## Casco Township Zoning Board of Appeals October 18, 2018; 7 PM

Members Present: Chairman Dave Hughes, Secretary Sam Craig, Paul Macyauski, and Matt Super

**Absent**: Vice Chair Matt Hamlin

Also Present: Dan Way representative for applicant Nick Ivanovich, Chad Maniscaleo and Amy

Maniscaleo and 1 interested citizen (sign-in sheet attachment #1)

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Hughes at 7:02 PM for the purpose of hearing a variance request from Nick Ivanovich, 760 W 275 S., Hebron, IN 46341 from Section 3.28B1d(1) which requires a 25' front yard setback for a nonconforming lot of record. The parcel in question is a 50' wide corner lot (NE corner of Maple and Sunset Lane), locate in Sunset Shores Subdivision (Parcel #0302-760-039-00). The applicant wishes to build a single-family residence and future garage 14' from Sunset Lane. Therefore, an 11' variance is requested.

The public notice (attachment #2), published in the September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2018 South Haven Tribune.

Chairman Hughes invited Dan Way, representative for Nick Ivanovich to explain his request.

Way stated he had a letter from Ivanovich authorizing Way to represent Ivanovich at this ZBA meeting (attachment #2)

Chad Maniscaleo and Amy Maniscaleo, who will purchase the lot from Ivanovich were also in attendance.

Way stated Ivanovich is requesting the same variance granted the owners of Lot 47 at an earlier variance request.

Hughes stated the reason for a 25' setback is to allow firetrucks access and so that parking is not in the street.

Maniscaleo said they intend to put a gravel pad in the location of the future garage and would not park on the street.

Chairman Hughes invited public comment.

Rich Runion, 487 Sunset Lane, lives north of Ivanovich's lot on Sunset. He said his only concern is being able to get in and out. The garage is too close to Sunset and he wanted to make sure he can get in and out if cars should park in front of the garage. The garage will be 4' to 5' closer than the garage from the previously granted variance.

Maniscaleo said they would enter the garage from the end, not from the side of the garage facing Sunset Lane.

Chairman Hughes went through the review standards as follows:

1. Granting the variance will not be contrary to the public interest and will ensure that the spirit of this Ordinance is observed.

It will not. It is a non-conforming lot of record.

- **2.** The variance is being granted with a full understanding of the property history. Yes. It is a non-conforming lot of record.
- 3. Granting the variance will not cause a substantial detriment to property or improvements in the vicinity or in the district in which the subject property is located. No
- 4. The variance request is not one where the specific conditions pertaining to the property are so general or recurrent in nature as to make the formulation of a general regulation for those conditions reasonably practicable. Not a problem
- 5. That there are practical difficulties in the way of carrying out the strict letter of these regulations which are caused by exceptional or extraordinary circumstances or conditions applying to the property involved, or to the intended use of the property, that do not generally apply to other property or uses in the vicinity in the same Zoning District. Exceptional or extraordinary circumstances or conditions include any of the following:
  - a. Exceptional narrowness, shallowness or shape of a specific property on the effective date of this Ordinance.
  - b. Exceptional topographic conditions
  - c. By reason of the use or development of the property immediately adjoining the property in question.
  - d. Any other physical situation on the land, building or structure deemed by the ZBA to be extraordinary.

It is a non-conforming Lot of Record and a corner lot.

- 6. That granting the variance is necessary for the preservation of a substantial property right possessed by other properties in the vicinity in the same Zoning District. The previously granted variance was similar. Hughes stated this request would be determined on its own merit.
- 7. That the variance is not necessitated as a result of any action or inaction of the applicant. No

- 8. The variance, if granted, would be the minimum departure necessary to afford relief. Yes

  A. In addition to the above outlined standards for a dimensional variance, the Zoning Board of

  Appeals shall consider the following when deliberating upon a nonconforming lot in a Platted
  subdivision case (see also Section 3.28)
  - There is no practical possibility of obtaining more land.
     No
  - 2. The proposed use cannot reasonably be located on the lot such that the minimum requirements are met.

No

A motion was made by Super, supported by Craig to grant the 11' front yard setback variance. All in favor. Variance granted.

A motion by Super, supported by Craig to approve minutes of August 16, 2018. All in favor. Minutes approved as printed.

A motion by Super, supported by Craig to adjourn. All in favor. Meeting adjourned at 7:25 PM

Minutes prepared by Janet Chambers, Recording Secretary

Attachment #1: Sign-in Sheet

Attachment #2: Notice of Public Hearing

Attachment #3: Letter from Ivanovich authorizing Way to represent him

Attachment #4: Application

Dave Hughes, ZBA Chairman

10-18-18

Sam Craig, ZBA Secreta

Date

Date

Date	ZBA Meeting - Please sign in
Applicant:	van
Name	Address
Chad & Anny Maniscale	
Dan Way	649 Lilester Dr. 49090
Rich Runion	487 Synset Lane, 49090
	3

Allachment #2

## CASCO TOWNSHIP NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

The Casco Township Zoning Board of Appeals(ZBA) will hold a public hearing Thursday, 18 October 2018 at 7:00 pm at the Casco Township Hall at 7104 107th Ave., South Haven, MI 49090 to adjudicate the following requests for a variance from the requirements of the Casco Township Zoning Ordinance:

A request from Nick Ivanovich, 760 W 275 S, Hebron, IN 46341 to grant a variance from Section 3.28B1d(1) which requires a 25 foot front yard setback for a nonconforming lot of record. The parcel in question is a 50 foot wide corner lot (NE corner, Maple & Sunset Ln.) located in the Sunset Shores Subdivision(Parcel # 0302-760-039-00). The applicant wishes to build a single family residence and future garage 14 feet from Sunset Lane. Therefore, an 11 foot variance is required. Total building coverage cannot exceed 25%(1750 sq. ft.) of lot area(7000 sq. ft.).

The Zoning Board of Appeals may modify any of the variance requests to comply more fully with Section 20.08 and to make findings based upon competent, material and substantial evidence.

The applications and any pertinent information may be viewed at the Township Hall at 7104 107th Ave., South Haven, MI 49090 during regular office hours. Written or faxed comments may be made to the address above or numbers below and oral comments may be made in person at the hearing.

Necessary and reasonable aids for disabled persons will be made available with sufficient notice to the Clerk.

Cheryl Brenner Casco Township Clerk Phone-269/637-4441 Alfred J. Ellingsen Zoning Administrator Fax-269/639-1991

4

(Please place in the South Haven Tribune for publishing on 30 September 2018)

October 02, 2018

To Whom It May Concern;

Due to a scheduling conflict, I, Nicholas P. Ivanovich, will not be able to attend the variance meeting on October 18, 2018 in South Haven. I hereby authorize Dan Way to represent me and act on my behalf.

With kindest regards,

Micholas P. Warrovich

A Hachmont #4

## ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS - CASCO TOWNSHIP 5 Page 5 7104 107th Ave., South Haven, MI 49090(Ph.-269/637-4441; Fax- 269/639-1991)

Application to the Zoning Board of appeals to authorize a variance from the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance.

O'CHI MITTER TO THE TOTAL TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL
TO THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS:
Request is hereby made for permission to:
Extend Convert
Erect
Alter A 3 Q - 00
Parcel # 0302-760 - 039 -00
7 28 B 20 the Zoning Ordinance, upon the premises
Contrary to the requirements of Section (s) 3.28 B 1D. (1)  Contrary to the requirements of Section (s) 3.28 B 1D. (1)  Known as 1 - (or form late of Alexand and described Section)  (attach legal description)
as 25 for first St Breek (attach legal description)  Lot 39 Sunsul Stores See
2003/301711/
24 TINR17W
The following is a description of the proposed use:
1. Name of applicant: NIK TVANOVICH 219-746-6024
1. Name of applicant: NICK THOUSE 219-746-6057
Address: 760 W 275 2 TN 70 46341
1. Name of applicant: NICK TVANOVICH Address: 760 W 275 S Phone: 219-746-6024  City #6880N State IN Zip 46341
3 betweet of Applicant in the premises: TO Build
2.Interest of Applicant In the premises: 10 5-04-0
Ma
3. Name or Owner: 5 and as 7
Address:
CityStateZip
Fax:
4. Size of property to be effected by the variance: 50 x 140
7.025 or property 15
5. Proposed use of building and/or premises:
Vacant lot
6.Present use of building and/or premises: Vallaux
7. Size of proposed building or addition to existing building, Including (8x24) and future garge height: Sax attachment, 24x65 with Arch (8x24) and future garge
Sim of proposed of the four and 24x65 with Area (6)24) and factor of
height Sae attachment 2463 then Floor 1057 aget upper is
with soil total 11,701 of the all parches 544 sq ft 3 th
(644 (1)))
8. Has the building official refused a permit?
9.If there has been any previous eppeal involving the premises; state the date of filing, nature of appeal a
9.If there has been any previous appeal involving the premises. In the ledge - 100
disposition of same: (use separate sheet)
Date: Of Applicant
Date:Signature of Applicant
to the applicant.
Notes: Incomplete applications will be returned to the applicant.
3

This application must be accompanied with a fee of \$\_250.00 payable to Casco Township.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR APPLICATION TO BOARD OF APPEALS

Since a variance cannot be authorized by the Board of Appeals unless it finds reasonable evidence that <u>all</u> of the following conditions exist, it is imperative that you give information to show that these facts and conditions do exist.

(Reference Section 20.08 of the Zoning Ordinance for additional requirements).

	variance will not served.				that the spirit of the	
2. The variance	is being granted	with a full under	antio a	property history.	Jet 47 in	ta
		-/		property or imp	rovements in the	
3. Granting the vicinity or in the	variance will not district in which	the subject prop	perty is located.	Hore wil	La freig	· 4
Ngu	nement	7. 0				
4. The variance general or recur	request is not or rent in nature as ticable.	to make the for	mulation of a ge	neral regulation	property are so for those conditions  west side	2
of the	orna I.	of the	puild	a mos	let /sonl	

5. That there are practical difficulties in the way of carrying out the strict letter of these regulations which are caused by exceptional or extraordinary circumstances or conditions applying to the property involved, or to the intended use of the property, that do not generally apply to other property or uses in the vicinity in the same Zoning District. Exceptional or extraordinary circumstances include:

a. Exceptional narrowness, shallowness or shape of a specific property on the date of this Ordinance; b. Exceptional topographical conditions; c. By reason of the use or development of the property immediately adjoining the property in question; d. Any other physical situation on the land, building or structure deemed by the ZBA to be extraordinary.
structure deemed by the ZBA to be extraordinary.  The form would conform  with the standards of other fames in Susses.
6. That granting the variance is necessary for the preservation of a substantial property right possesses by other properties in the vicinity in the same Zoning District. Lot 47 was grantle Similar Variance. Would address to 25% lot Covers
7. That the variance is not necessitated as a result of any action or inaction of the applicant.
8. The variance, if granted, would be the minimum departure necessary to afford relief.  A would allow me to build a
9. If involving a platted subdivision, that there is no practical possibility of obtaining more land and the proposed use cannot be located on the lot such that the minimum requirements are met.





