



Part A CONTRACTOR'S CONSTRUCTION PROCESS FEEDBACK

OFFICE USE ONLY		
SENT TO CONTRACTOR ON	ENTERED IN SYSTEM ON	FINAL % SCORE
7/19/2011		
PROJECT MANAGER Ray Cranston	PROJECT NAME Big Creek	EVALUATION YEAR 2011
CONTRACTOR Conway Construction Company		CONTRACT NO. 14296

INSTRUCTIONS TO PRIME CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE: Answer all questions in Part A determining and entering the appropriate points to be assigned to each question in the box next to the question. For questions that do not apply, enter '0' in the score box. Review the completed evaluation with the PM. If the PM is unavailable, sign and date the evaluation, and send a copy to the ODOT PM office for their review. The PM will review and distribute copies.

QUALITY OF PLANS & SPECIFICATIONS:

1. Were the details of the Contract Plans adequate to construct the project?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

SCORE	POSSIBLE
5	5

2. Were the specifications for the project clear on what was required?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

3. Were the specifications for the project clear on how the contractor would be paid for the various bid items?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

4. Were the plans & specifications organized in a manner that made them easy to understand and follow?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

5. How well did the plan details match with field conditions?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

6. Were utility conflicts dealt with prior to pre-construction?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

Provide specific example resulting in the Very Good rating:

Plans and specs were very straight forward. Very few errors were found in them.

Provide specific examples of issues that resulted in the Needs Improvement rating:

None

QUALITY PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS (CONT.)

Suggestions for Improving Plans and Specifications:

Plans and specs were good.

CONSTRUCTIBILITY:

1. Was the project constructible within the time allowed for in the contract documents?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

SCORE	POSSIBLE
5	5

2. Were seasonal restrictions adequately defined and provide a reasonable opportunity to construct the project on time?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

3. Were staging details adequate to complete the project?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

4. Were allowable traffic restrictions adequately detailed and reasonable to construct the project safely?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

5. How well were utility conflicts addressed during construction?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

Provide specific example resulting in the Very Good rating:

Easily completed the project before the completion date. Plenty of time allowed on the project.

Provide specific examples of issues that resulted in the Needs Improvement rating:

None

ENVIRONMENTAL/CONTEXT SENSITIVE AND SUSTAINABLE SOLUTIONS:

1. Were environmental constraints adequately communicated in the contract documents?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

SCORE	POSSIBLE
5	5

2. Did environmental constraints allow for reasonable construction practices to be followed in completing the project?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

3. Were any unknown environmental conditions discovered during construction?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

4. Were mobility requirements adequately communicated in the contract documents?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

5. Did mobility requirements allow for reasonable construction practices?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

Provide specific example resulting in the Very Good rating:

Environmental constraints were specifically outlined in the special provisions. Enabled us to plan accordingly.

Provide specific examples of issues that resulted in the Needs Improvement rating:

None

APPROVAL OF SUBMITTALS:

1. Were shop drawings reviewed and returned in a timely manner with specific, clear comments?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

2. Were falsework, formwork, and shoring drawings reviewed and returned in a timely manner with specific, clear comments?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

3. Were material sources and preliminary material samples reviewed and returned in a timely manner with specific, clear comments?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

4. Were mix designs reviewed and returned in a timely manner with specific, clear comments?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

APPROVAL OF SUBMITTALS (Cont.):

5. Were construction plan and progress schedules reviewed and returned in a timely manner with specific, clear comments?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

6. Was the contractor's written quality plan reviewed and returned in a timely manner with specific, clear comments?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

7. Was the contractor's Traffic Control Plan reviewed and returned in a timely manner with specific, clear comments?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

Provide specific example resulting in the Very Good rating:

All submittals were returned in a timely manner. Did not get held up waiting for these to be reviewed.

Provide specific examples of issues that resulted in the Needs Improvement rating:

None

MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATION:

1. Did the PM help facilitate contact with utilities, railroads, and other government agencies?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

SCORE	POSSIBLE
5	5

2. How well did the PM anticipate problems?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

3. What was the availability of the PM and were decisions communicated in a timely accurate manner?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

4. What was the cooperation of the PM to address CCO, EWO, RFI's, etc?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

5. How was the accuracy and timeliness of Contract Change Orders and Progress Payments?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

6. How well did the PM respond to Pre-bid questions?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATION (Cont.):

Provide specific example resulting in the Very Good rating:

Good communication and working relationships between all management personnel.

Provide specific examples of issues that resulted in the Needs Improvement rating:

None

QUALITY INSPECTION & COMPLIANCE VERIFICATION:

1. How was the knowledge and training of the inspectors and quality compliance personnel?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

2. How was the objectivity and fairness of inspectors regarding the compliance of materials and workmanship with contract quality requirements?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

3. How was the timeliness and availability of inspectors and quality compliance personnel?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

4. What was the timeliness and accuracy of verification sample and test results for comparison to contractor's results?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

5. How was the attitude of the PM and inspection staff regarding Project Safety, Public Safety, and Work Zone Traffic Control?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

Provide specific example resulting in the Very Good rating:

Inspectors were courteous, knowledgeable and either onsite or available by phone.

QUALITY INSPECTION & COMPLIANCE VERIFICATION (Cont.):

Provide specific examples of issues that resulted in the Needs Improvement rating:

None

COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS

1. Were limitations on project traffic restrictions and mobility delay thresholds communicated adequately by the PM?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

2. How was the PM's support in helping the contractor comply with the requirements referenced in (00290.00 through 00290.91) and any related permits required for the project?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

Provide specific example resulting in the Very Good rating:

Traffic restrictions were clearly outlined in the specs.

Provide specific examples of issues that resulted in the Needs Improvement rating:

None

FINAL INSPECTION AND PROJECT CLOSEOUT

1. How was the PM's coordination and effectiveness regarding final inspection and closeout of the project?

Very Good = 5 pts. Satisfactory = 4 pts. Needs Improvement = 3 pts.

5	5
---	---

Provide specific example resulting in the Very Good rating:

Project was closed out effeciently. No drawn out punch list.

Provide specific examples of issues that resulted in the Needs Improvement rating:

None

SECTION A SCORE

TOTAL SCORE TOTAL POSSIBLE

185	185
-----	-----

CONTRACT REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE AND DATE

PROJECT MANAGER SIGNATURE AND DATE (Signature indicates that Project Manager has reviewed evaluation.)

- Agree
- Disagree

Percentage Score

100.00%

Percentage Score
(TOTAL SCORE/TOTAL POSSIBLE)X100

NOTE: Use a separate sheet for additional comments and staple to the back of this document.