

The A Priori Without Magic: Notes, Typos, and Errata (Last Updated: May 2023)

Jared Warren

This document will be updated whenever new issues come to light.

The A Priori Without Magic

- CUP insisted on including punctuation within quotation marks, against my vigorous protests.
- There are a few other minor stylistic quirks of Elements volumes — e.g., swapping “this Element” for “this book” and the like, and calling chapters “sections”.
- The Elements volumes usually don’t allow a preface, but I insisted and they agreed to let me include one. On the other hand, they moved my acknowledgements and dedication to the very end of the book (page 70).
- Page 16: I had to be very brief with the imagination test, so it is easy to misunderstand. Here it is presented just as a test to apply when a warranted belief intuitively depends on an experience. As such, it is neutral on other cases. We could however, generalize the test to also work in reverse, swapping experiences for imaginative episodes.

- Page 17: Note that, quite generally, beliefs about extant sense-data can come out *a priori* according to the imagination test if they aren't included among the self-referential cases to be excluded. A case can be made for both ways of talking, but it is more in line with common usage to exclude them. The exclusion might seem *ad hoc*, but I think there are plausible ways of motivating it. I discuss the imagination test and surrounding issues in much more detail in an unpublished paper.
- Page 28: typo — “is a broader and more flexible than”
- Page 31: typo — “there are couple of”
- Page 34: typo — for some reason the “ ϕ ” in the tonk introduction rule is smaller than it should be.
- Page 35: I wrote “any collections of rules” to focus on pluralism, but “any collection of rules” probably would have read better and gotten the same idea across.
- Page 57: typo — “there is a ton indeterminacy here”