



Eastern Interconnection Planning Collaborative

---

# **Eastern Interconnection Transmission Studies Project**

## **Stakeholder Report Comment Summary**

SSC Meeting  
September 20-21, 2012  
Detroit, MI

# Acknowledgement and Disclaimer

---

## The EIPC appreciates and acknowledges the support of DOE for the Eastern Interconnections Studies Project

### Acknowledgement:

- This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory, under Award Number DE-OE0000343.

### Disclaimers:

- This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

# Introduction

---

- Comments
  - Solicited and tracked comments on the report
    - What should be included, not included, caveats to results, etc.
  - Solicited comments at SSC and TOTF
  - Feel free to provide comments at any time
- Report
  - Draft #1 posted on August 29
  - First round comments due by September 24
  - Sector comment coordinators assigned to help with assembling comments
  - Two more drafts with time for additional comment prior to the final draft which is targeted for distribution on November 21

# Approach to Considering Comments

---

- Please send to Flora Flygt, Catherine Morris and Caitlin Ellsworth
- Track these in spreadsheet
- Propose response to Coordinating Committee
- Agree on and track response
- Spreadsheet posted and will be updated periodically

# Summary – Key Themes of Comments (1)

---

1. Clear definition of the analysis; what it is and what it is not
  - a) This is a long-term, strategic and indicative transmission policy analysis, not a plan; planning is done in the regions
  - b) Due to time constraints we have not been able to consider as many alternatives or refine the alternatives
  - c) This is a snapshot in time – 2030; normally planning is done more incrementally
  - d) Did not address lower voltage fixes needed
  - e) Phase 2 work build directly off Phase 1
  - f) Not all NERC requirements were addressed
2. Summarizing the scenario results in a single place including the “total” costs; can we do a total financial summary?

# Summary – Key Themes of Comments (2)

---

3. Need for Observations and Guidance section
  - a) Include benefits, value and lessons learned from entire process
  - b) Include options to leverage the EIPC work moving forward
4. Planning Authority process
  - a) PAs did a very efficient, effective job of looking at transmission needed to get power where it wanted to flow; didn't just build pipes between the regions
  - b) Opportunity for more coordination between PAs
  - c) PAs did not consider redispatch or Special Protection Schemes

# Summary – Key Themes of Comments (3)

---

## 5. HVDC

- a) Note the HVDC options had significant study to determine appropriate number and locations
- b) Not an opportunity to look at possibility of overloading HVDC lines for contingency

## 6. Report

- a) Recommend including section on EI and Regional metrics  
Use scenario names in the report rather than numbers
- b) Structure report around scenarios, not tasks
- c) Phase 2 report should be “stand-alone” document

# Questions and Discussion

---

