



## CHRISTIAN CRUSADE FOR TRUTH

### Intelligence Newsletter

*"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." John 8:32.*

**March - April, 1996**

### **States Rights vs Federalism**

The Apostle Paul in his letter to the [Ephesians wrote in chapter 6](#): *"For we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places. Wherefore take unto you the whole armour of God, that ye may be able to withstand in the evil day, and having done all, to stand. Stand therefore, having your loins girt about with truth, and having on the breastplate of righteousness; And your feet shod with the preparation of the gospel of peace; Above all, taking the shield of faith, wherewith ye shall be able to quench all the fiery darts of the wicked. And take the helmet of salvation, and the sword of the Spirit, which is the word of God: praying always with all prayer and supplication in the Spirit, and watching thereunto with all perseverance and supplication for all saints:"* ([Eph 6:12-18](#)).

There is an axiom that states that if you start with the wrong premise, you will end with the wrong conclusion. Such is the case with Paul's writing here. So many of our modern Judeo-Christian churchgoers are taught that these verses relate to a spiritual war somewhere in the third heaven between angels and devils. There is far too little mention of the spiritual warfare being fought right here all around us. Spirituality is in more than one plane. It is not only in the vertical between us and God but it is also between our people here on earth. Notice the phrase, "spiritual wickedness in high places." Then note the phrase "sword of the Spirit, which is the word of God." The first usage of the word spirit is with the lower case s. The second usage of the word is Spirit with a capital S. This is important. One usage is in the horizontal and the other is in the vertical.

To give an example of this, we read of the story of David at the time he was still a boy. In [First Samuel, chapter 16](#), we read this: *"Then Samuel took the horn of oil, and anointed him in the midst of his brethren: and the Spirit of the Lord came upon David from that day forward. So Samuel rose up, and went to Ramah. But the Spirit of the Lord departed from Saul, and an evil spirit from the Lord troubled him."* ([I Samuel 16:13-14](#)).

Note here that the *"Spirit of the Lord came upon David"* uses a capital S in the word Spirit. *"an evil spirit from the Lord"* uses a lower case s in spirit. What this means is that the *Spirit* of the Lord is in the vertical plane and the *spirit* with a lower case s is in the horizontal plane. It is between people here on earth just as was the case of Saul in his dealings with, not only David, but others as well. That spirit with the little s can be for the good as it was with David or it can be for evil as is the case with the current situation now among many leaders of our country.

In summary, we are in a spiritual war with people right here on earth. Unfortunately, they are in the government as has been the case from the beginning of this nation. When Paul uses the words *"in high places,"* he is referring to places like Washington D.C.

In the modern United States it is politically incorrect to believe that this nation was to be a manifestation of a Godly Kingdom of the Bible. The term "Manifest Destiny" reflects the belief of our forefathers. That term is also politically incorrect today. Why?

From the time of the change from the [Articles of Confederation](#) to the [Constitution](#), there has been a conflict between two systems of government. These two systems are called States Rights and Federalism. Throughout the past two hundred years these two systems have waxed and waned. This issue of the Intelligence Newsletter will reflect the history of this conflict and will show the real reason for it.

The Christian people of the United States, at least those who are descendants of the early pioneers, basically believe in States Rights. That term is closely associated with two other terms, Nationalism and Manifest Destiny. In turn, those two terms are directly associated with the Holy Bible.

Federalism, on the other hand, is basically associated with Internationalism. Those who are Federalists will attempt to show that it simply means a strong central government with the power structure tightly held at the federal level. What is not often mentioned is the connection to the money system and international commerce. In reality, these are the real reasons for the Federalist system.

Additionally, the average American Christian who is a descendant of the early pioneers, believes that Nationalism is racially motivated, even though that is not being taught in the modern Judeo-Christian church. These Christians believe in the Brotherhood of Ethnicity that is ordained by God. Conversely, the internationalists believe in the Brotherhood of Man.

We should all be aware that Federalism is now the system in total control. It is also obvious that States Rights is once again becoming a popular concept. It is the Christian community at the grass-roots level (generally outside of the churches), which is bringing it about. The battle rages and it is time for all God fearing (loving) Christians to get off the fence and join in the battle. The purpose of this issue is to show those who are in the current great fight to regain control of government at the local level that they have not been alone throughout the years. What is the history of this enormous conflict and what is its relationship to money?

Whenever we discuss States' Rights, we must discuss the definition of sovereignty. Basically, if an entity is independent, it is sovereign. We should not confuse the term as used here with that of the Sovereignty of God. God rules and only He is the true Sovereign. In this discussion we are using the term as the various systems of government use it. Keep this in mind.

When the Revolutionary War ended the colonies formed the Continental Congress and developed the [Articles of Confederation](#) as their compact between the various states. Each state was independent and was empowered to regulate its own commerce and all other functions of government as a sovereign state. The [Articles of Confederation](#) was a treaty, or compact, between the various states and, according to international law, was a document stating the objectives and the method of accomplishing them. Remember, the guiding force behind this was the nationalism of the Christian families as guided by the Word of God.

The shortcomings of the [Articles of Confederation](#) became obvious to the various states, specifically in the areas of the common defense and interstate commerce. The [Constitution](#), which was ratified in 1787-1789 was simply another compact, or treaty, to correct these shortcomings. Thus, the states agreed to give up some of their sovereignty to a federal government. The argument arose immediately as to how much sovereignty was given up because of that new document. From the time of the first president, George Washington, to

this day the argument continues. Thus we see the waxing and waning alternately of States Rights and Federalism throughout the years.

Federalism, within the confines of the [Constitution](#), is fatally flawed. Those in favor of Federalism recognize this flaw and are desperately trying to remove it. That flaw rests in the fact that the [Constitution](#), when it was written and ratified by the independent states, did not remove the entity of the states in its content. What is the purpose of states if they have no independence? To remove this most serious flaw in Federalist thinking, efforts are continuing to remove the states and replace them with regions, ten of them, called Regional Government.

There is one very influential man in the history of our country who can be credited (or discredited) with allowing the Federalist concept to prevail. From the book *History of the United States* by Henry Elson, A.M., Litt.D., Macmillan Co., 1926, we read this:

"Among the builders of American constitutional government, one of the foremost was John Marshall. In his old age John Adams declared that the 'proudest act of his life' was the appointing of Marshall chief justice of the Supreme Court. Marshall's was beyond a doubt the most powerful judicial mind this country has produced. By his great court decisions he influenced profoundly the whole future trend of the government.

"One of the vital questions requiring judicial settlement was the exact relation between the states and the Union. Would the spirit of the Kentucky and Virginia resolutions prevail, or would the time come when the Supreme Law would reign undisputed over the whole land? In our day it would seem very amusing if some hot-headed state governor would flare up, declare his state sovereign, and assume to resist the national authority. Such a thing occurred more than once in the early period. One of the recognized principles of our government is that the Supreme Court can pronounce on the validity, the constitutionality, of a law passed by Congress. The matter was settled by Marshall in the case of *Marbury v. Madison* in 1803. The decision annoyed Jefferson and his party; but, although then in power, they could do nothing to change it. The same principle was applied to the states in various later decisions, especially in the case of *Cohens v. Virginia*, 1821. In this decision Marshall held that the Federal Supreme Court could set aside the decisions of state courts and the acts of state legislatures if repugnant to the national Constitution."

When one reads what Mr. Elson wrote here in his book it would appear to be but just another one of those natural progressions of law with which we must now operate. But as we read other historical information relative to this issue, we find but another instance of "packing the courts" that has become the Federalists' trademark. We all know of the infamous Supreme Court packing by Franklin Roosevelt in which he appointed men to the court who were amiable to his agenda.

From the article entitled "Can the Federal Government, As An Agent of the States, Be fired?" by Dr. D.J. Stevens-Allen found in *The Barnes Review*, March 1996, we read this regarding the case of *Marbury v. Madison* during the Jefferson presidency, "By 1801, when Thomas Jefferson was elected president, the division between the sectional interests of the financial-industrial Northeast and the largely agricultural South and West became impossible to ignore. The Federalists had lost power when President John Adams was defeated in his bid for a second term. They were replaced by Jefferson and the Republicans. Yet, the Federalists did not leave gracefully.

"In the last days of the Adams administration there was a new Judiciary Act, adding 16 judges to the Federal Circuit Courts. Naturally, President Adams hastened to appoint these magistrates from among loyal Federalists. To say that the Republicans were annoyed would be to vastly understate their reaction. But worse was to follow.

"The Washington justices had been nominated on March 2, confirmed by the Senate on March 3 and Jefferson took office as president on March 4. He was livid.

"The new president's reaction to the political maneuvering of the Federalists was to hold up the commissions of some of the newly-appointed justices. Among these latter was William Marbury. Mr. Marbury was not one to take what he regarded as a signal insult lying down. Together with three other justices whose commissions had not been issued, he decided to sue the new secretary of state, James Madison. Consequently, he and his colleagues filed before the Supreme Court, demanding that the court issue an order to the secretary to show cause why a writ should not be emitted, commanding him to issue the commissions.

"The case is known as Marbury vs. Madison and has become far more important than its content. What makes the case significant is the use Chief Justice John Marshall made of it.

"It must be remembered that Marshall was a Federalist. He believed, therefore, that the federal government must be strong and that the political affairs of the Republic must be centralized in that government. Regardless of the fact that the Constitution clearly addresses a government that is nothing more than the agent of the states, it was the opinion of Alexander Hamilton, Marshall and their colleagues that the organic law must be so twisted as to reduce the principals to the status of mere agents of the federal government. In other words, he believed that the position of the states and the federal government must be reversed. He deliberately used Marbury vs. Madison to achieve this end.

"To this effect, the chief justice wrote the opinion of the court to show that unconstitutional laws cannot be law. By the same token, there must be an authority to decide which laws are constitutional. 'A legislative act contrary to the Constitution is not law,' he wrote, and he added that 'It is emphatically the province and duty of the judicial department to say what the law is.' In this, he was both right and wrong." Incidentally, *The Barnes Review* has a stated purpose "To Bring History into Accord With the Facts." It is a most excellent publication and can be obtained by calling 800-522-6292. The subscription cost is \$38 per year.

Past issues of the Intelligence Newsletter have reported the battle between the Federalists and the Republicans. These battles were mainly between States Rights versus Federalism. One of the major incidents involved the infamous Alien and Sedition Act of 1798. Because of the current unrest among the grassroots American citizens over excessive government force, we should review this most important case.

The early Federalist Party could perhaps have established themselves securely as the American way had they been wise and tactful. But God works in mysterious ways. They couldn't contain themselves from showing excessive power even then, just as today. The Jeffersonians were adamant about the excessive use of power by the Federalists and many authors and newspaper editors wrote articles regarding these excesses, just as is being done today by the so-called "alternative news media."

The Federalists simply passed the Sedition Law and attached it to the Alien Law and started jailing "offending" authors and speakers, again just as today. American people then became incensed, again just as today, and the state legislatures of Virginia and Kentucky wrote what was called the Kentucky and Virginia Resolves. Those resolves were similar in nature to the current Tenth Amendment Resolutions being passed by the state legislatures as well as the County Rule Ordinances.

The Kentucky and Virginia Resolves addressed three major points. "First, that the Constitution is a compact to which each state is a party, that the government created by it has certain delegated powers, and if it assumes un-delegated powers, its acts are void, and that the parties to the compact, that is, the states, have a right to judge of its infractions and of the mode and measure of redress. Second, the Alien and Sedition Acts are examined and the conclusion is reached that Congress has exceeded its powers in passing them. In these two features the Kentucky and Virginia Resolves agreed in substance. But in the third, the remedy to be applied, they were somewhat different. The second set of Kentucky Resolves pronounced nullification the rightful remedy for assumed powers of the government. The Virginia resolutions did not use the word `nullify,' but declared that the states had the right to interpose in case the government assumed a dangerous exercise of powers.

"These resolutions made a profound sensation throughout the Union and, though condemned by other legislatures, they did much to awaken the people to the fact that the government had overstepped its rightful authority in passing the Alien and Sedition Laws." (*The History of the United States* by Elson.)

We see exactly the same thing today in our country. It is basically the agriculturally oriented states, which are opposing the current excessive use of federal power. The fight over States Rights versus Federalism continues and citizens should take heart that it has happened before. If history repeats itself, the federal government will back off into the woodwork. But, sooner or later, the issue must be solved. It has been Federalism that has put our people into such bondage, financial and social, that we are now a bankrupt nation.

Notice that it was an agent of the Bank of England, Alexander Hamilton, who worked with John Adams and Marshall in the creation of an all-powerful federal government. Dr. Stevens-Allen stated that "Today, almost the entirety of our government is absolutely unconstitutional. It has simply been declared constitutional by the various courts."

The relationship between Federalism and the money powers is most important. To show the relationship between this fact and the current unrest existing within the country has historical validity, we will extract from an earlier Intelligence Newsletter. To reiterate, the history of the United States reeks with the ongoing battle between the two systems of States Rights versus Federalism. From the [November-December, 1992 issue](#) of the Intelligence Newsletter we read:

"In [lesson 18](#) it was shown that John Quincy Adams, a member of the Federalist Party, went to President Jefferson and described to him how a group, known as the Boston Brahmins and members of his own Federalist Party, were conspiring against the United States to create the Civil War. This display of patriotism, high personal character and morals was repeated many times throughout his career. Unfortunately these attributes were not carried down to his succeeding generations. The family became involved with transcendentalism, necromancy and other psychic phenomena. We will discover that these weird and un-Godly actions became a part of the conspiracy in the continuing struggle to destroy the American Republic.

*"When thou art come into the land which the Lord thy God giveth thee, thou shalt not learn to do after the abominations of those nations. There shall not be found among you any one that maketh his son or his daughter to pass through the fire, or that useth divination, or an observer of times, or an enchanter or a witch, or a charmer, or a consulter with familiar spirits, or a wizard, or a necromancer."* ([Deu. 18:9-11](#))

"The three grandsons of John Quincy Adams (Henry, John Quincy II, and Charles Francis Adams Jr.) had dreams of nobility. Henry Adams was particularly virulent against the

American ideal. He spent the Civil War years in England learning the ways of the oligarchs and the hatred for American commoners. He, along with his brothers, were asked to join the Cobden Club in England. The purpose of this club was to have the Lincoln "greenback" notes cancelled and destroyed. These were printed by the U.S. Govt. during the war and paid into circulation in direct opposition to the Bank of England. The club also wanted the U.S. Government's authority to print its own paper money rescinded and that authority turned over to private banks (Bank of England).

"In a letter to his headquarters, the U.S. Consul in Liverpool wrote: "They are making great efforts on this side to repeal our tariff and admit British goods free of duty. If effort and money can accomplish it, you may rest assured it will be done. The work is done through the agents of foreign (commercial or banking) houses in Boston and New York. THEIR PLAN IS TO AGITATE IN THE WESTERN STATES (emphasis mine-ed.), and to form free-trade associations all over the country."

"In addition to his membership in the Cobden Club, Henry Adams was invited to join the prestigious social clubs of British nobility. He was invited to Lord Houghton's estate where he met two men whose names have been carried down through history and when we tie all of this together, we will understand the underlying motives for all of this conspiracy and the "power behind the throne." Those two men were Laurence Oliphant and Evelyn Ashley!

"Evelyn Ashley was the son of the Earl of Shaftsbury. He was also the grandson, through his mother, of Lord Palmerston. The family estate of Lord Palmerston had been for many years the center of the intelligence and "Black Operations" against the United States. It was at this estate that the techniques such as hypnotism, transcendentalism and psychopathic manipulation, as well as other forms of mind control, were used to control the actions of people. The United States government's current efforts in this area originated at the estate of Lord Palmerston.

"The man who taught these psychological sciences at Lord Palmerston's estate was Laurence Oliphant. This man was the chief intelligence officer for Lord Palmerston and was used all over the world, including in the Chinese opium trade. Both Oliphant and Ashley spent considerable time in the United States for the purpose of de-stabilizing the development efforts of the western states. Obviously it was a continuation of the dreams of empire, which started with the Civil War.

"Just who were Ashley and Oliphant? In past lessons in history we discussed the infamous CABAL, that well known group of men who were so influential in England at the time of Oliver Cromwell. The family names of the CABAL, particularly Ashley Cooper (the Earl of Shaftsbury) and John Maitland (the Duke of Lauderdale) were continued down through the years in a continued effort to bring about a homeland for the Jews. We have written and spoken many times on this situation because of its importance to modern times. The Oliphant family had for many generations been involved in the Chinese opium trade and Lawrence Oliphant became involved in the Jewish homeland question with Lord Palmerston and Queen Victoria and her son Prince Edward VII.

"Henry Adams, armed with his knowledge of the desires of the English nobility and his continued hatred for the American system, returned to the United States in 1877. He first spent seven years teaching Medieval History at Harvard University. Then he moved to Washington D.C. where he could become active in his efforts to destroy the development of the American West to the best interests of the still persistent dreams of empire for America. Remember, in 1877 the Civil War was finished and the remaining die-hards within the Knights of the Golden Circle were, by this time, back in the United States, firmly settled in the western territories.

"How were they to do it? How could they do it in such a manner as not to raise the suspicions of the American people? It was decided that the concept of protecting the environment would be their method. It really is a "for motherhood and against sin approach." We are all in favor of protecting the environment. But isn't it strange that there was no attempt to keep the pristine and primitive environment in the eastern states? Only in recent years has there been any concern for the ancient mound sites and other known early developments of civilization. Most all work done in the early sites are by private individuals and organizations. But the West was to be preserved for future generations. It was to remain as it was when we found it. Certainly there has been development of some of the land in the West. But most of the western states still remain 70-80 percent federal property and the government is grabbing as much private land as they can to return it to federal ownership.

"Henry Adams gathered around him men who were sympathetic to his cause. There were several geologists who were commissioned to investigate the West for its potential. Those who thought that the western states had no useful purpose were invited to join the Cosmos Club, originated by Henry Adams. The purpose of the club was to "bind the scientific men of Washington by a social tie and thus promote that solidarity which is important to their proper work and influence.." Henry Adams simply joined as a member. Major John Wesley Powell was one of the geologists who surveyed the West and was under the influence of the "environmentalists" who wanted the West closed. He was made the first president of the Cosmos Club with Henry Adams steering its course. For his part in limiting the private ownership of the land in the West, his name is given to a dam and lake on the Colorado River.

"Henry Adams, himself a mystic, gathered around him men of like persuasion. Many of the members of the Cosmos Club "spoke the same language." Club member Othniel C. Marsh was a nephew of the British banker George Peabody and a friend of Thomas Huxley and Charles Darwin. These are the type of men who were in the position to open or close the West. They chose to close it.

"There are many areas in the western states that should have been set aside for future generations to visit and enjoy. Many of the National Parks have been wisely selected for these purposes. But, does the federal government have to own three- fourths of all of the land in the West? Was it necessary to create the gigantic bureaucracies such as the [Bureau of Land Management](#), [Forest Service](#), [Bureau of Indian Affairs](#), etc. Only those living in the western states can appreciate the problems.

"But, provisions were made for certain men to control huge portions of the western lands. They didn't own it, the federal government did, but they controlled it and the federal government in effect protected them. As any corporation lawyer will state, "It is not the ownership that counts, it is the control." These men included the Cattle Barons of the West in the late 19th century and the early 20th. It was here that the dream of empire remained. It was an empire and the cattle barons joined in association to protect that empire from all encroachments. It was agreed among them that they would keep out all "sod busters" (farmers), sheep herders, homesteaders and otherwise squatters (similar to the term colonias in Mexico).

"Another group of barons that was even allowed to own privately huge quantities of the western states were the railroads. One of the foremost families that were a part of this special treatment were the Harrimans, a family accepted into the Eastern Establishment. Congress actually gave to the railroaders alternate one-section tracts on each side of the proposed railroad. The railroads would sell the land, except for the railroad right-of-way itself to pay for the cost of building the railroad. If a family, or a group of families, had

already settled some land and the railroad was surveyed to go through their property, the "squatters" were physically forced off their land. Other industries such as timber and mining were given to members of the oligarchies.

"The average American is very individualistic. He believes in the small man, the man who grubs out a living for his family. We tend to distrust money, power and nobility. It has been just that way with respect to these huge empires the government created with the cattle barons and the other commercial interests. We have had a fascination with those "outlaws" who seemingly fought the system, even though they broke basic laws of society. Most of our people have quietly been for those underdogs and we are attracted to stories about them. Sometimes we even side with the outlaw even though the desperado fought on the wrong side!

"After Rutherford Hayes was inaugurated President on October 1, 1878 he observed that the state governments were using the military to settle civil disputes. Within two weeks he issued a proclamation to cease using the military as a posse comitatus. The military apparently didn't pay too much attention to the President's order. They entered into many battles in the West between the little man and the privileged "Barons."

It was in these civil disputes, mostly the range wars, that the famous outlaws of the West did their work. Generally, but not always, the gun-slingers fought on the side of the little man, fighting against the moneyed barons. It appeared to be a labor of love because he was able to take the money from the wealthy and help the little man at the same time."

There are several points to be observed here in this struggle for our country. The problems of today are simply a continuation of the problems of yesterday. No, truly, there is nothing new under the sun.

We see that the "leaders" of those days were deep into psychological warfare just as is today. Only today they have the phenomena vastly perfected and use electronic signals to assist them in their efforts. We know that those leaders (Boston Brahmins) were involved heavily in the drug trade just like it is today. Only now, even the government is in the business such as their efforts during the "Iran-Contra" affair.

We see that it was in the Western States where they wanted the land reserved for their own agenda, just like it is today. Currently, their drive is to remove the rancher from the land who, interestingly, can no longer be considered a "Land Baron." The modern rancher is a small, self contained, family unit, which realizes only a living wage for his effort and sometimes not even that.

They want to remove the logger from the forests. They want to confiscate all of the water and use it solely for urban use.

We also realize that the concept of posse comitatus is now being used with a vengeance. The system is now expanded to use state and local law enforcement officers in that capacity, all- the-while calling it law enforcement.

This trend in utilizing the United States military in the capacity of police officers is a real threat to the ongoing current effort for states' rights. From an article found in the Greensburg, PA *Tribune-Review* written by the investigative reporter Sally Barclay titled "The Militarization of Law Enforcement is on the Way" we find some interesting information. "The National Defense University and Norwich University, two of the nation's oldest military colleges, published a report in September, 1994, titled `Peace-making and Peacekeeping and Coalition Warfare: The Future Role of the [United Nations](#)."

"The report is based on a conference attended by policy-makers from the military, U.S. State Department, [United Nations](#), and various colleges and universities.

"The purpose was to cultivate ideas on how the militaries of the world could be converted into an international law enforcement body. This law enforcement body was termed a Regional Security Apparatus, or RAS.

"The command structure should effectively support both one time contingencies requiring specific unique regions, and continuous enforcement operations in which responses should be automatic and not require fresh political consensus. RSA activities should be internally operated in response to recurring disorders i.e. drug trafficking, money-laundering, or arms control violations. Certain continuous enforcement regulatory functions could gradually shift from national to supranational institutions."

The "one time contingencies requiring specific unique regions, and continuous enforcement operations in which responses should be automatic" would "automatically" include the ongoing struggle for states' rights, particularly in the western states. As Federalism within the United States Government grows evermore powerful, there will be use made of the military, in the name of the United Nations, to quash internal struggles such as the current states' rights effort. Federal law is now being made and applied using the authority of treaties made with the international bodies.

There is an old adage, "The Golden Rule means he who has the gold makes the rules." Today, gold is not used as actual money but the same idea applies to the paper money. As long as there is public faith in the system of using paper money as gold, that old adage is still true. So how does money fit into the conflict between States Rights versus Federalism?

There is also another truism. Political power is always subservient to financial power. Throughout history, the peaceful penetration of any country has been first through the establishment of commercial operations within the country. Whoever controls the commerce, including the banks, then controls the politics. That will include the system of government and not just the control of the existing government.

The [Constitution](#) goes to great lengths to provide for the balance of power between the three branches of government. That was expressly done to give the States Rights proponents the checks and balances against the Federalists. The Federalists were the money and banking people. They were then what would be called today "The Eastern Establishment." It was James Madison, a proponent of states rights, who wrote the Bill of Rights, which was specifically done to balance the power of the stronger central government concept of the new constitution, both for the individual and the states.

When we understand that the Bill of Rights was added to the Constitution to provide more than protection for the individual but was added to provide for an additional check against Federalism, we can understand why there is such a strong effort to remove it from the law. It has been the proponents of Federalism who have worked so hard to destroy those rights.

Why, then, was there such little effort placed in describing in detail the system of money to be used in these United States? Some say that the framers didn't understand the nature of money. Perhaps so but this writer doesn't think so.

The framers of the Constitution were extremely well-learned men. They were extremely well versed in the history of nations, including their languages. They certainly must have known that money can either be a commodity of intrinsic value such as gold or be paper money, which can be called an instrument of law, a social invention. Paper money had

already worked very well in the colonies in the form of paper script. It worked so well that the King of England outlawed it! Yet, in England, many years before King George III, that country had the Talley system, which was simply slender strips of wood with marks on the sides. These strips of wood were then split lengthwise and one half was kept in the treasury and the other half circulated. The framers of the Constitution knew this. Perhaps we will never know the real reason to this question. But whatever the reason was, that one problem of not being specific and in detail regarding money, has caused us nearly all of our troubles in this conflict between States Rights versus Federalism. The history of the debate as to who circulates the money as it relates to the conflict of States' Rights versus Federalism is important. This will be covered in more depth in another issue, God willing.

This long-lasting and ongoing struggle between the two concepts, States' Rights versus Federalism," is difficult to combat as the courageous grass-roots movement throughout the country is realizing. Throughout the history of our country, the proponents of Federalism have used the threat of embargoes and blockades by other nations as the reason for the need of a powerful central government. Modern industrialized nations have all used this technique to obtain advantage over other countries. That was discussed in detail in the [JAN-FEB, 1996 issue](#) to show the cause of World Wars I and II. That is a fact of modern life when we deal with foreign nations.

Historically, within this country, the industrial-military complexes of the Northern States have driven the Congress to think in terms of these blockades and embargoes of foreign nations to the advantage of large industrial complexes. However, as these industrial-military complexes have widened their bases into other states, it has become a more universal character of this country. Either way, such action has left the farmer, rancher, logger and fishermen with no recourse. In the early days, most people lived on the farms and ranches, or in the rural areas, and therefore Congress was forced to respond to their needs. Now, less than five percent work the land in this country. Those in the rural areas simply do not have the political clout at the ballot box. Congress today does not represent those who work the land regardless of what they say in their canned speeches during re-election time.

An example of this was revealed in a recent meeting of members of a ranching community with the Congressman from their district. The ranchers attempted to explain their conflict with the Forest Service over its attempt to reduce, and finally totally remove, cattle from the national forest. The Congressman was continually being advised by his aids on how to discuss the issue. It was very obvious that the Congressman was not even aware of this monumental national problem. Once our elected representatives move into the Washington area, they become oriented towards Federalism.

Modern Federalism with its [NAFTA](#) and [GATT](#) agreements (which are being treated as treaties) are, in effect, negative trade embargoes. They create "Favored Nation Status" with countries such as China and Mexico. In these nations the industrial- military complex can manufacture goods at slave labor wages, thus the N.Y. Stock Market goes wild. The American producers are hurting as a result of this action.

Food products are now being shipped into this country from other countries, particularly Mexico, using this peculiar form of reverse trade embargoes. Fruits and vegetables from these other countries do not have to be produced under United States EPA regulations. This, plus all of the other restrictions and taxes placed on our producers have ruined many American producers. As a specific example, we here at Christian Crusade for Truth received a letter from the Atlantic And Pacific, Inc. of Florida (dated Jan. 25, 1996), which has been the supplier of agricultural chemicals to the tomato, pepper and cucumber growers of that state. Their letter stated in part:

"...Then [NAFTA](#) came into being. Now Florida growers cannot compete with the cheap labor of Mexico and a seemingly concerted effort by the American super markets to put them out of business. The markets now buy directly from the growers which has devastated the orderly brokerage business, a mainstay of the industry for years...Since two thirds of the tomatoes sold in the U.S. are Mexican grown and must be picked well before vine ripe condition, the poor quality, combined with the high supermarket price, has put a damper on the sales of tomatoes.

"Just this week every tomato, cucumber and pepper grower we know of has quit the business! They are walking away from thousands of acres of tomatoes since it does not pay to pick and package them at the price the supermarkets can buy Mexican tomatoes for. And the markets are not labeling the Mexican grown tomatoes as `Mexican grown' as the law requires.

"Besides the thousands of jobs lost and the growers and suppliers who have been put out of business, the American public faces a potential health hazard. Who knows what the unregulated Mexican tomato growers are spraying on their crops? Systemic pesticides enter the fruit itself and are ingested by the consumer...In short, [NAFTA](#) has been the ruination of the Florida vegetable grower and agricultural supplier."

It is the same with beef. It is the same with lumber. As long as Federalism operates unchecked in our land, we can expect this sort of treatment.

What can we do? First, our American people from families of long standing in this country must once again think in terms of Nationalism. That once was the backbone of thought in this country. We must, must, must return to that. The word nationalism comes from nation, which in turn, comes from ethnicity. Call it what you will but the fact remains that history is repeating itself in this country. The Roman Empire fell because of it. The Grecian Empire fell because of it. The last issue of this newsletter revealed that the Byzantine Empire fell because of it. You cannot escape that fact of life. Read [Deuteronomy, chapter 28](#) over and over again. As you read it, pay attention to the other verses referenced in the margins of your bible. God will not tolerate it because there is no such thing as "The Brotherhood of Man!" Nations will either do it God's way or be destroyed!

It doesn't take a rocket scientist to recognize that people of other nationalities have a natural propensity to think in terms of what is the best for their own people. This is proper. They have a right to this. It is also natural for peoples other than those who founded this nation to think it is perfectly proper to do what that letter from Florida revealed. To them, it is proper to deal with China or any other country because there is no glue to bind this country together as a nation.

Second, those families who formed this nation must start pulling together. As long as self righteousness prevails and we refuse to understand each other and work together we will likewise fail. Jesus said it all when he said, *"Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind. This is the first and great commandment. And the second is like unto it, Thou shalt love thy neighbor as thyself. On these two commandments hang all the law and the prophets"* ([Mat 22:37-40](#)).

Third, we must all go into the teaching mode. The way to success in returning our country back into a republic under God is to teach our people the great truths of history. We cannot rely on the news media, schools, currently written history books or the churches.

We must then become active in state functions from the city commission to the state legislature. We must impress them that the state has states' rights. The state has the Constitutional right to nullify federal actions only when they are not specifically authorized

in the Constitution. Most of all current federal legislation is not authorized in the Constitution. If the United States is to survive in any suitable form, we must continue with the tremendous work already begun at the grass-roots level.

We would like to close this issue by including a poem found in a Slovak heritage newsletter. Since our last issue on the Bosnian situation, many letters have arrived from the Slavic people to thank us for that history lesson. If only the Americans of our forefathers had the national spirit that these people have.

"Ancestors."

"What's in a name? The talented poet asked. Look deep listen: The pulse of our ancestors. The heartbeat of nations past. Land, Language, Faith." "Look into a name. What do you see? Letters only? Look deeper. See the people. Who lived that name. Not letters but flesh and blood. Flesh to our flesh. Blood to our blood. Faith to our Faith. Ancestors." "You who bore my name, Were your thoughts passed on to me? Do I dream your dreams? The sun you saw I see. The moon plays for us both. Days are days, Years are years. But time separates us." "You who lived in the past. With my name. Did you see me then? You have not left this earth! You live in my name. You live in me. I give you earthly immortality." "My eyes see a different land. My ears hear different sounds. But we worship in unison. The God of your youth." "My Faith you have given me. The God who watched over you. Watches over me. Centuries collapse as Faith unites. Leave you, my ancestors? I could never leave you. I take pride in you. The soil of your homeland. Rests in my heart. Your native language Is the melody of my dreams." "I look into your name. And see myself."

W.H. Zosehak, a Slovak