

## Notes & Quotes from September 6, 2005 V. of Round Lake Board Meeting

- as transcribed from the audio online at <http://www.eroundlake.com/meetings.asp?month=9&year=2005> beginning at marker 1:03:08 / 01:56:56

9.4 : Trustee explains he is skipping the order of the published agenda and skipping to 9.4 on behalf of what Bonnie Thomson Carter wants.

**Trustee:** "I'd like to do that out of order as....I want to be able to get Bonnie out of here quick as possible" "so a motion to waive 1<sup>st</sup> reading."

### **Lake County Board Member Bonnie Thomson Carter purports:**

"I am here tonight to ask you for an ordinance which will establish Wooster Lake as a no-wake lake. There's been many new developments around Wooster Lake...Silver Leaf Glen in the village of Round Lake and one in the village of Fox Lake, and their new residents there pose new challenges for the lake and for the residents that use the lake.

For over 50 years, Wooster Lake has been a no-wake lake. There's been a handshake deal that everyone has agreed to that.....it's a wonderful important lake that needs to be protected. In front of you is the ordinance and there's 7 items on the front page that explain to you what makes Wooster Lake so special.

Also last month, Lake County Health Department Chronicles...I don't know if any of you have seen that....actually highlights Wooster Lake to be of the top 5 lakes.....needs to be protected....(largely inaudible).

So what I'm asking for here is for you to recognize there's a state statute that allows a new municipality to go to extend actually beyond the borders that you actually are on to establish the use of the lake. It gives you the jurisdiction to do that. With that jurisdiction, once this ordinance is passed, then the Lake County Sheriff's Marine Unit can actually go out there and enforce the ordinance. The V. of Fox Lake, I've talked to the Mayor. They're not really fussy. They are willing to do this....it was actually on their agenda tonight but I couldn't do both this evening. And they had another development issue. So I'll be introducing there at their next meeting also.

The Sheriff's Department will do the enforcement on the lake. To do that, they need to have first the jurisdiction...the ordinance for the law for the lake. You create the ordinance.....2<sup>nd</sup> they need to have a contract with you to do that. Then at that point, where does the financial burden come from to pay for that enforcement.....Tanneron Bay....has been very instrumental in protecting the lake....getting grant money....working with the Health Department.....and is willing and able to take on the financial responsibility to do this. They have committed to that. I have a letter from the President of their board, saying they will do that.

What would happen is, once we have established use, no-wake use (her emphasis), on that lake, the county will enter into an agreement with you that they would enforce your ordinance.....and have separate contracts.....(inaudible)...I know that (private) Third Lake has a similar situation out there and they spent about 13 hours this summer out there.

(Carter distributes to the board various documents.)

(Many erroneous and misleading statements, inaudible babble, and likely hair-flipping.)

*"A majority of Wooster Lake residents already follow this agreement and have a no wake out there....need to protect from a higher use....The use has been established over 50 years. A few new people have moved into the area that choose not to put forth this agreement that's been going on and protecting our wonderful natural resources....this (new ordinance) would help with the tools to have that."*

## **Questions from the Trustees**

Trustee – *"Will all residents be given a letter once this all passes saying what....."*

BTC – *"I think we can do that. I think that would be a good idea. I would like to have both villages come down on it and get that word out. I can work with the Health Department to do that. Actually that would be better if it came from the Health Department saying we can all work together to protect the lake."*

**\*\*\* This is an admission from Bonnie Thomson Carter that she never had any intention of providing any prior notification to the private lake's owners. She announces it will only be done after the village officials adopt the ordinance. Never prior to Carter's solicitations at Round Lake on 9/6/05 or at Fox Lake 9/13/05 was notification provided to the lake's legal owners. She wanted this railroaded through, even if it was illegal and against the advice of the Round Lake village attorney who advised not to adopt this ordinance. \*\*\***

Trustee- *"Fox Lake doesn't actually have to pass it?"*

BTC- *"Fox Lake is going to pass it. They have Holiday Park that new development from Cambridge on the lake. The difference is they do actually have shoreline. Thereafter the village will actually own the shoreline and Holiday Park is the homeowners' association, not the village per se....And so I would like to have the sheriff's department or the county department in contact with you that will be Tanneron Bay because you actually own lake front."*

BTC- *"The village won't be incurring any cost."*

Trustee- *"Will all Round Lake residents be able to use that lake then?"*

BTC- *"That is up to your village and I believe your mayor has met with them (Silver Leaf Glen) to find out what kind of use they want out there. Mayor Gentes and I have talked about it a couple of times and think the overwhelming.....maybe trustee Del Prado.....he might have been at one of the meetings."*

Trustee Del Prado – *"I was."*

BTC – *"Well maybe you could best answer that question."*

Trustee Del Prado – *"Currently, right now, the (Silver Leaf Glen) HOA has not been handed over to the residents. Until that can come about we can't get them together as a binding group to talk about. But at least the initial impression of that group here, and they didn't represent everybody, but they were most vocal in saying that they prefer to see that ("Outlot B" property abutting the lake) used as a trail system and not a boat access. It would be quite expensive for a boat access. They wanted to keep it natural so they could go down there and maybe fish and have a picnic. So based upon that and as we talk a little further into this project, we'll let them dictate where they might want to go with this. But this is a good step. What they're telling us basically, they don't want this to be a high speed."*

BTC quickly interjects – ***“It’s important to know too that the residents of Silver Leaf Glen and the residents that bought in at Holiday Park development all bought in with the covenants knowing that it was a no-wake (lake). No different than the Tanneron Bay residents. They bought into it. They knew when they went there.*** Mark Pfister who was our new director of health of LCD health department, he and I met with the mayor and expressed the different types of views of the health department and if they went the route where they just want to put in a trail system that there’s grant money out there if they want to have a fishing dock. So if they’re going to be fishing out there, you kind of want to tell them where to go instead of having them running all over the shoreline and making real problems out there.....”

**Extraterritorial Ordinance 05-O-27 is then railroaded through, without notification to the lake’s owners, without a 2<sup>nd</sup> reading, all under false pretenses, repeated lies, and largely based on a non-existent agreement and invalid, allegedly-forged “covenants” purporting no-wake usage: a several-year-old lie that was just in May/June 2005 (3 months prior to the new ordinance) was being removed by the new WLCCA board because it was invalid and causing conflict on the lake.**