**BRIMPSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL**

**Draft minutes OF EXTRA-ORDINARY MEETING of**

**Brimpsfield Parish Council take place at**

**The Village Hall on thursday 9th June 2022 at 7.30pm**

**The Chair of Council opened the meeting**

1. **Record of attendance recorded (anticipated) Parish Councillors Tom Overbury, Roger Lock, Claire Jardine, Michael McWilliam, Jane Parsons, Archie Larthe**
2. **Apologies for absence recorded as Parish Councillor Heather Eaton**
3. **Declarations of Interest on items on the Agenda were invited - none**
4. **Council approved the minutes of the Parish Council Meeting held on the 17th May 2022**
5. **Public Session:**
6. **County and District Councillor would be invited to present report at this point -none in attendance**
7. **Planning applications - planning applications received since last meeting discussed**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| application | address | summary | Received /sent to Cllrs | Closing date | PC comments |
| [22/01381/LBC](https://publicaccess.cotswold.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=documents&keyVal=RADF5YFIII500&prevPage=inTray)  And [22/01380/FUL](https://publicaccess.cotswold.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=RADF5WFIII400&prevPage=inTray) | Brimpsfield house | Additional details for information only | 31/5/22 | 1/6/22 | For informationnoted |
| [22/01491/OUT](https://publicaccess.cotswold.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=RB03VEFIIQC00&prevPage=active)Council discussed | Birdlip View | dwelling | 19/11/21 | 1/6/22 | Deadline Extension sought and approved Previous application21/04236/PLP2/12/21Council objected and submitted comments as approved at December meeting (see attached)Council felt that its comments were still relevant that is over-development and agreed to object- see attached comments |
| [21/03856/FUL](https://publicaccess.cotswold.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=documents&keyVal=R0P7HHFIM1E00) amendments noted at last meeting-  | The Mill House | erection of a single storey rear extension, | 21/10/21 | DC Judd had requested it went to planning committee  | Discussed 14th April and January 22- Council agreed not to submit further comments following amendments made after local feedback. It has now received “Permitted” decision 8/6/22 |
| [22/01532/FUL](https://publicaccess.cotswold.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=RB7O12FIITC00&prevPage=inTray) | Stokesley House | Single storey side extension | 17/5/22 | 8/6/22 | None received |

1. other planning matters

22/00297/FUL | Single storey side extension and demolition of single storey garage | 1 Old Rectory Brimpsfield Gloucester – permitted

1. Matters reported by Councillors

Boundary changes approved by CDC email distributed

Some evidence of interest by Glos Highways workforce in the repairs at the Bridge

Councillors expressed their thanks to Cllr Lock for the efforts made in organising the Queen’s Platinum Jubilee and has received positive feedback

Updates on the “A417 missing link “going forward

War memorial cleaning test patch not successful

Positive feedback on the painting of the telephone box in Brimpsfield and may be used for the Caudle Green telephone box

1. **Date of next meeting confirmed as 19th July 2022 at 7.30pm-**

Meeting closed at 20.12

**New comments to be submitted**

Brimspfield Parish Council OBJECT to this application and reinforce our previous comments

namely it would be an over-development and overcrowding of the site, with a negative impact on Highway access and parking and a lack of privacy and increase in light and noise nuisance.

Over-development/Overcrowding of the Site
The site is considered too small to allow a further residential property. The rear garden is small and if this development is permitted it will have a detrimental impact on Birdlip View which will have little or no rear outdoor space.

Overshadowing of adjacent Properties
The proposed development will overshadow both Birdlip View and Rose Cottage opposite,

Highway safety
Cirencester Road narrows considerably at the location of the site and there are no public footpaths in this area. Any on-street parking would cause an obstruction to the commercial vehicles who readily need access to the Brewery and other industrial units at the end of Cirencester Road which is a cul-de-sac. It would have a negative impact on the road safety of the local school children at Birdlip County Primary School.

The Council feels that the proposed development is contrary to CDC Local Planning Policy DS3
“SMALL-SCALE RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT IN NON-PRINCIPAL SETTLEMENTS”
The form and character of this development will have an adverse impact on the group of 6 properties in this hamlet to the east of Birdlip.