
From: Calo, Ben B (San Luis Obispo) USA <Ben.Calo@LehighHanson.com>

Sent: Friday, January 17, 2020 3:43 PM

To: Peter Imhof <pimhof@cityofgoleta.org>; Mary Chang <mchang@cityofgoleta.org>; Anne Wells <awells@cityofgoleta.org>; Andy Newkirk <anewkirk@cityofgoleta.org>

Cc: Moore, Kenneth (San Luis Obispo) USA <Kenneth.Moore@LehighHanson.com>; Jones, Trevor M (San Luis Obispo) USA <Trevor.Jones@LehighHanson.com>; Guerra, Erika (San Ramon) USA <Erika.Guerra@LehighHanson.com>; Damonte, Ana (San Ramon) USA <Ana.Damonte@LehighHanson.com>; Hungerford, Sean (HTHJ) <shungerford@hthjlaw.com>; Anderson, Ginger (Stantec) <Ginger.Andersen@stantec.com>

Subject: FW: City of Goleta, Proposed Zoning Ordinance Comment Letter, Hanson Aggregates

Peter, Mary, Anne, and Andy,

I am writing to keep the City's planning staff informed of our efforts to modernize our concrete ready-mix plant at 50 S. Kellogg Avenue, and also to request City staff's support. I and the other members of Hanson's team deeply appreciate staff's time on December 12th, 2019 to consider how to modernize our Facility within the language of the incoming zoning ordinance (based on the November 2019 draft). At this moment, however, I think that we agree that the most efficient approach is simply to revise the draft ordinance to allow for the Facility modernization to proceed. Santa Barbara County, for instance, has included language in its zoning ordinance that exempts concrete silos from height limits in certain situations. This or similar language ensures our ability to continue operating within the City of Goleta. We wrote to the City Council requesting these revisions (attached) and intend to present to the City Council at the January 21st, 2020 meeting. We would very much appreciate if you could express your support (internally within the City) for our proposed zoning ordinance changes. If the ordinance is not revised, Hanson intends to resume discussions with staff, and will of course exhaust all available pathways to continue our vested operations.

Thank you for your consideration. I am happy to discuss this at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Ben Calo

Environmental & Land Management Specialist

Lehigh Hanson – Central Coast
P.O. Box 71

San Luis Obispo, CA 93406

Mobile: 805.305.9971

Fax: 805.543.1806

Email: Ben.Calo@lehighhanson.com

This e-mail may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient (or have received this e-mail in error) please notify the sender immediately and delete this e-mail. Any unauthorized copying, disclosure or distribution of the material in this e-mail is strictly forbidden.

From: Calo, Ben B (San Luis Obispo) USA

Sent: Friday, January 3, 2020 4:24 PM

To: 'pperotte@cityofgoleta.org' <pperotte@cityofgoleta.org>; 'krichards@cityofgoleta.org' <krichards@cityofgoleta.org>; 'raceves@cityofgoleta.org' <raceves@cityofgoleta.org>; 'skasdin@cityofgoleta.org' <skasdin@cityofgoleta.org>; 'jkyriaco@cityofgoleta.org' <jkyriaco@cityofgoleta.org>; 'pimhof@cityofgoleta.org' <pimhof@cityofgoleta.org>; 'awells@cityofgoleta.org' <awells@cityofgoleta.org>; 'anewkirk@cityofgoleta.org' <anewkirk@cityofgoleta.org>

Cc: Moore, Kenneth (San Luis Obispo) USA <Kenneth.Moore@LehighHanson.com>; Jones, Trevor M (San Luis Obispo) USA <Trevor.Jones@LehighHanson.com>; Guerra, Erika (Cupertino) USA <Erika.Guerra@LehighHanson.com>; Damonte, Ana (San Ramon) USA <Ana.Damonte@LehighHanson.com>; Hungerford, Sean (HTHJ) <shungerford@hthjlaw.com>; Anderson, Ginger (Stantec) <Ginger.Andersen@stantec.com>

Subject: City of Goleta, Proposed Zoning Ordinance Comment Letter, Hanson Aggregates

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

On behalf of Hanson Aggregates Mid-Pacific Inc., please find attached a comment letter regarding the proposed zoning ordinance. We deeply appreciate the City's time and attention to this matter. We look forward to any questions and to coordinating with City staff as needed to make the appropriate revisions. I can be reached at 805.305.9971 or Ben.Calo@LehighHanson.com.

Thank you,

Ben Calo

Environmental & Land Management Specialist

Lehigh Hanson – Central Coast

P.O. Box 71

San Luis Obispo, CA 93406

Mobile: 805.305.9971

Fax: 805.543.1806

Email: Ben.Calo@lehighhanson.com

This e-mail may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient (or have received this e-mail in error) please notify the sender immediately and delete this e-mail. Any unauthorized copying, disclosure or distribution of the

material in this e-mail is strictly forbidden.



Hanson Aggregates Mid-Pacific Inc.

PO Box 71

San Luis Obispo, CA 93406

Office: 805.543.2223

Fax: 805.543.1806

January 3, 2020

Attention: Mayor Paula Perotte and Councilmembers

City of Goleta

130 Cremona Drive

Goleta CA 9311

**Subject: Goleta New Zoning Ordinance
General Industrial Regulations Comments**

Dear Mayor and Councilmembers,

On behalf of Hanson Aggregates Mid-Pacific, Inc. ("Hanson"), thank you for the opportunity to request revisions to the November 2019 draft of the new zoning ordinance. We are making this request in order to allow our longstanding business to modernize in a way that is necessary for us to continue operating normally, and which otherwise would be prohibited under the new ordinance.

By way of background, for the past 60 years, Hanson and its predecessors have operated a ready-mix concrete plant at 50 South Kellogg Avenue (the "Facility"). The Facility is one of two concrete suppliers in Goleta's city limits, and the only one with union staff. The Facility is the only one in the City capable of producing over 1,500 cubic yards of concrete per day, with a permitted limit of up to 4,320 cubic yards of concrete per day, making it ideally suited to high-volume public works projects, and the only plant capable of producing high volumes of concrete in response to an emergency situation. The Facility has become an integral part of the local construction industry and has supplied countless public and private construction projects – primarily due to the exceptional quality and volume of its concrete products.

The Facility's concrete manufacturing equipment is aging, however, and needs to be modernized for the Facility to continue serving the City's needs. Currently, the Facility is a dry batch double-concrete batch plant, consisting of two concrete plants merged into a single concrete plant. Hanson intends to replace the existing plant equipment with modern equipment in a single-plant format.

The new equipment would be less complex, more efficient, and cleaner with reduced air emissions. It would occupy a smaller footprint, and be slightly lower in height (by approximately three feet). Replacing the plant equipment would not increase production, or introduce new or additional environmental impacts. The new equipment represents a typical upgrade for this type of facility that allows our legal use to continue while meeting all standards for reliability, efficiency, safety and emissions.

The Facility is properly zoned as “industrial” under the current and proposed zoning ordinance. Under the existing and proposed new zoning ordinance, however, the plant equipment exceeds height limits. The existing plant equipment and silos are 65-feet in height (55-feet in height from current grade) with a 30-foot antenna. Existing zoning regulations have a 45-foot height limit for structures; the proposed ordinance has a 35-foot limit. The existing equipment operates legally because it predates the existing height restrictions in the zoning ordinance. In its current form, however, the proposed ordinance does not clearly allow Hanson to replace aging equipment that are nonconforming as to height with modern components as Hanson intends.

The concrete plant itself is a piece of equipment that is manufactured elsewhere and brought on site, assembled and affixed to the ground. Hanson has explored whether it can modernize the plant within the proposed height limit, and found that no manufacturer makes plant equipment within this height limit; the process itself relies on a certain size, shape and height in its design. Thus, as written, the ordinance could require Hanson to maintain the existing plant as-is, using antiquated, unreliable, and less efficient equipment. If the plant equipment were to deteriorate beyond repair, Hanson could be forced to end operations in the City.

The zoning ordinance amendment process presents Hanson and the City with a rare opportunity to allow important facilities like this to use the newest, cleanest and most efficient equipment. We do not believe that it is the City’s intent to prohibit industrial facilities such as Hanson’s from using modern equipment, or to encourage the use of antiquated and unreliable equipment. Hanson therefore asks for relatively minor modifications to the proposed ordinance allowing modernization to occur. Our requests are the following:

1. That the City include language in its new ordinance that allows the replacement of structures and equipment that are nonconforming as to height in the general industrial (“IG”) zone provided there is no increase in height, size or capacity.

As an example, Santa Barbara County exempts “specific structures and equipment,” and specifically concrete ready-mix silos from the height limits of its M-1 zone if “compliance would render operations technically infeasible.” (S.B.C. Code, § 35.30.090, subd. (E)(3)(a).) Santa Barbara County further exempts height limits for structures and equipment associated with facilities in M-2 zones if “compliance would render operations technically infeasible.” (S.B.C. Code, § 35.30.090, subd. (E)(3)(b).) We welcome you to review and consider the language as highlighted in Attachment 1.

Adding a similar exemption to the City’s proposed ordinance would allow Hanson to modernize and preserve its longstanding business. Additionally, in other parts of the proposed ordinance, we observe that the City will be allowing for the replacement of non-conforming structures in non-industrial zones if there is no increase in size. (See Draft Ord., § 17.25.020(B)(8)(b).) A similar allowance here is even more appropriate for industrial facilities that are existing, properly zoned and sited.

2. Height limits be restored to previous limits (45’) and allowances made for equipment to extend beyond 45 feet. Although this will not cover our silos, we believe maintaining the 45-foot limit in this industrial zone is appropriate and provides our Facility with the flexibility to make other modifications in the future.

Hanson deeply appreciates the City’s time and attention to this matter. We look forward to any questions and to coordinating with City staff as needed to make the appropriate revisions. I can be reached at 805.305.9971 or Ben.Calo@LehighHanson.com.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ben Calo", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Ben Calo
Environmental and Land Management Specialist

Attachments:

1. Santa Barbara Countywide Land Use & Development Code 35.30.090.E.3

cc: Kyle Richards, Mayor Pro Tempore
Roger S. Aceves, Councilmember
Stuart Kasdin, Councilmember
James Kyriaco, Councilmember
Peter Imhoff, Planning Director
Anne Wells, Planning Manager
Andy Newkirk, Senior Planner
Ken Moore, Trevor Jones, Erika Guerra, Ana Demonte (Hanson Aggregates)
Sean Hungerford (HTHJ Law)
Ginger Anderson (Stantec)

Attachment 1

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY CODE - CHAPTER 35 - COUNTY LAND USE & DEVELOPMENT CODE

Standards for All Development and Land Uses

35.30.090

higher ceiling heights for habitable space shall be deemed a use intended for human activity. No such structure shall be employed for any commercial or advertising use unless specifically allowed by the applicable zone, except that antennas and associated equipment may be located within such structures.

2. Portions of a structure may exceed the applicable height limit by no more than three feet where the roof exhibits a pitch of four in 12 (rise to run) or greater.
 3. In order to provide for architectural character, architectural elements, whose aggregate area is less than or equal to 10 percent of the total roof area of the structure or 400 square feet, whichever is less, may exceed the height limit by no more than eight feet when approved by the Board of Architectural Review.
 4. Allowances for exceeding the applicable height limit in compliance with Subsections D.2 through D.3 above, are not cumulative.
- E. Exemptions for specific structures and equipment. The following structures and equipment may exceed the applicable height limit as provided below where the excess height is not prohibited by [Section 35.28.060](#) (Airport Approach Overlay).
1. Coastal Zone only.
 - a. Temporary drilling rigs necessary to explore for and develop oil and gas reservoirs, allowed in compliance with Article 35.5 (Oil and Gas, [Wind Energy and Cogeneration Facilities](#)), or to operate the La Goleta gas storage reservoir (located on Assessor's Parcel Number 071-210-001, as of June 30, 2006), may exceed the applicable height limit for a period of four years or less, provided the temporary use is completed in a diligent manner.
 - (1) Upon written request by the operator, the Director may grant up to two one-year extensions, provided that the operator is diligent in completing an established drilling program.
 2. Coastal Zone and Inland area.
 - a. Amine columns, distillation columns, stripper columns, and flare stacks associated with gas processing, oil and gas production, or oil and gas transportation allowed in compliance with [Article 35.5 \(Oil and Gas, Wind Energy and Cogeneration Facilities\)](#) may exceed the applicable height limit where compliance would render such facilities technically infeasible.
 - b. Workover/pulling rigs necessary to service oil, gas and injection wells may exceed the applicable height limit, provided that the use of these rigs is completed in a diligent manner.
 - (1) Within the Coastal Zone, this includes workover/pulling rigs necessary to operate the La Goleta gas storage reservoir (located on Assessor's Parcel Number 071-210-001, as of June 30, 2006).
 - c. Small Wind Energy Facilities. See Chapter 35.57 (Wind Energy Conversion Systems) height limits for Small Wind Energy Facilities.
 - (1) Subsection 35.30.090.E.2.c of this Development Code shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2017, and is repealed as of that date, unless Article 2.11 (Wind Energy) of Chapter 4 of Division 1 of Title 7 of the Government Code (Section 65893 *et seq.*) is extended by statute enacted by the State of California prior to January 1, 2017.
 3. Inland area only.
 - a. Silos used to store and load concrete ready-mix in the M-1 zone may exceed applicable height limits where compliance would render operations technically infeasible.
 - b. Structures and equipment associated with facilities in the M-2 zone may exceed applicable height limits where compliance would render operations technically infeasible.
 - c. Temporary drilling rigs necessary to explore for and develop oil and gas reservoirs, or to

From: [Nadir Dagli](#)
To: [City Clerk Group](#)
Subject: Perimeter planting/hedge height ordinance
Date: Monday, January 20, 2020 4:56:04 PM

Dear Goleta City Council members,

We are writing to you regarding the zoning ordinance that will be discussed in tomorrow's city council meeting. We strongly ask for the inclusion and enforcement of a perimeter planting/hedge height ordinance as part of this zoning. We live at 840 Serenidad Pl. Our neighbor at 830 Serenidad Pl. has been a problem for us and our neighbors over many years. He has planted bushes/trees etc. all around the periphery of his property and let them grow over a very long time. These perimeter plantings are over 40 feet tall and come with major problems for us and neighbors. First of all they are out of character with the neighborhood and Goleta in general. The appearance of these very tall perimeter planting/hedges create a very unfavorable vision and affect the property values in the neighborhood adversely. In addition they block the sun on the south side of our property which leads to rot and molding. That side of the house becomes very difficult to keep warm since sun is blocked all day long. Moreover their roots are all over the place and go under the slab of our house. These lead to expensive foundation repairs. They are also full of dirt, small insects and even the mildest breezes blow them to our property. It is impossible to maintain a lawn in front of our house and fruit trees we had on that side all died. We need help in rectifying this situation. Anybody should be able to have perimeter planting/hedges as long as their height is reasonable and they are maintained. Such rules exist in all the cities around us and are characteristics of well managed cities. I hope you will be responsive to our request. So in summary we would like to see an ordinance that includes height restrictions for perimeter plantings/hedges and enforceable. We would like to see a provision that requires compliance with the ordinance within a reasonable length of time such as 90 days.

Respectfully

Nadir Dagli and Gulcin Dagli
840 Serenidad Pl. Goleta, CA 93117.