Greetings:

Upon reflection, unless I am mistaken and there is a convention or term of art of which I am not aware, the proper grammar in American English is to exempt a person or an object FROM a requirement, not “for” it. (One is removing the requirement from the person, object or process.)

And the phrase should either be, “that do not exceed” or, “not exceeding”, but not “that do not exceeding”.

Therefore I suggest that the wording for 17.42.020 (A) be further changed to:

**Exempt.** The following types of telecommunications facilities are exempt FROM requiring a zoning permit.”

1. Licensed amateur (ham) radio antennas not exceeding sixty feet in height.
Likewise, the following types of telecommunication facilities that do not exceeding the maximum height permitted by this Title are exempt FROM requiring a zoning permit:

2. Hand-held, mobile, marine, and portable radio transmitters and/or receivers.
   [ ... then continuing with the numbered list as before, adding Citizens Band radio as number 7 on the list.]

By the way, in the first line of my previous Post Script, the word “preemption” should be plural: “preemptions”. Sorry for the oversight. Nowhere more than in the formulation of a law is the necessity for precise language more important. However, I realize that this document is merely a draft, and that these errors would have been corrected in the final proofreading. Or, as we used to joke when I was a newspaper journalist, “preefrooding”.