

From: [Gillian Fennessy](#)
To: [Gillian Fennessy](#)
Subject: FW: NZO Comments
Date: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 9:14:26 AM

From: masseybarb@aol.com <masseybarb@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 15, 2019 2:42 PM
To: Bill Shelor <bshelor@cityofgoleta.org>; Ed Fuller <efuller@cityofgoleta.org>; Jennifer Smith <jsmith@cityofgoleta.org>; Katie Maynard <kmaynard@cityofgoleta.org>; Robert Miller <rmiller@cityofgoleta.org>
Cc: Andy Newkirk <anewkirk@cityofgoleta.org>; Deborah Lopez <dlopez@cityofgoleta.org>; masseybarb@aol.com
Subject: NZO Comments

I have two additional comments on the NZO.

A comment by Commissioner Maynard on September 9th reminded me that I had not commented on the need for chain-link fences to have a covering to screen the property. Section 17.24.090 C. Materials on page IV-10, The Materials section discusses limits on the use of chain-link fencing. There should be a provision that when a chain-link fence is used for screening that it must have an opaque covering. No one wants to see the junk piled in yards through the chain-link fencing.

Secondly, the definition of fence, 17.73.020 on page VI-37 is not a definition. Since a Building Official doesn't come with the Zoning Ordinance, this is ridiculous. This is not a definition and needs to be corrected. Try a definition from the dictionary. I have not read every definition but I hope there aren't more like this.

Barbara