| TOMSSHATNINE BERKEELEY |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | İ THE MATIER OF: |
| 4 | SOUTH SEASIDE PARR HOMEOWNERS |
| 5 | be-ANXEATION BETITION HEARING |
| 6 | pinewatd Keswick Road thyssday, seecember bey, 2018 |
| 7 |  |
| 8 |  |
| 9 |  |
| 10 beFORE: |  |
| 11 Robert winward chairman |  |
|  |  |
| 13 Friad rickgeit; Menticer |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 | JACK50 (732) $8333^{2} 0000108527$ |

2

| 1 |  | 1 |  | EXHIBITS cont'd |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | APPEARANCES: | 2 | NUMBER | DESCRIPTION | PAGE |
| 3 | DASTES MUREHY MCGUCKEV, ULAKY, | 3 | A-105 | Berkeley Shores | 42 |
| 4 | biok h aceey road | 4 |  |  |  |
| 5 |  | 5 | A-106 | wpesinde ${ }^{\text {a }}$ a from FEMA | 51 |
| 6 | O'MALLEY SURMAN \& MICHELINI, ESOS. | 6 | A-107 |  | 53 |
| 7 | 17 beaverson sivd. 08723 , | 7 |  | Manual ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 8 |  | 8 | A-108 | Article regarding | 67 |
| 10 |  | 9 | A-109 |  | 68 |
| 10 |  | 10 |  | Hotiday city mint mall |  |
| 11 |  | 11 |  |  |  |
| 12 | ALSO PRESENT: | 12 |  |  |  |
| 13 | kelly Hugg, Secreetary | 13 |  |  |  |
| 14 | stuart wiser, Planner | 14 |  |  |  |
| 15 |  | 15 |  |  |  |
| 16 |  | 16 |  |  |  |
| 17 |  | 17 |  |  |  |
| 18 |  | 18 |  |  |  |
| 19 |  | 19 |  |  |  |
| 20 |  | 20 |  |  |  |
| 21 |  | 21 |  |  |  |
| 22 |  | 22 |  |  |  |
| 23 |  | 23 |  |  |  |
| 24 |  | 24 |  |  |  |
| 25 |  | 25 |  |  |  |

(off the record.)
MR. WINWARD: who did you have on the agenda for tonight?

MR. MICHELINI: we have an expert
witness to provide rebuttal testimony.
unfortunately, she is currently not here yet. She
knew that -- I spoke to her a couple hours ago. So,
she knew what time the meeting was. I can only assume she got delayed somehow.

MR. WINWARD: DO you anticipate her coming?

MR. MICHELINI: I certainly do.
MR. WINWARD: okay. Well, in the
meantime, we were discussing before the meeting, we might have potentially three or four applications on the January schedule. So, I was wondering if all goes according to your plan, this meeting, what's your plan for the next meeting? Because that was --

MR. MICHELINI: After that, I'm
finished.
MR. WINWARD: You're finished. okay.

MR. MICHELINI: So after that would 2 be Mr. Wiser, I believe.

MR. WINWARD: Okay. So, apparently,
4 we have to do a time restriction. It would be on
5 him, not on your --
MR. MICHELINI: I'm completely okay
7 with putting a time restriction on Mr. Wiser.
MR. WINWARD: Okay. We won't know
9 until we actually find out how many applications are
actually -- some are going to carry and a lot can
happen between now and January 17 , which, that's
also I want to announce, is that the official date now?

MS. HUGG: Yes.
MR. WINWARD: January 17 will be the next planning board meeting in January, because we're in a conflict. The town council needs the building and they're going to override us. so, it would be, January 17 will be the next meeting, 2019.

MR. MICHELINI: Apparently, there's an accident up on Route Nine somewhere and some poles are down and traffic is snarled. So, I don't know if that's the reason our expert is late or not, but I would suggest that we take a five minute break to see what's going on.

MR. WINWARD: we might as well. And if you, in the meantime, if you get any text or communication from her, please pass it along.

MR. MICHELINI: Sure, I'll let you

And, yes, I understand the meeting is
January 17 because of the reorganization that has to
be done before you have a meeting. And when that's
going to occur, so, and we'11 be in touch.
MR. MCGUCKIN: we have two
applications that we have to hear?
MR. WINWARD: Might be three.
MS. HUGG: So far, all I know about
is one. The other one for Hovchild, they -- they're not ready, so.

MR. WINWARD: Okay.
MR. MCGUCKIN: We'll talk.
MR. MICHELINI: And then there's one that I'm involved in, actually. Yeah. I can't get enough of this board. I got to come back with another application, so. But take a few minutes and then I'11 make some phone calls.
(off the record.)
MR. WINWARD: I assume the applicant is now ready to proceed.

MR. MICHELINI: Yes. As soon as I
walked out, our witness was in the parking lot. So, she just ran into a little traffic getting here.

Tonight I would like to present a
rebuttal witness to the planning testimony of
Mr. Slachetka. The witness is Barbara
Allen woolley-dillon. she is a professional
planner. As you know, we had utilized the services of scott Bauman, a professional planner.
Unfortunately, he is suffering from leukemia. So, I actually have a letter from him explaining that he would be unable to appear. If you want me to mark it, I can mark it, probably not necessary. And so,
we brought in another professional planner in his place to provide testimony and rebuttal. Thank you.
so, with that, we'll start with
Ms. Woolley-Dillon.
barbara allen woolley-dillon, having been duly
sworn, according to law, upon her oath, testified as follows:
EXAMINATION BY MR. MICHELINI:
Q Ms. Woolley-Dillon, I'm going to take you through some of your professional qualifications and education. And to do that, I'm going to mark -you've given me your curriculum vitae or your

```
resumé, correct?
```


1 A I'm sorry. Yes.

2 Q okay. And that has to do with your
planning license, of course?
4 A That's correct.
5 Q Just briefly, give us the benefit of 6 your education.
A I have obtained an undergraduate
degree in urban studies with an urban planning
option from Rutgers-Camden. I then went on to
achieve my master's degree or an MCP, it's a
master's of city planning, from the University of
Pennsylvania. And I'm actually getting ready to go
back for my last eight courses for my doctorate in
planning.
Q And there -- I'm not going to --
there are other educational items on your CV which
is marked A-96 in evidence. You do have additional
education beyond that, as stated on your CV, correct?

A That is correct.
Q I understand you also have some
teaching experience; is that correct?
A Yes. I have been a recitant or the instructor through obtaining my master's degree, I was a recitant instructor for Rutgers University.

## afficials board mer

2 officials board member courses to educate the board
3 members as new board -- planning and zoning board 4 members as to requirements of law.
$5 \quad Q \quad$ and in terms of professional
6 experience, can you tell us a little bit about that.
7 A Yes. Currently, I am a licensed
professional planner, self-employed. I also hold
other positions. I am currently the zoning official
10 land use administrator for the Borough of
11 Mantoloking. I also am employed by the Borough of
12 Lavallette and with a shared services agreement with
13 Seaside Park as their zoning official. And I've
14 also been floodplain administrator/manager and do code enforcement.

| 1 | A | Probably a dozen or so at this point. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Q | Okay. Have you prepared Master Plans |

1 qualifications and another one abbreviated statement 2 of qualifications, correct?

A That is correct.
Q So, if we can just have the others
marked, along with the CV, as A-97, summary of
qualifications, and $A-98$, abbreviated statement of qualifications. They're somewhat duplicative of the initial document but not entirely, so I'd like to have them all marked.
(The Summary of qualifications was
marked as $\mathrm{A}-97$ in evidence.)
(The Abbreviated statement of qualifications was marked as $A-98$ in evidence.)

Q Ms. Woolley-Dillon, in this
particular case, were you asked to -- you were
asked, obviously, to be a rebuttal witness or at
least to entertain the possibility of being a
rebuttal witness for petition signers, correct?
A Yes.
Q And did you have an opportunity to review documents?

A Yes, I did.
Q And what did you review?
A I reviewed the municipality's zoning
5 ordinances, Master Plans, Master Plan reexamination
reports. I've also reviewed the Master Plan housing
Element and Fair Share Plan. The planner's reports
3 in support of the de-annexation that was prepared by
4 Mr. Bauman. The South Seaside Park Neighborhood
5 Plan, South Seaside Park Financial Impact Report,
6 prepared by Kenneth Moore. A planning report in the
7 matter of the petition de-annexation of
South Seaside Park that was prepared by T\&M. Also
the South Seaside Park Financial Impact Analysis
that was prepared by the township financial offices.
and then I have reviewed the following transcripts
relating to the portions of the hearing: August 6 , 2015, september 3rd, 2015, October 1, 2015,
November 5, 2015, December 3rd, 2015, February 4, 2016, March 2, 2017, June 1st, 2017, July 6, 2017, August 3rd, 2017, September 7, 2017, November 1st, 2018, and then most recently February 1st, 2018.

MR. MICHELINI: okay. Just as a
housekeeping matter, I assume that the board would accept the qualifications of Ms. Woolley-Dillon as an expert in planning?

MR. WINNARD: Yes, I believe she's been very thorough. I like the fact that she does have some local barrier island experience too.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
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MR. MICHELINI: That is correct.
by Mr. MICHELINI:
Q So, Ms. Woolley-Dillon, in this
particular case, did you have an opportunity to
fully review Mr. slachetka's testimony in those
transcripts, as well as his report?
1 A Yes, I did.
Q and did you yourself form an opinion
as to the testimony that he gave that you're
prepared to provide here tonight?

A I had several issues with the testimony that was presented to the board. I'm going to sum it up and then go into detail as to the

7 South Seaside Park are extremely similar to other
8 neighboring communities on the barrier island where
9 it's located. I think at this point, there's been
too much, too little, too late. The de-annexation
proceedings had started and as a result of that, I
understand that there have been several planning
efforts that have taken place. But, basically, 30
years lapsed before anything really got off and got
started. I, in dealing with Mr. -- and I'm so
sorry, I'm going to butcher --
Q Slachetka.
A -- Slachetka's testimony, I looked at the facts, did an analysis of them and I believe that a lot of the testimony is not exactly accurate and needs further clarification. I think some of the assumptions that were utilized by Mr. Slachetka relating to the super Storm Sandy grants, they obtained a lot of grant funding, the grants that it got, there was very little bearing that actually had

1 to do with damage that occurred in South
Seaside Park.
And there was the talk about this Notre Dame
or Notre Dame study. It was funded by the
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs. And
it's been one of the things that's used to support
the borough's -- or, I'm sorry, the township's
position that they perhaps are not necessarily in
favor of the de-annexation. I think that it is a
moot point.
And I think the final issue is that this is really coming down to a fight over three blocks of the beach. And it's listed as, it's 16 commercially identified parcels with nine uses in the Borough of Seaside Park. So, having said that.
(off the record.)
16
myself. I think every witness has misspoke. But we're talking about South Seaside Park which is within Berkeley Township?

A That's correct. And --
Q Okay. So if you would take us
through each one of those seven things that you
identified as your summary points and go back and
tell us why, you know, you have an opinion as to
each one, we'd appreciate that.
A I'm going to start off generally. And we just hit the conundrum. There's a lot of
confusion about this. I must say, I did an
objection in front of both the planning and zoning
board here. And as a planner that's worked in ocean
County, Monmouth County, I went to look up where I
was going to attend my meeting initially about five
years ago. Typing in South Seaside Park municipal
building, there isn't one. It was at that point
that I realized the application was not
South Seaside Park, it was Berkeley Township. So,
there's a lot of, a lot of confusion where this happens.

Currently, in my position with Seaside Park, we have -- and I'm there part-time, so I only see part of the folks that come in. We have a handful

20
of people that come in, every week or two, trying to
2 drop off a construction jacket. I get telephone
3 calls. I've gotten so many calls I actually have on
the sticky on my bulletin board the number for
Berkeley Township so that I can direct residents
6 from South Seaside Park to call Berkeley Township
because I can't provide assistance.
I also went to do an inspection within the last few months, and it was a very interesting inspection. A homeowner on 12th Avenue in Seaside Park had a very interesting story. she and her husband were not at the shore, they were home. Somebody called them in a panic and said, you better cal1 the cops. Somebody's at your property and they're ripping off the roof. Here, the contractor had delivered, instead of going to 12th Avenue, they dropped the materials off at -- I'm sorry, 12th Lane, they went to 12th Avenue. Since the materials were dropped off there, that's where the contractor showed up. They actually had taken off half her roof before it was stopped dead in its tracks. So, there's a lot of confusion, lot of overlap. And it wasn't just me, and I was relieved to know that. So, generally, that's what I have seen from my personal experience in dealing with this.
3 through its shared services agreement with
Lavallette?

A Twenty hours. Yes, I'm actually employed by the Borough of Lavallette.

Q And how often does somebody come in with a Berkeley Township/South Seaside Park matter,
into your office, thinking that Seaside Park is supposed to be handling it?

A From the time that I'm there, sometimes I'm only there half the day, it's about every -- about every week, week and a half we get somebody that's trying to drop something off.

Q And those are owners of homes, do you know, contractors?

A Both.
Q Both. And they're confused about
what township they're in?
A That's correct.
Q Go ahead.
A One of the things that's been talked about is this separation, it's not simply we're one boundary away. Am I picking up? It's not simply that we're separated by one municipality. In order

1 to get here from South Seaside Park, depending on what way you go to avoid traffic, it can be up to seven municipalities that you're going through.
what I found very interesting was Mr. Slachetka's comparison of, I believe he was giving testimony for another municipality where they had the separations, things like that. And he compared the residents coming from south Seaside Park for municipal services here to the municipal building to folks having to go on an occasional shopping trip to the grocery store and go one municipality over, couple of miles. This simply isn't that. I think it's much more than that. And that was referenced in his testimony in July of 2017.

Again, I've done the commute. I know what summertime traffic is like. It is -- it can be horrendous. It can be at least 45 minutes to get here from the tip end of the borough. And as I stated before, I think that there are physical characteristics that are extremely similar to Seaside Park and the other coastal communities that are in that area. Comparisons have only been provided related to South Seaside Park in the entire township. I think what we need to do at this point

1 is look at a more localized level.

One of the things that Mr. Slachetka had stressed was that neighborhoods, it's beyond the zip code boundary, sometimes there's overlap. And I think that we need to look at some very specific neighborhoods within Berkeley Township so that I think that we can give a fair comparison.

Three that, in particular, that I looked at that I think bear importance are Pelican Island, Glen Cove and then the original boundary of Berkeley shores. So, having said that, I'm going to go through the next point and then I'm going to come back to those three communities, those three neighborhoods. They are very important as part of my future testimony.

The next issue that I have are the facts and basis for Mr. Slachetka's testimony. I think there's certain inaccuracies that have happened here. One of the things that Mr. slachetka used in talking about the demographics, population, housing, was the -- it's the ACS or American Community Survey. I took a look at those statistics, because I was mildly curious to see what was going on. In his report and testimony, he's indicated that, basically, there has been an increase of 330 -- I'm
sorry. There has been an increase of 334 persons.
Originally there was -- there were 490 documented in
2010. And according to Mr. Slachetka's testimony
and report, that it's now two percent of the entire Berkeley Township population, 824 residents.

Q Before you go any further, why is
that important? Why is it important that
Mr. Slachetka found that the population had
increased from 490 to not quite double, but an additional 337 people to 827 , at the time of his testimony?

A why it's important is, it's
indicating that the doubling of population, in
essence, is a bigger increase or an impact to the municipality in considering the de-annexation procedure.
Q okay.
18 A What I found very interesting is, I looked at the ACS and I checked the footnotes to see what the survey said. The first thing the footnotes indicate are, this is a telephone survey and it was unverified. This was happening during the time when we had a federal government shutdown. So, this, the projections that were put out based on the telephone survey went unconfirmed. And I know when I tried to

1 do planning work, looking at things, you always want 2 to try to confirm as much as possible your resources.

The next thing that I took a look at were the population estimates that Ocean County has produced.

Maybe we can have those marked. And 7 where did you get these? From the county of Ocean?

A It's right from the county website.
They have a county data book. And they have the
population projections beginning April 1, 2010. And
then they have projections through as late as 2017.
Q okay. And these documents, should we mark them all together as a single exhibit or separately?

A Actually, it's just one sheet.
Q Just the top sheet?
A Uh-hum. I made enough copies for everybody.

MR. MICHELINI: Oh, I see. That's just one sheet.
(The Population estimates was marked as A-99 in evidence.)

Q I'll leave this one here with you, A-99 in evidence, and give additional ones.

MR. WISER: Mr. Michelini, I'm sorry,

1 the entire municipality. Where this got very
interesting is, I was concerned about this, so I
3 actually took a look at the building permits that 4 were issued for South Seaside Park.
5 Q You personally examined them?
6 A Yes, I did.
7 Q For what period of time?
8 A I believe they were from 2008 through
92015.

Q okay. And how did that compare with the data that the county provides as opposed to what Mr. Slachetka says?
13 A Mr. Slachetka testified in July of
142017 that the number of dwellings in south Seaside
5 Park had increased from 1,400 dwelling units in the
2000 base to, I believe it was 1,671 dwelling units
in 2017. Then you look at building permits, I took
8 a look one on one. And I was concerned about this
because there was potential impact from super storm
Sandy. And I really wanted to see, did we add 271
dwelling units in Seaside Park. I found that when I
went through -- and I have a couple of copies,
because it's so voluminous, I don't think you guys
want to bore yourself, but I did make some extra
copies in case you are interested.
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1 this is A?

```
MR. MICHELINI: 99.
Thank you.
Q So, what are these estimates of population growth in Ocean County that the County of
ocean puts out as opposed to American community
Survey, which does by telephone survey, what does
this tell you?
A I found this very interesting.
Q Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead. Are we
ready?
THE WITNESS: Does everybody have a
copy?
MS. HUGG: Yes.
Q \(\quad G 0\) ahead.
A If we look at the April 1, 2010
estimate for Berkeley Township, it was estimated,
the census estimated that there had been 41,255
persons in the borough -- I'm sorry -- the township, excuse me. The estimate base, which is one of the other things that they are looking at, was 50 people
more. So, it was a plus or minus there.
If you go all the way to the end, to 2017,
for Berkeley Township, the number of residents is
541,747 . That's an increase of only 492 residents in
MR. MICHELINI: 99.
3 Thank you.
population growth in Ocean county that the County of
Q Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead. Are we
THE WITNESS: Does everybody have a
copy?
```
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1 that are indicated between January 2008 and August 23, 2017?

A Yes. I'm sorry, it was 2017. Yes.
Q The purpose of that was to determine
whether or not Mr. Slachetka's population numbers
were accurate or if the numbers were more in line
with what the ocean County estimates were on $\mathrm{A}-99$, correct?

A And also to verify that his number of projected dwellings was accurate as well.
11 Q okay. What did you find when you looked at the thousands of building permits?

A There were over 2,000 pages. I have what -- the pages that are particularly relevant to South Seaside Park are pages 554 to 651 . I took a look at this to see how many new building permits we had and how many demolitions occurred. What I found very fascinating is, there were 21 new building permits issued and 17 demolition permits. The demolition permits are clearly going to offset. It was almost a one-on-one where they had to take the dwelling down and put up a new one. Seventeen take away from 21 is four new buildings. That's it.

Q So, with all of Super Storm Sandy and damage, it appears that there were 17 from the

1 documents you reviewed, and you reviewed all the
2 building permits in South Seaside park for that time
3 frame, 17 demos would translate to 17 new buildings, 4 correct?

A That's correct.
Q and then four additional new
buildings beyond that?
8 A That's correct.
9 Q 0 , there would be only an increase of four new units over that period of time?

A That is absolutely correct.
Q Is that essentially because the town, that portion of town, south Seaside Park is
essentially built out?
A Yes. There are a couple of vacant
lots, but it's really pretty much very established in this development.

Q So, is it fair to say, then, that Mr. Slachetka's number that you testified to a few minutes ago of 271 new building units in South Seaside Park, is inaccurate in your opinion?

A I believe it is, based on the
research that I conducted and the number of building permits, yes.

1 Municipal is a shade of lavender/purple. Commercial is red. And right-of-ways are gray.

Q All right. So, you've identified the various types of properties?
(off the record.)
Q You've identified the various types of properties in color on $\mathrm{A}-101$, correct?

A That is correct.
Q Now, did you want to talk about A-101 or do you want to introduce another document first and then talk about them together?
a I would like to introduce the other second document and then talk about them together.

Q okay.
MR. MICHELINI: Let's mark this as
A-102.
(The Listing of tax parcels was
marked as A-102 in evidence.)
Q And tell us, if you would, what A-102 is.

A A-102 is a listing of all of the tax parcels that were in, I believe it's A-101, with the exception of removing out the vacant land, municipally opened or exempt property because there is no, no improvements on it. And the other anomaly

1 about -- do you want to mark something else or do 2 you want to talk about those documents?
3 A I'll talk about these two and then 4 we'll move to the next.
5 Q okay. So, tell us about A-101 and 6 A-102 and why you did that.

A The importance of this is, obviously, South Seaside Park, the assessed value of the new homes, I was concerned about that and I wanted to see if it was founded. It was really -- that was sticking out like a sore thumb and I wanted to see if there were other neighborhoods that might have comparables.

Q So, let me stop you there. I understand Mr. Slachetka testified, and I may be paraphrasing, but this was kind of, south Seaside Park was a, I believe he said it was the wealthiest or most well to do area of the township. Does that ring a bell in terms of your review of the testimony as being accurate?
1 up.
Q And, therefore, losing South Seaside Park would be losing something unique because of its high property values and the housing that's there?
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A That is correct.
Q okay. So, did you find any other
neighborhoods, such as pelican Island, that
compared?
A Yes, I have two others.
Q Well, you can just tell us about
pelican Island first.
A What I noticed with Pelican Island
is, if you take the total, and it's at the back of
each pages. I like to let the computer do my math
because it just seems to be much easier these days.
so, in looking at the total, I divided that by the
number of parcels.
Q Which exhibit are you looking at?
A $\quad$ We're looking at A-102.
Q okay. And that would be the
single-family homes, correct?
A That's correct.
Q And this is for pelican Island?
A $\quad$ That's correct.
Q And the total, as I see it, is
\$43,081,800; is that accurate --
A $\quad$ That's correct.
Q -- in what we're looking at? okay.
What did you do with that number?
compared?
A Yes, I have two others.
Q well, you can just tell us about
pelican Island first.
A what I noticed with Pelican Island
is, if you take the total, and it's at the back of
each pages. I like to let the computer do my math
because it just seems to be much easier these days.
so, in looking at the total, I divided that by the
number of parcels.
Q Which exhibit are you looking at?
Q okay. And that would be the
single-family homes, correct?
A That's correct.
Q And this is for Pelican Island?
A That's correct.
Q And the total, as I see it, is
$\$ 43,081,800$; is that accurate --
A That's correct.
What did you do with that number?

1 is the one commercial property. What I found
2 interesting is the tax records indicated a very high
3 assessment for the land, but the value of the
4 building is only a dollar. And because we're trying
5 to deal with residential improvements and comparing
apples to apples, oranges to oranges, I felt it was
appropriate to remove that from consideration as well.

Q So, A-102 is without the colored
items from A-101, essentially, and A-102 therefore
then consists of single-family homes, correct?
A That is correct.
Q And in Mr. Ebenau's report when he came up with a value for South Seaside Park, I believe in his revised report it was $\$ 408,000$; is that correct?
A That is correct.
Q okay. That was for single-family
homes, correct?
A That's correct.
Q So, you're comparing single-family
homes from another part of the township, in this
case, Pelican Island, correct?
A That's correct.
Q And what did -- did you want to talk the number of parcels; get the average assessed value, you can divide the number of parcels by the amount that's listed as the tally. And when you do that, you get an average of $\$ 435,169.69$ as the average value for dwellings in 7 Pelican Island.
$8 \quad$ Q Is that -- that's higher than the 9 408,000 that Mr. Ebenau put in his report and; isn't that correct?

A That is correct.
Q And that would indicate what to you, then?

A That there is another neighborhood in Berkeley Township located right on the barrier island heading into the island that has a higher value even than South Seaside Park does.

Q So, therefore, if de-annexation would occur, it wouldn't be the most expensive neighborhood in terms of single-family assessments, that would be lost?

A That's correct.
Q okay. Were there any other neighborhoods in Berkeley Township on the mainland that compared favorably?

A Yes. I looked at the Glen Cove neighborhood. And I have two handouts for that, very similar to the last ones that were handed out. MR. MICHELINI: Have these marked. (The Assessments for Glen Cove was
marked as A-103 in evidence.)
(The Assessments for Glen Cove was
marked as A-104 in evidence.)
Q Okay. So, show us, tell us what 103
is. Are those also the assessments --
A That is correct.
Q -- for Glen Cove?
A Yes, that is correct.
Q And that has the colored coding on it, so it includes the vacant land and commercial
properties --

```
A Actually --
    Q -- including things other than single
family or --
    A There wasn't any commercial in
Glen Cove, which was interesting, but there were
riparian grants, municipally owned land and then
vacant land.
    Q Okay. And the municipally owned land
would be exempt for tax purposes --
```

2 Q -- correct? So, you've excluded all
those on A-104, correct?
4 A That's correct. Yes.
5 Q Okay. Taking A-103 and A-104
together, what did you determine?
A In looking at them together, pulling 8 out the vacant exempt parcels, et cetera, this is a very interesting neighborhood again. If you go to
the back of the page where it sums it up, in looking
at the value on, I believe it's A-104, the total
assessed values of parcels are $50,958,300$ for all of the total parcels.
14 Q And how many parcels were in Glen Cove, single-family homes in Glen Cove that you considered?

A There were 144 parcels.
Q And, again, this is based on the 2017 tax assessments?

A That is correct, yes.
Q And they were the same assessments that Mr. Ebenau relied upon, correct?
A That is correct.
24 Q And I'11 make a representation to the
25 board, you provided -- or the township provided me

1 with disks in response to an OPRA request that I've
2 used over and over again in these proceedings. So
3 those same records were utilized in that way. I'm
4 not going to bring in the records as such, it would 5 delay this a very long time. So, we'll go with the 6 summaries.

So, go ahead, Ms. Woolley-Dillon. what did you determine from those records?

A In looking at the Glen Cove neighborhood, it's slightly lower. It's coming in, if you take the total divided by the number of parcels, it's $\$ 353,877.08$ for the average assessed value. However, what I found very interesting, this, if you look through it, particularly block 1547, lot 31.01, the high end value for homes in this area, is 1 million -- $1,006,000$. So, that's --
I mean, that's a pretty high value for that
neighborhood. And then on the low end, it's
$\$ 120,000$. That would be for block 1547, $82-$ 1547, lot 82 . And it's interesting that they're very close to each other.
Q So, presumably, one is on the water, one is not --

A That's what it appears to be.
Q -- would that be correct?

```
A Yes.
    Q Okay. And in terms of, I know that
Mr. Ebenau's report, you've reviewed that
thoroughly, it indicated that the average home in
Berkeley Township was what, 199,500, if I recall
correctly; is that correct?
    A That is correct.
    Q So, this neighborhood is much higher
than the average home in Berkeley Township, correct?
    A That is correct.
    Q Okay. It may not be as high as
South Seaside Park or Pelican Island, which is even
higher than South Seaside Park, but it is still
about $154,000 in excess of the average, correct?
    A That is correct.
    Q So, that is another neighborhood that
exceeds the value of the -- most of the rest of the
township, correct?
    A That is absolutely correct.
    Q And anything else you want to mention
about Glen cove and the values there?
    A No, I think that pretty much covers
it.
24 Q okay. What else would you like to
point out to this board?
```

4 point of diminishing return at about 90 percent of
the parcels. In looking at this, I looked at the
original, what was identified as a Berkeley shores
neighborhood. It was the original boundary that was
drawn. It was slightly bigger than the current one.
So, I wanted to get a broader look and see if there
were other homes that, again, had comparable values,
similar values, higher values. So, in looking at
this, I did an analysis of the parcels, and I looked
at 90 percent of the parcels because I hit the point
where it kept getting just about the same number.
So, to do the last ten percent or 70,80 of those
lots, it really wouldn't have made much of a
difference.

Q We'll have these marked. You're just
using one exhibit for this, correct?
A That's correct.
(The Berkeley Shores document was
marked as A-105 in evidence.)
Q okay. And having said that, it's
fair to say that neighborhood boundaries are
arbitrary. We're picking somewhat artificial lines
because people would disagree as to whether a street 2 is in Glen Cove or whether it's in Berkeley Shores or some other neighborhood, not exactly defined by the township, correct?

A That's correct.
Q But these are neighborhoods, these
streets that you've identified, each one of these
assessment summaries, are streets that are all next
to each other, correct?
A Contiguous in a cluster, yes.
Q Okay. So, tel1 us about A-105 and
the original Berkeley Shores neighborhood and what you found.

A okay. As I said, I did approximately 90 percent of the parcels. There are 780 parcels that could be potentially looked at. When I hit the point of 704 and I kept summing it and doing the math, it just got to be just about the same number.

If you look at the back of it, it's a very large number on the back of page 16 . And doing the math, dividing it out, it gives you an approximate average of about $\$ 435,000$ for a single-family detached dwelling. And I apologize for the title getting cut off. Again, I removed the vacant land. This is truly only looking at detached single-family
dwellings in that particular neighborhood.
Q and for that neighborhood, did you
3 also utilize, as with the other values, the
4 assessments for the year 2017?
A That is correct.
Q And, again, those values came in, I
would imagine this neighborhood, like Glen Cove, is
a mixed, and like Pelican Island, is a mix of
waterfront and non-waterfront, correct?
A Absolutely.
Q And that's also somewhat similar to South Seaside Park, correct?

A That is correct.
Q Although South Seaside Park is all on the bay and the ocean over there, as opposed to the mainland waterfront areas are all bay, correct?
A That is correct, yes.
18 Q And the number of 435, that's similar to the number that you came up with in Pelican Island, correct?

A Absolutely.
Q And, again, a neighborhood which, according to the boundaries that you've drawn, which could be expanded or narrowed, I'm sure, has values which exceed that of South Seaside Park?

A That is correct, yes.
Q Anything else that you want to
mention regarding those numbers?
A No, I think they pretty much speak for themselves.

Q Okay. And then moving on to the, the next issue that you identified. What would you like to tell this board?

A It has to do with the affordable housing issues. In reviewing Mr. Slachetka's testimony, I was a bit concerned about this. Having done several housing plans and housing elements, fair share housing plans for communities, one of them that I prepared was for Bay Head. So, it was definitely a coastal community. There's been a concern that, first and foremost, that there was no funding that was put into South Seaside Park, as far

1 as funds from the affordable housing plan. The other concern is, well, we were talking about, where are we going to put the affordable housing, et cetera. And I just had a concern about how Mr. Slachetka was taking a look at this.

In looking at what COAH says -- well, and I -- I say this lightly because COAH is supposed to be going into the fourth round. We're in the third round. It's been in court. There're a lot of changes but they went back to some of the previous methodologies.

South Seaside Park is on the barrier island. It's a PA-5B planning area. That's what they designate it as in the state plan. Typically, for the State plan, it's not recommended that you encourage building new affordable housing. There are a few reasons why. First, there isn't any public transportation that goes all the way down to South Seaside Park. And for affordable housing -we also now call it work force housing. And as far as work force housing, some of the population that requires work force housing also requires public transportation. So, if there isn't any way for them to get to a job from where they live, it doesn't really make sense to put the affordable housing in

## an area.

I know I live in Bass River Township. We don't really have affordable housing down there.
And the reason why is, there's one bus route. out
of the 79 square miles in that township, we have one
bus route that goes right up and down Route Nine,
and there really isn't anywhere to put affordable
housing. So, COAH was trying to employ some of the
state planning principles when they did this, and
they want to put the affordable or work force
housing where it would be appropriate.
The other issue that kind of makes
determination that perhaps affordable housing is not going to happen in this area is, has to do with the price of land. Basically, purchasing a parcel, maybe two, and then putting up construction, it's going to bankrupt whatever funds you have in your affordable housing trust fund. And the purpose of affordable housing is to employ or put as many units in the municipality as possible. So, simply because the basic cost of an average parcel of land, it's not really realistic to buy a parcel of land and put affordable housing down there.

What I found very interesting in
Mr. Slachetka's testimony is that he stated that

1 affordable housing can't be located in a floodplain. That's not entirely accurate.

Q So, let me stop you there. He said it can't be located in a floodplain and, therefore, you can't have affordable housing in south seaside Park. Is that what he was saying?

A That is correct. That was the --
Q Do you agree with that or do you disagree with that?

A No, I don't.
Q Okay. And why, why do you disagree?
A Other communities that are located entirely in a floodplain, Bay Head, excuse me, Point Pleasant Beach, Point Pleasant Borough, Ocean City, they have affordable housing obligations. They are entirely coastal communities. so, it's not really that affordable housing can't build in a floodplain. If you don't have a choice, you're going to have to develop it. So, I, with all due respect, I do not necessarily agree with the statement that he posited.

The last thing that I would offer is, in this case, to perhaps meet some of the affordable obligations, South Seaside Park, what could have been done is, there are programs and funding that
are set aside for rehabilitation of units in need of rehabilitation, deed restrictions are attached to that. It's something that, basically, funding could have been taken from the affordable Housing Fair Share Trust Fund and put towards rehabilitation of units in South Seaside Park.

Q And that's contrary to what Mr. Slachetka said, correct?

A That is correct.
Q okay. Go ahead.
A The next issue that I'm going to bring up is the community rating system and
Mr. Slachetka's understanding of this. I had taken
the course that NJ DEP offers. I'm getting ready to
sit for my certified floodplain manager's
examination. I was also serving as the floodplain
manager in Seaside Park, as well as in the Borough of Lavallette. And the Borough of Mantoloking has integrated many of the FEMA requirements into the zoning ordinances, and I pre-screen for our floodplain manager there.

He made repeated reference to the fact that the CRS rating system -- and I'm paraphrasing this, perhaps -- is going to benefit from the areas of the township on the mainland that are able to absorb
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floodplains. And, basically, he said that they're going to absorb the overflow from flooding. And that's --

Q Do you have a transcript for that?
A I believe it was the most recent one that he was testifying in.
Q Okay. Go ahead.
8 A and he was saying that because you
have all the ability to absorb additional
floodwaters here in the mainland, that that's going
to help reduce your CRS rating and your insurance
premiums for the borough, excuse me, for the
municipality. That's not an accurate statement.
How the CRS ratings are developed is, femA wants
everybody to be as high and dry as possible. They
want you to get up. They want you to get out of the
flood hazard. They take a look at how many
buildings you have, how many are located below or --
below the base flood elevation, BFE, design flood
elevation, DFE, depending on if you have coastal
wave activity or velocity zones. They take a look at your total number of permits that have been issued with the flood elevation certificates, and then they're looking at how many of them meet the current requirements. That's pretty much how it's

1

## 2

developed. They also have other things that go hand-in-hand with that. I have a handout, I think, that would be helpful.
(The Appendix F from FEMA website
was marked as A-106 in evidence.)
Q Let me show you the handout that you just referenced that's been marked A-106. And if you can explain what it is and why you want the board to see it.

A What this is, is this is appendix $F$ from the FEMA'S website that they operate in dealing with floodplain management. And it basically talks about the process for dealing with floodplain
management review, the community rating system, the ratings for the individual communities. I printed out two of the pages that -- or, actually, I think
it's three of the pages that I thought would be most helpful.

This actually talks about what goes into that community rating system. They also talked about activities that are ineligible on page two. so, on page three, I think it's very helpful. It lists the class. And we always think that the higher the number, the better off we are. Not necessarily with FEMA. The higher the number, the worse your flood

1 insurance premiums are. So, page three gives an
2 example of what the flood premiums and the reduction or discount would be based on your Community Ratings System number that FEMA would assign to you.

So, I thought that was important for you
folks to have an understanding of where my position
is coming from and why I disagree with
Mr. Slachetka's testimony.
Q Now, let me hold you on that.
Mr. Slachetka testified that Seaside Park was a six,
or a seven, rather, and Seaside Heights was a class
six, resulting in a five percent discount. But he
also indicated that, his testimony, if I am correct,
and you can correct me if I'm wrong, that the
discount would be, by the end of 2018, that they would likely be a five --

| A | That is correct. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q | -- in Berkeley Township? |
| A | That is correct. |
| Q | Is that an accurate statement, |
| Mr. Slachetka's testimony? |  |
| A | No. And I have something else that I |
| would like to mark into evidence. |  |
| Q | Okay. |
| MR. ORIS: Excuse me, Mr. Michelini. |  |

MR. ORIS: Excuse me, Mr. Michelini.
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Thank you. October 1, 2018.

MR. ORIS: Thank you. For the

MR. MICHELINI: Yes.
MR. ORIS: -- I just want to make
sure I understood what you were saying.
MR. MICHELINI: I appreciate that.
(The Appendix F from the October 2018
NFIP Manual was marked as A-107 in evidence.)
Q So, tell us what A-107 is.
A This is appendix $F$, the community
rating system, from the October 2018 NFIP, National Flood Insurance Protection, Flood Insurance Manual. And it's entitled, table three, Community rating system, eligible communities, continued, effective

And I printed out the part that really only
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1 deals with New Jersey. I didn't think it's
necessary to show you everybody's community rating system.

Q Okay. And what do you want to show us from there?

A Excuse me. If you look in the
New Jersey portion of it, and you come down to, it's
community number 340369, which is Berkeley Township,
their current classification as of October 1, 2018 is still a six.
Q So, it did not come down to a five as testified to by Mr. slachetka, correct?

A That is correct.
Q And Seaside Park on the next page is
still a seven, correct?
A That is correct.
Q So, there would be a slight discount
of five percent, the difference between 15 percent and 20 percent for somebody in Berkeley Township?

A That is correct.
Q In your opinion -- well, how often do
these numbers change or should they -- can they
change? How often can they change, I should say.
A They -- communities are -- excuse
25 me -- required to do an annual reporting process to

1 the FEMA program. They can change depending on the 2 activities that have been undertaken, the level of 3 involvement, the number of flood elevation 4 certificates that have come in, documentation. And 5 they can be upgraded as part of that annual report 6 process.
7 So, it can be, annually, they can
8 change?
A That is correct.
Q So, in a year, seaside Park could be
a six or a five and Berkeley could be a seven or an eight, theoretically, at least?

A Potentially, yes.
Q Go ahead. What else did you want to tell us about this?

A Now, one of the other things that I found very interesting, and I had occasion to testify, excuse me, down in Sea Isle City. If you go to, it's the second page of the appendix $F$, and you look at Sea Isle city, it's near the bottom, it's community number 345318 . They are located entirely on the coastal barrier island, Cape May County. They, however, have a rating of three which is phenomenal. And I will tell you why. The applicant that I was representing wanted to have
storage below the first habitable area, which FEMA
does allow that. Sea Isle City said no way. The
3 only things that they allow below that base flood
elevation and the DFE, or design flood elevation, is
the entrance to the building and parking. They
don't even want you to enclose things down there.
This is just part of the reason why they have such a phenomenal rating down there. So, it's entirely possible for a coastal community located entirely on the barrier island not relying on anything on the mainland to have a very high rating.

Q Meaning a low number?
A Yes, I'm sorry.
Q And a higher discount, correct?
A That's correct.
Q And that could -- that could happen, I'm not saying it will happen, but it could happen in Seaside Park -- it could happen in South Seaside Park, that portion of South Seaside Park, should de-annexation occur?

| A | That is correct. |
| :---: | :--- |
| Q | Go ahead. What else would you like |
| to tell us? |  |
| A think that wraps it up for the |  |
| community rating system and the FEMA portion of it. |  |

1 I've already kind of sort of covered the housing 2 values for South Seaside Park, so I'm going to move 3 on to the next issue.
4 Q Let me ask you a question. Just go 5 back for a minute. Mr. Slachetka talked about how 6 there was a two percent increase or south Seaside
7 Park represented two percent of the overall
8 population. Based upon A-99, which was the county
9 numbers, you had indicated that the total population
10 in Berkeley over a period of seven years only
increased about 500, correct, something like that?
A That's correct.
Q Do you have an opinion as to how much
of that increase would have occurred over in
South Seaside Park? I mean, you do not believe that
334 people, of that number, of that 500,334 moved
to South Seaside Park?
A I just don't think that's realistic.
Q So, do you have an indication as to how many people may have, or you have no opinion as to that, in terms of the population increase in South Seaside Park over that period of time?

A I have an opinion. I think it would potentially result in approximately ten residents, given an average of 2.5 residents per dwelling unit,

1 given that in certain situations they're
2 condominiums, sometimes they're only two, there's
3 one and a half. So, I take the average number of
4 two and a half. Two and a half times four is ten
people. That's it.
Q So, the impact in terms of
de-annexation, in terms of the number of people
would be much less because instead of having 334
people increasing the population would only have ten or something like that?

A That's correct. And when you look at that number of ten residents, with the bulk of it elsewhere in the municipality, it's actually even less of an impact than the original number that was projected and loss of that number of population.
$6 \quad$ GO ahead, Ms. Woolley-Dillon.
A I'm going to go back to one of the issues that I mentioned briefly. Mr. Slachetka had given testimony that there was a lot of grant money that was obtained simply because of South Seaside Park being a coastal community.
Q Grant funding related to Super Storm Sandy or something else?

A Super Storm Sandy.
Q Okay. Go ahead.

2 one. Part of the reason why is, it just didn't -- I 3 understand that the coastal communities got, 4 received grants. I understand the purpose of it. 5 Part of what I was left scratching my head with is, 6 only three of the dwelling units that were located 7 in South Seaside Park had sustained moderate damage 8 from Super Storm Sandy. The bulk of the damage to the rest of the homes was on the mainland, particularly in the Bayville area. So, I understand it's a coastal community, et cetera. But putting that as the forefront to look for grant funding, it's kind of a mixed signal or a mixed message. And I just don't think that that was -- I also think that Mr. Slachetka's characterization of the grant funding process, I think it was an unfair representation, because most of the communities that got planning grants and zoning administration grants, all you had to demonstrate was that you were showing an increase of 50 percent of your zoning permits from pre-Super Storm Sandy to what was either coming through or anticipated to come through to repair as a result of the storm damage.

Q So, how does that, those statements by Mr. Slachetka relating to Super Storm Sandy
grants, how does that affect his overall opinion?
A Well, I believe his opinion was that it was going to be substantially detrimental should
south Seaside Park be de-annexed. And I don't think that that's necessarily appropriate, because, again, most of the damage occurred here to the mainland.
That's really where the bulk of the planning grant assistance went and the planning funds went.

Q So, therefore, grants of that nature would be available and, in this case, they were available but it was primarily for the mainland?

A That's correct.
Q okay.
A Now, there's another part of all of this, and I actually took particular interest in this because working with the coastal community, I was fascinated to see that there are studies that are being done that will help the community. There was a reference to a study that was done by Notre Dame. And I wanted to find out more about this because, certainly, it's something that we should all be aware of. I wanted to find out -- you know, there were two pilot communities. One of them was keansburg and the other one was Berkeley Township, as part of the South Seaside
interested to see, is this going to be coming to the rest of New Jersey at some point in time.

So, I reached out to Keansburg and I spoke to the construction official about this. I wanted some more additional information. He was kind enough to contact me and we had a conversation about this. I also then received a call from, again, 8 Keith Henderson at the New Jersey Department of Community affairs. What Mr. Henderson indicated and 10 stated to me was that this Notre Dame study was funded through the New Jersey Department of Community Affairs. They're planning on going public very soon with that. I was given a list of directions on how to $\log \mathrm{in}$. And it was really fascinating that this is coming online. They're 6 going to make this available to local communities.
They're going to allow the local communities to add
layers, their own individual layers of GIS. They
can work with the county. They'11 certainly work
with the state planning offices, or what's left of
21 them, I should say, to incorporate this. And this
22 is going public. It's coming online. Regardless of
23 what happens with the de-annexation procedure, it's
24 not going to impact either Berkeley Township, the
25 mainland or South Seaside Park, one way or the
4 system and the Notre Dame study; is that correct? Park isn't the only place in the township, correct?
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South Seaside Park, I know there's been much
discussion about this. It really seems like it's
kind of been out there on its own. I took a look at the zoning.

One of the things that Mr. Slachetka had testified to is that, well, gee, we had to get planning grants from Super Storm Sandy just to change the zoning down in South Seaside Park. I sat there scratching my head and wondering about this. Most communities, planning, zoning, it's kind of yes, you budget for it. Do we budget enough? Most communities don't. But in looking at the minor changes that were made to the zoning ordinance to remove things like mining, things that weren't necessarily as --

Q Hospitals?
A Hospitals and mining. It didn't require a tremendous amount of funding. And I just -- when Mr. slachetka referred to the wealth, the educational status, it shocks me that this portion of the population has been left out of the planning process. And, basically, most of the planning efforts, they didn't take place until after the de-annexation proceedings began in 2015. And then it just seems like there's a flurry of activity

1 those were the biggest parts of commercial
2 development in the entire township. All right. So, 3 I wanted to take a look at this.

I took a look at the number of commercial
lots, parcels, that are designated as commercial in
South Seaside Park. There are 16 of them. Really,
7 there are nine commercial uses because some of those
8 commercial lots are parking lots. So there's really
9 nine commercial units located in South Seaside Park.
one of the other things that I wanted to look
at is, what's going on with commercial in the rest
of Berkeley Township. So, I started doing some digging.
(The Article regarding Beachwood Mall
was marked as A-108 in evidence.)
Q Ms. Woolley-Dillon, tell us what A-108 in evidence is.

A This is an article that I downloaded when I did a general search on commercial
development in Berkeley Township. This talks about a property that's been struggling for some time. They identify it with a white dinosaur outside. However, what is interesting is, they call it the Beachwood Mall. Basically, there are commercial ventures that are currently located there coming
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to try and meet whatever is going on. So, I was just struggling with this one, and it's a very interesting situation.

Q And, indeed, the multifamily, as a result of the 2017 changes in the zoning ordinance, multifamily is disappearing over in South Seaside Park, it's becoming more single-family housing, correct?

A That's correct. And I will say that other communities have recently made the shift as well. They're getting rid of their multifamily zones. They are primarily putting residential single-family homes, that's what they have. And when somebody comes in to expand it or it gets demolished, they now make them install a
single-family dwelling. That seems to be the going pattern of development on the islands.

Q Thank you.
A Now, the other last interesting issue that I have is with Mr. Slachetka's characterization of the fact that the commercial properties in South Seaside Park. And, again, I'm generalizing perhaps the statement that he made. He said that 4 the commercial properties in South Seaside Park, 5 along with the commercial properties on Route Nine,

Q And that is a much larger commercial 2 area than the nine uses in South Seaside Park, correct?

A That is absolutely correct.
Q Okay. And the point of the article
that, a Jersey Shore online article which you had marked as Exhibit A-108, indicates that there are other hard to spot places just off of route Nine that may not be known to people, is that why you're bringing that up?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. So, it's not just what you see on Route Nine but it's also in the streets adjacent to it, that there are commercial uses --

A Yes.
Q -- is that correct?
A That's absolutely correct.
Q And is that anything like what's in South Seaside Park?

A No.
Q So, in your opinion, losing nine
commercial uses in South Seaside Park, would that have a significant detrimental impact upon Berkeley Township?

A Yes, that's correct.
It identifies what's in this little mini mal1. It has a Sovereign Bank, Ocean First Bank, Freedman's Bakery, ERA Realty. And they also say that there's a small supermarket, clothing store and bar/restaurant. So, there are, again, a

MR. GINGRICH: Excuse me. No more
Ocean First Bank. No more Freedman's Bakery.
THE WITNESS: And this was what the
gentleman had, I guess, indicated was there at the time. But there --

MR. GINGRICH: I'm just bringing you up to speed.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. There are other uses that are there. They're certainly available. And it is a commercial development. Thank you for that clarification.
Q Is it fair to say that on Route Nine, there are dozens, if not over a hundred commercial uses, if you were to drive up and down Route Nine in Berkeley Township?

A I think that's a fair and accurate

A I do not believe so. And the other

$$
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thing that I took in account to form the opinion is, you have a new town center coming in. You're
welcoming mixed uses, you want new commercial there.
It's not that one thing offsets another, but there
are additional opportunities for new commercial
development here within the township on the mainland.

Q Okay. What else?
A The other thing that I took a look at are the arguments over the beach area. And I'm just going to touch on this briefly. There were talks about the impact to the beach, losing the area, et cetera. And there were also discussions about the potential increase for users for beach badge prices, et cetera.

With regard to this, Mantoloking was
performing a study earlier this year to decide if they wanted to take over one of the beaches. so, being zoning and doing a lot of research, I helped the -- our CFO take a look at a lot of these things. I helped her collect a lot of information on this and helped do research for her. And in looking at this, the beach badges price, they change every
year. Sometimes they stay the same, but typically
they do change. Nothing is set in sand or in stone,

72
I should say. And, basically, they can go up
typically, sometimes they go down, but they can go
up. SO, I understand why Mr. slachetka's talked
about it. But I certainly don't think that, and see that as a substantial issue with regard to the potential de-annexation hearings.
Q Okay. With regard to the -- let's 8 take you back for a minute.

These other neighborhoods that are similar in terms of value, would you have an opinion --
Mr. Slachetka made a big point of that, well, these
are, there's a wealthy neighborhood in South Seaside
Park, it has highest amount of employment, the
highest amount of education and the highest amount of income. And I'm not -- I believe I'm stating that correctly.

Would you expect the education, the income and employment to be similar in Pelican Island and Berkeley Shores, maybe in Glen Cove, maybe a little less in Glen Cove because the numbers are slightly different, would you expect those types of figures to track to others areas of the township where the values are higher?

A That would be a reasonable
connection, yes.
) Mr clarhotka romnarad Gouth Coacido Dark to all at Berkeley Township in making that analysis; is that correct?

A That is correct, yes.
Q And is that the right way to do it, 7 or is it better to take -- look at South Seaside
8 Park as a neighborhood and then look at other
9 neighborhoods within Berkeley Township, such as
0 Berkeley Shores, Pelican Island, Glen Cove that have higher values so that you're comparing, essentially, apples to apples?

## as a whole.

Q Now, I know that you work part-time for Seaside Park. You haven't expressed an opinion with regard to Seaside Park itself. You're dealing with South Seaside Park, correct?

A That's correct.
Q And I know it's through -- you said
8 it was through a shared services arrangement with
Lavallette and you're actually employed by Lavallette --
A That's correct.

19 I confirmed and asked him to respond if there was a

A No. And I would also add that the shared services agreement is dissolving. I have two
other zoning officials in front of me for one part-time job. So, as of December 31, I have no horse in any race. And I will be staying in Mantoloking and continuing my private practice.

Q Okay. What else would you like to tell this board?

A The only thing that I'm going to offer in conclusion is that Mr. Slachetka was here. He talked a lot. He gave a report. He relied on his information. I think that his information was perhaps not exactly accurate. And I think his methodology in what he looked at was flawed.

If you have bad information as the basis for your decision and opinion, it's a bad opinion, it's not a good opinion. So, basically, I think that what he used to arrive at his conclusion, again, was flawed. It's not entirely accurate. Therefore, I can't imagine that his corresponding conclusions and recommendations wouldn't also be flawed.

I also believe that, based on the information presented by the township and their professionals, there is no firm or substantial evidence that
Berkeley Township will suffer harm or damage if the de-annexation were to occur. And that based on the information previously presented by Mr. Bauman, the
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planner, for our purposes, I conclude, I concur that
there is a detriment to not allowing the
3 de-annexation of South Seaside Park from
4 Berkeley Township to occur. And I believe that the residents of South Seaside Park will continue to 6 suffer harm if it's not allowed or approved.

MR. MICHELINI: Okay. Thank you very
8 much, Ms. Woolley-Dillon. Questions?
MR. WINWARD: Thank you for your
testimony. Mr. McGuckin had a comment about --
MR. MCGUCKIN: Mr. Chairman, we
received, you know, about a hundred and some pages
of documents. And before I would be able to finish
my questions, I'm going to want to review these documents ahead of time.

Ms. Wooley-dillon has given us safely 80 to 100 pages of documents, which we have not seen before this evening. I'm going to want to have a chance to look at that before I could ask most of my questions. So, I think we're going to need to bring her back. We're getting close to two hours. We're not quite there yet anyway. But that's what I feel. I don't know about anyone else.

MR. WISER: I absolutely concur.
MR. ORIS: Through the chair, if I

1 may

4 question for our attorney. But it would seem to me that the documentation that's been submitted this evening, along with the testimony, may warrant
Mr. Slachetka to be given an opportunity to review the information and provide the board with some -his view of the documentation that's been submitted.
clearly, what I've heard is opinion in the
conclusions by Ms. Barbara woolley-Dillon, that, you
know, have questioned, called into question the
accuracy and by extrapolation the accuracy of his
opinion. So, I -- my view, the board -- and I don't
know if this is possible, but the board may want to
consider having Mr. Slachetka come back after maybe
having reviewed the information, maybe even
reviewing transcripts. But I would leave that up to the board if that's what you would choose and, if it's proper, the attorney.

MR. MICHELINI: I have an opinion on
that, but I'll let the -- you know, I mean --
MR. WINWARD: I defer to our board
attorney about the legalities and, you know, the necessity.

MR. McGuckin: I think it's up to the 2 board if you think that's necessary or not. It's your decision what information you want to hear or not hear. And I'm sure Mr. Michelini will say he does not believe it's necessary or appropriate.
However, it's up to the board. If you believe that will help you reach your decision and your
recommendation to the governing body, then it's your decision.

MR. WINWARD: You had also stated that you had some questions you'd like to ask --

Mr. McGuckin: well, I have questions that I'm going to have to review these documents and be ready to cross-examination. so, I won't be able to do it tonight. I'm not going to go through the stuff and compare it to the information that was in Mr. slachetka's report.

MR. MICHELINI: My position on that is, certainly, Mr. Slachetka can work with Mr. Oris, with Mr. wiser, to -- behind the scenes. They got a month to get together and to review the testimony and to look at the exhibits and to come back and to cross-examine, you know, Ms. woolley-dillon. She will be subject to cross-examine. We understand 25 that there's lot of paperwork that's been given.

MR. WINWARD: Okay. Yes.
MR. MICHELINI: But he's testified
for four hearings. And to bring him back -- besides
it would be painful, I think, for all of us.
Respectfully I say.
(All talking at once.)
MR. MICHELINI: But, you know, he's
been given a shot. And, as I recall, this board was
saying, well, there's got to be a cutoff point and, you know, allow rebuttal, but that's it. And here we are.

MR. MCGUCKIN: I think -- I think
Mr. Michelini makes a point. I think that, really,
the board doesn't have to make that decision tonight
anyway. So, until we're done with cross-examination of Ms. Woolley-Dillon, at that point, if the board feels you need some additional testimony, you can make that call and Mr. Michelini may convince you that you don't need to or you may not -- think you don't need to anyway.

MR. WINWARD: Yeah.
MR. MCGUCKIN: It's not a decision you have to make tonight anyway.

MR. WINWARD: I think that would be right at this point. We just have her come back for
some cross-examination.
and then I had a question for
3 Mr. wiser. When are you anticipating your report is going to be ready by?

MR. WISER: Well, the -- it keeps
getting pushed out.
MR. WINWARD: So, you be won't be
ready for the next meeting?
MR. WISER: Oh, cer --
MR. WINWARD: We're going to have some other applications here. There may be a time issue anyway.

MR. WISER: Certainly. I mean, clearly, I would want to wait until we're done with the conversation with Ms. Woolley-Dillon. And then, frankly, I'm -- it's going to take some time to polish off the report and finish it and then to give it to Mr. -- the board and Mr. Michelini. You had recommended that you wanted it a month ahead of time.

MR. MICHELINI: Well, a month before cross-examination. I mean, I don't need it a month before you testify. When you initially testified, I would assume that you would testify from your report at that time and that we would get the report, but I
would have it a month before cross-examination. cross on that night, just like tonight.
it to m
to anybody else.
Mr. McGUCKIN: Wel1, I think we
should clarify. obviously, we're going to -- she's
going to have to come back, so we're going to need
to pick a date for that. But, again, I want to
address these -- because we have meetings on the 17th of January.

Ms. HUGG: Yes.
Mr. MCGUCKIN: And we know that
there's at least one application.
MS. HUGG: Yes.
MR. McGuckin: But we're being told
there may be actually three.
MS. HUGG: No.
MR. WINwARD: That's what Ernie had
said.

MR. MCGUCKIN: Homes For All. No?
MS. HUGG: Homes For All, Hovchild --
MR. McGUCKIN: Okay.
MS. HUGG: -- no. And then Attorney
5 michelini has an application --
MR. MCGUCKIN: oh, that's right.
MS. HugG: -- that was submitted for
review. And I think the discussion with Mr. Peters, 9 he was finishing up the review, so we may be --

MR. MCGUCKIN: That would probably be
ready.
MS. HUGG: Which is a -- I think it's
a minor subdivision, right?
MR. MICHELINI: it is a minor
subdivision, correct.
MS. hugG: So, we could --
MR. MCGUCKIN: I'm just trying to
make sure --
MR. MICHELINI: Yes. Sure.
MR. McGuckin: -- they don't have
to -- she doesn't have to be here. So, I think it 2 would be ambitious for us to say we're going to do 3 two applications, the reorg, and then do this at the 4 January meeting. That's my -- I don't think we can 5 do all that.

2 want to delay Ms. Woolley-Dillon and keep her 3 hanging out there for months. So, I would say that 4 the Gucci application we would push into February 5 and let's finish her and get this done.
6 Mr. McGuCKIN: Keep in mind, the 7 board meeting is only two weeks later, because --

MR. MICHELINI: Oh, I see. Right.
Because the meeting of the 17th.
MR. MCGUCKIN: -- the first Thursday.
I think we should do the applications
MR. MCGUCKIN: -- the first Thursday.
I think we should do the applications
that night, reorg meeting and then in two weeks
we're back here anyway, and then do the
South Seaside Park, guarantee the two hours.
MR. MICHELINI: The first Thursday in February.

MR. MCGUCKin: and then we'll finish.
MR. MICHELINI: Okay.
MR. WINWARD: 50 then you want to
make -- we'll put it on the record, then, that we're
not going to have any South Seaside Park testimony
for January 17.
MR. MICHELINI: The January 17
meeting.
25
MR. MICHELINI: Yeah. But I don't rebraary.

MR. WINwARD: But for the February --

MS. HUGG: we still have to approve
the dates. But it, tentatively, would be
February 7th.
MR. WINWARD: February 7.
MR. MICHELINI: Are you available,
Ms. Wooley-Dillon?
THE WITNESS: I'm pretty sure I could be.
(off the record.).
MR. MICHELINI: So, then, all
cross-examination is going to be held until that
time --
MR. MCGUCKIN: Yes.
MR. MICHELINI: -- correct? So, not
tonight, correct?
MR. MCGUCKIN: Right.
(Matter adjourned.)
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considered [1] 39/16
considering [1] 24/15
consists [1] 34/11
construction [3] 20/2 47/16 62/4
consultant [1] 12/14
consulting [1] 11/18
cont'd [1] 4/1
contact [1] 62/6
Contiguous [1] 43/10
continue [1] 76/5
continued [1] 53/23
continuing [1] 75/4
contractor [2] 20/15 20/19
contractors [1] 21/16
contrary [1] 49/7
conundrum [1] 19/11
conversation [3] 61/15 62/6 80/15
convince [1] 79/18
Cooperative [1] 9/16
copies [3] 25/17 27/22 27/25
cops [1] 20/14
copy [1] 26/13
correct [126]
correctly [2] 41/6 72/16
corresponding [1] 75/18
cost [1] 47/21
could [16] 43/16 44/24 48/24 49/3
55/10 55/11 56/16 56/16 56/17 56/18
64/16 64/20 74/16 76/19 82/16 84/7
council [1] 6/17
county [16] 3/20 19/15 19/15 25/5 25/7
25/8 25/9 26/5 26/5 27/11 29/7 31/21
32/3 55/23 57/8 62/19
couple [5] 5/11 22/12 27/22 30/15 31/24
course [2] 10/3 49/14
courses [2] 10/13 11/2
court [4] 1/24 12/10 46/9 85/5
Cove [17] 3/23 3/24 23/10 38/1 38/5
38/7 38/12 38/21 39/15 39/15 40/9
41/21 43/2 44/7 72/19 72/20 73/10
covered [1] 57/1
covers [2] 13/18 41/22
cross [9] 78/14 78/23 78/24 79/15 80/1 80/22 81/1 81/3 84/11
cross-examination [6] 78/14 79/15
80/1 80/22 81/1 84/11
cross-examine [2] 78/23 78/24
CRS [3] 49/23 50/11 50/14
curious [1] 23/23
current [3] 42/8 50/25 54/9
currently [5] 5/10 11/7 11/9 19/23 67/25
curriculum [1] 8/25
cut [1] 43/24
cutoff [1] 79/9
CV [4] 10/16 10/18 13/24 14/5
CW [1] 68/5
CWR [1] 68/5

## D

damage [7] 18/1 29/25 59/7 59/8 59/23 60/6 75/23
Dame [6] 18/3 18/4 60/20 61/4 62/10 63/4
DASTI [1] 2/3
data [2] 25/9 27/11
date [3] 6/12 81/14 85/9
Dated [1] 85/16
dates [2] 28/25 84/2
day [1] 21/12
days [1] 36/11
DCA [1] 61/15
de [21] 1/4 5/3 15/3 15/7 17/10 18/9
24/15 37/18 45/5 45/6 56/20 58/7 60/4
61/3 62/23 63/3 65/24 72/6 73/18 75/24 76/3
de-annexation [19] $1 / 45 / 3$ 15/3 15/7
17/10 18/9 24/15 37/18 45/5 45/6 56/20
58/7 62/23 63/3 65/24 72/6 73/18 75/24 76/3
de-annexed [2] 60/4 61/3
dead [1] 20/21
deal [1] 34/5
dealing [5] 17/15 20/25 51/11 51/13
74/4
deals [3] 32/13 32/16 54/1
dealt [1] 28/22
December [4] 1/7 15/14 75/2 85/16
December 3rd [1] 15/14
decide [1] 71/17
decision [6] 75/14 78/3 78/7 78/9
79/14 79/22
deed [1] 49/2
deep [1] 18/25
defended [1] 12/15
defer [1] 77/23
defined [1] 43/3
definitely [2] 45/23 64/3
degree [3] 10/8 10/10 10/24
delay [2] 40/5 83/2
delayed [1] 5/13
delineation [1] 9/17
delineation/environmental [1] 9/17
delivered [1] 20/16
demographics [1] 23/20
demolished [1] 66/15
demolition [2] 29/19 29/20
demolitions [1] 29/17
demonstrate [1] 59/19
demonstrating [1] 12/19
demos [1] 30/3
DEP [1] 49/14
Department [3] 18/5 62/8 62/11
depending [3] 22/1 50/20 55/1
DESCRIPTION [2] 3/11 4/2
design [2] 50/19 56/4
designate [1] 46/14
designated [1] 67/5
detached [2] 43/23 43/25
detail [1] 16/25
determination [1] 47/13
determine [3] 29/4 39/6 40/8
detriment [1] 76/2
detrimental [3] 60/3 70/23 73/17
develop [1] 48/19
developed [2] 50/14 51/1
development [7] 11/21 30/17 66/17
67/2 67/20 69/18 71/6
DFE [2] 50/20 56/4
did [37] 5/6 14/20 14/22 14/23 16/4 16/7 16/8 16/11 17/19 19/12 25/7 27/6 27/10 27/20 27/24 28/9 29/11 31/11
33/9 34/25 34/25 35/6 36/2 36/25 39/6
40/7 42/12 43/14 44/2 47/9 54/11 55/14
64/11 67/19 73/20 74/14 74/18
didn't [5] 16/14 54/1 59/2 65/17 65/23
difference [2] 42/17 54/18
different [2] 72/21 73/19
digging [1] 67/13
DILLON [20] 3/3 8/7 8/17 8/18 8/22 9/9
14/14 15/20 16/3 40/7 58/16 67/16 76/8 76/16 77/11 78/23 79/16 80/15 83/2
84/6
diminishing [1] 42/4
dinosaur [2] 67/22 68/7
direct [1] 20/5
direction [1] 61/18
directions [1] 62/14
disadvantage [1] 63/3
disagree [4] 43/1 48/9 48/11 52/7
disappearing [1] 66/6
discount [6] 52/3 52/12 52/15 53/3
54/17 56/14
discussing [1] 5/18
discussion [3] 65/2 73/23 82/8
discussions [1] 71/13
disks [1] 40/1
dissolving [1] 74/25
divide [1] 37/3
divided [2] 36/12 40/11
dividing [1] 43/21
do [54] 5/14 5/16 6/4 8/24 9/22 10/2
10/17 11/14 17/3 18/1 18/19 20/8 21/15
22/25 25/1 33/10 35/1 35/1 35/18 36/10
36/25 37/5 42/15 45/17 47/14 48/8 48/8
48/11 48/19 50/4 54/4 54/21 54/25
57/13 57/15 57/19 64/20 65/11 70/25
71/22 71/25 73/6 73/14 73/15 74/14
74/16 74/21 78/15 82/22 82/23 82/25
do... [3] 83/11 83/13 85/6
doctorate [1] 10/13
document [5] 4/3 14/8 33/10 33/13
42/21
documentation [3] 55/4 77/5 77/9
documented [1] 24/2
documents [11] 13/21 13/25 14/21
16/22 25/12 30/1 35/2 76/13 76/15 76/17 78/13
does [12] 11/17 15/23 21/7 26/7 26/7 26/12 35/18 37/17 56/2 59/24 60/1 78/5
doesn't [3] 46/24 79/14 82/21
doing [6] 11/21 43/17 43/20 67/12
71/19 73/22
dollar [1] 34/4
Domenick [1] 1/11
don't [23] 6/22 18/24 27/23 47/3 48/10
48/18 56/6 57/18 59/14 60/4 63/14
65/12 72/4 76/23 77/14 79/19 79/20
80/22 81/2 81/9 82/20 82/24 83/1
done [10] 7/8 18/25 22/16 45/20 48/25
60/18 60/19 79/15 80/14 83/5
double [1] 24/9
doubling [1] 24/13
down [16] 6/22 18/12 29/22 46/18 47/3
47/6 47/23 54/7 54/11 55/18 56/6 56/8
65/8 68/3 69/22 72/2
downloaded [2] 67/18 68/18
dozen [1] 13/1
dozens [1] 69/21
drawn [2] 42/8 44/23
drew [1] 31/1
drive [2] 1/24 69/22
drop [2] 20/2 21/14
dropped [2] 20/17 20/19
dry [1] $50 / 15$
due [1] 48/19
duly [1] $8 / 18$
duplicative [1] 14/7
during [1] 24/22
dwelling [8] 27/15 27/16 27/21 29/22
43/23 57/25 59/6 66/16
dwellings [5] 27/14 29/10 31/10 37/6 44/1

## E

e-mail [1] 74/18
each [6] 19/6 19/9 36/10 40/21 43/7
43/9
earlier [2] 45/6 71/17
early [1] $81 / 9$
easier [1] 36/11
Ebenau [3] 32/9 37/9 39/22
Ebenau's [3] 34/13 41/3 45/2
educate [1] 11/2
education [6] 8/24 9/16 10/6 10/18
72/14 72/17
educational [2] 10/16 65/20
effective [1] 53/23
efficiency [1] 63/15
efforts [3] 17/13 64/25 65/23
eight [2] 10/13 55/12
either [2] 59/22 62/24
Electronics [1] 68/5
Element [1] 15/2
elements [1] 45/20
elevation [6] 50/19 50/20 50/23 55/3 56/4 56/4
eligible [1] 53/23
else [16] 13/15 31/15 35/1 41/20 41/24
45/10 52/22 55/14 56/22 58/23 64/13
64/16 71/8 75/5 76/23 81/10
elsewhere [1] 58/13
employ [2] 47/8 47/19
employed [5] 11/8 11/11 11/17 21/6 74/9
employment [2] 72/13 72/18
enclose [1] 56/6
encourage [1] 46/16
end [5] 22/19 26/23 40/15 40/18 52/15
enforcement [1] 11/15
enough [4] 7/20 25/17 62/5 65/11
entail [1] 11/17
entertain [1] 14/17
entire [4] 22/24 24/4 27/1 67/2
entirely [8] 14/8 48/2 48/13 48/16
55/22 56/8 56/9 75/17
entitled [3] 13/25 31/20 53/22
entrance [1] 56/5
environmental [1] 9/17
ERA [1] 69/4
Ernie [1] 81/24
ESQ [2] $2 / 52 / 8$
ESQS [2] $2 / 32 / 6$
essence [1] 24/14
essentially [4] 30/12 30/14 34/10 73/11
established [1] 30/16
estimate [2] 26/17 26/20
estimated [2] 26/17 26/18
estimates [5] 3/16 25/5 25/21 26/4

## 29/7

et [6] 31/14 39/8 46/3 59/11 71/12
71/15
even [6] 37/17 41/12 56/6 58/13 61/17
evening [2] 76/18 77/6
every [5] 19/1 20/1 21/13 21/13 71/23
everybody [5] 18/24 18/24 25/18 26/12
50/15
everybody's [1] 54/2
everything [1] 32/22
evidence [20] 9/6 9/8 10/17 14/11 14/13 25/22 25/24 28/16 32/3 33/18 38/6 38/8 42/22 51/5 52/23 53/17 67/15 67/17 68/21 75/22
exactly [4] 17/20 43/3 75/11 81/4
examination [8] 8/21 49/16 78/14
79/15 80/1 80/22 81/1 84/11
examine [2] 78/23 78/24
examined [1] 27/5
example [1] 52/2
exceed [1] 44/25
exceeds [1] 41/17
exception [1] 33/23
excerpts [3] 3/17 28/5 28/15
excess [1] 41/14
excluded [1] 39/2
excuse [8] 26/20 48/13 50/12 52/25
54/6 54/24 55/18 69/9
exempt [3] 33/24 38/25 39/8
exercise [1] 64/14
exhibit [5] 25/13 36/14 42/2 42/19 70/7
exhibits [1] 78/22
expand [1] 66/14
expanded [1] 44/24
expect [3] 72/17 72/21 81/2
expensive [1] 37/19
experience [4] 10/22 11/6 15/24 20/25
expert [3] 5/8 6/23 15/21
expires [1] 85/14
explain [1] 51/8
explaining [1] 8/11
expressed [1] 74/3
extent [1] 73/20
extra [1] 27/24
extrapolation [1] 77/13
extremely [2] 17/7 22/21

## F

fact [5] 12/2 15/23 49/22 66/21 74/16
facts [2] 17/19 23/16
fair [12] $13 / 6$ 13/8 13/9 15/2 23/7 30/18
42/24 45/21 49/4 69/20 69/24 73/22
familiar [1] 13/3
family [14] 31/9 32/19 34/11 34/18
34/21 36/17 37/20 38/19 39/15 43/22
43/25 66/7 66/13 66/16
far [3] 7/13 45/25 46/20
fascinated [1] 60/17
fascinating [4] 29/18 61/1 61/22 62/15
favor [1] 18/9
favorably [1] 37/25
feature [1] 68/24
February [8] 15/14 15/17 81/7 83/4
83/16 83/25 84/3 84/4
February 1st [1] 15/17
February 4 [1] 15/14
February 7th [1] 84/3
federal [1] 24/23
feel [1] 76/22
feels [1] 79/17
felt [1] 34/6
FEMA [9] 4/4 49/19 50/14 51/4 51/25
52/4 55/1 56/1 56/25
FEMA'S [1] 51/11
few [5] 7/21 20/9 30/19 31/12 46/17
fight [1] 18/12
figures [1] 72/21
final [1] 18/11
financial [3] 15/5 15/9 15/10
find [5] 6/9 29/11 36/2 60/20 60/22
finish [4] 76/13 80/17 83/5 83/17
finished [2] 5/24 5/25
finishing [1] 82/9
firm [1] 75/22
first [13] 17/2 24/20 28/4 31/23 33/10
36/7 45/24 46/17 56/1 69/3 69/10 83/10 83/15
five [10] 6/24 19/16 52/12 52/16 53/3
53/4 53/7 54/11 54/18 55/11
five percent [3] 52/12 53/3 54/18
flawed [3] 75/12 75/17 75/19
flood [11] 50/17 50/19 50/19 50/23
51/25 52/2 53/21 53/21 55/3 56/3 56/4
flooding [2] 50/2 61/20
floodplain [10] 11/14 48/1 48/4 48/13
48/17 49/15 49/16 49/21 51/12 51/13
floodplains [1] 50/1
floodwaters [1] 50/10

| F | 58/17 58/25 72/1 72/2 72/2 77/2 78/15 | hazard [1] 50/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| flurry [1] 65/25 |  | $1 \text { 16/9 22/5 22/7 31/ }$ |
| folks [3] 19/25 22/10 52/6 | going [61] 6/10 6/18 6/25 7/9 8/22 8/24 | 34/13 35/17 47/25 48/3 48/6 48/20 |
| follow [1] 21/1 | 23/23 23/12 23/16 20/16 22/3 | 66/23 66/23 73/16 75/9 75/9 75/9 75/1 |
| following [2] 15/11 63/20 follows [1] $8 / 20$ | 29/20 31/16 40/4 42/1 46/3 46/8 47/14 | 75/16 78/4 82/9 |
| footnotes [2] 24/19 24/20 | 47/17 48/18 49/11 49/24 50/2 50/10 | he's [3] 23/24 79/2 79/7 |
| force [4] 46/20 46/21 46/22 47/1 | 57/2 58/17 60/3 61/3 61/20 62/1 | head [4] 45/22 48/13 59/5 65/9 |
| forefront [1] 59/12 | 62/16 62/17 62/22 62/24 66/1 66/16 | ading [ |
| foregoing [1] 85/6 | 67/11 71/11 75/7 76/14 76/18 76/20 | hear [3] 7/11 78/3 78 |
| foremost [1] 45/24 | 78/13 78/15 80/4 80/10 80/16 81/1 | heard [2] 53/1 77/10 |
| Forked [1] 2/4 | 81/13 81/13 82/22 83/21 84/1 | ring [3] |
| form [2] 16/87 | going to [1] | hearings [2] 72/6 |
| forth [2] 13/23 85/9 | gone [1] 64 | Heights [1] 52/11 |
| forward [2] 64/7 64/8 | $\text { got [11] } 5 / 13 \text { 7/20 17/14 17/14 17/25 }$ | help [3] 50/11 60/18 78/7 |
| found [14] 16/20 22/4 24/8 24/18 26/9 | 27/1 43/18 59/3 59/18 78/20 79/9 | helped [3] 71/19 71/21 71/22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | gotten [1] 20/3 | helpful [3] 51/3 51/18 51/2 |
|  | governing [1] 78 | Henderson [3] 61/16 62/8 62/9 |
| four [6] 5/19 29/23 30/6 30/10 58/4 | government [1] 24/23 <br> grant [6] 17/24 58/19 58/22 59/12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { her [12] } 5 / 115 / 147 / 38 / 19 \text { 20/11 20/2 } \\ & 71 / 2171 / 2276 / 2179 / 2583 / 283 / 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 79/3 | 59/15 60/7 | here [20] 5/10 8/3 16/10 19/14 20/15 |
| fourth [2] frame [1] | grants [10] 17/23 17/24 31/13 38/2 | 22/1 22/9 22/19 23/19 25/23 50/10 60 |
| frankly [1] | 59/4 59/18 59/19 60/1 60/9 65/7 | 71/6 73/25 74/16 75/8 79/10 80/11 |
| Frederick [1] 1/13 | gray [1] 33 | /21 83/13 |
| Freedman's [2] 69/4 | GREGORY [1] | hereby [1] 85/6 |
| front [4] 11/20 16/19 19/13 75/1 | grocery [2] 22/11 6 growth [1] 26/5 | hereinbefore [1] 85/9 <br> high [7] 34/2 35/25 40/15 40/17 41/11 |
| fully [1] 16/5 | growth [1] 26/5 guarantee [1] 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { high [7] 34/2 35/25 40/15 40/17 41/11 } \\ & 50 / 1556 / 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| fund [2] 47/18 49/5 | Gucci [1] 83/4 | higher [10] 37/8 37/16 41/8 41/13 |
| funded [2] 18 funding [8] 17 | guess [1] 69/12 | 42/11 51/23 51/25 56/14 72/23 73/11 |
| $58 / 22 \text { 59/12 59/16 65/18 }$ | guys [1] 27/23 | highest [3] 72/13 72/14 72/14 |
| funds [3] | H | 2] $1 / 23$ |
| further [3] 17/21 24/6 68/1 future [1] 23/15 | habitable [1] 56/1 | his [21] $8 / 1416$ |
| G | 17/2 17/11 17/25 20/11 20/16 20/20 | 60/2 73/13 75/10 75/10 75/11 75/16 |
| gave [3] 13/20 16/9 75/9 | 49 | 75/18 77/9 77/13 |
| gee [1] 65/6 | 29/21 31/6 31/6 31/6 42/10 46/4 49/13 | hit [4] 19/11 42/3 42/13 43/1 |
|  | 55/17 57/9 58/18 59/7 59/19 61/2 61/15 | hold [5] 9/10 9/11 9/12 11/8 52/ |
| generalizing [1] 66/22 | 64/15 65/5 65/6 69/12 70 | Holiday [3] 4/10 68/19 68/20 |
| generally [3] 19/10 20/24 63/1 | 76/10 78/10 78/11 80/2 80/18 81/2 | Holiday City [1] 68/19 |
| gentleman [1] 69/12 | half [6] 20/20 21/12 21/13 58/3 58 | home [4] 20/12 41/4 41/9 68/ |
| geographic [2] 17/5 61/10 |  | meowner [1] 20 |
| get [18] 7/2 7/19 20/2 21/13 22/1 22/18 |  | OWNER |
| 25/7 31/24 37/3 37/5 42/9 46/24 50/16 | hand-in-hand [1] 51/ | homes [14] 21/15 32/19 34/11 34/19 |
| 50/16 65/6 78/21 80/25 83/5 | handed [1] 38/3 | 34/22 35/9 36/17 39/15 40/15 42/10 |
| gets [1] 66/14 | handful [1] 19/25 | 59/9 66/13 82/1 82/2 |
|  | handling [1] 21/10 | horrendous [1] 22/18 |
| $49 / 1466 / 1176 / 2180 / 6$ | handout [2] 51/2 51/6 | horse [1] 75/3 |
| Gingrich [1] 1/12 | handouts [1] 38/2 | Hospitals [2] 65/16 65/17 |
| GIS [5] 61/5 61/8 61/9 62/18 63/3 | hanging [1] $83 / 3$ | hours [5] 5/11 21/2 21/5 76/21 83/14 |
| give[6] 10/5 23/7 25/24 80/17 81/8 | happen [6] 6/11 47/14 56/16 56/1 | housekeeping [1] 15/19 |
| 81/9 | 56/17 56/18 | housing [38] 13/6 13/8 13/9 15/1 23/2 |
| given [10] 8/25 57/25 58/1 58/19 62/13 | happened [2] 23/18 64/9 | 31/8 35/25 45/18 45/20 45/20 45/21 |
| 74/16 76/16 77/7 78/25 79/8 | happening [2] 24/22 61/21 | 46/1 46/3 46/16 46/19 46/20 46/2 |
| gives [2] 43/21 52/1 | happens [2] 19/22 62/23 | 46/22 46/25 47/3 47/8 47/11 47/13 |
| giving [1] 22/6 | hard [2] 68/4 70/8 | 47/18 47/19 47/23 48/1 48/5 48/15 |
| Glen [17] 3 | harm [2] 75/23 76/6 | 48/17 49/4 57/1 63/11 63/14 64/3 64/1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gien [1/] } \\ & 38 / 7 \text { [1] } \end{aligned}$ | has [22] 7/7 9/7 10/2 13/24 18/24 19/1 | 64/19 66/7 |
| 41/21 43/2 44/7 72/19 72/20 73/10 | 23/25 24/1 25/5 37/16 38/14 44/24 | Hovchild [2] 7/14 82/2 |
| Glen Cove [8] 38/1 38/12 38/21 39/15 | 45/17 47/14 49/18 63/13 64/2 65/21 | how [23] 6/9 12/5 12/25 21/2 21/7 |
| 41/21 44/7 72/20 73/10 | 69/3 72/13 76/16 82/5 | 27/10 29/16 29/17 39/14 46/4 50/14 |
| go [32] 9/14 10/12 | have [125] | 50/17 50/18 50/24 50/25 54/21 54/23 |
| 22/2 22/10 22/11 23/11 24/6 26/10 | haven't [1] 74/3 | 57/5 57/13 57/20 59/24 60/1 62/14 |
| 26/15 26/23 31/4 39/9 40/5 40/7 49/10 | having [9] 8/18 18/15 22/10 23/11 42/23 45/19 58/8 77/16 77/17 | however [4] 40/13 55/23 67/23 78/6 |

H
hum [2] 13/10 25/17
hundred [3] 12/8 69/21 76/12
husband [1] 20/12
hydrology [1] 9/18

I'd [2] 5/1 14/8
I'll [7] 7/4 7/22 25/23 31/24 35/3 39/24 77/22
I'm [56] 5/23 6/6 7/19 8/22 8/24 9/15 10/1 10/12 10/15 11/18 16/12 16/24 17/15 17/16 18/7 18/17 18/23 19/10 19/24 20/17 21/5 21/11 21/12 23/11 23/12 23/25 25/25 26/10 26/19 29/3 40/3 42/1 44/24 45/5 49/11 49/14 49/23 52/14 56/13 56/17 57/2 58/17 66/22 69/14 71/10 72/15 72/15 75/7 76/14 76/18 78/4 78/13 78/15 80/16 82/17 84/7
I've [8] 11/13 15/1 18/25 20/3 22/16 40/1 57/1 77/10
identified [9] 18/14 19/7 28/22 32/25
33/3 33/6 42/6 43/7 45/15
identifies [1] 69/2
identify [2] 31/25 67/22
imagine [2] 44/7 75/18
impact [11] 15/5 15/9 24/14 27/19 31/2
58/6 58/14 61/19 62/24 70/23 71/12
importance [2] 23/9 35/7
important [6] 23/14 24/7 24/7 24/12
52/5 73/24
improvements [2] 33/25 34/5
inaccuracies [1] 23/18
inaccurate [2] 30/21 31/2
includes [1] 38/15
including [1] 38/18
income [2] 72/15 72/17
incorporate [1] 62/21
increase [10] 23/25 24/1 24/14 26/25
30/9 57/6 57/14 57/21 59/20 71/14
increased [3] 24/9 27/15 57/11
increasing [1] 58/9
indeed [1] 66/4
indicate [2] 24/21 37/12
indicated [8] 23/24 29/1 34/2 41/4
52/13 57/9 62/9 69/12
indicates [1] 70/7
indicating [1] 24/13
indication [1] 57/19
individual [2] 51/15 62/18
industrial [1] 68/5
ineligible [1] 51/21
information [15] 61/10 61/14 62/5
71/21 73/16 73/19 75/10 75/10 75/13
75/20 75/25 77/8 77/17 78/3 78/16
initial [1] 14/8
initially [2] 19/16 80/23
inspection [2] 20/8 20/10
install [1] 66/15
instead [2] 20/16 58/8
Institute [1] 9/13
instructor [2] 10/24 10/25
insurance [4] 50/11 52/1 53/21 53/21
integrated [1] 49/19
interest [1] 60/15
interested [2] 27/25 62/1
interesting [18] 12/14 20/9 20/11 22/4 24/18 26/9 27/2 34/2 38/21 39/9 40/13 40/20 47/24 55/17 63/10 66/3 66/19 67/23
introduce [2] 33/10 33/12
invite [1] 64/11
involved [1] 7/19
involvement [1] 55/3
is [210]
island [28] 3/19 15/24 17/8 23/9 31/11 31/20 32/2 32/17 34/23 36/3 36/7 36/8 36/19 37/7 37/16 37/16 41/12 44/8 44/20 46/12 55/22 56/10 63/21 63/23 64/2 64/5 72/18 73/10
islands [1] 66/17
Isle [3] 55/18 55/20 56/2
isn't [7] 19/18 22/13 37/9 46/17 46/23 47/7 63/25
issue [10] 18/11 23/16 45/15 47/12
49/11 57/3 64/23 66/19 72/5 80/12
issued [3] 27/4 29/19 50/23
issues [4] 16/23 45/18 58/18 63/11
it [154]
it's [86]
items [2] 10/16 34/10
its [4] 20/21 21/3 35/24 65/3
itself [1] 74/4
J
jacket [1] 20/2
JACKSON [1] 1/25
James [1] 2/13
January [12] 5/20 6/11 6/15 6/16 6/19
7/7 29/1 81/16 82/24 83/22 83/23 85/14
January 17 [6] 6/11 6/15 6/19 7/7
83/22 83/23
January 2008 [1] 29/1
Jersey [20] 1/7 1/25 2/4 2/7 3/20 9/11
11/1 12/6 12/10 18/5 31/22 32/3 54/1
54/7 62/2 62/8 62/11 70/6 85/6 85/13
job [2] 46/24 75/2
Joe [1] 5/2
JOSEPH [1] 2/8
July [3] 15/15 22/14 27/13
July 6 [1] 15/15
June [1] 15/15
June 1st [1] 15/15
just [40] 8/3 9/17 10/5 14/4 15/18 17/5
19/11 20/23 21/1 25/15 25/16 25/20
28/17 36/6 36/11 42/14 42/18 43/18
43/18 46/4 51/7 53/12 56/7 57/4 57/18
59/2 59/14 65/7 65/19 65/25 66/2 68/15
69/14 70/8 70/12 71/10 79/25 81/3 81/9
82/17
K
Keansburg [2] 60/24 62/3
keep [2] 83/2 83/6
keeps [1] 80/5
Keith [2] 61/16 62/8
Kelly [1] 2/13
Kenneth [1] 15/6
kept [2] 42/14 43/17
Keswick [1] $1 / 6$
kind [10] 35/16 47/12 57/1 59/13 62/5
63/11 64/15 64/19 65/3 65/10
knew [2] 5/11 5/12
know [31] 6/8 6/23 7/5 7/13 8/8 11/23 13/20 16/13 19/8 20/23 21/16 22/16 24/25 41/2 47/2 60/23 61/24 65/1 74/2 74/7 76/12 76/23 77/3 77/12 77/15 77/22 77/24 78/23 79/7 79/10 81/18 known [1] 70/9

## L

Lacey [1] 2/4
LAKEVIEW [1] 1/24
land [12] $11 / 1032 / 25$ 33/23 34/3 38/15 38/22 38/23 38/24 43/24 47/15 47/21 47/22
Lane [1] 20/18
lapsed [1] 17/14
large [1] 43/20
larger [1] 70/1
last [7] 10/13 20/9 38/3 42/2 42/15
48/22 66/19
late [4] $6 / 23$ 17/10 25/11 64/24
later [1] 83/7
Lavallette [6] 11/12 21/4 21/6 49/18 74/9 74/10
lavender [1] 33/1
lavender/purple [1] 33/1
law [2] 8/19 11/4
layers [2] 62/18 62/18
least [5] 14/17 22/18 55/12 69/7 81/19 leave [2] 25/23 77/18
left [3] 59/5 62/20 65/21
legalities [1] 77/24
less [3] 58/8 58/14 72/20
let [10] $7 / 421 / 1$ 28/17 35/14 36/10 48/3
51/6 52/9 57/4 77/22
let's [6] 28/3 31/23 31/24 33/15 72/7
83/5
letter [1] 8/11
leukemia [1] 8/10
level [2] $23 / 155 / 2$
license [3] 9/12 9/14 10/3
licensed [1] 11/7
licenses [2] 9/10 9/22
lightly [1] 46/7
like [28] 5/1 8/4 13/16 14/8 15/23 22/7
22/17 33/12 35/11 36/10 41/24 44/7
44/8 45/15 52/23 56/22 57/11 58/10
61/24 63/15 65/2 65/14 65/25 68/4
70/18 75/5 78/11 81/3
likely [1] 52/16
limited [1] 28/12
LINDA [2] $1 / 2385 / 4$
line [4] 16/13 16/13 29/6 32/25
lines [1] 42/25
liquor [1] 68/7
list [1] 62/13
listed [2] 18/13 37/4
listing [3] 3/21 33/17 33/21
lists [1] 51/22
little [9] 8/3 11/6 17/10 17/25 31/9
64/24 68/19 69/2 72/19
live [2] 46/24 47/2
local [3] 15/24 62/16 62/17
localized [1] 23/1
located [15] 17/9 31/8 31/10 37/15
48/1 48/4 48/12 50/18 55/21 56/9 59/6
64/5 67/9 67/25 69/8
$\log [1] 62 / 14$

L
long [2] 31/22 40/5
look [37] 19/15 23/1 23/5 23/22 25/4 26/16 27/3 27/17 27/18 29/16 31/11 40/14 42/9 43/19 46/5 50/17 50/21 54/6 55/20 58/11 59/12 63/18 64/6 64/7 64/9 65/3 67/3 67/4 67/10 71/9 71/20 73/7 73/8 73/19 73/24 76/19 78/22
looked [15] 17/18 23/8 24/19 28/18
29/12 31/5 32/9 32/12 38/1 42/3 42/5
42/12 43/16 63/20 75/12
looking [19] 25/1 26/21 36/12 36/14
36/15 36/24 39/7 39/10 40/9 42/5 42/11
43/25 46/6 50/24 61/21 64/24 65/12
71/22 81/6
Lorelli [1] $1 / 11$
lose [1] 61/3
losing [5] 35/23 35/24 45/7 70/21
71/12
loss [1] 58/15
lost [1] 37/21
lot [22] 6/10 8/2 17/20 17/24 19/11
19/21 19/21 20/22 20/22 40/15 40/20
46/9 58/19 61/13 61/23 71/19 71/20
71/21 73/19 73/23 75/9 78/25
lots [8] 30/16 31/12 31/12 32/20 42/16
67/5 67/8 67/8
low [2] 40/18 56/12
lower [1] 40/10

## M

made [10] 18/24 25/17 42/16 49/22
61/24 63/12 65/13 66/10 66/23 72/11
mail [1] 74/18
mainland [10] 37/24 44/16 49/25 50/10 56/11 59/9 60/6 60/11 62/25 71/7 make [14] 7/22 27/24 39/24 46/25
53/12 62/16 66/15 73/21 74/15 79/14
79/18 79/23 82/18 83/20
makes [2] 47/12 79/13
making [1] 73/3
mall [7] 4/8 4/10 67/14 67/24 68/19
68/21 69/3
management [2] 51/12 51/14
manager [3] 11/14 49/17 49/21
manager's [1] 49/15
Mantoloking [4] 11/11 49/18 71/16 75/4
Manual [3] 4/7 53/17 53/21
many [14] 6/9 12/5 12/25 20/3 21/2
29/16 29/17 39/14 47/19 49/19 50/17
50/18 50/24 57/20
mapping [1] 61/8
March [2] 15/15 81/7
mark [11] 8/12 8/13 8/24 25/13 28/14
31/16 31/18 31/23 33/15 35/1 52/23
marked [27] 9/4 9/5 9/7 10/17 13/24
14/5 14/9 14/11 14/13 25/6 25/21 $28 / 4$ 28/16 31/24 32/3 33/18 38/4 38/6 38/8 42/18 42/22 51/5 51/7 53/17 67/15 68/21 70/7
market [1] 64/4
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Master [5] } & 13 / 2 & 13 / 3 & 14 / 25 \\ 14 / 25 & 15 / 1\end{array}$
master's [3] 10/10 10/11 10/24
materials [2] 20/17 20/18
math [3] 36/10 43/18 43/21
matter [5] 1/2 15/7 15/19 21/8 84/17
may [15] 9/3 31/23 35/15 41/11 55/22 57/20 70/9 77/1 77/6 77/15 79/18 79/19 80/11 81/22 82/9
maybe [7] 25/6 47/16 53/1 72/19 72/19 77/16 77/17
McGUCKIN [3] 2/3 2/5 76/10
MCP [1] 10/10
me [29] 8/12 8/25 13/20 20/23 21/1
26/20 28/17 35/14 39/25 48/3 48/13
50/12 51/6 52/9 52/14 52/25 54/6 54/25
55/18 57/4 61/22 62/6 62/10 65/20 69/9
75/1 77/4 81/9 85/8
mean [7] 18/19 40/17 57/15 73/15
77/22 80/13 80/22
Meaning [1] 56/12
means [1] 11/18
meantime [2] 5/18 7/2
meet [3] 48/23 50/24 66/1
meeting [15] 5/12 5/18 5/21 5/22 6/16 6/19 7/6 7/8 19/16 80/8 82/24 83/7 83/9 83/12 83/24
meetings [1] 81/15
member [6] 1/11 1/12 1/12 1/13 9/20 11/2
members [2] 11/3 11/4
mention [2] 41/20 45/11
mentioned [1] 58/18
message [1] 59/13
methodologies [1] 46/11
methodology [1] 75/12
MICHELINI [12] 2/6 $2 / 8$ 3/4 5/2 8/21 25/25 52/25 78/4 79/13 79/18 80/18 82/5
might [5] 5/19 7/1 7/12 35/12 68/4
mildly [1] 23/23
miles [2] 22/12 47/5
million [1] 40/16
mind [1] $83 / 6$
mini [4] 4/10 68/19 68/21 69/2
mining [2] 65/14 65/17
minor [3] 65/12 82/13 82/14
minus [1] 26/22
minute [3] 6/24 57/5 72/8
minutes [3] 7/21 22/18 30/20
misheard [1] 53/1
misspoke [1] 19/1
mistake [1] 18/24
mix [2] 44/8 63/13
mixed [4] 44/8 59/13 59/13 71/3
moderate [1] 59/7
money [1] 58/19
Monmouth [1] 19/15
month [6] 78/21 80/19 80/21 80/22
81/1 81/9
months [2] 20/9 83/3
Moore [1] 15/6
moot [1] 18/10
more [13] 17/3 17/4 22/13 23/1 26/22
29/6 60/20 61/17 62/5 66/7 68/15 69/9 69/10
most [12] 15/17 35/18 37/19 41/17
50/5 51/17 59/17 60/6 65/10 65/11
65/22 76/19
move [2] 35/4 57/2
moved [1] 57/16
moving [1] 45/14
MR [10] 3/4 6/7 8/21 17/15 17/22 23/2

23/19 34/13 52/25 80/18
Mr. [60] 6/2 8/6 15/4 16/5 22/5 23/17 24/3 24/8 25/25 27/12 27/13 29/5 30/19 31/6 32/9 35/15 37/9 39/22 41/3 45/2 45/18 46/5 47/25 49/8 49/13 52/8 52/10 52/21 54/12 57/5 58/18 59/15 59/25 61/2 61/12 61/17 62/9 63/12 65/5 65/19 66/20 72/3 72/11 73/2 75/8 75/25 76/10 76/11 77/7 77/16 78/4 78/17 78/19 78/19 78/20 79/13 79/18 80/3 80/18 82/8
Mr. Bauman [2] 15/4 75/25
Mr. Chairman [1] 76/11
Mr. Ebenau [3] 32/9 37/9 39/22
Mr. Ebenau's [2] 41/3 45/2
Mr. Henderson [1] 62/9
Mr. McGuckin [1] 76/10
Mr. Michelini [5] 25/25 78/4 79/13 79/18 80/18
Mr. Oris [1] 78/19
Mr. Peters [1] 82/8
Mr. Slachetka [24] 8/6 24/8 27/12 27/13 31/6 35/15 46/5 49/8 52/10 54/12 57/5 58/18 59/25 61/2 61/17 63/12 65/5 65/19 72/11 73/2 75/8 77/7 77/16 78/19
Mr. Slachetka's [16] 16/5 22/5 23/17
24/3 29/5 30/19 45/18 47/25 49/13 52/8 52/21 59/15 61/12 66/20 72/3 78/17
Mr. Wiser [3] 6/2 78/20 80/3
Ms [1] 84/6
Ms. [16] 8/17 8/22 9/9 14/14 15/20 16/3 40/7 58/16 67/16 76/8 76/16 77/11
78/23 79/16 80/15 83/2
Ms. Barbara [1] 77/11
Ms. Wooley-Dillon [1] 76/16
Ms. Woolley-Dillon [14] 8/17 8/22 9/9 14/14 15/20 16/3 40/7 58/16 67/16 76/8 78/23 79/16 80/15 83/2
much [18] 17/10 18/18 22/13 25/2
30/16 35/21 36/11 41/8 41/22 42/16
45/12 50/25 57/13 58/8 64/24 65/1 70/1
76/8
multifamily [7] 63/18 63/22 63/24
64/12 66/4 66/6 66/11
multiple [1] 31/9
multiple-family [1] 31/9
municipal [9] 11/19 12/17 12/22 12/23
17/4 19/17 22/9 22/9 33/1
municipalities [3] 12/23 12/25 22/3
municipality [9] 21/25 22/6 22/12
24/15 27/1 47/20 50/13 58/13 64/16
municipality's [1] 14/24
municipally [3] 33/24 38/22 38/24
MURPHY [1] $2 / 3$
must [1] 19/12
my [23] 9/17 9/22 10/10 10/13 10/13 10/24 19/16 19/23 20/4 20/24 23/15 36/10 49/15 52/6 59/5 65/9 75/4 76/14 76/19 77/14 78/18 82/24 85/14 myself [1] 19/1
N
NAME [1] 3/2
narrowed [1] 44/24
National [1] 53/20
nature [2] 13/13 60/9
near [2] 55/20 68/7

N
necessarily [5] 18/8 48/20 51/24 60/5 65/15
necessarily as [1] 65/15
necessary [4] 8/13 54/2 78/2 78/5
necessity [1] 77/25
need [9] 22/25 23/5 49/1 76/20 79/17
79/19 79/20 80/22 81/13
needs [2] 6/17 17/21
neighborhood [24] 3/19 15/4 31/21
32/2 37/14 37/20 38/2 39/9 40/10 40/18 41/8 41/16 42/2 42/7 42/24 43/3 43/12 44/1 44/2 44/7 44/22 45/8 72/12 73/8
neighborhoods [11] 23/3 23/6 23/14
35/12 36/3 37/24 43/6 72/9 73/9 73/23 73/25
neighboring [1] 17/8
new [33] 1/7 1/25 2/4 2/7 3/20 9/11
11/1 11/3 12/6 12/10 18/5 29/16 29/18 29/22 29/23 30/3 30/6 30/10 30/20
31/22 32/3 35/8 46/16 54/1 54/7 62/2
62/8 62/11 71/2 71/3 71/5 85/6 85/13
New Jersey [11] 9/11 11/1 12/6 12/10
18/5 31/22 54/1 54/7 62/2 62/8 62/11
next [13] 5/22 6/16 6/19 23/12 23/16
25/4 35/4 43/8 45/15 49/11 54/14 57/3 80/8
NFIP [3] 4/6 53/17 53/20
night [2] 81/3 83/12
nine [13] 6/21 18/14 47/6 66/25 67/7
67/9 68/6 69/20 69/22 70/2 70/8 70/13 70/21
NJ [2] 49/14 61/15
NJPP [1] 9/12
no [21] 9/23 33/25 33/25 41/22 45/12 45/24 48/10 52/22 56/2 57/20 63/2 69/9 69/10 70/20 74/20 74/24 75/2 75/22 81/23 82/1 82/4
non [1] 44/9
non-waterfront [1] 44/9
not [62] 5/10 6/5 6/23 7/15 8/13 9/23
10/15 14/8 16/12 17/5 17/20 18/8 19/19
20/12 21/23 21/24 24/9 28/9 29/5 40/4
40/23 41/11 43/3 45/7 46/15 47/13
47/22 48/2 48/16 48/19 50/13 51/24
54/11 56/10 56/17 57/15 62/24 64/11
64/14 68/6 69/21 70/9 70/12 70/25 71/4 72/15 74/22 75/11 75/15 75/17 76/2
76/6 76/17 76/22 78/2 78/4 78/5 78/15
79/19 79/22 83/21 84/14
Notary [2] 85/4 85/13
Nothing [1] 71/25
noticed [1] 36/8
Notre [6] 18/3 18/4 60/20 61/4 62/10 63/4
Notre Dame [5] 18/3 60/20 61/4 62/10 63/4
November [2] 15/14 15/16
November 1st [1] 15/16
November 5 [1] 15/14
now [13] 6/11 6/13 7/25 24/4 32/19
33/9 46/20 52/9 55/16 60/14 66/15 66/19 74/2
number [36] 3/11 4/2 11/24 20/4 26/24
27/14 29/9 30/19 30/23 36/13 36/25 37/2 37/4 40/11 42/14 43/18 43/20 44/18 44/19 50/22 51/24 51/25 52/4

54/8 55/3 55/21 56/12 57/16 58/3 58/7 58/12 58/14 58/15 67/4 68/9 69/7
numbers [6] 29/5 29/6 45/11 54/22 57/9 72/20

## 0

O'MALLEY [1] 2/6
oath [1] $8 / 19$
objection [1] 19/13
objections [1] 11/22
obligations [2] 48/15 48/24
obtained [3] 10/7 17/24 58/20
obtaining [1] 10/24
obviously [4] 14/16 35/7 37/1 81/12
occasion [1] 55/17
occasional [1] 22/10
occur [6] 7/9 37/19 56/20 61/20 75/24
76/4
occurred [6] 12/20 18/1 29/17 57/14 60/6 64/10
ocean [13] 3/20 19/14 25/5 25/7 26/5
26/6 29/7 31/21 32/2 44/15 48/14 69/3 69/10
October [6] 4/6 15/13 53/16 53/20
53/24 54/9
October 2018 [1] 53/20
off [17] 5/5 7/23 17/14 18/16 19/10 20/2 20/15 20/17 20/19 20/20 21/14
33/5 43/24 51/24 70/8 80/17 84/9
offer [2] 48/22 75/8
offers [1] 49/14
office [1] 21/9
offices [3] 15/10 62/20 68/13
official [6] 6/12 9/15 11/9 11/13 32/7
62/4
officials [2] 11/2 75/1
offset [1] 29/20
offsets [1] 71/4
often [3] 21/7 54/21 54/23
Oh [5] 25/19 26/10 80/9 82/6 83/8
okay [58] 5/17 5/25 6/3 6/6 6/8 7/16
10/2 11/16 12/25 13/2 13/15 15/18 19/5 24/17 25/12 27/10 28/3 28/4 28/11 29/11 31/17 32/4 33/14 34/18 35/5 36/2 36/16 36/24 37/23 38/9 38/24 39/5 41/2 41/11 41/24 42/23 43/11 43/14 45/14 48/11 49/10 50/7 52/24 53/6 54/4 58/25 60/13 70/5 70/12 71/8 72/7 73/1 74/15 75/5 76/7 79/1 82/3 83/18
once [2] 63/6 79/6
one [57] 7/14 7/14 7/18 13/25 14/1
17/4 18/6 19/6 19/9 19/18 21/22 21/23 21/25 22/11 23/2 23/19 25/15 25/20 25/23 26/20 27/18 27/18 29/21 29/21 29/22 31/5 34/1 40/22 40/23 42/1 42/3 42/8 42/19 43/7 45/21 47/4 47/5 50/5 55/16 58/3 58/17 59/2 60/23 60/24 62/25 63/9 63/12 64/6 65/5 66/2 67/10 68/2 68/15 71/4 71/18 75/1 81/19
one-on-one [1] 29/21
ones [3] 25/24 28/21 38/3
online [4] 62/15 62/22 63/7 70/6
only [21] $5 / 12$ 19/24 21/12 22/23 26/25
30/9 32/15 34/4 42/1 43/25 45/7 53/25
56/3 57/10 58/2 58/9 59/6 63/16 63/25 75/7 83/7
open [1] 31/13
opened [1] 33/24
operate [1] 51/11
opinion [20] 16/8 16/20 19/8 30/21
54/21 57/13 57/20 57/23 60/1 60/2 70/21 71/1 72/10 74/3 75/14 75/14 75/15 77/10 77/14 77/21
opportunities [2] 64/12 71/5 opportunity [3] 14/20 16/4 77/7 opposed [3] 26/6 27/11 44/15 OPRA [1] 40/1
OPRA request [1] 40/1
option [2] 10/9 64/14
oranges [2] 34/6 34/6
order [1] 21/25
ordinance [3] 64/18 65/13 66/5
ordinances [2] 14/25 49/20
original [5] 23/10 42/6 42/7 43/12 58/14
Originally [1] 24/2
Oris [2] $2 / 1378 / 19$
other [43] 7/14 9/22 10/16 11/9 17/7 22/22 26/21 31/5 31/10 33/12 33/25 35/12 36/2 37/23 38/18 40/21 42/10 43/3 43/9 44/3 46/2 47/12 48/12 51/1 55/16 60/24 63/1 63/9 64/2 64/6 64/12 64/23 66/10 66/19 67/10 69/17 70/8 70/25 71/9 72/9 73/8 75/1 80/11
others [3] 14/4 36/5 72/22
our [8] 6/23 8/2 12/16 49/20 71/20 76/1
77/4 77/23
out [28] 6/9 8/2 24/24 26/6 28/9 28/25
30/14 32/23 33/23 35/11 38/3 39/8
41/25 43/21 47/4 50/16 51/16 53/25
60/20 60/22 61/25 62/3 63/22 65/3
65/21 73/21 80/6 83/3
outline [3] 16/14 16/15 16/19
outside [1] 67/22
over [15] 18/12 22/12 29/13 30/10 40/2 40/2 44/15 57/10 57/14 57/22 64/18
66/6 69/21 71/10 71/18
overall [2] 57/7 60/1
overflow [1] 50/2
overlap [2] 20/22 23/4
override [1] 6/18
own [2] 62/18 65/3
owned [2] 38/22 38/24
owners [1] 21/15
P
p.m [1] 1/8

PA [1] 46/13
PA-5B [1] 46/13
page [11] 3/2 3/11 4/2 39/10 42/1
43/20 51/21 51/22 52/1 54/14 55/19
page two [1] 51/21
pages [11] 28/9 28/22 29/13 29/14
29/15 31/22 36/10 51/16 51/17 76/12
76/17
painful [1] 79/4
panic [1] 20/13
paperwork [1] 78/25
paragraph [1] 68/3
paraphrasing [2] 35/16 49/23
parcel [3] 47/15 47/21 47/22
parcels [20] 3/21 18/14 33/17 33/22
36/13 37/2 37/2 37/4 39/8 39/12 39/13
39/14 39/17 40/12 42/5 42/12 42/13
parcels... [3] 43/15 43/15 67/5
park [96]
parking [3] 8/2 56/5 67/8
part [16] 12/17 19/24 19/25 23/14
28/12 34/22 53/25 55/5 56/7 59/2 59/5
60/14 60/25 68/16 74/2 75/2
part-time [3] 19/24 74/2 75/2
particular [5] 14/15 16/4 23/8 44/1
60/15
particularly [3] 29/14 40/14 59/10
parts [2] 31/19 67/1
pass [1] $7 / 3$
pattern [1] 66/17
Pelican [21] $3 / 19$ 23/9 31/11 31/20
32/1 32/16 34/23 36/3 36/7 36/8 36/19
37/7 41/12 44/8 44/20 63/21 63/23 64/2
64/5 72/18 73/10
Pelican Island [18] 23/9 31/11 31/20
34/23 36/3 36/7 36/8 36/19 37/7 41/12
44/8 44/20 63/21 63/23 64/2 64/5 72/18

## 73/10

Pennsylvania [1] 10/12
people [10] 20/1 24/10 26/21 43/1
57/16 57/20 58/5 58/7 58/9 70/9
per [2] $45 / 257 / 25$
perceive [1] 74/22
percent [14] 24/4 42/4 42/13 42/15
43/15 52/12 53/3 53/4 54/18 54/18
54/19 57/6 57/7 59/20
performing [1] 71/17
perhaps [6] 18/8 47/13 48/23 49/24
66/23 75/11
period [4] 27/7 30/10 57/10 57/22
permits [16] 3/17 27/3 27/17 28/1
28/10 28/15 28/19 29/12 29/16 29/19
29/19 29/20 30/2 30/24 50/22 59/21
personal [1] 20/25
personally [1] $27 / 5$
persons [2] 24/1 26/19
Peters [1] 82/8
petition [4] 1/4 5/4 14/18 15/7
Petitioners [1] $2 / 8$
phenomenal [2] 55/24 56/8
phone [1] 7/22
physical [2] 17/6 22/20
pick [1] 81/14
picking [2] 21/24 42/25
pilot [2] 60/23 61/25
Pinewald [1] 1/6
place [8] 8/15 17/13 63/16 63/24 63/25 64/18 65/23 85/9
places [2] 68/4 70/8
plan [9] 5/21 5/22 14/25 15/1 15/2 15/5
46/1 46/14 46/15
planner [12] 2/13 2/14 8/8 8/9 8/14 11/8 11/18 12/17 12/18 12/22 19/14 76/1
planner's [1] $15 / 2$
planners [3] 9/12 9/13 64/7
planning [34] 1/1 6/16 8/5 9/22 9/24
10/3 10/8 10/11 10/14 11/1 11/3 11/24
12/6 12/14 12/18 15/6 15/21 17/12
19/13 25/1 32/24 46/13 47/9 59/18 60/7
60/8 62/12 62/20 64/25 65/7 65/10
65/22 65/23 73/18
plans [9] 13/2 13/3 13/7 13/8 13/9

13/11 14/25 45/20 45/21
Pleasant [2] 48/14 48/14
please [1] 7/3
plus [1] 26/22
point [19] 13/1 17/9 18/10 19/18 22/25
23/12 41/25 42/4 42/13 43/17 48/13
48/14 62/2 70/5 72/11 79/9 79/13 79/16 79/25
points [1] 19/7
poles [1] 6/22
policies [1] 64/17
polish [1] 80/17
population [17] 3/16 23/20 24/5 24/8
24/13 25/5 25/10 25/21 26/5 29/5 46/21
57/8 57/9 57/21 58/9 58/15 65/21
portion [5] 30/13 54/7 56/19 56/25 65/21
portions [2] 15/12 28/18
posited [1] 48/21
position [5] 12/16 18/8 19/23 52/6 78/18
positions [3] 11/9 12/21 12/22
possibility [1] 14/17
possible [6] 13/19 25/2 47/20 50/15 56/9 77/15
possibly [1] 12/8
potential [4] 27/19 61/19 71/14 72/6
potentially [4] 5/19 43/16 55/13 57/24
practice [1] 75/4
pre [2] 49/20 59/21
pre-screen [1] 49/20
pre-Super Storm [1] 59/21
premiums [3] 50/12 52/1 52/2
prepare [1] 16/14
prepared [8] 13/2 13/6 15/3 15/6 15/8 15/10 16/10 45/22
present [2] 2/12 8/4
presented [3] 16/24 75/21 75/25
presenting [1] 73/16
presumably [2] 32/10 40/22
pretty [9] 30/16 35/21 35/21 40/17
41/22 42/3 45/12 50/25 84/7
previous [1] 46/10
previously [1] 75/25
price [2] 47/15 71/23
prices [1] 71/14
primarily [2] 60/11 66/12
principles [1] 47/9
print [1] 28/9
printed [3] 32/23 51/15 53/25
private [3] 11/19 12/13 75/4
probably [4] 8/13 13/1 31/16 82/10
procedure [2] 24/16 62/23
proceed [1] 7/25
proceeding [1] 73/18
proceedings [4] 17/11 40/2 65/24 85/7
process [5] 51/13 54/25 55/6 59/16
65/22
produced [1] 25/5
professional [10] 8/7 8/9 8/14 8/23
9/10 9/11 9/14 9/20 11/5 11/8
professionals [1] 75/21
program [2] 55/1 61/25
programs [1] 48/25
projected [2] 29/10 58/15
projections [3] 24/24 25/10 25/11
proper [1] 77/20
properly [1] 31/25
properties [8] 28/22 32/21 33/4 33/7
38/16 66/21 66/24 66/25
property [10] 3/18 20/14 31/20 32/1
32/5 32/16 33/24 34/1 35/25 67/21
Protection [1] 53/21
provide [6] 5/9 8/15 16/10 20/7 61/12
77/8
provided [4] 17/2 22/24 39/25 39/25
provides [2] 27/11 61/13
public [7] 46/18 46/22 62/12 62/22
63/6 85/5 85/13
pulled [1] 28/25
pulling [1] 39/7
purchasing [1] 47/15
purple [1] 33/1
purpose [3] 29/4 47/18 59/4
purposes [3] 38/25 73/13 76/1
push [1] 83/4
pushed [1] 80/6
put [13] 24/24 29/22 37/9 45/25 46/3
46/25 47/7 47/10 47/19 47/22 49/5
64/17 83/20
puts [1] 26/6
putting [4] 6/7 47/16 59/11 66/12
qualifications [12] $3 / 133 / 158 / 23$
13/16 13/24 14/1 14/2 14/6 14/7 14/10 14/13 15/20
question [5] 28/17 57/4 77/4 77/12 80/2
questioned [1] 77/12
questions [6] 31/25 76/8 76/14 76/20 78/11 78/12
quickly [1] 13/19
quite [2] 24/9 76/22

## R

race [1] 75/3
ran [1] 8/3
rather [1] 52/11
rating [12] 49/12 49/23 50/11 51/14
51/20 53/20 53/22 54/2 55/23 56/8
56/11 56/25
ratings [3] 50/14 51/15 52/3
reach [1] 78/7
reached [1] 62/3
ready [9] 7/15 7/25 10/12 26/11 49/14
78/14 80/4 80/8 82/11
realistic [2] 47/22 57/18
realized [1] 19/19
really [22] 17/14 18/12 27/20 30/16
35/10 42/16 46/25 47/3 47/7 47/22
48/16 53/25 59/1 60/7 62/14 63/16
63/19 64/11 65/2 67/6 67/8 79/13
realtime [2] 61/14 61/21
Realty [1] 69/4
reason [6] 6/23 32/22 47/4 56/7 59/2 64/14
reasonable [1] 72/24
reasons [1] 46/17
rebuttal [6] 5/9 8/5 8/15 14/16 14/18 79/10
recall [2] 41/5 79/8
received [5] 9/17 59/4 62/7 74/20
76/12

| R | restaurant [1] 69/6 | seashore [1] 64/4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| recent [1] 50/5 |  |  |
| recently [2] 15/17 66/10 | restrictions [1] 49/2 <br> result [5] 16/21 17/11 57/24 59/23 66/5 | Seaside Heights [1] 52/11 <br> Seaside Park [13] 11/13 18/2 18/15 |
| recitant [2] 10/23 10/25 | resulting [1] 52/12 | $19 / 23 \text { 21/9 } 22 / 2227 / 21 \text { 49/17 52/10 }$ |
| recommendation [1] 78/8 | resumé [3] 3/12 9/1 9/5 | 54/14 56/18 74/4 74/17 |
| recommendations [1] | return [1] 42/4 | seasonal [2] 63/17 64/3 |
| record [8] 5/5 7/23 | review [13] 14/21 14/23 16/5 16/20 | second [4] 17/6 33/13 55/19 68/18 |
| $53 / 1083 / 2084 / 9$ | 16/21 35/19 51/14 76/14 77/7 78/13 | Secretary [1] 2/13 |
| records [6] 32/8 32/9 34/2 40/3 40/4 | 78/21 82/8 82/9 | section [1] 28/7 |
| 40/8 | reviewed [7] 14/24 15/1 15/11 30/1 30/1 41/3 77/17 | see [22] 6/25 19/24 23/23 24/19 25/19 27/20 29/16 35/10 35/11 36/21 42/9 |
| red [1] 33/2 | reviewing [2] 45 | $51 / 960 / 1761 / 2162 / 163 / 1964 / 970 /$ |
| Redevelopment [1] 13/11 | revised [1] 34/15 | 72/4 73/21 74/15 83/8 |
|  | revisions [1] | seem [1] 77/4 |
|  | revitalize [1] 68/1 | seems [4] 36/11 65/2 65/25 66/16 |
| reference [2] 49/22 | revitalizing [1] 68/1 | seen [2] 20/24 76/17 |
| reference [2] [2] 22/14 51/7 referenced | Richard [1] 1/12 | self [2] 11/8 11/17 |
| referred [2] 63/10 65/19 | rid [1] 66/11 | self-employed [2] 11/8 11/1 |
| referring [1] 68/25 | right [16] 16/18 25/8 32/15 33/2 33/3 | sense [1] 46/25 |
| regard [5] 9/18 71/16 72/5 72/7 74/4 | 37/15 47/6 67/2 73/6 74/12 74/21 79/25 <br> 82/6 82/13 83/8 84/16 | separate [1] 28/8 |
| regarding [5] 4/8 4/9 45/11 67/14 68/20 | right-of-ways [1] 33/2 | separately [1] 25/14 |
|  | rights [1] 31/13 | separation [2] 17/5 21/23 |
| rehabilitation [3] 49/1 | ring [1] 35/19 | separations [1] 22/7 |
| relate [1] 28/6 | riparian [2] 31/13 38/2 | September [2] 15/13 15/16 |
| related [2] 22/2 | ripping [1] 20/15 | September 3rd [1] 15/13 |
| relating [3] 1 | rising [1] 61/19 | September 7 [1] 15/16 |
| relevant [2] 28/13 29/14 | River [2] 2/4 47/2 | served [1] 12/22 |
| relied [2] 39/22 75/9 | Road [2] 1/6 2/4 | services [6] 8/8 11/12 21/3 22/9 74/8 |
| relieved [1] 20/23 | Robert [1] 1/11 | 74/25 |
| relying [1] 56/10 | roof [2] 20/15 20 | serving [1] 49/16 |
| remove [2] 34/7 65/14 | round [2] 46/8 46/9 | set [4] 13/23 49/1 71/25 85/9 |
| removed [1] 43/24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { route [10] 6/21 47/4 47/6 47/6 66/2 } \\ & 68 / 6 \text { 69/20 69/22 70/8 70/13 } \end{aligned}$ | seven [7] 19/6 22/3 52/11 53/5 54/15 $55 / 1157 / 10$ |
| removing [1] 33/23 | Route Nine [6] 47/6 66/25 68/6 69/22 | Seventeen [1] 29/2 |
|  | 70/8 70/13 | several [4] 12/18 16/23 17/12 45/20 |
|  | Rutgers [4] 9/16 9/19 10/9 10/25 | shade [1] 33/1 |
| repair [1] 59/23 | Rutgers-Camden [1] 10/9 | share [6] 13/7 13/8 13/9 15/2 45/21 |
| repeated [1] 49/22 | S |  |
| report [20] | safely [1] 76/16 | she [10] 5/10 5/10 5/12 5/13 8/3 8/7 |
| 45/2 55/5 75/0 78/17 80/3 80/17 $80 / 2$ | said [16] 18/15 20/13 21/1 23/11 24/20 | 15/23 20/11 78/23 82/21 |
| 45/2 55/5 75/9 78/17 80/3 80/17 80/24 | 35/17 42/23 43/14 45/6 48/3 49/8 50/1 | she's [2] 15/22 81/12 |
|  | 56/2 66/23 74/7 81/25 | sheet [3] 25/15 25/16 25/20 |
|  | sake [1] 16/12 | shift [1] 66/10 |
|  | same [6] 32/9 39/21 40/3 42/14 43/18 | shocks [1] 65/20 |
|  | 71/24 | shopping [1] 22/11 |
|  | sand [1] 71/25 | shore [2] 20/12 70/6 |
| represent [1] 11/20 | Sandy [9] 17/23 27/20 29/24 58/23 | Shores [8] 4/3 23/11 42/6 42/21 43/2 |
| represented [1] | 58/24 59/8 59/21 59/25 65/7 | 43/12 72/19 73/10 |
| representing [1] 55 | sat [1] 65/8 | Short [1] 68/8 |
|  | say [16] 11/16 19/12 30/18 42/24 46/7 | shot [1] 79/8 |
|  | 53/2 54/23 62/21 66/9 69/4 69/20 72/1 | should [11] 25/12 54/22 54/23 56/19 |
|  | 78/4 79/5 82/22 83/3 | 60/3 60/22 61/23 62/21 72/1 81/12 |
| required [1] 54/25 <br> requirements [3] | saying [6] 32/4 48/6 50/8 53/13 56/17 | 83/11 |
| requires | 79/9 | show [6] 38/9 51/6 54/2 54/4 61/14 |
| [3] | says [3] 27/12 46/6 68/4 | 61/18 |
| residential [2] | scenes [1] 78/20 | showed [1] 20/20 |
|  | schedule [1] 5/20 | showing [1] 59/20 |
| residents [9] 20/5 22/8 24/5 26/24 26/25 57/24 57/25 58/12 76/5 | Scott [1] 8/9 | shows [1] 68/14 |
|  | Scott Bauman [1] 8/9 | shutdown [1] 24/23 |
| respect [1] 48/1 | scratching [2] 59/5 65/9 | signal [1] 59/13 |
| Respectful | screen [1] 49/20 | signers [1] 14/18 |
| respond [1] 74/19 | Sea [3] 55/18 55/20 56/2 | significant [1] 70/23 |
| response [2] 40/1 74/20 | Sea Isle [1] 56/2 search [1] 67/19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { similar [8] } 17 / 722 / 2138 / 342 / 1144 / 11 \\ & 44 / 1872 / 972 / 18 \end{aligned}$ |

## S

simply [5] 21/23 21/24 22/12 47/20 58/20
Since [1] 20/18
single [14] 25/13 32/19 34/11 34/18 34/21 36/17 37/20 38/18 39/15 43/22 43/25 66/7 66/13 66/16
single-family [11] 34/11 34/18 34/21
36/17 37/20 39/15 43/22 43/25 66/7
66/13 66/16
sit [1] 49/15
situation [1] 66/3
situations [1] 58/1
six [6] 52/10 52/12 53/2 53/5 54/10 55/11
Slachetka [28] 8/6 17/17 17/22 23/2 23/19 24/8 27/12 27/13 31/6 35/15 46/5 49/8 52/10 54/12 57/5 58/18 59/25 61/2 61/17 63/12 65/5 65/19 72/11 73/2 75/8 77/7 77/16 78/19
Slachetka's [17] 16/5 17/18 22/5 23/17
24/3 29/5 30/19 45/18 47/25 49/13 52/8
52/21 59/15 61/12 66/20 72/3 78/17
slight [1] 54/17
slightly [3] 40/10 42/8 72/20
small [1] 69/5
snarled [1] 6/22
so [126]
so we [1] 82/9
soils [1] 9/18
some [30] 6/10 6/21 7/22 8/23 10/21
15/24 17/21 23/5 27/24 31/12 43/3
46/10 46/21 47/8 48/23 62/2 62/4 63/14
67/7 67/12 67/21 68/4 68/13 76/12 77/8
78/11 79/17 80/1 80/11 80/16
somebody [6] 20/13 21/7 21/14 54/19 61/15 66/14
Somebody's [1] 20/14
somehow [1] 5/13
something [10] 21/14 31/15 35/1 35/24 49/3 52/22 57/11 58/10 58/23 60/21
sometimes [6] 21/12 23/4 58/2 64/8 71/24 72/2
somewhat [3] 14/7 42/25 44/11
somewhere [2] 6/21 64/16
soon [2] 8/1 62/13
sore [1] 35/11
sorry [12] 10/1 17/16 18/7 18/17 18/23 20/17 24/1 25/25 26/10 26/19 29/3 56/13
sort [1] 57/1
SOUTH [79] 1/3 1/24 5/2 15/4 15/5 15/8 15/9 17/7 18/1 18/17 18/21 19/2 19/17 19/20 20/6 21/8 22/1 22/8 22/24 27/4 27/14 28/6 28/13 28/23 29/15 30/2 30/13 30/21 31/8 34/14 35/8 35/16 35/23 37/17 41/12 41/13 44/12 44/14 44/25 45/25 46/12 46/19 48/5 48/24 49/6 56/18 56/19 57/2 57/6 57/15 57/17 57/22 58/20 59/7 60/4 60/25 61/2 62/25 63/13 63/24 65/1 65/8 66/6 66/22 66/24 67/6 67/9 68/16 70/2 70/19 70/22 72/12 73/2 73/7 74/5 76/3 76/5 83/14 83/21
South Seaside [72] 5/2 15/4 15/5 15/8 15/9 17/7 18/17 19/2 19/20 20/6 22/1 22/8 22/24 27/4 27/14 28/6 28/13 28/23 29/15 30/2 30/13 30/21 31/8 34/14 35/8

35/16 37/17 41/12 41/13 44/12 44/14 44/25 45/25 46/12 46/19 48/5 48/24 49/6 56/18 56/19 57/2 57/6 57/15 57/17 57/22 58/20 59/7 60/4 60/25 61/2 62/25 63/13 63/24 65/1 65/8 66/6 66/22 66/24 67/6 67/9 68/16 70/2 70/19 70/22 72/12 73/2 73/7 74/5 76/3 76/5 83/14 83/21
Sovereign [1] 69/3
space [1] 31/13
speak [1] 45/12
special [1] 61/4
specific [1] 23/5
specifically [1] 28/6
speed [1] 69/15
spoke [2] 5/11 62/3
spot [5] 12/15 12/16 12/20 68/4 70/8
square [1] 47/5
start [2] 8/16 19/10
started [3] 17/11 17/15 67/12
state [7] 46/14 46/15 47/9 62/20 68/13 85/5 85/13
stated [6] 10/18 22/20 31/7 47/25
62/10 78/10
statement [9] 3/14 14/1 14/6 14/12
48/20 50/13 52/20 66/23 69/25
statements [1] 59/24
stating [1] 72/15
statistics [1] 23/22
status [1] 65/20
stay [1] 71/24
staying [1] 75/3
stenographically [1] 85/8
sticking [1] 35/11
sticky [1] 20/4
still [5] 41/13 54/10 54/15 64/20 84/1
stone [1] 71/25
stop [2] 35/14 48/3
stopped [1] 20/21
storage [1] 56/1
store [4] 22/11 68/7 68/12 69/5
storm [10] 17/23 27/19 29/24 58/22
58/24 59/8 59/21 59/23 59/25 65/7
story [1] 20/11
street [2] 43/1 68/8
streets [3] 43/7 43/8 70/13
stressed [1] 23/3
struggling [3] 59/1 66/2 67/21
Stuart [1] 2/14
studies [2] 10/8 60/17
study [7] 18/4 60/19 61/4 61/5 62/10
63/4 71/17
stuff [1] 78/16
subdivision [2] 82/13 82/15
subject [1] 78/24
submitted [3] 77/5 77/9 82/7
substantial [3] 69/7 72/5 75/22
substantially [1] 60/3
successful [1] 12/19
such [5] 36/3 40/4 56/7 63/13 73/9
suffer [2] 75/23 76/6
suffering [1] 8/10
suggest [1] 6/24
SULLIVAN [2] 1/23 85/4
SULLIVAN-HILL [2] 1/23 85/4
sum [1] 16/25
summaries [2] 40/6 43/8
summarizing [1] 45/5
summary [6] $3 / 1313 / 2514 / 514 / 10$ 19/7 32/5
summed [1] 64/23
summertime [1] 22/17
summing [2] 35/21 43/17
sums [2] 13/18 39/10
Super [9] 17/23 27/19 29/24 58/22
58/24 59/8 59/21 59/25 65/7
Super Storm [6] 17/23 29/24 58/24
59/8 59/25 65/7
Superior [1] 12/10
supermarket [1] 69/5
support [2] 15/3 18/6
supposed [3] 21/10 46/7 61/11
sure [9] 7/4 44/24 53/13 73/21 74/15
78/4 82/18 82/19 84/7
SURMAN [1] 2/6
survey [6] 23/22 24/20 24/21 24/25
26/7 26/7
sustained [1] 59/7
sworn [1] 8/19
system [10] 49/12 49/23 51/14 51/20
52/4 53/20 53/23 54/3 56/25 63/4
systems [1] 61/10

## T

table [1] 53/22
take [23] 6/24 7/21 8/22 13/4 16/13
18/25 19/5 29/21 29/22 31/11 36/9
40/11 50/17 50/21 58/3 64/9 65/23 67/3
71/18 71/20 72/8 73/7 80/16
taken [5] 17/13 20/20 49/4 49/13 85/8
taking [2] 39/5 46/5
talk [11] $7 / 17$ 18/3 33/9 33/11 33/13
34/25 35/2 35/3 68/2 68/9 68/11
talked [8] 21/22 51/20 57/5 61/5 61/16
63/21 72/3 75/9
talking [4] 19/2 23/20 46/2 79/6
talks [4] 51/12 51/19 67/20 71/11
tally [1] 37/5
tallying [1] 37/1
taught [1] 11/1
tax [9] 3/21 32/6 32/7 32/16 33/17
33/21 34/2 38/25 39/19
teaching [1] 10/22
telephone [4] 20/2 24/21 24/24 26/7
tell [19] $9 / 911 / 613 / 1616 / 1919 / 8 \quad 26 / 8$ 31/17 33/19 35/5 36/6 38/9 43/11 45/16
53/18 55/15 55/24 56/23 67/16 75/6
tells [1] 45/4
ten [5] 42/15 57/24 58/4 58/9 58/12
ten percent [1] 42/15
tentatively [1] 84/2
terms [9] 11/5 31/2 35/19 37/20 41/2
57/21 58/6 58/7 72/10
testified [13] 8/19 11/23 12/2 12/5
27/13 30/19 35/15 52/10 54/12 61/17
65/6 79/2 80/23
testify [4] 55/18 74/16 80/23 80/24
testifying [2] 16/16 50/6
testimony [30] 5/9 8/5 8/15 16/5 16/9
16/22 16/24 17/2 17/18 17/20 22/6
22/14 23/15 23/17 23/24 24/3 24/11
35/19 45/19 47/25 52/8 52/13 52/21
58/19 61/12 76/10 77/6 78/21 79/17
83/21
text [1] 7/2
than [15] 9/22 17/3 17/4 22/13 37/8 37/17 38/18 41/9 41/13 42/8 58/14 61/17 68/15 68/16 70/2
Thank [12] 8/15 15/25 18/18 18/22 26/3 53/9 53/15 66/18 69/16 69/19 76/7 76/9

## that [457]

that's [78] 6/11 6/23 7/8 10/4 13/20 18/6 19/4 19/14 20/19 20/24 21/14 21/20 21/22 25/19 26/25 29/23 30/5 30/8 32/11 34/20 34/24 35/21 35/25 36/18 36/20 36/23 37/4 37/8 37/22 39/1 39/4 40/16 40/17 40/24 42/20 43/5 44/11 44/18 46/13 48/2 49/7 50/3 50/10 50/13 50/25 51/7 56/15 57/12 57/18 58/5 58/11 60/5 60/7 60/12 61/7 61/8 63/5 63/8 66/9 66/13 67/21 69/1 69/24 70/11 70/17 73/20 74/6 74/11 76/22 77/5 77/9 77/19 78/2 78/25 79/10 81/24 82/6 82/24
their [5] 11/13 54/9 62/18 66/11 75/21
them [17] 14/9 17/19 20/13 25/13 27/5
28/25 31/12 33/11 33/13 39/7 45/22
46/23 50/24 60/23 62/21 66/15 67/6
themselves [1] 45/13
then [39] 7/18 7/22 10/9 13/25 15/11 15/17 16/25 23/10 23/12 25/11 27/17 30/6 30/18 31/18 33/11 33/13 34/11 35/3 37/13 38/22 40/18 45/14 47/16 50/24 62/7 65/25 73/8 78/8 80/2 80/15 80/17 82/4 82/23 83/12 83/13 83/17 83/19 83/20 84/10
theoretically [1] 55/12
there [102]
There're [1] 46/9
there's [25] 6/20 7/18 17/9 19/11 19/21 20/22 23/4 23/18 31/7 45/23 47/4 58/2 60/14 61/16 63/2 63/14 63/24 65/1 65/25 67/8 69/5 72/12 78/25 79/9 81/19 therefore [9] 30/25 34/10 35/23 37/18 48/4 60/9 63/2 63/23 75/17
these [25] 25/7 25/12 26/4 28/1 28/3 28/3 28/5 28/21 32/7 32/19 35/3 36/11 38/4 40/2 42/18 43/6 43/6 43/7 54/22 71/20 72/9 72/11 76/14 78/13 81/15
they [75] 7/14 17/23 18/8 20/12 20/16 20/18 20/20 22/6 23/14 25/9 25/9 25/11 26/21 27/8 29/21 32/20 32/20 32/21 39/21 45/12 46/10 46/13 46/24 47/9 47/10 48/15 48/15 50/15 50/16 50/17 50/21 51/1 51/11 51/20 52/15 54/22
54/22 54/23 54/24 55/1 55/5 55/7 55/21
55/23 56/3 56/5 56/7 60/10 61/18 62/18 64/14 64/15 64/16 64/20 64/20 65/23 66/12 66/13 66/15 67/22 67/23 68/2 68/9 68/10 68/11 69/4 71/18 71/23 71/24 71/25 72/1 72/2 72/2 78/20 82/20 They'Il [1] 62/19
they're [18] 6/18 7/14 14/7 20/15 21/18 21/19 40/20 50/1 50/24 58/1 58/2 61/3 62/12 62/15 62/17 66/11 68/1 69/17 thing [10] 24/20 25/4 31/5 48/22 64/2 68/18 71/1 71/4 71/9 75/7
things [24] 13/13 18/6 19/6 21/22 22/7 23/2 23/19 25/1 26/21 38/18 51/1 55/16 56/3 56/6 63/9 63/15 64/6 65/5 65/14

65/14 67/10 68/2 71/20 73/22
think [54] 12/7 13/18 17/9 17/21 18/9
18/11 19/1 22/13 22/20 22/25 23/5 23/7 23/9 23/17 27/23 31/15 41/22 45/12 51/2 51/16 51/22 51/23 54/1 56/24 57/18 57/23 59/14 59/14 59/16 60/4 61/22 64/15 68/3 69/24 72/4 73/24 75/10 75/11 75/15 76/20 78/1 78/2 79/4 79/12 79/12 79/13 79/19 79/24 81/11 82/8 82/12 82/21 82/24 83/11
thinking [2] 21/9 64/8
third [1] 46/8
this [123]
thorough [1] 15/23
thoroughly [1] 41/4
those [22] 13/23 16/5 19/6 21/15 23/13
23/13 23/22 25/6 28/8 32/16 35/2 38/10
39/3 40/3 40/8 42/15 44/6 45/11 59/24
67/1 67/7 72/21
thought [3] 51/17 52/5 53/1
thousands [2] 28/19 29/12
three [15] 5/19 7/12 13/20 18/12 23/8
23/13 23/13 31/22 51/17 51/22 52/1
53/22 55/23 59/6 81/22
through [22] 8/23 9/16 9/19 10/24
16/13 16/21 19/6 21/3 22/3 23/12 25/11
27/8 27/22 40/14 59/22 59/22 62/11
63/20 74/7 74/8 76/25 78/15
throughout [1] 31/10
thumb [1] 35/11
Thursday [3] $1 / 783 / 1083 / 15$
time [25] 5/1 5/12 6/4 6/7 19/24 21/11 24/10 24/22 27/7 30/2 30/10 40/5 57/22 62/2 67/21 69/13 74/2 75/2 76/15 80/11 80/16 80/20 80/25 84/12 85/8
times [1] 58/4
tip [1] 22/19
title [1] 43/23
together [7] 16/15 25/13 33/11 33/13 39/6 39/7 78/21
told [1] 81/21
tonight [8] 5/7 8/4 16/10 78/15 79/14 79/23 81/3 84/15
too [7] 15/24 17/10 17/10 17/10 64/24 64/24 64/24
took [11] 23/22 25/4 27/3 27/17 29/15 60/15 63/18 65/3 67/4 71/1 71/9
tool [1] 61/24
top [1] 25/16
total [8] 36/9 36/12 36/21 39/11 39/13
40/11 50/22 57/9
touch [2] 7/9 71/11
towards [1] 49/5
town [5] 6/17 30/12 30/13 45/7 71/2
township [56] 1/1 $3 / 20$ 15/10 18/19 18/20 19/3 19/20 20/5 20/6 21/8 21/19 22/25 23/6 24/5 26/17 26/19 26/24 28/7 28/19 31/21 32/2 32/8 34/22 35/18 37/15 37/24 39/25 41/5 41/9 41/18 43/4 47/2 47/5 49/25 52/18 54/8 54/19 60/25 62/24 63/25 64/11 64/13 67/2 67/12 67/20 68/16 69/23 70/24 71/6 72/22 73/3 73/9 73/25 75/21 75/23 76/4
township's [1] 18/7
track [1] 72/22
tracks [1] 20/21
traditional [1] 32/24
traffic [4] 6/22 8/3 22/2 22/17
transcript [2] 50/4 85/7
transcripts [3] 15/11 16/6 77/18
translate [1] $30 / 3$
transportation [2] 46/18 46/23
tremendous [1] 65/18
tried [2] 24/25 32/24
trip [1] 22/11
true [3] 63/20 73/22 85/7
truly [1] 43/25
trust [2] 47/18 49/5
try [2] 25/2 66/1
trying [8] 20/1 21/14 34/4 47/8 64/7
64/8 68/1 82/17
turn [1] 42/1
Twenty [2] 21/5 53/7
Twenty-five [1] 53/7
two [23] 7/10 13/25 20/1 24/4 31/19
35/3 36/5 38/2 47/16 51/16 51/21 57/6
57/7 58/2 58/4 58/4 60/23 74/25 76/21
82/23 83/7 83/12 83/14
two percent [3] 24/4 57/6 57/7
type [1] 31/8
types [4] 31/10 33/4 33/6 72/21
typically [3] 46/14 71/24 72/2
Typing [1] 19/17

## U

Uh [2] 13/10 25/17
Uh-hum [2] 13/10 25/17
ULAKY [1] $2 / 3$
unable [1] 8/12
unconfirmed [1] 24/25
undergraduate [1] 10/7
understand [11] 7/6 10/21 17/12 35/15
59/3 59/4 59/10 72/3 73/1 78/24 81/5
understanding [2] 49/13 52/6
understood [1] 53/13
undertaken [1] 55/2
unfair [1] 59/16
Unfortunately [2] 5/10 8/10
unique [2] 31/7 35/24
unit [1] 57/25
units [16] 27/15 27/16 27/21 30/10
30/20 31/1 47/19 49/1 49/6 59/6 63/14
63/15 63/17 63/22 64/12 67/9
University [3] 9/19 10/11 10/25
until [5] 6/9 65/23 79/15 80/14 84/11
unusual [2] 63/13 68/24
unverified [1] 24/22
up [29] $6 / 21$ 13/19 16/25 19/15 20/20
21/1 21/24 22/2 29/22 34/14 35/22 37/1 39/10 44/19 47/6 47/16 49/12 50/16
56/24 64/23 69/15 69/22 70/10 72/1
72/3 77/18 78/1 78/6 82/9
upgraded [1] 55/5
upon [4] 8/19 39/22 57/8 70/23
urban [2] 10/8 10/8
us [22] 6/18 9/9 10/5 11/6 16/19 19/5 19/8 31/17 33/19 35/5 36/6 38/9 38/9 43/11 53/18 54/5 55/15 56/23 67/16
76/16 79/4 82/22
use [2] 11/10 32/24
used [4] 18/6 23/19 40/2 75/16
users [1] 71/14
uses [11] 18/14 67/7 68/10 68/15 69/8
69/17 69/22 70/2 70/14 70/22 71/3

U
using [2] 42/19 61/23
utilize [1] 44/3
utilized [3] 8/8 17/22 40/3
V
vacant[8] 30/15 32/20 32/25 33/23 38/15 38/23 39/8 43/24
value [12] $34 / 3$ 34/14 35/8 37/3 37/6
37/17 39/11 40/13 40/15 40/17 41/17 72/10
values [17] $3 / 1831 / 2032 / 132 / 532 / 16$ 35/25 39/12 41/21 42/10 42/11 42/11
44/3 44/6 44/24 57/2 72/23 73/11
various [2] 33/4 33/6
vegetation [1] 9/19
velocity [1] 50/21
ventures [1] 67/25
verify [1] 29/9
very [28] 15/23 17/25 20/9 20/11 22/4
23/5 23/14 24/18 26/9 27/1 29/18 30/16
31/7 31/9 34/2 38/3 39/9 40/5 40/13
40/20 43/19 47/24 51/22 55/17 56/11
62/13 66/2 76/7
view [2] 77/9 77/14
visible [1] 68/6
vitae [1] $8 / 25$
voluminous [1] 27/23
VOTERS [2] $1 / 45 / 3$

## W

wait [1] 80/14
walked [1] 8/2
want [30] 6/12 8/12 25/1 27/24 33/9
33/10 34/25 35/1 35/2 41/20 45/10
47/10 50/16 50/16 51/8 53/12 54/4
55/14 56/6 61/4 71/3 76/14 76/18 77/15
78/3 80/14 81/8 81/14 83/2 83/19
wanted [14] 27/20 35/9 35/11 42/9
55/25 60/20 60/22 62/4 64/15 64/21
67/3 67/10 71/18 80/19
wants [1] 50/14
warrant [1] 77/6
was [144]
wasn't [2] 20/23 38/20
water [2] 17/4 40/22
waterfront [4] 44/9 44/9 44/16 45/7
waters [1] 61/19
wave [1] 50/21
way [9] 22/2 26/23 40/3 46/18 46/23
56/2 62/25 73/6 73/14
ways [3] 31/14 33/2 73/19
we [62] 5/8 5/18 5/18 6/4 6/8 6/9 6/24
7/1 7/10 7/11 8/8 8/14 9/3 12/16 12/19 14/4 16/15 19/11 19/24 19/25 21/13 22/25 23/5 23/7 24/23 25/6 25/12 26/10 26/16 27/20 29/16 31/25 46/2 46/3 46/20 47/2 47/5 51/23 51/24 60/21 62/6 64/6 64/8 65/6 65/11 73/21 76/11 76/17 78/24 79/11 79/25 80/25 81/2 81/11 81/15 81/18 82/9 82/16 82/24 83/4 83/11 84/1
we'd [1] 19/9
we'll [9] 7/9 7/17 8/16 31/18 35/4 40/5 42/18 83/17 83/20
we're [26] 6/17 12/7 17/3 19/2 21/23 21/25 34/4 36/15 36/24 42/25 46/8 64/7

64/7 76/20 76/21 76/21 79/15 80/10 80/14 81/6 81/12 81/13 81/21 82/22 83/13 83/20
wealth [1] 65/19
wealthiest [1] 35/17
wealthy [1] 72/12
website [4] $4 / 5$ 25/8 51/4 51/11
week [4] 20/1 21/2 21/13 21/13
weeks [2] $83 / 7$ 83/12
welcoming [1] 71/3
well [25] $5 / 17$ 7/1 11/19 11/21 12/18 16/6 29/10 31/2 31/17 34/8 35/18 36/6 46/2 46/6 49/17 54/21 60/2 65/6 66/11 72/11 78/12 79/9 80/5 80/21 81/11
went [10] 10/9 19/15 20/8 20/18 24/25 27/22 46/10 60/8 60/8 73/20
were [43] 5/18 12/19 14/15 14/15 17/22 20/12 20/12 20/19 24/2 24/24 25/4 27/4 27/8 29/6 29/6 29/7 29/13 29/18 29/25 32/4 33/22 35/12 37/23 38/3 38/21 39/14 39/17 39/21 40/3 42/10 46/2 53/13 59/6 59/19 60/10 60/23 63/21 65/13 67/1 69/22 71/11 71/13 75/24 weren't [1] 65/14
wetlands [1] 9/17
what [85] 5/12 9/9 11/17 12/12 14/23
16/20 16/20 20/24 21/19 22/2 22/4 22/16 22/25 23/23 24/18 24/20 26/4 26/7 27/7 27/11 29/7 29/11 29/14 29/17 31/17 32/21 33/19 34/1 34/25 36/8 36/24 36/25 37/12 38/9 39/6 40/7 40/13 40/24 41/5 41/24 42/6 43/12 45/5 45/15 46/6 46/13 47/24 48/6 48/24 49/7 51/8 51/10 51/19 52/2 53/13 53/18 54/4 55/14 56/22 59/5 59/21 61/1 61/8 61/11 62/9 62/23 64/9 66/13 67/16 67/23 68/22 68/23 69/11 70/12 71/8 73/15 73/21 75/5 75/12 75/16 76/22 77/10 77/19 78/3 81/24
what's [6] 5/21 6/25 62/20 67/11 69/2 70/18
whatever [3] 47/17 64/13 66/1
when [16] 7/8 11/16 24/22 24/25 27/21 29/11 34/13 37/5 43/16 47/9 58/11 65/19 66/14 67/19 80/3 80/23
where [21] 17/8 19/15 19/21 20/19
22/6 25/7 27/1 29/21 39/10 42/14 46/2 46/24 47/11 52/6 60/7 61/14 61/20 63/16 63/17 63/24 72/22
whether [3] 29/5 43/1 43/2
which [20] 6/11 10/16 13/24 19/2 26/7 26/20 36/14 38/21 41/12 44/22 44/23 44/25 54/8 55/23 56/1 57/8 68/5 70/6 76/17 82/12
white [1] 67/22
Who [1] 5/6
whole [2] 61/13 74/1
why [18] 19/8 24/6 24/7 24/12 32/23
35/6 46/17 47/4 48/11 48/11 51/8 52/7 55/24 56/7 59/2 70/9 72/3 73/20
will [14] 6/15 6/19 28/4 55/24 56/17 60/18 63/6 66/9 75/3 75/23 76/5 78/4 78/7 78/24
wind [2] 61/18 61/19
Winward [1] 1/11
Wiser [5] 2/14 6/2 6/7 78/20 80/3
within [7] 19/3 20/8 23/6 64/5 71/6

73/9 73/25
without [1] 34/9
witness [8] 3/2 5/9 8/2 8/5 8/6 14/16
14/18 19/1
won't [3] 6/8 78/14 80/7
wondering [2] 5/20 65/9
WOOLEY [3] 3/3 76/16 84/6
WOOLEY-DILLON [2] $3 / 384 / 6$
Woolley [17] 8/7 8/17 8/18 8/22 9/9
14/14 15/20 16/3 40/7 58/16 67/16 76/8
77/11 78/23 79/16 80/15 83/2
WOOLLEY-DILLON [2] 8/18 77/11
work [12] 21/2 25/1 46/20 46/21 46/22 47/10 62/19 62/19 74/2 74/14 74/16 78/19
worked [2] 16/15 19/14
working [1] 60/16
worry [1] 18/25
worse [1] 51/25
would [70] 6/1 6/4 6/19 6/24 8/4 8/12
13/16 15/19 16/18 19/5 28/12 30/3 30/9
$31 / 133 / 1233 / 1935 / 2436 / 1637 / 12$
37/18 37/21 38/25 40/4 40/19 40/25
41/24 43/1 44/7 45/15 47/11 48/22 51/3
51/17 52/3 52/4 52/15 52/16 52/23
54/17 56/22 57/14 57/23 58/8 58/9
60/10 64/18 70/22 72/10 72/17 72/21
72/24 74/22 74/24 75/5 76/13 77/4
77/18 77/19 79/4 79/24 80/14 80/24
80/24 80/25 81/1 82/10 82/22 83/3 83/4
84/2
wouldn't [3] 37/19 42/16 75/19 wraps [1] 56/24
writeup [1] 68/23
wrong [1] 52/14
Y
Yeah [5] 7/19 79/21 81/4 81/5 83/1
year [4] 44/4 55/10 71/17 71/24
years [4] 17/14 19/17 57/10 64/18
yes [57] 6/14 7/6 8/1 9/2 9/11 10/1 10/23 11/7 12/1 12/11 12/24 13/8 13/8
13/12 13/14 13/22 14/19 14/22 15/22
16/7 16/11 21/5 26/14 27/6 28/2 29/3
29/3 30/15 30/24 36/5 38/1 38/13 39/4
39/20 41/1 43/10 44/17 45/3 45/9 53/11
55/13 56/13 64/22 65/11 69/1 69/25
70/15 72/25 73/5 74/13 74/18 77/2 79/1
81/17 81/20 82/19 84/13
yet [2] 5/10 76/22
you [225]
you'd [1] 78/11
you're [14] 5/25 13/3 16/9 22/3 31/15
34/21 42/18 48/18 53/2 70/9 71/2 73/11
74/4 74/9
you've [9] $8 / 25$ 11/23 12/2 33/3 33/6 39/2 41/3 43/7 44/23
your [41] 5/21 5/22 6/5 8/23 8/25 8/25
10/2 10/6 10/16 10/18 12/21 13/16 13/24 16/20 16/20 16/21 19/7 20/14 21/9 25/2 30/21 35/19 47/17 50/11 50/11 50/22 51/25 52/3 54/21 59/20 63/18 63/18 70/21 75/14 76/9 78/3 78/7 78/7 78/8 80/3 80/24
yourself [3] 16/8 16/19 27/24

```
Z
zones [2] 50/21 66/12
zoning [23] 9/15 11/3 11/9 11/13 11/24
12/6 12/15 12/16 12/20 14/24 19/13
49/20 59/18 59/20 64/10 64/17 65/4
65/8 65/10 65/13 66/5 71/19 75/1
```

