

**BRIDGTON PLANNING BOARD
MEETING**

Board of Selectmen's Room

**July 1, 2014
7:00p.m.**

The Bridgton Planning Board was called to order at 7:00p.m. by Steve Collins, Chair. Those in attendance were: Steve Collins, Chair; Fred Packard, Vice Chair; Dee Miller; Brian Thomas; Michael Figoli; Adam Grant, Alternate; Phyllis Roth, Alternate. Absent were: None

The Pledge of Allegiance

Appoint Alternate(s) to vote in place of any absent regular member(s), if necessary.

All regular members were present therefore no alternates were appointed.

Approval of Minutes - June 3, 2014

Brian moved to approve the minutes as presented with the revisions as discussed. Fred 2nd. 5 Approve / 0 Oppose

Approval of Minutes - June 17, 2014

Fred moved to approve the minutes as presented with the revisions as discussed. Brian 2nd. 5 Approve / 0 Oppose

Approval of Minutes - June 24, 2014

Brian moved to approve the minutes as presented with the revisions as discussed. Dee 2nd. 5 Approve / 0 Oppose

PUBLIC HEARING

**New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (dba AT&T Mobility LLC) and
American Towers, LLC**

214 Hio Ridge Road; Map 13 Lot 53B

130' Tower w/antennas and equipment shelter on leased land

Represented by Barry J. Hobbins, P.A.

Status Update

Steve reconvened the Public Hearing at 7:05p.m.

Anne Krieg, Planning and Development, said we have proposals for services from both firms that the Planning Board had directed staff to prepare and the applicant has agreed to proceed. We will be receiving the escrow within the next few days. The firms have begun some preliminary work already. Over the next several weeks there will be some inquiries to staff by the firms. I would request to continue the hearing to the next meeting scheduled for July 15, 2014 to continue to update you.

Mr. Hobbins said the co-applicants have no objection to the independent evaluators. Once the escrow is received by the Town the evaluators will proceed and I understand that both evaluators have all the information they need.

Steve said I would like to discuss how we could keep the Hio Ridge Neighborhood Committee informed. Ms. Krieg said often when there is a neighborhood group I will send a courtesy email to them to let them know that we have documents available for them to look at. Mr. Viet said I concur.

Brian said it was brought up at a subsequent meeting we would receive an update on the third party review and we would vote to determine co-location. Steve said I think we should discuss the co-location issue at a later meeting.

Fred moved to recess the Public Hearing to Tuesday, July 15, 2014 at 7:00p.m. Michael 2nd. 5 Approve / 0 Oppose

Old Business

**Vista Investments LLC
Community Apartments & Efficiency Way Subdivision
Off Portland Road; Map 10 Lot 10(PO)
18 Lot Subdivision and 96 Community Apartments
Represented by Jon Whitten, Terradyn Consultants LLC
Sign Mylar**

Steve said this was approved at a previous meeting but the mylar was not available for Board signature at that time.

The Board signed the mylar.

**Cottages at Willett Brook - An Age Restricted Community
234 Portland Road; Map 9 Lot 27A
60 Housing Units/Garage and Storage Buildings/Club House
Represented by Jon Whitten, Terradyn Consultants LLC**

Steve said the Board determined at a previous meeting that the application was incomplete lacking information on septic systems, a statement of approval by the Bridgton Water District, a waiver for the slope of the road in excess of 8%, language concerning completion guarantees and information on covenants.

Mr. Whitten said as a follow-up to the outstanding items we do have excess of 8% but according to your standards you can go over 8% as long as it is not beyond a certain footage. Soils report has been prepared by George Sawyer, Sawyer Engineering for the septic systems. We have a letter from the Bridgton Water District that they are able

to serve the property. We have received the entrance permit from MDOT and we have submitted a draft of covenants for your review.

Mr. Whitten said the project is located on South High Street. The applicants purchased over 40 acres of land which they are going to retain over 11 acres as a back lot which will remain undeveloped for at least 5 years. The proposed 60 lot age restricted cluster subdivision will be on 29.63 acres of land. The reason for that is for ease of DEP permitting. With that configuration a storm water permit is needed and site location of development act is not needed. If any development does happen on the back land within the 5 year period it would put the project into a site location of development review. The main access, Community Way, will be built to town standards but retained as a private way with cul-de-sacs to access the pods off the main access. Each cul-de-sac will have 8 individual lots around it with the balance of the 60 lots having frontage along Community Way. Each unit will have a garage and storage unit available for use and there will be a community club house for gathering. The project will be served by public water, combined septic systems and underground utilities. Storm water flows away from South High Street and we are capturing most of the runoff from Community Way and putting it in a wet pond at the rear of the property which will contribute aesthetically to the project. A recreation walking trail from Community Way to the power lines will connect with other recreation trails in the area. The project will not have sidewalks. The project does meet the town standards so there are 6' shoulders on both sides of the road for pedestrians and bicycle traffic. The proposal makes the storm water collection easier for this project. There are a lot of construction costs associated with sidewalks such as curving, catch basins and ditches. The energy efficient homes will be approximately 800sf in size which will be age restricted for 55 years and older. The rear of the property will remain wooded. Soils on the property are excellent. There are some wetlands associated with the property but they are not being impacted by the development. There are two possible impacts of wetlands on lot 57, 58 and lot and 28. The property includes an existing home that will be on a separate parcel and the property does not include the lot that has two condos. The lot was created utilizing a right of way with Courtyard Circle. We are connecting to the right of way as an emergency, second form of egress, to the property. There will be a community RV, boat, vehicle storage parking lot. At each cul-de-sac there will be community gardens.

Mark Lopez said I believe the building envelopes may be a little larger than the houses that we are proposing. They may be upwards of 800sf but the houses are a little over 600sf.

Steve said do you have a completion bond and covenant language? Mr. Whitten said we emailed a copy of the covenants but we have not created a completion bond.

Fred moved that the application is substantially complete and to schedule a Public Hearing. Brian 2nd. 5 Approve / 0 Oppose

Fred moved to schedule a Public Hearing for Tuesday, July 22, 2014 at 7:00p.m. Michael 2nd. 5 Approve / 0 Oppose

Dee said are the walking paths going to be lit and are they going to be level for maneuverability? Mr. Lopez said it will be a walking path through the woods and will not be lit. Dee said will it be posted that the paths are not lit for night time use? Mr. Lopez said yes, they can use it at their own risk.

Dee said what type of lighting will you have? Mr. Whitten said at the center of each cul-de-sac where the community gardens are located there will be a light and at the intersection of South High Street. Dee said will the houses have a light? Mr. Whitten said yes.

Dee said when I toured the model home I noticed there was no exit from the bedroom area in case of an emergency. Mr. Lopez said they will have to use the window which will be large enough. Mr. Baker said all you need is one exit from a building and the windows in a bedroom have to be egress windows to allow for exit in case of an emergency.

Phyllis said does the owner have to live in the home? Mr. Lopez said no, but the person living in the home has to be 55 years or older. 20% can be sold without a restriction on age but the target market is 55 and older. Brian said is the 80 - 20 rule in the covenants? Mr. Lopez said I believe it is a federal law that you can't age restrict every unit at 55 but if you go to 62 you can.

Phyllis said what if one occupant of the unit is not 55 but the other is? Mr. Lopez said only one occupant needs to be 55.

Dee said what if a grandparent has a child living with them? Mr. Lopez said I am not sure. Mr. Whitten said this development lends itself to a certain design. Justin McIver said I believe the covenants are written to allow visitation for a certain amount of time.

New Business

**Maine Lake Service Center/Lakes Environmental Association "LEA"
51 Willett Road; Map 9 Lot 51
Researcher Housing, Conference Room, Education Center,
Parking Area and Welcome Center for Pondicherry Park
Represented by Peter Lowell, Lakes Environmental Association**

Steve said I would like to disclose for the Board that I am a director of LEA and ask if the Board feels that that is a conflict? The Board concurred that it is not a conflict.

Brian moved that the application is complete. Fred 2nd.
5 Approve / 0 Oppose

Mr. Lowell said LEA is feeling the urgency to study our lakes more intensively, therefore, this project is to get researchers, post graduates and university students to come to Bridgton. The property we are focusing on is 16 acres and known as the Flint property. Pondicherry Park is on the east and north side of the subject property. The Flints donated 7 acres to the Park 5-6 years ago and reached a point where they had to sell the property and offered it to LEA. There is an existing log cabin, 2 car garage and connector building which we are considering using as the Maine Lake Science Center. The building is rough but structurally sound. Depending on what we learn in this process we may consider a phase 2 consisting of classrooms, more housing, a bigger conference room and maybe more education rooms, a library and lavatory. Mr. Lowell reviewed the application submissions with the Board. The existing road is about 900' long. 4-5 years ago there was a day care center located at this facility. There are minor runoffs that go toward the wetland area. I met with Jim Kidder, Public Works Directors, and we determined that the existing road is in great shape, the culverts needs to be replaced which we will be replacing and increasing by one size. To accommodate the traffic, which will be sporadic, we are creating parking pods which will serve as parking areas located within the line of sight so a driver could move over to allow passage of another vehicle. The parking areas are 5, 6 and 9 car. We will keep the hammerhead at the front of the building because we plan on having buses come to the property. The auxiliary parking is located on Willett Road which contains the entrance to Pondicherry Park. There are 4-5 spots and we think we can increase it to 6 without much impact. One of the parking lots is self-contained. There is a 75' buffer downslope. By re-grading the road it will provide buffer treatment by diverting it to the buffer areas. By making these changes we also pick up credits for the phosphorous. There are two dug wells on the property and a new septic system has been laid out to go behind the garage. The site evaluator had difficulty because of its mixed use so he had to consult with the state to make sure we had adequate gallons for the use. We are going to remodel the interior to create open lab space. We are going to remodel the second floor removing the full bath and kitchen and adding a butler's kitchen and half bath. Downstairs we will have two bathrooms. Each bedroom will have a large window, closet and bed for basic housing accommodations.

Phyllis said your drawing shows an access ramp and second floor porch does that also go to the first floor? Mr. Lowell said no only the second floor. Phyllis said how does a person access the first floor? Mr. Lowell said they would have to come in the main entrance door.

Adam said are any of the trails related to Pondicherry Park on this property? Mr. Lowell said no. Adams said are you planning on connecting to that? Mr. Lowell said yes, the first connection will be a 100' trail from the corner of the property to the existing river trail. We are trying to get the kids from the Stevens Brook Elementary School to go over the Hamm Bridge to the Science Education Center. Adam said you would need to go to the Town for approval of that? Mr. Lowell said yes. Adam said you have the parking lot for Pondicherry Park on your property which you would like to enhance? Mr. Lowell said yes, we will add a parking space. Adams said shouldn't that be on this map if he is going to add a parking spot? Mr. Lowell said we can give you details. Adam said is there a bait shop at the location? Mr. Lowell said no that was removed many years ago.

Adam said if this is going to be used you need to have a 6' sidewalk or something for pedestrians who might walk all the way in. Mr. Lowell said the shoulders are walkable.

Adam said I am not sure what the Board wants to do but I would like to consider a site walk.

Phyllis said do you see this as a research center or do you hope to attract tourists to the center? Mr. Lowell said we are going to have a park welcome center so we can educate people about the parks.

Adam said if you are predicting 10 to 20 cars a day you may have adequate parking now but what about in the future? Brian said we should only be concerned with the application before us now and consider a revision in the future if we need to.

Michael said is the existing power adequate? Mr. Lowell said it is a modern system so I think it is adequate. Michael said what are your plans for telephone service? Mr. Lowell said we need to consider that. Michael said are you going to go wireless? Mr. Lowell said it depends on what is the most cost effective.

Michael said the long term plans are to improve and expand on this property? Mr. Lowell said we are looking at a campus type situation and right now we don't really know what our next step will be but we are exploring a lot of options.

Brian said there are two proposed signs for the project. Mr. Lowell said we put a 4x8 and 4x6 sign out by the road. Dee said the Sign

Ordinance deals mostly with commercial but this is an educational center. Mr. Baker said where is the second sign proposed? Mr. Lowell said one of the signs will be on the building so there is only one stand-alone sign. Adam said is there a Pondicherry Park sign on this property now? Mr. Lowell said no.

Dee said will this be open during the winter? Mr. Lowell said we are designing it to be year round. Dee said you met with Mr. Garland about a year ago about this project and at that time did he say anything about a sprinkler system? Mr. Lowell said no.

Mr. Lowell reviewed the phosphorous study with the Board.

Mr. Lowell said the Public Works Department is going to clear brush, trees and bushes at the Willett Road entrance to create a better safer line of sight. Mr. Kidder also suggested that the center line of the road which is grass be kept mowed along with the shoulders for walkability and also suggested that the trees be trimmed higher to allow better unrestricted access for buses.

Dee said for people that are staying there your parking is limited to 3 vehicles. Mr. Lowell said additional cars can use one of the parking areas.

Mr. Lowell said we would like to begin this project the first part of September. Brian said when do you expect completion? Mr. Lowell said I am hoping to have all the site work done by the first snow. We don't have money right now to do the interior but are planning on grants and fund raising. We are hoping to have the building open for next spring. Our estimates for purchasing the property, site work and remodeling the building is approximately \$400,000.00 and that does not include what work we will do. We have a \$400,000.00 endowment to sustain the property.

Steve said does the Board want to conduct a Site Walk? The Board concurred that a site walk was not necessary.

Adam said I think there should be a sidewalk from the parking areas to the building. Brian said they can travel on the road or in the pathway. Adam said we have expressed concern over other projects and sidewalks, therefore, I think we should be consistent. Brian said this is not a subdivision. Mr. Lowell said the shoulders will be mowed and walkable. Michael said submit a plan that shows the parking areas and shoulders.

Adam said does Pondicherry Park have legal access for the 3 parking spaces? Mr. Lowell said no, the land owners have allowed the park to use the parking spaces but there is no formal agreement.

Dee said is there going to be sufficient lighting for someone to make it from one of the parking areas to the building, maybe you should consider some ground lights? Mr. Lowell said yes, that is a good idea.

The Board reviewed the criteria for compliance of the application with the Town of Bridgton Site Plan Review Ordinance.

As stated in Article VII Review Standards of the Site Plan Review Ordinance, “standards presented in the Site Plan Review Ordinance are intended to achieve the following objectives: Preserve the traditional New England character of the downtown; present an attractive gateway area; facilitate safe vehicular and pedestrian access; protect the value of the abutting properties and the character of natural surroundings; promote intelligent, attractive and useful design; ensure economic investment and vitality; anticipate future growth”.

Performance Standards required for any approval by the Planning Board. The Planning Board shall approve or approve with conditions a submitted application if there is an affirmative finding based on information presented that the application meets the following standards. The applicant shall have the burden of establishing by demonstrable evidence that the application and project is in compliance with the requirements of this Ordinance.

1. Preserve and Enhance the Landscape: The landscape shall be preserved in its natural state by minimizing disturbance of soil and removal of existing vegetation during construction. Landscaping shall be incorporated into the final plan and shall be designed and planted in such a way that shall define, soften or screen off-street parking areas from the public right of way and abutting properties, will enhance the physical design of the building and site and will minimize adverse impact on neighboring land uses. Invasive plants shall not be used in any landscaping project.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

2. Relationship to Surroundings: Proposed structures or additions to existing structures shall be harmonious with the terrain and existing buildings in the vicinity and shall;

a. Be of compatible scale and size;

b. Not to exceed thirty-five (35) feet in height measured from the ground or rise in sight above the Main Street church steeple;

c. Be of compatible architectural style, incorporating features such as, but not limited to, simple rectangular shape, gable roof or other traditional compatible roof line, dormers, compatible windows, doors and trim;

d. Include as an integral element of design varying roof lines, awnings and canopies above windows or doors and other architectural elements to reduce bulk or scale of buildings. Designs shall seek to eliminate unadorned or blank walls through use of varying architectural elements, windows or other reflective surfaces. The Planning Board shall consider the use, location and surroundings of the structure when determining the appropriateness of the building’s façade.

e. Have exterior of wood, stone, brick, or other material having the same architectural and visual properties;

f. Present minimal disruption to such natural features as slope, soil type and drainage ways;

The Board concurred that this section has been met

3. Vehicular Access: The proposed layout shall ensure that vehicular and pedestrian traffic conditions shall not exceed reasonable limits for the neighborhood. Special consideration shall be given to the location, number and control of access points, adequacy of adjacent streets, traffic flow, sight distances, turning lanes, and existing or

proposed traffic signalization and pedestrian-vehicular contacts. Applicants shall make all reasonable efforts to incorporate shared driveways, providing primary access to adjacent properties, reducing curb cuts on the main road.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

4. Parking and Circulation: The design of vehicular and pedestrian circulation areas including walkways, interior drives and parking areas shall be safe and convenient and promote clearly delineated traffic patterns for pedestrian, private vehicle and service use.

a. Loading areas and general parking areas shall be separate and not detract from the proposed building or from neighboring properties.

b. Parking lots serving multiple establishments or providing general off street parking are strongly encouraged. Applicant must provide adequate turning capacity for all public safety vehicles.

c. New construction, substantial enlargements or adaptive reuse of existing buildings subject to Planning Board review shall be required to provide adequate parking for employees and customers.

d. Applicants may satisfy parking requirements by entering into a written agreement with another property owner or through the utilization of municipal parking lots allowing for overnight and winter parking. The applicant must demonstrate to the Planning Board a long term lease or other arrangement within close proximity of the proposed development site. The lease or other arrangement must have a duration of at least five (5) years plus two consecutive five (5) year automatic renewal periods. The Planning Board shall have the ability to determine if alternative agreements or use of public lots is sufficient to address the needs of the proposed development.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

5. Surface Water Drainage: Adequate provision shall be made for surface drainage so that removal of surface waters will not adversely affect neighboring properties, downstream water quality, soil erosion or the public storm drainage system. On-site absorption shall be utilized to minimize discharges whenever possible. All drainage calculations shall be based on a ten-year storm frequency.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

6. Applicants shall be required to meet any and all state and local regulated setbacks from all applicable vehicle rights of way and in addition shall be no closer than twenty-five (25) feet from pavement or traveled way. The applicant shall be restricted from building any non-impervious development within the setback area. This shall not restrict the construction of vehicular or pedestrian entrances to and exits from the property. Applications subject to dimensional requirements set forth in Article XI Section 2 and Section 2.a through 2.b are exempt from this section.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

7. Existing Utilities: The development shall not impose an unreasonable burden on public utilities.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

8. Advertising Features: The design and lighting of signs and other advertising structures shall be shielded and non-flashing and not detract from the design of the proposed building and other surrounding structures and properties.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

9. Special Features of the Development: Exposed storage areas, exposed machinery installation, service areas, truck loading areas, utility buildings and similar structures shall have sufficient setbacks and screening to provide an audiovisual buffer sufficient to minimize their adverse impact on other land uses within the development area and surrounding properties.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

10. Exterior Lighting: All exterior lighting shall be shielded and non-flashing, energy efficient and ensure safe movement of people and vehicles. Placement of lighting shall minimize glare and reflections on adjacent properties and the traveling public. Adverse impact is to be judged in terms of hazards to people and vehicular traffic and potential damage to the value of adjacent properties.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

11. Emergency Vehicle Access: Provisions shall be made for providing and maintaining convenient and safe emergency vehicle access to all buildings and structures.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

12. Municipal Services: The development will not have an unreasonable adverse impact on the municipal services including municipal road systems, fire department, police department, emergency medical unit, solid waste program, schools, open spaces, recreational programs and facilities, and other municipal services and facilities.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

13. Protection Against Undue Water Pollution:

a. In making this determination, the Planning Board shall at least consider the elevation of land above sea level and its relation to the floodplains, the nature of soils and subsoil's, and, if necessary, their ability to adequately support waste disposal and/or any other approved licensed discharge; the slope of the land and its effect on effluents; the aquifers and aquifer recharge areas; the existence of streams and surface runoff characteristics; cumulative impact of increased phosphorus loading to lakes; and the applicable federal, state and local laws, ordinances, codes and regulations.

b. The proposed development will not alone or in conjunction with existing activities, adversely affect the quality or quantity of groundwater.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

14. Protection Against Undue Air Pollution: The applicant shall consult federal and state authorities to determine applicable air quality laws and regulations, and shall furnish evidence to the Planning Board of compliance with the required consultation.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

15. Water Use: There is sufficient water available for the reasonable foreseeable needs of the development and will not cause an unreasonable burden on an existing water supply, if one is to be utilized.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

16. Protection against unreasonable soil erosion or reduction in the capacity of the land to hold water so that a dangerous or unhealthy condition will not result.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

17. Provision for adequate sewage waste disposal.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

18. Protection against any undue adverse effect on the scenic or natural beauty of the area, aesthetics, historic sites or rare and irreplaceable natural areas.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

19. Protection of waters and shoreland: Whenever situated in whole or in part, within 250 feet of any pond, lake, river, will not adversely affect the quality of such body of water or unreasonably affect the shoreline of such body of water, and will be in compliance with the Shoreland Zoning Ordinance of the Town of Bridgton.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

20. Limit of Noise levels. Will not raise noise levels to the extent that abutting and/or nearby residents are adversely affected.

a. Noise: Excessive noise at unreasonable hours shall be required to be muffled so as not to be objectionable due to intermittence, beat frequency, shrillness, or volume (please refer to table below).

b. The maximum permissible sound pressure level of any continuous regular or frequent source of sound produced by any activity regulated by this Ordinance shall be as established by the time period and type of land use listed below. Sound pressure levels shall be measured on a sound level meter at all major lot lines of the proposed site, at a height of at least four (4) feet above the ground surface.

Sound Pressure Level Limit	
7a.m. - 8p.m.	8p.m. - 7a.m.
70 dB (A)	55 dB (A)

c. The following uses and activities shall be exempt from the sound pressure level regulation:

1. Noises created by construction and maintenance activities between 6:30a.m. and 8:00p.m.
2. The noises of safety signals, warning devices, and emergency pressure relief valves and any other emergency activity.
3. Traffic noise on public roads.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

21. Conformance with Comprehensive Plan for the Town.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

22. ADA Compliance. All new construction and substantial enlargements or renovations of existing buildings as defined in this ordinance, requiring a permit, shall adhere to all applicable sections of the American's with Disability Act (ADA).

The Board concurred that this section has been met

23. Location in Flood Zone: The sub divider shall determine, based on the Federal Emergency Management Agency's Flood Boundary and Floodway Maps and Flood Insurance Rate Maps, whether the proposed development in whole or part, is in a flood prone area. If the proposed development, or any part of it, is in such an area, the applicant shall determine the one hundred (100) year flood elevation and flood hazard boundaries within the development. The proposed development plan shall as a condition of site plan approval assure that principal structures on lots in the subdivision shall be constructed with their lowest floor, including basement, at least one (1) foot above the one hundred (100) year flood elevation.

The Board concurred that this section is not applicable

24. Proof that the applicant has adequate financial and technical capacity to meet the above standards.

The Board concurred that this section has been met

25. Large Scale Water Extraction

The Board concurred that this section is not applicable

26. Surface and Subsurface Mineral Extraction

The Board concurred that this section is not applicable

Fred moved to tentatively approve the project conditional upon exhibit "E" showing the parking area located by Willett Road, clarification of free standing facility identification sign located at the entrance and the sign on the building, dimension of road width and walkable shoulders shown on the plan and walkways to the building shall be lit to facilitate adequate and safe passage from the parking areas to the building. Final judgment shall be withheld pending review of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law. Brian 2nd.
5 Approve / 0 Oppose

Approved Applications as per Bridgton Site Plan Review Ordinance 4.A.1

- A. Western Maine Dance and Gymnastics/Belisa and Jeffrey Harriman
12 Bacon Street; Map 22 Lot 86
Relocation from 32 Portland Street

Topics for Discussion

- A. Brian said what is the status of **Robert and Kristi Harris** and their use of the second floor? Mr. Baker said I have had a discussion with the Harris' and they are planning on coming back to the Board for further review.
- B. Dee said we have had discussion regarding the **Tower Ordinance** and if a tower should be engineered for the maximum of **co-location** or not. We still need to make that determination.
- C. Brian said I would like to discuss the Subdivision Regulations and the **lot size requirements where it references General Development 1 and General Development 2**. Steve said we will discuss this issue further in a workshop.

Fred moved to adjourn the meeting at 9:20p.m. Brian 2nd.
5 Approve / 0 Oppose

Georgiann M. Fleck, Deputy Town Manager
Town of Bridgton