Pupil premium strategy statement

1. Summary information						
School	New York Primary School					
Academic Year	2017-18	Total PP budget	£145,080	Date of most recent PP Review	N/A	
Total number of pupils	330 (Rec-Y6)	Number of pupils eligible for PP	109	Date for next internal review of this strategy	09/18	

2. Current attainment (as at end of	Summer 2017)		
		Pupils eligible for PP	Pupils not eligible for PF (national average)
% achieving age expected or above i	n Early Years	58%	Not published
% achieving the expected standard in	reading, writing & maths - KS1	63%	67%
% making at least expected progress	in reading – KS1	81%	79%
% making at least expected progress	in writing - KS1	63%	72%
% making at least expected progress	in maths – KS1	81%	78%
% achieving the expected standard in	reading, writing & maths – KS2	57%	67%
% making at least expected progress	in reading - KS2	57%	77%
% making at least expected progress	in writing – KS2	79%	81%
% making at least expected progress	in maths – KS2	86%	80%
3. Barriers to future attainment (for	pupils eligible for PP)		
In-school barriers (issues to be address	ssed in school)		
A. Extremely poor oral language s	kills when pupils first enter school.		
B. Large group of pupils present w	ith mental health issues and other vulne	rabilities requiring additional so	cial and emotional support
C. Social and emotional challenge	s impact on pupils readiness to learn in	school	
External barriers			

D.	Attendance and punctuality variable. Lack of exposure to 'life experiences' and limited opportunities.						
4. [Desired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured)	Success criteria					
A.	To increase % progress and attainment through continuation of staff CPD both in house and external providers to ensure Quality First Teaching within context to inspire, engage and progress.	Pupils attend school and make rapid progress.					
В.	Continue to develop Teachers and TAs to deliver appropriate interventions identified from teacher assessment.	Range of intervention in place with monitored and measured impact.					
C.	To provide opportunities for pupils to experience wider life skills and events To involve parents in events and celebration of learning.	Pupils experience real life events and use them to influence learning. Increased parental engagement to increase pupil support at home.					
D.	To promote a culture of aspiration where pupils are aware of what achievements can lead to and develop and ethos of aiming high.	Educational visits out and welcome visitors in to school for pupils to increase awareness of future aspirations.					

5. Planned expenditure						
Academic year	2017-18					
targeted support an	The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies i. Quality of teaching for all					
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?	

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
ii. Targeted supp	ort				
			Total but	dgeted cost	£52500
Pupils make at least good progress in Reading and Writing.	Maintain reduced class sizes by providing specific support for literacy. To provide additional teaching assistant support for the delivery of Reading Intervention programmes. Additional teachers and TA Resources	School data identifies need to improve standards in reading in KS2. School SLT recognise that strengthening standards in reading will impact upon pupil confidence in writing. Ongoing CPD for staff – high level of reading CPD for U3 teacher (summer 2017) expected to see main impact in this academic year. Year 2 of T4W Literacy project.	Monitored regularly by Headteacher SLT and Assessment coordinator DHT is assessment coordinator on a reduced timetable (0.5) to improve monitoring and scrutiny opportunities	JS/SM/RB SH(EYFS)	Half termly from monitoring and scrutiny findings and termly as part of Pupil Progress meetings and ongoing professional dialogue. Final Review - Summer 2018

	1			1	
Vulnerable pupils	Continue to fund	Pupils enter our school with a	Regular monitoring by	JW, JP	Ongoing monitoring and
are fully supported	and develop the	range of social and emotional	Learning Mentor of	SLT, JH	evaluation throughout
both academically,	Nurture provision,	needs which often impede	punctuality and attendance.		the year with final
socially and	making use of a	learning. Our Nurture	Senior Leadership		evaluation of this spend
emotionally.	part-time TA and	provision (including Breakfast	monitoring and evaluating		in Summer 2018
	Learning Mentor	Club with 80+ pupils per day)	pupil progress and amend		
	Breakfast Club	ensures that vulnerable pupils	intervention as required.		
	staffing and	can access learning and make			
	resources.	good progress.	Ongoing communication		
		This provision aims to improve	and CPD with staff		
	IPADS	attendance and punctuality			
		and the general readiness to			
Make positive	Celebration/prizes	learn of our pupils.	Parent voice and		
impact upon	Whole school focus	Refurbished and resourced	opportunities for parents to		
attendance and		'Nook' for pupils who need	come in to school.		
punctuality.	Parental	time out, nurture sessions or a	Newsletters and social		
	engagement	safe room to retreat to.	media		
To provide further	Key members of	Intensive focused work with	Senior Leaders regularly	JS, SLT	Ongoing monitoring and
support for PP	staff released to	those pupils who are struggling	monitor and track progress	Phase	evaluation of
pupils working in	work	to diminish the difference in	of pupils to ensure short	leaders	interventions and action.
Key Stage 1 and	predominantly	both Key Stage 1 and Key	term interventions have		Final review - Summer
Key stage 2	with those pupils	Stage 2.	rapid and sustained impact.		2017
, 0	not making				
	enough progress				
	in Reading,				
	Writing and				
	Maths				
	One to One				
	Tuition to booster				
	support				
	'	<u>'</u>	Total bu	dgeted cost	£62,500
					I.

iii. Other approac	iii. Other approaches					
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?	
To ensure pupils experience their learning within a real life context. To engage parents in pupil learning and celebration of pupil success.	Fund educational events for pupils to experiences. Involve parents in pupils experiences and successes. Music tuition and perfomances. Arts and Craft community events. Extra curricular activities.	To encourage parents to support pupil learning in order to increase the rate of progress. To widen pupil horizons or real life with residential visits, local areas visits and experiences (depositing money in the bank). An additional stimulus for pupil writing and creativity.	Regular monitoring of pupil welfare by Learning mentor and SLT and teaching staff.	JS, SLT	Ongoing monitoring and making necessary changes to provision in this area with final review - Summer 2017	
	I	1	Total bu	dgeted cost	£30,500	

6. Review of expenditure						
Previous Academic Year 2016-17						
i. Quality of teac	i. Quality of teaching for all					
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost		

Improve pupil progress in literacy and numeracy	Reduce class sizes by providing specific support for literacy and numeracy sessions	Attainment in Reading KS1 exceeded both PP pupils in LA and non PP National (81 v 79) In writing PP pupils increased from 45 – 63 and exceeded LA PP but remain slightly below non PP nationally (63 v 72) In maths PP pupils increased from 60 to 81 and exceeded national non PP (81 v 78) Attainment in Reading KS2 fell below both PP in LA and National non PP. (57 v 77) In writing PP pupils broadly in line with non PP national (79 v 81) In maths PP pupils exceeded non PP national (86 v 80) and are above LA PP – 64% PP pupils exceeded national non pupil premium in GSpaP (86 v 81).	Splitting a large Year 6 class in Sept 2016 and employing an additional teacher resulted in improved combined data and significantly improved SPAG although reading attainment dipped. Key focus for 2017/18 Increased focus during half terms upon the progress of KS1 PP pupils in line with Non PP peers resulted in clearer direction for staff and therefore more targeted and flexible interventions supported by both teachers and TAs. CPD in Summer 2017 for U3 teacher will provide a stronger focus upon improving reading across KS1 and KS2. All classes to implement Reciprocal reading and all teacher have received Peer CPD.	45000
ii. Targeted supp	ort			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost

Improve pupil progress in Reading	Continue to fund an Every Child a Reader teacher CPD and resources	ECAR intervention pupils (8) all make progress within the intervention but ongoing monitoring suggests that this progress is not maintained despite QFT. See data above.	Continue to find the post but to make the intervention less prescriptive and more bespoke to the needs of groups of pupils. Same intervention teacher to work with targeted small groups of pupils using Reading Recovery methodology Reading results do not support the continuation of this post and interventions are extended to more	25000 8500
			pupils and the need for flexibility among the groups is essential and driven from ongoing teacher and SLT monitoring of pupil data.	
Improve pupil progress in	To provide additional teaching	See above outcomes for reading KS1 progress has improved but KS2	Continued funding of additional TA Embark upon T4W project	18000
reading, and in particular decoding	assistant support for the delivery of	reading attainment has not met anticipated target.	Elklan Training for TA to impact upon reading	4000
strategies	Reading programmes		Ongoing CPD in reading including RWInc	2850
iii. Other approac	hes			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost

To improve attendance and punctuality figures and readiness for learning	To further fund the Nurture provision with Breakfast Club and Learning Mentor	See above outcomes for Literacy and Numeracy. Inevitably this provision impacts upon some non PP pupils. Overall school results meet floor targets and exceed national combined results in KS2. School floor targets have increased from 65% to 67% this year. PP pupil absence is 5% which is slightly below national and LA figures. Persistent absence remains high at 15% but is reducing and is in line with national	Continue with Breakfast Club and Learning Mentor funding. Additional secured services of Play Therapist, subsidised educational visits including local visit costs and residential visit costs and a trip to Theatre Royal in Newcastle city centre. Continued work with parental engagement and educating to encourage support with attendance.	51000
--	---	---	---	-------

7. Additional detail