

Summary of Scenario Planning WG Call #18
Tuesday, Jan. 25, 2011, 2:00 p.m. EST

Official WG members in attendance: Ellen Vancko, Seth Kaplan, Sonny Popowsky, Alice Jackson, Dave Boguslawski, Matt Lacey, Bob Stein, Dan Hartman, Paul McCurley, Maryam Sharif (alt.), Tim Noeldner, Gregory Carmean, Scott Morris, John Buechler (EIPC, Dave Whiteley (EIPC), Flora Flygt (WG Chair/EIPC Liaison), Caitlin Connelly (Keystone)

1. Outcome of 1/18 SSC call on BAU sensitivities, and next steps on outstanding issues

- Flora summarized the SSC's decisions on the SPWG's recommendations for the BAU sensitivities (full summary of the 1/18 call will be available soon)
- For sensitivities 1 & 2, related to the modeling of transmission, the SPWG will await the Modeling WG's and EISPC's recommendation. The SPWG does recommend that, in sensitivity #2, the transmission-related costs/fees modeled in MRN-NEEM should be "reduced," rather than "removed."
- For sensitivity #6, related to adjustment of EE/RPS levels, the SPWG will await EISPC's clarification on the intention behind that sensitivity. This issue will likely need to be resolved at the SSC level, due to the short time frame between EISPC's meeting and the SSC meeting.

2. Revisions to Futures descriptions

- The SPWG members reviewed Futures descriptions document, which was updated to reflect the SSC's decisions and discussion at the December 2010 meeting. The SPWG suggested the following additional edits:
 - In references to CCS viability, the term "commercially" will be removed, so that the language is consistent with the December meeting summary.
 - The SPWG agreed that terms such as "RPS/RES," "Federal/National," etc., which had been used interchangeably, should be used more consistently..
 - In Futures 3, 5 & 6, the highlighted portions describing imports of Canadian hydro need minor further editing for clarity.
 - In Future 7, sensitivity #6, which read "High uranium and disposal costs, and high capital costs," the following phrase was added: "(if all can be modeled as one sensitivity)".
- Caitlin Connelly will make these edits and circulate them to the SPWG for their approval as soon as possible, before the document is sent to the SSC.

3. SSC meeting presentation

- The materials that the SPWG must send to the SSC by Monday 1/31 include:
 - Background Memo outlining recommendations
 - Sensitivities Spreadsheet (Appendix A)
 - Revised High-Level Futures Descriptions (Appendix B)

- Drafts of these documents have already been sent to the SPWG. Caitlin will make any updates needed as a result of today's call, and resend them to the SPWG for comment/approval.
- The slides used during the SPWG's presentation will outline these recommendations and the rationale behind the recommendations, particularly for the sensitivities. Caitlin/Flora will draft these slides and send them to the SPWG for their approval as soon as possible.

4. Plan for "passing the baton" to MWG

- SPWG will adjourn through the remainder of Task 4 (Futures Definition)
- Members will join in the MWG calls/sub-teams as they wish. Those interested in joining the MWG listserv can e-mail Caitlin or sign up at the "Contact Us" page [at www.eipconline.com](http://www.eipconline.com)