

**TIDESWELL PARISH COUNCIL
MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COUNCIL HELD
ON MONDAY 11th JANUARY 2021 VIA ZOOM**

PRESENT: - Cllrs R Andrew, J Chapman, A Daniels, D Gibson D Horne, R Moore, D Whitehouse, P Walker, Hannah Owen (Clerk) Cllr Neil Buttle (DDDC) and two members of the public.

01.01.21 APOLOGIES

None Received

02.01.21 VARIATION OF BUSINESS

Item 9 Planning and Item 10 Casual Vacancy was moved up the agenda to follow Chairman's Announcements

03.01.21 DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

There were no declarations of interests.

04.01.21 PUBLIC SPEAKING

A resident attended the meeting regarding Item 9 Planning. Their statement was submitted to the Council prior to the meeting and this was read out by the clerk.

05.01.21 MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING

The Minutes of the Parish Council Meeting held on Monday 14th December 2020 were proposed as correct by Cllr Moore , seconded by Cllr Horne and with all unanimously agreeing, would be signed by the Chairman and passed to the Clerk when possible.

06.01.21 DETERMINE IF ANY ITEMS ARE TO BE MOVED TO PART II CONFIDENTIAL

No items moved to confidential.

07.01.21 CHAIRS ANNOUNCEMENTS

Cllr Andrew welcomed all to the meeting and thanked everyone for taking part in the meeting via zoom.

Cllr Andrew thanked everyone who was able to help with the taking down the Christmas lights.

08.12.21 VILLAGE REPORT

(a) Post Office –

There has been no further updates.

(b) Play Areas –

The Abigail's Park memorial plaque has been cleaned as has the memorial stone in the wall.

It was RESOLVED to make sure the Covid-19 signs are in place and updated. The Clerk will monitor any changes in government guidelines regarding the playgrounds.

ACTION – CLERK TO UPDATE POSTERS

(c) Footpaths and Highways-

The Clerk has not had a response regarding our request to reinstate the bollards on Commercial Road. Cllr Walker suggested he could also email the Council regarding the commercial road bollards as information has been received that these bollards were put in place following the digging up of a grave in the churchyard. The Clerk will also follow up this email with a further request from the Parish Council that this is reinstated.

Mark Cox has agreed to look at the electrical issues and will update the council ASAP.

ACTION – CLLR WALKER AND THE CLERK TO EMAIL DCC AGAIN REGARDING THE BOLLARDS

(d) Toilets –

The installation of the smart meter at the toilets has been postponed as the engineer had to self-isolate. The installation will now take place in February.

(e) Cemetery –

Mick Fletcher has visited the Mortuary and will complete the roof repair work as soon as possible. It was agreed by all Councillors The work on all corners of the roof will be completed as soon as possible to make the area safe.

(f) Gardens, Mowing/Strimming and Trees –

The tree work at Town Head is due to be completed next week.

(g) Bins and Street Furniture

Cllr Walker reported there were no issues with the dog mess bin on the Cliff. The post of the sign at The Cliff has been damaged and a temporary repair has been completed. It was agreed that for now this temporary repair will do in case there is further damage.

(h) Housing Needs Update

There has been no further updates. The Clerk has asked Cllr Buttle for an update and for details of who our new contact will be now that Isabel has left. Cllr Buttle informed the Councillors that the new contact will be in post from February and details will be passed to the clerk.

(i) Common Land

Pete Hawkins contacted the Parish Council to request permission for access to the back of his property to deliver building materials. The Parish Council agreed this was possible.

It was brought to the attention of the Parish Council that the retaining wall at the Cliff requires attention. It was RESOLVED for the Clerk to confirm who is responsible for the wall and report back to the Councillors. If it is Parish Council the issue will be addressed promptly.

ACTION CLERK TO CONFIRM RESPONSIBILITY OF RETAINING WALL AT THE CLIFF

(j) War Memorial

Cllr Andrew is having a meeting shortly to discuss the work with the interested party.

Cllr Chapman is waiting for details back from Andrew Bettney regarding the frame.

ACTION – CLLR ANDREW TO MEET WITH INTERESTED PARTY

ACTION – CLLR CHAPMAN TO ORGANISE FRAME WITH ANDREW BETTNEY

(k) Sports Complex

The Clerk completed the requested printing for the Sports Association and has added the survey link to the homepage of our website.

l) Library

There were no updates.

m) Environmental Issues

The TDEG circulated information regarding the TDEG views on the recent planning application in Wheston.

n) Community Speedwatch

With the latest lockdown in place and the likely slow relaxation of rules, it is likely this will not be recommenced again until after Easter.

09.12.21 PLANNING

Applications:

Application Number - NP/DDD/1220/1180

Site address –Land at Primrose Lane, Off Sherwood Road, Tideswell,

The application is to be looked at further and the Councillors submit their comments to the clerk by next Monday.

Application Number - NP/DDD/1220/1149

Site address –Brook Villa, Manchester Road, Tideswell,

The Parish Council has no objections to the application.

Application Number NP/DDD/0720/0671

Site Address – Middle Farm Wheston

This application has not been received by the Parish Council and the Clerk has requested information. Once it arrives she will circulate to councillors to comment.

Decisions

None Received

10.01.21 CASUAL VACANCY

The matter was moved up the agenda to allow the Councillors to discuss with Nikki Whittle the possibility of her joining the Parish Council. A discussion took place and it was proposed to Co-opt Nikki onto the Council by Cllr Whitehouse, this was seconded by Cllr Horne and all voted in favour. The Clerk advised Nikki she will need to sign a declaration of office when meetings in person recommence and with that being said, Nikki joined the meeting as a Councillor.

11.01.21 PEAK PARK LOCAL PLAN CONSULTATION

It was RESOLVED to complete the Peak Park local plan individually and respond to further consultation from Peak Park when they send it to the Parish Council. It was agreed that if Peak Park contact the Clerk with any further information this will be circulated.

12.01.21 ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING PROVISIONS FOR RURAL AREAS

The Clerk circulated a very generic response with little information from Sarah Dines MP for Derbyshire Dales. It was RESOLVED for Cllr Neil Buttle to find more information and update the Parish Council.

ACTION – CLLR BUTTLE TO FIND INFORMATION AND PUT CONTACT IN TOUCH WITH THE CLERK

13.01.21 CLERK SALARY CHANGE AS PER NALC GUIDELINES

The Clerk left the meeting while the matter was discussed.

It was RESOLVED for the clerks salary to be £16 per hour.

14.01.21 UPDATE OF THE CLERK

The Clerk re-joined the meeting and advised there were no further matters to discuss.

15.01.21 FINANCE

Accounts for Payment were proposed by Cllr Whitehouse , seconded Cllr Andrew and all voted in favour.

Cheque for:	Cost purpose	Amount
Hannah Owen	Salary	£1226.20
Adam Serper	Salary	£438 BACS
HMRC	PAYE	£256.88
Hannah Owen	Expenses	£77.78
Markovitz	Caretaker supplies	£34.76

16.01.21 ITEMS FOR INFORMATION

DDC Emails, Covid-19 updates, Waste emails. DDDC emails, Waste updates, Peak Park Parishes Forum updates, DALC Emails, Peak Park updates, Coronavirus Government update, TDEG Updates.

17.01.21 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

It was RESOLVED that the next Monthly Meeting of the Parish Council will be held on Monday 8th February 2021.

18.01.21 CONFIDENTIALITY RESOLUTION

No matters raised

The meeting closed at 8.10pm

TIDESWELL PARISH COUNCIL MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COUNCIL HELD ON MONDAY 8th FEBRUARY 2021 VIA ZOOM

PRESENT: - Cllrs R Andrew, J Chapman, A Daniels, D Gibson, D Horne, J Meredith, R Moore, D Whitehouse, P Walker, N Whittle, Hannah Owen (Clerk) Cllr Neil Buttle (DDDC) , Lisa Cooper (Co-op representative) and 20 members of public .

01.02.21 APOLOGIES

None Received

02.02.21 VARIATION OF BUSINESS

Item 9 Planning was moved up the Agenda to follow item 7 Chairman's Announcements.

03.02.21 DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

There were no declarations of interests.

04.02.21 PUBLIC SPEAKING

Due to the number of participants at the meeting it was agreed to suspend the Standing Order which allowed 15 minutes in total for public speaking. The time allowed would be extended to ensure all who wished to speak were able to do so.

The meeting was attended by 20 members of the Public who wished to raise concerns and objections to the planning application NP/DDD/0221/0120. A member of public advised that the Planning Notice had only been displayed on 5th February which was very little time before the Parish Council meeting and the Council were asked to call a Public Meeting so residents were able to voice their comments to the Council.

Concerns raised by the public were noted and residents were advised to contact Peak Park directly to voice all their concerns.

The members of public raised strong concerns regarding the increase of traffic and serious congestion issues for both Sherwood Road, Pinfold and Richard Lane.

Further concerns were highlighted including the parking issues that the new development would create with the likelihood that there will be more cars than parking spaces. It was noted that concerns about these excess cars could create issues and further blockages in already busy parked up areas. This could result in emergency services being unable to get through.

Concerns for the livelihoods of the farmers in the close proximity were raised, as were concerns regarding the damage the development could cause for existing bed and breakfast guest houses in the area.

The flooding of the area was highlighted by several members of the public and they felt that the information in the application was not sufficient and did not fully address their concerns.

It was brought to the attention of the Council that Sat Nav's were sending traffic up through the connecting Lane from Millers Dale which is not suitable for traffic.

Several members of the public raised concerns regarding the increase of traffic by Richard Lane Playground and concerns were raised regarding the speed limit for the area. It was believed that the areas approach would fall into a national speed limit area which would need urgent attention.

It was also mentioned that the area was heavily used by walkers and since the Covid-19 pandemic people had noticed just how many walkers used this area as a walk in the Parish. Concerns were raised about the safety of pedestrians walking this area.

A resident raised concerns regarding their property being affected with a significant loss of light.

Residents raised further concerns regarding the suitability of the site and how the roads are very treacherous in winter in this part of the village.

05.02.21 MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING

The Minutes of the Parish Council Meeting held on Monday 11th January 2021 were proposed as correct by Cllr Moore , seconded by Cllr Horne and with all unanimously agreeing, would be signed by the Chairman and passed to the Clerk when possible.

06.02.21 DETERMINE IF ANY ITEMS ARE TO BE MOVED TO PART II CONFIDENTIAL

No items moved to confidential.

07.02.21 CHAIRS ANNOUNCEMENTS

Cllr Andrew welcomed all to the meeting and thanked everyone for taking part in the meeting via zoom. He also thanked so many members of the public for joining via zoom.

08.02.21 VILLAGE REPORT

(a) Post Office

The petition for Tideswell to keep a Post Office in the Parish is active. No further updates received by the Parish Council.

(b) Play Areas

The Clerk has completed an application for a grant towards Richard Lane playground to Severn Trent Water.

The Clerk has also been working on the proceeds of crime grant application following application advice from the police. The criteria for the grant may mean that this project isn't the most suitable but the clerk will work with the police to see if it can be supported. The clerk will continue to update the Council.

(c) Footpaths and Highways-

The Clerk has received a response regarding Brook Bottom and has been advised that the repair work and that of work all the way up Manchester Road will be attended to but there are other priorities which will take precedence. It was RESOLVED to reply again to DCC and raise concerns about the safety of children walking passed Brook Bottom and the risk of falling in.

The Clerk has received notification that the black and white chevron sign which was damaged on Church Lane is on the list with Derbyshire County Council to be replaced.

Mark Cox has confirmed he will contact Cllr Chapman to discuss the electricity in the Bollards and also the war memorial electricity.

ACTION- CLERK TO RE-CONTACT DCC REGARDING SAFETY AT BROOK BOTTOM.

ACTION – CLERK TO SPEAK TO MARK COX IF CLLR CHAPMAN DOESN'T RECEIVE THE CALL

(d) Toilets

The Clerk has removed the items from the toilets and taken to the recycling centre. Cllr Daniels reported that the toilets were looking damp and tired. It was RESOLVED for Cllr Walker to visit the toilets and report back to the Council. It was agreed a working party to do a deep clean and freshen up would be a good idea. The matter will be discussed further next month.

Adrian Williams kindly repaired the ladies toilet and has made no charge to the Parish. The clerk has contacted him to say thank you.

It was RESOLVED to look into solar panels which may provide hot water to the toilets. The Clerk will look at this and report back to the Council.

ACTION – CLLR WALKER TO INSPECT THE TOILETS AND REPORT BACK TO THE COUNCILLORS.
ACTION – CLERK TO INVESTIGATE SOLAR PANELS TO HEAT WATER.

(e)Cemetery

The Clerk has visited the Cemetery to cross check the burial records and ensure they are up to date.

The mortuary roof is still awaiting repair. It was RESOLVED to make the area surrounding the mortuary secure for safety reasons. Cllr Andrew advised he may be able to source some fencing. If this isn't possible it was agreed to purchase some stakes and tape.

ACTION CLLR ANDREW AND THE CLERK TO ORGANISE THE FENCING OFF OF THE MORTUARY UNTIL REPAIR WORK IS COMPLETE.

(f)Gardens, Mowing/Strimming and Trees

Paul Storer has completed the outstanding tree work.

The Clerk has contacted Peak Park to request the payment of the Bennet Bequest money which was agreed to be paid over to Tideswell PC from Peak Park following the 2019 tree work around the Parish. The matter has been passed to the Finance department at Peak Park.

The Clerk has submitted the mowing reclaim to DDDC for the burial grounds and playground reimbursement.

(g) Bins and Street Furniture

The new bench for the Cliff has been installed.

(h) Housing Needs Update

The matter was discussed under item 9, Planning.

(i) Common Land

It was RESOLVED to meet with Pete Hawkins and discuss the concerns raised regarding retaining walls and boundaries off the Cliff. Cllr Whitehouse and the Clerk will arrange this meeting and report back to the Council.

ACTION- CLERK TO ARRANGE MEETING WITH PETE HAWKINS

(j)War Memorial

Cllr Chapman has sent information regarding the frame requirements to Andrew Bettney. Cllr Chapman has passed the information regarding the further ground work at the war memorial has been passed to Cllr Andrew and the matter will be discussed further at the next meeting.

(k) Sports Complex

The Clerk received information regarding the TDSA survey results and this was circulated to Councillors. The TDSA meet this week and further information will be circulated following the meeting.

The Clerk received a request to help support TDSA in the cost of installing a football post for the Children to use just above Abigail's Park. It was RESOLVED to encourage TDSA to apply to the DDDC grant available from Cllr Neil Buttle. If this doesn't cover the cost then the Parish Council will discuss a further donation at a future meeting.

ACTION- CLERK TO CONTACT TDSA TO GIVE INFORMATION ON DDDC GRANT.

l) Library

No Updates

m) Environmental Issues

The Clerk advised Councillors she had received an email today advising the TDEG were about to send an email to each councillor regarding the work of the group.

n) Community Speedwatch

The matter will be discussed at a future meeting.

09.02.21 PLANNING

Applications:

NP/DDD/0221/0120 Land South of Charnfield. Construction of 23 new homes with parking, private gardens and access road following demolition of an existing barn and out buildings.

It was RESOLVED to hold a public meeting on Monday 22nd February 2021 at 7pm via zoom to allow for further public representation as the Planning Notification was only displayed one working day prior to the Parish Council Meeting.

The meeting will be an extraordinary Parish Council meeting advertised in the usual way. Information will also be displayed on Tideswell people Facebook Page. A notice will be placed in the old post office and also the Co-op.

This application will be discussed further at this meeting.

Application Number NP/DDD/0720/0671

Site Address – Middle Farm Wheston

It was RESOLVED to contact Peak Park again and ask for confirmation why we haven't been consulted. If this is an error, we request an extension. This matter will also be discussed at the extraordinary meeting on Monday 22nd February 2021.

Decisions

NP/DDD/1220/1160 Horse and Jockey, Queen Street, Tideswell. PERMITTED

10.02.21 ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING PROVISIONS FOR RURAL AREAS

The Clerk and Cllr Buttle attended a meeting with Vikki Hatfield at DDDC regarding the future provisions for electric vehicle charging. The DCC and DDDC are holding a big meeting to discuss this matter in March and we will be given more information following that meeting.

Cllr Walker is to circulate some information he has regarding this and the Clerk will circulate the information following the March meeting between DCC and DDDC.

ACTION – CLERK TO CIRCULATE INFORMATION ONCE RECEIVED FROM VIKKI HATFIELD

ACTION – CLLR WALKER TO CIRCULATE INFORMATION TO COUNCILLORS.

11.02.21 WINTER WEATHER PLANS

A discussion took place regarding the grit distribution and what gritting can take place for the Parish. It was RESOLVED that the Parish Council are unable to take on the gritting of side roads. This is not a requirement of the Parish Council and the logistics of doing this is not possible for us to achieve. It was RESOLVED to put an information plan together for next winter for residents.

It was RESOLVED to contact DCC to see if they can put a new grit bin at the top end of Wheston Bank.

ACTION – CLERK TO CONTACT DCC REGARDING GRIT BIN AT THE TOP OF WHESTON BANK

ACTION – CLERK TO PLAN A DOCUMENT FOR CIRCULATION REGARDING GRIT SUPPLY IN THE PARISH.

12.02.21 UPDATE OF THE CLERK

Banking at Natwest

It was RESOLVED to seek to add Cllr Walker, Meredith, Horne and Moore as Parish Council signatories. Also to change the signing instructions from 3 Councillors to 2 Councillors. It was also RESOLVED to remove Jennifer Bower and Roderick Baraona as signatories.

It was RESOLVED to ask Natwest to work on moving to online banking. This would include dual authorisation for payments.

HMRC

The Clerk has been in contact with HMRC and was advised there were no outstanding payments out to them. The online account also shows a £0 amount owed to HMRC.

Deed of Trust

The Clerk has made contact with Tracey Critchlow, the final signatory on the Deed of Trust. The Clerk will arrange to meet with Tracey and have the document signed.

ACTION – CLERK TO ARRANGE SIGNING OF DEED OF TRUST.

13.02.21 FINANCE

Accounts for Payment were proposed by Cllr Whitehouse, seconded Cllr Moore and all voted in favour.

Name	Cost Purpose	Amount
Hannah Owen	Salary and expenses	£1042.20 by BACS 183.60 by cheque salary + £52.43 expenses Cheque =£236.03
Adam Serper	Salary	£438 BACS
HMRC	PAYE	£256.88
Hannah Owen	Expenses	
J Kilner	War Memorial Expenses owed	28.22
P Storer	Trees	£504
PKF Littlejohn LLP	Audit	£528

14.02.21 ITEMS FOR INFORMATION

DDC Emails, Covid-19 updates, Waste emails. DDDC emails, Waste updates, Peak Park Parishes Forum updates, SSE Emails, DDDC Wild flowers information email, DALC Emails, Peak Park updates, Coronavirus Government update, TDEG Updates. Rural Services Network emails, Rural Action Derbyshire emails, PKF Audit information, Grit Bin refill request.

15.02.21 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

It was RESOLVED to hold an extraordinary meeting on Monday 22nd February 2020 at 7pm to discuss planning.

It was RESOLVED that the next Monthly Meeting of the Parish Council will be held on Monday 8th March 2021.

16.02.21 CONFIDENTIALITY RESOLUTION

No matters arising

**TIDESWELL PARISH COUNCIL
MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COUNCIL HELD
ON MONDAY 22nd FEBRUARY 2021 VIA ZOOM**

PRESENT: - Cllrs R Andrew, A Daniels, D Gibson, D Horne, J Meredith, R Moore, D Whitehouse, P Walker, N Whittle, Hannah Owen (Clerk) Cllr Neil Buttle (DDDC) , Isabel Cogings (NCHA) Barbara Stillman (Pelham Architects) Rob Cogings (DDDC) and in excess of 60 members of public .

01.02.Ext.21 APOLOGIES

None Received

02.02.Ext.21 VARIATION OF BUSINESS

None

03.02.Ext.21 DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

There were no declarations of interests.

04.02.Ext.21 PUBLIC SPEAKING

Due to the number of participants at the meeting, It was agreed that the standing order allowing public speaking be extended from the usual 15 minutes to allow time for all who wished to speak the opportunity to do so.

Cllr Andrew welcomed everyone to the meeting and outlined the structure of the meeting.

Public speaking began with an introduction to affordable housing and home-options from Rob Cogings at Derbyshire Dales District Council.

This was followed by presentation from Isabel Cogings from Nottingham Community Housing Association and Barbara Stillman of Pelham Architects.

Questions received from residents had been submitted to NCHA and Pelham Architects In advance of the meeting.

A summary of the questions and answers is below.

Questions to Isabel Cogings

Possible sites

Questions were submitted regarding the chosen sites and why the initial sites were only looked at by Peak Park and the developers, Why the possible sites haven't been widely published for opinion, what was the sale price for the land and why has it been suggested some landowners wouldn't sell the sites when they would and is this site the only site they are prepared to develop.

Isabel Cogings gave an over view of the history of the Affordable Housing Scheme and information about the plan. This was shared on the screen to all the attendees. The process of affordable

housing began back in 2009 when DDDC began looking at bringing affordable housing to Tideswell.

The sale of the land is not something which is appropriate to discuss. She advised the amount suggested per plot was accurate.

Isabel Cogings advised that as the site was the planners preferred location then they will look at this site and explore the possibility of development here first.

Questions to Architect Barbara Stillman On Spital Acre site Road Safety

A number of questions were asked regarding the cars going through Pinfold, access on Sherwood Road and Richard Lane, the traffic on the country lanes surrounding the development and the extra pressure on the area with this development and the Markovitz development.

Barbara Stillman gave information regarding the development and design of the affordable housing scheme and referred to the plan and layout for the 23 houses.

Barbara Stillman discussed the questions raised and referred to the reports which are on the planning portal. Any issues with the reports should be raised directly with Peak Park.

It was noted that the response from Highways is yet to be uploaded to the site.

Barbara Stillman was also asked questions about why there was no bathroom or toilet windows and if the need for natural light and ventilation shouldn't be considered further. Also questions about if pre planning advice has been taken and if developers are not including some things which should be considered necessary to maximise profits and if it is good for the environment having electric lights and fans on in the day.

She advised that the plans do conform with regulations. Barbara Stillman also advised that pre planning advice had been taken from Peak Park. It was also noted that the wooden frame design had now been abandoned in favour of a stone structure.

Questions were also asked regarding the flooding of the sites. Barbara Stillman advised that the flooding report had been completed and was available to view on the planning portal she was unable to give further comment on the flood report as this was not her area of expertise

A final question was asked about the barn. Barbara Stillman advised the heritage statement does pay attention to the barn but the issue is summarised in the report. The suggestion of keeping the barn and using it for a picnic barn or camping is not something an affordable housing program could manage and maintain.

Following the presentations the meeting was opened up to give the opportunity to the members of public who wished to comment on the application. There were 49 zoom profiles in attendance, some of which had more than one resident viewing.

The first speaker presented information regarding concerns with the Ecology Report submitted with the application: The content of the report to the Parish Council is listed below.

Consideration of alterations:

- PDNPA Policy DMC12 *"The need for, and the benefits of, the development in that location clearly outweigh any adverse effect."*
- Option appraisal is flawed and biased:
 1. Does not present an objective assessment of alternatives
 2. There is no consideration of biodiversity or flood risk in appraisal
 3. There has been no community consultation

Options appraisal submitted with planning application is not sufficient to see that this policy has been met.

Review of preliminary Ecological Appraisal

- The Ecology report supporting the application is flawed and contains insufficient information.
- PEA is not the appropriate report to support a development proposal through the planning process. This should be an Ecological Impact Assessment, following CIEEM Guidelines.
- CIEEM guidelines state that all reports should be supported by field surveys in a suitable season and a background data search. This has not been done for this report.
- The ecology report does not consider impacts on designated sites, in particular, impacts to internationally designated sites.
- Ecology report does not consider cumulative effects of other developments, e.g. at Markovitz: NP/DDD/0117/0040

Review of preliminary Ecological Appraisal – Bats

- Insufficient bat survey for potential for roosting bats in stone built barns in open countryside. Good practice guidance would recommend at least seasonal (spring, summer and autumn) surveys are undertaken as a minimum.
- No survey or consideration of the use of the site or surrounding area by foraging bats. Surveys for bat activity should be undertaken over 3 different seasons.

- Competence and experience of surveyor is not stated, especially in relation to bat survey and assessing impacts to bats.

Review of preliminary Ecological Appraisal – Habitats

- Habitat survey undertaken in November – this is not a suitable season for habitat surveys in grassland.
- Surveyor competence and experience, in relation to botanical surveys, is not stated.
- Grass sward is not dominated by *Lolium perenne*, but c. 40% bryophytes (mosses), with lesser celandine frequent.
- Site is better described 'semi-improved' grassland in Phase 1. Further survey and information is required in order to determine the UKHab code.
- No condition assessment provided, which would assist with determining Biodiversity Net Gain



Review of Preliminary Ecological Appraisal – Biodiversity Net Gain

- Scheme make no attempt to define or deliver Biodiversity Net Gain
- No consideration of measurable biodiversity impact or gains, e.g. using Defra Metric 2.0

- No compensation provided for biodiversity losses
- No reference to Good Practice Principles for Biodiversity Net Gain
- Scheme does not meet NPPF para 170 (d) and para 174 (b)

3.1.6 biodiversity net gain (BNG)

specific, quantifiable outcome of project activities that deliver demonstrable benefits for biodiversity compared to the baseline situation

NOTE 1 In order to achieve BNG, a project has to follow the mitigation hierarchy and be able to demonstrate that it has followed the Good practice principles for development of BNG [N1].

Review of Preliminary Ecological Appraisal – Water

Site takes surface water drainage from a spring-head flush and regularly floods

Ecologist failed to notice the inundated grassland and swamp 70m west in neighbouring field or assess how this water flow may affect the ecology of the site or impacts downstream

No surveys conducted of ponds identified, in spite of the fact that Ponds 1, 2 and 4 should all be visible from public footpaths. Pond 1 does not exist.

Ecologist failed to review previous data for pond surveys, e.g. in relation to Markovitz site, and other relevant data.

Proposed flood alleviation strategy will release water to combined sewers, with insufficient storage capacity on site. This risks overloading the sewage system in high rainfall and increasing overland drainage down Buxton Road, leading to contaminated water entering River Wye SSSI, SAC and NNR.



Image of neighbouring field of inundated grassland, which rises as a groundwater spring in heavy rainfall.

Recommendation for withdrawal:

- I have undertaken an objective review of PDNPA Validation Criteria relating to Biodiversity.
 1. Protected species assessment, e.g. bats and GCN: undertaken outside relevant survey season, no background data was provided and surveys did not follow good practice. Surveyor did not hold a bat licence and no indication of surveyor qualifications.
 2. Site is hydrologically connected to Peak Dales SAC (River Wye catchment). No appropriate assessment has been provided. This is contrary to PDNDA validation

criteria, HM Govt. guidance and the competent authority's obligations under the the [Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 \(as amended\)](https://www.gov.uk/guidance/appropriate-assessment).
<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/appropriate-assessment>

3. Vegetation map provided was completed in an inappropriate season and inaccurately describes the habitats present, e.g. failure to identify any moss species present. Does not identify the geomorphological feature present, i.e. the natural drainage channel.
 4. No assessment is provided of either direct or indirect impacts, e.g. construction impacts, recreational pressure on designated sites, downstream hydrological impacts on designated sites during construction and when site would be in use.
 5. No detail is provided of a "do nothing" scenario, or the consideration of biodiversity in the options appraisal information presented.
 6. Insufficient consideration of Biodiversity Net Gain, contrary to national and local planning policy.
- It is my view that PDNDA has failed to apply it's own validation criteria to this application. Therefore PDNPA should not have validated this application.
 - This application should be withdrawn until sufficient information is available to meet these criteria.

https://www.peakdistrict.gov.uk/_data/assets/pdf_file/0025/96514/Biodiversity-Survey-and-Report.pdf#Planning%20guidance

The above information was shared with the meeting via a PowerPoint presentation.

Cllr Buttle (DDDC) questioned if in light of the information in the presentation the application should be withdrawn and re-submitted once the issues are addressed.

A number of members of public raised strong concerns regarding the increase of traffic and serious congestion issues for Sherwood Road, Pinfold and Richard Lane.

Further concerns were highlighted including the parking issues that the new development would create with the likelihood that there will be more cars than parking spaces. Concerns were raised at the information in the application and that the data suggested less cars than are actually likely using the calculation used to work out such projections. It was reported by a resident that information in the application regarding number of cars had changed since the documents had been registered on the peak park website. It was felt by many residents the reports on the development were not accurate and needed addressing.

A resident suggested that any effect of traffic resulting from the already approved development at the Markovitz site should be included in the assessment for this site.

It was noted that concerns about these excess cars could create issues and further blockages in

already busy parked up areas. This could result in emergency services being unable to get through.

Several residents raised concerns about the accuracy of the housing needs survey data. It was noted that the information would be 5 years old at least by the time any development would commence. A resident raised concerns that the report said actual need was only 20 houses and this data was now out of date. The accuracy of the needs survey was raised by many of the residents.

Residents asked what would happen if the houses were not filled by Tideswell residents and what are the next stages of filling the houses.

Residents also asked if there would be a viability study.

A resident also asked the question why older houses in the Parish aren't being refurbished and used for affordable housing instead of building new houses.

A resident asked why the scheme was being run by Nottingham Community Housing Association and said that Sherwood Road was already very built up and this new development would have a negative effect on the quality of life of existing residence.

Another resident questioned if a new development would have any structural integrity issues for the existing houses in the area. Many years ago the area had been listed as the start of a natural ravine.

Residents had read through Parish Council minutes and questioned why further consultation in the wider Parish hadn't been completed as suggested it would be back in 2017.

Concerns for the livelihoods of the farmers in the close proximity were raised. Access to the farms are already restricted by excess car parking and this development is believed to add to that issue,

Several concerns were raised regarding the lanes surrounding the new development. The lanes are narrow and there is a risk that the excess traffic will use these lanes to go to and from the development which will become very hazardous as these lanes out of the village are unsuitable for traffic.

The flooding of the area was highlighted by several members of the public and they felt that the information in the application was not sufficient and did not fully address their concerns.

A resident spoke about their concerns about the design and plan for the proposed drainage. The area sees flooding in the North West corner of the field and the drainage would not solve this issue as the drain is actually going up-hill.

Strong concerns were raised regarding the lack of green provisions. With electric cars being the future it is believed any future developments should include provisions for electric charging points and not have these installed retrospectively.

The installation of gas boilers was questioned when in the very near future gas boilers will not be used in developments.

The lack of windows in the bathrooms were raised

Concerns were raised regarding the increase of traffic by Richard Lane Playground and concerns were raised regarding the speed limit for the area. It was believed that the areas approach would fall

into a national speed limit area which would need urgent attention. A question was asked about the plans to improve the playground to take into account increased usage.

It was also mentioned that the area was heavily used by walkers and since the Covid-19 pandemic people had noticed just how many walkers used this area as a walk in the Parish. Concerns were raised about the safety of pedestrians walking this area.

A resident raised concerns regarding their property being affected with a significant loss of light.

A resident had questioned how the area will cope with the traffic and safely emerging from the side roads and it was asked if traffic lights were being discussed.

Comments were made that Sherwood Road is actually very poorly lit.

Residents who live directly opposite the proposed entrance and exit point of the development expressed concerns that headlights of cars will shine directly into their windows.

A question was asked about the building and maintenance of the boundary walls for the development.

Residents raised questions about the other identified sites and why this site was considered the planners preferred site.

Further concerns were raised regarding the dramatic change in landscape in this area with this application and the Markovitz site development.

It was asked at this point in the meeting who is recording the minutes of the meeting and if they would confirm on record they were doing so. At this point the Clerk, Hannah Owen confirmed she is recording the minutes of this meeting and it will be available to view on the Parish Council website.

A resident who lives in the area listed her concerns with the development and the increase in traffic making the area even more unsafe than it is already for the resident who is registered blind. The resident spoke about the parking issues and how this made leaving her house already very difficult for her and her guide dog.

A resident questioned the loss of this field in particular as it is a farm field used for grazing and there is a 1986 tenancy on the land.

Further comments were made regarding the loss of green space at the start of the village and the impact on village green spaces this will have.

Questions were raised as to who had sent out invitations asking people to come and speak about the need for affordable housing.

The Chairman invited the guest speakers from DDDC, NCHA and Pelham Architects to speak again.

DDDC:

Rob Cogings gave information on how the housing need is assessed and confirmed there are currently 45 people registered on the home option housing needs list for Tideswell. After registering interest and need in affordable housing, those who were registered were informed of the planning application and the meeting.

The decision to proceed with more two bed houses than three is because there are already 36 three bed houses in the village. Rob Cogings said this balanced up the village housing stock.

Private renting in the area is considered expensive and affordable rented property is needed in the Parish. If the need had shown the requirement for more shared ownership housing, this would have been included.

Further information about eligibility and local clauses were given and it was noted that historical planning didn't need the same clauses as needed now. Eligibility for the housing is based on need. Full information is available at home-options.org.

NCHA

Isabel Cogings advised that Nottingham Community Housing Association are a Housing needs specialist who work in many counties to deliver affordable housing. They have several sites in the Derbyshire Dales. NCHA is a not for profit organisation.

Further to the information provided on the reasoning behind the development being for affordable rent, the Housing Needs Survey was referred to, which showed shared ownership was not affordable. This was discussed further by Rob Cogings who quoted an income figure of £45k to £50k to afford to buy a house in Tideswell.

Further information was provided about the timeline of getting to this development stage. Isabel confirmed that discussions with landowners did not take place at the evaluation stage.

NCHA and the developers had booked Fountain Square Church to deliver a drop in event to show plans and answer questions. The event had to be cancelled due to the government guidelines for such events following the Covid-19 outbreak.

A site visit had been planned for members of the Parish Council to attend to further discuss the concerns they raised following the information sent regarding the development. This was due to take place but the Parish Councillors were unable to proceed as the country went back into lockdown.

The developers have worked with Peak Park on the site consultations and the planners view was that this site is the preferred planners site and so that is the site that has been taken forward.

Pelham Architects

Barbara Stillman advised that any concerns with reports in the application must be raised with Peak Park. The ecology report has been completed and to date there has not been any queries raised with them. Again it was advised to report any concerns to Peak Park.

The suggestion of a Richard Lane entrance was commented on by saying that a counter argument was that there was no speed limit and no street lighting.

The question regarding the installation of gas boilers in this new development was discussed. It had been questioned why this type of boiler is being installed when in 5 years-time gas boilers will not be permitted. This was addressed and why the boilers are included in the plan was explained. It was

noted the development is falls into an interim stage.

It was advised that boundary walls around the development would be rebuilt and maintained.

The concerns regarding the loss of the barn were addressed. The Heritage Statement rated it as 'medium' importance, and that this had to be weighed against the need for housing.

Concerns raised by the public were noted and residents were advised to contact Peak Park directly to voice all their concerns. At this point in the meeting comments and questions were raised from the Public.

The Parish Council wanted to ensure all comments were heard and once confirmed no one else wished to speak the public speaking session was closed.

05.02.Ext.21 Planning

NP/DDD/0221/0120 Land South of Charnfield. Construction of 23 new homes with parking, private gardens and access road following demolition of an existing barn and out buildings.

Following the meeting on Monday 8th February 2021 where public comments were noted on the application and the meeting held tonight the Parish Councillors discussed the application.

Cllr Moore began by saying thank you to all for attending. She felt that the previous concerns of the Parish Council have not been addressed and that based on the information presented and the serious concerns raised tonight in the application and relating documents, she felt unable to support this application.

Cllr Moore raised further concerns regarding the road access and it was noted that a change in access to Richard Lane would alleviate the issue but not resolve it.

The argument regarding the lack of windows was also considered to be invalid.

Cllr Moore has strong concerns, which have already been stated by the Parish Council, about the number of 2 bed houses in the plans.

The information provided by the member of the Public regarding the issues with the ecological report were also very concerning and need to be addressed as a matter of urgency. The lack of green energy provisions is also of concern.

Cllr Horne advised that he has listened to all the concerns and also agreed with Cllr Moore's comments. The access at Sherwood Road is not suitable and the apparent lack of ecology consideration is very concerning. Cllr Horne reiterated that he is very supportive of affordable housing but the concerns in this application can't be overlooked.

Cllr Meredith advised that she has concerns regarding the application and having visited the site and walked around shares the access and traffic concerns listed. Cllr Meredith also agrees with the comments made by Cllr Moore and shared the concerns raised by the members of the public.

Cllr Whitehouse advised the meeting that based on the information presented and the concerns she has over the access and traffic issues she does not support the application.

Cllr Daniels advised she is not in support of the application.

Cllr Walker having looked at the plans, shares the same concerns as Cllr Moore. The further concerns which have been raised at the meeting also mean he does not support the application.

Cllr Andrew summarised that he agrees with the comments made by Cllr Moore and the issues raised by the members of the public. He highlighted the need for Richard Lane to be strengthened and widened before a development could even be considered.

It was proposed by Cllr Moore to object to the proposed development for the reasons discussed in the meeting. This was seconded by Cllr Walker and all voted in favour.

The Clerk will draft the Parish Council response and circulate to the Councillors before submission to Peak Park.

The Parish Council response to the application is listed below:

NP/DDD/0221/0120 Land South of Charnfield. Construction of 23 new homes with parking, private gardens and access road following demolition of an existing barn and out buildings.

The Parish Council have wanted to see affordable housing in Tideswell for many years and have welcomed the possibility of bringing affordable housing to the Parish.

When initially consulted over the proposal currently being considered, the Parish Council had a number of concerns and these were raised with the applicant. The applicant frequently refers to the Parish Council having been consulted; however Councillors feel that the concerns or comments raised with them have not been addressed and therefore we are unable to support this application.

The Parish Council object to the application for the following reasons:-

The proposed access to the development is off Sherwood Road and is not supported by the Parish Council. Concerns about the access were also raised by many of the members of public. There are genuine concerns regarding road safety due to the increase in traffic and likely overspill parking on what is already a very busy and heavily parked up road.

Concerns have also been raised with the Parish Council regarding the lack of windows and ventilation in the bathrooms/toilets and the Parish Council feel it is not desirable to have no natural light and ventilation in a new building development. It is also felt that the lack of provision of green energy is disappointing.

There are also concerns over the lack of provision for car charging points as these will be necessary in the very near future. The Parish Council believe that all new development should take account of the recent government proposals for green energy.

Following the housing needs survey the Parish Council attended a meeting with DDDC and expressed their concerns regarding the number of 2 bedroom houses proposed as opposed to 3 bedroom houses. The Parish Council feel that it is unreasonable to believe that families may not go on to have a second child. Residents and the Parish Council have continued to question the results of the housing needs survey and how the results have been used to develop a suitable proposal for Tideswell Affordable Housing.

Concerns have been expressed to the Parish Council that some of the information in the application is was not accurate and that some regulations regarding traffic and parking have now changed.

The Parish Council were greatly concerned by an assessment given by a resident who works as a professional ecologist, on the Ecological report which has been submitted as part of the application.

This resident spoke to the Council regarding the ecology study provided as part of the planning portal and highlighted that it is not sufficient and did not meet the required standard set out by Peak Parks own criteria. The following points in the presentation are of particular concern to Councillors and it is noted that a recent application has just been turned down which included comments on the harm to local biodiversity.

1. Protected species assessment, e.g. bats and Great Crested Newts: undertaken outside relevant survey season, no background data was provided and surveys did not follow good practice. Surveyor did not hold a bat licence and no indication of surveyor qualifications.
2. Site is hydrologically connected to Peak Dales Special areas of conservation(River Wye catchment). No appropriate assessment has been provided. This is contrary to PDNDA validation criteria, HM Govt. guidance and the competent authority's obligations under the the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended). <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/appropriate-assessment>
3. Vegetation map provided was completed in an inappropriate season and inaccurately describes the habitats present, e.g. failure to identify any moss species present. Does not identify the geomorphological feature present, i.e. the natural drainage channel.
4. No assessment is provided of either direct or indirect impacts, e.g. construction impacts, recreational pressure on designated sites, downstream hydrological impacts on designated sites during construction and when site would be in use.
5. No detail is provided of a "do nothing" scenario, or the consideration of biodiversity in the options appraisal information presented.
6. Insufficient consideration of Biodiversity Net Gain, contrary to national and local planning policy.

The Parish Council also have concerns regarding the historical flood issues of the site and the flood report which suggests drainage going up-hill.

A number of concerns were raised regarding the content of the reports and the Parish Council would like this to be resolved as a matter of urgency.

The public speaking sessions of the Monthly Parish Council meeting held on 8th February 2021 was very well attended and the extraordinary meeting held on 22nd February 2021 was attended by in excess of 60 residents who all raised concerns regarding a number of issues including access, flood concerns, traffic, road suitability, loss of green field site and environmental concerns. The Parish Council advised residents to send their concerns to Peak Park directly.

The members of Tideswell Parish Council all wish to see affordable housing in the Parish. The issues discussed by the Councillors and those raised by the residents are genuine concerns which cannot and should not be ignored.

The Parish Council object to this application due to the concerns raised above.

15.02.Ext.21 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

It was RESOLVED that the next Monthly Meeting of the Parish Council will be held on Monday 8th March 2021.

16.02. Ext.21 CONFIDENTIALITY RESOLUTION IF REQUIRED

No matters raised

The meeting closed at 9.15pm

TIDESWELL PARISH COUNCIL MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COUNCIL HELD ON MONDAY 8th MARCH 2021 VIA ZOOM

PRESENT: - Cllrs R Andrew, D Gibson, D Horne, J Meredith, R Moore, D Whitehouse, P Walker, N Whittle, Hannah Owen (Clerk) Cllr Neil Buttle (DDDC) , 4 members of the public.

01.03.21 APOLOGIES

A Daniels

02.03.21 VARIATION OF BUSINESS

Item Casual Vacancy was brought up the Agenda to follow Chairman's Announcements.

03.03.21 DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

There were no declarations of interests.

04.03.21 PUBLIC SPEAKING

There was no public speaking.

05.03.21 MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING

The Minutes of the Parish Council Meeting held on Monday 8th February 2021 and the minutes of the Extraordinary meeting of the Parish Council held on Monday 22nd February 2021 were proposed as correct by Cllr Moore , seconded by Cllr Horne and with all unanimously agreeing, would be signed by the Chairman and passed to the Clerk when possible.

06.03.21 DETERMINE IF ANY ITEMS ARE TO BE MOVED TO PART II CONFIDENTIAL

The discussion regarding the co-option of a new councilor would be discussed in Part 2.

07.03.21 CHAIRS ANNOUNCEMENTS

Cllr Andrew welcomed all to the meeting and thanked everyone for taking part in the meeting via zoom. Since the meeting on 8th February Councillor Chapman has resigned from the Parish Council. The Clerk will write to Cllr Chapman and thank him for all his hard work and dedication to so many projects on the Council for many years.

08.03.21 VILLAGE REPORT

(a) Post Office

No further updates.

(b) Play Areas

The Clerk advised that the application to the Severn Trent Water community fund has been unsuccessful. A discussion took place regarding new plans for the sites at Richard Lane and Town Head as the plans are now a few years old and prices may have now changed. It was RESOLVED to invite playground contractors to discuss the redevelopment of each site.

A discussion took place regarding the official re-opening of Abigail's Park. The plan had been to take part in the I Love Parks week in 2020 but this was cancelled due to the Covid-19 pandemic. It was RESOLVED to look if a 2021 event is taking place and see if this is something we can be involved in.

Cllr Moore suggested she could speak to her colleagues and see about the possibility of the Police and Fire Service attending an event and provide activities for children to engage in. It was agreed this would be a great idea. The matter of reopening the park will be discussed again at the next Parish Council meeting.

It was RESOLVED that Cllr Whittle, Whitehouse and Meredith will go to Abigail's Park with the Clerk to discuss the plans to house Sid the Snake.

ACTION – CLERK TO CONTACT PLAYGROUND CONTRACTORS TO DISCUSS NEW PLANS

ACTION – CLLR MOORE TO LOOK AT POSSIBILITY OF POLICE AND FIRE SERVICE ATTENDANCE

ACTION- CLERK, CLLR MEREDITH, WHITTLE AND WHITEHOUSE TO VISIT ABIGAILS PARK

(c)Footpaths and Highways-

The Clerk has contacted DCC and requested that the area at Brook Bottom is prioritised for repairs over the rest of the Manchester Road due to the safety around the Brook.

Cllr Andrew advised that a public footpath at the Town End Yard off Richard Lane, which was moved many years ago when the Markovitz site was created, needs to be officially registered. The Parish Council will be approached about the matter when the application to register the footpath is in process. It was agreed that the keeping of a public footpath is important in the parish and no one raised any issues.

(d) Toilets

A discussion regarding the state of the Public Toilets took place. Concerns were raised about the deterioration of the toilets. It was RESOLVED to organise a professional deep clean and the Clerk will seek quotes for this. It was also RESOLVED that the Disabled toilet door needs repair and a new toilet seat and replace the grab rails.

It was also RESOLVED to ask the professional cleaners to advise on how best to manage the toilets cleaning schedule moving forward and look at providing the right equipment for the Parish Caretaker to complete the work correctly.

The clerk has contacted DALC to ask them to contact member councils to ask for feedback on renewable energy for public toilets and how to best get hot water through this type of energy. The Clerk will circulate the response. Cllr Buttle advised that Derbyshire County Council may have some useful information and he will request this.

Mark Cox has attended the site to resolve the power cut issue.

ACTION- CLERK TO SEEK QUOTES FOR DEEP CLEAN OF TOILETS, CIRCULATE TO COUNCILLORS AND THEN PROCEED. ALSO TO SEEK FUTURE CLEANING ADVISE.

ACTION – CLLR BUTTLE TO ASK FOR INFORMATION FROM DCC REGARDING GREEN ENERGY

(e)Cemetery

Cllr Andrew advised he has the fencing and it will be placed around the mortuary in the next few days.

It was RESOLVED to contact Mick Fletcher to see when he is able to complete the work. It was agreed Mick was best placed to complete this work.

ACTION – CLERK TO CONTACT MICK FLETCHER

(f)Gardens, Mowing/Strimming and Trees

It was RESOLVED to contact Paul Storer to ask advice on what is the best action for the dead tree in the Pot Market. If any work on taking branches down is required this will be done. Then Janine Morris will work on improving this area.

It was RESOLVED to approve Janine Morris plans for moving shrubs and improving the flower beds outside the church.

The Clerk circulated the small signs which would be used in the wild flower areas and no one had concerns regarding this. The discussion of wild flower verges was discussed later in the meeting.

The resident who has offered to clear the area at Condliffe Road and increase the wild flowers in the area has contacted the Council to see about the possibility of a skip to clear the area. Cllr Horne advised that in this instance clearing may be required to allow the wild flowers to flourish. It was noted that sometimes it isn't appropriate to clear the natural debris but on this occasion it is. Cllr Andrew offered to go and look at the area and see if the area could be cleared without use of a skip. Cllr Moore offered to help if required.

It was RESOLVED for Cllr Andrew to investigate the situation and if a skip is required the Council will arrange this but if it can be done without the councillors will work on this.

ACTION – CLLR ANDREW TO SPEAK TO PAUL STORER REGARDING POT MARKET TREE

ACTION – CLERK TO ADVISE APPROVAL TO JANINE MORRIS

ACTION – CLLR ANDREW TO VISIT SITE AT CONDLIFFE ROAD AND REPORT BACK

(g) Bins and Street Furniture

Cllr Andrew will organise the placing of the bench on The Cliffe.

ACTION – CLLR ANDREW TO ARRANGE FOR BENCH TO BE DELIVERED.

(h) Housing Needs Update

A discussion took place regarding the affordable housing application and the disappointment of how the Parish Council comments were not listened too. There has been the implication that the Parish Council have been more involved in the final application that was submitted. The Parish Council will support a suitable housing application but could not support something which raised so many concerns, concerns which were raised and ignored.

Discussions then took place about the PC going back to the developers and ask why the questions asked weren't taken into consideration. However after further discussion it was agreed that our response to this planning application says our thoughts in full and at this time this will be the final work on this application. It was noted that it will be important in the future if we raise concerns regarding a development which aren't addressed we continue to push for those concerns to be addressed. It was also noted that the Parish Council should be more involved with any future site selections and not just be presented with sites. The knowledge the Parish Council can offer with this is very valuable.

Cllr Horne raised the importance of working on a Parish Plan. It was agreed that this is something which the PC should revisit but this will take quite some time to put together and so the Clerk suggested the Parish Council could work on a Planning Policy to adopt which could be useful to help the Council, residents and applicants understand the stance on planning that the PC has. Each

application will always need to be judged on individual merit based on the content of the application, but some important information can be put into a PC Planning Policy. The Clerk will circulate some examples of other PC Policies on planning. Councillors will send any information they feel is important and the clerk will begin to draft the policy for councillor approval.

Cllr Moore advised that she attended the planning committee meeting to the Markovitz development site and suggested that for applications like this, a PC representative should attend such planning committee meetings. This was unanimously agreed.

The PC received notification of a property becoming available to rent through the affordable scheme and platform housing. There is a document Platform housing have which says they need to advise the PC about a vacancy. It was agreed that the PC are not involved in the selection process and therefore it is not appropriate for the PC to be involved in the advertising and comment on the selection process which already follows the correct process.

ACTION – CLERK TO CIRCULATE EXAMPLE PLANNING POLICIES

ACTION – CLERK TO BEGIN DRAFTING PARISH POLICY

ACTION – CLERK TO ADVISE PLATFORM HOUSING THAT THE PC WILL NOT COMMENT ON THE EXISTING SELECTION PROCESS.

(i) Common Land

The Clerk has contact DCC regarding The Cliffe wall and has had a response they are looking into the matter. It was agreed to push for an update and if it is the responsibility of the PC we will work to repair the wall promptly. The Clerk will update the Councillors ASAP and keep in touch with the resident who raised the concern.

ACTION – CLERK TO CONTINUE TO ASK FOR CLARIFICATION ON THE CLIFFE WALL FROM DCC.

(j) War Memorial

Markovitz have kindly offered to complete the war memorial grounds work with no charge. The Councillors all expressed their thanks.

Cllr Andrew has not heard back from Andrew Bettney regarding the frame and it was agreed to proceed without the frame as the space the removal of the trees has made looks good.

Mark Cox is coming to resolve the issue with the war memorial electricity and look at the bollards in Cherry tree Square.

(k) Sports Complex

Cllr Horne advised that the TDSA had been seeking advice regarding feasibility study and fundraising. There had been positive steps in the last few months and new members giving useful input.

Cllr Whitehouse advised concerns have been raised on social media regarding Dogs being allowed on the sports complex site. This was a trail and so the feedback will be discussed by TDSA shortly.

l) Library

Paul Black has recently emailed the Clerk and advised that there is no progress to report but the

project is still on going and the group are still keen to move things forward.

m) Environmental Issues

Several Councillors attended a recent meeting hosted by TDEG.

n) Community Speedwatch

No further updates

o) Electric Charging Point Provision

Cllr Buttle advised that DCC were originally set to provide charging points but this has now been passed down to District Council Level. There is £100,000 government funding DDDC will be applying for.

The meeting at DDDC and DCC is due to happen this month and further updates will follow.

09.03.21 PLANNING

Applications:

NP/DDD/0221/0169 LARKFIELD, SHERWOOD ROAD, TIDESWELL
Replace existing garage with kitchen extension and two dormer windows.

The Parish Council have no objections and support this application.

b) Decisions

NP/DDD/122/1143 Land of Hardy Lane Erection of two affordable local needs dwellings.
REFUSED

NP/DDD/0920/0884 and NP/DDD/0920/0887 Land to the North of Pittlemere Lane. Proposed agricultural building extension to house and feed livestock,
BOTH GRANTED

10.03.21 CASUAL VACANCY

This item was moved up the Agenda.

The Parish Council has been approached by three residents with a view to being co-opted on to the Parish Council.

Each resident has provided information to the Council in advance of the meeting.
The Clerk advised that unfortunately the number of Councillors is set and can't be adjusted so only one resident can be selected.

The Chairman thanked all the residents for joining the meeting. It was agreed the discussion to select the resident for the one vacancy would take place at the end of the meeting in part two. It

was agreed that all the residents would observe the rest of the meeting.

11.03.21 REPLACEMENT DEEDS FOR THE MANCHESTER ROAD SUBSTATION AREA

The Clerk has been working with a solicitor to sort the lease agreement with Western Power and the increase in rent. The PC has been asked to produce deeds which are missing. A lengthy process has taken place and we are now in a position to be able to complete a request for replacement deeds. The Clerk advised that historical clerks Lesley Bramwell and Sharon Bates have provided useful information about their historical attempts to locate the deeds so it is clear these have been missing for some time. It is assumed that the documents may have been held by Eric Simpson and they have been lost in error when Eric died. It was RESOLVED for the clerk to complete the required documents on behalf of the Parish Council and continue to work on the matter.

ACTION- CLERK TO COMPLETED REQUIRED PAPER WORK AND CONTINUE TO WORK ON REPLACING THE MISSING DEEDS.

12.03.21 GRASS VERGE WILD FLOWER PROPOSAL

It was RESOLVED to work with TDEG and the PC existing contractors (James Warriner, Will Brindley and Janine Morris) to formulate a plan on how to improve the wild flower growth on verges around the Parish. The Clerk will contact Lyn Crowe and the contractors to arrange a zoom meeting.

Cllr Buttle advised there is some information regarding on wild flowers and grass verges at DDDC which he will share that may be helpful.

ACTION – CLERK TO CONTACT TDEG AND CONTRACTORS TO ARRANGE A MEETING.

13.03.21 COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP FOLLOWING COUNCILLOR RESIGNATION

It was RESOLVED to wait until the May AGM to assign members to committees.

14.03.21 UPDATE OF THE CLERK

The Clerk is hoping to meet with Tracey Critchlow shortly to complete the signing of the Deed of Trust.

Cllr Buttle advised that there were talks that the district council area for Tideswell may merge with Litton.

Cllr Buttle advised there is a wishing well in Wheston dating back around 1000 years and it was agreed this would be an important historical piece which should be looked after. The Clerk will look with Cllr Buttle if the area falls into our Parish.

15.03.21 FINANCE

Accounts for Payment were proposed by Cllr Whitehouse, seconded Cllr Moore and all voted in favour.

Cheque for:	Cost purpose	Amount
-------------	--------------	--------

Hannah Owen	Salary and expenses	£1042.20 by BACS £184 by cheque salary + £48.77 expenses Cheque =£232.77
Adam Serper	Salary	£438 BACS
HMRC	PAYE	£256.88
Hannah Owen	Expenses	48.77 (paid with wages of £184)
Waterplus	Toilets 6 month water	£178.80
James Warriner	Seasonal extra work on paths and hedges	£184
Peak Park Parishes Forum	Subscription	£24

16.03.21 ITEMS FOR INFORMATION

DDC Emails, Covid-19 updates, Planning Application objections, search for deeds correspondence, DDDC emails, Waste updates, Peak Park Parishes Forum updates, SSE Emails, DDDC Wild flowers information email, DALC Emails, Peak Park updates, Coronavirus Government update, TDEG Updates. Rural Services Network emails, Rural Action Derbyshire emails, Derbyshire farming life poster, application for councillor vacancy.

17.03.21 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

It was RESOLVED that the next Monthly Meeting of the Parish Council will be held on Monday 12th April 2021.

18.03.21 CONFIDENTIALITY RESOLUTION

Item 10 Casual Vacancy was continued in Part 2

The meeting closed at 8.45pm