Survey Evaluation Results

Dear Marcos,

In the attachment you will find the evaluation results of the survey PO21101_22SP Intro. to International Rel..

The overall indicator is listed first. It consists of the following scales:

- General Info
- The Student
- The Course Overall

The overall indicator is followed by the individual average values of the scales mentioned above.

In the second part of the analysis the average values of all individual questions are listed, along with a comparison to the overall results for the entire school.

Sincerely,
College of Arts and Sciences

Marcos Scauso

PO21101_22SP Intro. to International Rel. (PO21101_22SP)
No. of responses = 16 / No. of participants = 23
Response Rate = 69.6%

Overall indicators

Global Index

av.=4.7
dev.=0.5

2. *The Student*

av.=4.5
dev.=0.6

5. *The Course Overall*

av.=4.9
dev.=0.3

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. *General Info*

1.4) Your Major:

n=16

Behavioral Neuroscience	0%
Biochemistry	0%
Biology	0%
Chemistry	0%
Computer Science (Liberal Arts)	0%
Criminal Justice	0%
Economics	0%
English	0%
Game Design & Development	0%
Gerontology	0%
History	0%
Independent Major	0%
Interactive Digital Design	0%
Interdisciplinary Studies	0%
Legal Studies	0%
Liberal Studies	0%
Mathematics	0%
Molecular Cell Biology	0%
Philosophy	6.3%



1.5) Class:

n=16



1.6) Expected Grade For This Course:

n=15



2. *The Student*

2.1) **KNOWLEDGE:** As a student, I understand the central concepts and ideas in this course.



n=16
av.=4.6
md=5
dev.=0.5

2.2) **COMMITMENT:** As a student, I did my part to learn as much as possible in this course.



n=16
av.=4.4
md=5
dev.=0.9

2.3) **LEARNING:** As a student I can apply information/ skills learned in this course.



n=16
av.=4.6
md=5
dev.=0.5

3. *The Professor*

3.1) **INTELLECTUAL ATMOSPHERE:** My professor provided a stimulating atmosphere that facilitated learning.



n=16
av.=5
md=5
dev.=0

3.2) **AVAILABILITY:** My professor was available outside of class to respond to my questions and interests.



n=16
av.=4.9
md=5
dev.=0.3

3.3) **ENTHUSIASM:** My professor was enthusiastic about the subject matter.



n=16
av.=5
md=5
dev.=0

3.4) **TECHNIQUE:** My professor communicated the material clearly and effectively.



n=16
av.=5
md=5
dev.=0

3.5) **ORGANIZATION:** My professor summarized major points and course objectives.



n=16
av.=5
md=5
dev.=0

3.6) **GRADING PROCEDURES:** My work in this course was evaluated in an unbiased way.



n=16
av.=4.9
md=5
dev.=0.3

3.7) **ATTITUDE:** My professor was sensitive and respectful to students' needs.



n=16
av.=5
md=5
dev.=0

4. *Class Materials*

4.1) **TEXTS:** Class resources (i.e., text, other materials effectively contributed to learning.



n=16
av.=4.5
md=5
dev.=1

4.2) **ASSIGNMENTS & EXAMS:** The assignments and/or examinations were relevant and meaningful for the content of the course.



n=16
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.8

5. *The Course Overall*

5.1) Overall, I would rate this course as a valuable learning experience.



n=16
av.=4.9
md=5
dev.=0.3

5.2) Overall, the PROFESSOR was effective.



n=15
av.=4.9
md=5
dev.=0.4

Profile

Subunit: College of Arts and Sciences
Name of the instructor: Marcos Scauso
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) PO21101_22SP Intro. to International Rel.

Comparative line: Overall Average of Spring 2022 College of Arts and Sciences

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. *The Student*



2.1)	**KNOWLEDGE:** As a student, I understand the central concepts and ideas in this course.	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=16 n=8997	av.=4.6 av.=4.5	md=5.0 md=5.0	dev.=0.5 dev.=0.7
2.2)	**COMMITMENT:** As a student, I did my part to learn as much as possible in this course.	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=16 n=8982	av.=4.4 av.=4.6	md=5.0 md=5.0	dev.=0.9 dev.=0.6
2.3)	**LEARNING:** As a student I can apply information/skills learned in this course.	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=16 n=8941	av.=4.6 av.=4.5	md=5.0 md=5.0	dev.=0.5 dev.=0.8

3. *The Professor*



3.1)	**INTELLECTUAL ATMOSPHERE:** My professor provided a stimulating atmosphere that facilitated learning.	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=16 n=9000	av.=5.0 av.=4.5	md=5.0 md=5.0	dev.=0.0 dev.=0.9
3.2)	**AVAILABILITY:** My professor was available outside of class to respond to my questions and interests.	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=16 n=8986	av.=4.9 av.=4.5	md=5.0 md=5.0	dev.=0.3 dev.=0.8
3.3)	**ENTHUSIASM:** My professor was enthusiastic about the subject matter.	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=16 n=8978	av.=5.0 av.=4.7	md=5.0 md=5.0	dev.=0.0 dev.=0.7
3.4)	**TECHNIQUE:** My professor communicated the material clearly and effectively.	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=16 n=8979	av.=5.0 av.=4.4	md=5.0 md=5.0	dev.=0.0 dev.=1.0
3.5)	**ORGANIZATION:** My professor summarized major points and course objectives.	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=16 n=8963	av.=5.0 av.=4.5	md=5.0 md=5.0	dev.=0.0 dev.=0.8
3.6)	**GRADING PROCEDURES:** My work in this course was evaluated in an unbiased way.	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=16 n=8980	av.=4.9 av.=4.6	md=5.0 md=5.0	dev.=0.3 dev.=0.7
3.7)	**ATTITUDE:** My professor was sensitive and respectful to students' needs.	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=16 n=8967	av.=5.0 av.=4.6	md=5.0 md=5.0	dev.=0.0 dev.=0.8

4. *Class Materials*



4.1)	**TEXTS:** Class resources (i.e., text, other materials effectively contributed to learning.	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=16 n=8999	av.=4.5 av.=4.4	md=5.0 md=5.0	dev.=1.0 dev.=0.9
4.2)	**ASSIGNMENTS & EXAMS:** The assignments and/or examinations were relevant and meaningful for the content of the course.	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=16 n=8950	av.=4.8 av.=4.5	md=5.0 md=5.0	dev.=0.8 dev.=0.8

5. *The Course Overall*



5.1)	Overall, I would rate this course as a valuable learning experience.	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=16 n=8998	av.=4.9 av.=4.5	md=5.0 md=5.0	dev.=0.3 dev.=0.9
5.2)	Overall, the PROFESSOR was effective.	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n=15 n=8987	av.=4.9 av.=4.5	md=5.0 md=5.0	dev.=0.4 dev.=0.9

Profile Line for Indicators

Subunit: College of Arts and Sciences
Name of the instructor: Marcos Scauso
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) PO21101_22SP Intro. to International Rel.

Comparative line: Overall Average of Spring 2022 College of Arts and Sciences



Comments Report

1. *General Info*

1.1) Course Name and Number:

- International Relations PO211
- International relations PO211
- Intro to International Relations
- Intro. to Interlational Rel. PO21101
- Introduction to International Relations PO211
- MSS420
- PO 211-01
- PO 21101
- PO211 (2 Counts)
- PO211 Intro. to International Rel.
- PO211-01
- PO21101_22SP Intro. to International Rel. (2 Counts)
- PO21101_22SP Intro. to International Relations

1.2) Semester:

- SP 22
- SP22
- Spring (5 Counts)
- Spring
- Spring 2021
- Spring 2022 (7 Counts)

1.3) Professor's Last Name:

- Burns
- Scauso (13 Counts)
- Scauso (2 Counts)

2. *The Student*

2.4) Please provide any additional comments you would like to convey to the instructor about YOUR performance in this class.

- N/A

3. *The Professor*

3.8) Please provide any additional comments you would like to convey about the professor's teaching:

- Great professor, very enthusiastic
- He is very passionate about what he teaches and makes a fun environment for learning.
- I enjoyed the style of teaching of the professor and I engaged in this class the most because of the way the course was structured. The professor was really enthusiastic and passionate about what he was teaching which made me focus even more on the material. I love how the professor makes sure we understand the material before we move on.
- I loved how Dr. Burns taught this class. The discussion based was a good way to keep the class engaged. I was not always the best at participating because sports isn't my strong suit, but listening and understanding was really effective with the way the class was organized.
- Professor Scauso was always passionate about IR. He summarized major points and constantly checked in with the class to see how we were understanding the material.
- Professor Scauso was one of the best professors that I have ever had in my four years at Quinnipiac. He is enthusiastic and keeps the class engaged while teaching about subjects that can be hard to understand. Because of this, I have been able to understand the subject matter and learn so much in this class.
- Professor's teaching was phenomenal. Best professor I've had, both personality and teaching wise.
- This professor is one of the best professors I've ever had. He uses his strong personality to impart the information while remaining likable.

4. *Class Materials*

4.3) Please provide any additional comments you would like to convey relevant to class materials:

- Didn't open the textbook once and I have an A. Definitely was a waste of money.
- N/A
- The assignments and quizzes in the course are very helpful to apply what I learned in class. It reinforces all the knowledge that we have learned so I make sure that I understand everything.
- There were plenty of resources and no readings were too long.

5. *The Course Overall*

5.3) Please discuss any and all strengths and weaknesses related to this course:

- Prof. Scauso relates the topics in class to real life events/past events and does his best to accommodate everyone in their learning.
- This class does not have weaknesses at all and this course was AMAZING. I highly would recommend it to anyone who is interested in politics.
- This course was fascinating and builds into many other subjects within the political science track and in relation to many other majors and minors as well.