Bonus Questions

1. How might Genesis 1.27 relate to Romans 8.29 (and similar passages)?

2. Choose one of the issues that Pastor Caleb mentioned in his introduction (AI, cloning, racism, or abortion). How might the truths of Genesis 1 address this issue?

PERSONAL APPLICATION

- 1. In what ways do you need to change your attitude about other people in light of Genesis 1.26-28?
- 2. How can you fulfill your purpose as an image bearer in your current situation?

PASTOR CALEB WALKER PAHRUMP COMMUNITY CHURCH March 21, 2021

> man [man], *n*. Genesis 1.26-28

I. "____" is _____ in _____.

A. All people are _____ in the _____ of the _____.

B. Because of _____, God's _____ is _____ but not _____. GENESIS 9.6-7

II. "_____" is _____ and _____.

A. God created ______. MARK 10.6

B. Both _____ and _____

are made in _____.

Galatians 3.28

C. _____ and _____ distinctly _____

the _____ of God.

If you have never been *born again*, contact Pastor Caleb or a trusted Christian friend this week to find out how. III. "_____" is give a particular _____ of _____. A. People are intended to ______. B. People are intended to exercise _____ _____ over _____. C. People are intended to their as God's . PSALM 72.19 IV. "_____" needs a _____. Romans 5.12.18 V. " " offers a . 1 Corinthians 15.45 How can I the with the _____ of ____? STUDY AND DISCUSSION QUESTIONS 1. Identify the parallels between Psalm 8 and Genesis 1.26-28. How can David's words help us better understand what it means to be "adam"?

2. Do physical or mental handicaps detract from someone's being made in God's image? Explain and defend your answer.

3. How would you respond to someone's suggestion that the notion of only two genders is rooted in an outdated cultural convention? Defend your answer.

4. How does the knowledge that there are only two genders equip us to love a broken world?

5. Reflect on your "career" (designing aircraft, raising children...). How were you involved in the "cultural mandate"? Does Genesis 1.28 change your perspective on your vocation?

6. How would you (lovingly) respond to someone's accusation that Genesis 1.28 fuels "speciesism"?