take note of the grief of his parents and relatives let's not change the story of his life from the reality it was, into some fairy tale it was not. No "gentle giant" twice the size of another shoves that person around and threatens and intimidates them while stealing their property.

That just makes him a thug and a thief, and certainly not a saint.

Is America Too Caucasian?

D.W. Wilber

November 29, 2014



With just two years left in the Obama Administration's "fundamental transformation" of the United States of America, maybe it's time to take a look at what Barack Obama meant to achieve with his "fundamental transformation".

At the time I thought it was rather curious, why would someone say "We live in the greatest country on the face of the earth, join me as we fundamentally transform the United States of America"? It begs the question why - if something is "the greatest" there is - would anyone want to "fundamentally transform" it? Transform it into what? What could possibly be better than "the greatest"? It doesn't make a lot of sense does it? Then again not much of what this administration has done has made a lot of sense.

That is unless one takes into consideration that the fundamental transformation might just be to change the demographics of this country. To 'brown it down' if you will. And in doing so increase the chances of furthering an extreme progressive agenda?

After all, it's clear the president and his allies expect these new 'immigrants' for the most part will become obligated to vote for the Democratic Party, to insure that the steady flow of welfare benefits they will begin to receive continues. Much like the Democratic Party has done for decades to enslave black Americans.

For example, in June 2012, President Barack Obama announced he would be signing an executive order to stop the United States from deporting young illegal aliens from Mexico, allowing them to remain in the United States regardless of

the fact that they entered our country illegally. Violating our laws and our national sovereignty in doing so.

Throughout the summer of 2014 America's Southwest border has been deluged with more young illegal aliens, some of them just toddlers, who are crossing into our country illegally and diverting Border Patrol Agents from protecting America from dangerous drug smugglers and terrorists, and relegating them to playing babysitter.

These illegals are being dispersed across America in order to provide them the basic necessities of life. Does anyone truly believe that these budding new Democrats will be sent back to their country of origin? Have you ever known Democrats to willingly give up a potential voting bloc? There are dead people in Chicago who have been voting Democrat for years!

And if you do believe it then I have some nice ranchland on the border in Arizona I'll sell you cheap. Just make sure if you decide to live there that you're heavily armed to protect yourself against the Mexican drug cartels, who are crossing over freely because the Border Patrol is too busy changing diapers!

As President Obama prepares to take another executive action to stop all deportations of illegal aliens it's beginning to look like the real reason behind it is to further change the demographic makeup of this country. Which he clearly anticipates will help him continue his "fundamental Transformation" of America.

Apparently he and his allies in the Democratic Party believe he won't succeed with the way things are right now. Considering the recent election there's just not enough people yet voting Democrat.

Which apparently means there's just too many Caucasians in America!

Race and Media Bias

By: D.W. Wilber

November 30, 2014



This past week Fox News regular contributor Juan Williams penned a column on the Ferguson situation questioning where the black leadership was. When I was reading through his

column one particular comment written by Williams jumped off the page at me. In his column he wrote, “Incredibly, the best leadership on the scene has come from the family of the murdered teen”.

My question to Juan Williams is, what “murdered” teen? There was no teenager murdered as a result of the police shooting in Ferguson that I’m aware of. There indeed was a black teenager who lost his life while attacking a police officer. An officer who had to use deadly force against this attacker in order to defend himself and save his own life. But no “murder” occurred.

What Juan Williams did was either purposefully done to send a message to the reader that Williams belonged to the group of ‘true believers’ who are convinced despite the fairly significant amount of evidence that points towards a justified use of deadly force by the officer, that indeed an injustice had been perpetrated against an innocent black teenager.

Or he needs to better edit his columns before they go to publication. I have that issue at times, but I don’t really think that’s the case here. I think Juan Williams was sending a message regarding his true point of view about the Michael Brown incident.

Certainly he's entitled to express his opinion, just as I have done on this subject through a number of my own writings in recent weeks. But I don't think I've been subtle or have tried to send a subliminal message at all in expressing my opinion on this case.

I've been up front and have openly expressed the opinion that while the death of a teenager at any time is a tragedy, it is especially tragic when that death is a result of the teen's own stupidity, poor judgment, or criminal activity. Or because he attacked a police officer.

But what Williams did isn't anything new in the liberal media. It's common for them to either misrepresent racial stories, distort or cover up facts, or decline to even identify race when the perpetrator of a particularly heinous crime happens to be black. But subtlety isn't always employed, such as in the case of some news outlets like MSNBC who blatantly slant their reporting to present a very, very liberal bias.

The liberal media knows full well the statistics that clearly show black on white crime happens disproportionately more often than the other way around. And contrary to what some in the news media, as well as some Hollywood celebrities and other public figures would have you believe, black teens are not being gunned down on our nation's streets by rogue police officers on a regular basis.

In fact, compared to the large numbers of violent crimes committed daily by young black males, relatively few have their lives come to an end at the hands of a police officer. Most of their lives are cut short by the end of a gun pointed at them by another young black male.

But these facts are rarely mentioned by liberal columnists or the so-called mainstream media organizations. It doesn't fit the narrative they want to project to the people. That young black males are being gunned down by police officers on American streets far too often and for no reason.

Instead of reporting the facts that blacks steal from, rape, and murder many more white people than what is reported on the evening news, most of the time information about the race of black criminal suspects lays unused on the cutting room floor of most news media outlets.

Instead what is presented is the preferred narrative the liberal “mainstream media” want people to see, that young black males are being victimized by racist police and a racist society.

When the reality is far, far different.

The 'Hands Up Don't Shoot' Illusion

By: D.W. Wilber

December 03, 2015



Considering all of the lies coming out of the ongoing Ferguson tragedy that have been told by supposed “witnesses” to the incident, it’s difficult to pick out one that stands alone. However, there is one lie that is

head and shoulders above all of the other fairy tales told by ‘the Brown Faction’, and it has to be considered among the worst of the long list of fabrications surrounding this incident. The “Hands up, don’t shoot” myth.

This lie and others have been repeated by the race hustlers like Al Sharpton and Eric Holder. A lie that the news media has broadcast around the world. It has become the new symbolic rallying cry of the racially aggrieved. I’m talking specifically about “Hands up, don’t shoot” ! A myth that is being perpetuated at protests that have been occurring across the country.

The myth has shown up at professional football games, and now on the floor of the U.S. House of Representatives by members of the Congressional Black Caucus. Standing with hands raised in the air, symbolically portraying Michael Brown surrendering to Officer Darren Wilson as he was shot and killed by the officer. The telling and retelling of the lie that Michael Brown was murdered on the streets of Ferguson by a racist cop.

But the real message that is being conveyed is that this gesture symbolically portrays ‘Every Black Man’s last moments’, as they are gunned down by racist police departments every day all across America. Another myth.

While statistically very few black men are killed in the line of duty by police, the facts have become irrelevant to the racially aggrieved. They are more concerned with the illusion they are projecting across America and the entire world. That innocent young black males are being shot as they casually walk down the street minding their own business, by police officers who racially profile them, shoot them, and then engage in character assassination in order to justify murdering a young black male surrendering with his hands in the air.

Fearing the loss of the political advantage they have enjoyed over the last six years, black politicians aligned with the Democratic Party are engaging in every racial card trick they can play in order to gain as much leverage as they can during President Obama's last two years.

Using racial card tricks has been a strategy of the black activists for some time. While groups like the New Black Panthers are open and up front about their hatred for whitey, black politicians are more subtle. They try to hide their own racism against white people amid their cries of racial justice for black people. Their own racism lies just below the surface, barely concealed.

Honesty has no place in the racial card tricks being played in Ferguson and Washington, D.C. Honesty doesn't achieve the results sought by the racially aggrieved. Lies, distortions and deceit are the weapons of choice in achieving whatever their goals are. The truth about and causes of the 'black condition' in America isn't always pretty, and certainly doesn't support their political arguments.

It doesn't matter if Officer Wilson's life and career has been ruined. He's just a pawn in their game. Those with intellectual honesty know full well that Officer Wilson acted both legally and morally, and in defense of his own life when he shot and killed Michael Brown.

This is about black Democrat politicians and race hustlers like Al Sharpton panicking because of the recent elections. They know that they have only two years left in Barack Obama's presidency. A president who has proven himself not to be 'President of All Americans' as he once proclaimed, but as one favoring the 'racially aggrieved'. And a presidency severely weakened by Republicans control of the House and the Senate. What you can't gain through the electoral process, then try to gain by screaming "racism" !

Unfortunately for America, Officer Wilson is just a 'discard' played in the deck of racial card tricks being used for political purposes by those determined to continue the myth and illusion of "Hands up, don't shoot".

Benghazi Baloney

By: D.W. Wilber

December 5, 2014



Forget the recent Congressional Committee report absolving the Obama Administration of wrongdoing over the attacks in Benghazi which resulted in the

deaths of Ambassador Chris Stevens and three other brave Americans.

The real question underlying Benghazi is why Americans serving this country overseas were set up to be killed by a State Department who ignored warnings from security experts that the Benghazi Consulate was 'ripe for the picking' for terrorists intent on attacking Americans, and American interests in Libya.

As has been the practice of this administration since it took office, the default position is to obfuscate, delay, blame others, or lie when confronted with their failures. Hence Susan Rice's appearance on news programs following the Benghazi tragedy.

As expected the "mainstream media" exhibited a remarkable lack of curiosity about the details of the Benghazi attacks, beyond reporting the fact that the attacks had occurred.

Once initial indications showed that the Obama Administration and Secretary of State Hillary Clinton may have some culpability resulting from their lack of security preparations as we approached the anniversary of September 11, 2001,

the media went into full damage control mode protecting Obama and Clinton as best they could.

Either questioning the integrity and motives of career diplomats, investigators, and Congressional committees, or accusing outright that calls for an investigation were “politically motivated”, The Obama Administration, Hillary Clinton, and their allies in the news media went into ‘attack mode’ as a means of covering up their own negligence.

Oh to have been a fly on the wall watching Secretary Clinton rehearse her anticipated testimony before the congressional committee looking into the Benghazi failures. Just how many ‘takes’ did it require for her to be able to show the right amount of indignation as she pounded the table in front of her in the rehearsal room ? Did she have to repeat it, watching the video playback over and over so that she could get it right for her performance in front of Congress ? One can imagine the laughter around the room as Clinton screwed up her lines and had to ‘take it from the top’ again and again. A little chilling when one thinks about it, considering what she was to testify about.

An unbiased look at the facts we do know about what happened in Benghazi shows that at the least what was determined was there was evidence of poor judgment and planning on the part of the Obama Administration’s State Department, overseen by Secretary of State Hillary Clinton.

Enough to harm her future political aspirations ? Apparently the Clinton’s thought so, which is why they and their supporters went into full attack mode against anyone, including honorable career diplomats, military members, and other government officials who might present a potential threat by telling the truth.

While the deaths of four dedicated Americans serving their country is certainly a tragedy for the families of those who lost their lives, it’s also a great loss for this nation. We depend upon dedicated and conscientious people to help defend America’s interests overseas, and spread the message of America as a beacon of freedom in a far too often dangerous world. The fact that no one has been held accountable in the Obama Administration and Hillary Clinton’s State Department is an outrage.

While career bureaucrats sat on their posteriors in Washington, D.C. looking for ways to 'cover their backsides', courageous Americans put their lives on the line, and in Benghazi gave their lives, in service to a grateful nation.

Empathize With Our Enemies

By: D.W. Wilber

December 7, 2014



During her recent speech before a half empty auditorium at Georgetown University, former Secretary of State and Democrat Party frontrunner for the White House in 2016, Hillary Clinton suggested that we try to see things from our enemy's perspective. And I

quote, "Showing respect even for one's enemies. Trying to understand, in so far as psychologically possible, empathize with their perspective and point of view."

Suggesting that we must "empathize" with the likes of ISIS, Boko Harum, al Qaeda, Iran and North Korea to name just a few seems a bit of a stretch. But I guess we must take Ms. Clinton at her word. Though after meeting with her political advisors following her speech I'm sure a clarification about what she 'really said' will soon be forthcoming. As often happens when Hillary's tongue gets in front of her eye teeth and she can't see that what she's saying is just plain stupid.

But it does make one wonder about that phone call at three o'clock in the morning she's always telling us about. Will she pick up the phone and ask Kim Jong Un, "How's the foot feeling?"; or when Mullah Omar of the Taliban calls will she ask, "How's your wife (or wives) and kids doing?"; and after accepting the Iranian leader's collect call will she say, "I guess I can see your point about wanting to blow us up" ?

Considering her enormous record of achievement as secretary of state, such as,.....Considering her enormous support among Democrats and the liberal media, Hillary can get away with saying any number of stupid things. Her political advisors, aides and her allies in the news media will quickly swoop in to sweep up, after her messing up, as she once again says something so ridiculous that it begs

one to question whether she's fully recovered from that concussion she claims to have suffered. You know, the one that delayed her testifying before the congressional committee looking into the Benghazi attacks until she had her table pounding indignation fully rehearsed.

I guess we'll just have to sit back and enjoy the entertainment as her advisors and media allies try to undo the potential damage her recent and future remarks might cause her political ambitions. After all, the heir apparent to Barack Obama can't appear to be as clueless and out of touch as he has for the past six years.

But that's just my perspective. I wonder if Hillary empathizes ?

Enough of the 'Open Season' on Police

By: D.W. Wilber

December 8, 2014



Among the legacy of the Obama Administration, along with a weakened and disrespected nation overseas, will be a nation afflicted with severe racial divisions here at home. Racial division and unrest encouraged and fed by Barack Obama's Attorney General Eric Holder and others in the Obama inner circle, as well as the race

hustlers and frauds like Al Sharpton and Jesse Jackson.

Since August when Ferguson Police Officer Darren Wilson shot and killed in self-defense black teenager Michael Brown, and the most recent tragic accidental death during the arrest of Eric Garner in New York City, racial tensions in America have boiled over. Exacerbated by a White House that continues to inject itself into local events.

As a result of the obvious concerted effort orchestrated by the Obama Administration to undo Republican gains in the recent elections through the use of civil unrest, law enforcement in America has come under a withering attack.

In Missouri Ferguson Police Officer Darren Wilson, Chief of Police Tom Jackson, and the County Prosecutor Bob McCulloch have had 'bounties' placed on their heads. Two members of the New Black Panthers were recently arrested conspiring to use pipe bombs to kill both Jackson and McCulloch. Reports from around the country seem to indicate that this is no longer isolated to Ferguson.

In fact, it seems that police agencies across the country are being warned to take extra precautions out of concern that radicals and activists are intent on exacting revenge for perceived grievances against law enforcement, when the facts and statistics tell a very different story.

While the attacks and murders of police officers in the line of duty around the U.S. continues unabated, a large percentage perpetrated by the minority community, the reality is that police officer's using deadly force seldom happens in relation to the millions of police-citizen encounters annually. Better recruiting, technology and training have helped law enforcement reduce the level of deadly force situations.

Historically one of the most successful ways to "fundamentally transform" a nation is to undermine the legal authorities and sow dissent and civil unrest. Lessons the Obama Administration has seemed to learn well from their apparent inspiration, Saul Alinsky.

Police Officers across the country are beginning to hesitate taking aggressive action against crime for fear of being placed in a use of force or deadly force situation. Will actions they take in a split second end up being 'second-guessed' by an administration with a racial and social agenda, caring little about the rule of law and even less about a police officer and his family's well-being ?It certainly appears that way.

Using allies in the SEIU and other leftists organizations, as well as some useful idiots who miss or missed out on the 'Sixties', and are trying to claim for themselves a bit of nostalgia, the Obama Administration has ginned up civil unrest around the country to help the administration remain relevant for the next two years. It's apparent that the remainder of Obama's term in office will be dedicated to continuing efforts to undermine American institutions, and complete the "fundamental transformation" of the United State of America.

Among the institutions they intend to undermine as part of this effort is law enforcement, by encouraging and creating a cavernous division between the police and citizens. The once respected 'Thin Blue Line' of police professionals stands in the way of achieving the social changes desired by the Obama Administration and their leftist allies.

Creating suspicion between citizens and those who serve them in law enforcement reduces police effectiveness, and will allow for vast and sweeping changes in how laws are enforced in this country.

And in how the U.S. Constitution is protected, preserved, and defended. A document Barack Obama has said on previous occasions stands in the way of him doing what he wants.

They Mislead Us All the Time

By: D.W. Wilber

December 10, 2014



As Senator Diane Feinstein releases the report of her committee's investigation into CIA practices during the Bush Administration, and their use of Enhanced Interrogation Techniques in particular, many in the intelligence community are

warning of potentially dire consequence as a result of this release.

The intelligence and diplomatic communities, and the U.S. military are on edge with the predictions of attacks against Americans serving overseas by a Muslim world further inflamed by the details included in the report.

And rightly so, considering the expected liberal slant sure to be evident in the conclusions reached by the Democrat controlled committee. It will be interesting though to learn whether or not former Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi's curious claims of CIA lying will be part of this several thousand page document.

"They mislead us all the time", said then Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi about the CIA, when asked about her knowledge of waterboarding and other "Enhanced Interrogation Techniques" described during briefings provided to congressional leaders, including Pelosi, by the CIA. Interrogation techniques which at the time were being employed by the CIA on a select few of the senior al Qaeda terrorist leaders in U.S. custody at the time.

Contrary to the conclusions reached in the report, techniques that produced valuable intelligence that contributed to preventing attacks against Americans, and ultimately helped lead to the death of Osama bin Laden.

Listening to Speaker Pelosi's subsequent comments and press conference following the stir created by her earlier allegations of CIA lying to Congress were tortuous affairs. On one press briefing Pelosi had to repeatedly refer to the prepared written statement she had previously given in order to answer simple questions from reporters. It was almost comical to watch if it wasn't so disconcerting to know that someone of her level of responsibility was unable to answer a simple question without referring to notes. Appearing very much out of touch with reality. Or was she just being dishonest?

It is a serious crime for a government official to lie to Congress, which Nancy Pelosi clearly accused senior officials of the CIA with doing. A serious accusation that merited a thorough investigation to determine the validity of the charges. Without an investigation into serious charges of misconduct career government employees are left with a cloud hanging over them, and their professional reputations in question. They are owed an opportunity to clear their name, or be held accountable. Yet they were never afforded the opportunity to confront their accuser and clear their names.

At the time this soap opera was playing out, then Minority Leader John Boehner repeatedly challenged Pelosi to provide proof or evidence of her charges against the CIA. Yet once Republicans retook control of Congress and John Boehner became Speaker, the subject of Pelosi's charges against the CIA was quietly dropped by Boehner. Professional courtesy?

Should the release of this report result in any Americans deaths, either overseas or here in the U.S. by a 'lone wolf' incensed by the details, will Senator Feinstein accept any responsibility? Or will she do as has been the practice of Democrats for the past decade and blame the previous administration?

Or she could just lie about it. After all, there is already a precedent for lying about the CIA.

Knock Knock, Who's there? Diane Feinstein...

By: D.W. Wilber

December 12, 2014



With the release of Democrat Senator Diane Feinstein's report on the CIA's Enhanced Interrogation program, a forty million dollar joke has been perpetrated on the American people, courtesy of the California senator.

As U.S. military bases and diplomatic facilities around the world are placed on high alert for possible attacks by Muslims further inflamed by the

declassified details of the report, the costs of Senator Feinstein's 'knock knock joke' will continue to spiral upwards as we're forced to ramp up additional security precautions to address the threat. Millions more will be spent, and unfortunately lives will probably be lost so that the senator can try to claim some supposed moral high ground, when the reality is that this report was released for purely partisan political purposes.

The report itself, most likely 'cherry-pick-written' by some young junior aides who were probably still in grammar school, and who have little understanding or appreciation for the challenges faced by our intelligence professionals tasked with protecting this country from further attacks after September 11, 2001, came to the conclusion that the EIT program was of little value, and hurt America's reputation around the world. A conclusion reached without one single Agency participant in the program being interviewed.

Had they made the effort to carefully and objectively evaluate the program, including interviewing those involved as well as the analysts who received the intelligence information obtained from the detainees, a completely different story would have been revealed. A story that would show clearly that additional attacks

had been prevented, senior terrorist leaders arrested, killed or neutralized, and America made safer.

Incidentally, there was no damage to America's reputation as a result of this program until AFTER Democrats, with help from their allies in the liberal news media began to use it as a political football against the Bush Administration.

Now our adversaries have quickly begun to use it for propaganda purposes, as our allies shake their heads and wonder why America continues to shoot itself in the foot on the world stage.

Apparently feeling obligated to chime in, Vice President Joe Biden, true to form says something stupid about America being the only country in the world who will publicly admit our mistakes, and that somehow this makes us better than all the rest ? Here's a news flash Joe, the rest of the world is laughing at your administration, and wondering if the United States will be able to recover once you two are gone, from the damage you and your president have caused to American leadership and prestige in the world.

America's allies will certainly take a very long look at whether or not it's in their best interest to cooperate with us in future endeavors, not knowing whether their participation will end up on the front page of the New York Times, or be trumpeted by pompous, holier-than-thou American news anchors.

Particularly our friends, and we do have them, in the Arab world. They are the ones who will be placed in very precarious positions once word of their assistance to us in the past has been revealed.

But Senator Diane Feinstein, ignoring the 'law of unintended consequences', and with the encouragement and full support of the Obama White House and one Republican useful idiot, pushed forward with releasing this report with little regard for the potential violent repercussions expected around the Muslim world.

Taking center stage the other day Senator Diane Feinstein told the world her forty million dollar 'knock knock joke', but unfortunately the only ones laughing now are our enemies.

Liberal Mental Illness

By: D.W. Wilber

December 24, 2014



Reading recently that the New York Times has suggested that former President Bush and Vice President Cheney be prosecuted for “torture” I was overcome with sadness. Sad in knowing that, in America, we still have people

suffering from forms of mental illness which give little hope of recovery, allowing the sufferers to lead a normal, rational life.

Specifically I’m referring to those at the New York Times and many others of like mindedness who are afflicted with ‘Bush-Cheney Derangement Syndrome’, or BCDS for short. Unfortunately this devastating mental illness is alive and rampant in the liberal world.

Former President George W. Bush and his Vice President Dick Cheney have been gone from the White House for six years now, yet liberals around the country, including the president and his administration continue to suffer from the debilitating affects of ‘BCDS’.

For the uninformed, those suffering from BCDS can be easily recognized if one knows what to look for. Typically all one has to do is initiate a discussion about politics, including social and national security issues, and immediately a glazed look will cross the face of a BCDS sufferer, followed almost immediately by a ‘wild-eyed’ manifestation.

Sputtering and stuttering they will begin to speak in an unrecognizable language, similar to what Evangelicals describe as 'speaking in tongues', with the only words that are understandable being "Bush", "Cheney", "Evil".

Is there any hope for those tormented by BCDS ? Unfortunately at this point in time it appears hopeless for the BCDS afflicted. Most are capable of functioning in society, some even end up hosting television programs on MSNBC. Chris Matthews is an example of a BCDS sufferer who is able to function with limited supervision in society.

His is a somewhat unique case in that in addition to the incoherent ramblings associated with most BCDS afflicted, he also experiences sensations up and down his leg. Fortunately considering the small numbers of people who actually watch his program, themselves all BCDS sufferers, his influence is very limited.

What can one do to help? Considering that most BCDS sufferers cannot be cured, prevention is the answer. Work hard to limit anyone's exposure to liberals, the illness can be highly contagious if not isolated and kept away from young, impressionable minds. Unfortunately American colleges and universities are breeding grounds for BCDS.

Volunteering to work for conservative causes and supporting conservative candidates for political office is one way. Speaking out and correcting the record when facts and information is distorted and twisted by the BCDS afflicted is important to help lessen the chance that they will infect others.

And remember, compassion for the mentally ill is important. But sufferers from Bush-Cheney Derangement Syndrome need to be restrained in order to protect society from the threat of infection posed by those who look to blame the Bush Administration for everything that ails America.

We can control BCDS, and we *must* in order to save the United States from this devastating mental illness that is destroying the very fiber of our country.

America Can Shred Iran. Why not ?

D.W. Wilber

December 15, 2014



Recently, Iranian Army General, Hossein Salami, claimed that Iran had infiltrated the United States with orders to attack America from within should the U.S. or Israel attack Iranian territory. This was a clear reference to our concerns about Iran's

nuclear research facilities, which are widely suspected of conducting research into the development of nuclear weapons.

It certainly is plausible, considering our porous borders, that Iranian agents may well have entered the United States with instructions to wreak havoc in America. But when I hear boasting and threats such as this coming from the Islamic Republic of Iran, I think back to an exchange that occurred between a U.S. Marine fighter pilot and Iranian air traffic controllers during the Iraq War:

Iranian Air Defense Radar: "Unknown aircraft you are in Iranian airspace. Identify yourself."

Aircraft: "This is a United States aircraft. I am in Iraqi airspace."

Iranian Air Defense Radar: "You are in Iranian airspace. If you do not depart our airspace we will launch interceptor aircraft!"

Aircraft: "This is a United States Marine Corps FA-18 fighter. Send 'em up, I'll wait."

Iranian Air Defense Radar: (total silence)

Iranian bellicosity has been a regular occurrence in public pronouncements by the Iranian leadership since the revolution in 1979 that removed the Shah of Iran from power, and replaced his government with a gaggle of fanatics led by a belief in some mythological Twelfth Imam.



Americans may shudder hearing such things, but it's not that we shudder from the threats made by some pompous Iranian general. Instead it's from a realization that sheer stupidity on the world stage isn't unique to members of the Obama Administration. Iran thinking they can bluff or intimidate average Americans is certainly not a wise move on their part, but might work against the Obama Administration and further contribute to instability in the Middle East.



Unfortunately, it also makes for a very dangerous world when stupidity is

confronted by appeasement, as Iran is experiencing right now with the Obama Administration during the current negotiations over the Iranian nuclear program.

One thing is for certain, Iran will learn a hard lesson if they ever try to carry out attacks inside the United States. Iran doesn't understand that they will then be dealing with the American people, not the Obama Administration.

As Japan learned during World War II, when you throw a rock at a hornet's nest you're certain to get stung. While the Obama Administration may practice a policy of appeasement, Americans on the front lines of the War on Terror are much less inclined to back down when confronted with threats.

Americans at home can be just as determined and courageous. We can be thankful we have men and women of honor serving with distinction in harm's way around the world, and defending American ideals here in the Homeland.

So, the Iranian leadership and their military generals can bellow and threaten as



loudly as they choose, but they would be much wiser to take an American slogan from our rich and proud history to heart. A slogan depicted on a flag flown on flagpoles in many front yards of homes all across America. A flag with a very simple message,

“Don’t Tread on Me”.

An American's Response to Iranian Threats

D.W. Wilber

December 15, 2014



Recently Iranian Army General Hossein Salami claimed that Iran had infiltrated the United States with orders to attack America from within should the U.S. or Israel attack Iranian territory. A clear reference to our concerns about Iran's nuclear research facilities, which are widely

suspected of conducting research into the development of nuclear weapons.

It certainly is plausible, considering our porous borders, that Iranian agents may well have entered the United States with instructions to wreak havoc in America. But when I hear boasting and threats such as this coming from the Islamic Republic of Iran, I think back to an exchange that occurred between a U.S. Marine fighter pilot and Iranian air traffic controllers during the Iraq War:

Iranian Air Defense Radar: "Unknown aircraft you are in Iranian airspace. Identify yourself."

Aircraft: "This is a United States aircraft. I am in Iraqi airspace."

Iranian Air Defense Radar: "You are in Iranian airspace. If you do not depart our airspace we will launch interceptor aircraft !"

Aircraft: "This is a United States Marine Corps FA-18 fighter. Send 'em up, I'll wait."

Iranian Air Defense Radar: (total silence)

Iranian bellicosity has been a regular occurrence in public pronouncements by the Iranian leadership since the revolution in 1979 that removed the Shah of Iran

from power, and replaced his government with a gaggle of fanatics led by a belief in some mythological Twelfth Imam.

Americans may shudder hearing such things, but it's not that we shudder from the threats made by some pompous Iranian general. Instead it's from a realization that sheer stupidity on the world stage isn't unique to members of the Obama Administration. Iran thinking they can bluff or intimidate average Americans is certainly not a wise move on their part, but might work against the Obama Administration. And contribute further to instability in the Middle East.

Unfortunately it also makes for a very dangerous world when stupidity is confronted by appeasement, as Iran is experiencing right now with the Obama Administration during the current negotiations over the Iranian nuclear program.

One thing is for certain, Iran will learn a hard lesson if they ever try to carry out attacks inside the United States. Iran doesn't understand that they will then be dealing with the American people then, not the Obama Administration.

As Japan learned during World War II, when you throw a rock at a hornet's nest you're certain to get stung. While the Obama Administration may practice a policy of appeasement, Americans on the front lines of the War on Terror are much less inclined to back down when confronted with threats.

And Americans at home can be just as determined and courageous. We can be thankful we have men and women of honor serving with distinction in harm's way around the world, and defending American ideals here in the Homeland.

So the Iranian leadership and their military generals can bellow and threaten as loudly as they choose. But they would be much wiser to take to heart an American slogan from our rich and proud history. A slogan depicted on a flag flown on flagpoles in many front yards of homes all across America. A flag with a very simple message, "Don't Tread on Me".

Coming Soon to a Theater Near You,.....NOT!

By: D.W. Wilber

December 19, 2014



Licking their chops after the successful cyber-attack against SONY, North Korea's hermit regime is celebrating in Pyongyang, and most likely anticipating their next move against the United States.

The fact that they shut down the release of the Hollywood production 'The Interview' by issuing threats against theaters showing the film, and embarrassing SONY executives by gaining access to and releasing their personal e-mails, should be a concern for all Americans.

The Obama Administration's tepid response, and to use a Hollywood analogy it has appeared to be 'Sleeping in Washington', instead of 'Sleepless in Seattle'. The Obama Administration has remained mostly silent about this act of aggression against America by the North Korean dictatorship.

By allowing this attack to go unanswered the Obama Administration has opened up the United States to additional attacks from our enemies abroad. What's the next target, our very vulnerable power grid? I suspect our adversaries in Iran, China and Russia are already looking at this successful effort by North Korea as a model, and making their own plans for the future.

One thing I find ironic is how Hollywood stands firm as the Rock of Gibraltar when the target of their ridicule in movies is conservatives, the military, and traditional Christians. No matter how insulting and disparaging the films might be to those groups, Hollywood claims some supposed moral high ground of 'free artistic expression', and plows full speed ahead with a film's release. Caring little about the concerns of groups or individuals they disagree with politically.

Unfortunately based on the Obama Administration's record they would most likely fully support Hollywood's attacks on conservatives, Christians, and the military.

Considering the vulnerability of the American power grid, we would all be well served to think about the potential of a future without electricity, and perhaps make a few preparations just in case one of our enemies decide to follow North Korea's lead. The impact on this country would be devastating. Unfortunately little more than lip service is being paid to the problem by politicians, and even that is muted. Very little is actually being done to strengthen our critical infrastructure and protect it against attack.

Most assuredly President Obama's response would again likely be even more limited, considering the fact that the president's tele-prompters need electricity to operate.

Those in the entertainment industry would do well to understand that some of those groups they like to disparage such as the military, have fought and died over the years to protect Hollywood's right to make the very films that have insulted and attacked the military repeatedly, all in the name of 'artistic expression'.

The Coming Obama End Run for Gun Control

By: D.W. Wilber

December 20, 2014



With just over two years left in the administration of President Barack Obama, and with his promise, some might view it as a threat, to use the powers of his office to make changes he believes are best to move the country towards the vision he and his

supporters have for the future of America (that fundamental transformation he told us about), protecting Americans' right to bear arms will be even more important as the Obama Presidency winds down.

The president has made no secret of his interest in enacting more stringent gun control across the board in America. While he smiles and tries to cloak his goals in the guise of a "reasonable approach to gun control", he quietly and behind the scenes schemes with his Attorney General Eric Holder to use whatever tactics they can to achieve as much as they can, with little regard for what the majority of the American people want.

The United Nations Arms Trade Treaty, regulating the international trade in conventional arms, from small arms to battle tanks, combat aircraft and warships, will enter into force on December 24th of this year. The Obama Administration has fully supported this treaty. While it violates the Second Amendment of U.S. Constitution and likely won't be ratified by the Senate and enacted into law in this country, it serves as a symbolic victory for the anti-gun politicians in Washington, D.C.

California Democrat Senator Diane Feinstein continues her efforts to outlaw private ownership of guns, whole-heartedly supported by the Obama Administration. Diverted only temporarily by her attack on the CIA and her release of information about the CIA's enhanced Interrogation program, Feinstein

is sure to come back to her gun control efforts, which will be the crowning jewel in her Senate career should she retire after this term in office.

Considering the challenges faced by America, with Islamic terrorists from ISIS threatening to bring their campaign of Jihad butchery to American shores, the most recent cyber-attack orchestrated by North Korea which revealed our nation's vulnerability to attacks against our critical infrastructure, an increasingly bellicose Vladimir Putin and communist Chinese threats of expansion, not to mention the regular threats made by the Iranian mullahs, now certainly isn't the time to disarm the American citizenry.

Yet the Obama Administration and their allies among the Democrats in the House and the Senate continue to argue for strict gun control, and take whatever steps they can to continue moving in that direction. With the UN treaty in effect will some sort of Obama 'executive action' be in the future ?

Advocating for enforcing the existing regulations and arguing against the need for additional laws, gun advocacy groups wage an uphill battle against a biased news media, that seems to relish using any acts of gun violence that happen around the country as a bludgeon to beat the NRA and other groups over the head, and to beat the drum once again for stricter gun laws. Stricter laws by the way that will have little if any effect on the random acts of gun violence perpetrated by people who care nothing about the laws currently on the books.

But that doesn't stop the Obama bandwagon from rolling along with their gun control agenda, ignoring the U.S. Constitution and the Second Amendment. More importantly ignoring the threats we face as a nation. Which is why we will need a well regulated citizen militia to help protect this nation in dangerous times, and from a weak and dangerous president determined to leave strict gun control as one of the foundations of his legacy.

Al Sharpton Send His Condolences

By: D.W. Wilber

December 21, 2014



While watching the news coverage about the execution of New York Police Officers Wenjia Liu and Rafael Ramos I was struck by the fact that Fox News reported on a statement being issued by Al Sharpton in response to the shooting, and I wondered to myself why Fox News gave any mention of Al Sharpton at this time ?

Furthermore, who is Al Sharpton to even think a statement from him would be appropriate, or welcome at a time like this ? Then again, Sharpton's certainly been a self-promoter, not to mention a liar, tax evader, and F.B.I. informant throughout his life, who elbowed his way into the racial discourse in this country years ago. Discourse that has deteriorated since he's insinuated himself. I sincerely doubt the families of Officers Liu and Ramos are interested in anything Sharpton might have to say at this time.

While Sharpton, Mayor Bill De Blasio, Eric Holder and President Obama have all been extremely critical of law enforcement over the years, but particularly of late, they would all do well to take a second look at just what law enforcement regularly does on their behalf.

New York Mayor Bill De Blasio can rest easy in Gracie Mansion knowing that his well-being, and the well-being of his family is assured by the NYPD officers assigned to his personal security detail. No one will threaten his safety while New York's Finest are standing by.

Wherever he travels Al Sharpton is guaranteed that there are police officers providing security protection for Sharpton and his party when he arrives. Police stand at the ready protecting whatever venue Sharpton is making an appearance

at, including at his recent protest march in Washington, D.C. where officers were providing traffic and crowd control support so that this protest could take place.

Attorney General Eric Holder's Department of Justice oversees the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and Holder never travels without a protective detail. During his recent visit to Ferguson, Missouri the attorney general also had countless local police officers insuring he was safe from harm.

Beyond his Secret Service protection, wherever President Obama travels he can rely on dozens and dozens of police officers to provide the perimeter security at whatever venue he's visiting, and participating in all the motorcades getting him to and from safely.

The on-going civil unrest encouraged and choreographed by Sharpton, De Blasio, Holder and Barack Obama has begun to tear at the very fabric of this country. Creating deeper divisions between those who protect and serve us in law enforcement, and a minority community fractured by crime and poverty. Already there are some cretins coming out of the sludge to praise the assassin of these two officers in social media, and more demonstrations against the police are sure to occur in coming days.

The law enforcement community has come under withering attack since the shooting in August of an eighteen year old black man who attacked a police officer in Ferguson, Missouri. And more recently following the grand jury decision not to pursue charges against any NYPD officer involved in the arrest of an older black man, who also died as a result of his actions during a struggle resisting arrest.

In both cases a grand jury made up of citizens from the very community where these two black men lived reviewed the evidence and decided there was no criminality on the part of any police officers involved.

Yet the race hustlers like Sharpton, fully egged on and supported by Barack Obama, Eric Holder and the extreme liberal New York Mayor De Blasio, have refused to accept the fact that the two black men who died were responsible for their own fates. As is the case in the overwhelming majority of deaths of black males at the hands of the police.

In the coming days the Thin Blue Line that protects us from anarchy will lay to rest these two fallen heroes, Officer Ramos and Officer Liu. But Sharpton, De Blasio,

Holder and Obama share in the blame for the murderous act that led to their deaths. Perhaps they will decide it's time to turn down the volume and extinguish the fires of unrest they've stoked. Hopefully at the least they'll just quit "acting stupidly", and turn their energies to supporting the police instead of crucifying them for doing a difficult job.

Damnably Lies

By: D.W. Wilber

December 23, 2014



Back during the 19th Century noted American author and humorist Mark Twain popularized the quote, “There are lies, damned lies, and statistics”, subtly reminding people that using statistics to buttress an argument is not always the best way to make a point.

With that in mind perhaps we need to look at the ‘reality’ of things when discussing race relations in the United States at this particular point in our history. The American conversation about race produces charges and counter-charges, both sides citing statistics to back up their arguments, with reality often being pushed to the side.

The family members of those victimized by black on white crime do not view their loved ones as a ‘statistic’. And the activists and race hustlers in the black community dislike hearing the reality that black on white crime far exceeds white on black crime, and also that black on black crime is nearing an epidemic in the inner cities across America.

It’s a reality that a young black man is far more likely to be killed by another young black man than he is by a police officer. Much less by an ‘unjustified shooting’ by a police officer. And it is a reality that police officers doing their job are far more likely to be attacked, injured, or killed by a young black man than by any other race.

The black ‘talking heads’ appearing on MSNBC and other liberal news programs continue to decry the “discrimination” and “racism” blacks experience in America, when the reality is that most of what ails the black community is self-inflicted. Institutional racism is long a thing of the past. The reality is that the vast majority

of white Americans long ago discarded race as a measuring tool on whom they associate with, and who they hire or promote.

As Dr. King once preached, most white Americans are far more inclined to judge someone based on the “content of their character, than on the color of their skin”. Compared to any other country in the world, blacks in America have a far better chance of achieving success, while racism against blacks is alive and well in Europe, Asia and South America.

With the breakdown of the black family the reality is that Police Officers in the inner cities have become the only thing holding back complete chaos and anarchy on the streets of America. Police Officers respond repeatedly to calls for drug dealing on a street corner, assaults and robberies in progress, family disputes and disputes between neighbors, to name just a few of the things Police Offices are called upon to make arrests or to mediate.

Sometimes they actually arrive before the gunplay erupts. If they’re a little late then they help console the victims, and try to preserve the crime scene to help detectives with an investigation that rarely goes anywhere due to uncooperative witnesses. Another sad reality.

The black community continues to suffer because of a court system that releases hardened criminals back into an area where they reassert their control through intimidation and violence. Preying on innocent residents who are afraid to call or cooperate with the police for fear of retaliation not just from the criminals, but from the very neighbors who live around them. Neighbors who themselves might be involved in crime, and who view anyone cooperating with the police with suspicion, and as someone who might report on their criminal activities to the police in the future.

Unfortunately many of those who commit crimes and get away because of uncooperative witnesses, are then viewed with admiration by some in the neighborhood who have grown up with a skewed sense of good versus bad. Instead of having as role models those blacks who have risen above the poverty and racism they experienced in their lives to become successful members of the community, thugs and rap singers who glorify violence and a criminal lifestyle are idolized.

No statistics are necessary to provide the reality of life in many of the black communities in this country. The reality of crime, poverty, and hopelessness speaks for itself. We need no more lies, damned lies, or statistics. We need solutions from within the very communities which are creating the devastating numbers being cited on both sides of the argument.

The Continuing Obama Soap Opera

By: D.W. Wilber

December 26, 2014



Enjoying his vacation in Hawaii President Obama has dispatched Vice President Joe Biden to New York to represent the administration at the funeral of slain New York Police Officer Rafael Ramos, in a move some would consider a clear indication of his hostility towards and disdain for law enforcement.

To send a loose cannon like Biden to such a solemn occasion, knowing full well that Biden is more than likely going to say or do something truly insensitive or stupid, shows that the president has little regard for the feelings of police professionals in New York and around the country. The vice president for his part isn't really to blame, he's just a buffoon, the Obama Administration Court Jester who can't help himself.

Meanwhile, Barack Obama's Race Czar Al Sharpton is now complaining of receiving death threats as a result of his leading marches against the police in New York City, just prior to the two police officers deaths. Go figure, Al Sharpton trying to steal the spotlight from two martyred police officers ? Who would have thought that Reverend Al could stoop so low ?(Well, just about anyone who knows him.)

North Korea's two-bit dictator Kim Jong Un throws a hissy fit over Hollywood depicting him in an unfavorable way in a low budget film production, so he sends a cyber-attack our way to embarrass some Hollywood executives. Which one would think would be hard to do if they aren't already embarrassed by most of the films they produce.

Barack Obama's answer is to knock out the entire North Korean Internet service, inconveniencing eighteen people inside the hermit nation of twenty-five million. The only one really inconvenienced was probably Un (or is it Kim, whatever his last name is), who couldn't download any porno for several hours, and was probably so angry that he fed another of his relatives to the dogs.

Earlier this year, slapping themselves on the back in celebration the Obama Administration traded five hardened Taliban terrorists for Army Sergeant Bowe Bergdahl, only now to find out that he's probably going to be court-martialed by the Army for desertion. They gave up five terrorists who are likely to kill Americans again, for an Army deserter of questionable allegiance to this country. Maybe there's something to Senator Rubio's suggestion that Barack Obama is the worst negotiator since Jimmy Carter.

One wonders at times who's whispering into the president's ear, is it his Secretary of State John Kerry (can anyone name an accomplishment?), who was tasked with filling the large shoes of his predecessor Hillary 'Benghazi' Clinton (can anyone name an accomplishment?), or perhaps Eric Holder, the outgoing attorney general who is leaving the Obama Soap Opera for a guest spot on TV's 'The Biggest Loser'.


Whomever it is they are helping this on-going soap opera get rave reviews from the late night comedians, who are getting lots of mileage out of the president's tanking approval numbers.

While the president casually body-surfs in Hawaiian waters, soaking up the sun and planning to direct the next episode of his on-going soap opera.

Alfred Charles Sharpton

By: D.W. Wilber

December 29, 2014



When a history of the American Presidency for the last fifty years is compiled, listed among the most curious aspects of those five decades of presidential administrations will be the inclusion of Alfred Charles Sharpton as a 'trusted presidential advisor' to the Obama Administration. Countless photographs from presidential and news

media archives will show Alfred virtually glowing in his self-importance, seated at the side of the president.

Alfred Charles Sharpton, born in New York City on October 3rd, in 1954 has shown that in America anything is possible. A person with no talent or abilities, of questionable character and certainly offering nothing of substance, can raise himself above the humble beginnings he was born into and achieve prestige and importance among the highest levels of American government. A true American con-artist success story.

To appreciate Alfred's success one needs to understand that he has been able to repeatedly remake himself over the years. After attending Brooklyn College for two years Alfred dropped out and had no additional higher education or formal seminary training, though he carries the title of "Reverend".

Working as nothing more than a 'snitch', a street level hustler who served as a paid informant for the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Alfred was able to glom onto entertainer James Brown and become a 'hanger-on' with the successful 'Godfather of Soul'.

But Alfred quickly recognized that his true talents were as a race-baiting con artist, stirring up racial hatred at every opportunity. He had found his true calling in life.

Alfred has been able to insinuate himself into countless incidents where a racial aspect might or might not be involved. If one isn't there, he'll create it. Having no facts or evidence (it never stopped him before), Alfred is always ready and able to inject charges of racism and stir up civil unrest. All for his own ego gratification and to line his own pockets with money.

Wherever Alfred travels to speak out on behalf of the racially downtrodden he stays at hotels like the Ritz Carlton and other first class accommodations, and travels in chauffeur-driven limousines. Living a lifestyle of luxury that those he claims to represent never share, and reportedly leaving behind thousands of dollars of unpaid bills on a regular basis that hotels have to struggle to eventually collect on.

Most recently Alfred leapt into the fray surrounding the shooting of Michael Brown in Ferguson, Missouri. Continuing the "hands up, don't shoot" charade, Alfred led the charge calling for a "special prosecutor" because all the scientific and forensic evidence didn't provide the results he wanted, which was the railroading of Ferguson Police Officer Darren Wilson. A justified police shooting of a young black man wasn't the narrative that could provide the limelight Alfred so craves, or put money in Alfred's pockets.

After all, Alfred has experience with railroads. He engineered the attempt to railroad white police officers and officials in the Tawana Brawley case years earlier in 1987, not to mention the Duke University NON-rape case in 2006.

Alfred currently peddles his brand of low-brow commentary on the MSNBC cable news network, which fortunately receives dismal ratings and appeals to a very small audience who look to blame others for their own failures and lack of success in life. So Alfred's influence is limited to other race hustlers and the weak-minded.

In 2004 Alfred proclaimed himself a candidate for the Democratic nomination for president. Certainly not a viable candidate but the Democratic Party allowed him to be a participant in the presidential nominating debates. The fact that the Democratic Party would give voice to this 'carnival huckster' should clearly tell

everyone how far the Democratic Party has separated itself from mainstream America.

Even more outrageous is the fact that the sitting president of the United States seeks the counsel of someone like Alfred. With Alfred's history it makes one wonder about the intellectual credentials and motivations of the person sitting in the Oval Office.

Most recently Alfred met with SONY executive Amy Pascal to discuss the SONY senior executives' racially-tinged e-mail exchanges discussing Barack Obama. Did Alfred leave the meeting carrying a hefty check from SONY, a donation to his National Action Network ?If Alfred's current delinquent tax problem is resolved in the near future we'll probably have an answer to that question.

Alfred will continue to inject himself in any incident or situation where there's a TV camera present, and where he sees the potential of lining his pockets with some serious coin. Alfred's motivation is all about 'Alfred', and much less about those who may actually have been the victims of racism and discrimination.

Alfred's excesses will continue as long as the money keeps rolling in and the American news media keep providing him coverage. And American race relations will continue to suffer a setback every time Alfred arrives on the scene.

Empathize With Our Enemies

By: D.W. Wilber

December 7, 2014



During her recent speech before a half empty auditorium at Georgetown University, former Secretary of State and Democrat Party frontrunner for the White House in 2016, Hillary Clinton suggested that we try to see things from our enemy's perspective. And I

quote, "Showing respect even for one's enemies. Trying to understand, in so far as psychologically possible, empathize with their perspective and point of view."

Suggesting that we must "empathize" with the likes of ISIS, Boko Harum, al Qaeda, Iran and North Korea to name just a few seems a bit of a stretch. But I guess we must take Ms. Clinton at her word. Though after meeting with her political advisors following her speech I'm sure a clarification about what she 'really said' will soon be forthcoming. As often happens when Hillary's tongue gets in front of her eye teeth and she can't see that what she's saying is just plain stupid.

But it does make one wonder about that phone call at three o'clock in the morning she's always telling us about. Will she pick up the phone and ask Kim Jong Un, "How's the foot feeling?"; or when Mullah Omar of the Taliban calls will she ask, "How's your wife (or wives) and kids doing?"; and after accepting the Iranian leader's collect call will she say, "I guess I can see your point about wanting to blow us up" ?

Considering her enormous record of achievement as secretary of state, such as,.....Considering her enormous support among Democrats and the liberal media, Hillary can get away with saying any number of stupid things. Her political advisors, aides and her allies in the news media will quickly swoop in to sweep up, after her messing up, as she once again says something so ridiculous that it begs

one to question whether she's fully recovered from that concussion she claims to have suffered. You know, the one that delayed her testifying before the congressional committee looking into the Benghazi attacks until she had her table pounding indignation fully rehearsed.

I guess we'll just have to sit back and enjoy the entertainment as her advisors and media allies try to undo the potential damage her recent and future remarks might cause her political ambitions. After all, the heir apparent to Barack Obama can't appear to be as clueless and out of touch as he has for the past six years.

But that's just my perspective. I wonder if Hillary empathizes ?

Dancing With the Devil, Iran

D.W. Wilber

January 5, 2015



The crowd was restless on the tarmac at Rhein Main Air Base near Frankfurt, Germany. It was a bitter cold January night in 1981. The waiting was starting to become monotonous, especially after a number of delays. As we stood around trying to stay warm while chatting among ourselves, a

sense of apprehension began to spread through the small crowd that was gathered. Was what we were patiently waiting for all an illusion? Was it all going to end up being just one more slap in the face of America administered by Iran?

We were the ‘unofficial’ welcoming committee for fifty-two Americans who had been guests of the Ayatollah for the past four hundred forty-four days: hostages held by the Islamic Republic of Iran. For the previous year and a half they had also been living bargaining chips used by Iran to humiliate the United States and President Jimmy Carter. Many of those assembled on the tarmac had known, or previously worked with, some of the hostages and could only imagine the hardships they had endured. An unspoken, “there but for the grace of God”, permeated the minds of the crowd, since many of us had previously worked on embassy assignments around the world, just as the fifty-two had. Was what happened to them going to become a common threat for those in the foreign services?

During the preceding months Iran had called the U.S. “weak”, “a paper tiger”, “the Great Satan”, and countless other insults were hurled our way. The U.S. had

been humbled on the world stage by some third rate peddlers of flying carpets and Islamic extremism.

Hundreds of Iranian “students” had stormed our embassy in Tehran, ignoring all norms of international law and diplomatic protocols. They marched, bound and blindfolded American diplomats out of the front door for all the world to see. Throughout their ordeal the hostages were repeatedly physically abused and beaten, threatened with being put on public trial for espionage, and then executed as spies by the Iranian “students” who were holding them.

Through documents recovered by the “students” after storming the embassy, they were able to identify the very few remaining CIA employees left in Iran at the time. As a result, these individuals were subjected to extra abuse and maltreatment.

Our one attempt at regaining some national dignity ended tragically in the Iranian desert, and was viewed by Iran and the rest of the world as just another example of American helplessness.

The fifty-two hostages eventually did make it to Rhein Main Air Base that cold January night, and after a very brief welcome ceremony, which included the now former President Jimmy Carter, the fifty-two Americans were whisked away for medical care and debriefings. And eventually transported back to the U.S. to family and friends who had waited for their homecoming for four hundred forty-four days.

The long national embarrassment was over. The drama had played out every evening on the nightly news, with each day another number added to the daily tabulation. Worldwide, a sitting American president had been humiliated and American prestige and respect was left severely damaged. Islamic radicals across the Middle East cheered the Iranians and viewed what they had done as motivation to further their own extremist goals.

Since that cold January night, America and Iran have been involved in an undeclared war for over thirty years. At least undeclared by the United States. Iran has often been very clear about their goals, which includes the destruction of Israel along with the United States. The ‘Great Satan’ and the ‘Little Satan’.

Until the September 11, 2001 attacks by al Qaeda, the Islamic Republic of Iran had been responsible for the deaths of more Americans than any other terrorist

organization. Their proxy, Hezbollah, was used most often to do their dirty work. Iran was behind the import and use of the deadly EFP's (Explosively Formed Penetrators), used so effectively to maim and kill hundreds of American service members in Iraq during Operation Iraqi Freedom.

Iranian Quds Force members were captured inside Iraq by U.S. forces on more than one occasion, providing technical and intelligence support to the Mahdi Army. The radical Shiite Followers of the America-hating cleric, Muqtada al Sadr, were creating disruptions to the Iraqi political process in Baghdad, as well as committing attacks against American installations and troops in and around the Iraqi capital.

Iran's hatred and true intentions have varied little, if any, since the Iranian Revolution, which ousted the hated Shah of Iran back in 1979. Their goal of destroying Israel and the United States remains unchanged.

With Barack Obama's recent comment that "he can envision re-establishing diplomatic relations with Iran", including, one would presume, opening an embassy in Tehran, the Iranians must be gleeful. A weak president such as Jimmy Carter only comes around once in a lifetime, one would think. Now, they have Barack Obama showing that history does, indeed, repeat itself sometimes. In their minds, the only thing better would be for Hillary Clinton to show up with another one of those 'Reset Buttons'.

Sanctions on North Korea? Who Cares.

By: D.W. Wilber

January 6, 2015



In a display of resolve the Obama Administration announced new sanctions, a “proportional response”, directed against the government of North Korea in response to the North Korean dictatorship’s recent

reported cyber-attack involving senior executives of the SONY Corporation.

In a country where the citizens have been eating dirt for years because of the communist regime’s policies, such a response by Barack Obama will clearly have no impact on the North Korean dictatorship’s behavior.

Aside from the bluster of their ‘official statements’, the rogue regime simply isn’t very concerned about U.S. sanctions. They will just find ways to skirt any additional sanctions as they have all the previous imposed measures intended to ‘modify’ North Korean conduct.

The sanctions may have only a limited effect anyway as North Korea is already under U.S. and international sanctions over its nuclear and missile programs. Any hardships as a result will be felt more by the North Korean people, and not the ruling oligarchy. New sanctions will only play into the ongoing North Korean propaganda attacks about “American aggression”.

Barack Obama’s ‘tough stance’ in response to the recent Cyber-attack, one which after more investigation may not even have involved North Korea, is simply a public relations ploy intended to show an Obama Administration standing tall and fully engaged in national security affairs and international relations. Our

adversaries know full well that Barack Obama is “focused like a laser” on domestic issues during the last two years of his administration.

In an unexpected gesture North Korea’s leader Kim Jong Un recently extended an invitation to neighboring South Korea to engage in talks “at the highest levels”. While somewhat encouraging it may just be an attempt by the Korean communist regime to undercut any further American actions.

But considering the Obama Administration’s desperate desire to achieve some sort of notable foreign policy success before he leaves office in 2016. Don’t be surprised if Barack Obama and his inept foreign policy team latch onto this ‘conditional’ offer for talks as an opportunity to add North Korea to Cuba and Iran, on the president’s list of foreign policy “successes”.

ISIS the Benevolent

By: D.W. Wilber

January 7, 2015



Amid all the carnage and beheadings occurring on the streets of Mosul, Fallujah, and other towns and villages in Iraq, is a full-fledged public relations campaign to create an illusion. ISIS is going high-tech, using social media and the Internet to

create an image they believe will help 'mainstream' them in the Muslim world. And which they hope will also help them in recruiting new followers to their bastardized version of Islam.

ISIS has begun to create the illusion that they are providing essential services in areas under their control. The same services they are responsible for destroying in their march across Syria and Iraq. A sleight of hand, a card trick craftily choreographed for the television cameras and any of their followers with a Smart Phone. With the images broadcast around the world.

Taking a page from the playbooks of Hamas in Gaza and Hezbollah in Southern Lebanon, ISIS is using the visual media to create a narrative different from the brutal reality they represent. And looking to swell their ranks with the gullible who they hope will view them as 'ISIS the Benevolent'.

Aside from lopping off heads and torturing and crucifying Christians, ISIS has been busy opening some food pantries, providing drinking water, and even disseminating some medicines to Syrians and Iraqi's living in areas controlled by the brutal terrorist organization. Well-publicized efforts which create an illusion of 'civic-mindedness' in those occupied areas and elsewhere in the Muslim world.

The lesson Hamas and Hezbollah have learned so well is that when one provides the basic services or necessities of life, and is able to do it in a more efficient way than any existing or previous corrupt government entities can, those benefitting may be willing to overlook some of your less savory qualities.

With the Western-educated PR experts directing the message that is being disseminated, ISIS is betting that the harsh reality they offer will be overshadowed by the benevolent smokescreen they project.

As there already have been many, and undoubtedly will be more recruited to the ISIS mirage as a result of this public relations effort, once the reality sets in as to what is expected of a good little ISIS follower, some enthusiastic recruits may be looking for a way out the back door at the first opportunity.

Unfortunately there have already been a few fairly well known cases where young Western females who enthusiastically sought a place within the ISIS community have since regretted that decision. And unfortunately for them there is no way out. At least not with one's head well-connected to the rest of their body.

Those who have become disillusioned by the reality of evil will have learned a very hard lesson as well, that deserting ISIS is a whole lot more difficult than becoming an ardent follower. All public relations efforts aside, the reality is far different from the illusion that is being created.

A Shot Across the Bow

By: D.W. Wilber

January 8, 2015



Facing only some mild opposition within his own party Congressman John Boehner was easily elected to another term as Speaker of the U.S. House of Representatives. But according to some recent polls over sixty percent of Republicans wanted

Boehner out of his leadership position, believing the Ohio Republican has been ineffective in fighting against the Obama and Democrat agenda.

Unfortunately as is typical of career politicians, Boehner isn't really concerned about the desires and wishes of the American people he supposedly represents, as he moved full speed ahead in his bid for reelection to the Speakership.

But what are we to think about the opposition Boehner faced from Republicans Louis Gohmert and Ted Yoho ? Merely a symbolic gesture or is there something more ? Was this the proverbial 'shot across the bow' by those disgusted with Boehner's recent compromises with the Obama Administration ? An attempt to send a strong message to the newly reelected Speaker that he's been put on notice ?

Many in the so-called 'Tea Party Wing' of the Republican Party have been unhappy with Boehner's leadership of Congress. Particularly with his recent compromises, some would call it a 'cave in', to the Obama Administration over funding the government and the president's plans to give amnesty to illegal aliens. Boehner has come under very sharp criticism by some of his Republican colleagues.

Many Republicans in and out of Congress view Speaker Boehner as being more concerned about looking towards the next election in 2016, than in doing the

work the people just recently sent them to do last year in 2014. Staying away from anything bold or potentially controversial and passing merely 'fluff' bills to avoid taking the Obama Administration head on.

Governing from a continual campaign mode has been the hallmark of Barack Obama. It's appeared at times that John Boehner has taken a page from the Obama playbook and instead of making hard choices and ultimately passing important legislation that would benefit the American people, he is content to pass another non-controversial 'highway bill'. Then slap himself and his allies in Congress on the back congratulating each other, and return to what they do best, pontificating and accomplishing little.

Some argue, in fact many people argue, that it's a shame that the career politicians we've been stuck with from both parties can't be term-limited out. Sort of a 'system flush' one has to do occasionally with a septic tank to get it working more efficiently and doing the job it was intended to do.

Hopefully the shot across Boehner's bow, showing him that there is strong opposition to his method of 'leadership' in Congress, will have some effect on how he moves forward for the next two years. And hopefully Congress will confront the Obama agenda and prevent the president from achieving the "fundamental transformation" he is seeking.

There is an up-side to Boehner's reelection. We'll all be spared witnessing a grown man once again cry on national television. I don't think I could bear it.

Bill de Blasio's War on Foam Containers

By: D.W. Wilber

January 8, 2015



Under New York Mayor Bill de Blasio's administration, the NYPD has been prohibited from conducting intelligence gathering activities at New York's mosques, in deference to the sensibilities of the Big Apple's Muslim community.

In order to not to appear to be unresponsive to the on-going, and in fact, increasing terrorist threat, de Blasio has implemented a new policy certain to strike fear into the hearts of potential terrorists lurking in the five New York boroughs.

The New York City mayor is moving to ban city food establishments from using plastic foam containers, concerned about the harm they cause to the environment. The residents of New York can rest in comfort at night knowing that foam containers will no longer despoil the landscape with de Blasio's 'Litter Police' strictly enforcing the ban.

Unfortunately, hatchet-wielding terrorists so far haven't received any special attention from Mayor de Blasio. Perhaps that's because the victims in the recent hatchet attack were also police officers.

With the recent work slow-down by the NYPD in response to the mayor's criticism of the department and the resultant assassination of two, and wounding of two more, of New York City's finest (which the police blame on the anti-police environment stoked by comments the mayor has made) it is uncertain how effectively the police will be enforcing the foam container ban.

It should be a concern to New York citizens that the mayor has set banning foam containers as a higher priority than the surveillance and monitoring of suspected

radical Muslim mosques that have proven to be breeding grounds for budding Jihadists.

Considering de Blasio's extreme leftist philosophy, it's not a surprise that foam cups would be a bigger concern than supporting his police and fighting terrorists. Leftists like Bill de Blasio, and Barack Obama for that matter, have never been fans of law enforcement. Could it be because police officers take an oath to protect and defend the United States against all enemies foreign AND domestic?

Muslim “Cleric”?

By: D.W. Wilber

January 10, 2015



Throughout the American media a common mistake has been occurring over and over again when having discussions about terrorism. Often on cable news programs one will see as an invited guest a radical, extremist Muslim “cleric” invited to give his

opinion. One particularly well-known “cleric” who has been a TV regular is Anjem Choudary from Great Britain. Well known in England for his extremist views, and becoming better known to Americans as a result of his appearances on a number of American cable news programs.

Considering the outrageous views people like Choudary espouse I can only surmise that the reason people like him are invited to appear is for purely a shock value. Since the venom normally spewed by these “clerics” is hate-filled, anti-Christian, anti-western, and patently offensive and obscene.

Routinely these individuals are referred to or described as a Muslim “Cleric”. According to the dictionary I resourced, a Cleric is defined as a “religious leader, someone who performs religious services or ceremonies”.

Taking it a step further in searching for a definition of “religious”, I found the term defined as “devout, pious, reverent, godly, God-fearing, churchgoing, faithful, devoted, committed, a religious person”.

My question is why we continue to refer to people like Anjem Choudary and his ilk as “clerics” ? There is nothing religious about them. They spew hatred during their television appearances, and one would assume even more hate-filled pronouncements during the ‘services’ they conduct.

Just as I find it difficult to refer to some radical, fundamentalist “Christian” leader who preaches and promotes hatred against individuals or groups they oppose as a “Reverend”, I find it insulting when I see Muslim extremists such as Choudary given the title of “cleric”. It just doesn’t fit.

I certainly will admit to being guilty myself in the past of using “cleric” as a generic title when discussing these individuals, but I intend to make a concerted effort in the future to use terms which I believe are much more appropriate when referring to these types of individuals.

Perhaps “Unindicted Terrorist Spokesperson” might be fitting. Or simply calling them “Muslim Extremist Mosque Leader” seems a more appropriate description for what they really are. Either way, what they represent is something that is far from religious or holy.

Until the United States and the rest of the civilized world takes head-on the threats we face from extremist Islam, Muslim “Unindicted Terrorist Spokesmen” will continue to appear on television programs trying to justify the murder of innocents in the name of their perverted beliefs.

Spewing hatred “in the name of God” isn’t the work of a “man of God”, but instead the actions of pure evil. Evil which needs to be eradicated from the face of the Earth, not excused, ignored, or mollycoddled out of some sense of ‘political correctness gone mad’.

Treading Water

By: D.W. Wilber

January 13, 2015



Never one to be much interested in foreign affairs, the American President Barack Obama this weekend sent a clear message to the rest of the civilized world. The none-to-subtle message is that over the next two years

should any international crisis arise, they will all be on their own. Under this administration America has opted out of our historical leadership position in the world.

In fairness Barack Obama made it no secret that from the very beginning his administration was going to be focused on domestic issues. Determined to achieve the “fundamental transformation” of the United States that he envisioned.

Shortly after taking office the president embarked on his ‘apology tour’, believing that by disparaging and humbling his own country before the world, that America’s enemies would see that we no longer pose any threat to them. And therefore they need not be concerned about any future American interference in their affairs.

His hope was that with the apology tour out of the way, the one major foreign policy endeavor of his administration would be accomplished. He could then turn his attention to remaking the United States into the leftist-socialist utopia he intended.

But why would the president choose not to show up at the Paris gathering of world leaders attended by most of America's allies ? All coming together in a show of support for France, one of America's longest and most enduring friends.

Is it because Barack Obama senses the disdain that other world leaders have for him ? Was that why a couple of years ago he was so interested in listening in on German Chancellor Angela Merkel's private telephone conversations, to see if she had anything disparaging to say about him behind his back ?

Vladimir Putin clearly can barely conceal his disdain for the American president he has bested on a number of occasions over the past six years. The Russian news media has been brutal in their treatment of Barack Obama, publishing blatantly racist editorial cartoons. Other cartoons and writings have even called into question Obama's very 'manhood'. Considering that the Russian news media does nothing without Vladimir Putin's approval, it's obvious that the Russian leader has a complete lack of respect for his American counterpart. And Barack Obama knows it and is obviously uncomfortable in Putin's presence.

Israel, the United States' closest ally in the Middle East has come to understand that Barack Obama is no friend of the Jewish state. Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu has felt 'on his own' for some time now, confronted with an America that seems more interested in courting a relationship with Israel's arch enemy Iran, than in maintaining the special relationship Israel has held with the United States through every previous American administration.

Ukraine too has stood by waiting for the United States to become more engaged in their current crisis with Russia. To provide the weapons and other support that could help Ukraine keep the Russian Bear at bay and Ukraine aligned with the West. Only to be given the proverbial back of the hand by the Obama Administration. Ukraine is an unfortunate victim of Vladimir Putin's intimidation of Barack Obama, as well as Obama's disinterest in foreign policy and European security.

Across a large swath of Iraq and Syria ISIS terrorists butcher and behead Americans and others while Barack Obama dithers about implementing an effective strategy to defeat this evil scourge.

An evil that might not even exist had Obama not been so impatient to remove all American forces from Iraq without leaving behind enough to help maintain the

peace. American troops that could have helped Iraq continue their own fundamental transformation into an important American ally on the troubled Arabian Peninsula.

The president seems more interested and determined to achieve a campaign promise to close GITMO, even if it means releasing committed extremists who will return to the battlefield and eventually kill or publicly behead more Americans.

In our own hemisphere the president has initiated a curious diplomatic opening with Cuba, and doing so without obtaining any real concessions from the Cuban dictatorship. In effect giving legitimacy to and accepting a Cuban regime that has brutalized the Cuban people for sixty years.

While world leaders gathered in Paris in a display of solidarity against terrorism, Barack Obama noticeably remained in the U.S., more concerned with giving speeches about providing a free community college education for all Americans. Another example of where his priorities lie.

For two more years while Barack Obama works on his golf swing, the American people as well as our allies and friends overseas will basically be 'treading water'. All of us impatiently waiting for a new American president. One who will return the United States to its' rightful place of leadership in the Free World.

Where Was Barack?

By: D.W. Wilber

January 15, 2015



In a show of solidarity with the French people both the leaders of Great Britain and of Germany, along with many other heads of state attended a Paris memorial service for the recent victims of the Islamic terrorist attack in Paris. The President of the United States Barack, Obama was noticeably absent.

Attorney General Eric Holder, who just happened to be in Paris on other business was the highest ranking American official in France at the time, but was also not seen at the 'Unity March' being held as part of the memorial services.

Last August Barack Obama sent Attorney General Eric Holder along with three members of the White House staff as his personal representatives to attend Michael Brown's funeral in Ferguson, Missouri. Apparently the deaths of a dozen Frenchmen in Paris murdered by Islamic terrorists doesn't even merit the same courtesy as the death of a thug killed on an American street by the police officer he attacked.

Is Barack Obama tone deaf or he is just sending a subtle, symbolic message ? Is telling the world that he's less concerned about the deaths of citizens from 'one of the former colonial powers that exploited poor Africans', than he is about the death of a young black man at the hands of the racist and oppressive American police ?

In most public comments, the Obama Administration continues to refuse to identify Islamic terrorism by name, and avoids like the plague putting the two

words together in one sentence. Most notably previously describing Islamic terrorism as “Man-Caused Disasters” and “Workplace Violence”, or just plain “terrorism” with no attribution.



Following the Paris attacks even the Egyptian leader has stepped forward and denounced the violence being committed around the world in the name of Islam. Recognizing that Muslim nations share in the responsibility for this wave of violence by tacitly allowing radical Imams to preach violence and hatred in Mosques,

and teaching in madrasas a religion of hatred and violence to Muslim children.

During the Unity March in Paris, the German Chancellor Angela Merkel and British Prime Minister David Cameron walked arm in arm with the French President Francois Hollande. Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, himself well-acquainted with the horrors of Islamic terrorism marched nearby. Barack Obama wasn't there.

One would think that the Obama Administration would recognize this memorial service as not just an appropriate gesture to honor the French and all the victims of terrorism, but also as a potential opportunity to meet with other world leaders to show a united front in the war against extremism. And perhaps even take advantage of this gathering of leaders as an opportunity to develop with other nations an effective strategy in preventing future attacks by ISIS and other Islamic terrorist groups.

Perhaps, but Barack Obama wasn't there.

In fairness to the Obama Administration, it could be that the only senior administration official available to send was Vice President Joe Biden. Considering Biden's history of sticking his foot in his mouth and saying inappropriate things at the wrong occasion, the administration probably choose

wisely in not sending Joe Biden to serve as Barack Obama's personal representative at such a somber occasion.

Curiously though, why wasn't the attorney general seen at the memorial Unity March ? He was in France after all, and it would have seemed an entirely appropriate gesture to have the administration's senior official in France at the time, represent the American people. Yet not only wasn't Barack Obama there, Eric Holder wasn't there either.

Though the United States and France have had their ups and downs over the years, the French have been our allies for over two centuries. Close allies, whose citizens have fought and bled alongside American troops on many battlefields during our own Revolution, the War of 1812, the 'Great War', and World War II.

As an American of French heritage on my maternal side, whose French ancestors fought in the American Revolution and at the Battle of New Orleans during the War of 1812, I'm personally offended by the pathetic display of the Obama Administration during this time of sadness for the people of France.

So while the Obama Administration might fail to deliver a strong, supportive message of solidarity against Islamic terrorism, the American people will stand firmly beside the people of France.

And in this important time when civilized nations must stand together against the evils of Islamic terrorism, we Americans will loudly and proudly proclaim, God bless America, AND 'Via la France' !

Community College 101

By: D.W. Wilber

January 16, 2015



In a feeble effort to try to regain some of his failing momentum for the last two years in office and to court the youth vote for the 2016 elections, President Obama announced a plan to offer two years of education at a community college free to anyone who

wanted to attend. Another one of his ambitious 'pie in the sky' schemes that there's no money to pay for and that has no way of passing Congress anyway.

Continuing his Presidential Campaign well into its' sixth year, the president stumps around the country and offers expensive plans and programs directed at specific potential voting blocs, all presumably financed by the fewer and fewer remaining American taxpayers.

Now he offers a free education there for the taking by any American citizen who wants to avail himself of this offer. The question is how long will it be before this program is also offered to all of the illegal aliens currently living in the United States under the president's recent amnesty executive order ?

'Free College' just like free Obama-Phones is something you can count on the Democrats jumping on the bandwagon and supporting in time for the 2016 campaign to take back the House and Senate. Lacking a vision and leadership, offering 'Free Stuff' is the only way Democrats know how to campaign.

With the price of gasoline hitting new lows not seen or enjoyed by Americans for quite a while politicians from both parties are foaming at the mouth, anxious to slap additional federal taxes on Americans just when we're all finally getting a little breather from the high cost of energy we've been paying for the last several years. No Free Ride' for taxpayers on gas prices !

If you ask the vast majority of Americans whether they want to pay additional taxes on gasoline you'll get a resounding "NO" for an answer. Gasoline isn't something Americans 'want' to buy, it's a necessity of life. It's certainly not a luxury. People who need gas to drive to work at a job every day don't get it for free.

Whatever happened to responsible government of the people, by the people, and for the people ? Are our elected officials so out of touch with real Americans and the challenges we face daily just to make ends meet ? It would seem so.

The president has been a great campaigner for the last six years, but has been noticeably lacking as a true leader of not just the United States, but of the entire Western world. He gives wonderful speeches and talks grand designs with no understanding of what it takes to actually 'govern'. It seems his understanding of what it means to be president begins and ends with giving speeches, making promises, criticizing anyone who disagrees with him, and doing very little else of substance.

Barack Obama's newest campaign to provide free community college completely misses the mark. In America skilled trades are suffering from a lack of skilled tradesmen and well-paying jobs are going unfilled. Excellent careers that can provide a very good income and future for young Americans who aren't afraid of a little hard work.

Barack Obama though is enthralled with a college education since the academic world is where he spent most of his life, and he hasn't a clue about hard work and the trades. It's unnatural for him to suggest that a young person consider NOT going to college, and to look at opportunities that are offered that don't require any college degree.

While a two year college degree might open some doors in the fast food industry for many of the new graduates, a licensed Journeyman Welder, Electrician, or plumber that puts forth the effort can earn a decent wage and live comfortably in today's world.

I Have a Pen

By: D.W. Wilber

January 17, 2015



Elections have consequences, and we won! But to hear Barack Obama it would appear that he is in complete denial about the country's rejection of his policies and presidency back in November of 2014. Or could it be that he just doesn't care what the

American people want ?

Right after the election the president made it clear that he was more swayed by the "two thirds of Americans who didn't vote", than he was by those Americans who voted overwhelmingly against his party. A resounding defeat which was a strong statement against the direction Barack Obama and the progressive Democratic Party have been trying to take this country during the past six years.

With the Republicans taking control of both houses of the legislature the hope was that the gridlock that has plagued Congress for the last several years, choreographed by the Democrat Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid, would come to an end and important legislation would finally be signed into law.

The president has made no secret of his intentions to veto any legislation that he doesn't personally like sent to him by the Republican-controlled Congress and Senate. A rather arrogant stance by any measure.

Now we hear that in a private meeting Barack Obama has told Democrat party leaders that he intends to "go on the offensive" in dealing with the Republican legislature. Mr. President, you and your policies have been offensive for far too long !

Reminiscent of a small boy who gets angry on the playground because he didn't get his way, the president is throwing a political tantrum and threatening Republicans that he will storm off the playground taking his ball with him.

Is this what a majority of the American people elected twice to serve as the leader of our nation ? Someone who has an understanding of the art of compromise, the political give and take practiced so well by Ronald Reagan and Tip O’Neill, or just a spoiled brat who stamps his feet and demands he get his way.

Most Americans were hopeful following the last election that with the exit of Harry Reid responsible individuals were taking control of government, and would work towards passing legislation to help the American people. Now it looks like the next two years promise to be more of the same that we’ve had for the past six years, a country ground to a halt, its’ citizens frustrated and angry at our government’s seeming inability to do anything productive.


A country led by a president who cares little about what the citizens of America want, and who is intent on continuing to pursue his “fundamental transformation” of the United States into something unrecognizable to Americans, or to most of our allies around the world.

Much like that little boy who gets angry on the playground and throws a tantrum, it is long past time for Barack Obama, his ink pen, and his bad attitude to be placed in ‘time out’.

Treading Water

By: D.W. Wilber

January 13, 2015



During his presidency and throughout his political career former President Richard Nixon was derisively tagged by the liberal media as “Tricky Dick” for his supposed record of deceit and dishonesty. It was a nickname deserved or not that stuck with Nixon after his

resignation from the presidency and into his forced retirement.

Fast forward to the year 2015 and it would seem that the appellation “Tricky” would more appropriately fit the current resident of the White House, Barack Obama. In fact Obama’s record of deceit and dishonesty both precedes his taking up residence at 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, and has continued unabated for six years.

Barack Obama’s entire career appears to have been one of deceit, from his denial of his close association with the anti-American ‘Reverend’ Jeremiah Wright to his friendship with domestic terrorist, Bill Ayers. Barack Obama was dishonest about these relationships during his first campaign for the presidency and he has continued his many canards up to the present.

During his 2008 campaign Barack Obama worked diligently at passing himself off as a moderate-to-just left of center politician, but certainly not the extreme leftist politician that he revealed himself to be once he took office. During his brief conversation with Joe the Plumber he inadvertently let slip his true intentions to “spread the wealth” if elected. But his campaign along with a pliant news media quickly went to work to downplay this exchange.

Once taking office Obama was quick to display his true ideology and agenda. Anti-rich, anti-police, anti-military, anti-American. After all, he told us he wanted to “fundamentally transform” the United States didn’t he ?

In the incident involving Harvard Professor Henry Louis Gates, without having any firsthand knowledge of what happened he quickly claimed that “the police acted stupidly”. His continuous attacks on those who are successful remains unrestrained. And he has forced a social agenda onto the military allowing openly gay individuals to serve, and to open front line combat units to women.

Rushing to claim ‘symbolic parenthood’ to Trayvon Martin, the president also sent White House representatives to attend the funeral of Michael Brown, an eighteen-year-old thug killed after committing a robbery and then attacking a police officer, and inviting the fraud Al Sharpton to be a trusted White House advisor. Obama’s racial politics are obvious.

Not to offend Muslims the terrorist attack at Ft. Hood was and has ever since been referred to as “workplace violence” even though the Muslim shooter was yelling “Allahu Akbar” while blasting away at unarmed soldiers.

From free ‘ObamaPhones’ and ‘Cash for Clunkers’, to ‘ObamaCare’ and now ‘Free Community College for everyone’, Barack Obama has engaged in a spending binge on an unparalleled level and has waged an ongoing war against “the rich” at every opportunity.

Implying that the poor and middle class aren’t afforded a “fair shot” at success because of “the rich”, and calling for tax increases on “the rich” every chance he gets. The bottom line is Barack Obama has never seen a tax increase he didn’t like, nor a wealthy person that he did.

While his liberalism was obvious for all to see in 2008, no one could foresee just how extreme his liberalism would become apparent once he took office. This he was determined to conceal from the American people.

His State of the Union Address Tuesday night continued with attacks against “The Rich”, once again engaging in class warfare and trying to gin up support for Democrats in the 2016 elections.

Barack Obama has indeed concealed many tricks up his sleeve since taking office, and the next two years promise to be a continuation of the same. Tricky indeed.

Obama's 'Tough Talk' on Iran is a Joke

D.W. Wilber

January 27, 2015



As I sit down to write this, I'm thinking about the recent SOTU address given by Barack Obama and his comments regarding the negotiations with the Islamic Republic of Iran, and the

president's threats to Republicans to veto any new sanctions against the Iranians.

Barack Obama has previously used 'tough talk' against the Iranians, admonishing Iran not to misjudge America's commitment to preventing a nuclear Iran.

Unfortunately, considering the complete lack of respect that the Iranian leadership has for Mr. Obama and for America in general, the 'tough talk' clearly has fallen on deaf ears.

So that people understand what we're dealing with, I would like to state that the Islamic Republic of Iran has been at war with the United States for thirty years, and for the most part, with little, if any, consequences for their aggressive posture. Countless Americans, both military and civilians, have died at the hands of Iranians and their proxies over these decades. Countless more will die in the future.

Iran is committed not just to the departure of the U.S. from the Middle East, but to the complete destruction of our nation and society. In Iran's eyes, we are a weak and decadent people, 'the Great Satan'. The Iranian leadership views us as a nation of weaklings and deviants who are unwilling and incapable of stopping the Iranian worldwide revolution, which is underway.

The leadership of Iran has been patient and willing to conduct the type of war against us that they have been pursuing for the past three decades, completely convinced in their ultimate victory.

Why shouldn't they be convinced? In the past thirty years, over several American presidential administrations, they have witnessed a complete lack of commitment, on the part of the U.S., to confront them. They have seen American presidents from Jimmy Carter to Barack Obama wring their hands not knowing how to stop the Iranian threat.

So, what is the ultimate goal of Iran? The short and simple answer is that they are committed to spreading their Islamic Revolution around the world. The Iranian leadership of, 'Twelvers', believe that a cataclysmic event will hasten the return of their revered 12th Imam, who disappeared several hundred years ago.

They not only wish for an event to occur, but are actively pursuing the types of policies that will create the cataclysm which will bring about the return of the 12th Imam. Further, according to their beliefs, once the 12th Imam returns, Islam will spread around the world.

What will happen then? Americans will either embrace the Iranian Islamic faith or be destroyed or enslaved. Christianity, Judaism, Buddhism and all other religions will no longer exist. The only religion that will be tolerated is the Muslim faith, governed by the Iranian version of Sharia Law. Democracy? A thing of the past. Democracy is inconsistent with Islam. Your life will be controlled by Mullahs who will monitor every aspect of society ready to step in and condemn anything they decree to be 'un-Islamic'.

How will our culture be affected? Hollywood better watch out! No performance of any sort that is also viewed by the ruling Mullahs as 'un-Islamic' will be allowed. Homosexuality? A death sentence. Islam clearly states that homosexuals should be killed.

Iran even held a rally of support for the so-called, 'Occupy Wall Street' movement, a few years ago. One wonders why they would have such an interest in these and other protests occurring across the U.S. They certainly could care less about economic or racial fairness. In their economic world view, fairness for 'infidels' is, again, inconsistent with Islam. Islam teaches that the property of infidels can be

taken freely and without consequence. Islam allows one to lie, cheat and steal from any infidel.

So, where do we go from here? We must confront Iran to stop them cold in their tracks. We either do that, or we surrender now. There is no third choice.

Negotiations with Iran will be fruitless since Iran will agree to anything they think will buy them more time while they continue to pursue their goals, having absolutely no intention of abiding by any agreement. Remember, Islam allows Muslims to lie, cheat and steal from infidels.

Wake up America. It's time to choose what you want for your children and your grandchildren's future. A world dominated by Iran and its extremist Mullahs? Or an America that continues to flourish as a beacon of freedom, hope and tolerance?

Enough with the veto threats, it's time to face a hard truth: Iran cannot be trusted to give up their nuclear ambitions and no 'agreement' will be worth the paper it's written on. 'BiBi' Netanyahu understands, and that is why America so desperately needs to carefully listen to him when he addresses Congress in March.

Angry ?

By: D.W. Wilber

January 29, 2015



Former Democratic presidential aspirant Howard Dean thinks that the Americans who have been going in droves to see the Clint Eastwood movie American Sniper are “angry”, apparently taking a page from the Barack Obama 2008 campaign when

then candidate Obama said that Americans “cling to their religion and guns”.

Joined by Hollywood has-been Michael Moore who has ‘doubled-down on his previous comments calling military snipers “cowards”, it appears that the liberal left is becoming unhinged once again by the fact that politically America is predominantly a ‘center-right’ country who values our military heroes.

Indeed we do cling to our religion which guides us and gives us strength in times of need, and faced with the Obama Administration and its attempts to undermine the U.S. Constitution and force an extreme leftist liberal agenda down Americans throats, we also cling tightly to our guns. Our guns are one of the guarantees we have against the left’s attempts to completely abrogate our Constitutional protections.

In poll after poll Americans have revealed themselves to be politically centrist or conservative for the most part, and certainly not extreme leftists like Barack Obama or his flaming liberal Hollywood supporters like Michael Moore and Bill Maher.

Americans by and large prefer smaller government and less government intrusion into their lives. More than anything they just want to be left alone and allowed an opportunity to prosper. They don’t mind lending a hand to the less fortunate but

they aren't interested in watching their tax bills balloon in order to provide services for those who have made a career out of Welfare.

Hearing comments like that sends liberals into a full-throttled, foaming-at-the-mouth, stuttering, sputtering hyper-fit, since liberals LIVE to interfere with other people's business. It gives them validation when they can totally screw up another person's life.

The fact that American Sniper has been breaking all records should send a message to those in the film industry on the West Coast. Americans are tired of Hollywood's one-sided, always negative portrayal of the American military. Americans want more balance and accuracy and less ideology and pontification from the left.

We don't mind the truth being told, as sometimes the truth in war is ugly. But we want our military given the respect and courtesy they've earned by putting their lives on the line so that people like Michael Moore can continue to make films that will never come close to breaking American Sniper's box office records.

Adios Al

By: D.W. Wilber

January 29, 2015



Now that the dust and ashes have settled in Ferguson following the decision by the Department of Justice not to pursue any criminal charges against Officer Darren Wilson for civil rights violations in the shooting of Michael Brown, perhaps it's time

for some reflection on the part of the media on whether or not they should continue their on-air relationship with one Al Sharpton.

As we all remember, immediately following the shooting of Michel Brown in the St. Louis County community of Ferguson Al Sharpton rolled into town with his one-man circus and fanned the flames of racial unrest. Unrest that indeed resulted in flames with the burning and looting of whole city blocks in this small suburban city.

While he would be loath to admit it Sharpton knew from the very beginning that the shooting of Michael Brown was not a 'racial incident'. Sharpton knew that Ferguson Police Officer Darren Wilson fired in defense of his own life the fatal shots that killed Mike Brown, and NOT because Mike Brown was a young black man. Even with the investigation far from over the pertinent facts were clearly there for all with an open mind to see.

While Sharp-ton might not be the sharp-est knife in the kitchen drawer, even with his diminished capacity for rational thought (or is it his lack of honesty), he clearly knew this was nothing more than a case of a young man who made some very bad choices. Starting with his getting high on marijuana and then committing the robbery of a convenience store and roughing up the manager, Brown's decision-making that day was a typical example of the way of thinking of some young black males after being raised in a dysfunctional community.

The unfortunate fact is that in many predominantly black communities young men are often forced to grow up hard and mean on the streets where the only influences they have are from other young men who also grew up hard and mean on the streets. And all too often growing up unsupervised because their mothers are forced to work multiple jobs to make ends meet because they receive no help from absent fathers.

Sharpton is well aware of these facts yet he chooses to inject the specter of racism into every situation or incident so he can try to maintain his own relevancy in a society that is rapidly growing tired of his old, stale game.

The news media knows what Al Sharpton is yet they regularly set aside time on their programming schedule providing a venue for his antics whenever he arrives in a community that experienced another tragic death of a young man who made poor choices.

So until the news media stops playing his game the Al Sharpton Road Show will continue to roll into communities where he isn't welcome, and where he contributes nothing to the discourse or discussion on what needs to be done to change the future (or lack thereof), for far too many young black men in America. All the while lining his pockets with as much money as he can scam from the grieving families and friends.

The sooner Al Sharpton is relegated to standing anonymously on a street corner holding a tin cup and sign that reads "Please help, scams don't work anymore", the better for America.

Explaining Obama's Obsession

By: D.W. Wilber

February 16, 2015



The fact that Barack Obama appears almost obsessed with the earth's perfectly normal climate-changing patterns, but the myth of 'climate change' has nothing to do with him being a champion of the

environment at all. It has nothing to do with Barack Obama being a believer in or falling for former Vice President Al Gore's greatest con job. The explanation is really very simple. Climate change fits into the Obama agenda for "fundamentally transforming" the United States of America.

His goal all along has been to change the United States from its position as the world economic and military leader, and to knock America down a peg or two into just being another member of the world community, exceptional only in how all other countries are "exceptional in their own way".

And just why is Barack Obama so determined to remove America from its place as the world's super power and leader? It's because Barack Obama basically despises everything America is, he hates America's history and our rich heritage. In the eyes of Barack Obama and the people he has surrounded himself with in his administration, America has been a force for evil and oppression and not a beacon of freedom in the world.

Growing up in Indonesia Barack Obama was never exposed in school to the richness of American history. He never learned about the American Revolution and how we broke away from our British oppressors. He hasn't a clue about Manifest Destiny and our expansion west across a vast continent into uncharted

territories. The American pioneer spirit and our great American story was unknown to young Barack. He grew up hearing only the Muslim call to prayer as a young boy.

Once he eventually made it to the United States his only influences were from staunch Marxists who viewed America with disdain, and who saw the U.S. as nothing more than a nation of racists who discriminated against others with dark skin like Barack Obama. Those were the people who influenced Barack Obama's young mind during his formative years.

Isn't it curious that one rarely hears Barack Obama talk lovingly and at length of the mother who bore him ? One just doesn't hear the president discuss his youth growing up, and really very little is known about his time as a young boy overseas or in the United States.

Other than the fact that he spent a great deal of time being raised by his grandparents both of whom were avowed communists. In fact Barack Obama was indoctrinated growing up and during his formative years by a bevy of leftists. So why in the world would one expect him to be any different ?

Proclaiming "climate change" as the greatest threat we face, far above the threat from terrorism or the Russians and Chinese all plays into Obama's grand scheme. When you cripple industry with countless regulations, many based on the myth of climate change, you disrupt the American economy. When you disrupt the American economy you put people out of work and make them dependent on the government.

And when you make people dependent on the government for their very existence you create a population of minions. People who will blindly obey and follow you so as not to lose the government largesse they receive and need for their very survival.

Freedom and self-determination is a concept that is foreign to the 'Obamunists' way of thinking. The myth of climate change isn't at all about his legitimate concern for our environment. The myth of climate change is once again all about the Barack Obama Administration's determination to "fundamentally transform the United State of America". And to control the American people.

Secrets Revealed Again

By: D.W. Wilber

February 24, 2015



During a PBS program In May 2011, the Obama Administration White House Coordinator for Arms Control and Weapons of Mass Destruction Gary Samore was quoted, "we're glad the Iranians are having

trouble with their centrifuge machine and we are doing everything we can to make sure that we complicate matters for them".

With a wink and a nod Samore basically acknowledged U.S. involvement in the computer virus which caused extensive damage to computers used by Iran in their nuclear research efforts, and which has helped to significantly delay Iran achieving their goal of developing a nuclear weapon.

Unfortunately as has been typical of this administration, much like a small child who is told a 'secret' by a classmate in grammar school and who is just bursting inside wanting to share the secret with others, the Obama Administration doesn't seem to understand that once a 'secret' is revealed it's no longer a secret.

Throughout the past six years this president and his sycophants have repeatedly revealed highly classified details about military or intelligence operations, apparently in some kind of desperate attempt to show the American people and the world how 'rough and tough' they are and that they're fully engaged in the defense of the United States of America.

Little regard has been paid by the administration or their allies in the news media to what the unintended consequences might be as a result of highly sensitive information becoming public knowledge. The administration seems to think that

only Americans read American newspapers or watch American television. Unfortunately the liberal media is all too eager to help the Obama Administration try to sell their brand of snake-oil to the American people.

No one from the media or the Obama Administration asks the men and women who are placed in harm's way how they feel when they see on the evening news highly classified secrets that would put them in jail for life if they were to make them public.

Information that once disclosed places at risk the lives of American service members involved in sensitive special operations. No one in the Obama Administration has apparently heard nor pays any heed to the old adage that "loose lips sink ships".

At times it seems that their main concern about the military and Special Operations in particular is how they can lower the standards of our elite forces so that women can gain entry into these specialized combat arms groups.

Undoubtedly should that happen someday one can be certain it will be ballyhooed throughout the media, and once again placing at risk the very lives of not just the individual they want to celebrate, but all of her colleagues as well.

That brings us to the recent announcement that we will conduct offensive military operations to take back the Iraqi city of Mosul. While I'm sure the American people have an interest in what Barack Obama intends to do to fix what he screwed up by failing to reach an agreement that allowed us to keep some military in Iraq as a stabilizing and rapid response force. But so too do the ISIS terrorists have an interest in what our plans are.

The better for them to make plans to provide a very warm reception for our forces as we begin our operations to retake Mosul. I suspect a warm reception will be waiting on every street corner of Mosul as our military enters the beleaguered city. And the cost in American lives will be significant.

Obama's weakness, incompetence, and indecision as a leader only breeds contempt and aggression from our enemies. Now we even have ISLAMIC terrorists threatening more attacks against the "Mall of America" and other potential targets within the American homeland.

One would think that the Obama Administration would be very adept at protecting sensitive information, considering how effective they've been at hiding Barack Obama's college records, and how his radical history and associates concealed or lied about during his first campaign for the presidency.

Considering his record of executive actions and memorandums, and the next ones he has planned in order to circumvent Congress, sometimes Barack Obama's been real adept at keeping secrets. Just not the secrets he should be protecting to keep from placing American lives at risk.

Reforming ISIS

By: D.W. Wilber

February 25, 2015



With the Obama Administration's recent suggestions that we need to look at economic issues for what is driving ISLAMIC terrorist recruitment, perhaps we need to not only consider a jobs

program but some other options as a way to lure budding young ISLAMIC terrorists away from a life of depravity.

Would a Jihadi Youth Center help discourage young MUSLIM men from pursuing the life of an ISLAMIC terrorist ? They could all gather together in fellowship and sit around and discuss philosophy instead of how is the best way to saw off someone's head.

Instead of 'Cash for Clunkers' the administration could support a 'gun buy-back program', such as those that have met with such tremendous success(?) in cities across America. An ISLAMIC terrorist could bring their AK-47 into one of the local Iraqi police stations that are still standing, and turn his weapon in for a voucher good for one goat.

This would serve a dual purpose by giving the former ISLAMIC terrorist an opportunity to build his own flock to earn a living with, as well as provide companionship on those cold and lonely nights in the desert.

Considering our open borders under the Obama Administration, Jihadis are free to slip across from Mexico and easily reach the wide open spaces of the American

Great Plains, where 'Green' Conservation Camps can be built to replace the terrorist training camps they run in Iraq and Syria.

It seems the Obama Administration's answer to the root causes of terrorism are being attributed to anything but what it really is, that which is caused by evil and corrupt madrasas and Mosques where ISLAMIC Mosque Leaders fill the heads of young Muslims with hatred.

The catch phrases and liberal logic used by this administration to try to explain away how literally tens of millions of Muslims can be brainwashed into believing that God wants them to hold hatred towards other religions and other human beings is falling on deaf ears.

Americans know that it's not proper to abuse, rape, and treat women like something less than domestic animals. And that the butchering, beheading, and burning people alive is certainly not doing God's bidding.

And we know that the lies and disinformation that continue to emanate from the Obama Administration about terrorism being a "violent extremist" problem, and not a problem within ISLAM itself are complete and utter B.S.

As Barack Obama begins to send American service members back into Iraq to deal with the rise of ISIS, a problem created by Barack Obama himself for being so much in a hurry to get the U.S. out of Iraq, the American people are owed straight talk and a straight answer by the "most transparent administration in history".

ISLAMIC terrorists are the ones who are planning to attack America and other Western nations again. ISLAMIC terrorists are the ones that we must defeat in order to make the world a safer place.

By failing to publicly identify and admit that the terrorist threat the world faces is primarily one emanating from ISLAM, the Obama Administration does a disservice to all the good, decent Muslims of the world, and to the American people whose sons and daughters are once again heading into harm's way.

Rudi Was Right

By: D.W. Wilber

February 26, 2015



We can't say that Barack Obama hasn't warned us. While running for his first term as president he clearly told us that he intended to "fundamentally transform" the United States of America. The

naïve among us took that proclamation to mean something positive.

Those who looked at Obama's history with a little more discernment were very uncomfortable with exactly what Barack Obama intended to do if elected. Promising to "fundamentally transform" was a rather vague and ambiguous statement, unless one took a close look at who he associated with throughout his life. It then quickly sent chills down one's spine.

Following the 2014 election in which Barack Obama's party took a drubbing the president was quick to let everyone know that he could care less what a large majority of voters had to say about his policies. He told us he was going to "listen to the two-thirds who didn't vote". In other words the hell with elections, it was going to be 'full speed ahead' for Barack Obama and his "fundamental transformation".

Just recently the president was quoted saying, "two years is a long time. And two years is also the time in which we're going to be setting the stage for the next presidential election and the next 10 years of American policy, and so I intend to run through the tape and work really hard, and squeeze every last little bit of change".

If anyone thought that Barack Obama was going to rest on his laurels, such as they are, and focus on planning his presidential library, padding his bank account by giving speeches, and make campaign appearances for Hillary Clinton (if invited), they were surely wrong.

Barack Obama is on a mission. A mission to complete the transformation he began back in 2009, and change America from being the world's only super power and economic leader into just another less than exceptional bunch of inhabitants of the planet Earth.

Any thought that the former community organizer might mature into the role of a president was clearly misplaced. Continuing to drive wedges between groups of Americans as one of his tactics Obama almost seems to revel in creating political and social unrest, pitting citizens against each other.

His skill at generating civil disobedience clearly trumping any hope Americans had for even a modicum of presidential leadership. There is a big difference between being a rabble rouser and being a statesman.

Former New York Mayor Rudy Giuliani has come under recent criticism for questioning Barack Obama's love for this country, but unfortunately 'America's Mayor' is spot on in his assessment. Barack Obama and his inner circle possess a world view in which the United States of America is held in contempt. Why else would someone want to "fundamentally transform" it ?

Make no mistake, Barack Obama is hell bent on spending the next two years doing whatever he can to complete his plans for America and to make those fundamental changes permanent. Regardless of who follows him into the presidency Barack Obama is going to leave behind an economy in shambles, a military weakened by his social experimentation, and the Constitution of the United States of America just another piece of paper.

They Lie to Me All the Time

By: D.W. Wilber

March 6, 2015



When one looks at the depth and breadth of former House Speaker Nancy Pelosi's lies while in congress it is truly astounding to me that she has remained in office for so many years.

Much less how she has been able to avoid taking up residence in an eight by ten foot jail cell in a federal penitentiary.

Either Nancy Pelosi has lied repeatedly to the American people, or she is absolutely the dumbest and most incompetent and unqualified person in history to ever hold such a high public office.

As an example, while still holding the office of Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi was briefed multiple times and was fully aware of the efforts being made on behalf of the American people by our intelligence professionals to collect valuable information from captured terrorists. Information that very well may have helped to prevent additional attacks and save American lives.

Part of that effort involved the use of legally approved 'Enhanced Interrogation Techniques', commonly referred to as EIT's. These tactics were reviewed by the U.S. Justice Department and approved as tactics that could be legally administered during interrogation efforts against terrorist detainees.

Yet after Barack Obama became president and the Eric Holder Justice Department began to make noise about the possible prosecution of career CIA officers who

had been involved in interrogations and in using EIT's, it was reported that those same tactics had been briefed to the House Intelligence Oversight Committee. Briefings attended by then Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi. And during these briefings no objections were voiced about the use of EIT's by Nancy Pelosi or anyone else.

When confronted with this revelation Nancy Pelosi denied ever hearing about the so-called EIT's during these briefings, topping off her denials by accusing the CIA of "lying". Speaker Pelosi went on to claim during a well scripted press conference that the CIA "misled" her. When she was questioned if she was accusing the CIA of lying to her, Pelosi replied, "Yes".

Most people know, particularly those who work in the federal government that it is against the law to lie to a congressional committee. Particularly to lie repeatedly as Ms. Pelosi has accused the CIA of doing.

Yet when she was challenged by House Minority Leader John Boehner to "put up or shut up" about her allegations of CIA lying, Speaker Pelosi declined to do so. Which would lead any rational thinking person to come to the conclusion that either Speaker Pelosi was herself lying about this whole affair, or that she was so completely incompetent as to disqualify her from even holding the office of 'State Cosmetology Licensure Director', much less Speaker of the House of Representatives of the United States of America. And third in line to the presidency of this nation.

Pelosi's false claims of CIA lying aside, who can forget her loudly and proudly proclaiming that "we have to pass the bill, so we can find out what's in it", when discussing the so-called Affordable Care Act. Another perfect example of just how much of a prevaricator or complete incompetent Pelosi truly is.

While she remains politically safe in her California congressional district since those who have repeatedly elected her have shown their own incompetence by doing so, Ms. Pelosi has repeatedly shown the American people outside her district of how she is an example of everything that is rotten with politics in America today.

One can only hope that one day when Pelosi once again stumbles over her own words and clearly displays her stupidity, or yet again falsely accuses career public servants of misconduct to cover for her own culpability, that Ms. Pelosi will be

held accountable if not by her constituents, then by the U.S. Department of Justice.

And then perhaps we'll be treated to the sight of Nancy Pelosi's wild-eyed, deer-in-the-headlights look as she is being led away in handcuffs, doing a very slow 'perp walk' on national television.

Feminist Desperation

By: D.W. Wilber

March 9, 2015



The leftist feminist movement in this country has been desperate now for decades to try to finally get a woman into the office of President of the United States. Long ago they hitched their wagon to Hillary Clinton, thinking that she would be the one who would eventually achieve this goal.

Though the only thing they achieved by placing all their bets on Hillary was to completely overlook other potential candidates who were at least as qualified if not much more qualified, and who did not come with the personal baggage and other shortcomings that Hillary has.

Take for instance the very fact that Hillary stood by and allowed herself to be repeatedly humiliated by her philandering husband throughout their entire sham marriage. Hillary's willingness to accept Bill's sexual perversions and sexual assaults against other defenseless women should have made her uniquely UNQUALIFIED to serve as a standard bearer for the feminist movement in the U.S.

Her character flaws and lack of judgment exhibited throughout her private and public life continue to crop up and raise questions about her integrity and honesty, much less about her judgment to make sound decisions.

In the case of Benghazi it's obvious that she flubbed that "three o'clock in the morning phone call" she bragged about during her campaign against Barack Obama, claiming then that her "experience" made her much more prepared to handle such a call.

Beaming with pride she famously hit a "re-set button" with Vladimir Putin's resurgent Russia only to have Putin almost immediately start to take advantage of Hillary and her boss Barack Obama's naiveté. Her four year tenure as secretary of state was without a single notable accomplishment, other than racking up a lot of frequent flier miles.

Other potential women candidates have been fairly well intimidated into not even pursuing the office of president for the Democrat Party, which by the way is the only party that a female contemplating running for president can belong to since any other females who might run under a Republican banner don't truly represent women in America, as far as the leftist feminists are concerned.

Since Hillary has already been pre-ordained as the only woman candidate acceptable to feminists, any other woman who dared contemplate going up against Hillary could find themselves banished from 'womanhood' by those feminists so desperate to elect Hillary.

For a couple of decades now Hillary Clinton has been proclaimed as 'the smartest woman in the world', when in reality considering her repeated lack of judgment over the years, one would seriously question whether she's smart at all.

How can one person continue to make dumb decisions over and over and over again, and still be considered the smartest person to represent one's group is beyond me. That's rather insulting to others from that group who seem much smarter than Hillary, and who certainly haven't made all the boneheaded decisions Hillary has over the years.

It would seem to me that Hillary's questionable character as exhibited repeatedly throughout her professional life, as well as the unsavory people she surrounds herself with, and not to mention the predatory sexual history of her so-called husband, makes her one of the most unacceptable people ever to be considered for the office of the president. Unless one is a leftist feminist or member of the Democrat Party.

But since feminists are so desperate to get a woman elected president that they are willing to overlook Hillary's character flaws, lack of judgment, lack of achieving anything notable, and her failure to her own sex as she stood by over the years and allowed her husband Bill to prey on other women, she will most likely be their standard bearer in 2016.

That Hillary is the best the feminist movement can come up with is what is truly insulting to American women.

In Defense of Racist Cops

By: D.W. Wilber

March 10, 2015



To hear Barack Obama and Eric Holder tell it law enforcement in the United States is overrun with white-sheet-wearing, dyed-in-the-wool racist, sexist, and homophobic cops bent on violating the Constitutional rights of every person of color

they encounter. They present the false image of racist cops who go out on 'search and destroy' missions, regularly looking for minorities to abuse and discriminate against.

The president and his attorney general imply that policing in America in this day and age consists of nothing more than officers picking on poor, unfortunate, and innocent black people who are minding their own business, just so a community can keep the city coffers full.

*Ever wonder how politicians make their money in the stock markets? **I can help you unlock that secret.** Studies show that members of Congress and their staff enjoy HUGE benefits over average investors when it comes to the stock market. Get your FREE report from me, **John Ransom**, on how to make money on what insiders know right here: <http://www.FreeRansomReport.com>*

Perhaps it's time to take a look at exactly what those racist cops are doing out there on America's streets to generate so much animus. And why policing in America has come under so much fire from the Obama Administration and others

who base their findings on a relatively small, yet well publicized number of incidents involving the police and minorities across this land.

Take Ferguson for instance. Two police officers out of a police force of fifty-two sworn officers recently resigned for making and sharing obviously racist comments in a departmental e-mail which was discovered during the Department of Justice's investigation into the Ferguson Police Department, following the 'fully justified' shooting of Michael Brown by Ferguson Police Officer Darren Wilson.

Two officers out of fifty-two doesn't seem at all to me to be representative of a police department overrun by raving racist lunatics. And the instance described in the DoJ report certainly doesn't provide evidence of unfair and racist enforcement of the law on the part of the two officers involved.

The fact that a larger percentage of minorities were issued traffic citations or arrested than their percentage of the population might have something to do with the fact that this particular area of North St. Louis County has a much larger percentage of blacks residing in or passing through than other areas. In fact, due to the high crime rate it's a part of St. Louis County that many people of all races just simply avoid.

There are also some smaller municipalities and unincorporated areas within the vicinity of Ferguson that have a far higher percentage of blacks residing in them than does Ferguson. It's certainly reasonable to believe that those individuals might also pass through Ferguson on one of the major thoroughfares that transit the community.

The residents of north St. Louis City, a predominantly black and high crime area which is a short distance from Ferguson also needs to be taken into consideration, as those individuals would also necessarily transit Ferguson on a fairly regular basis.

But the "facts" released by the DoJ alone do not indicate that racism is running rampant on the Ferguson Police Department. Contrary to what Eric Holder and Barack Obama would want one to believe. Have there been an inordinate number of complaints against the police force by individuals who claimed racism ?

Is it the fault of the police department that blacks who have met the qualifications and requirements have not applied for jobs as police officers in Ferguson ? If a wealthier community in another part of St. Louis County is also concerned about

the 'diversity' of their own police force and they can pay higher salaries to recruit officers away, is that also the fault of the Ferguson Police Department ? Or is it just simple economics, and no indication of racism on the part of Ferguson officials ?

Certainly there are individuals of all ethnicities who are indeed racists. One could make the case that Al Sharpton and Louis Farrakhan exhibit overt racism against white people on a fairly regular basis. And in fact wasn't it also racism committed against Officer Darren Wilson by those black "witnesses" who fabricated the "hands up don't shoot" lie that changed his life forever, and caused the rioting in Ferguson ?

While incidents of racism do in fact occur and are committed by people of ALL races, institutional racism has long been a thing of the past. Were it not, would we ever have elected the first black president ?

When residents of a community complain about crime, including nuisance offenses that affect the quality of life in a neighborhood, police departments respond with aggressive policing. It's not "profiling" when blacks are targeted and the community is predominantly black, and the vast majority of crimes being committed against that community are being committed by black offenders. It's just good police work.

Aggressive policing results in citations, summons, and arrests, which is not at all indicative of some out of control racist police officers, but is reflective of the police department's efforts to maintain some control over the streets and address the problems which good, decent citizens are asking the police for help in dealing with.

While outside agitators like the New Black Panther Party helped wreak havoc in Ferguson, others who didn't give a damn about Michael Brown were there simply to take advantage of an opportunity to loot area businesses.

Many critics in the media decry the "militaristic tactics" used by the police during the rioting. But to do so without any real understanding of what was actually occurring on the streets of Ferguson during those times is unfair.

Police officers were being shot at repeatedly by snipers. They had rocks, bricks and Molotov Cocktails thrown at them. They were faced with an extremely aggressive crowd which was being choreographed by radical Black Panthers and

other anarchists from out of town, who were there for the express purpose of creating a riot and to kill a police officer. And then possibly provoke an even stronger police response in order to hopefully spread their civil unrest across the area, and even across the country.

Police officers have rights too ! They have the right to go home to their loved ones unharmed at the end of their shift. They have the right to expect people to obey the laws that the police are entrusted to enforce. They have the right to be treated with respect and dignity.

Sitting in the comfort of a television studio a thousand miles away, talking heads on Fox News, CNN and all the other networks decried the police response to the rioting. And other news outlets even convicted Officer Darren Wilson long before the investigation had been completed.

I wonder if those talking heads would feel the same way if one of their loved ones was a police officer placed in Darren Wilson's difficult situation, or served their community as an officer standing the line against complete anarchy on the streets of America.

Maybe the next time police officers are called upon to try to quell an out of control situation which places innocent people's lives at risk, those who have the benefit of time and reflection to second-guess the police will perhaps take a deep breath and think about the reality of the situation before they criticize the police for doing what's necessary to protect and serve.

And just maybe once the Obama Administration is gone this 'War on Police' engineered by Barack Obama, Eric Holder, and the Democrat Party will end. And then real progress can be made to undo the damage that has been done over the last six years to race relations here in America.

E-Mail Gate

By: D.W. Wilber

March 11, 2015



With the recent revelations that Hillary Clinton used a personal e-mail to conduct official business during her tenure as secretary of state, one wonders what's next for the former first lady/senator/cabinet secretary, who has always been protective

of her privacy. Or as many would say, for someone who has always gone to extraordinary lengths to conceal her activities.

Should she actually survive her gaffe-filled campaign and be elected president one can one day almost envision Hillary seated behind her desk in the Oval Office wearing a pair of headsets with a code pad nearby, as she taps out a message in Morse code. Once again trying to keep any records of her activities to a minimum, and consequently also any accountability for what she does.

Using her little combinations of dots and dashes Hillary will sit there tapping out code while conducting high level government business, as she glances pensively towards the door leading to the small room off the Oval Office where her husband once conducted his own business with a White House intern.

Eventually though even this effort at suppression will come to light and Hillary will once again have to defend her actions. Sending out her minions and sycophants who will offer the same lame excuses, and once again dismiss it all as "old news". All of which will be lapped up by the Clinton lap-dog liberal news media who are fully vested in protecting Hillary no matter what the cost.

Hillary's history of non-transparency, despite Barack Obama's claims that his administration has been the most transparent in history, makes her denials of doing anything wrong a little tough to swallow.

Starting with covering for her husband's sexual excesses while governor of Arkansas, to her cattle futures investment, the missing-then found Rose Law Firm billing records, right up to her promise to find that guy whose video was behind the Benghazi attacks. To use some computer terminology Hillary Clinton's 'default' has been to obfuscate, lie and deceive. Anything but tell the truth !

Should Hillary come through the Select Committee on Benghazi investigation unscathed it won't be because she didn't do anything wrong. It'll simply be because she was able to play the delay game long enough to destroy the evidence needed to convict her. She won't even have to claim that she fell and bumped her head this time.

So after all is said and done regarding Hillary's newest 'E-Mail-Gate' scandal, what's next for Hillary Clinton in her efforts to keep her activities secret and keep the American people in the dark? Two tin cans and a length of string?

The Iranian Threat Continues to Grow Unabated

By: D.W. Wilber

March 12, 2015



The leadership of the Islamic Republic of Iran has made no secret of the fact that they still view the United States as "The Great Satan", and they believe that the United States will be eventually destroyed.

Pursuing that ultimate goal Iran has been at war with the United States for over thirty years, a war that we have refused to acknowledge through both Republican and Democrat administrations over decades.

Iran also views the support the U.S. gives to Israel as the only thing preventing the complete destruction of the "Zionist Regime", which Iran has repeatedly threatened in the past. And against whom they have made repeated statements that Israel should be "wiped off the map".

Is it too great of a leap to think that if Iran can drive a wedge between Israel and the United States to the point that we reduce or stop providing the same level of support to Israel that we have been providing, that Israel can then finally be "wiped off the map" ?

Rest assured that Iran's fingerprints will never be found on any attack against the United States inside our own borders. They will use a proxy to do their bidding for them. There is a large amount of evidence indicating that Iran has been hosting members of Al Qaeda ever since the U.S. invaded Afghanistan;

Evidence also indicates that Iran has provided training and materiel support to terrorist organizations, including Al Qaeda for years. And the Islamic Republic of

Iran is responsible for the deaths of many U.S. soldiers in Iraq and Afghanistan, as well as terrorist attacks aimed at Jewish and Israeli interests around the world. Iran's proxy Hezbollah has committed countless terrorist attacks, and has helped kill more Americans than any other terrorist organization prior to the September 11th attacks;

It certainly is a legitimate argument that Iran will continue to use proxies and other resources to confront U.S. interests overseas, and perhaps conduct attacks within the United States should our efforts to stop the Iranian nuclear program result in a failure to curb their appetite for nuclear weapons, and cause the U.S. to resort to harsher measures.

The bottom line is the Obama Administration doesn't have the intestinal fortitude to go 'toe to toe' with Iran. Americans also are war weary after nearly ten years of war in Afghanistan and Iraq, and justifiably so.

Those wars have cost billions and billions in treasure we cannot afford, not to mention the most valuable treasure we possess, the cost in the lives of our sons and daughters. So understandably Americans are hesitant to get involved in another conflict in the Middle East. And that is exactly what the Islamic Republic of Iran is counting on.

But are we prepared to just sit back and "hope they go away", as it appears the Obama Administration is inclined to do? The prevailing thought among the Obamunists seems to be "if we just leave them alone, they'll leave us alone". At least until Barack Obama leaves office, and after that he doesn't really care what happens to this country.

The reality is that the United States has two options. We can face the Iranian threat head on and do what we can to remove the current leadership, or we can sit back and "hope they leave us alone". The first option will definitely be difficult and costly, but the second option will ultimately end up being even more costly for our nation.

The Islamic Republic of Iran has no intention of ending their terrorist support around the world. They are committed to the destruction of Israel, "the Little Satan", and ultimately the destruction of the United States of America, "the Great Satan".

I have little faith in the Obama Administration being able to stop Iran's march towards nuclear weapons. One has to be committed to something in order to be successful. And Barack Obama isn't committed to anything but "fundamentally transforming" the United States of America.

Tom Jackson is a Good and Decent Man

By: D.W. Wilber

March 13, 2015



As the Ferguson drama enters new and uncharted territory following the Department of Justice report critical of the community's police department and yesterday's resignation of the police chief Tom Jackson, which was followed by last night's

shooting of two police officers standing the line against protestors in Ferguson, perhaps it's time to take a look at the reality of what this is all about.

As the old saying goes, "a day late and a dollar short", the DoJ report issued by the Obama-Holder Justice Department cleared Officer Darren Wilson of any wrong-doing in the shooting of Michael Brown. A finding that was well known as far back as in August, shortly after the incident happened. The attorney general was well aware back at that time that the shooting of Michael Brown was a justified use of deadly force by a police officer placed in legitimate fear of his life.

Holder knew back in August that the claims by witnesses that Brown was surrendering to Officer Wilson and that Brown had his hands raised in the air was a lie. The "hands up don't shoot" narrative that Holder allowed to persist was a complete and utter fabrication.

But instead of taking a strong stance in support of the police which very likely would have prevented the subsequent rioting that destroyed businesses and lives, the Holder DoJ allowed racial tensions to boil over and eventually erupt in a display of animalistic behavior setting fire to a large part of the community of Ferguson, as well as some of the surrounding areas.

Holder's DOJ sat back and allowed a lie to continue, one created with one purpose in mind. To railroad an innocent police officer and construct a narrative that has resulted in the destruction of a community and the career of a fine public servant, Ferguson Police Chief Thomas Jackson.

The unflattering picture of the Ferguson Police Department painted by the DOJ report is a picture that isn't fair nor unique to the Ferguson Police. Laying claim that the police of that North St. Louis County community targeted black residents is indeed a completely false narrative. The Ferguson Police as with most police agencies around this nation target "criminal behavior", regardless of who the perpetrators might be.

Is it true that some communities across America place too much emphasis on issuing traffic citations and generate revenue for the city as a result? Probably, but the arguments against using traffic and code enforcement as a method of generating revenue needs to be addressed to the city's government. Not against the officers whose job it is to enforce the laws that are on the books.

Chief Tom Jackson came to the Ferguson Police Department as their chief following an outstanding career with the St. Louis County Police. Chief Jackson rose to the rank of captain and served as a commander with that department in a variety of positions. Jackson command the S.W.A.T. unit, the Narcotics unit, and served as a helicopter and fixed-wing aircraft pilot for the department. Chief Jackson was awarded the Medal of Valor and nearly every other award and commendation one can receive during his career.

When he came to Ferguson Chief Jackson immediately took steps to address some of the deficiencies that were present with the department before he arrived. He also immediately began to try to open a dialogue and build inroads into community groups and leaders. His efforts in this regard were welcomed by the community.

The fact is that the Holder Justice Department produced a very subjective and biased report which clearly was intended to achieve some goals they were unable to achieve back in August. One of which included the city of Ferguson removing Chief Jackson from his position at the behest of Barack Obama and Eric Holder. With Missouri Senator Claire McCaskill and Governor Jay Nixon falling into lock-step with the administration. The term 'scapegoat' clearly comes to mind.

As with the president's immediate response to the Henry Louis Gates incident, Barack Obama's 'default' is to blame the police and accuse them of "acting stupidly", without having any of the facts before him.

Let there be no doubt, what happened in Ferguson to Officer Darren Wilson and Chief Jackson is all part of the Obama Administration's ongoing effort. Undermining local law enforcement in this country is simply part of the continuing plan of this administration to "fundamentally transform" the United States of America.

Black LIES Matter

By: D.W. Wilber

March 18, 2015



What seems to be completely overlooked and unreported in the news media during all the protest demonstrations and civil unrest taking place in Ferguson and across America, with protesters using the rallying cry of “black lives matter” written on placards

and signs carried by protesters, is the equally important fact that ‘black lies also matter’.

We’ve since discovered that much of the unrest around the country has been based on a false and completely fabricated narrative. The “hands up don’t shoot” lie was told by “witnesses” when the black teenager Michael Brown attacked and tried to kill a police officer, during which the officer in defense of his own life was forced to shoot and kill the teen.

That simple canard told by “witnesses” has resulted in millions of dollars in lost property and lost business by Ferguson merchants, and has also resulted in injuries to police officers and other innocent victims. It might even be credited with the loss of some lives.

Regardless of the ultimate costs these lies may seem to be simply that, really nothing more than lies. But the fact of the matter is that those telling the lie may also have committed a crime by Missouri statutes, similar to crimes in most other states that deal with providing the police with false information. A crime for which these “witnesses” have yet to be charged within the State of Missouri. But a crime nonetheless, and a crime that certainly does matter.

Much like all the crimes committed by black lives against white lives that also matter, but that the news media has seemed somewhat reticent in reporting. Could that be because the true crime statistics show a hugely disproportionate amount of black on white crime, as opposed to white on black crime, which doesn't fit the liberal agenda ?

Even more telling is the fact that the very small number of blacks who are shot and killed by the police just doesn't fit into the Obama Administration's narrative. A narrative that's accepted without question by the Obama-pliant media and the clueless masses, and that is promoted by racists like Al Sharpton and some in the entertainment industry. Which in and of itself is all a lie that matters.

What also matters is that much of what ails the black community are self-inflicted wounds. Problems within the community caused by themselves, and that continue to hold them back from realizing the American dream that many other minorities have been able to achieve.

It may play well on the evening news to show rioting in the streets over some 'lie' that has been told to gin up the masses, but the reality is that it does this country no good. Images of police in riot gear launching tear gas at supposedly innocent protesters makes for dramatic newscasts but reflects little of the truth.

The lies have even played out in Washington, D.C. on the floor of Congress with elected representatives wearing hoodies, and throwing their hands up in the air with a great flourish. Accomplishing nothing more than perpetrating a lie on the American people.

Black lies indeed do matter a great deal. They matter because they've been emanating from this administration now for over six years and are aimed at "fundamentally transforming" the United States of America.

And the lies need to be called out for what they are. An attempt to place the blame for the failures in the black community on others, instead of recognizing that changing their lives will have to come from within.

Corruption and Lies as a Matter of Policy

By: D.W. Wilber

March 19, 2015



Tell the truth and face the consequences or lie and try to survive for another day. That's the dilemma Hillary Clinton now faces over her missing e-mails. But lying has been something the Clinton's have been known for from as far back as their college days.

Bill Clinton used lies and deception to evade the draft and military service back during his college days. He simply didn't want to serve in the military. It wasn't that he was truly a conscientious objector against the Vietnam War, it was just that he didn't have time. It didn't fit into his agenda.

Of course he aspired to loftier goals so he had to maintain some explanation for his reluctance to face the challenges and dangers other American men of his generation faced during the Vietnam War. 'Whoosing out' wouldn't look good on the resume he was creating. His lame explanation was unreported as a character issue by the news media, and the American people were subsequently 'had'.

Hillary for her part was no 'Bush-Leaguer', getting herself fired from the committee looking into the Nixon Watergate scandal for her dishonesty and lying. And she was fired by a Democrat no less ! On the scale of misdeeds, getting oneself fired for lying by the very same political party one belongs to is an accomplishment achieved by a select few.

As both the Clinton's progressed in their careers leading first to the Arkansas capitol of Little Rock, the stories quickly began to ooze out of the sewer they created in the Governor's Mansion of a 'First Couple' with not only questionable morals, but with both of them building upon the reputation for lies and dishonesty they had already acquired up to that point in their political lives.

Once Bill decided to run for president his sordid sexual past had to be confronted lest it potentially trip up his and Hillary's ambitions. So Bill and Hillary appeared on the CBS news magazine 'Sixty Minutes' and deftly lied their way through questions about Bill's fidelity in his marriage.

Bill's sexual escapades in Arkansas should have been a foreboding of what to expect once he made it to the 'big time' and took up residence at 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue in Washington, D.C. Unfortunately his sexual appetite remained unsated from his Arkansas experiences and Bill was quickly on the prowl from the Oval Office.

Hillary for her part quickly leapt into the political fray championing Hillary-Care, her predecessor to Barack Obama's failed attempt at universal health care coverage for all Americans. Both of which failed miserably, with Obama's version still clinging to life though wildly unpopular with Americans.

Unable to defeat Barack Obama on the campaign trail, Hillary accepted the job as secretary of state in his administration, apparently her attempt to add to her resume in preparation for her second attempt at becoming the first woman to win the office of President of the United States.

Unfortunately for Hillary her diplomatic efforts in Libya resulted in the deaths of the U.S. ambassador and three other Americans. A huge blunder on her part that had to be covered up, hence the invention of some theretofore unknown YouTube video clip as the explanation for why our facility in Benghazi was attacked.

And now Hillary's penchant for hiding her activities from everyone including the American people, the very people she professes to care so much about, has resulted in her in effect tampering with potential evidence - the thirty thousand "personal" e-mails she has deleted from the private server she maintained at her residence.

And Hillary's response basically has been, "Oops, trust me".

Hillary and her husband's record of dishonesty makes it quite impossible to take her at her word on anything. Much less trust her with occupying the highest office in the land.

Bravo Tom Cotton

By: D.W. Wilber

March 20, 2015



While the Obama Administration and their allies in the Democrat Party and news media may have gotten their panties in a twist over the open letter to the Iranian leadership signed by Republican senators led by Senator Tom Cotton of Arkansas, those who

recognize and understand the Iranian threat offer a full-throated 'Bravo' to the group of Republican senators for their courage to step up and be heard.

Since the White House seems bent on securing a deal with Iran regardless of whether it's a good deal or not, it's incumbent on Americans of conscience such as Tom Cotton and the others to speak up. The people of this country deserve no less than a vigorous debate over the merits of entering into any agreement with a regime that has proven time and again that they can't be trusted.

Senator Cotton having served in the military in Iraq and Afghanistan knows full well of the Iranian hand in the maiming and killing of countless American service people. Iranian interference in Iraqi affairs was well known to the American military leadership in Iraq during the Iraq war. In fact, a number of Iranian Quds Force members were captured inside Iraq by American forces on more than one occasion.

Iran was also responsible for shipping weaponry and explosives to the Iraqi insurgency, along with the technology to make them more lethal to use against American forces. Iran certainly was no bystander during Operation Iraqi Freedom, and the effort to rebuild Iraq after the removal of Saddam Hussein. Though their contributions were definitely not of a constructive nature.

History has shown that the Iranian leadership will not abide by any agreement they may enter into since it goes against the ultimate goal that they have publicly voiced repeatedly, which is to destroy the United States of America and Israel. And to spread their version of Islam around the world.

Basically in their minds they are on a mission from God. Consider this, if a person believes that on the one hand they have instructions from God telling them to do something, and then on the other hand they have a treaty between two nations prohibiting them from doing that very thing, what do you think the religious zealots in Tehran are going to do ?

You guessed it, they will follow what their version of a religious conscience tells them to do, which is do the bidding of 'God'. In the case of the Iranians that means using deceit, subterfuge, and lies to conceal their activities, and to continue their efforts to develop nuclear weapons in pursuit of their ultimate goal of destroying Israel and the United States.

So while the Obama Administration decries the Republican senator's interference in the negotiations with Iran, many of us are fully supportive of any and all efforts being made to insure the Obama Administration does not enter into an agreement with Iran that allows Iran the ability to continue their pursuit of nuclear weapons. Including derailing the negotiations if necessary to save the American people from the Iranians, and from the Obama Administration.

Desperation is not a good negotiating tactic, yet that appears to be the 'trump card' the Obama Administration seems to be playing. So desirous of an agreement that they will agree to nearly anything to give Barack Obama a foreign policy achievement for his presidency, and Secretary of State John Kerry the ego stroke he so craves, his very own Nobel Peace Prize to hang on his office wall.

Dick Durbin is at it Again

By: D.W. Wilber

March 24, 2015



Illinois Senator Dick Durbin took to the senate floor recently and showed once again that Democrats (and he personally) have no shame. The Illinois Democrat whipped out the race card to play over the Senate's refusal to confirm Obama nominee Loretta Lynch

as the next attorney general for the United States of America.

Durbin, well known during the Bush Administration for his comparison of our troops serving at the Guantanamo Bay detention facility to the "Soviet Gulag, Pol Pot, and Nazi Germany", is now comparing the actions of Republican senators to pushing Ms. Lynch to "the back of the bus".

A clear attempt to bring race into the discussion of Lynch's nomination to serve as attorney general, over the substance of her qualifications and her stance on important issues she may face as the nation's top law enforcement officer.

One of the poster children for term limits, you can always count on Dick Durbin to stoop to the lowest possible level when discussing contentious issues, since that is the level of his intellect and where he is most at home.

The consummate partisan politician Dick is often found in the company of Nancy and Harry, two other individuals whose intellect, character and ethics dwell in the lower regions along with Dick's. All three make Moe, Larry and Curly look like absolute scholars and statesmen by comparison.

Durbin, as Minority Whip has the job of making sure all Democrat senators vote against whatever legislation is put forth by the Republican majority, regardless if it's a good piece of legislation that is good for the country.

You see, in the nether regions occupied by Dick, Nancy, Harry, and Barack, what's good for the country isn't relevant. What's good for the Democrat Party is all that matters.

Durbin pops up often times to express himself on a variety of issues, always looking for an opportunity to scrape the bottom of the barrel for racially divisive comments, and even outright lies if it fits the partisan narrative he's trying to promote.

Sadly voters in Illinois keep electing Durbin to the U.S. Senate, so he can be counted on to keep poking his head into issues of importance while offering nothing constructive. Only the same blather and drivel we've become accustomed to hearing from any of the Democrat mouthpieces that walk the halls of government pretending to be working for the American people, when in fact they are working for their party first and foremost.

Perhaps one day Dick will spew out one too many falsehoods and voters will finally hold him accountable for his career of lies and his disservice to the political discourse in this nation.

But until then we can expect to see him periodically standing at a podium claiming to be a defender of the downtrodden, when the reality is that Dick is nothing more than a partisan political hack who will quickly be forgotten once he leaves office.

And the State of Illinois and the nation will breathe a sigh of relief that we've finally gotten rid of another one.

The Difference Between Tom Cotton and John Kerry

By: D.W. Wilber

March 26, 2015



Just recently Secretary of State John Kerry ridiculed Tom Cotton, the Freshman Republican Senator from Arkansas, regarding the letter to the Islamic Republic of Iran that Cotton championed, and which was signed by forty-five other

Republican senators. Secretary Kerry expressed disdain for the fact that Senator Cotton was “someone who had spent only sixty days in the Senate”.

It seems that Kerry, who himself served in the United States Senate since 1984 until he took over from Hillary Clinton as secretary of state following Barack Obama’s re-election in 2012, feels that Senator Cotton’s brief tenure in the Senate just doesn’t measure up to someone such as Kerry who spent nearly twenty years in the so-called ‘world’s greatest deliberative body’.

I would argue just the opposite, that it’s to Senator Cotton’s credit that he has not been a career politician like John Kerry. That he hasn’t been corrupted by the power of politics as John Kerry has been for many years now. And that his positions are taken as a matter of principle, not as a matter of partisan politics which John Kerry practiced so well during his political career.

John Kerry is a perfect example of what is wrong with politics in America today. A career politician who is ego-driven and so far out of touch with the reality of the

real world that all he can do is see everything through the prism of potential political gain.

It's quite natural to expect that John Kerry would place so much value on being a career politician, since the political circles he has embraced for so many years continually feed each other's ego, while they treat we the people with complete disdain. They firmly believe that we're just too stupid to govern ourselves, we need the elites like them to take care of us.

Kerry cut his teeth denigrating the military and his country during the Vietnam War where he compared our military to the invading Mongol hordes of old, much like his colleague in the Senate Dick Durbin has done more recently. It's obvious that Kerry would value Durbin's lengthy time in the Senate much more than Tom Cotton's much more brief tenure.

But to me what is much more valuable is Tom Cotton's experience on the battlefields of Iraq and Afghanistan, where he saw firsthand the duplicity of the Iranians as they killed and maimed American soldiers on a daily basis.

Senator Cotton knows the record of the Iranians and understands the reality of negotiating with a nation that has no intention of living up to any agreement that is reached. The Iranians have proven many times over the years that their word is unreliable.

It seems curious to me how someone like Kerry who spent nearly twenty years in the U.S. Senate knows so much less than Tom Cotton who has been there for only sixty days.

Perhaps that's a good indication that longevity in politics doesn't count for nearly as much as honesty, integrity, and experience on the front lines defending America counts for. So I'll take Tom Cotton's sixty days over John Kerry's two decades anytime.

Save The Whales

By: D.W. Wilber

March 27, 2015



Only in the so-called mainstream media would one's love of country, a belief in the U.S. Constitution as our guiding document, along with the importance of fiscal responsibility be deemed as something

“extremist”, instead of something “patriotic”.

But that's what we are already seeing, those same genuinely American qualities being referred to as extremist. The very same virtues that Senator Ted Cruz spoke to during his announcement that he was running for the Republican nomination for president in 2016.

Those qualities served this country well throughout our history, yet nowadays one has to believe in and support a progressive ideology in order to be acceptable to the pundits and other talking heads that inhabit the “mainstream media” and the Democrat Party. There's no room in their America for anyone who holds dear traditional morals and values.

The morality that was accepted as normal and healthy back in the 20th century are considered extreme and unacceptable here in 21st century America. Those who believe in and espouse traditional American values are labeled as “cretins” and “Neanderthals”, and are accused of being “out of step with the mainstream”.

Merely having religious convictions that speak to the importance of maintaining the traditional definition of marriage makes one a homophobic, right wing extremist. It matters not that in poll after poll America has described itself as a center-right country, generally conservative and supporting traditional values in

our collective philosophy. Contrary to what liberals in the media would have one believe.

A person holding the belief that insuring a strong national defense starts with securing our borders is painted as an anti-immigrant, racist, bigot. It seems that to be considered “mainstream” and not an extremist one has to discard all of the traditional beliefs, values, and morals that once were the national fiber that held us all together, and maintained the equilibrium that kept our nation in balance.

Of course adopting any number of liberal social cause’s gains one membership in the fraternity of elitists who believe only their ideology is acceptable for this country. Anyone who espouses anything that smacks of conservatism must not only be dismissed, but must be destroyed lest they poison the minds of others.

So as Texas Senator Ted Cruz announced his candidacy the liberal media immediately went into attack mode and Senator Cruz was ridiculed and dismissed by the Washington elites. Even some Republicans who are so invested in the status quo that he threatens have fiercely attacked Cruz.

These facts alone should make Cruz a very attractive candidate for many, many Americans who are sick and tired of ‘politics as usual’ practiced by both parties in Washington, D.C.

Maybe it’s time for a candidate who speaks to the virtues of self-sufficiency and of preserving American values and the ethic of hard work, which helped countless Americans over the years rise from poverty to achieve great things, and to rise above the pack of ‘Washington regulars’ whose policies and practices have laid waste to the political discourse in this country for far too long.

It’ll be interesting to watch how much Cruz’s words and positions are distorted by his political enemies in the media and in public office, and how far they will go to impugn his integrity and honesty in the coming months. While Hillary’s lack of integrity and honesty will most certainly go unreported.

Since she can’t compete with Cruz in terms of integrity and honesty, counting on her allies in the news media to provide cover or her is all that she can hope for.

The Stooges Rule

By: D.W. Wilber

March 30, 2015



Never in the history of our nation have we experienced such a frighteningly inept and incompetent presidential administration. One could make a good argument that this would apply in all areas, but it's particularly applicable in the area

of foreign policy and national security.

Right after Bowe Bergdahl's release in the trade for five senior Taliban commanders, National Security Advisor Susan Rice described the Army deserter as serving with "distinction and honor". The very same soldier now charged with 'desertion and misbehavior' by the military's Uniform Code of Military Justice. Charges that can send Bergdahl to a military prison for the rest of his life.

Since taking office the Obama Administration has exhibited the judgment and intelligence of a trio of stooges known as Moe, Larry and Curly when it comes to the military and national security issues. In fact, one might credit the real Three Stooges with a bit more brain cells than the senior members of this administration have displayed over the past six years.

With our international relations in a shambles and our allies pulling their hair out by the roots over the lack of American leadership, the Obama Administration seems oblivious to it all. Merrily going about their pursuit of social justice issues here at home while the world around us crumbles and falls into disarray.

Any fan of the Three Stooges remembers the episode where Curly keeps putting plumbing pipes together in the bathroom of a house, and through his own stupidity surrounds and traps himself inside a self-made cage of steel pipes. A

comparison could be made to the trap of lies built by the Obama Administration around the Bowe Bergdahl case and Benghazi.

While holding a 'welcome home' photo-op on the back porch of the White House with Bergdahl's parents, all full of himself the president talked about the U.S. "not leaving anyone behind". A lesson he must have forgotten after leaving four Americans behind in Libya.

Of course according to Susan Rice they died as a result of a YouTube video, and not because Barack Obama was too busy preparing for a political fund-raiser to send them any help.

Actually, comparing this administration to the Three Stooges does a disservice to those three comedic actors since their antics were intended to invoke laughter, and not to promote government policies.

The Obama Administration wants to be taken seriously yet continues to send forth Susan Rice to appear on Sunday talk shows where she continues to build and get caught up in her own cage of lies, just like she did over Benghazi.

After the Charlie Hebdo terrorist attacks in Paris the Obama Administration's response was to send James Taylor over to sing "You've got a friend" to the Parisians. Considering the administration's woeful record perhaps the theme song from the Three Stooges would have been more appropriate, "Three Blind Mice".

While Bowe Bergdahl is entitled to a fair trial under the Uniform Code of Military Justice, in every trial this administration has faced they have come up short. At least the Three Stooges brought laughter and merriment into our lives. The Obama Administration with their incompetence only brings us to tears.

Hey John, Why the Long Face?

By: D.W. Wilber

March 31, 2015



As Secretary of State John Kerry frantically tries to reach an appeasement agreement with the Islamic Republic of Iran over Iran's nuclear weapons program, yes it indeed is a nuclear weapons program, the security of Israel and the United States

continue to hang in the balance.

With tomorrow's deadline rapidly approaching, 'agreement at all costs' appears to be the negotiating tactic employed by the United States negotiating team, led by Secretary Kerry.

The Iranians certainly recognize the Obama Administration's desperation and will exploit to their advantage as much as possible the negotiating weakness on display in Switzerland. Weakness they have also been exploiting in Iraq for the past several months. Or is it American indifference they've been exploiting?

Iran considers themselves to hold the upper hand in these negotiations because of the Obama Administration's seeming anguish over not having a single foreign policy achievement in over six years, and with the Obama presidency coming to an ignoble end in 2016.

First under Secretary of State Hillary Clinton and now under the stewardship of her successor Secretary John Kerry, the Obama State Department hasn't been able to put together a single diplomatic achievement in over six years.

Negotiators from Iran will squeeze every concession they want to get out of the United States since Secretary of State John Kerry appears completely overmatched by the Iranian negotiators who know the difference between

negotiating from a position of strength, and negotiating from a disadvantage of perceived and real weakness as John Kerry surely is.

Americans and Israelis may wake up on Tuesday to find that they've been sold out by an Obama Administration so in need of a foreign policy legacy that they have agreed to allow the Islamic Republic of Iran to continue their pursuit of a nuclear weapon unabated.

Though most certainly John Kerry and Barack Obama will try to put a positive spin on the things and claim to have negotiated a tough deal with lots of protections in place to prevent Iranian cheating. Clearly a bunch of hooey.

Iran will smugly walk away from the negotiating table content in knowing that they have once again hoodwinked the Americans, and they will return to Tehran and resume their efforts to develop a weapon to threaten their Gulf neighbors and Israel with, free from the debilitating sanctions that helped stall their progress.

John Kerry and Barack Obama will pat themselves on the back and fire up a celebratory cigar, one of the new Cuban ones they got for also selling out the Cuban people, and then await the Nobel committee's decision on December 10th.

Unfortunately for Kerry and Obama the Nobel Peace Prize, with the exception of the year 2009 when it was given to Barack Obama for doing absolutely nothing, is normally given for doing something notable to promote peace and stability in the world.

And allowing the Islamic Republic of Iran to continue to work towards the ultimate development of nuclear weapons is a far cry from being a notable achievement in the search for peace.

A Change of Heart at the White House?

By: D.W. Wilber

April 1, 2015



During today's unscheduled press conference President Barack Obama responded to a wide range of questions varying from race relations in the United States to the Russian incursion into Ukraine. The president spent over an hour answering questions from the White House

press contingent, offering the frankest assessment of his administration's policies to date.

With regard to Israel the president came down strongly on the side of Israel and directed a stern warning against the Iranian regime in Tehran, advising the ruling mullahs that the United States stood shoulder to shoulder with Israel, our staunchest ally in the Middle East.

The president threatened Iran with massive military retaliation should any attack against Israel or Israeli interests anywhere in the world be traced back to the Islamic Republic. Obama added that any questions about the current state of the U.S.-Israeli relationship are ill-informed, the relationship "could not be stronger."

President Obama went on to say, "My dear friend Bibi and I are on the same page when it comes to dealing with the challenges our two countries face with the Islamic Republic of Iran. The Iranian fanatics will not be allowed to obtain a nuclear weapon and we will take whatever steps, including military action, that are necessary to prevent this from happening. Iranian meddling around the world must and will come to end".

The president added that Vladimir Putin needs to "take heed as well, our patience has come to an end," referring to Ukraine. According to Obama, "Putin has overreached and misread the United States' commitment to the Ukrainian

people.” “We will not stand idly by while this former KGB thug threatens world peace”. “Pay day is coming” said the president.

The president acknowledged that the administration’s record on reducing racial tensions during his administration has been abysmal. Noting that this started as early as his first year in office when he rushed to defend Harvard professor Henry Louis Gates and accused the police of “acting stupidly,” when in fact the evidence clearly showed that Gates was the one who acted stupidly.

The president admitted that his comments were a knee-jerk reaction based on his deep-seated animosity toward law enforcement, and especially toward white police officers and white authority figures in general. A personal prejudice he must “work hard to overcome.”

Regarding Ferguson, Obama said that his biggest mistake was sending Attorney General Eric Holder to the Missouri community before all the facts were known. “It clearly sent a message that we believed the lies being told by those who only wanted to railroad an innocent police officer, and weren’t interested in justice at all.”

Taking it a step further the president added, “Actually, nominating Eric Holder to even be my attorney general was clearly one of my biggest mistakes.” “Holder was the wrong choice for so many reasons, but primarily his racist feelings towards white people should have excluded him from consideration”.

President Obama went on to say “Bringing Hillary Clinton in as secretary of state was also a lapse in judgment, she clearly accomplished nothing during her four years on the job.” “When the true story comes out about her failures and lies during the Benghazi attacks the American people will be calling for her to be thrown in jail, and certainly not elected as my successor.”

When asked about the situation in Iraq, Obama said, “I accept full responsibility for what has happened in Iraq. I clearly was foolish in not negotiating a Status of Forces Agreement and withdrawing our military, which has allowed all of these atrocities being committed by ISIS to occur.” “You can’t blame Bush for this one, the responsibility rests solely on me,” added the president.

President Obama also commenting on the state of the U.S. military said, “For that matter my social experimentation with the military was a huge mistake. There was nothing wrong with the ‘Don’t Ask Don’t Tell’ policy that was in place. I

should have known that you just don't mess with the best military in the world, and I shouldn't have tried to fix something that wasn't broken."

When asked about what he planned to do for the remainder of his term the president indicated that he was going to carefully review all of the policy decisions and executive orders he's issued, and that "many revisions will be forthcoming". He added that most of his executive orders would be rescinded, including his executive amnesty of illegal aliens. "I've got a lot to do to try to fix the problems I've created during my tenure in the White House.

Asked if he had any regrets President Obama said, "Instead of trying to close GITMO and releasing as many prisoners as I could, I should have expanded the operation there and quickened the pace of trials, finally bringing justice to the terrorists we hold there." He added, "Far too many of them are still breathing oxygen, and we need to correct that mistake."

The president ended his press conference with a pledge to "accept responsibility for my mistakes and quit blaming others," and to "Truly serve this country that has given me so much, and earn the trust of the American people."

But then again today is April 1st, and Barack Obama's effort to "fundamentally transform" the United States continues full speed ahead.

The Party of Lies

By: D.W. Wilber

April 7, 2015



Apparently according to retiring Senate Minority Leader Harry Reid, spreading lies and complete fabrications about a political opponent is no big deal. The recent mention of it during an interview merits nothing more in response than a smug little smile

on the face of the Nevada Democrat, and his flippant comment “Well, Romney didn’t win did he”.

For Hillary Clinton, perhaps the most corrupt American politician over the last two centuries of American history, rivaled only by her husband former President Bill Clinton, her using a private e-mail account was merely for convenience sake. And certainly not because she was trying to avoid scrutiny and accountability for her time as secretary of state.

Then House Speaker Nancy Pelosi looked reporters in the eye and lied about receiving briefings from the CIA about enhanced interrogation techniques during her time as speaker of the house, though the record clearly reflects that she was briefed on this subject multiple times. A lie was more convenient for Pelosi than the truth.

And then there’s Barack Obama who stood before the American people and repeatedly told them they could keep their doctor, they could keep their insurance plan, and that he lacked the authority to offer executive amnesty to illegal aliens residing in the United States.

It seems that the Party of Jefferson has become the 'Party of Lies', determined to win or retain political power at all costs no matter what, including lying to the American people as they deem appropriate.

The time for 'pitchforks and torches' has truly come, holding dishonest politicians regardless of party accountable for their public pronouncements. No longer should lies and deceit just be written off as "politics as usual".

Far from being the leading candidate to be the next commander in chief of the United States military, Hillary Clinton should instead be getting fitted for a different uniform, one supplied by the United States Federal Bureau of Prisons. It was more convenient for her to destroy potential evidence of poor policy decisions or leadership during her time in the Obama Administration

For blatantly and repeatedly lying to the American people for political gain Harry Reid and Nancy Pelosi should forfeit their government pensions, simple as that. No benefit should be derived from a career of lying and misinformation perpetrated against the American people.

For failing to abide by the rules that other government employees must abide by, Hillary Clinton should be barred from further public office, simple as that.

For repeated lies Barack Obama should be held accountable as well. His lies and abuses of office certainly don't merit a 'presidential library ! Simple as that.

Once politicians understand that there will be consequences for lying to the American people for political gain, and that they will be held accountable by the American people, the sooner all politicians will finally begin to truly serve their constituents.

Americans deserve better than what we've gotten from the political ruling class in this country.

Five Things Republicans Need to do to Beat Hillary Clinton

By: D.W. Wilber

April 9, 2015



With the day rapidly approaching when Hillary Clinton makes her announcement that she is running for president in 2016, the Republican Party must confront her on at least five issues if they hope to defeat her media-

supported steamroller candidacy.

First and foremost don't repeat the circular firing squad they conducted during the Republican primaries in 2014. Republicans attacked each other with venom, and in particular the recognized Republican front-runner Mitt Romney. It's OK to confront policy differences on how to address specific issues, but stay away from all the negativity and personal attacks that took place the last time. The focus should be on what Republican candidates intend to do to confront the challenges facing the country, and how Republican solutions differ from the large government intrusion and control always offered by the Democrats.

Second, tie Hillary Clinton in every way to Barack Obama and his unpopular approach to governing. Point out that Hillary's very indifference towards following rules and regulations as exhibited by her use of a private e-mail system to avoid accountability will guarantee her ruling by decree as Barack Obama has done, as opposed to working out differences and compromising with the legislative branch. America has had enough of Barack Obama's "pen and phone", and Americans don't want a repeat under a Hillary Clinton administration.

Third, remind Americans that leopards don't change their spots. Is America ready for a White House occupied by the Clintons and their 'unique' (to say the least)

marriage once more ? Point out to Americans that the record of the Bill Clinton presidency isn't nearly as good as people seem to want to remember. And remind Americans that much of what he achieved was as a direct result of being dragged kicking and screaming into compromises with the Republican controlled congress. Hillary Clinton will be much more strident than Bill Clinton was, and much less likely to reach compromises.

The fourth thing Republicans need to do is to ensure that Americans know about Hillary Clinton's history of lies and deceit, going as far back as her days on the Watergate Committee when she was fired for dishonesty. Her despicable behavior during the Benghazi tragedy and afterwards needs to be continually brought to the attention of the public. With the four Americans who were killed lying in flag-draped coffins behind her, Hillary continued to blame their deaths on a YouTube video, and this needs to be brought up often and repeatedly.

And finally, do not allow Hillary or the media to portray her as a victim of the Republican "War on Women" who is being attacked by male chauvinist Republicans. Point out how the Republican record on supporting opportunity for women stands in stark contrast to what is offered by Democrats who offer only platitudes, slogans, and trinkets in the form of freebies that do little to truly empower women. Hillary needs to be confronted and treated as any other candidate would be, and not with 'kid gloves' by Republicans worried about being portrayed as too tough on her. Republicans need to accept the fact that they will be portrayed that way no matter what they do, so deal with it and move forward to show the immense governing and policy differences between Hillary and the Democrats, and what Republicans can offer that is better.

Hillary Clinton will be a formidable candidate with the media and the Hollywood elites firmly behind her. The only way to defeat the 'Hillary Steamroller' will be for Republicans to offer solutions to Americans, whereas Hillary will only offer excuses and blame.

The Things Most Likely to Happen in a Hillary Clinton Administration

By: D.W. Wilber

April 10, 2015



The new Clinton grandchild has an accident on the Resolute Desk but nobody notices, they think it's just a left over Obama Executive Order.

J.C. Penny offers Hillary discount prices on their entire collection of pants suits.

Bill is offered to the Taliban for free. Fearing for their women folk the Taliban decline the offer.

Cigars and interns are banned from the White House.

The Secret Service resigns. Replaced by a newly-created Amazon Women's Corps.

Hillary's missing e-mails show up in the private residence, on the very same end table where her Rose Law Firm billing records showed up years earlier.

Hillary tries to call Vladimir Putin on the Oval Office 'Hot Line' but he refuses to accept a call until they change the phone's name, claiming that it is false advertising.

And the thing most likely to happen in a Hillary Clinton administration -

Bill addresses the Kappa Alpha sorority's annual convention. Bill UN-dresses the Kappa Alpha sorority's annual convention.

Just Not You HLLARY!

By: D.W. Wilber

April 13, 2015



Is America ready for a woman president? Absolutely, ask most anyone and they'll say that a woman is just as capable as any man of leading this nation, perhaps even leading it back to the greatness it once was before the mistake of the last six years.

Announcing her bid for the White House in 2016 Hillary Clinton says that she wants to be the “champion of the middle class”. Yet Hillary has truthfully produced nothing during her career other than punch lines for late night comedians. Hillary’s entire career is devoid of a single accomplishment and is based solely on her own self-promotion.

Can anyone name a single piece of significant legislation authored by Hillary during her time in the United States Senate ? Is there any legislative achievement of note with her name attached? None readily come to mind. It seems that Hillary was just biding her time and padding her resume, planning for her ultimate goal of the White House.

The State Department presided over by Hillary also achieved nothing of note. Her famous “Re-Set Button” with Russia proved to be a colossal failure, and her part in the U.S. involvement in Libya proved tragic and deadly. And also put on very public display her major character flaws which include deceit, dishonesty, and her penchant for blaming others for her own failure of leadership.

The only thing Hillary has truly ‘championed’ during her career is herself in her obsessive quest for political power. Truly an individual lacking a conscience or the character to understand the qualities necessary to lead a nation.

Unlike many other women who have again and again proven themselves in what had traditionally been bastions of male dominance. Serving as police officers, firefighters, or heavy equipment operators in the construction industry.

As doctors and lawyers, and serving honorably and on some occasions with courage when confronted with the challenges on the battlefields our military has fought on throughout our nation's history. In contrast Hillary has done diddly.

While Democrats have claimed a Republican "war on women" for at least the last two election cycles, and most certainly will do so if Republicans attack Hillary Clinton's record during the upcoming campaign, Republicans have a real record of "championing" opportunities for women.

And Republicans will clearly support a woman for president should one emerge from the pack of candidates currently considering a run for the office. They just don't support Hillary. She hasn't earned it from the American people.


So Americans need to look elsewhere for that historic first woman president. Hillary hasn't the record of achievement, character, or leadership qualities that this nation desperately needs to correct the mistakes and damage done to this country under the Obama Administration.

Mistakes and damage for which Hillary shares a significant part of the blame.

Suppressing the Vote

By: D.W. Wilber

April 13, 2015



Face it, our elections are decided by stupid people. Far too many American voters are absolutely clueless about the political issues facing the country, or of the consequences of the votes they cast.

While there are plenty of idiots casting ballots from every political persuasion, the Democrat Party absolutely depends on stupid people voting the straight Democrat ticket in order to keep getting Democratic candidates elected to political office, many of whom aren't qualified to lick stamps much less represent us in Congress.

A case in point is Barack Obama. Who in their right mind expected just seven years ago that someone like Barack Obama, a man with no accomplishments in his life much less any legislative record, could come out of nowhere and defeat the Democrat Party's anointed candidate at the time, Hillary Clinton ?

How did it happen? Clueless people voted their emotions instead of basing their selection on facts and common sense. Barack Obama's radical history and associations was out there for all to see, even with the mainstream news media trying their best to hide the facts. Jeremiah Wright's racist preaching, the same preaching that Barack Obama listened to for twenty years and more than likely enthusiastically shouted "AMEN" to regularly, was all well known to voters.

Domestic terrorist Bill Ayers was no secret either, hosting a political party for Obama in his own living room. Yet stupid voters looked right past those obvious disqualifiers and voted for Obama anyway. Simply because he was black, or a Democrat, or looked cool in sunglasses.

Obama's questionable character, and even legitimate questions about his very eligibility to run for the office of president of the United States was discussed openly in various news outlets. Just the fact that questions about his eligibility

were being denigrated by the Obama-Mania-Media should have at least raised the electorate's consciousness to the issue, and voters could take a closer look and decide for themselves.

Enter Hillary Clinton, the new darling of the mainstream media for this upcoming election. Her history of scandal, dishonesty, and deceit has been established for decades. Yet she polls ahead of all other candidates from either party.

Simply baffling how she can be viewed with anything other than disdain and disgust by any rational-thinking American. Yet she continues full steam ahead leading all others in her quest for the White House.

Nancy Pelosi, Harry Reid, and even some Republicans have turned politics into a long term career and have been returned to Washington over and over again by the voters, even though they have produced nothing of consequence, and more often than not have stood in the way of real progress simply for partisan political motives.

So do we continue to strive to make it possible for more people to vote, including illegal aliens and dead Democrats? Do we flood the electorate with more and more stupid people who haven't a clue what they're voting for, or what their vote actually does?

Call it suppressing the vote if you want, but making it easier for stupid people to vote doesn't seem to be a very logical thing to do when our country is faced with serious challenges. A time when we need the very best to straighten things out. Not people elected simply because they have a 'D' or an 'R' next to their name.

Voters should be required to at least know more of the alphabet before they're allowed to pull the lever at the ballot box. Stupidity practiced by our elected officials in Washington and in state houses across the land is ruining this country.

If stupid voters keep voting stupid candidates into office, then it's time to at least require the stupid voters to show that they know how to properly register to vote, and that they know how to obtain a proper identification card, before we allow them to exercise their stupidity in a national election.

Hillary's Supreme Court

By: D.W. Wilber

April 14, 2015



With the four conservative justices and the so-called swing vote justice entering the latter stages of their 'golden years,' the next president very likely will be appointing three,

maybe four justices to replace those vacancies that arise on the Supreme Court during the next administration.

One can rest assured that Hillary Clinton is already planning for the day when she gets to change the flavor of the U.S. Supreme Court for decades to come. Her 'short list' of nominees will certainly be a showcase of leftist ideology, one that would likely even make Barack Obama proud.

The Second Amendment would surely come under more of an assault than it has been under Barack Obama, with Hillary playing on the heartstrings of 'Villagers' everywhere, claiming that only strict gun control will protect the children they are all raising together.

Homosexual marriage most certainly will be upheld early on by any Hillary Clinton Supreme Court, if it hasn't already been done during Barack Obama's remaining time in office.

Those supporting religious freedom already under assault during the Obama Administration will find themselves facing an even more uphill battle to keep Freedom of religion guaranteed by our Constitution intact.

Not only the Supreme Court, but federal courts and appeals courts around the country will be filled with Hillary's leftist appointees, ready and willing to help finish the "fundamental transformation" of this country started under Barack Obama.

After eight years of a politicized Justice Department under Barack Obama and Eric Holder things likely won't be improved under Hillary Clinton's appointee, whatever her name might be. And certainly Hillary will never be held accountable for Benghazi or any of her other illegal activities.

Add to the nightmare scenario of a Hillary Administration will be the military's Joint Chiefs of Staff's first woman service chief, maybe even as chairman guiding our military strategy and tactics for years to come. Promoted ahead of other well qualified and seasoned combat veterans solely on the basis of her gender. She might well even be flying the rainbow colored flag on the front fenders of her staff car.

And remember if Hillary gets elected we automatically have her for eight years. Regardless of how bad she makes things and how much damage she does to this once great country, as it was with her predecessor Barack Obama the country's first black president, she will be guaranteed a second term to ensure that she does not go down in history as a one term failed presidency.

During those eight years lots of Supreme Court justices and federal court judges will most assuredly pass on or retire. Hillary will have the opportunity to put her peculiar brand on this country for many decades to come. If we survive as a nation that is.

Adios Harry

By: D.W. Wilber

April 16, 2015



Announcing his retirement at the end of his current term in the United States Senate, Minority Leader Harry Reid will depart a senate that he has played a major part in turning into the most polarized and ineffective branch of government in U.S. history.

Under his majority leadership Reid prevented any useful legislation from reaching a vote from 2010 when Republicans took control of the House until 2014 when the Republicans took control of the Senate. Thereby preventing anything from being accomplished for the American people whom he swore an oath to serve.

His obstructionist efforts brought government to a grinding halt for nothing more than partisan political gain, and in effect causing great detriment to the country. Reid stood in the way of legislation passed by the Republican controlled House which could have proved beneficial to Americans. Not even interested in attempting to work out compromise legislation that could reach the president's desk.

The word 'compromise' and the political give and take that proved so effective during the Reagan years was non-existent for the hyper-partisan Reid, who at times seemed to simply enjoy being an obstructionist for obstructionist-sake. Protecting his political party was far more important to him than the well-being of American citizens.

During the 2012 election Harry Reid had the sheer audacity to stand before the American people and besmirch the integrity of the Republican presidential candidate Mitt Romney, claiming that some "secret source" told him that Romney

“had not paid taxes for ten years,” providing no proof or any other means of verifying his scurrilous accusations.

When confronted about his dishonesty during a recent interview Reid smugly smiled and dismissed his lies from the Senate podium by merely pointing out that “Romney lost didn’t he.”

As is typical of modern day Democrats, expressing no shame or embarrassment that he told a bald faced lie to the American people for nothing more than political gain. Certainly a testament to his lack of character, and showing all that he simply is nothing more than a despicable human being.

As Barack Obama’s ‘point man’ in Obama’s efforts to “fundamentally transform” the United States of America, Harry Reid will share equally in how the era of the Obama Administration is remembered by Americans and by history. Which will not be fondly nor kindly.

The legacy of Harry Reid once he departs will be that of someone remembered for the damage he helped cause by shepherding ObamaCare through to completion. And with the help of Nancy Pelosi in the House, for creating an environment in government so toxic whereby senators and congress people from both parties simply could not and would not deal with each other.

But more so he’ll be remembered by many in and out of government as akin to nothing more than something unpleasant one occasionally steps in, and has to wipe off the sole of their shoes.

Who's the "loser" ?

By: D.W. Wilber

April 20, 2015



"I think they're all losers", Senate Minority Leader Harry Reid is quoted as answering when asked his opinion of the Republican candidates for president of the United States. Reid made his comments from behind the dark glasses he was

wearing as a result of the reported major ass-whoopin he received from his own brother last New Year's Eve. It would seem that Harry knows a thing or two about 'losing'.

The 'not-soon-enough' to be retired senator from Nevada lorded over a United States Senate that accomplished nothing under his tenure that could be described as being good or beneficial for the American people. A record of mediocrity rivaled only by that of Hillary Clinton.

Through arm-twisting and threats he was able to force through ObamaCare, the one piece of legislation which has turned out to be one of the most despised pieces of legislation ever crafted in the nation's history. Certainly not an achievement to boast of.

He turned what was once described as the "world's greatest deliberative body" into such an antagonistic and polarized group, most whom couldn't even bring themselves to sit down together to have lunch, much less sincerely debate issues and reach a consensus.

The dog and pony show of 'solidarity' put on after the Gabby Giffords tragedy lasted only a short while and then things were back to normal. Meaning back to

antagonism, mistrust, partisanship, and polarization. Largely a creation of Harry Reid.

As Harry Reid's senate career winds down one would think he might be interested in salvaging some legacy beyond the dreadful one he currently has, and he would attempt to repair some of the personal relationships between himself and his political opponents that he created over his years in the Senate.

Instead Reid doubles down, calling all the Republicans who have announced already, or who are expected to announce their candidacy for president as "losers". One would presume he is including people like Carly Fiorina and Dr. Ben Carson among those he described as "losers". Not to mention many of the other Republicans whose record far exceeds anything Harry Reid could aspire to.

When comparing just those two Republicans of accomplishment against Harry Reid's own record, or for that matter the presumptive Democrat candidate Hillary Clinton's, it's pretty clear for whom the term "loser" really applies.

The fact is Americans are looking forward to Harry Reid's departure from the political scene. For most, the day Harry Reid leaves his Senate office for the last time can't come soon enough. There's probably a fair number of people who'd be happy to help him pack just to speed up the process. As the old saying goes, "Don't let the door hit you in the".....You get the point.

The Nevada Democrat long ago wore out his welcome among his Senate colleagues, and even more so with the American people. And hopefully in November 2016 Harry will be joined by many other career politicians who have spent their entire time in office serving partisanship, as opposed to serving the American people.

White House 'Condemns' ISIS Atrocities?

D.W. Wilber

April 22, 2015



With each passing week it seems that the Islamic terrorists known as ISIS commit more and more brutal depredations against innocent people. They are also responsible for attacking our very heritage as well, bulldozing and destroying important historical

sites and artifacts along with the human carnage they perpetrate.

Since Barack Obama drew his red line in the sand in Syria, ISIS has responded to Obama's inaction by expanding their territory and increasing their level of violence and attacks across a large swath of Iraq, as well as in Libya and other locations. ISIS apparently wasn't much impressed by the president's 'stern warning' and tough talk.

Recently the African al Qaeda offshoot Boko Haram pledged their allegiance to ISIS, also apparently un-swayed by the photograph of Michelle Obama holding the 'hashtag' sign, imploring them to 'bring back our girls'. This administration's harsh response to the abduction of hundreds of Nigeria school girls by Boko Haram.

Again and again the Obama Administration comes up short when responding to acts of aggression and terrorism. Our adversaries have learned to call our bluff it would seem, convinced that all the Obama Administration is willing to do is to draw lines in the sand and talk tough, with no real action in response to the belligerence directed at us by those who would do us harm.

One doesn't just "condemn" atrocities, one gets angry and punishes those committing the atrocities, that's the appropriate response. And the only response that Islamic terrorists understand and respect.

Does that mean that America sends its' sons and daughters back into harm's way at the drop of a hat, engaging in unending combat operations on every corner of the planet, serving as the nation's 'firefighters' who run to every hot-spot to extinguish the flames at great cost in life and treasure?

Do we ask our Special Operations forces to carry the burden again so that Americans back home can continue to plan next weekend's back yard Bar-B-Que, or attend Little Buffy's dance recital undisturbed by events half a world away?

Americans need to understand that while the Obama Administration may flail away seemingly clueless about how to address all the serious national security challenges facing our country, and that the threats we face are definitely very real. We are indeed living in very dangerous times.

The Islamic terrorists have no fear of this current administration, and I would include the Islamic Republic of Iran among the terrorist organizations who intend to attack the U.S. and our interests.

They have always viewed the United States as decadent and evil, but over the past six years they have also come to see the Obama Administration as weak and uncommitted to defending this nation. Just as our other more conventional enemies such as Vladimir Putin's Russian and the Communist Chinese have come to believe.

The Obama Administration's remaining time in office will continue to reveal itself to be weak and uncommitted to our nation's defense. One has to believe in and have a love of one's country to be willing to fight to defend it.

Something the president and his closest advisors have never believed in. Why else would they be so intent on "fundamentally transforming" the United States of America?

The Clinton Mafia

By: D.W. Wilber

April 24, 2015



As more and more information is developed about Bill and Hillary Clintons' record of shady dealings one is reminded of Chicago during the 1920s, the reign of Al Capone and his organized crime empire.

The Clintons' history of criminal activity goes back as far as the 1980s and Bill Clinton's time as governor of Arkansas. A period of time when Hillary cashed in on her husband's political power and connections as well.

Peddling her influence, Hillary Clinton was able to obtain a choice position with the Rose Law firm, which was then considered to be the premiere law firm in the Arkansas capitol of Little Rock.

While Hillary played on her husband's position as governor and began to invest in cattle futures resulting in a small fortune, Bill Clinton also used his position of power to pursue and satisfy his reportedly insatiable sexual appetite outside of his marriage.

And while other investors in the failed Whitewater development lost money, the Clintons escaped with losses totaling much less compared to those others. Curious to say the least. Were there benefactors, or were the Clintons just lucky?

Interestingly a total of fifteen different people associated one way or the other with the Whitewater scandal were convicted of committing more than forty different crimes. A key participant, Susan McDougal, was subsequently the recipient of a presidential pardon by Bill Clinton before he left office, something

many consider to be a payback for her refusing to testify about the Clintons' level of participation in the Whitewater fraud.

The list of scandals that were connected to the Clintons during Bill's time as governor of Arkansas and later as president of the United States are too numerable to list. It would appear they are continuing even today. As the old saying goes, "where there's smoke, there's fire".

The Clintons have benefitted over the years from a liberal news media that has been supportive of their positions on social policy, and has neglected their journalistic responsibilities to follow the evidence and report the news fairly and objectively.

The Clintons now find themselves once again caught up in another scandal involving the Clinton Global Initiative, and Hillary's activities while serving as Secretary of State. At least initially there is the appearance of a 'quid pro quo' on the part of Hillary Clinton regarding donors to the Clinton charity. Not the first time the Clintons' financial dealings have come under scrutiny.

As is usual, the Clinton Mafia 'hit men' are out in full force trying to discredit anyone who besmirches Hillary's character. Or lack thereof. And it stands to reason things will get even nastier as Hillary's presidential campaign progresses and additional questionable activities come to light, as is expected with the Clintons.

But rest assured the Clinton Mafia will continue to practice the Mafia tradition of omerta, and remain silent about the level of the Clintons' criminality once again.

The War on Women 2.0

By: D.W. Wilber

April 10, 2015



As the 2016 presidential contest gathers steam one can already find Hillary Clinton out front and center claiming ownership of ‘womanhood’ as her own personal campaign issue. The former secretary of state and her

sycophants will be hitting the campaign trail appearing at a variety of venues carefully choreographed to project that theme.

Surrounded by women young and old, Hillary will pound the theme that women are being unfairly treated in the corporate board rooms, the military, and around the world by men who have shut the door on the full and equal integration of women into the workplace.

Her political opponents and the news media outlets that are not firmly in Hillary’s camp will be attacked mercilessly as being against women’s rights, continuing the Democrat theme of a “war being waged against women” by Neanderthal Republican politicians determined to stop the “champion” of women from becoming President of the United States.

The guerilla war on truth has already started with Hillary-ites racing out to counter charges against the Clintons made in Peter Schweizer’s new book, ‘Clinton Cash’. The old “right wing conspiracy” charges have been dusted off and are being tossed about by Hillary herself and her defenders in response to the information that’s apparently very unflattering to the Clintons, which reportedly is contained in Schweizer’s yet-to-be-released book.

It probably won't be long before we hear James Carville spout off that "you can drag a hundred dollar bill through a trailer park" once again, a preemptive strike to deride any new revelations about Bill Clinton's long rumored post-White House sexual escapades that might begin to surface, possibly threatening Hillary Clinton's own White House aspirations.

Hillary's obsessive goal of winning the presidency for herself was knocked off track in 2008 by Barack Obama, and her plans had to be placed on hold. So Hillary diligently attempted to burnish her resume as secretary of state to go along with her career as a United States Senator, waiting patiently for her turn to come once again.

Neither of the positions she held were noted for any real accomplishments by the way, which might well be a foreboding for what to expect under a Hillary Clinton administration.

One must remember that Hillary's quest for the White House has nothing at all to do with her desire to serve the American people. Her quest is all about her own ego gratification and unbridled personal ambition. There's probably just a little bit of revenge thrown in for good measure.

Yes revenge. Her election would fulfill her obsession with getting even with all the men over the years that she has had to play second fiddle to, including her own husband. Hillary is partly about finally getting the satisfaction that she believes she's entitled to after a lifetime of being humiliated and putting up with Bill's philandering.

And also holding accountable all the other men who helped him in his ongoing search for sexual conquests, and who helped him try to cover it up and hide it from Hillary.

Unlike truly successful women who achieved their success based on actual accomplishments, as opposed to a feeling of entitlement that is Hillary's only qualification, American success stories like Carly Fiorina and many others gained their success through the hard work and talents that they brought to whatever challenge they faced.

They are the true "champions" that American women should look to as examples, and who women should listen to for guidance on how to achieve great success in whatever endeavor they may choose to pursue.

The Democrats' "war on women" has been concocted to help Hillary Clinton achieve the White House not as a consequence of her abilities or talents, but basically because they don't believe she has a record good enough to stand on, or to achieve her goal on merit. And it's an insult to American women who have achieved great things based on their hard work and abilities.

The phony Democrat "war on women" is nothing more than their response to the fact that their party has nothing else to run on. They don't offer opportunity and hope for American women, only excuses.

No Hillary, this election won't be about your gender at all. It's all about your character.

The 'O-surgency'

By: D.W. Wilber

May 1, 2015



Fresh from the battlefields of Ferguson and New York City, the 'O-surgency' created and cultivated by Barack Obama and Eric Holder has now started a new front in the Maryland city of Baltimore.

It appears that Barack Obama's "fundamental transformation" of the United States is shifting into

'full speed ahead' for the administration's last year and a half in office, and the city of Baltimore is now the focus of the insurgency Barack Obama continues to nurture and use to pursue his goals.

Undermining law enforcement is a necessary step in the president's plans, and his reaction to Ferguson followed by New York City, and now Baltimore has revealed where his heart truly is. Which is with the insurgency threatening to spread across the country before the November 2016 election.



Aligning his administration with the likes of Al Sharpton and the New Black Panther Party instead of law and order, Barack Obama chooses a path of violent protest instead of debate and political compromise as a means of achieving his administration's goals.

America's 'community organizer in chief' must be reveling in the chaos he has helped to stimulate through the pronouncements that emanate from the White

House every time a black criminal meets his demise at the hands of the police, or in the case of Trayvon Martin. A young thug is killed by an armed citizen defending himself against attack.



Claiming that the deceased could look like his son if he had one, or sending White House representatives to the funerals of thugs and criminals only serves to embolden the ‘O-surgency’ that Barack Obama uses in order to achieve his own warped vision of

“social justice” in America.

With race relations at an all-time low in recent history and just under two years left in his presidency, Barack Obama seems to be accelerating his efforts to achieve the “fundamental transformation” of America he seeks before he leaves office. Since there’s no guarantee that should she be elected, Hillary Clinton will follow the pathway towards full socialism that he desires and has laid the groundwork for.

And certainly any Republican successor will endeavor to undo much of the damage to this country that Barack Obama has caused over his eight years in office.



So while paying lip service to supporting the police out of one side of his mouth, the president whispers into the ears of the ‘racially aggrieved’ out of the other side of his mouth, telling them that they are the victims of a system that’s stacked against them, and that all of their problems are someone else’s fault.

And continuing to stoke the fires of racial animosity and hatred by claiming that one group has an unfair advantage over another group is all part of the O-

surgency game plan. Divide and conquer the nation using class warfare to achieve the “hope and change” and way “forward” that the president is after.



What is conveniently overlooked by Barack Obama, the Democrat Party, and the mainstream news media is the simple fact that the recent incidents that occurred in Ferguson, New York City, and now Baltimore are being used by the president and his supporters to help things boil

over into rioting, is that the individuals who were killed were all involved in criminal activity.

Including the case of Trayvon Martin, who could have easily continued on his way and avoided a confrontation as most people would do, but instead chose to involve himself in a violent encounter which ultimately cost him his life.

But as is always the case, facts never matter to the O-surgency. What matters is the message that can be projected, even if the facts have to be distorted or dismissed in doing so. What’s most important to the O-surgency is achieving the “fundamental transformation” of the United States of America, and the truth be damned.

Should Hillary Wear a Body Camera

By: D.W. Wilber

May 4, 2015



Former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton recently made some comments about the criminal justice system in America and the large percentage of African Americans serving time behind bars. Clinton also briefly alluded to the

recent incidents involving the deaths of black men at the hands of police officers.

During her comments the former secretary of state and presumptive Democrat nominee for president in 2016 suggested that all police officers across the nation should wear body cameras as a means of holding police accountable for their actions.

She added that this would be able to provide an accurate video record of incidents that result in the police 'use of force'. Clinton added that the cameras would help with "transparency and accountability" of the police across the country, and help ensure that the police act legally and responsibly, especially in dealing with minorities.

Considering Hillary's long history of lies, obfuscation and cover ups, that's certainly a curious position for her to take. Her record certainly isn't one of supporting transparency and accountability. The former first lady wasn't the least bit "transparent and accountable" during all of the scandals that plagued her husband's administration.

Perhaps it's time to consider fitting Hillary with her own body camera. Think about it. Wouldn't it have been helpful during the Benghazi attacks to find out exactly what transpired in the White House Situation Room on that fateful night? It would certainly be enlightening to know what conversations took place

between her and Barack Obama during the attacks on our consulate facility in Libya.

Earlier during her husband's administration a Hillary-Cam could also have answered the questions about how her long-subpoenaed Rose Law Firm billing records that were part of the special prosecutor's Whitewater investigation, showed up on a table in the White House residence. Perhaps the most secure structure on the face of the earth without anyone knowing how they got there.

And what about the Travel-gate and all the other scandals and questionable activities that took place during her husband's administration? What about Paula Jones-gate, Kathleen Willy-gate, and Monica-gate? A Hillary-cam could have given us important insight into the discussions that took place inside the White House on these situations.

OK, there would probably have to be a parental warning for each of those last three video records, but that could easily be accomplished by just placing an 'R' or 'X' rating at the start of each video. Actually, considering Hillary's reported regular use of vulgar language and cursing it might be best to include a 'mute button' for the camera.

With her history of corruption and scandal the editing process might slow down providing the records to all the investigative committees sure to crop up during a Hillary Administration.

A video record of Hillary seated at her computer deleting her e-mails might help us all understand the process that she went through determining which e-mails were "personal" and which should have been released to the Benghazi Select Committee. And would even shed some light on the process she used to wipe her server clean.

Considering all the information coming out about the Clinton's apparent insatiable appetite for foreign cash donations to their charity, a video record of where all the sacks of cash are coming from would also be useful to see.

Yes, it's definitely time to consider hooking a body camera up to Hillary. Especially since she's now so outspoken about the importance of "transparency and accountability."

The Dog Ate My Homework

By: D.W. Wilber

May 14, 2015



Listening to Barack Obama over the course of his terms in office repeatedly blame Fox News for the failures of his administration reminds me of a classic piece of humor from many years ago.

During the old Art Linkletter program 'Kids Say the Darn-dest Things', on a regular basis Linkletter the host would read a list of excuses provided by children who failed to produce homework assignments for their teacher.

The excuses were all humorous and reflected the level of maturity of those providing them, small school children ranging in age from five to seven years old. In most cases the children showed great creativity in coming up with an explanation for why they failed. The program was always enjoyable, with the 'Darn-dest Things' part of the broadcast wildly popular with viewers. It was fun to watch the children squirm and act shy as they were questioned by Linkletter during that part of the program, while he read their excuses live to the studio and television audiences.

Unfortunately we find ourselves living in a very dangerous world nowadays, far removed from the light-hearted times when Art Linkletter entertained us with the clever remarks of small children.

It's also unfortunate that we have a president in Barack Obama who seems incapable of accepting any criticisms of his administration's handling of a whole variety of issues confronting our nation over the past six years.

For the most part Barack Obama has received a pass from most news media outlets and journalists, starting as far back as his first campaign for president in

2007. With his lack of experience and questionable background and associations completely overlooked, under-investigated, and mostly dismissed, Obama enjoyed a fairly free hand to implement his “fundamental transformation” of the United States.

Only one news organization, Fox News, took a step back and carefully and objectively reviewed the president’s questionable history and lack of accomplishments during his very short legislative career.

It is Fox News that has also continued to hold his feet to the fire during his administration. Maintaining their integrity as a news organization while their competition abdicated their journalistic responsibilities, Fox News has come to be recognized as the one news media outlet where viewers could generally receive a “fair and balanced” report.

Yet Barack Obama’s apparently very thin skin with regards to any criticism of his administration has led to his repeated attacks against Fox News over the last six plus years.

When his policies and presidency have been called into question by Fox News, the president makes excuses and places blame on others for his failures—and lashes out at the only broadcast news organization to hold him accountable.

Reminiscent of those small children on the Art Linkletter program who “said the darn-dest things,” and who also failed to accept responsibility for their failures.

The time has long passed for Barack Obama to accept responsibility for his lack of leadership that has brought the world to the edge of chaos. The Russian incursion into Ukraine, Benghazi, and ISIS running rampant across the Middle East and now threatening Americans here in the United States, this president seems incapable of showing leadership.

He had hoped that his ‘apology tour’ culminating in his speech in Cairo would suffice in the foreign affairs arena, and then he could focus his energies on his domestic agenda here in the United States. A huge miscalculation on his part.

But unfortunately for the citizens of this once great country, Barack Obama’s soul cleansing on the world stage early during his presidency only resulted in our enemies and adversaries recognizing him as a weak and uncommitted president. And Americans are now paying the price.

He seems content to just try to 'tread water' for the remainder of his time in office and pass off a complete foreign relations mess to his successor. After all, Barack Obama's entire administration has been focused on his perception of what is 'social justice' here in America. He only has a year and a half to complete his "fundamental transformation".

So once again returning to Art Linkletter and his kids, it's apparent that had he been on the program way back then, clearly with his inability to accept responsibility Barack Obama would have stolen the show. And nowadays by blaming Fox News and everyone else for his failures, he continues to say 'the darn-dest things'.

Tuskegee Lament

By: D.W. Wilber

May 27, 2015



Does racism exist in the United States? In fact, a better question would be does racism exist in the world? The answer is an unequivocal 'yes.' Indeed there are individuals around the planet who practice and espouse

racist ideals. Racism has been a fact of life in many countries since the dawn of civilization. And the reality is that unfortunately there will most likely always be racists, black and white. But the other reality is that Americans have made huge strides in banishing racism from our society.

Something Americans generally have been doing now for decades. And as a result institutional racism in the United States is mostly a thing of the past. Long ago the vast majority of Americans put aside the feelings of prejudice and racial biases that once were prevalent in all aspects of American society.

Most Americans nowadays could care less the color of a person's skin and are more interested in a person's character and behavior as the determining factor in how they view an individual, and how that individual is treated by society as a whole.

Unfortunately the term 'most Americans' doesn't appear to include Michelle Obama. If her recent commencement address at Tuskegee University is any indication of her true feelings, Michelle Obama continues to harbor animosity towards other Americans based on the color of their skin. Michelle Obama's speech was replete with examples of grievances and prejudice that she clearly holds towards white Americans.

Let's look at just two of the examples of supposed white racism that she felt compelled to mention during her address to the Tuskegee University graduating class during her commencement address.

Michelle Obama talked of the feelings that apparently she personally has experienced as a result of her skin color, when "white people would cross to the other side of the street when approaching an individual or a group of black people." She also commented on being "watched closely by merchants when entering a store."

Are those two examples she offered evidence of racism, or is it perhaps instead evidence of 'realism' that she describes? Has she ever heard of the "Knockout Game" which has sprung up recently in American cities, almost exclusively perpetrated by black people against white people?

If you're not familiar with the Knockout Game, it's played by primarily by young black people who when passing a white person on a city street will 'sucker punch' the white person as they pass by them.

I'm not up on all the rules and scoring, but apparently more points are awarded if the white person is actually knocked unconscious and lays helpless on the street. Sometimes their wallet or purse is taken by the perpetrator as an added bonus.

Often times a video of the "game" is posted on YouTube afterwards, sort of a 'highlights film' for the viewers and participants to watch again and again. Apparently it's become something of a spectator sport for many black youths.

Considering the 'Knockout Game,' not to mention the national crime statistics showing the large disparity of crimes committed by black people against white people, is it necessarily racist to cross to the other side of a street when being approached by a group of black youths? Or is one just recognizing the 'realism' of the threat of being a crime victim nowadays at the hands of black youths, and simply being cautious ?

Mrs. Obama also mentioned being watched closely by merchants when entering a store. Obviously she's also forgotten about the so-called 'Flash Mobs.' And we're not talking about the group of individuals who seem to spontaneously gather together to sing and perform in public venues, entertaining the crowds of spectators.

The Flash Mobs I'm referring to consists of a large group of black youths who enter a business and spread out once inside. Then they quickly grab as much merchandise as they can and run out the door, stealing hundreds if not thousands of dollars from a merchant in an instant.

Any merchant that might protest or try to stop them is threatened or assaulted by the thieves. So is it "racist," or might this almost exclusively black phenomenon also be a valid reason for a merchant to be on guard at times?

Instead of preaching a message of hate to the young graduates of Tuskegee University Mrs. Obama could have used this occasion to offer a message of encouragement and racial healing. But much like her husband always does she choose to claim racial victimhood and racial bias against black Americans by white Americans as the explanation for the problems facing much of the black community.

And apparently also overlooking her own husband's experience of being elected and then re-elected as president of the United States as a clear example of institutional racism being a thing of the past. A hell of a lot of white folk put their faith in her husband and voted for him in order for him to win the White House twice!

It certainly isn't racism that has caused many white people to reevaluate their support of Barack and Michelle Obama. It's a realism and an understanding based on his record in office and her public comments since her husband took office that the Obama Administration has a long way to go before his presidency will be viewed as being one for "all Americans."

Lost in a Fog

By: D.W. Wilber

June 2, 2015



As ISIS continues its rampage across Iraq and promises to attack the U.S. homeland, Vladimir Putin's Russia continues a campaign of aggression in Ukraine and threatens the rest of Europe, and Iran forges ahead in its nuclear weapons program

which threatens the entire world, Barack Obama gives speeches proclaiming "climate change" as our most serious national security threat. And our allies across the world shake their heads in amazement at an American president who seems to be completely lost in a fog.

Seemingly obsessed with the highly disputed and questionable theory of man-made climate change, Barack Obama continues to push for strict environmental legislation on American industry at every opportunity, and at the expense of neglecting our national security and protecting America from our enemies around the world.

Barack Obama and his Environmental Protection Agency it would seem are also less concerned about the impact massive red tape and environmental legislation has on the U.S. economy and jobs, than they are about protecting the habitat of the Tucumcari Nocturnal Eight-Legged Cock Roach.

Lost in a fog seems to aptly describe Barack Obama's national security policies as they relate to the serious challenges currently facing the United States on multiple fronts. Promoting the disputed theory of climate change as the real bogeyman facing America, Barack Obama pays little attention to the true threats facing our nation.

It's now just 18 months until Barack Obama turns the reins of government over to a successor. A successor who will also inherit a multitude of foreign policy problems left unattended to by Barack Obama.

A president who seems to be simply treading water and counting down the days until he moves out of 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, and starts making millions on the lecture circuit as he resumes his career as a community organizer.

Our allies around the world are also counting down the days until reasonable adults are back running the United States, and not the leftist ideologues of the past six years. They too have a vested interest in America having a competent and responsible leader instead of a freak show.

And in a similar vein our adversaries and enemies too are counting the days left in the Obama Administration. They need to know for planning purposes how many days they have left to take advantage of Barack Obama's weak and feckless presidency.

America's enemies are clearly betting that whomever succeeds Barack Obama will not allow them the freedom of movement to enhance the geo-political gains they have staked out or already enjoy under this regime.

The coming months promise to be filled with more and more challenges to America's leadership in the world coming from the Chinese, the Russians, and most certainly the Iranians who view Barack Obama's presidency as a 'gift' from Allah.

With Barack Obama's meddling and social engineering of our military, the United States of America may indeed become the "paper tiger" often referred to in the past by Iran's ruling Mullahs.

And whomever it might be, the 44th President of the United States will have a very deep hole from which to dig this country come Inauguration Day, 2017. The new president may not operate in a fog as Obama has, but there will be a cloud of uncertainty facing the country as a result of Obama's presidency.

Pathological Liars Anonymous

By: D.W. Wilber

June 4, 2015



Straight out of a Saturday Night Live skit starring Jon Lovitz as Tommy Flanagan, the nation's chief prevaricator Barack Obama once again stares into the TV camera and tells another whopper to the American people.

Implying that he's the closest thing to someone who's Jewish to occupy the White House cannot be viewed as anything other than either a bald faced lie, or the ramblings of someone who is truly dangerously delusional. Either answer is indeed a very scary proposition for the American people.

Skip over to the circus at Foggy Bottom and State Department spokesperson Marie Harf, the gift that keeps on giving, claims that the United States air attacks against ISIS have resulted in ten thousand dead jihadists. Yet when pressed by reporters Ms. Harf declines to provide how they came to those figures, other than to claim "a variety of methods" were used.

And then there's Hillary Clinton, rivaled only by her husband when it comes to straining credulity with her exceedingly rare and duplicitous answers to questions about Benghazi, her private e-mail server, and the Clinton Foundation. The list of Hillary's canards is literally endless.

Back during her Speakership Nancy Pelosi denied knowing anything about the CIA's 'Enhanced Interrogation Techniques' program, the same ones she was personally briefed about by the CIA. Either she slept through a very important

briefing, or she is absolutely clueless. Both also frightening to consider for someone who held such a high government office.

Harry Reid stands before reporters prior to the 2012 elections and tells everyone that an anonymous source has told him that Mitt Romney had not paid any taxes for ten years. When pressed old Harry sticks to his guns. That is until after announcing his retirement this year when his response to questions about his previous Romney tax assertions is “Romney lost didn’t he.”

When Bill Clinton, rivaled only by his wife Hillary in dishonesty, is put on the spot about all the millions he has made giving speeches the former president doesn’t miss a beat and with a straight face replies, “I have to pay the bills.” Even though he and Hillary are worth well over one hundred million dollars. Nearly as bad as when Hillary claimed to be “dead broke” when she and Bill left the White House, which everyone knew when she said it that it was a false statement.

Watching the Democrat Party for the last couple of decades has been like watching the Saturday Night Live skit with Jon Lovitz playing Tommy Flanagan on a loop, lying over and over and over and over again.

One could also equate it to another Saturday Night Live alumnus Bill Murray’s movie ‘Groundhog Day.’ The same old story being repeated continuously every day to the American people. With the exception that in Bill Murray’s movie his character actually was transformed into a good and decent person. Something that hasn’t happened to both of the Clintons, and is not likely to either.

While politicians of every stripe have been known to stretch the truth on occasion, the Democrat Party of today has taken deceit and dishonesty to a new level. Apparently they truly believe that Americans are just too damn stupid to know any better.

As Tommy would say, “Yeah, that’s the ticket.”

Our Greatest National Security Threat? Democrats!

By: D.W. Wilber

June 8, 2015



While Barack Obama may believe that climate change is the greatest national security threat facing our nation, a strong case could be made that the president's own political party actually presents a much more, clear and

present danger to the survival of the United States of America.

This week Hillary Clinton, the presumptive nominee of the Democratic Party for president, announced that she believed that a law should be enacted establishing automatic voter registration upon reaching the age of eighteen.

But Mrs. Clinton is adamantly opposed to any voter identification requirements, accusing Republicans of trying to prevent millions of Americans from exercising that most basic of American rights.

Probably the most important of our Constitutional guarantees as an American citizen, the right to vote and determine our future, yet Mrs. Clinton is more interested in making it easier for anyone to vote, including illegal aliens, convicted felons, and the deceased, than to protect that important right only for Americans. The facts are that the overwhelming majority of voter fraud cases that have occurred in recent elections involve Democrats committing the fraud.

The right to vote that veterans have fought and bled to preserve and protect for American citizens, yet Mrs. Clinton's position and a position voiced by most Democrats, weakens our election process and certainly is a threat to our national security.

In addition, countless members of the Democrat Party repeatedly try to infringe upon American's Second Amendment rights, the very right that guarantees our right to vote, by offering any number of strict gun control laws and legislation every chance they get. Including back door efforts by this administration to circumvent the Constitution, the Congress, and the very will of the people.

Secretary of State Clinton oversaw the State Department during the Benghazi attacks which occurred on the anniversary of the worst terrorist attack to happen in American history.

Instead of stepping up and working to find the causes of this tragedy and look for answers to help prevent another such attack, Secretary Clinton goes into political self-preservation mode and blames it on an amateur video clip.

Other Democrats fall in line to protect their future candidate for president and place roadblocks in front of committees investigating Benghazi. It seems politics and protecting Hillary for the party is more important than the truth and protecting Americans.

Barack Obama champions his administration for not leaving an American serviceman behind with a choreographed ceremony on the White House lawn, but only after trading five dangerous terrorists for an Army deserter. Yet the Obama Administration was AWOL when it came to providing help to those Americans fighting courageously on a rooftop in Benghazi and most assuredly left them behind.

The president weakens our military through Defense Department budget cuts and controversial social experimentation by allowing gays to serve openly in the military, and their directives to open Special Operations and other combat arms positions to women. Which includes lowering the standards if necessary to make it possible for women to wear the coveted Green Beret or the Navy Trident.

And he continues in his quest to close down the Guantanamo Bay detention facility where the worst of the worst Islamic terrorists are being held securely away from American shores. All the while releasing terrorist after terrorist from GITMO who have returned to Jihad, killing innocent people and American soldiers.

Followed blindly and supported by Democrats in Congress and the Senate, Barack Obama passed the Affordable Care Act which has been eroding and destroying

the world's best health care system ever since. With coverage diminishing and premiums skyrocketing Americans are rapidly turning against the president's signature legislative accomplishment, if it can be called an accomplishment.


Considering all of the things done by Democrats to weaken the United States of America, it seems the president is completely wrong in his assessment of what's the most dangerous national security threat facing this country.

All one has to do is look at him and his party's decisions since he took office and it's patently obvious that the Democratic Party itself poses the gravest threat to America's national security.

Groping For an Answer

By: D.W. Wilber

June 10, 2015



While the title might suggest that the subject matter is about Bill Clinton's presidency, in reality it's about a serious and much more relevant and important subject which should be of concern to all Americans. The

failures of the Transportation Security Administration to protect American air travel.

The recent release of a government report highlighted the high failure rate on the part of TSA employees to detect security threats among air travelers at American airports. Under-cover agents were successful at smuggling weapons and simulated explosives past airport security checkpoints at an alarming rate.

While I think it's safe to say that no one wants to return to the minimum wage contract security guards employed to conduct airport security prior to September 11, 2001, the billions invested in the TSA certainly hasn't elevated the level of air travel safety much above the standards that were in place prior to that tragic day in American history.

And just as tragically the solution most likely adopted by Congress will probably be to throw more money at this beleaguered federal agency, instead of addressing the real solutions. That seems to be the standard answer Congress comes up with, the easy and politically correct answer, instead of taking bold and responsible steps to address the TSA's failures.

History has shown us that the threats facing American air travel aren't from the little white-haired ladies or six year old children who are currently being subjected to TSA body searches at the airport. All being done so as not to bruise the feelings of certain organizations.

The primary threat to American air travel continues to be from Islamic extremists and their sympathizers who continue to seek ways to circumvent airport security precautions in their quest to kill innocent Americans, all in the name of Allah.

And unfortunately aided and abetted no less by CAIR, the Council for American-Islamic Relations, an unindicted co-conspirator in terrorism-related HAMAS funding criminal charges back in 2007. CAIR has also recently been named as a "terrorist group" by the United Arab Emirates. I wonder what the UAE knows, or is willing to acknowledge what we won't ?

For the United States to transform the TSA into an effective agency responsible for American air travel safety, the first thing that needs to be done is to change the mindset at TSA from one of being 'reactive', into an agency that takes proactive steps to head off extremist threats.

Properly training TSA personnel to use proven profiling measures to identify and prevent potential terrorists from boarding aircraft is step number one. Technology-based answers are simply not enough. And the objections voiced by CAIR be damned !

Americans are entitled to fly safely and without intrusive and clumsy body searches now being performed by TSA that achieve little other than embarrassment on the part of the travelers as well as the TSA agent.

Other patriotic American Muslims and American Muslim organizations not under the same suspicion that CAIR is could step forward to be part of the solution, instead of part of the problem.

And air travel for all Americans, including American Muslims could be more safe and efficient, instead of cumbersome and ham-handed as it is currently under the control of the Transportation Security Administration.

Police Racism at a Pool Party

By: D.W. Wilber

June 12, 2015



Has policing in America become even more of a thankless job over the past six years than it ever was before? Are police under such pressure and scrutiny that it makes it even more difficult for them to effectively protect

our communities? And just how are the police expected to respond when arriving at a chaotic and potentially dangerous incident? Or do they respond at all, worried that they may be accused of racism?

Did the McKinney police officer exhibit overt racism when he dealt with a group of mostly black teenagers who had crashed a community pool party, or does the root of the problem lie elsewhere?

Perhaps the problem lies with the young people who are not being taught to respect the law, or to respect the property and rights of others. And certainly they are not being taught to respect the police and comply with the reasonable instructions given by police officers investigating an incident.

Unfortunately as a result of this administration's war on the police overseen and encouraged by former Attorney General Eric Holder with the support of Barack Obama, young people, and particularly young people of color in this country have become much more belligerent and uncooperative during police-citizen encounters.

Growing up my parents taught me to respect the law and to respect the police. I was told to be courteous and to respond "yes sir, no sir", and to follow the

officer's instructions promptly. By doing so I would lessen the chance of my having worse problems.

Even if the officer was wrong my parents told me to go ahead and comply or sign the ticket and they would resolve it at the police station afterwards. But above all it was important to avoid exacerbating the situation or causing myself more difficulty by being disrespectful and failing to cooperate with the police.

Years ago a police officer I know received a radio call for a "possible homicide" that had just occurred on a well-lit parking lot of an area amusement park. Multiple witnesses described seeing between four to five young black male suspects "stomp to death" a young white male on the lot.

The witnesses were unsure if any other weapons had been used and they added that the suspects had fled in the direction of another parking area at a nearby hotel a couple of hundred yards away.

This information was broadcast over the radio in all police squad cars as additional officers responded to the scene. Since another officer had arrived to attend to the victim my friend drove to the location where the suspects had been observed to flee.

While in-route additional information was received from a person who worked at the hotel and was monitoring a police scanner, and they advised that the possible suspects were seen to get into a described vehicle and were attempting to depart the area. As he arrived he noticed a vehicle matching the description, and that was occupied by several young black males quickly trying to depart the area. He quickly blocked off their exit with a police squad car.

Since he had no back-up he got out of his vehicle with his weapon drawn and performed a "felony traffic stop", a police tactic that he had been taught years earlier in the police academy. Unsure whether they might be in possession of a weapon, each of the passengers was ordered out of the vehicle one at a time and then ordered to lie flat on the ground. Fortunately each complied without resisting.

Once additional officers arrived and the situation was investigated further it was determined that these individuals were not the suspects that had assaulted the victim, and they were eventually allowed to proceed on their way. There was

some grumbling among them and prior to departing a few expressed that they felt they were being harassed and stopped simply because they were black.

At this point my friend advised them that indeed that is exactly what he did, stop them because they were black. Since he had been informed by multiple witnesses that the suspects were young black males it would have been pretty stupid of him to stop a car full of young white males. They begrudgingly agreed and with police apologies for their inconvenience they departed.

I share this only to enlighten people that the police are often times sent into chaotic and confusing situations where they have to assert control quickly in order to restore order and protect lives and property, and sort out exactly what has happened.

Unless citizens are listening to a police scanner rarely do they know what a police officer is being told that they are responding to when they get a radio call. Unless a citizen sits in a police roll call and is briefed on what the police are briefed on before they start their daily shift, citizens haven't a clue what the police are being told.

Which may include descriptions of vehicles and suspects to be on the look-out for. Many times that traffic violation one is stopped for and given only a warning might not be about a traffic violation at all. It might be because they or their vehicle matches the description of a vehicle or person the police are seeking for some serious crime.

The bottom line is that the entire McKinney, Texas situation would never have happened had the youths involved been taught to respect other people's property, to respect and abide by the law, and to respect and comply with the police. It has nothing to do with race. It has to do with behavior. It's as simple as that.

Hillary's Tactics

D.W. Wilber

June 19, 2015



When one looks up the word “obfuscate” in a dictionary there is a large photograph of Democrat Presidential candidate Hillary Clinton. No further definition needs to be supplied since ‘obfuscation’ is the tactic she has used throughout her public life to get

away with not answering questions about her record, her honesty, and her lack of integrity. Hillary has used this tactic with great skill to deflect questions, and to cover up her unethical and even illegal activities.

She is practiced at this tactic and resorts to it automatically and with ease to escape being held accountable for her activities. And sadly she is allowed to by the compliant media who are totally invested in her becoming the first woman elected president of the United States.

And unfortunately for the American people who have had to live with the Clintons being part of our political landscape for the last couple of decades now, one can rest assured that Hillary will continue to employ the tactic in the coming 2016 elections. And why not, it works for her?

Which is truly sad since there are many accomplished women much more capable and better qualified, and certainly much more honest and trustworthy. And far, far more deserving of such an historic achievement.

The “mainstream media” has been allowing Hillary to get by with using this tactic over and over again when difficult questions have come up about her involvement in the Whitewater scam, Cattle futures, Travel-gate, Bimbo-eruptions, and her husband’s sexual predations against some of the very women Hillary claims to be the “champion” of.

Republicans better prepare for it during any upcoming presidential debates that may occur leading up to the November 2016 election. When confronted about her involvement in numerous scandals and lies, Hillary will simply dismiss any questions and claim that it's all nothing but "old news"; or "this has all been looked at and there's nothing there"; or it's all just another example of the "vast right-wing conspiracy" that's been attacking her for years.

What Republicans need to do is to continue to hammer away at Hillary and not allow her or the media to simply dismiss all the charges against her. Hillary is the most corrupt politician in American history, even more so than her husband. Hillary makes him look like a piker when it comes to political lies, deceit and dishonesty.

And as poll after poll continues to show that the American people do not believe Hillary is an honest person, whomever the Republican candidate ultimately is it will be incumbent on that person to keep Hillary's reputation for lies and corruption front and center before the American people.

Since most voters have become disillusioned with American politics believing that all politicians are corrupt, the Republican candidate will need to show to a skeptical public that there truly is a difference between themselves and the type of politician that Hillary Clinton represents.

If the voters can come to believe in her opponent's integrity, honesty, and commitment to serve the American people, Hillary's tactics will cease to be effective. And Americans can once and for all be spared the nightmare of a Hillary Clinton presidency.

Laying Blame on 'Things'

By: D.W. Wilber

June 23, 2015



Watching Barack Obama speak the other day on the South Carolina church tragedy one could predict that his comments would include another call for more gun control. That is status quo for the Obama Administration, and for Democrats in general. To blame an

inanimate object instead of demanding accountability for the individual. It's been a hallmark of Democrats for decades.

It seems that for Democrats holding people responsible for their actions is near impossible for them to do. Take for instance last year in Ferguson, Missouri. Instead of holding Michael Brown responsible for initiating the events that ultimately led to his death, Democrats almost uniformly jumped on the "hands up, don't shoot" bandwagon, blaming the police and Officer Darren Wilson specifically for what happened.

When the police in Ferguson came under intense attack, which included being sniped at by rifle fire and assaulted with Molotov cocktails, bricks and glass bottles, forcing the police to respond by deploying tactical vehicles and equipment, the Democrats response was to blame the police.

According to Democrats the police were at fault for using excessive force when in reality the police response was a direct result of the actions of the rioters on the streets of Ferguson. Had the demonstration remained calm and peaceful there would have been no need for the police to use more aggressive tactics or deploy tactical equipment to try to regain control of an out of control situation. Once again instead of blaming and holding rioters responsible for their actions, Democrats were quick to point their finger elsewhere.

Following the Benghazi attacks of September 11, 2012 which resulted in the deaths of four Americans including the U.S. ambassador to Libya, Democrats led by Barack Obama and Hillary Clinton quickly looked to place blame on an unknown YouTube video clip. Another inanimate object they claimed was responsible for the deaths of innocent human beings.

Curiously though more and more information is coming out about the Administration's own gun-running operation in Libya providing weapons to rebels in Syria, many of which who are probably the same ISIS terrorists now threatening to bring their fanatical terrorism to American shores.

Add to the Libya fiasco the 'Fast and Furious' gun running operation with Mexico that resulted in the death of American Border Patrol Agent Brian Terry, and once again the Obama Administration denies their own responsibility and looks to place blame elsewhere.

Since the investigation into the Charleston church shooting is far from complete one would think the reasonable thing to do would be to stay away from knee-jerk reactions and finger-pointing, but that wouldn't go along with what Democrats do best.

Which is to demagogue any issue they think they can gain some sort of political advantage from, and to avoid blaming or holding an individual accountable for their actions.

So expect a chorus of more calls for gun control from the Democrats and the Obama Administration, joined quickly by Hillary Clinton in her quest to distract voters from her own record of criminality. And instead of holding individuals responsible for their own actions and finding real answers to problems, Democrats will continue to blame an inanimate object. It's much easier than showing leadership.

Incompetence, or by Design ?

By: D.W. Wilber

June 24, 2015



Is Barack Obama the most incompetent person to ever hold the office of President of the United States ? Or instead, is he the most devious ? And the actions he's taken to weaken America over the past six years, are they by accident or have they been by design ? Maybe we need to look at the history and record

of Barack Obama for some clues.

He was raised in an environment that was, if not outright hostile to American ideals, at the least was not an environment that instilled a pride and love of country that most Americans grew up in. Barack Obama was surrounded by family members and friends who either espoused outright communist and anti-American beliefs, or were sympathetic to leftist causes.

Since taking office, Barack Obama has taken steps to systematically weaken the United States and our position of leadership in the world. Traveling to other countries and apologizing for all manner of supposed American transgressions, the new president sent the message to our friends and our adversaries that America was bad, and that we were ashamed of our history.

Almost immediately Barack Obama began to tinker with the greatest, most battle-tested military in the history of the world. Discarding the 'Don't Ask, Don't Tell' policy that was working fine, Barack Obama tossed our military into disorder simply to accommodate a very small number of homosexuals who wanted to be able to openly, in uniform, practice their homosexual choice. How better to

weaken our powerful military than to sow seeds of dissension and distrust among the troops ?

And following this up by changing the military's policy of restricting certain combat arms jobs to men only, Barack Obama again chose 'social engineering', using the military as his laboratory over what was best for national security. And if necessary lowering the standards for membership in the most elite combat units just to insure the inclusion of women.

Standing idly by while the Middle East falls into disarray and people are being butchered by Islamic extremists, Barack Obama created the environment for this to happen by his haste to exit Iraq. All the while the pleas for help and leadership from our Middle Eastern allies falls on deaf ears.

The American health care system had been the envy of the world until Barack Obama felt it necessary to create a huge governmental behemoth to bring it under the control of government bureaucrats. And all done to provide health insurance for a small minority of people who were already guaranteed by law to receive medical care simply by walking into a hospital emergency room.

Our Southwest border was already a sieve with illegal aliens crossing regularly into America. But under Barack Obama the flood gates were literally thrown open allowing thousands more illegal alien's unrestricted entry into the United States. Border Patrol agents whose job it is to protect our borders were ordered not to. The first time in American history that government employees were told to turn a blind eye to and ignore national security.

Setting out to "fundamentally transform" the United States Barack Obama has created and encouraged divisions in American society based on class and race. The 'have-nots' against the 'haves', blacks against whites, and the poor against the wealthy.

Surrounding himself with extreme leftists, some like Valerie Jarrett and Van Jones with strong communist inclinations, Barack Obama has dove headlong into the battle to if not completely overturn the Second Amendment, then at least to enact strict gun control laws however he can. Taking lessons from history Barack Obama knows there's no better way to control a population than to disarm that population.

With a year and a half left in his presidency Barack Obama seems intent on finishing the changes to America he set out to complete. And it doesn't appear to be incompetence or just dumb luck, but a concerted effort on the part of an administration determined to create a vision of America most Americans could never recognize, nor ever want.

Putin's Gambit

By: D.W. Wilber

June 25, 2015



With roughly a year and a half left in Barack Obama's presidency, Russian leader Vladimir Putin looks to be shifting into high gear his effort to rebuild the Russian empire, and to humiliate and punish the United States of America

and the West for our collective gloating following the dissolution of the former Soviet Union.

Putin's psyche was stung by the West and particularly the United States declaring victory in the Cold War when the Soviet Union collapsed. As a staunch supporter of the previous regime, but more than that as an ardent Russian patriot, he viewed comments about "defeating the Soviet Union without firing a shot" made by western politicians and the media as 'crowing' over Soviet Russia's failure.

Putin's incursion into Ukraine is just the first phase of his intended policy of re-establishing Russian hegemony over the entire region, with the Baltic nations squarely in his sights. Poland and the rest of the former Soviet Bloc countries also find themselves facing the threat of aggression by a resurgent Russia under Vladimir Putin.

Without spelling out what they intend to do, Russia has threatened to retaliate should the U.S. go ahead with plans to base heavy weaponry in those same Baltic States and other former Soviet satellites that border Mother Russia. Considering what's taking place right now in Ukraine, Russia's threats cannot be dismissed.

Cyber-attacks against the United States have been occurring and continue to threaten our national security, and which have gone unanswered thus far, so it's

obvious our enemies view the United States as severely weakened under this administration. Weakened and unwilling it seems to respond to threats and acts of aggression from a variety of adversaries.

The Obama Administration continues to push for a nuclear agreement with Iran, seemingly determined to achieve a deal regardless of the conditions, or whether the security of the United States and that of Israel, our closest ally in the Middle East are placed at a distinct disadvantage.

The Iranian mullahs have stated their position clearly, which includes no inspections of Iranian military facilities. What should be a deal-breaker in these negotiations, but one which it seems the U.S. is getting closer to accepting if that's what it takes to get a deal signed.

Not that it matters since the Iranians cannot be trusted to abide by any agreement anyway. Entering into these negotiations has been nothing more than a delaying tactic on the part of Iran.

They will never give up their goal of becoming a nuclear power in the Middle East, and perhaps threatening Europe or even the U.S. with nuclear retaliation should we do anything they take issue with. And certainly not giving up their stated goal of destroying the State of Israel.

But clearly the most serious threat right now comes from Vladimir Putin's Russia. Putin is not content with merely making Russia strong once again, but in also punishing the United States and the West.

This is a matter of personal and national pride for him, and as the Soviet leaders who preceded him, he's more than willing to have his people pay whatever it costs to humiliate the United States, as he believes Russia was humiliated.

Not a recipe for optimism with Barack Obama in office for another year and a half.

Magically Delicious

By: D.W. Wilber

June 26, 2015



The General Mills Company, maker of Lucky Charms among other popular breakfast cereals, has announced that they will be removing artificial coloring from all their cereal products. According to a company spokesperson the decision is intended to conform to the public's desire for more natural ingredients in foods, and less artificial coloring being added

to make it more appealing.

Speaking of artificial coloring, following the tragic shooting at the South Carolina church Hillary Clinton quickly jumped on the gun control bandwagon in response. An expected position for her to take considering her concerns about her sagging poll numbers among her voting base.

Also just recently during an appearance at a predominantly black college Hillary Clinton again played the race card, commenting on how a large percentage of young black men face a future which includes prison. Though certainly no mention of personal responsibility possibly being a contributing factor was offered by the former secretary of state.

While Hillary Clinton scurries around the country making campaign appearances she answers very few questions from reporters, particularly from reporters whom she dislikes. Translation – reporters who don't swoon over her and who try to hold her accountable. Getting her to answer concerns about her family's

charitable foundation, her missing e-mails, and the Benghazi tragedy is nearly impossible.

Her campaign appearances are carefully choreographed to insure that only starry-eyed people who idolize the former first lady are in the audience. Definitely not natural or devoid of artificial coloring, General Mills would be aghast !

But Democrats have made a career out of artificially coloring things, particularly when it comes to discussions of race. Some Democrats have been brazen enough to blatantly accuse all conservatives and Republicans of being overt racists, while other Democrats color their comments with subtle racial undertones, still making the point that racism is alive and well in the Republican Party.

Never is it mentioned that the Democratic Party has controlled the black American voting bloc for decades, yet the plight of black Americans, and inner city black Americans in particular has failed to improve under the Democratic banner. In fact the case can easily be made that by blindly following the Democrat Party black Americans have worsened their position in American society.

The Democratic Party has promised much but delivered little for minority Americans over the decades they have controlled the black American voting bloc. The only thing Democrats have achieved is to contribute to the racial animosity and racial divide that has reached new levels in this country. Certainly not something to be proud of. But then again one can count on the Democrats to continue to falsely blame their own party's failures back on the Republicans.


For their part Republicans haven't necessarily shown the moral character or intestinal fortitude, much less the integrity and honesty America needs when given the opportunity to govern either.

Wouldn't it be nice if politicians followed the same policy as General Mills, less artificial coloring, and more character and principle in addressing all the important issues facing our nation?

Bye Bye Second Amendment

By: D.W. Wilber

June 29, 2015



Now that the Obama Administration has two wins under their belt with the recent Supreme Court decisions on ObamaCare and Gay Marriage, look for the president and his allies in the Democrat Party and the anti-gun activists to be

emboldened, and attempt to capitalize on the Court's willingness to legislate from the bench.

Barack Obama and his party have made no secret of their desire to enact strict gun control legislation in America. Their efforts have been rebuffed so far by the American people and the Republican legislature. But that hasn't stopped them from plotting any number of ways to try to restrict gun ownership through regulatory, procedural, or executive actions.

Those efforts are bound to continue, especially now that the United States Supreme Court appears to be open to reaching decisions based on political considerations and public pressure, as opposed to basing their decisions solely on the U.S. Constitution.

What does all this mean for the millions of responsible gun owners in America? It could mean the eventual confiscation of entire classes of weapons by the federal government. But at least initially it will probably be more subtle, the first step of a slippery slope towards the outlawing of private gun ownership in the United States.

Initially there will probably be regulatory steps implemented to restrict certain types of ammunition purchases, adding excessive amounts of taxes to various calibers or types of ammo so that it becomes cost-prohibitive to buy. You can't

target shoot with an AK-47 if the ammunition is so expensive that you can't afford to buy it. The same goes for all the other so-called "assault weapons".

Outlawing large capacity magazines has also been on the menu for Democrats, something they have been screaming about since the Newtown tragedy, if not before. Effectively turning a semi-automatic rifle into a single shot musket if they can accomplish it. No need for a thirty round magazine to go deer hunting has been their battle cry on this issue.

A federal gun registry through the use of comprehensive background investigations is another thing that Democrats have been after. And what would be the next step following that? Prohibiting sales to people who they feel through the background investigation do not meet the criteria that they themselves subjectively establish for who can own a gun?

Included among those might even be the very military and combat veterans who put their lives on the line every day protecting the Constitution itself, and the very Second Amendment giving Americans the right to own guns.

But why stop there one might ask. Haven't the Democrats and their anti-gun puppet-masters continued the argument that the actual wording of the Second Amendment specifically reads a "well-regulated militia", meaning National Guard or federal troops under the control of the government? Not individual American citizens. Back in 2008 then candidate Barack Obama called for a "civilian national security force", and proposed that it be as big as all of the nation's military branches.

With Obama's trusted advisor Al Sharpton recently calling for the nationalization of the country's police force, it should send shudders down the spine of all freedom-loving Americans. The very thing those thirty round magazines mentioned earlier were designed to protect us against, a corrupt government intent on taking Americans freedoms away.

It seems to me that the two recent rulings by the Supreme Court have not only decided the two issues that were before them, but may very well have set the stage for an unprecedented coming gun-grab by the Obama Administration and the Democrats, and the potential loss of freedom for all Americans.

Look at the Shiny Object Over Here !

By: D.W. Wilber

July 2, 2015



While Americans have collectively been wringing their hands and fretting over how to respond to the recent very tragic South Carolina church shooting, many have blamed a relic of our distant past for everything racial that ails America.

The Confederate battle flag has become an emblem of our evil past, and calls for everything 'Confederate' to be expunged from American society has begun to reach a fevered pitch.

It seems those calling for this erasure of history believe its removal will solve our nations racial troubles, when in reality it will only salve for some the divide between races that has been building now for six years under the Obama administration.

Like many Americans at the time of the War of the Rebellion whose families fought for both sides, I too have ancestors who served bravely for both the Union and the Confederacy. Both wounded in battle at some of the major engagements that occurred during that terrible time in our nation's history.

Just as I am proud of my Great-Grandfather Sergeant Henry Wilber who serving for the Army of the Potomac and was wounded at both Antietam and Gettysburg, I too am proud of my Great-Grandfather Captain Henry Guibor, who was seriously wounded at Grand Gulf, and who commanded Guibor's Battery under the Confederate Battle Flag all the way until the end of the war.

Neither all Rebels nor all Yankees necessarily fought either to preserve the institution of slavery, or rid that blemish on American history, but the heritage of

bravery that they and thousands of others on both sides displayed transcends any simple relic now adorning license plates, or hanging on the wall of taverns all across America.

As one who considers himself to be a patriotic American, and while recognizing the many arguments and protestations of those who would continue to fly the Confederate Battle Flag, the reality is that very flag represents what was then an act of treason and insurrection against the United States of America. Just as the first Americans who flew the original Star Spangled Banner were committing treason against the Crown during our Revolutionary War.

One thing though is abundantly clear, Americans are being distracted by this new divisive issue seemingly created out of thin air, and which is being used by the Obama administration to further divide us and distract us from grave issues facing this nation.

The Obama Administration seems intent on bringing home a nuclear deal with Iran, regardless of the conditions being insisted on by the Islamic Republic, which offer no guarantees that they will cease their efforts to obtain a nuclear weapon.

Their often stated intentions of destroying the “Little Satan” Israel, as well as the “Big Satan” the United State of America, should be taken at face value. Iran has done nothing since the Islamic Revolution of 1979 to change its position and its ultimate goal.

So while we are distracted by this shiny object off on the periphery, the Obama administration appears to be on the threshold of trading American national security simply in order to obtain some perceived legacy foreign policy achievement for the Obama presidency.

Spiking the Ball Too Soon ?

By: D.W. Wilber

July 3, 2015



As the White House was busy celebrating dual Supreme Court wins last week, symbolically doing an 'end zone dance' and painting the White House rainbow colors as a poke in the eye to Americans who opposed both controversial

decisions, Republicans in Congress and the Senate seemed to be caught off guard.

Considering the make-up of the Supreme Court with the two ultra-liberal justices Breyer and Ginsberg, along with the two justices Sotomayor and Kagan who the president has comfortably in his hip pocket, Republicans should not have been surprised by the decisions.

Justice Kennedy has been a toss-up for much of his career on the Court, and Chief Justice Roberts who proved that he lacked courage when he ruled in favor of ObamaCare the first time, was predictable in how he was going to decide this time around.

Robert's vote against homosexual marriage was simply for show since he most likely had an inclination how the decision was going to go, and could at least claim some sort of 'independence' and moral courage by voting against it, knowing full well his vote would make no difference in the final outcome.

With both decisions highly unpopular among a large number of Americans, Republicans have two choices on how they can proceed, and hopefully grasp victory from the jaws of defeat. They can stamp their feet and pontificate, threaten some sort of legislative action, and accomplish very little other than to further turn off an electorate already turned off by Washington politics.

Or they can go to work and earn the respect and the vote of Americans looking for true leadership to come from our elected officials. The few merits and obvious failings of ObamaCare are well known by now. Republicans need to put forth a viable and better plan to address America's health care challenges. And then sell it to the American people.

Not just the sound-bytes that we've heard over and over for years now. Americans want to see results, and not results spelled out over a couple of thousand pages that no one either understands or is inclined to read.

Homosexual marriage is the law of the land now, but protections for people of conscience must be enacted to insure that their religious convictions are protected. The huge political influence of the two or three percent of the country's homosexual population needs to be countered by the much larger voting bloc that opposes their agenda. Homosexual activists must be prevented from denying the overwhelming majority of Americans their own Constitutional right to religious freedom.

So while Barack Obama and his allies may be spiking the football over last week's court decisions, the game isn't over for Republicans. As the Hillary e-mail scandal continues to unfold with more and more revelations coming out no one knows yet, other than perhaps Congressman Trey Gowdy, where this will all lead. Or what political costs may still be paid. The president himself more than likely will have some explaining to do about his own actions the night of the Benghazi attacks.

So concerns over what may still be uncovered in coming days and weeks could take the wind out of the Obama Administration's sails, and just a little of the luster off of Barack Obama's best week ever.

Droning On

By: D.W. Wilber

July 6, 2015



Chalk up another bad guy for the Obama Administration as reports indicate yet another terrorist leader bites the dust as the result of an American drone attack. While no one sheds any tears over one more extremist taking a well-deserved dirt nap, the

administration's policy of almost exclusively fighting the War on Terror using aerial drones does little to protect us, and has little effect on leading us to victory over our terrorist enemies. Nor does it instill fear or respect in our other adversaries Iran, Russia or China.

Much of the intelligence that leads our efforts against the difficult terrorist enemies we face is obtained from the capture and interrogation of terrorist fighters. Fortunately or unfortunately all that's left behind from a drone strike are body parts, and very little of real intelligence value is ever recovered. So while a successful drone strike may provide some short term satisfaction, in the long run it is generally counterproductive.

Barack Obama's refusal to conduct a more aggressive campaign against our ISIS enemies in Iraq, as well as the Russian-backed separatists in Ukraine continues to send the message to them that the president will not respond with strong military force in any confrontation, or vigilantly protect American national interests. A message also well received and used to their advantage by the Iranian negotiators in Geneva.

With roughly a year and a half left in the Obama Administration it's becoming more and more apparent that our adversaries in the world intend to take

advantage of this time to achieve some of their own goals before a new administration comes in. Perhaps a new Republican administration that may be more inclined to forcefully protect American lives and interests than the current one in Washington, D.C.

Vladimir Putin's resurgent Russia continues its military build-up and combat operations in Eastern Ukraine, exhibiting no apparent reluctance to impose their will on the former Soviet satellite. It's more than obvious to even the casual observer that Putin holds little regard for his counterpart in the White House, and completely dismisses any strong language emanating from Washington as nothing more than empty rhetoric.

He seems to have read the Obama playbook and has determined that he has a free hand to do as he pleases, while he presumably smirks and chuckles to himself when Barack Obama seems more interested in painting the White House rainbow colors than in countering Putin's aggressive moves in Europe.

Putin has openly threatened our NATO allies in Eastern Europe and the Balkans, and he is attempting to capitalize on and create dissention between the NATO alliance and Greece, using the Greek financial crisis as a wedge. Considering Barack Obama's response to Russian moves so far, our European allies must surely question how committed the president really is to the NATO alliance that has kept Soviet and Russian aggression in check now for over sixty years. Most certainly Vladimir Putin questions our commitment.

During comments she made over the July 4th weekend Hillary Clinton, the presumed Democratic nominee for president in 2016 remarked that regardless of any deal we achieve over the Iranian nuclear program, "Iran will still be the world's biggest sponsor of terrorism".

Those comments beg the question "Why then are we even negotiating with Iran in the first place" ? Why waste our time signing any accord when we know that Iran has no intention of abiding by any agreement, and will continue to create havoc around the world through their sponsorship of terrorism? Their quest for a nuclear weapon will go unabated regardless of any piece of paper they may sign as a result of these so-called negotiations. A strong America is needed to counter Iranian adventurism around the world.

And with international sanctions lifted as part of any agreement Iran will be flush with new funds and much more able to support terrorist organizations in every hemisphere. Including here in our own Western Hemisphere.

Unfortunately things don't look to improve much should 'Obama III' continue with Hillary Clinton in the White House. Our nemesis Iran and Russia's Putin will see Hillary Clinton as nothing more than novelty, viewed with scorn and ridicule. And considering her history and the personal baggage she will bring with her into the Oval Office, our enemies will view her with low regard and little respect.

As she drones on about completing the social agenda and "fundamental transformation" left unfinished by her predecessor Barack Obama.

Border-ing On Insanity

By: D.W. Wilber

July 8, 2015



Another American, a young woman on the streets of San Francisco, is murdered by an illegal alien and politicians from both political parties collectively have blood on their hands. How so? Both Democrats and

Republicans have failed to secure our borders and have allowed illegal aliens to enter the United States almost at will for decades, in both Republican and Democrat administrations.



While Democrats view each illegal alien as a potential new Democratic voter, for years Republicans gave a wink and a nod to the problem of illegal aliens crossing our southern border because it helped address a different concern, the need for cheap labor in the agricultural and other menial labor industries.



Presidential candidate Donald Trump stirred up a hornet's nest recently with his comments about the character of illegal aliens entering the United States from Mexico. And while his comments may have been somewhat intemperate, the facts about what he alleges are pretty much on his side.



Certainly many of those entering this country illegally across our Border with Mexico are coming into the United States looking for a better life for themselves and their families. Many simply are running away from corrupt and abusive

governments, and running towards the freedom and opportunity offered by that “shining city on a hill”, as described by President Ronald Reagan.



But unfortunately those individuals are equaled in number by the type of illegal aliens described by Donald Trump. An advantage of our porous southern border in order to pursue new opportunities for criminal activity and victims here in the United States.

Having spent two years working as a liaison with state and local law enforcement on our southwest border was an eye-opening experience for me. I had been vaguely aware that there were problems along our border with Mexico, but coming from the Midwest the extent of the problem wasn't really known to me. And it's still unknown to most Americans.

Once I began to work with law enforcement partners along our southern border I



quickly began to see a much more troubling picture of what had been taking place. And it was a picture that if accurately broadcast by news outlets outside the region and all across America would cause a groundswell of outrage in this country.



If Americans only knew just how bad things were they'd be screaming for the heads of every politician in Washington, D.C. who have turned a blind eye to the threats to our national security as a result of our current, and our historical policies towards border security. Policies that both

political parties are responsible for.



Contrary to the picture being painted by the Obama Administration and its Democrat Party allies, those crossing our southern border aren't made up simply of the poor, downtrodden, and oppressed yearning for freedom.

I've even heard some Democrat politicians refer to them as "undocumented Americans" ! The true picture is something much closer to the one being painted by Donald Trump.

While America treasures our cultural diversity and our history of being the great "melting pot", unfortunately many of those now coming to America have no interest in assimilating into American culture and contributing to make America a better country.

Many of the new immigrants to our shores are here to take advantage of our social services, the opportunities offered by our free market economy, or to use our laws and Constitutional protections to their advantage with little thought about contributing anything to our American society.

Sadly many of those who come here who genuinely want to experience the great American story are overshadowed by those who come with no intention of becoming anything but a picture on a top ten wanted poster.

And unfortunately the voices of those in law enforcement serving on the front lines of this current border invasion go unheard, or more accurately go un-

listened to. It's a real "inconvenient truth" that politicians don't want to admit.



Much more of a reality than the other inconvenient truth that some politicians try to pass off as a more serious threat.

And the insanity on our southern border continues to allow criminals and possibly terrorist organizations unfettered access into the United States.

BONUS COLUMN

The Difference Between Legal and Illegal

By: D.W. Wilber

July 9, 2015



Back in 2004 I met a very courageous person, a young Iraqi woman of twenty-four years of age. I had the privilege of working together with her trying to help rebuild her country after the U.S. invasion of Iraq in 2003. Each day she would walk a circuitous route through Baghdad to and from work, striving hard to cover her tracks so that no one would discover that she was working for the Americans.

This was the era of Abu Musab al-Zarqawi, the Iraqi terrorist leader who was doing his utmost to create havoc inside Iraq. Killing and beheading Iraqis and Westerners alike, al-Zarqawi was determined to disrupt the American effort to stabilize Iraq and give the Iraqi people an opportunity for a future.

Any Iraqi who worked for the Americans was fair game for al-Zarqawi and his followers, including women and children. My young Iraqi friend placed her life on the line, and even that of her family members every single day by just coming to work to help provide for her family.

Interested in furthering her education and expanding her horizons she decided to apply for and was awarded a Fulbright Scholarship. And showing more courage

she left her culture and family behind and came to study in America. Settling into a strange land with strange customs she flourished.

Working at odd jobs to help make ends meet and even overcoming a serious health challenge, she eventually obtained an advanced degree and went to work once again for the American military teaching the Arabic language to American troops preparing to deploy overseas.

Showing the perseverance and following all of the legal requirements over a period of several years she became a proud new American citizen. And our country has been greatly enriched by having her among us. Her story is one that should be shared as an example of what is best about the great 'melting pot' that makes up our great nation of immigrants.

Juan Francisco Lopez-Sanchez came to America illegally from Mexico, at least as early as 1991 when he first was arrested by American law enforcement. His lengthy criminal record includes arrests mostly for drug offenses, but did indicate an arrest for assault in Arizona back in 1997.

As a seven-times convicted felon Lopez-Sanchez was previously deported a total of five times and returned to Mexico. Yet after each deportation he would return, illegally entering the United States each time. Using at least thirty aliases he would remain in the U.S. until being arrested and deported yet again.

How Lopez-Sanchez lived while inside the U.S. is anybody's guess. He certainly wasn't regularly employed and as far as is known he didn't pay any taxes. He didn't come here as an inspiring poet or artist, or a person of conscience who left persecution and oppression in his native Mexico. Nor has he really contributed anything positive in any way to American society during his stays. He survived most likely by committing crimes to provide for himself.

My Iraqi friend and colleague is someone I am proud to know, and she is someone who has contributed much to our country. And I am confident she will continue to do so throughout her life.

She believes strongly in what America stands for and appreciates the opportunities that she has been given by our great nation. So much so that she has worked hard to give back for the blessings America has bestowed upon her.

As for Juan Francisco Lopez-Sanchez, last week he killed thirty-two year old Kate Steinle on Pier 14 in San Francisco as she walked alongside her father.

And as she fell to the ground and lay dying she was heard to say “Dad, help me, help me”.

It's a Matter of Opinion

D.W. (Del) Wilber

- Former C.I.A. Officer
- Counterterrorism
- 30 Years of Service and Counting

100 Columns – Plus BONUS Column

The **FASTEST** way to get knowledgeable on national and world events!

Thank you for reading Del's book. Additional copies are available at:

www.ReaganRadioStore.com

“Being an American is about being a part of something that's bigger than yourself”.