

**MWG Call Summary**  
**April 27, 2011, 3:30 p.m.**

Attending: Stan Hadley, Hisham Choueiki, David Meyer, Erin Hogan, Tyler Ruthven, Flora Flygt, Maryam Sharif, Samir Succar, Michael Goggin, Paul McCurley, Wil Burns, Ben D'Antonio, Denis Bergeron, George Smith, Chris Hagman, Jim Colore, Ryan Kind

**1. Discussion of latest CRA modeling results and development of templates to analyze results**

- Erin Hogan e-mailed the MWG a sample spreadsheet she developed to show and compare key aspects of the modeling results.
- Other MWG members expressed an interest in developing a spreadsheet or chart related to the cost data across NEEM regions or planning areas and allows stakeholders to easily see the way these figures vary from one Future or sensitivity to the next.
  - There was some discussion about needing clarity on the cost data. Erin Hogan asked MWG members to forward any questions for CRA to both Erin and John Buechler.
- MWG members interested in developing templates should send their ideas or their sample charts prior to next week's MWG call.

**2. Update on NEEM transfer limit methodology**

- Tyler Ruthven stated that the NEEM/Transmission subteam had a meeting Monday to discuss specifics of proposed methodologies for fixing transfer limits using the results from the soft constraints cases.
- One proposal the group is considering is based on desired capacity factor. Another is based on the load duration curve. The group has not yet reached consensus on the levels/thresholds to use within the methodologies. Members hope to put the data into a spreadsheet and change the levels/thresholds to see the impact of these variations, and determine which levels may be best.
- The subteam aims to recommend its preferred methodology and the thresholds/levels to be used to the SSC so this decision may be made at the May 18 meeting. If the SSC decides that it would prefer to test more than one option before making a decision, the subteam will be prepared to develop a way to do this quickly, without causing delays.

**3. SSC Webinar Presentation materials**

- Slide 14 will be moved to the spot before slide 10, with note that 4.7 sales growth (purple) line is being used.
- Also, a clarification will be added to the slide on the SMR sensitivity noting that the input recommendations came from EISPC (not necessarily from MWG).

**4. Next steps**

- The changes to the slides will be sent to Catherine Morris.
- Any graphs/data analysis templates should be sent to Erin Hogan prior to next Wednesday's call.