

**IN THE COUNTY COURT AT EDMONTON**

**Claim No. B6QZ4H3R**

59 Fore Street  
London  
N18 2TN

Monday, 9<sup>th</sup> May 2016

Before:

**DEPUTY DISTRICT JUDGE ELLINGTON**

Between:

**UK PARKING CONTROL LTD**

Claimant

-v-

**SEAN MASTERSON**

Defendant

Solicitor for the Claimant:

MISS NOTTAGE

Representing the Defendant:

MR CARROD

**JUDGMENT APPROVED BY THE COURT**

Transcribed from the Official Tape Recording by  
Apple Transcription Limited  
Suite 204, Kingfisher Business Centre, Burnley Road, Rawtenstall, Lancashire BB4 8ES  
DX: 26258 Rawtenstall – Telephone: 0845 604 5642 – Fax: 01706 870838

Number of Folios: 22  
Number of Words: 1,555

JUDGMENT

DEPUTY DISTRICT JUDGE ELLINGTON:

1. This is a claim arising from the defendant's car being parked on two occasions in car park spaces which the claimant pleads it managed as a parking site. It is common ground that Colchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (the NHS Trust) is freehold owner of the site, not the claimant or indeed Swan Housing whom the claimant says contracted with the claimant to manage the parking. It is said by the claimant that the NHS Trust has authorised Swan Housing to enter into a contract for management of the area by the claimant and that is in paragraph 2 of the statement of Michael Elliott dated 18<sup>th</sup> April 2016.
2. The defendant denies that, relying on freedom of information answers from the NHS Trust including at page 33 an email dated 29<sup>th</sup> January 2016 and I quote: "The Trust have not located any records relating to this matter therefore are unable to confirm whether or not such authority was granted to Swan Housing" (the reference to "authority" referring back to the defendant's enquiry, number 1, "Did the Trust authorise the Swan Housing Association to confer the authority on UK Parking Limited to manage the parking on Trust land?" And number 2, "Was the authority granted by the Trust?"). That answer is neutral as to whether the chain of authority exists or not, in my interpretation of the response.
3. It is common ground that there was a parking permit system in operation for the area; that is on the claimant's case and in paragraph 5 of the defence. It is unchallenged that the defendant's car was parked without the relevant permit on 16<sup>th</sup> September 2013 and 8<sup>th</sup> October 2013. I do not have a plan of the site before me but it is therefore established that the landowner or freeholder was the NHS Trust and that the defendant's car was in an area on which the claimant says they manage the parking of.
4. It is common ground in terms of submissions that the authority of *ParkingEye Limited (Respondent) v Beavis (Appellant) [2015] UKSC 67* in the Supreme Court judgment did not consider whether there was a contract between the parties in that case. That issue being common ground in the stages before it reached the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court judgment considered if the charge represented a penalty and it considered if the charge was unfair under the Consumer Regulations.
5. The first thing that I need to turn to, therefore, is the legal position between the parties. As I have said, the claimant says that there is a chain of authority allowing the claimant to offer the defendant to park subject to terms and conditions. The claimant relies on a notice exhibited to Michael Elliott's statement of April 2016 and it is helpful to look at the terms of that notice. The notice reads: "Parking conditions apply, UK PC, UK Parking Control Limited", and I suppose I should give the full text, so:

"PARKING CONDITIONS APPLY

UK PC

UK PARKING CONTROL LTD

*[in bold type]* NO UNAUTHORISED PARKING"



A  
B  
C  
D  
E  
F  
G  
H

PARKING CONDITIONS APPLY



UK PARKING CONTROL LTD

# NO UNAUTHORISED PARKING

## TERMS OF PARKING APPLY AT ALL TIMES

This land is private property and parking control is managed by UK Parking Control Ltd

Failure to comply with the following at any time will result in a £100 Parking Charge (reduced to £60 if paid within 14 days) being issued to the vehicle's driver



All vehicles must be parked only within marked bays.



A valid parking permit must be clearly displayed at all times.



No parking on yellow lines or in an area with hatched markings.



No roadway parking.

In the event that a Parking Charge remains unpaid, UK Parking Control Ltd may contact the DVLA and request the Registered Keeper's details

Unpaid Parking Charges will be passed to our debt recovery agent at which point an additional charge of £60 will apply

How To Pay: Payment can be made by Credit Card on 0344 545 5450 or online at [www.paycharge.co.uk](http://www.paycharge.co.uk)



**UKPC Information Line**

**Tel: 0333 220 1070**

UK Parking Control Ltd, Unit 25, 1-2 Denham Parade, Oxford Road, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB9 4DZ



Registered Office: UK Parking Control Ltd, The Apex, 2 Sheriff's Orchard, Coventry CV1 3PP. Company No: 05104383. Registered in England and Wales

1